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# $L^{2}$ regularity of measurable solutions of a finite-difference equation of the circle $\dagger$ 

MICHAEL ROBERT HERMAN<br>Mathematics Institute, Warwick University, UK<br>and<br>Centre de Mathematiques, Ecole Polytechnique, Plateau de Palaiseau, 91120 Palaiseau, France

We show that if $\varphi$ is a lacunary Fourier series and the equation $\psi(x)-\psi(x+\alpha)=$ $\varphi(x), x \bmod 1$ has a measurable solution $\varphi$, then in fact the equation has a solution in $L^{2}$.
(1) We consider the circle $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ and the translations (or rotations) $R_{\alpha}=x \rightarrow$ $x+\alpha(\alpha \in \mathbb{T})$.

For $1 \leq p \leq+\infty$, let $L^{p}=L^{p}(\mathbb{T}, d x, \mathbb{C})$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p}$. The only measure considered is the Haar measure of $\mathbb{T}, d x=m$. All equalities are to be considered $m$-almost everywhere.
(2) Let $\varphi \in L^{1}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$; we try to solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi-\psi \circ R_{\alpha}=\varphi \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\psi$ measurable and the equality almost everywhere.
If one supposes that $\psi$ is in $L^{1}$, then by identification of Fourier coefficients if

$$
\varphi(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\varphi}(k) e^{2_{x i k x}}
$$

then one has

$$
\psi(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\hat{\varphi}(k)}{1-e^{2_{\pi} i k \alpha}} e^{2 \pi i k x},
$$

(with the convention that $0 / 0=0$ ). (Of course one has $0=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(x) d x$ ).
(3) The case when $a=p / q(\bmod 1),(p, q)=1$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for measurable solutions to $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \varphi \circ R_{i \alpha}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (1) is satisfied then the equation $(*)$ has solutions just as regular as is $\varphi$.
$\dagger$ This work of Michel Herman appeared only as a preprint of the Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, dated May 1976. It was turned into $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ format by Claire Desescures. Minor editorial work was done by Albert Fathi.
(4) The case when $\alpha$ is irrational. It is easy (by Fourier series) to construct $\varphi \in L^{1}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(x) d x=0$ and an irrational $\alpha$ such that the equation $(*)$ has no solution in $L^{1}$. By the ergodicity of $R_{\alpha}$, measurable solutions of $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ differ by a constant.

If one looks for solutions of $(*)$ which are only measurable then Anosov has shown that one has necessarily

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(x) d x=0 \quad\left(\text { for } \varphi \in L^{1}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, Anosov has constructed $\varphi \in C^{\omega}(\mathbb{T})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(x) d x=0$ and an irrational $\alpha$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \neq 0}\left|\frac{\hat{\varphi}(k)}{1-e^{2 \pi i k \alpha}}\right|=+\infty
$$

but nevertheless the equation $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ has a measurable solution $\psi$ (of course not in $L^{1}$ ) (see [1]).

We will show that the examples of Anosov cannot happen when $\varphi$ is a lacunary Fourier series.

It is then easy to construct a $\varphi$ with $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(x) d x=0$ and an irrational $\alpha$ such that the equation $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ has no measurable solution $\psi$ (since there is no $L^{2}$ solution).

For other examples see [6].
(5) Let $\Lambda_{+}=n_{i}$ be a lacunary sequence of positive integers: $n_{0}=1$ and $n_{n+1} / n_{i} \geq q>1$ for all $i$.

Let $\Lambda=\Lambda_{+} \cup\{0\} \cup\left(-\Lambda_{+}\right)$be the symmetric sequence of integers.
One denotes

$$
L_{\Lambda}^{p}=\left\{\varphi \in L^{p} \mid \hat{\varphi}(n)=0 \text { if } n \notin \Lambda\right\} .
$$

One says that $\varphi \in L^{1}$ is a lacunary Fourier series if there exists a lacunary sequence $\Lambda$ as above such that $\varphi \in L_{\Lambda}^{1}$. Then one has, for all $1 \leq p<+\infty, \varphi \in L_{\Lambda}^{p}$; and all the norms $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ are equivalent on $L_{\Lambda}^{2}$ (see [5]).
(6) We propose to prove the following.

ThEOREM. Let $\varphi \in L_{\Lambda}^{2}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. If the equation

$$
(*) \psi-\psi \circ R_{\alpha}=\varphi
$$

has a measurable solution $\psi$, then the equation has a solution in $L_{\Lambda}^{2}$ and if $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}-\mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ then in fact, by the ergodicity of $R_{\alpha}, \psi \in L_{\Lambda}^{2}$.

To prove the theorem one needs the following lemmas.

LEMMA. Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a bijection preserving the Haar measure $m$.
Let $K$ be a measurable set of $\mathbb{T}$. Let $\epsilon>0$ and the set of integers

$$
A=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m\left(K \cap f^{n}(K)\right) \geq m(K)^{2}-\epsilon\right\}
$$

The set of integers $A$ is relatively dense: there exists a positive integer $k$, such that $\{j, \ldots, j+k\} \cap A \neq \phi$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a proof see [3, p. 31].
(8)

Lemma $\dagger$. Let $L_{\Lambda}^{2}$ be given. There exist constants $C>0$ and $b(0<b<1)$ such that if $B \subset \mathbb{T}$ is measurable with $m(B) \geq b$, then for all $\varphi \in L_{\Lambda}^{2}$ one has

$$
C\left(\int_{B}|\varphi(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \geq\|\varphi\|_{2} .
$$

Proof. Let $0<a<1$ and $\varphi \in L_{\Lambda}^{2}$ with $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$. Let

$$
A(\varphi) \equiv A=\{x \in \mathbb{T}| | \varphi(x) \mid \geq a\}
$$

We have $\|\varphi\|_{2}^{2}=1=\int_{\mathbb{T}-A}|\varphi(x)|^{2} d x+\int_{A}|\varphi(x)|^{2} d x \leq a^{2}+\int_{A}|\varphi(x)|^{2} d x$.
One has by the Hölder inequality

$$
1 \leq\|\varphi\|_{4}(m(A))^{1 / 4}+a .
$$

Since the norms $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{4}$ are equivalent on $L_{\Lambda}^{2}$, one has $\|\cdot\|_{4} \leq k\|\cdot\|_{2}, k$ being a constant greater than 1 .

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(A) \geq\left(\frac{1-a}{k}\right)^{4} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

choose

$$
b=1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-a}{k}\right)^{4}
$$

If $B \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $m(B) \geq b$ and if $\varphi \in L_{\Lambda}^{2}$ with $\|\varphi\|_{2}=1$, we have

$$
m(A(\varphi) \cap B) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-a}{k}\right)^{4}
$$

by (2), so

$$
\int_{B}|\varphi(x)|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{2} a^{2}\left(\frac{1-a}{k}\right)^{4}=\left(\frac{1}{C}\right)^{2}
$$

The result follows by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\int_{B}|\varphi(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \geq\|\varphi\|_{2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma. Let $\varphi \in L^{2}$. A necessary and sufficient condition for a $\psi \in L^{2}$ that verifies $\psi-\psi \circ R_{\alpha}=\varphi$ to exist is that $\sup _{n \in N}\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{2}<+\infty$ with $\varphi_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ R_{i \alpha}$.

For the proof see [4]. In fact it results from the more general lemma, which uses the fact that the unit ball of a reflexive Banach space is weakly compact, and the Markov-Kakutani fixed point theorem (affine version).

[^0]Lemma. Let $L$ be a reflexive Banach space of norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $u: L \rightarrow L$ a continuous linear operator. Given $x \in L$, a sufficient condition for the existence of a $y \in L$ satisfying $y-u(y)=x$ to exist is that

$$
\sup _{n \in N}\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u^{i}(x)\right\|<+\infty
$$

the condition is necessary if $\sup _{n \in N}\left\|u^{n}\right\|<+\infty$.
(10) Proof of the theorem. Let $L_{\Lambda}^{2}$ be given and be determined by item (8) (and that depends on $\Lambda$ ).

Let $\epsilon>0$ with $(1-\epsilon)^{2}-\epsilon \geq b$.
One starts with a measurable solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi-\psi \circ R_{\alpha}=\varphi \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varphi \in L_{\Lambda}^{2}$. There exists a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{T}$ of measure $\geq 1-\epsilon$, such that $\psi_{\mid K}$ is continuous. By (*) one has

$$
\psi-\psi \circ R_{n \alpha}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ R_{i \alpha} \equiv \varphi_{n}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left(\int_{K \cap R_{n \alpha}(K)}\left|\varphi_{n}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 2 \sup _{x \in K}|\psi(x)|<+\infty
$$

Let $A=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m\left(K \cap R_{n \alpha}(K)\right) \geq(1-\epsilon)^{2}-\epsilon \geq b\right\}$. By item (7), the subset $A$ is a relatively dense sequence of integers, and let $k$ be the integer of (7). Let $B=\{-k,-k+1, \ldots, k\}$. Since $\varphi_{n} \in L_{\Lambda}^{2}$ by (8) one has

$$
\sup _{n \in A}\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{2}=C_{1}<+\infty
$$

Let $C_{2}=\sup _{n \in B}\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{2}<+\infty$. Since every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be written as $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$ with $n_{1} \in A$ and $n_{2} \in B$ and if $n_{1}$, and $n_{2}$ are positive integers, we have

$$
\varphi_{n_{1}+n_{2}}=\varphi_{n_{1}} \circ R_{n_{2} \alpha}+\varphi_{n_{2}} ;
$$

finally we deduce that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{1}+C_{2}
$$

and the theorem results from (9).
(11) From the theorem we deduce the following: if $\varphi \in L_{\alpha}^{2}, \alpha$ is irrational, and $\psi$ is measurable and satisfies $\psi-\psi \circ R_{\alpha}=\varphi$, then $\psi \in L^{p}$ for every $1 \leq p<+\infty$ since $\psi$ is a lacunary Fourier series. In general, $\psi \notin L^{\infty}$ even if $\varphi$ is of class $C^{\omega}$ as we will show by a classical example.

Construction of an irrational $\alpha$. Let $\alpha=1 /\left(a_{1}+\left(1 /\left(a_{2}+\cdots\right)\right)\right)$ be the continued fraction of an irrational $\alpha\left(a_{i} \geq 1, a_{i} \in \mathbb{N}\right)$.

If $p_{n} / q_{n}$ are the convergents of $\alpha$, one has $q_{0}=1, q_{1}=a_{1}$ and $q_{n}=a_{n} q_{n-1}+q_{n-2}$, if $n \geq 2$. If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\|x\|$ is the distance of $x$ to the nearest integer, one has

$$
\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\|<\frac{1}{q_{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{a_{n+1} q_{n}}
$$

If one chooses the sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)$ so that it increases sufficiently rapidly, one easily constructs an irrational $\alpha$ such that, for every $n \geq 2$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q_{n} \alpha\right\| \leq e^{-q_{n}} . \tag{+}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us remark that, for every irrational $\alpha,\left(q_{2 n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a lacunary sequence of positive integer (in fact we have $q_{2 n+2} / q_{2 n} \geq 2$ and also $q_{2 n+1} / q_{2 n-1} \geq 2$ ).

Construction of $\varphi$. Let $n \geq 1$ be a sequence of complex numbers satisfying

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{2 n}\right|^{2}<+\infty \quad \text { but } \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{2 n}\right|=+\infty
$$

Let $\varphi(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{2 n}\left(1-e^{2 \pi i q_{2 n} \alpha}\right) e^{2 \pi i q_{2 n} x}$.
If $\alpha$ satisfies (+), then $\varphi \in C^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$ (and one has $0=\int_{\mathbb{T}} \varphi(x) d x$ ).
Let $\psi(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{2 n} e^{2 \pi i q_{2 n} x}$; one has $\psi \in L^{2}$ (and $\psi$ is a lacunary Fourier series).
Furthermore, one has

$$
\psi-\psi \circ R_{\alpha}=\varphi .
$$

But $\psi \notin L^{\infty}$, for if this was the case then, since $\psi$ is a lacunary Fourier series, we would have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{2 n}\right|<+\infty$, which is contrary to the choice of the sequence ( $c_{2 n}$ ) (see [5]).
(12) We have shown a proposition in [2] that implies the following remark.

Remark. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous (but not necessarily lacunary) and $\alpha$ irrational. We suppose that there exists $\psi \in L^{\infty}$ with $\psi-\psi \circ R_{\alpha}=\varphi$; then $\psi$ is almost everywhere equal to a continuous function.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ I thank Y. Meyer who brought to my attention the fact that Carleson has proved a stronger lemma (unfortunately unpublished): For every $B$ with $m(B)>0$ there exists $C(m(B), q)>0$ such that one has the conclusion of the lemma. I thank B. Maurey for the proof proposed.

