

Evaluation of the Effects of Cadmium in Soil on the LC50 of Soil Bacteria and Fungi for Environmental Monitoring

*^{1,4}WANJALA, MP; ¹ODOKUMA, L; ²ETELA I; ³RAMKAT, R; ⁴ODOGWU, BA; ⁵BOADU, KO

*¹Department of Microbiology, ²Department of Animal Science, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria
³Center of Excellence in Phytochemicals, Textiles and Renewable Energy, Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya
⁴Department of Plant Science and Biochemistry, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria
⁴Department of Physical and Biological Sciences, Bomet University College, Bomet, Kenya
⁵Industrial Chemistry Unit, Department of Chemistry, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana
*Corresponding Author Email: piwanjala@gmail.com, Tel: +254725891794, +2348101149649
Co-authors Email: Lucky.Odokuma@uniport.edu.ng; ibisime.etela@uniport.edu.ng; chirirose@yahoo.com; blessing.odogwu@uniport.edu.ng; koboadu@ucc.edu.gh

ABSTRACT: Contamination of soil with heavy metals by is currently of global concern. Cadmium (Cd) is one of the metals of concern. In the current study, LC_{50} of Cd to soil bacteria and fungi was used to assess the impact of anthropogenic activity in development of Cd tolerance in soil microorganisms. Levels of Bio-physicochemical parameters in soil were determined. Results show that the concentration of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) and Cd in soil ranged between 5.09 ± 0.33 to 9261.94 ± 287.67 , and 0.023 ± 0.015 to 0.057 ± 0.012 ppm respectively. There was significant difference (p = 0.001) in LC_{50} for fungi between the study and control samples. Pearson correlation showed that there was significant relationship (r = 0.30) between LC_{50} for fungi. Anthropogenic activities influenced the concentrations of TPH soil but did not influence levels of Cd.

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v25i6.21

Copyright: *Copyright* © 2021 Wanjala *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CCL), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dates: Received: 20 March 2021; Revised: 27 April 2021; Accepted: 07 May 2021

Keywords: Petroleum, Cadmium, Toxicity, Microorganism, Tolerance, LC₅₀,

Spillage of petroleum, petroleum products and other domestic wastes to the environment is a common scenario in the modern world. Increased spillage of petroleum has been linked to increased demand for petroleum and its products in urban, industrial and agricultural areas (BP, 2015). Further, heavy metals have been linked by studies to petroleum spillages (Egborge, 1991; Osuji and Onojake, 2004; Lima et al., 2014). Consequently, accumulation of heavy metals in the environment has gained momentum and is followed by bioaccumulation of the toxins in organisms which pick the metals from the environment (Nwabunike, 2016). Presence of elevated concentrations of pollutants above recommended limits in the environment is a health risk. This is because pollutants can be leached through surface water and seapage to underground waters (Todd et al., 1999; Wanjala et al., 2017). World Health Organization (WHO) has put into alert some of the metal pollutants and public caution has been put with specific permitted levels of exposure. For example; Cadmium (Cd), Zinc (Zn), Copper (Cu), Chromium (Cr), Lead (Pb) and Nickel (Ni) have specified

desirable maximum levels of 0.8, 50, 36, 100, 85, and 35 ppm (mg/kg) respectively (WHO, 1996). Some of the microorganisms have developed tolerance against such metal pollutants while others still remain intolerant and vulnerable to the chemical intoxication (Mohamed et al., 2015), and therefore presence of elevated concentrations of metals in soil can compromise populations and diversity of microorganisms in soil and further compromise important ecosystem processess. Microorganisms find their ways to survive under harsh environmental conditions which makes them resilient. For example, Cd finds its way inside bacteria by cell transport system for divalent cations (Tynecka et al., 1981; Laddaga and Silver, 1985). There are several ways by which the microorganisms tolerate Cd in their environment, which include; energy-dependent efflux mechanisms (Silver, 1996). For example, active Cd efflux (Tynecka et al., 1981), enhanced transpiration of metalothionein genes (Mc Entee et al., 1986), alteration of cell wall and plasma membrane complexes (Mitra & Berstein, 1977) and amplification of genes (Beach & Palmiter, 1981). Several genes

*Corresponding Author Email: piwanjala@gmail.com, Tel: +254725891794/+2348101149649

have been assiciated with the characteristic of tolerance of microorganisms to elevated levels of Cd. For example cadB in S. aureus, cadX in S. lugdunensis (Crupper et al., 1999), smt in Synechococcus sp. strain PCC 6301 (Robinson et al., 1990) and in strain PCC 7942 (Huckle et al., 1993). Soil is composed of large microbial diversity estimated at 107 to 109 species for bacteria and 1.5 million species for fungi (Narendrula - Kotha & Nkongolo, 2017). Research shows that contaminants influence levels and activities of microorganisms in soil (Bouskill et al., 2010; Sheeba et al., 2017). Abundance and distribution of soil microorganisms are influenced by natural environmental conditions as well as anthropogenic activities (Unimke et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018). Soil microbial abundance and diversity vary relative to environmental conditions and is unique among different ecosystems (Wang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2017). The rate of uptake of pollutants by organisms from soil is dependent on the levels of concentration of the pollutants in the respective niches (Liu et al., 2015). Lethal Concentration 50 (LC₅₀) is the concentration that causes a 50% reduction of organisms in test after exposure to a toxin in test (Rotini et al., 2017). Determination LC50 in organisms at individual or population levels have been applied in assessments ecotoxicological in other places (Thangavel et al., 2013; Mohamed et al., 2015; Manguilimotan & Bitacura, 2018), but has not been extensively adopted in the current study areas. Several studies indicate a clear association between effects of pollutants at individual levels and effects at population levels (Kuhn et al., 2000) whereas others indicate little or no association between toxicities at individual and population levels (Herbert et al., 2004; Stark et al., 1997). Hence, there is little consensus about how specific effects at the individual level will forecast impacts at population level. To contribute on this discourse, this study used LC50 to evaluate the impact of pollution of soils by Cd to formation tolerance among bacteria and fungi (Mortensen et al., 2018) on a population basis. High concentrations of LC₅₀ of Cd showed that of contamination of soil had influenced the tolerance levels to Cd while low concentrations of LC50 showed that there was minimal influence of environment to development of tolerance among microorganisms in the study areas. The findings marked out areas that were inhabited with Cd tolerant fungal and bacterial communities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in 9 selected test and 3 control sites in Port Harcourt, the Capital of Rivers State, Nigeria. The study sites were grouped into 3 areas including; urban (GRA phase 2, Diobu- Mile 1

and Mguoba), Industrial (Eleme which hosts the NNPC Refinery, Agbada-SPDC- flow station) and agricultural (Aluu, Oquwi- Eleme, Emuoha- Eu). The study sites were characterized with different economic activities associated with oil (flow stations, oil companies and urban settlements). Composite samples were collected by random sampling from each of the three areas; urban, industrial and agricultural in the wet (April to October 2018) and dry (December 2018 to March 2019) seasons. Five (5) individual samples were collected following a random pattern around each test field. The five individual samples were thoroughly mixed by coning and quartering in a sterile container to attain a homogenous composite mixture. A total of 12 composite samples; A1, A2, A3, I1, I2, I3 U1, U2 and U3 as test samples, and CA, CI and CU as control samples (Table 1), were collected from the topsoil within a depth of 0 to 15 cm using a standard auger 3 times in the rainy season. Homogenized composite samples (400 gm) were then packed in polyethylene bags using a sterile wooden shovel. Samples for microbial analysis were collected using pre-sterilized materials to prevent contamination of the samples. Locations of the sampling sites were identified using a GPS and the GPS readings recorded. Samples were transported to the laboratory for analysis.

Levels of Cadmium (Cd) were determined using Atomic Absorption Spectrum [AAS] (APHA, 1995 {APHA, 301A}). Two grams of soil was weighed separately from each sample and transferred into Kjeldahl flasks; 20 ml of concentrated nitric acid (HNO₃) was added and the samples pre-digested by heating gently for 20 mins. Additional 10 ml nitric acid was thereafter added to each Kjeldahl flask and digestion was continued for further 30-40mins, until when a clear digest was obtained. The flask was cooled to room temperature and the content was transferred into 50 ml volumetric flask and brought to volume using distilled water. The resulting solution was analyzed for heavy metals using the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS). The heavy metals were then analyzed at the following wavelength of 228.9. Preparation of stock solution and dilutions of metal salts was done. Cadmium chloride (CdCl₂) [2.0360g] was disslved in 250 ml deionised water, and was there after diluted to 1 litre in a volumetric flask to constitute1000 ppm for stock solution for each. Dilution formula (1) was applied to prepare all concentrations of; 10 ppm, 25 ppm, 50 ppm, 75 ppm, 100 ppm and 150 ppm. The prepared solutions of were then sterilized using bacteriological vacuum filtration through Whatman membrane filter paper (0.22 micron) [ASTM F838-05] standard methods. The

prepared concentrations were then added to sterilized growth medium.

Where C = Concentration; V = Volume; 1 and 2 are initial and final conditions

No	Selected	Study Area	Coordinates	Characteristic and
	Study Areas	Coding	N latitude	main activities
	2	(Locations)	E Longitude	
		Agricultural Areas	4° 56' 11.160'	Flow station
1	Aluu	AI	6° 57' 52.248	Village close to
			4° 44' 09.874'	refinery
2	Eleme	A2	7° 08' 58.494'	Flow station
			5° 00' 00.018'	>1 km away from
3	Emuoha	A3	6° 49' 13.032'	suspected areas
			5° 00' 21.384'	-
	Control	CA	6° 49' 00.000'	
		Industrial Areas	4° 46' 00.402'	Hosts the NNPC
1	Onne	I1	7° 05' 43.092'	Refinery
			4° 56' 03.444'	Hosts SPDC- flow
2	Agbada	I2	6° 58' 42.060'	station in a rural
			4° 48' 20.455'	setting
3	Trans-Amadi	I3	7° 02' 17.646'	Schlumberger/,
			4° 47' 13.788'	Hallburton
	Control	CI	7° 07' 44.620'	>1 km away from
				suspected areas
		Urban Areas	4° 49' 53.574'	
1	GRA Phase 2	U1	6° 59' 45.552'	Inhabited areas
			4° 47' 20.382'	Perecuma street
2	Diobu-Mile 1	U2	7° 00' 13.164'	
			4° 50' 39.864'	Petroleum refinery
3	Mgbuoba	U3	6° 58' 20.232'	
			4° 49' 17,040'	NTA
	Control	CU	6° 59' 24.168'	>1 km away from suspected areas

Cadmium tolerant bacteria were enumerated by modification of APHA et al., 1998 method. One gram of soil sample was weighed into a 9 ml sterile diluent (0.85 % NaCl) under aseptic conditions. The sample was then homogenized using a laboratory vortex mixer (Model: 10101001, IP42) and serially diluted. Then 0.1 ml aliquot of inoculum was inoculated on Mineral Salt Agar (MSA) which was then acidified using 0.1 % lactic acid. This acidification inhibits the growth of hydrocarbon fungi. Fixed dose procedure was used, where microorganisms were dosed in a stepwise procedure using the fixed doses of; 10 ppm, 25 ppm, 50 ppm, 75 ppm, 100 ppm and 150 ppm of Cadmium (Cd). The initial dose levels were selected on basis of preliminary study as the dose expected to produce toxicity effects. The dosing continued until the dose causing evident toxicity of zero growth was observed. Plates were then incubated in an inverted position at room temperature for 5 to 7 days. Colonies were counted in order to obtain colony forming units per gram of soil. Cadmium tolerant fungi were enumerated by modification of APHA et al., 1998 method. One gram of soil sample was weighed into a 9 ml sterile diluent (0.85% NaCl) under aseptic conditions. The sample was then homogenized using a laboratory vortex mixer (Model 10101001, IP42) and serially diluted. Then 0.1 ml aliquot of inoculum was then inoculated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA). Fixed dose procedure was used, where microorganisms were dosed in a stepwise procedure using the fixed doses of; 0 ppm, 10 ppm, 25 ppm, 50 ppm, 75 ppm, 100 ppm and 150 ppm of Cadmium (Cd). The initial dose levels were selected on trial basis of sighting study as the dose expected to produce toxicity effects, without causing complete mortality. Microorganisms were then dosed at higher or lower fixed doses, depending on the presence or absence of signs of toxicity or mortality. The dosing was continued until the dose causing evident toxicity was observed. Plates were then incubated in an inverted position at room temperature for 5 to 7 days. Colonies were counted in order to obtain colony forming units per gram of soil. Percentages of dead organisms (bacteria and fungi) in each study concentration was determined, which were then converted to probits using Finney's table (Table 2). Regression analysis was done, where the output of probit analysis was used to compare the amount of chemical required to create responces among microorganisms of the various study areas to different concentration of Cd in the culture medium (Vincent,

1980). Areas that reorded smaller values of LC_{50} were considered most toxic as compared to areas that recorded higher values of LC_{50} (Vincent, 1980). Microorganisms in areas that showed higher values of LC_{50} were considered more tolerant to exposure to Cd. LC_{50} was determined by calculating the corresponding x value for a probit of 5.00 and then taking the inverse log of the concentration it is associated with (Vincent, 1980).

$$y=ax+c....(2)$$

Where y=5 From Finney's table; a= Calculated coefficients, x= Unknown value, c = Calculated coefficients , LC_{50} = Antilog of x

	Table 2. Transformation of percentages to probits									
%	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	-	2.67	2.95	3.12	3.25	3.36	3.45	3.52	3.59	3.66
10	3.72	3.77	3.82	3.87	3.92	3.96	4.01	4.05	4.08	4.12
20	4.16	4.19	4.23	4.26	4.29	4.33	4.36	4.39	4.42	4.45
30	4.48	4.50	4.53	4.56	4.59	4.61	4.64	4.67	4.69	4.72
40	4.75	4.77	4.80	4.82	4.85	4.87	4.90	4.92	4.95	4.97
50	5.00	5.03	5.05	5.08	5.10	5.13	5.15	5.18	5.20	5.23
60	5.25	5.28	5.31	5.33	5.36	5.39	5.41	5.44	5.47	5.50
70	5.52	5.55	5.58	5.61	5.64	5.67	5.71	5.74	5.77	5.81
80	5.84	5.88	5.92	5.95	5.99	6.04	6.08	6.13	6.18	6.23
90	6.28	6.34	6.41	6.48	6.55	6.64	6.75	6.88	7.05	7.33
-	0.00	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90
99	7.33	7.37	7.41	7.46	7.51	7.58	7.65	7.75	7.88	8.09

Table 2. Transformation of paraantagas to probits

Finney's table (Finney 1952)

Data was analysed using SPSS, ANOVA was used to determine the difference between the LC_{50} among the study and control sites. Pearsons correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship between the values of LC_{50} and the values of metal contamination in the study sites.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean concentration of Cd in the soils of the study areas ranged between 0.023 ± 0.015 to 0.057 ± 0.012 ppm (Table 3). All the values were within WHO permissible limits of 0.8 ppm but were varied among the study sites. The mean values of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) ranged between 5.09 ± 0.33 to 56.70 ± 44.63 ppm in wet season and 0.00 ± 0.00 to 9261.94 ± 287.67 ppm in the dry season (Table 3). The highest levels of TPH were recorded in A2 with a mean value of 56.70 ± 44.63 ppm in wet season and I3 with 9261.94 ± 287.67 ppm in the dry season.

Area of	Cd (ppm)	TPH (ppm)	TPH (ppm) Dry		
sites		Wet season	season		
CI	0.057 ± 0.012	5.09±0.33	174.16±12.26		
I1	0.037 ± 0.006	7.61±1.38	3483.01±2919.55		
I2	0.040 ± 0.020	8.55 ± 0.09	471.44±57.27		
I3	0.047 ± 0.015	13.89±9.94	9261.94±287.67		
CA	0.043 ± 0.023	15.76 ± 5.77	16.18±0.22		
A1	0.027 ± 0.006	5.52 ± 1.12	0.00 ± 0.00		
A2	0.023 ± 0.015	56.70 ± 44.63	1.45 ± 0.89		
A3	0.037 ± 0.011	6.36 ± 0.06	165.51±20.52		
CU	0.040 ± 0.000	5.16±1.77	236.71±52.57		
U1	0.043 ± 0.006	12.60 ± 5.91	1251.44±529.54		
U2	0.037 ± 0.012	7.20 ± 2.26	2548.59 ± 287.50		
U3	0.027 ± 0.006	7.72 ± 0.25	113.55±55.06		

The values in Table 4 represent the mean values of three independent tests (See supporting data). The reductions in fungi actively replicating and forming colonies as compared to the control showed a reduction in CFUs/g of soil with increase in concentrations of Cd. Results reveal variation in tolerance of fungi to Cd among the study sites. The least lithal concentration 50 (LC₅₀) was observed in CU at 50 ppm and 1.03×10^3 CFUs/g of soil (Table 4). In U1 maximum growth was recorded at 75 ppm, while in CI, I1, I3, U2 and U3 maximum observable growth was observed at 100 ppm. The highest concentration of Cd that showed growth was 150 ppm which was observed at I2, CA, A1, A2 and A3 where the fungal populations ranged between 1.00×10^2 to 1.00×10^2 CFUs/g of soil (Table 4).

The values in Table 5 represent the mean of three independent tests (See supporting data). The reductions in bacteria actively replicating and forming colonies as compared to the control showed a reduction in CFUs/g of soil with increase in concentrations of Cd.

There was variation in maximum tolerable concentrations among bacteria in the study sites ranging from 100 ppm to 150 ppm. Sites I2, CU, U2 and U3 showed growth at a maximum concentration of 100 ppm and the bacterial population ranged between 1.03×10^2 to 1.45×10^2 CFUs/g of soil, while CI, I1, I3, CA, A1, A2, A3 and U1 showed growth at maximum concentration of 150 ppm where the bacterial populations ranged from 3.33×10^1 to 1.47×10^2 CFUs/g of soil (Table 5).

T. I.I. 4. X7

Table 4: Variation in mean fungal CFU/g of soil in increasing concentrations of Cd							
Study	0 ppm	10 ppm	25 ppm	50 ppm	75 ppm	100	150
location						ppm	ppm
CI	5.21×10^{4}	6.37×10 ³	3.50×10^{3}	6.73×10^{2}	2.23×10^{2}	1.35×10^{2}	0
I1	6.17×10^{5}	1.85×10^{4}	2.90×10^{3}	1.33×10^{3}	1.17×10^{3}	1.00×10^{3}	0
I2	1.32×10^{4}	4.23×103	3.00×10 ³	1.83×10^{3}	1.40×10^{3}	1.00×10^{2}	1.00×10^{2}
13	7.50×10^{4}	6.23×104	9.77×10^{3}	5.27×10^{3}	3.50×10^{3}	2.20×10^{3}	0
CA	6.18×10 ³	5.53×10 ³	4.23×103	3.24×10^{3}	2.93×103	2.30×10^{3}	1.00×10^{2}
A1	1.45×10^{5}	9.31×10 ³	2.80×10^{3}	5.97×10^{2}	5.03×10^{2}	1.20×10^{2}	1.00×10^{2}
A2	3.27×10^{4}	2.42×10^{3}	2.13×103	1.37×10^{3}	1.22×10^{3}	1.10×10^{3}	1.00×10^{3}
A3	8.23×10 ³	6.73×10 ³	5.53×10 ³	4.63×10^{3}	4.30×10^{3}	2.87×10^{3}	2.67×10^{2}
CU	7.70×10^{4}	4.73×10 ³	3.14×10^{3}	1.03×10^{3}	0	0	0
U1	1.44×10^{4}	2.98×10^{3}	9.03×10 ²	1.93×10^{2}	1.00×10^{2}	0	0
U2	6.60×10^4	1.17×10^{4}	5.18×10^{3}	3.53×10 ³	2.54×10^{3}	1.03×10^{2}	0
U3	6.23×10^{4}	5.34×10^{4}	5.07×10^{3}	3.02×10^{3}	1.77×10^{3}	1.30×10^{2}	0
Tabl	e 5: Variatio	n in mean ba	cterial CFU/	g of soil in i	ncreasing co	ncentrations	of Cd
Study	0 ppm	10 ppm	25 ppm	50 ppm	75 ppm	100	150
location						ppm	ppm
CI	7.17×10^{7}	2.44×10^{7}	1.66×10^{5}	2.34×10^{4}	9.07×10^{3}	1.67×10^{3}	1.23×10^{2}
I1	1.37×10^{7}	6.13×10 ⁶	1.27×10^{5}	2.05×10^{4}	1.46×10^{3}	9.00×10^{2}	1.03×10^{2}
I2	7.43×10^{6}	9.93×10 ⁵	5.33×10^{4}	3.22×10^{4}	3.00×10^{3}	1.03×10^{2}	0
13	3.37×10^{7}	5.53×10^{6}	1.71×10^{5}	2.60×10^4	2.77×10^{3}	1.49×10^{3}	6.67×10^{1}
CA	6.17×10^{7}	1.29×10^{7}	2.21×10^{5}	1.73×10^{5}	3.33×10 ⁴	3.50×10^{3}	1.47×10^{2}
A1	1.30×10^{6}	8.10×10^{5}	2.16×10^{5}	3.47×10^{4}	6.50×10^{3}	1.88×10^{3}	1.17×10^{2}
A2	7.07×10^{7}	9.90×10^{6}	3.84×10^{5}	3.18×10^{4}	2.34×10^{3}	1.60×10^{2}	6.33×10 ¹
A3	5.73×10 ⁷	7.23×10^{6}	1.69×10^{5}	1.40×10^{5}	2.50×10^{4}	1.93×10^{3}	4.00×10^{1}
CU	3.33×10 ⁶	5.50×10 ⁵	1.32×10^{5}	4.80×10^{4}	2.20×10^{4}	1.19×10^{3}	0
U1	4.57×10^{7}	1.67×10^{7}	2.01×10^{5}	1.40×10^{5}	1.35×10^{4}	1.61×10^{3}	3.33×101

The values in Table 6 represent the mean (±SD) of three independent LC50 tests. The values ranged from 0.47±0.06 ppm to 33.90±18.69 ppm of Cd for fungi and 0.48±0.35 ppm to 1.17±0.70 ppm of Cd for bacteria. Fungi showed higher tiolerance to Cd with CA and A3 recording 28.19±25.03 ppm and 33.90±18.69 ppm respectively (Table 6). There was significant difference in LC50 for fungi among the study sites, p=0.001, however there was no significant difference in LC₅₀ for bacteria among the study and control sites p=0.999 (Table 6).

1.88×107

1.96×107

5.30×10⁶

5.67×10⁶

1.03×105

1.69×10⁵

1.32×104

 1.35×10^{4}

1.91×103

3.30×10³

U2

U3

There was significant difference in LC50 among the study areas (urban, industrial and agricultural) and control areas, ANOVA, F(5,30) = 3.297 p = 0.017 for fungi and no significance for bacteria ANOVA, F(5,30) = 0.246 p = 0.939. Pearson Correlation analysis of metals in the study sites against LC50 of Cd reveal that there was significant relationship between LC_{50} for bacteria and TPH (r=0.30). The LC_{50} for fungi in agricultural area was 11.96±18.93 ppm as compared to higher value in control site 28.19±25.02 ppm (Figure 1). The LC_{50} for bacteria in agricultural area was 1.07±0.65 ppm as compared to lower value in control site 0.83±0.44ppm (Figure 1). The LC₅₀ for fungi in industrial area was 2.11±1.81 ppm as

compared to lower value in control site 0.82±0.08 ppm. The LC₅₀ for bacteria in industrial area was 0.99±0.48 ppm as compared to higher value in control site 1.17 ± 0.70 ppm. The LC₅₀ for fungi in urban area was 1.37±0.52 ppm as compared to lower value in control site 0.93±0.49 ppm. The LC₅₀ for bacteria in urban area was 0.92±0.43 ppm as compared to lower value in control site 0.85±0.24 ppm (Figure 1).

0

0

 1.07×10^{2}

 1.45×10^{2}

Table 6: Variation in LC₅₀ values for fungi and bacteria exposed to Cd among the test and control sites

Sampling	Fungi	Bacteria
Site	-	
CI	0.82 ± 0.08	1.17±0.70
I1	0.47 ± 0.06	0.48 ± 0.35
I2	2.71±2.32	1.05 ± 0.72
13	$3.14{\pm}1.26$	0.97 ± 0.54
CA	28.19 ± 25.03	0.83±0.44
A1	0.69 ± 0.45	1.12 ± 0.54
A2	1.27 ± 0.06	1.14 ± 0.91
A3	33.90±18.69	0.95 ± 0.72
CU	0.93 ± 0.49	0.85±0.24
U1	0.87 ± 0.15	0.82 ± 0.36
U2	1.22 ± 0.16	1.09 ± 0.54
U3	2.01±0.17	0.86 ± 0.48
F	F(11,24) =	F(11,24) =
	4.949	0.151
df	35	35
P Value	0.001	0.999



Fig 1: Levels of LC₅₀ on fungi and bacteria in agricultural, industrial and urban areas (CdTF is Cadmium Tolerant Fungi, CdTB is Cadmium Tolerant Bacteria)

This study is an ecotoxicological assessement that monitors impacts of preexposure soil fungi and bacteria to Cd pollution by using bioassay with mixed fungi and bacteria in natural terrestrial ecosystems. This bioassay uses inability of bacterial and fungal cultures to survive in varied concentrations of Cd. The approach of the study is a modification of that of (Rotini et al., 2017) who used Vibrio anguillarum to evaluate acute toxicity of environmental contaminants in marine ecosystem. As opposed to Rotini et al., (2017), the current study used mixed bacterial and fungal populations to assess the impact of pollutants on soil ecosystem integrity. As per the findings in Table 4 (CA and A3), there were detectable CFUs/g of soil at 150 ppm ranging from 1.00×10^2 to 1.00×10^3 , an indication that there are fungi in the area that are associated with tolerance in cadmium (Cd). Areas CA and A3 showed LC50 of 28.19±25.03 ppm and 33.90±18.69 ppm respectively for fungi, making the two areas as the areas with fungi that is tolerant to high Cd levels. The areas are characterised with petroleum flow stations where presence of Cd in the soils can be associated with leaks or spillage of petroleum and petroleum products (Osuji & Onojake, 2004), however, this was refuted by the findings of this study. Cadmium can also be associated with natural occurrence which maybe the case in the current study. Further, it can be associated with mining and smelting (Zhou et al., 2018). Accumulation of cadmium in the environment can also be attributed to application of phosphate fertilizers in farms (Schipper et al., 2011; Smolders and Mertens, 2013; Reiser et al., 2014; Salmanzadeh et al., 2016; Salmanzadeh et al., 2017) which has extensively been used in agricultural production. As Cd is higher in agricultural areas, this can be the modest explanation of the findings in the study areas. In order to understand the fate and impact of Cd in the soil ecosystem integrity, it is necessary to

identify areas with high vulnerability and possible sources of Cd in the study areas. Maximum tolerable concentration of Cd in the current study was 150 ppm, which was an indication that fungi and bacteria in the study areas could tolerate high levels of Cd. Microbial populations are varied among different locations and research reveals that increased activity can be related to zones with elevated particulate organic matter, animal manure, in rhizospheres, and growth factors (Sexstone et al., 1985; Parkin, 1987; Petersen et al., 1996; Lynch, 1990; Pinton et al., 2001; Renella, 2017). The findings of this study are in agreement with those of Bin, (2018), who reports decrease in microbial diversity and microbial enzyme activities with increase in concentration of Cd. There were significant correlation between LC₅₀ for Cd and TPH (r=0.30). The correlation suggests that TPH is a source of Cd in the soils and contributes to the development of Cd tolerance among fungi and bacteria in the study areas. However, this was to a small extent. Currently in Nigeria, there is scarce knowledge on the quantity of Cd that is being released into the environment. Estimates by Agency for Toxic Sabstance and Disease Agency, (ATSDR, 2012) show that 115 tons of Cd were released into the soils of US in 2009. Without close monitoring agencies in Africa, it is difficult to have a clear record of magnitude of pollution be Cd and its influence on soil microorganisms. Therefore, the findings of this study are relevant for future monitoring of Cadmium (Cd) levels in the study areas. The findings of this study reveals that microbial activity is severely affected by Cd among the study areas and is highest in the agricultural areas. It is concluded that agricultural areas (CA and A3 {Emuoha}) among the study areas as vulnerable to pollution with Cd while the industrial areas receive higher concentrations of TPH specifically over the dry season. The study concludes

that fungi in the agricultural areas have higher tolerance to Cd as compared to those in industrial and urban areas and as compared to bacteria. Further, the study concludes that TPH is not a contributing factor to contamination of the environment with Cd in the current study sites as the high values of TPH over the dry season did not show significant correlation to concentration of Cd. Bacteria in all study areas are intolerant to Cd intoxication and are vulnerable to low doses of Cd which is a health risk. Determination of Lethal Concentration 50 (LC₅₀) is a robust populationbased method of environmental monitoring, which can be a crucial indicator of endangered habitats.

Acknowledgement: This work was carried out within the PhD Program of World Bank African Centre of Excellence for Oilfield Chemicals Research. The authors acknowledge the Regional Universities Forum for Capacity Building in Agriculture (RUFORUM) through Prof. Ikechukwu O. Agbagwa of University of Port Harcourt for their continual mentorship and financial support under the Carnegie Post-Doctoral funding (Grant number – RU 2017 TQA 28).

REFERENCE

- Bin Wu, Siyu Hou, Dinghua Peng, Ying Wang, Can Wang, Fei Xu, Heng Xu (2018). Response of soil micro-ecology to different levels of cadmium in alkaline soil. *Ecotox. Environ. Saf. 166* (5), 116– 122
- Bouskill NJ, Barker-Finkel J, Galloway TS, Handy RD, Ford TE (2010). Temporal bacterial diversity associated with metal-contaminated river sediments. *Ecotoxicology* 19, 317–328
- BP (2015). BP Energy Outlook 2035. London, United Kingdom.
- Egborge AB (1991). Industrialization and Heavy Metal Pollution in Warri River. 32nd inaugural lecture. University of Benin, Benin-City, Nigeria, pp. 22.
- Herbert IN, Svendsen C, Hankard PK, Spurgeon DJ. (2004). Comparison of instantaneous rate of population increase and critical-effect estimates in Folsomia candida exposed to four toxicants. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 57:175–183.
- Kuhn A, Munns Jr WR, Poucher S, Champlin D, Lussier S. (2000). Prediction of population-level response from mysid toxicity test data using population modeling techniques. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 19:2364–2371.

- Li S, Xu Q, Ma B, Guo L, She Z, Zhao Y, Gao M, Jin C, Dong J, Wan Y (2017). Performance evaluation and microbial community of a sequencing batch reactor under divalent cadmium (Cd [II]) stress. *Chem. Eng. J.* 336, 325–333.
- Lima CS, Lima RO, Silva EFB, Castro KKV, Chiavone FO (2014). Analysis of Petroleum Oily Sludge Produced from Oil-Water Separator. Rev. Virtual Quim 6: 1160-1171
- Liu K, Lv JL, He WX, Zhang H, Cao YF, Dai Y (2015). Major factors influencing cadmium uptake from the soil into wheat plants. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 113, 207–213
- Luo G, Li B, Li LG, Zhang T, Angelidaki I (2017). Antibiotic Resistance Genes and Correlations with Microbial Community and Metal Resistance Genes in Full-Scale Biogas Reactors As Revealed by Metagenomic Analysis. *Environmental Science* and Technology, 51(7), 4069-4080
- Lynch JM (1990). Microbial metabolites. Pp. 177-206 in J. M. Lynch (ed.), The rhizosphere. *John Wiley* & Sons, Chichester, England.
- Manguilimotan LC, Bitacura JG (2018). Biosorption of Cadmium by Filamentous Fungi Isolated from Coastal Water and Sediments.
- Mohamed N, Shaala A, Zahmir S, Ismail A (2015). Lethal concentration 50 (LC₅₀) and effects of Diuron on morphology of brine shrimp Artemia salina (Branchiopoda : Anostraca) Nauplii. *Procedia Environ. Sci.* 30, 279–284.
- Mortensen LH, Rønn R, Vestergård M (2018). Bioaccumulation of cadmium in soil organisms – With focus on wood ash application. *Ecotoxic. Environ. Safety.* 156 452–462
- Nkongolo KK (2017). Bacterial and fungal community structure and diversity in a mining region under long-term metal exposure revealed by meta- genomics sequencing. *Ecol. Genet. Genom.* 2, 13–14.
- Nwabunike MO (2016). The Effects of Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals on Fish Fin Over Two Years. J Fisheries Livest Prod 4:170.
- Osuji LC, Onojake CM (2004). Trace Heavy Metals Associated with Crude Oil: A Case Study of Ebocha-8 Oil-Spill-Polluted Site in Niger Delta, Nigeria of normal geological phenomena such as

ore formation, weathering of rocks, and excessive release of these metals into the environment include burning of fossil fuels, 1, 1708–1715

- Pan LB, Ma J, Wang XL, Hou H (2016). Heavy metals in soils from a typical county in Shanxi Province, China: levels, sources and spatial distribution. *Chemosphere* 148, 248–254.
- Parkin TB (1987). Soil microsites as a source of denitrification variability. Soil Science Society Am. J. 51, 1194–1199
- Petersen SO, Nielsen TH, Frostegård Å, Olesen T (1996). Q2 uptake, C metabolism and denitrification associated with manure hot-spots. *Soil Bio. Biochem.* 28, 341–349
- Pinton R, Varanini Z, Nannipieri P (2001). The Rhizosphere: Bio- chemistry and Organic Substances at the Soil – Plant Interface. *Marcel Dekker, New York*
- Renella G (2017). Landmark Papers Microbial diversity and soil functions. 12–26
- Rotini A, Manfra L, Spanu F, Pisapia M, Cicero AM, Migliore L (2017). Ecotoxicological Method with Marine Bacteria Vibrio anguillarum to Evaluate the Acute Toxicity of Environmental Contaminants. J. Visualized Experiments, (123), 1–5
- Salmanzadeh M, Balks MR, Hartland A, Schipper LA (2016). Geoderma Regional Cadmium accumulation in three contrasting New Zealand soils with the same phosphate fertilizer history. *GEODRS*, 7(3), 271–278
- Salmanzadeh M, Schipper LA, Balks MR, Hartland A, Mudge PL (2017). Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment The e ff ect of irrigation on cadmium , uranium, and phosphorus contents in agricultural soils. *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.* 247 (11) 84–90

- Sexstone AJ, Revsbech NP, Parkin TB, Tiedje JM (1985). Direct measurement of oxygen profiles and denitrification rates in soil aggre- gates. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 49, 645–651
- Sheeba VA, Abdulaziz A, Gireeshkumar TR, Ram A, Rakesh PS, Jasmin C, Parameswaran PS (2017). Role of heavy metals in structuring the microbial com- munity associated with particulate matter in a tropical estuary. *Environ. Pollut.* 231, 589–600
- Stark JD, Tanigoshi L, Bounfour M, Antonelli A. (1997). Reproductive potential: Its influence on the susceptibility of a species to pesticides. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 37:273–279.
- Thangavel B, Palaniappan K, Manickavasagam Pillai K, Subbarayalu M, Madhaiyan R (2013). Pathogenicity, ovicidal action, and median lethal concentrations (LC₅₀) of entomopathogenic fungi against exotic spiralling whitefly, Aleurodicus dispersus Russell. J. Pathogens, 393787.
- Unimke AA, Mmuoegbulam AO, Bassey IU, Obot SE (2017). Assessment of the Microbial Diversity of Spent-Oil Contaminated Soil in Calabar, Nigeria. *J. Adv. Microbiol.* 4(4), 1–9

Vincent BK (1980) Probit Analysis.

- Wanjala MP, Tsingalia H, Makatiani J, Maithya J, Wabusya M (2017). Underground Water in Kenya: Abstraction, Pollution and Societal Demand; A Case Study of Wells in Three Peri-Urban Areas of Eldoret Town is Used to Illustrate this Scenario. J. Biol. Nature, 7(3), 137-153
- Wu B, Hou S, Peng D, Wang Y, Wang C, Xu F, Xu H (2018). Response of soil micro-ecology to different levels of cadmium in alkaline soil. *Ecotoxic. Environ. Safety*, 166 (May), 116–122
- Zhou Z, Chen Z, Pan H, Sun B, Zeng D, He L, Zhou G (2018). Cadmium contamination in soils and crops in four mining areas, China. J. Geochemical Exploration. 192(2017), 72–84.