



5-2015

The Crystal Structure of Nitrosomonas Europaea Sucrose Synthase Reveals Critical Conformational Changes and Insights into the Sucrose Metabolism in Prokaryotes

Rui Wu

Matías D. Asención Diez

Carlos M. Figueroa
Loyola University Chicago

Matías Machtey

Alberto A. Iglesias

See next page for additional authors

Author Manuscript

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Recommended Citation

Wu, Rui; Asención Diez, Matías D.; Figueroa, Carlos M.; Machtey, Matías; Iglesias, Alberto A.; Ballicora, Miguel; and Liu, Dali. The Crystal Structure of Nitrosomonas Europaea Sucrose Synthase Reveals Critical Conformational Changes and Insights into the Sucrose Metabolism in Prokaryotes. *Journal of Bacteriology*, , : 1-54, 2015. Retrieved from Loyola eCommons, Chemistry: Faculty Publications and Other Works, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00110-15>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Publications at Loyola eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry: Faculty Publications and Other Works by an authorized administrator of Loyola eCommons. For more information, please contact ecommons@luc.edu.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).
© American Society for Microbiology, 2015.

Authors

Rui Wu, Matías D. Asención Díez, Carlos M. Figueroa, Matías Machtey, Alberto A. Iglesias, Miguel Ballicora, and Dali Liu

1 **The crystal structure of *Nitrosomonas europaea* sucrose synthase reveals critical**
2 **conformational changes and insights into the sucrose metabolism in prokaryotes**

3

4 Rui Wu,^a Matías D. Asención Diez,^{a,b} Carlos M. Figueroa,^{a,b} Matías Machtey,^b Alberto A.
5 Iglesias,^b Miguel A. Ballicora,^a and Dali Liu^{a#}

6

7 Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago,
8 Illinois, USA^a; Instituto de Agrobiotecnología del Litoral, Universidad Nacional del
9 Litoral and Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Centro
10 Científico Tecnológico Santa Fe, Santa Fe, Argentina^b

11

12 Running Head: Crystal structure of a prokaryotic sucrose synthase

13

14 #Address correspondence to Dali Liu, dliu@luc.edu

15

16 R.W., M.D.A.D. and C.M.F. contributed equally to this work

17

18

19

20 **ABSTRACT**

21 In this paper we report the first crystal structure of a prokaryotic sucrose synthase
22 from the non-photosynthetic bacterium *Nitrosomonas europaea*. The obtained structure
23 was in an open form, whereas the only other available structure from the plant
24 *Arabidopsis thaliana* was in a closed conformation. Comparative structural analysis
25 revealed a “hinge-latch” combination, which is critical to transition between the open and
26 closed forms of the enzyme. The *N. europaea* sucrose synthase shares the same fold as
27 the GT-B family of the retaining glycosyltransferases. In addition, a triad of conserved
28 homologous catalytic residues in the family showed to be functionally critical in the *N.*
29 *europaea* sucrose synthase (Arg567, Lys572, Glu663). This implies that sucrose synthase
30 shares not only a common origin with the GT-B family, but also a similar catalytic
31 mechanism. The enzyme preferred transferring glucose from ADP-glucose rather than
32 UDP-glucose like the eukaryotic counterparts. This predicts that these prokaryotic
33 organisms have a different sucrose metabolic scenario from plants. Nucleotide preference
34 determines where the glucose moiety is targeted after sucrose is degraded.

35 **IMPORTANCE**

36 We obtained biochemical and structural evidence of sucrose metabolism in non-
37 photosynthetic bacteria. Until now, only sucrose synthases from photosynthetic
38 organisms have been characterized. Here, we provide the crystal structure of the sucrose
39 synthase from the chemo-litho-autotroph *N. europaea*. The structure supported that the
40 enzyme functions with an open/close induced fit mechanism. The enzyme prefers as
41 substrate adenine-based nucleotides rather than uridine-based like the eukaryotic
42 counterparts, implying a strong connection between sucrose and glycogen metabolism in

43 these bacteria. Mutagenesis data showed that the catalytic mechanism must be conserved
44 not only in sucrose synthases, but also in all other retaining GT-B glycosyltransferases.
45

46 **INTRODUCTION**

47 In plants, sucrose is a major photosynthetic product and plays a key role not only
48 for carbon partition but also in sugar sensing, development, and regulation of gene
49 expression (1-3). It was first thought that sucrose metabolism was a characteristic of
50 plants but it was later found in other oxygenic photosynthetic organisms (4, 5). In the last
51 decade, Salerno and coworkers demonstrated the importance of sucrose for carbon and
52 nitrogen fixation in filamentous cyanobacteria (6, 7). More recently, genomic and
53 phylogenetic analyses revealed the existence of sucrose-related genes in non-
54 photosynthetic prokaryotes such as proteobacteria, firmicutes, and planctomycetes (4, 5,
55 8). It has been suggested that these organisms acquired the genes of sucrose metabolism
56 by horizontal gene transfer (4, 5, 8). However, analysis of the enzymes encoded by such
57 genes is currently lacking.

58 *Nitrosomonas europaea* is a chemo-litho-autotrophic bacterium that obtains
59 energy by oxidizing ammonia to hydroxylamine and nitrite in presence of oxygen (9). It
60 is a member of the β -proteobacteria group with a putative photosynthetic ancestor (10).
61 *N. europaea* has potential for many biotechnological applications, including
62 bioremediation of water contaminated with chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons (11) or
63 ammonia, in combination with *Paracoccus denitrifi* (9). *N. europaea* displays some
64 metabolic resemblance to photosynthetic organisms, but with marked differences. For
65 instance, it possesses all the coding genes for enzymes of the Calvin-Benson cycle, but
66 with two exceptions that could be replaced by other glycolytic enzymes (12). All the
67 genes coding for enzymes from the tricarboxylic acid cycle were found in *N. europaea*
68 (12); however, activity of α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase is non-detectable (13).

69 The evidence from genomic studies suggests that *N. europaea* can synthesize
70 sucrose (12); however, the biochemical properties of enzymes from sucrose metabolism
71 have not been characterized. Generally, in plants, sucrose is synthesized from UDP-
72 glucose (UDP-Glc) and fructose-6-phosphate (Fru-6P) in a reaction catalyzed by sucrose-
73 6-phosphate synthase (EC 2.4.1.14), followed by removal of the phosphate group by
74 sucrose-6-phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.24). The disaccharide can be degraded to Glc and Fru
75 by invertases (EC 3.2.1.26) or cleaved by UDP to form UDP-Glc and Fru by sucrose
76 synthase (NDP-glucose:D-fructose 2- α -D-glucosyltransferase, EC 2.4.1.13, also
77 abbreviated as SUS or SuSy) (2, 3). However, some plant sucrose synthases have a
78 certain degree of substrate promiscuity (14-21) while the one from *Thermosynechococcus*
79 *elongatus* prefers ADP (16). For that reason, a general reversible reaction could be
80 written as:



82 Besides its physiological role, sucrose synthase catalyzes a reversible reaction and
83 its activity can be measured in both directions *in vitro*. In filamentous cyanobacteria, the
84 products derived from sucrose cleavage contribute to other biological processes, such as
85 polysaccharides synthesis (22). Therefore, understanding the catalysis and the regulation
86 of sucrose synthase is of great significance. Recently, Zheng et al. (23) reported the
87 crystal structure of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* sucrose synthase in complex with UDP and
88 fructose in a closed conformation. This enzyme is a homotetramer composed of four
89 identical subunits of ~90 kDa and belongs to group 4 of the GT-B retaining
90 glycosyltransferase family (<http://www.cazy.org/GlycosylTransferases.html>) (24). A S_Ni -
91 like reaction mechanism has been proposed for this enzyme family (23-25).

92 Although several cyanobacterial (8, 16, 19) and plant (14, 17, 26-29) sucrose
93 synthases have been characterized, the enzyme from non-photosynthetic bacteria has
94 never been studied and no structural information of any sucrose synthase from bacterial
95 sources is available. In this work we report the recombinant expression and biochemical
96 characterization of *N. europaea* sucrose synthase and its crystal structure. We also
97 determined the catalytic implications of highly conserved residues and the specificity for
98 nucleotide substrates.

99

100 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

101 **Materials**

102 Chemicals and coupled enzymes used for activity assays were from Sigma-
103 Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) cells were purchased from New
104 England BioLabs (Ipswich, MA). Bacterial growth media and antibiotics were from
105 Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA) and Sigma-Aldrich. Crystallization screen solutions and
106 other supplies were purchased from Hampton Research (Aliso Viejo, CA) and Emerald
107 Bio (Bedford, MA). All the other chemicals were of the highest quality available.

108 **Cloning**

109 The sequence coding for the sucrose synthase from *N. europaea* (gene *ss2*,
110 accession: CAD85125.1) was amplified by PCR using genomic DNA from *N. europaea*
111 ATCC 19718 as template, the specific oligonucleotides
112 CATATGACCACGATTGACACACTCGCCACCTGTACCC (forward, *NdeI* site
113 underlined) and GTCGACTCATATCTCATGGGCCAGCCTGTTTGCCAGCGGCC
114 (reverse, *SalI* site underlined) as primers, and Phusion HF DNA polymerase (Thermo

115 Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL) following the manufacturer's instructions. The program
116 used included an initial denaturation of 30 s at 98 °C; 30 cycles of 98 °C for 5 s, 50 °C
117 for 20 s, and 72 °C for 2 min; and a final extension of 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR product
118 was purified after agarose gel electrophoresis and inserted into the pSC-B vector using
119 the StrataClone Blunt PCR cloning kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA).
120 Sequence identity was checked by automated DNA sequencing at CRC (Comprehensive
121 Cancer Center at University of Chicago, IL). Afterwards, the sequence was subcloned
122 into the pET28c vector (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) between *NdeI* and *SalI* sites
123 to obtain pNESS2, which is the plasmid that encodes the recombinant *N. europaea*
124 sucrose synthase with an N-terminal His₆-tag.

125 **Site-directed mutagenesis**

126 Site-directed mutagenesis was performed by PCR overlap extension as previously
127 described using Phusion DNA polymerase (30, 31). The plasmid encoding the *N.*
128 *europaea* sucrose synthase (pNESS2) was used as a template for mutagenesis.

129 To introduce mutations in pNESS2 we used the following primers:

130 TTTACCATGGCGgcgCTGGATCGGATC (forward) and

131 GATCCGATCCAGgcgCGCCATGGTAAA (reverse) for mutant R567A;

132 CTGGATCGGATCgcgAACATTACCGGC (forward) and

133 GCCGTAATGTTcgcGATCCGATCCAG (reverse) for mutant K572A; and

134 CCAGCCCTGTTCgcgGCATTCGGCCTG (forward) and

135 CAGGCCGAATGCcgcGAACAGGGCTGG (reverse) for mutant E663A. PCR

136 conditions were the same as those described above. Flanking primers for the PCR overlap

137 extension were the same used for cloning (described above). All mutations were
138 confirmed by DNA sequencing.

139 **Protein expression and purification**

140 Transformed *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells with pNESS2 were grown in 4 x 1 L of LB
141 supplemented with 100 µg/ml carbenicillin. This was performed in a 2.8 L Fernbach flask
142 at 37 °C and 250 rpm until OD_{600 nm} reached ~0.6. Protein expression was induced by the
143 addition of 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside. Cells were incubated at 25
144 °C and harvested after 16 h by centrifuging at 5000 x g and 4 °C for 15 min. The cell
145 paste was resuspended in Buffer C [20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v)
146 glycerol, 10 mM imidazole] and disrupted by sonication. The resulting suspension was
147 centrifuged twice at 30000 x g and 4 °C for 15 min and the soluble fraction (crude
148 extract) was loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap column (GE Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ)
149 containing Ni²⁺ and previously equilibrated with Buffer C. Elution of the retained
150 proteins was achieved with a linear imidazole gradient (20 column volumes, 10-300
151 mM). Fractions containing sucrose synthase activity were pooled, concentrated to 2 ml,
152 and loaded onto a 16/60 Superdex 200 column (GE Life Sciences) previously
153 equilibrated with 50 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 8.0 and 300 mM NaCl. Fractions containing
154 enzyme activity were pooled, concentrated, supplemented with 5% (v/v) glycerol, and
155 stored at -80 °C until use. Under these conditions the enzyme remained stable and fully
156 active for at least 3 months.

157 **Protein assay and detection**

158 Protein concentration was determined by measuring the protein absorbance at 280
159 nm using a NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and an extinction coefficient of

160 1.153 ml mg⁻¹ cm⁻¹, determined from the amino acid sequence using the ProtParam server
161 (<http://web.expasy.org/protparam/>). Denaturing protein electrophoresis was performed as
162 described by Laemmli (32).

163 **Enzyme assays**

164 Activity assays were performed as previously described (16), with minor
165 modifications. In the direction of sucrose synthesis, the reaction medium contained 50
166 mM HEPPS pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM UDP-Glc, 500 mM Fru, 0.3 mM
167 phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.3 mM NADH, 1 U pyruvate kinase, 1 U lactate dehydrogenase,
168 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ BSA, and enzyme in an appropriate dilution in a final volume of 50 µl.
169 Alternatively, activity was measured with 1 mM ADP-Glc and 20 mM Fru. NADH
170 oxidation was followed by measuring the absorbance at 340 nm in a Multiskan Ascent
171 microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37 °C. One unit of enzyme activity (U) is
172 defined as the amount of protein necessary to produce 1 µmol of product in 1 min under
173 the specified conditions.

174 **Kinetic characterization**

175 Since the saturation kinetics of the enzyme were slightly sigmoidal, data of initial
176 velocity (*v*) versus substrate concentration (*S*) were plotted and fitted to a modified Hill
177 equation: $v = V_{\max} S^{n_H} / (S_{0.5}^{n_H} + S^{n_H})$, where *S*_{0.5} is the concentration of substrate
178 necessary to obtain 50% of the maximal velocity (*V*_{max}) and *n*_H is the Hill coefficient.
179 Fitting was performed by a non-linear least-squares algorithm provided by the software
180 Origin 7.0 (OriginLab Corporation). Kinetic parameters were obtained using the averages
181 of two independent datasets that were reproducible within errors of ± 10%.

182 **Phylogenetic analysis**

183 We searched for protein sequences using the term “sucrose synthase” and applied
184 the RefSeq filter in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database.
185 Afterwards, we manually curated them to discard some which were clearly wrongly
186 annotated since they had higher identity to other glycosyltransferases. Sequences were
187 analyzed with the program BioEdit 7.0.5.3 (33) and aligned using the ClustalW server
188 (<http://www.genome.jp/tools/clustalw/>). Tree reconstruction was performed using the
189 Neighbor-Joining algorithm with a bootstrap of 1000 in the program SeaView 4.4.0 (34).
190 The tree figure was prepared using the FigTree 1.4.0 software
191 (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>).

192 **Crystallization and data collection**

193 After the initial crystallization screen and optimization, the recombinant protein
194 was crystallized via the hanging drop method. The hanging drops were prepared with 1 μ l
195 of 15 mg ml⁻¹ sucrose synthase and 1 μ l of the reservoir solution, containing 5%
196 Tacsimate pH 5.0, 5% (w/v) PEG 3350, and 0.1 M sodium citrate pH 5.6. The hanging
197 drops were kept at 20 °C for crystallization. Crystals appeared in 3 days and were
198 allowed to continue growing at 20 °C for 4 more days until they reached their maximum
199 sizes. Crystals with good morphology and large sizes were transferred to a cryo-
200 condition, which contained 25% glycerol in addition to the components of the reservoir
201 solution, before being frozen in liquid nitrogen.

202 X-ray diffraction data sets were collected at the SBC19-ID beamline at the
203 Advanced Photon Source (Argonne National Laboratory, Chicago, IL). The wavelength
204 used in the monochromatic data collection was 1.008 Å. All the collected data sets were
205 indexed and integrated using iMosflm and scaled with Scala in the CCP4 program suite

206 (Collaborative Computational Project Number 4) (35). After investigating all statistic
207 values indicating data quality, especially $I/\sigma\langle I \rangle$, and $CC_{1/2}$ (36), we decided to cut the
208 data resolution at 3.05 Å, where $I/\sigma\langle I \rangle = 2$ while $CC_{1/2} = 0.561$ indicating good data
209 quality (Table 2).

210 **Phasing, model building, and refinement**

211 Molecular replacement was carried out using the program Phaser (37) from the
212 CCP4 program suite. The starting search model in molecular replacement was modified
213 from the known *A. thaliana* sucrose synthase structural model (PDB ID: 3S29) (23). The
214 molecular replacement using the full-length *A. thaliana* Sucrose synthase as a search
215 model did not yield any solutions using Phaser. Suspecting that an inter-domain
216 movement may have been the problem; we tried to virtually isolate some domains based
217 on homology. Then, when we truncated the GT-B(D) domain (cyan domain in Fig. 1B)
218 and used the rest of the molecule as the search model for molecular replacement in
219 Phaser, a solution was finally obtained. Afterwards, model building was conducted in
220 COOT (38). The GT-B(D) domain was built according to the electron density maps.
221 Rigid body refinement and restrained refinement were conducted in refmac5 (39). In
222 order to remove model bias and achieve the best refinement results possible, simulated
223 annealing refinement and ordered solvent identification were conducted using
224 PHENIX.refine (40). Final model and the structure factor have been deposited in the
225 RCSB Protein Data Bank with the accession code 4RBN.

226 **Homology modeling**

227 A model of the monomeric closed form of the *N. europaea* sucrose synthase
228 (residues 16 to 788) was constructed with the program Modeller 9.11

229 (<http://salilab.org/modeller/>) (41). As template we used the atomic coordinates of the *A.*
230 *thaliana* sucrose synthase (3S27) with the ligands UDP and fructose (23). Before the
231 modeling process, sequence alignment was performed manually to match functionally
232 conserved residues and secondary structures. An identity of 50.3% ensured a high
233 confidence alignment since we only had to introduce four one-residue indels. The
234 accuracy of the models was assessed with the Verify3D Structure Evaluation Server
235 (http://nihserver.mbi.ucla.edu/Verify_3D/) (42).

236 **Difference distance matrix map**

237 We used an *ad hoc* program written in C applying previously developed concepts
238 to detect domain motion and identify regions that move closer upon conformational
239 changes (43). Distances were calculated between all pair of C_{α} of one reference structure
240 (open), and a second pairwise distance matrix was calculated for the target (closed)
241 structure. Afterwards, the target matrix was subtracted from the reference matrix to
242 calculate the Δ distance plot (<https://github.com/ballicoragroup/didimama>).

243 **Hinge analysis**

244 In order to detect possible local conformations or hinges, we performed an
245 analysis with the *ad hoc* program “hingescan”
246 (<https://github.com/ballicoragroup/hingescan>). We compared the crystal structure of the
247 open form of the *N. europaea* sucrose synthase with a closed form homology model of
248 the same enzyme. To detect if there is a significant local conformational change around a
249 given residue (“hinge”), we extracted the coordinates of a given number (n) of C_{α} before
250 the putative hinge and the same given number (n) of residues after (window size = $2n+1$).
251 This was done for both the open and closed forms and obtained two fragments to

252 compare. After optimal rigid body superposition of only these two set of coordinates, an
253 average distance was calculated (root-mean-square deviation, RMSD). This RMSD
254 calculated in these conditions was called the “hinge score”. When this score is at a peak,
255 the “flanking” n number of C α at both sides display a maximum change between the two
256 structures. For that reason, a hinge is detected. The bigger the window, the bigger the
257 domain movement is detected surrounding the hinge. To identify hinges that link small
258 and bigger domains, different window sizes were scanned. A flowchart illustrating the
259 process is in Fig. S1.

260

261 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

262 **Sequence analysis**

263 To know how the sucrose synthase from *N. europaea* relates to others from
264 divergent organisms we constructed a phylogenetic tree using 117 amino acid sequences
265 retrieved from the NCBI database (Fig. 2, Table S1, and Fig. S2). The tree comprised
266 seven major branches, containing the sequences from cyanobacteria (group I; 21
267 sequences), proteobacteria (groups II and III; 17 sequences), the moss *Physcomitrella*
268 *patens* subsp. *patens* (group IV; 4 sequences), and vascular plants (groups V, VI, and VII;
269 75 sequences) (Fig. 2). The shape of the tree shown in Fig. 2 is similar to the one
270 published by Kolman et al. (8). Group I is subdivided in two branches, containing the
271 sequences encoded by the *susA* (cyan) and *susB* (orange) genes (Fig. 2) (8). Most
272 sequences from proteobacteria are included in group III (including β -, γ - and δ -
273 proteobacteria); though, the sequences from δ -proteobacterium MLMS-1 and
274 *Desulfurivibrio alkaliphilus* AHT2 are in a diverging branch (group II) (Fig. 2). Sucrose

275 synthase sequences from *P. patens* subsp. *patens* (group IV) are clearly separated from
276 those of vascular plants (Fig. 2). Interestingly, groups V and VII are further divided in
277 two major branches, containing the sequences from dicots (green) and monocots (blue),
278 respectively. This separation is less clear in group VI (Fig. 2). The sucrose synthase from
279 *N. europaea* is in a small branch with other β -proteobacteria in group III (proteobacteria).
280 Clearly, it is well separated from plant and cyanobacterial enzymes, although they share a
281 significant similarity. For instance, the identity between sequences from *N. europaea* and
282 those from *Nostoc* sp. PCC 7120 *susA*, *P. patens*, *Zea mays* sucrose synthase 1, and *A.*
283 *thaliana* sucrose synthase 1 were 45.3, 49.3, 50.4, and 50.3%, respectively (Fig. S2).
284 These values are indicative of a high structural conservation among enzymes from very
285 divergent organisms.

286 **Protein expression and characterization**

287 The gene of the putative sucrose synthase in *N. europaea* (NCBI Protein ID
288 NP_841269) codes for 794 amino acids. To shed light on sucrose metabolism of group III
289 (Fig. 2), we amplified this sequence and expressed the recombinant protein in *E. coli*
290 cells. The enzyme was purified to homogeneity by HisTrap column and gel filtration
291 chromatography as mentioned in “Materials and Methods”. The recombinant protein
292 migrated in SDS-PAGE as a single band of ~95 kDa (data not shown), which is in good
293 agreement with the predicted molecular mass of 93 kDa (including the His-tag provided
294 by the pET28c vector). The enzyme eluted from the Superdex 200 (size exclusion)
295 column as a protein of ~360 kDa (data not shown), suggesting a tetrameric quaternary
296 structure, as it was reported for cyanobacterial and plant sucrose synthases (16, 19, 23).

297 **Substrate specificity of the sucrose synthase from *N. europaea***

298 Sucrose synthases from plants have shown a certain degree of promiscuity to
299 transfer glucoses from ADP-Glc and UDP-Glc, though UDP-Glc is generally preferred.
300 We tested the substrate specificity of sucrose synthase from *N. europaea* in the sucrose
301 synthesis direction (Table 1), and observed that ADP-Glc is a more efficient substrate
302 than UDP-Glc. The main difference is not given by V_{\max} , but by a higher apparent affinity
303 towards ADP-Glc. The $S_{0.5}$ for ADP-Glc is 0.044 mM in presence of optimal
304 concentrations of Fru (20 mM); whereas the $S_{0.5}$ for UDP-Glc is 0.98 mM in presence of
305 optimal concentrations of Fru (500 mM). On the other hand, the apparent affinity for Fru
306 is higher in presence of ADP-Glc rather than UDP-Glc. The $S_{0.5}$ for Fru at saturated
307 concentrations of ADP-Glc is 5.6 mM whereas the $S_{0.5}$ for Fru in presence of UDP-Glc is
308 significantly higher. Because of the high concentrations of Fru needed to reach saturation,
309 it is not possible to measure the $S_{0.5}$ for Fru with high precision; but it is at least ~20-fold
310 higher (120 mM). The catalytic efficiencies calculated for ADP-Glc and Fru_(ADP-Glc) were
311 17- and 37-fold higher than those obtained for UDP-Glc and Fru_(UDP-Glc), respectively
312 (Table 1). These results indicate that the sucrose synthase from *N. europaea* prefers ADP-
313 Glc over UDP-Glc as substrate. Similar conclusions were obtained for the enzyme from
314 the cyanobacterium *T. elongatus*, which showed a 26-fold higher catalytic efficiency for
315 ADP-Glc than UDP-Glc (16). As it was stated for *T. elongatus* (16), this suggests that the
316 metabolism of sucrose could be linked to the synthesis of glycogen, since ADP-Glc is the
317 donor for its polymerization.

318 **X-ray diffraction, data processing, model building, and refinement**

319 The best data set collected at synchrotron beamline was processed to 3.05 Å and
320 indexed as space group P65. It was integrated and scaled producing good statistics (Table

321 2). After the molecular replacement search, four copies of the starting model described in
322 “Materials and Methods” were found in one asymmetric unit. Iterative cycles of model
323 building and refinement were conducted yielding a well-defined structure with R_{work} and
324 R_{free} values of 17.37 % and 21.75 %, respectively (Table 2). The truncated GT-B(D)
325 domain was built according to the electron density map. The final structural model
326 contains all the residues except the first three at the N-terminus and the last two at the C-
327 terminus of the amino acid sequence (Fig. 1).

328 **Structural analysis of the sucrose synthase from *N. europaea***

329 *Overall structure.* Although the resolution of the data set was 3.05 Å, the
330 backbone of the protein and some of the key residues side chains were well defined by
331 the electron density (Fig. S3 and Fig. S4). This allowed us to conduct detailed structural
332 analysis on sucrose synthase’s conformational changes involving backbone movement,
333 which are relevant to the catalytic cycle. The crystal structure displayed a similar fold to
334 the previously reported structural model from the *A. thaliana* enzyme (PDB ID 3S29)
335 (23). The sucrose synthase from *N. europaea* is a tetramer composed of four identical
336 subunits (Fig. 1A), where each monomer contains four domains (Fig. 1B).

337 The first domain designated as “Sucrose Synthase N-terminal-1” (SSN-1)
338 included residues 1-112 (Fig. 1B, red) and contained five α -helices and four β -strands.
339 The second domain, which included residues 142-264, is the “Sucrose Synthase N-
340 terminal-2” (SSN-2) domain (Fig. 1B, green) with five α -helices. Domain SSN-1 and
341 SSN-2 correspond to domains CTD and EPBD in the enzyme from *A. thaliana* (23). CTD
342 and EPBD stand for “cellular targeting domain” and “ENOD40 peptide-binding domain”,
343 which indicate the domain functions for the plant enzyme. In the case of the bacterial

344 form, the roles for these domains are not known, thus the nomenclature is only based on
345 structure. Both the third and fourth domains constitute a typical GT-B fold of
346 glycosyltransferases (24). The third is a domain that typically binds the nucleotide donor
347 for the glycosyl group in that family (23, 25, 44). For this reason, we refer to it as GT-
348 B(D) domain (Fig. 1B, cyan), although in sucrose synthase the transfer of glucosyl group
349 is reversible. This nomenclature also matches the systematic name of the sucrose
350 synthase (NDP-glucose:D-fructose 2- α -D-glucosyltransferase). The GT-B(D) domain
351 includes residues 514-742 with eight α -helices and three β -strands. The fourth domain is
352 the GT-B(A) domain (Fig. 1B, blue and yellow), which consists of residues from three
353 separate regions. These separate regions are encompassed by the SSN-1, SSN-2 and GT-
354 B(D) domains in the center of the monomer. The first region is a linker (residues 113-
355 141) that joins SSN-1 and SSN-2 but structurally integrated to GT-B(A). The other two
356 regions are 265-513, and 743-794. The GT-B(A) domain included nine α -helices and
357 eight β -strands and functions in the GT-B family as the sugar acceptor (A) in catalysis
358 (23, 25, 44).

359 As mentioned above, the identity between sequences from *N. europaea* and *A.*
360 *thaliana* is considerably high (50.3%). When the different domains were analyzed
361 separately, we found identity values of 26.2% for SSN-1 (CTD), 40.2% for SSN-2
362 (EPBD), 52.4% for GT-B(D), and 61.9% for GT-B(A), suggesting a high structural
363 conservation. A comparison between the *A. thaliana* and *N. europaea* x-ray structures
364 confirms it. With the exception of conformational changes, each of the folds for their
365 respective domains is identical. The fact that the structure is so conserved, even for the
366 domains that are not related to catalysis, would suggest that certain non-catalytic

367 functional roles have been preserved or adapted. On the other hand, SSN-1 (CTD in *A.*
368 *thaliana*) does not have the Ser that is phosphorylated in plants, indicating that it is a role
369 acquired in eukaryotes. Therefore, it is not certain whether *N. europaea* sucrose synthase
370 is regulated for binding macromolecular structures such as actin or membranes as plant
371 enzymes do (26, 45). Prokaryotes do not have cytoskeleton, although actin related
372 proteins have been detected in *Anabaena* species (46). Whether sucrose synthase from
373 bacteria can actually interact with actin or similar structures is a matter of further studies.

374 In *N. europaea*, SSN-2 is involved in the oligomerization forming one of the
375 contacts between subunits. It is not clear if it has any other physiological role. In *A.*
376 *thaliana*, EPBD (SSN-2 in *N. europaea*), together with the CTD domain (SSN-1 in *N.*
377 *europaea*), forms a groove hypothesized to bind actin (23). In our structure, the same
378 structural arrangement is present (data not shown) highlighting the possibility that a
379 similar role has been conserved. However, this needs to be investigated.

380 The obtained *N. europaea* sucrose synthase structure with no substrates bound has
381 a clearly different overall conformation when compared to the *A. thaliana* structure with
382 UDP and Fru (23). This implies that substrate binding induces significant conformational
383 changes (Fig. 3), and correlates with similar conformational changes that occur upon
384 binding of substrates in other GT-B retaining glycosyltransferases (25, 47). After
385 superimposition of only the GT-B(A) domains of the *A. thaliana* and *N. europaea*
386 structures (using the least squares function in COOT), the SSN-1, SSN-2, and GT-B(A)
387 domains overlapped well while the GT-B(D) domains were in a different relative
388 position. The angle between the GT-B(A) and GT-B(D) domains in the obtained structure
389 was about 23.5 degrees wider than in *A. thaliana*. Based on such comparison, we suggest

390 that the *N. europaea* structure in this work was in an “open” conformation whereas the *A.*
391 *thaliana* form was “closed” (23). We have identified some distinct structural
392 determinants (hinges and latches) related to the movements of the sugar (GT-B(A)) and
393 nucleotide (GT-B(D)) binding domains.

394 *Sugar-binding GT-B(A) domain.* In this analysis, we compared the open structure
395 crystal structure of *N. europaea* enzyme with the homology model in a closed
396 conformation built as described in “Materials and Methods”. Considering how modeling
397 works, and that the closed structure template (*A. thaliana*) has no gaps with the *N.*
398 *europaea* target in the sites of interest, the backbone comparison with the model is as
399 reliable as comparing the backbones of both structures directly. The RMSD of backbone
400 between the model obtained and the closed *A. thaliana* template was 0.29 Å. However,
401 the use of the model is more convenient since the number is not shifted, which would be
402 really confusing in the following analysis. One of the important assumptions we make is
403 that the closed structure of the *A. thaliana* enzyme is a fair representation of the closed
404 structure of that from *N. europaea*. We believe that this is a reasonable assumption, at
405 least in the critical areas. Otherwise, the backbone of critical residues may not align
406 properly for catalysis.

407 Analysis of a difference distant matrix map of the Fru-binding GT-B(A) domain
408 as described in “Materials and Methods” highlights three main regions that move closer
409 upon sugar binding (Fig. 4). These are 325-375 to 280-290 (~5 Å), 425-435 to 280-290
410 (~4 Å), and 425-435 to 325-375 (~3 Å) (Fig. 4). Other pair of regions that move towards
411 each other are 280-290 to 490-505 (~3 Å) and 280-290 to 450-460 (~2 Å) (Fig. 4). From
412 this analysis, the area 280-290 is the most involved in an induced fit interaction with Fru.

413 Further inspection of these areas reveals that Fru induces local conformational changes
414 via superimposition of the GT-B(A) domains of the *A. thaliana* (closed) and the *N.*
415 *europaea* (open) sucrose synthase (Fig. 5). These include the side chain of K431 and the
416 backbone of residues 288-290. The re-shaping of the Fru binding site facilitates the
417 closing via a set of inter-domain hydrogen bonds (Fig. 5 in green). These local
418 conformational changes along with the presence of Fru further promote the interactions
419 between the GT-B(A) and GT-(D) domains. Thus, we propose that Fru binding
420 contributes to stabilizing the closed structure.

421 *Nucleotide-binding GT-B(D) domain.* The GT-B(D) domain binds to sugar
422 nucleotide (synthesis direction) or nucleotide (cleavage direction) substrates. When
423 overlapping GT-B(D) domains from both *A. thaliana* and *N. europaea* structures, the
424 residues interacting with the phosphate and ribose moieties of the nucleotides are not only
425 conserved, but also at the same positions (Fig. 6). On the other hand, two residues
426 interacting with the nucleotide base are not conserved. Residues Q648 and N654 from *A.*
427 *thaliana* are replaced by R636 and A642 in the *N. europaea* sucrose synthase,
428 respectively. This difference creates a more spacious binding site in *N. europaea*, which
429 may accommodate bulkier adenosine nucleotide substrates. Modeling an ADP ligand into
430 the *N. europaea* structure shows that the site may have a deeper pocket, which would be
431 needed not to clash with the adenine ring (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7). Similar sequence differences
432 were observed in the sucrose synthase from *T. elongatus* (16). Based on sequence
433 analysis and homology modeling it was suggested that these two residues could be
434 responsible for the preference towards ADP/ADP-Glc over other nucleotides such as
435 UDP/UDP-Glc in the cyanobacterial enzyme (16). It is important to notice that the side

436 chains in R636 and A642 in the *N. europaea* sucrose synthase are not conserved in the *E.*
437 *coli* glycogen synthase, which is another glycosyltransferase that binds ADP-Glc (48). *E.*
438 *coli* glycogen synthase has a different motif in that position with a Tyr and Ser instead of
439 Arg and Ala (25, 47, 48), implying a different structural arrangement for accommodating
440 ADP-Glc. Overall, the nucleotide binding to the GT-B(D) domain does not seem to
441 trigger significant local conformational changes (Fig. 6). The direct interactions with the
442 nucleotide do not make major contributions to the induced fit mechanism.

443 *Hinge Analysis.* We scanned the structures of the acceptor and donor domains for
444 hinges and subtle conformational changes that could be functionally important in
445 catalysis. We used the in-house program *hingescan* described in “Materials and
446 Methods”. Using several window sizes we detected several local conformational changes
447 (Fig. S5). For a window size of 51, we detected two clear hinge elements near residues
448 ~515 and ~744 (Fig. 8). These two elements actually form a single “hinge” that
449 comprises a hydrogen bond between two conserved residues (G514 and W743) in a
450 flexible area (Fig. 3, Fig. S4, and Fig. S5). These two residues remain at the same
451 position in both the open and the closed conformations of the enzyme. For smaller
452 windows, we found other significant local secondary structure rearrangements between
453 the open and closed structures (Fig. S5, Fig. S6, and Fig. 8). Upon closing, two α -helices
454 in the GT-B(D) domain are extended, and a β -strand replaces a previously coiled stretch.
455 The outcome is a more ordered structure of the GT-B(D) domain. We propose that this
456 secondary structure rearrangement, despite the local entropy decrease, would release
457 extra energy to close the conformation facilitating the binding of substrates.

458 There are also differences between the conformations of the SSN-1 and SSN-2
459 domains from the open structure of the *N. europaea* enzyme and the model of the closed
460 form (Fig. 8). The analysis detected hinges because of local differences, and there are
461 four major regions with scores above 2 (Fig. 8, Fig S5, Fig. S7). This predicts that some
462 of the loops in these two domains are quite flexible, but we cannot assign a functional
463 role to them (Fig. S7). In *A. thaliana*, the flexibility of the CTD domain (SSN-1) is
464 hypothesized to have a role in actin binding (23).

465 *Latches.* A feature that contributes to the stabilization of the closed form is a
466 “latch”, E609, which comprises the highly conserved E609 residue located at the
467 periphery of the GT-B(D) domain (Fig. S2). Going from an open to a closed
468 conformation, this glutamate residue moves ~11 Å towards the GT-B(A) domain and
469 ends up hydrogen-bonded to two tyrosine residues (Y432 and Y446) stabilizing the
470 closing (Fig. 3 and Fig. S8). Interestingly, there were small secondary structure
471 rearrangements in the vicinity of this latch, which could facilitate the interaction between
472 E609 and the two tyrosines (Fig. S6). On the other side of the active site, opposite to the
473 latch described, there is a hydrophobic patch that also contributes to the closing (Fig. 9).
474 Two hydrophobic residues (M635, L637) in the GT-B(D) domain get in contact with a
475 hydrophobic cluster (V281, L282 and L284) in the GT-B(A) domain, upon closing. The
476 side chain of N280 also provides a methylene to build a non-polar pocket that latches on
477 to M635 and L637 (Fig. 9). On the other hand, the amide polar group is exposed to the
478 solvent.

479 The closed structure seems to induce stronger interactions with the nucleotide and
480 vice versa. In the *N. europaea* sucrose synthase, the conserved E671 is in the same

481 position as E369 in the *E. coli* trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (OtsA) (48, 49). In OtsA,
482 as well as in the close conformation of the *A. thaliana* sucrose synthase (E683), the
483 carboxylate of this side chain forms two hydrogen bonds with the hydroxyl groups of the
484 ribose of the nucleotide. In these enzymes, the carboxylate is surrounded by hydrophobic
485 residues (Y520, Y646, L667 and T668 in *N. europaea* sucrose synthase; Y533, Y658,
486 L679 and T680 in the *A. thaliana* enzyme), which makes the hydrogen bonds stronger in
487 the non-polar environment. In the open form of the sucrose synthase structure, V291
488 (V306 in *A. thaliana*) moves away from the side chain of the glutamate residue (Fig. S9).
489 This implies that the closing recruits a non-polar side chain to completely surround the
490 carboxylate. Consequently, nucleotide binding stabilizes the carboxylate charge and
491 facilitates the interaction with V291 upon closing. Interestingly, in another
492 glycosyltransferase such as bacterial glycogen synthase, E671 has been replaced by a
493 Tyr, and V291 was replaced by Asp, thus, switching their roles (48). Therefore, this
494 ligand-dependent interaction may be a common feature in this family of enzymes.

495 **Site directed mutagenesis of critical residues**

496 Previously, important residues for catalysis were identified in other retaining GT-
497 B glycosyltransferases. A triad of critical residues has been found in the active site of
498 maltodextrin phosphorylase (50, 51) and glycogen synthase from *E. coli* (52). Based on
499 X-ray structures, these residues were also predicted to be important for catalysis in OtsA
500 (49) and the *A. thaliana* sucrose synthase (23). The homologue residues in *N. europaea*
501 are R567, K572, and E663 (Fig. 10). When any of those residues were replaced by
502 alanine the activity in the direction of sucrose synthesis severely decreased, either in
503 presence of ADP-Glc or UDP-Glc (Table 3). The most active of all these mutants was

504 E663A in presence of ADP-Glc, but it was still 200-fold less active than the wild type.

505 These results indicate that this triad is critical in sucrose synthases. Consequently, it also
506 suggests that sucrose synthases together with all other retaining glycosyltransferases with
507 a GT-B fold share the same reaction mechanism (Fig. 10).

508 **Structural and mechanistic consequences of the open/closed conformational change**

509 Large conformational movements may have a large impact on the architecture of
510 the active site. For that reason, it is important to analyze how critical catalytic residues
511 are arranged in the closed and open structure of sucrose synthase. We have identified and
512 confirmed by mutagenesis three critical side chains in *N. europaea* sucrose synthase
513 (R567, K572, E663, corresponding to R580, K485, E675 in *A. thaliana*). In addition, the
514 comparison with other glycosyltransferases predicts another interaction (protein
515 backbone-substrate) that stabilizes the transition state (24), which is not possible to be
516 replaced by mutagenesis. The comparison between the open and closed forms of *N.*
517 *europaea* and *A. thaliana* sucrose synthases, respectively, provide important information.
518 But, the arrangement of the critical residues needs to be put in context of the reaction
519 mechanism.

520 It has been proposed that retaining glycosyltransferases either have a S_{Ni} -like
521 mechanism with a oxocarbenium-phosphate short lived ion pair intermediate, or a S_{Ni}
522 mechanism forming an oxocarbenium ion-like transition state that is not totally
523 dissociated from the donor and acceptor (24, 53, 54). In either of those two cases, an
524 important stabilization of the transition state would be based on the interaction between
525 the anomeric carbon (C1) of the sugar being transferred and the oxygen of the main chain
526 of a His residue (24, 55). Recently, an alternative elimination/addition mechanism has

527 been proposed for the *Pyrococcus abyssi* glycogen synthase (56), which was argued to be
528 compatible with the current available data. In this mechanism, a general base is needed to
529 extract a proton from C2 of the glycosyl group. The authors proposed this base is the
530 same main chain oxygen from the His residue mentioned above. Interestingly, in the
531 crystal structure of the *A. thaliana* sucrose synthase, the oxygen of the main chain of
532 H438 (H425 in *N. europaea*) is at a close distance of both C1 and C2 of a proposed 1,5-
533 anhydro-D-arabino-hex-1-enitol (Fig. S10). This ligand mimics the planar structure of the
534 transition state in either the S_{Ni} , S_{Ni} -like, or the elimination/addition mechanism (56).
535 This type of *in situ* generated intermediate was also observed in the *E. coli* and *P. abyssi*
536 glycogen synthase (25, 56). Regardless of these alternative mechanisms, it must be
537 critical that the main chain oxygen of H425 in *N. europaea* sucrose synthase is near
538 C1/C2 in the transition state. Since this residue is located in the GT-B(A) domain, and
539 there are other critical residues in GT-B(D) (Table 3), a precise arrangement between
540 these two domains is necessary for a proper architecture of the catalytic site. Only in the
541 closed structure all these functional groups would be at the right distance for catalysis
542 (Fig. S10). Therefore, one of the roles of the closing is to bring the critical residues to a
543 proper position.

544 It is tempting to argue that UDP-Glc/ADP-Glc can induce the closing, based on
545 the fact that the base and the ribose have numerous contacts with the GT-B(D) domain,
546 and the glycosyl group with the opposing GT-B(A) domain (23). However, it is not clear
547 how stable the closed form would be in presence of the donor without the acceptor.
548 During the crystallization process, the *A. thaliana* enzyme cleaves UDP-Glc to generate
549 UDP and possibly 1,5-anhydro-D-arabino-hex-1-enitol (or its tautomer 1,5-anhydro-D-

550 fructose) yielding a closed structure (23). But, this structure, which could occur
551 transiently, may have been driven and stabilized by the extremely slow generation of 1,5-
552 anhydro-D-arabino-hex-1-enitol. It is expected that this putative transition state analog
553 binds favorably to the most active form of the enzyme, which in this case, is the closed
554 one.

555 The rationale for a conformational change induced by substrates (“induced fit”)
556 was first described by Koshland to explain why a specific (good) substrate reacts faster
557 than smaller (poor) alternatives that can also fit into the active site (57). If the changes
558 that lead to a precise orientation of catalytic groups occur only upon binding of the (good)
559 substrate, another (poor) substrate that does not trigger those conformational changes will
560 not react effectively, even at high concentrations. This concept or at least its
561 interpretation has been controversial (58, 59). On the other hand, Fersht stated that an
562 induced fit mechanism does not increase substrate specificity *per se* (59), and the only
563 contribution that matters is the relative binding affinities to the transition states of the
564 competing reactions. According to this, to increase the sucrose synthase specificity for
565 the acceptor Fru against water, selective interactions seems to be maximized by
566 surrounding Fru completely by different functional groups from the enzyme.
567 Consequently, the active site is isolated from the solution as it is shown in Fig. S11. For
568 that reason, an induced fit mechanism becomes an indirect necessity to allow substrates
569 and products to enter and leave, while maximizing a selective interaction with Fru.

570 For retaining glycosyltransferases, another key issue is the stabilization of the β -
571 phosphate to make it a better leaving group (54, 60). A hydroxyl group from the acceptor
572 (Fru in this case) participates in a hydrogen bond with the β -phosphate. Consequently, the

573 oxygen of this group becomes a better nucleophile to attack the C1 of the forming
574 oxocarbenium ion (54). Water could in theory compete with the acceptor (Fru) for this
575 role, but is a poor substrate, probably because it does not stabilize the closed structure as
576 well as Fru does. Fru not only interact with the phosphate leaving group, but also
577 interacts more tightly with the closed form. Not only the distances between the residues
578 in the GT-B(A) domain that contact Fru gets closer upon closing, but also networks of
579 interactions of Fru with the GT-B(D) domain are established (Fig. 6 and Fig. S11).
580 Noteworthy are the interaction of Fru with R580 (*A. thaliana*) and the hydrogen bond
581 with K444 that brings the Y445 closer to E621, forming the latch (Fig. S11, panels D and
582 E). Interestingly, the closed structure of the *A. thaliana* enzyme with the cleaved products
583 of UDP-Glc seems to shape the active site to readily accommodate Fru. Even if Fru is
584 absent, the site is nearly identical to the structure with Fru bound (Fig. S11, RMSD 0.27
585 Å). On the other hand, the structure of the open form of the *N. europaea* enzyme does not
586 have all these residues at a proper distance to bind Fru (Fig. S11, C). This indicates that
587 Fru would preferentially bind to the closed form, stabilizing it.

588 This mechanism in which the catalytic residues get into places upon closing may
589 explain why it is not trivial to obtain a closed structure with an intact sugar-nucleotide.
590 For instance, crystal structures of the closed forms were obtained for the *E. coli* glycogen
591 synthase and the *A. thaliana* sucrose synthase grown in presence the sugar nucleotide, but
592 the glycosyl group was slowly cleaved (23, 25, 56). There are other retaining GT-B
593 structures with a sugar nucleotide bound, but those were described as “semi-closed” (44).
594 A mechanism with a domain movement that allows the exchange of ligands to the
595 solution is not unique for sucrose synthase and may be general among retaining GT-B

596 enzymes. However, not all of them may require such a large conformational change. The
597 glycogen synthase was another case with closed and wide open structures described (25,
598 47, 56). The sucrose-6-phosphate synthase must also have the same type of behavior, but
599 only an open structure is available (61). In other cases, open/closed structures have been
600 obtained, but the most significant movements were local rearrangement of loops (rather
601 than a large domain rearrangement) such as in OtsA (44) and VldE (62, 63).

602

603 CONCLUSIONS

604 In this manuscript, we observed an “open” conformation for sucrose synthases.
605 Based on the comparison with a previously published “closed” sucrose synthase structure
606 (23), a “hinge-latch” combination was identified as a critical feature responsible for the
607 open-close enzyme actions.

608 We identified three highly conserved amino acids proposed to be critical for
609 catalysis. We concluded that the triad composed of residues R567, K572, and E663
610 (numbers according to the *N. europaea* enzyme) plays a key role not only in sucrose
611 synthases, but also in all the retaining GT-B glycosyltransferases (23, 49-52).

612 With both structural and kinetic results we propose that the sucrose synthase from
613 *N. europaea* has a substrate preference in favor of ADP/ADP-Glc over UDP/UDP-Glc.
614 This behavior is similar to the one observed for *T. elongatus* sucrose synthase (16).

615 The evolutionary origin of enzymes from sucrose metabolism in proteobacteria
616 has been previously discussed (4, 5, 8, 64). The evolution of sucrose synthases in
617 cyanobacteria, proteobacteria, and plants is not yet fully understood, but most likely it
618 involved horizontal gene transfers. On one hand, the sucrose synthase from *N. europaea*

619 is closer to the plant enzymes in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 2), but on the other hand, the
620 specificity for nucleotides is similar to several cyanobacterial enzymes examined (8, 16).
621 It is possible that the enzyme from *N. europaea* evolved from a protein already present in
622 the common ancestor of proteobacteria and cyanobacteria (10).

623

624 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

625 This work was supported by grants from ANPCyT (PICT'12 2439) and
626 CONICET (PIP 112-201101-00438) to A.A.I.; UNL (CAI+D 2011) to C.M.F. and
627 A.A.I.; NSF (MCB-1024945) to M.A.B.; and NSF (CHE-1308672) to D.L. M.D.A.D.,
628 C.M.F. and A.A.I. are researchers from CONICET.

629

630 **REFERENCES**

- 631 1. **Koch K.** 2004. Sucrose metabolism: regulatory mechanisms and pivotal roles in
632 sugar sensing and plant development. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **7**:235-246.
- 633 2. **Lunn JE.** 2008. Sucrose Metabolism, *Encyclopedia of Life Sciences*. John Wiley
634 & Sons.
- 635 3. **Winter H, Huber SC.** 2000. Regulation of sucrose metabolism in higher plants:
636 localization and regulation of activity of key enzymes. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol*
637 *Biol* **35**:253-289.
- 638 4. **MacRae E, Lunn J.** 2012. Photosynthetic Sucrose Biosynthesis: An Evolutionary
639 Perspective, p. 675-702. *In* Eaton-Rye JJ, Tripathy BC, Sharkey TD (ed.),
640 *Photosynthesis*, vol. 34. Springer Netherlands.
- 641 5. **Salerno GL, Curatti L.** 2003. Origin of sucrose metabolism in higher plants:
642 when, how and why? *Trends Plant Sci* **8**:63-69.
- 643 6. **Cumino AC, Marcozzi C, Barreiro R, Salerno GL.** 2007. Carbon cycling in
644 *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120. Sucrose synthesis in the heterocysts and possible role in
645 nitrogen fixation. *Plant Physiol* **143**:1385-1397.
- 646 7. **Curatti L, Giarrocco L, Salerno GL.** 2006. Sucrose synthase and RuBisCo
647 expression is similarly regulated by the nitrogen source in the nitrogen-fixing
648 cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. *Planta* **223**:891-900.
- 649 8. **Kolman MA, Torres LL, Martin ML, Salerno GL.** 2012. Sucrose synthase in
650 unicellular cyanobacteria and its relationship with salt and hypoxic stress. *Planta*
651 **235**:955-964.

- 652 9. **Yamanaka T.** 2008. Chemolithoautotrophic Bacteria: Biochemistry and
653 Environmental Biology. Springer, Japan.
- 654 10. **Teske A, Alm E, Regan JM, Toze S, Rittmann BE, Stahl DA.** 1994.
655 Evolutionary relationships among ammonia- and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. J
656 Bacteriol **176**:6623-6630.
- 657 11. **Vannelli T, Logan M, Arciero DM, Hooper AB.** 1990. Degradation of
658 halogenated aliphatic compounds by the ammonia- oxidizing bacterium
659 *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Appl Environ Microbiol **56**:1169-1171.
- 660 12. **Chain P, Kurtz S, Ohlebusch E, Slezak T.** 2003. An applications-focused
661 review of comparative genomics tools: capabilities, limitations and future
662 challenges. Brief Bioinform **4**:105-123.
- 663 13. **Hooper AB.** 1969. Biochemical basis of obligate autotrophy in *Nitrosomonas*
664 *europaea*. J Bacteriol **97**:776-779.
- 665 14. **Baroja-Fernandez E, Munoz FJ, Saikusa T, Rodriguez-Lopez M, Akazawa**
666 **T, Pozueta-Romero J.** 2003. Sucrose synthase catalyzes the de novo production
667 of ADPglucose linked to starch biosynthesis in heterotrophic tissues of plants.
668 Plant Cell Physiol **44**:500-509.
- 669 15. **Delmer DP.** 1972. The purification and properties of sucrose synthetase from
670 etiolated *Phaseolus aureus* seedlings. J Biol Chem **247**:3822-3828.
- 671 16. **Figuroa CM, Asencion Diez MD, Kuhn ML, McEwen S, Salerno GL,**
672 **Iglesias AA, Ballicora MA.** 2013. The unique nucleotide specificity of the
673 sucrose synthase from *Thermosynechococcus elongatus*. FEBS Lett **587**:165-169.

- 674 17. **Grimes WJ, Jones BL, Albersheim P.** 1970. Sucrose synthetase from *Phaseolus*
675 *aureus* seedlings. J Biol Chem **245**:188-197.
- 676 18. **Morell M, Copeland L.** 1985. Sucrose synthase of soybean nodules. Plant
677 Physiol **78**:149-154.
- 678 19. **Porchia AC, Curatti L, Salerno GL.** 1999. Sucrose metabolism in
679 cyanobacteria: sucrose synthase from *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC 7119 is
680 remarkably different from the plant enzymes with respect to substrate affinity and
681 amino-terminal sequence. Planta **210**:34-40.
- 682 20. **Ross HA, Davies HV.** 1992. Purification and Characterization of Sucrose
683 Synthase from the Cotyledons of *Vicia faba* L. Plant Physiol **100**:1008-1013.
- 684 21. **Tanase K, Yamaki S.** 2000. Purification and characterization of two sucrose
685 synthase isoforms from Japanese pear fruit. Plant Cell Physiol **41**:408-414.
- 686 22. **Curatti L, Giarrocco LE, Cumino AC, Salerno GL.** 2008. Sucrose synthase is
687 involved in the conversion of sucrose to polysaccharides in filamentous nitrogen-
688 fixing cyanobacteria. Planta **228**:617-625.
- 689 23. **Zheng Y, Anderson S, Zhang Y, Garavito RM.** 2011. The structure of sucrose
690 synthase-1 from *Arabidopsis thaliana* and its functional implications. J Biol
691 Chem **286**:36108-36118.
- 692 24. **Lairson LL, Henrissat B, Davies GJ, Withers SG.** 2008. Glycosyltransferases:
693 structures, functions, and mechanisms. Annu Rev Biochem **77**:521-555.
- 694 25. **Sheng F, Jia X, Yep A, Preiss J, Geiger JH.** 2009. The crystal structures of the
695 open and catalytically competent closed conformation of *Escherichia coli*
696 glycogen synthase. J Biol Chem **284**:17796-17807.

- 697 26. **Hardin SC, Winter H, Huber SC.** 2004. Phosphorylation of the amino terminus
698 of maize sucrose synthase in relation to membrane association and enzyme
699 activity. *Plant Physiol* **134**:1427-1438.
- 700 27. **Klotz KL, Finger FL, Shelver WL.** 2003. Characterization of two sucrose
701 synthase isoforms in sugarbeet root. *Plant Physiol Biochem* **41**:107-115.
- 702 28. **Schäfer WE, Rohwer JM, Botha FC.** 2004. A kinetic study of sugarcane
703 sucrose synthase. *Eur J Biochem* **271**:3971-3977.
- 704 29. **Wolosiuk RA, Pontis HG.** 1974. Studies on sucrose synthetase. Kinetic
705 mechanism. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **165**:140-145.
- 706 30. **Kuhn ML, Falaschetti CA, Ballicora MA.** 2009. *Ostreococcus tauri* ADP-
707 glucose pyrophosphorylase reveals alternative paths for the evolution of subunit
708 roles. *J Biol Chem* **284**:34092-34102.
- 709 31. **Sambrook J, Russell DW.** 2001. *Molecular Cloning: A laboratory Manual*, 3rd
710 ed, vol. 2. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- 711 32. **Laemmli UK.** 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the
712 head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* **227**:680-685.
- 713 33. **Hall TA.** 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and
714 analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucl Acids Symp Ser* **41**:95-98.
- 715 34. **Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O.** 2010. SeaView version 4: A multiplatform
716 graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building.
717 *Mol Biol Evol* **27**:221-224.
- 718 35. **Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR,**
719 **Keegan RM, Krissinel EB, Leslie AG, McCoy A, McNicholas SJ, Murshudov**

- 720 **GN, Pannu NS, Potterton EA, Powell HR, Read RJ, Vagin A, Wilson KS.**
721 2011. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr D*
722 *Biol Crystallogr* **67**:235-242.
- 723 36. **Diederichs K, Karplus PA.** 2013. Better models by discarding data? *Acta*
724 *Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **69**:1215-1222.
- 725 37. **McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read**
726 **RJ.** 2007. Phaser crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* **40**:658-674.
- 727 38. **Emsley P, Cowtan K.** 2004. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics.
728 *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **60**:2126-2132.
- 729 39. **Murshudov GN, Vagin AA, Dodson EJ.** 1997. Refinement of macromolecular
730 structures by the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol*
731 *Crystallogr* **53**:240-255.
- 732 40. **Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd**
733 **JJ, Hung LW, Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW,**
734 **Oeffner R, Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart**
735 **PH.** 2010. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular
736 structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**:213-221.
- 737 41. **Sali A, Blundell TL.** 1993. Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of
738 spatial restraints. *J Mol Biol* **234**:779-815.
- 739 42. **Luthy R, Bowie JU, Eisenberg D.** 1992. Assessment of protein models with
740 three-dimensional profiles. *Nature* **356**:83-85.

- 741 43. **Richards FM, Kundrot CE.** 1988. Identification of structural motifs from
742 protein coordinate data: secondary structure and first-level supersecondary
743 structure. *Proteins* **3**:71-84.
- 744 44. **Gibson RP, Tarling CA, Roberts S, Withers SG, Davies GJ.** 2004. The donor
745 subsite of trehalose-6-phosphate synthase: binary complexes with UDP-glucose
746 and UDP-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-glucose at 2 Å resolution. *J Biol Chem* **279**:1950-
747 1955.
- 748 45. **Duncan KA, Huber SC.** 2007. Sucrose synthase oligomerization and F-actin
749 association are regulated by sucrose concentration and phosphorylation. *Plant Cell*
750 *Physiol* **48**:1612-1623.
- 751 46. **Guerrero-Barrera AL, Garcia-Cuellar CM, Villalba JD, Segura-Nieto M,**
752 **Gomez-Lojero C, Reyes ME, Hernandez JM, Garcia RM, de la Garza M.**
753 1996. Actin-related proteins in *Anabaena spp.* and *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiology*
754 **142 (Pt 5)**:1133-1140.
- 755 47. **Buschiazzo A, Ugalde JE, Guerin ME, Shepard W, Ugalde RA, Alzari PM.**
756 2004. Crystal structure of glycogen synthase: homologous enzymes catalyze
757 glycogen synthesis and degradation. *EMBO J* **23**:3196-3205.
- 758 48. **Yep A, Ballicora MA, Preiss J.** 2006. The ADP-glucose binding site of the
759 *Escherichia coli* glycogen synthase. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **453**:188-196.
- 760 49. **Gibson RP, Turkenburg JP, Charnock SJ, Lloyd R, Davies GJ.** 2002. Insights
761 into trehalose synthesis provided by the structure of the retaining
762 glucosyltransferase OtsA. *Chem Biol* **9**:1337-1346.

- 763 50. **Schinzel R, Drucekes P.** 1991. The phosphate recognition site of *Escherichia*
764 *coli* maltodextrin phosphorylase. FEBS Lett **286**:125-128.
- 765 51. **Schinzel R, Palm D.** 1990. *Escherichia coli* maltodextrin phosphorylase:
766 contribution of active site residues glutamate-637 and tyrosine-538 to the
767 phosphorolytic cleavage of alpha-glucans. Biochemistry **29**:9956-9962.
- 768 52. **Yep A, Ballicora MA, Preiss J.** 2004. The active site of the *Escherichia coli*
769 glycogen synthase is similar to the active site of retaining GT-B
770 glycosyltransferases. Biochem Biophys Res Commun **316**:960-966.
- 771 53. **Lee SS, Hong SY, Errey JC, Izumi A, Davies GJ, Davis BG.** 2011.
772 Mechanistic evidence for a front-side, S_Ni-type reaction in a retaining
773 glycosyltransferase. Nat Chem Biol **7**:631-638.
- 774 54. **Gomez H, Lluch JM, Masgrau L.** 2013. Substrate-assisted and nucleophilically
775 assisted catalysis in bovine alpha1,3-galactosyltransferase. Mechanistic
776 implications for retaining glycosyltransferases. J Am Chem Soc **135**:7053-7063.
- 777 55. **Mitchell EP, Withers SG, Ermert P, Vasella AT, Garman EF, Oikonomakos**
778 **NG, Johnson LN.** 1996. Ternary complex crystal structures of glycogen
779 phosphorylase with the transition state analogue nojirimycin tetrazole and
780 phosphate in the T and R states. Biochemistry **35**:7341-7355.
- 781 56. **Diaz A, Diaz-Lobo M, Grados E, Guinovart JJ, Fita I, Ferrer JC.** 2012. Lyase
782 activity of glycogen synthase: Is an elimination/addition mechanism a possible
783 reaction pathway for retaining glycosyltransferases? IUBMB Life **64**:649-658.
- 784 57. **Koshland DE.** 1958. Application of a Theory of Enzyme Specificity to Protein
785 Synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **44**:98-104.

- 786 58. **Johnson KA.** 2008. Role of induced fit in enzyme specificity: a molecular
787 forward/reverse switch. *J Biol Chem* **283**:26297-26301.
- 788 59. **Fersht A.** 1999. *Structure and mechanism in protein science : a guide to enzyme*
789 *catalysis and protein folding.* W.H. Freeman, New York.
- 790 60. **Gomez H, Polyak I, Thiel W, Lluch JM, Masgrau L.** 2012. Retaining
791 glycosyltransferase mechanism studied by QM/MM methods: lipopolysaccharyl-
792 alpha-1,4-galactosyltransferase C transfers alpha-galactose via an oxocarbenium
793 ion-like transition state. *J Am Chem Soc* **134**:4743-4752.
- 794 61. **Chua TK, Bujnicki JM, Tan TC, Huynh F, Patel BK, Sivaraman J.** 2008. The
795 structure of sucrose phosphate synthase from *Halothermothrix orenii* reveals its
796 mechanism of action and binding mode. *Plant Cell* **20**:1059-1072.
- 797 62. **Zheng L, Zhou X, Zhang H, Ji X, Li L, Huang L, Bai L, Zhang H.** 2012.
798 Structural and functional analysis of validoxylamine A 7'-phosphate synthase
799 ValL involved in validamycin A biosynthesis. *PLoS One* **7**:e32033.
- 800 63. **Cavalier MC, Yim YS, Asamizu S, Neau D, Almabruk KH, Mahmud T, Lee**
801 **YH.** 2012. Mechanistic insights into validoxylamine A 7'-phosphate synthesis by
802 VldE using the structure of the entire product complex. *PLoS One* **7**:e44934.
- 803 64. **Kolman MA, Nishi CN, Perez-Cenci M, Salerno GL.** 2015. Sucrose in
804 cyanobacteria: from a salt-response molecule to play a key role in nitrogen
805 fixation. *Life (Basel)* **5**:102-126.
- 806
- 807

808 **FIGURE LEGENDS**809 **FIGURE 1. The crystal structure of the sucrose synthase from *N. europaea*. A.**

810 Tetrameric structure of the enzyme. B. Monomeric structure and its different domains:

811 SSN-1, SSN-2, GT-B(A), and GT-B(D), and a linker between SSN-1 and SSN-2.

812

813 **FIGURE 2. Phylogenetic tree of sucrose synthases.** Group I contains sequences from

814 cyanobacteria and is divided in two major branches, susA (cyan) and susB (orange)

815 proteins; groups II and III (pink) contain sequences from proteobacteria; group IV

816 contains the sequences from the moss *P. patens* (violet); and groups V, VI, and VII,

817 which contain the sequences from vascular plants are further divided in two branches,

818 dicots (green) and monocots (blue). Numbers for major branches are the bootstrap values

819 obtained during tree reconstruction, as described in the “Materials and Methods” section.

820 *Neu*, *N. europaea*; *Ath*, *A. thaliana* sucrose synthase 1; *Nos*, *Nostoc* sp. PCC 7120 susA;821 *Tel*, *T. elongatus*.

822

823 **FIGURE 3. Comparison of the open and closed monomeric forms.** The open form824 structure is represented by the *N. europaea* sucrose synthase structure reported in this825 paper; the closed form is represented by the *A. thaliana* enzyme (PDB ID 3S29). The

826 SNN-1, SNN-2 and GT-B(A) domains are shown in blue for the open form structure and

827 in cyan for the closed form structure. The GT-B(D) domain is shown in magenta for the

828 open form and in green for the closed form. The “hinge-latch” features of the domain

829 movement are shown in blown-up views.

830

831 **FIGURE 4. Difference distance matrix map of the GT-B(A) domain.** Distances were
832 calculated between all pair of C α carbon of the open structure (*N. europaea* sucrose
833 synthase). A second pairwise distance matrix was calculated for the closed structure
834 (homology model as described in “Materials and Methods”). Afterwards, these two
835 matrices were subtracted, and the Δ distance was color coded. The negative and zero
836 values are represented in white. Red colors (higher Δ distance values) are pairs of C α
837 carbon that are getting closer upon closing of the enzyme. Only residues from 260 to 510
838 are shown, which correspond to the GT-B(A) domain.

839

840 **FIGURE 5. Overlap comparison of the fructose binding sites of the open (*N.***
841 ***europaea*) and closed (*A. thaliana*, PDB ID 3S29) sucrose synthase structures.** The
842 carbon atoms in the closed form structure are in pale yellow. The carbon atoms in the
843 open form structure are in cyan (GT-B(A) domain) and pink (GT-B(D) domain).
844 Conserved residues between two structures are labeled with respective residue numbers;
845 the residue numbers of the open form structure are in parenthesis. The hydrogen bonds in
846 the GT-B(D) domain are shown in black. The hydrogen bonds in between GT-B(A) and
847 GT-B(D) domains and the ones in GT-B(A) domains are shown in green.

848

849 **FIGURE 6. Overlap comparison of the nucleotide binding sites of the open (*N.***
850 ***europaea*) and closed (*A. thaliana*, PDB ID 3S29) sucrose synthase structures.** The
851 carbon atoms in the closed form structure are in pale yellow. The carbon atoms in the
852 open form structure are in cyan (GT-B(A) domain) and pink (GT-B(D) domain).
853 Conserved residues between two structures are labeled with respective residue numbers;

854 the residue numbers of the open form structure are in parenthesis. The asterisks indicate
855 the non-conserved binding residues with the closed form residues labeled in front of the
856 ones in the open form structure.

857

858 **FIGURE 7. Modeling of the ADP-Glc binding site.** Panel A shows the GT-B(D)
859 domain of the *N. europaea* sucrose synthase, in which ADP has been modeled with
860 Modeller. For that purpose, the closed structure of glycogen synthase with ADP bound
861 (PDB code: 2QZS) was manually aligned to the closed structures of *A. thaliana*, (PDB
862 code: 3S27 and 3S28) and the structure from *N. europaea* (this paper). All those
863 alignments were used as templates. Loops that did not structurally align well were not
864 used for the modelling and the backbone structure was inherited from the *A. thaliana*
865 structures. The rest of the modelling and validation proceeded as described in “Materials
866 and Methods”. Panel B shows the GT-B(D) domain from *A. thaliana* (PDB code: 3S27)
867 and the UDP bound.

868

869 **FIGURE 8. Hinge analysis by comparison of the open v. close conformations.** The
870 blue and red show the “hinge score” using 51 and 9 windows, respectively. The magmata
871 dots (also point with black arrows) shows the two distinct hinges: G514 and W743. The
872 purple arrows points at the region displaying the secondary structure rearrangements.

873

874 **FIGURE 9. Hydrophobic residues contribute to the latch action.** Panel A depicts the
875 open (*N. europaea* sucrose synthase crystal structure) and B a homology model of a
876 closed structure, which was built as described in the “Materials and Methods” section.

877 Upon closing, the hydrophobic residues: M635, L637 in the GT-B (D) domain and N280,
878 V281, L282 and L284 in GT-B(A) domain generate a hydrophobic environment that
879 stabilize the close action.

880

881 **FIGURE 10. Three highly conserved catalytic residues in different members of the**

882 **retaining GT-B glycosyltransferase family.** The structures analysed in this figure are

883 maltodextrin phosphorylase (PDB ID 1E4O), trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (PDB ID

884 1GZ5), and glycogen synthase (PDB ID 2ZQS) from *E. coli*, sucrose synthase from *A.*

885 *thaliana* (PDB ID 3S29), and *N. europaea* (this work).

886

887

888 **TABLE 1. Kinetic parameters of substrates of the *N. europaea* sucrose synthase in**
889 **the synthesis direction.** Assays were performed using the conditions described in the
890 “Materials and Methods” section. Analogous values to catalytic efficiency ($k_{\text{cat}}/S_{0.5}$) were
891 calculated using the predicted molecular mass of 93 kDa.
892

| Substrate | $S_{0.5}$ (mM) | V_{max} (U mg ⁻¹) | n_{H} | $k_{\text{cat}}/S_{0.5}$ (mM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---|----------------|---|
| UDP-Glc | 0.89 ± 0.05 | 4.3 ± 0.1 | 1.1 | 7.5 |
| ADP-Glc | 0.044 ± 0.006 | 3.7 ± 0.1 | 1.3 | 130.3 |
| Fru _(UDP-Glc) | 120 ± 10 | 2.8 ± 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.036 |
| Fru _(ADP-Glc) | 5.6 ± 0.4 | 4.8 ± 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.33 |

893

894

895 **TABLE 2. Data collection and refinement statistics.**

| Data Processing | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|
| Space group | P65 | |
| Cell dimensions | | |
| a; b; c (Å) | 236.90; 236.90; 231.44 | |
| α ; β ; γ (°) | 90.00; 90.00; 120.00 | |
| Resolution (Å) | 3.05 | |
| Mosaicity (°) | 0.47 | |
| ^a R _{merge} | 0.169 (0.963) | |
| CC _{1/2} | 0.993(0.561) | 0.993(0.561) |
| I/sigma | 9.6 (2.1) | |
| Completeness | 97.9 (98.6) | |
| Multiplicity | 6.3 (6.3) | |
| Refinement | | |
| Resolution (Å) | 3.05 | |
| No. reflections | 794715 | |
| No. unique reflections | 126170 | |
| ^b R _{work} / ^c R _{free} | 17.37/21.75 | |
| ^d RMSD Bond length (Å) | 0.009 | |
| RMSD Bond angle (°) | 1.439 | |

896

897 The values for the highest resolution bin are in parentheses.

898 ^aLinear R_{merge} = $\sum |I_{\text{obs}} - I_{\text{avg}}| / \sum I_{\text{avg}}$ 899 ^bR = $\sum |F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}| / \sum F_{\text{obs}}$.900 ^cFive percent of the reflection data were selected at random as a test set and only these901 data were used to calculate R_{free}.902 ^dRMSD, root mean square deviation.

903 **TABLE 3. Activity of wild type and mutants of the *N. europaea* sucrose synthase.**

904 Assays were performed using the conditions described in the “Materials and Methods”

905 section.

| Substrate | V_{\max} (U mg ⁻¹) | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| | WT | R567A | K572A | E663A |
| UDP-Glc | 4.3 ± 0.1 | < 0.0017 | < 0.0019 | < 0.01 |
| ADP-Glc | 3.7 ± 0.1 | < 0.0014 | < 0.0016 | 0.020 ± 0.02 |

906

907

908

909



















