



Psychology: Faculty Publications and Other Works

Faculty Publications

2012

Explaining the Longitudinal Association Between Puberty and Depression: Sex Differences in the Mediating Effects of Peer Stress

Colleen S. Conley Loyola University Chicago, cconley@luc.edu

Karen D. Rudolph University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Fred B. Bryant Loyola University Chicago, fbryant@luc.edu

Recommended Citation

Conley, C.S., Rudolph, K.D., and Bryant, F.B. (2012). Explaining the longitudinal association between puberty and depression: sex differences in the mediating effects of peer stress. Development and Psychopathology 24, 691-701.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Publications at Loyola eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychology: Faculty Publications and Other Works by an authorized administrator of Loyola eCommons. For more information, please contact ecommons@luc.edu.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 License. © Cambridge University Press, 2012.

REGULAR ARTICLE Explaining the longitudinal association between puberty and depression: Sex differences in the mediating effects of peer stress

COLLEEN S. CONLEY,^a KAREN D. RUDOLPH,^b AND FRED B. BRYANT^a

^aLoyola University Chicago; and ^bUniversity of Illinois, Urbana–Champaign

Abstract

This research investigated whether exposure to peer stress serves as one pathway through which pubertal development contributes to depression over time, differentially for girls and boys. Youth (N = 149; 9.6–14.8 years) and their caregivers provided information at two waves, 1 year apart, on puberty (Wave 1), peer stress (occurring between Waves 1 and 2), and depression (Waves 1 and 2). Structural equation modeling analyses examined sex differences in the extent to which peer stress mediated the impact of pubertal status and timing on subsequent depression (i.e., tests of moderated mediation). Significant sex-moderated mediation was found for both pubertal status and timing. As indicated by moderate effect proportions, in girls, heightened peer stress partially mediated the longitudinal association between (a) more advanced pubertal status and depression; and (b) linear, but not curvilinear, pubertal timing (i.e., earlier maturation) and depression. This research contributes to our growing understanding of the interplay among physical, psychological, and social processes involved in the sex difference in adolescent depression.

Recent research implicates puberty, more so than age, in the emergent sex difference in adolescent depression (Conley & Rudolph, 2009; Hayward, Gotlib, Schraedley, & Litt, 1999). Yet, very little research explores why or how puberty influences depression, and the resulting sex difference that emerges in adolescence. The complex processes underlying the development of psychopathology necessitate research examining contributions from multiple systems (biological, psychological, and interpersonal) and the dynamic transactions between developing adolescents and their social contexts (Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2002; Lerner, 1987; Sroufe & Rutter, 1984). Following recent developments in research on the psychosocial context and effects of puberty (Compian, Gowen, & Hayward, 2009; Conley & Rudolph, 2009; Graber, Brooks-Gunn, & Archibald, 2005), the present study investigated sex differences in one possible pathway through which

puberty contributes to adolescent depression, namely, exposure to heightened peer stress.

Pubertal Development and the Sex Difference in Depression

Research on pubertal development has revealed, beyond mere physical and biological changes, many psychological and social implications of puberty (Graber, 2003; Haynie & Piquero, 2006). There are several ways in which pubertal development might heighten risk for depression in particular. On a psychosocial level, pubertal changes confer negative psychological (e.g., poor body image) and social (e.g., exclusion, victimization) risks, which in turn predict depression. On a physical level, puberty entails bodily changes that mark a physical transition to adulthood at a time when adolescents are socially unprepared. On a biological level, puberty brings hormonal changes linked to depression (Angold, Costello, Erkanli, & Worthman, 1999; Susman, Dorn, & Chrousos, 1991). Just as pubertal hormones differ for girls and boys, the psychological and social effects of puberty vary by sex, which might influence the emerging sex difference in adolescent depression.

Pubertal *status*, one's stage of physical maturation, has been implicated in rising adolescent depression and the sex difference therein. More mature pubertal status in girls, but not in boys, is linked to higher rates of depressive disorders (Angold, Costello, & Worthman, 1998), as well as to higher levels of depressive symptoms and mood (Ge, Elder, Regnerus, & Cox, 2001; Hayward et al., 1999; Wichstrom, 1999). In one study,

We thank the families who participated in this study. We are grateful to Melissa Caldwell, Alyssa Clark, Alison Dupre, Megan Flynn, Alison Groot, Elisa Krackow, and Kathryn Kurlakowsky for their assistance in data collection and management, and to Devin Carey for her assistance in manuscript preparation. This research was supported by a University of Illinois Research Board Arnold O. Beckman Award, a William T. Grant Foundation Faculty Scholars Award, and National Institute of Mental Health Grant MH59711 (to K.D.R.). Colleen S. Conley was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship and a University of Illinois Distinguished Fellowship.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Colleen S. Conley, Department of Psychology, Loyola University Chicago, 6525 North Sheridan Road, Chicago, IL 60626; E-mail: cconley@luc.edu.

pubertal status fully accounted for the sex difference in adolescent depression (Ge, Conger, & Elder, 2001). Other research reveals that pubertal status, but not age, accounts for the sex difference in depression (Angold et al., 1998; Conley & Rudolph, 2009).

Pubertal *timing* (pubertal status relative to age) might have even stronger links with psychosocial adjustment (Negriff, Fung, & Trickett, 2008). Earlier-developing adolescents might be underprepared for these changes, feel deviant and insecure about their difference, and lack social support from peers experiencing similar changes (Petersen, 1983; Ruble & Brooks-Gunn, 1982). On the other end of the spectrum, youth who develop later than their peers might feel left behind as their moredeveloped peers transition into adolescence. Thus, both earlier and later pubertal timing can heighten risk for psychosocial distress (Natsuaki, Biehl, & Ge, 2009; Weichold, Silbereisen, & Schmitt-Rodermund, 2003). Indeed, research links pubertal timing with depression, particularly in girls. Most consistently, earlier-maturing girls exhibit more depressive disorders, symptoms, and mood than their on-time or later-maturing peers (e.g., Conley & Rudolph, 2009; Ge et al., 2003; Negriff et al., 2008; Patton et al., 2008). Later-maturing girls also experience psychological difficulties (Carter, Jaccard, Silverman, & Pina, 2009; Dorn, Susman, & Ponirakis, 2003; Natsuaki, Biehl, et al., 2009). Among boys, *later* maturation is associated with elevated depressive symptoms (Benjet & Hernández-Guzmán, 2002; Dorn et al., 2003; Huddleston & Ge, 2003; Weichold et al., 2003), and there is increasing evidence that earlier-maturing boys also exhibit more depression (Kaltiala-Heino, Kosunen, & Rimpela, 2003; Natsuaki, Biehl, et al., 2009; Negriff et al., 2008). These findings suggest a curvilinear association between pubertal timing and depression for both girls and boys (Conley & Rudolph, 2009; for reviews, see Huddleston & Ge, 2003; Weichold et al., 2003).

Social Processes Linking Puberty to Depression

Puberty is likely to affect adolescents' social worlds because it occurs within a social context. Pubertal development entails bodily changes that are held to close scrutiny by peers, amplified by the focus on social comparison and conformity in adolescence (Brooks-Gunn & Warren, 1989; Ruble & Brooks-Gunn, 1982). Further, many of the psychological effects of puberty depend on adolescents' reference to their peer group. In many Western cultures, for boys it is socially desirable to have the postpubertal physical form, whereas for girls it is not (Petersen & Crockett, 1985); thus, pubertal maturation has more negative psychological and social effects for girls compared to boys in general, and for earlier-developing girls and later-developing boys in particular (Felson & Haynie, 2002; Simmons, Blyth, & McKinney, 1983; Taga, Markey, & Friedman, 2006; Tobin-Richards, Boxer, & Petersen, 1983). For example, more advanced status and earlier timing are linked to girls' quantity and quality of friendships, friendship group composition (e.g., deviant peers, opposite-sex peers), and involvement in romantic and sexual relationships (Cavanagh, 2004; Haynie, 2003). A growing body of research links girls' off-time development in both directions (earlier and later) to social disadvantages and stressors, including lack of close friendships (earlier and later puberty; Brooks-Gunn, Warren, Samelson, & Fox, 1986), low social support, acceptance, and popularity (later puberty; Brooks-Gunn & Warren, 1988; Michael & Eccles, 2003), and greater physiological reactivity to interpersonal conflict (earlier puberty; Smith & Powers, 2009). Furthermore, girls typically have a stronger depressive response to peer stress, or more broadly to interpersonal stress (Leadbeater, Blatt, & Quinlan, 1995; Oldenburg & Kerns, 1997; Rudolph, 2002; Rudolph & Hammen, 1999; Schraedley, Gotlib, & Hayward, 1999). In sum, this research suggests a curvilinear association between pubertal timing and stress in the peer domain, such that offtime development confers social disadvantages for girls, more so than for boys, which in turn might contribute to the sex difference in adolescent depression (Rudolph, 2009).

Although direct tests of such mediation pathways are limited, some research suggests that the impact of puberty on depression is at least in part due to psychosocial influences. For example, a recent study (Natsuaki, Klimes-Dougan, et al., 2009) revealed that earlier-maturing girls displayed heightened internalizing symptoms, in part because of their elevated sensitivity to interpersonal stress. In contrast, this mediation pathway did not hold up in boys because the association between interpersonal sensitivity and internalizing symptoms was nonsignificant. Other research found that earlier pubertal timing in girls predicted less adaptive responses to peer stress, which in turn predicted higher levels of aggression, but this model did not hold up for internalizing symptoms (Sontag, Graber, Brooks-Gunn, & Warren, 2008). A similar study (Graber, Brooks-Gunn, & Warren, 2006) revealed that the association between girls' earlier pubertal timing and depressive symptoms was mediated by emotional arousal.

Despite these important contributions, prior research examining mediational pathways linking puberty to adjustment suffers from several methodological limitations, including (a) concurrent designs; (b) the predominant use of symptom checklists to assess depression, which may not provide optimal discrimination among different types of psychopathology; and (c) limited assessment and operationalization of pubertal development (e.g., single-item assessments, dichotomous categories rather than continuous variables of pubertal timing; for two exceptions, see Lindberg, Grabe, & Hyde, 2007; Natsuaki, Klimes-Dougan, et al., 2009). The present study aimed to address these limitations and thus illuminate the longitudinal process linking puberty to depression in adolescence.

Study Overview

A large body of research suggests that puberty contributes to adolescents' peer contexts and depression. Research also shows that peer stressors contribute to depression among adolescents, particularly girls (Hankin, Mermelstein, & Roesch, 2007; Rudolph, Flynn, Abaied, Groot, & Thompson, 2009). Furthermore, the psychosocial effects of puberty appear to be more devastating for girls than for boys. This study extends prior research by examining whether puberty contributes to adolescent depression through heightened stress in the peer domain, differentially for girls and boys. Specifically, we hypothesized the following:

- 1. Sex would moderate the pathway from puberty to peer stress to depression.
- 2. Puberty would predict both peer stress and depression in the following ways:
 - a. More advanced *pubertal status* was expected to predict heightened subsequent peer stress and depression in girls (i.e., a positive linear association) but either to have no association, or to predict less subsequent peer stress and depression, in boys (i.e., a null or negative linear association).
 - b. In girls, both positive linear and positive curvilinear associations were expected from *pubertal timing* to subsequent peer stress and depression. In other words, earlier pubertal timing, and to a lesser extent, later timing, would predict more peer stress and depression over time. In boys, the opposite pattern was expected: later timing, and to a lesser extent, earlier timing, would predict more peer stress and depression over time. In boys, the opposite pattern was expected: later timing, and to a lesser extent, earlier timing, would predict more peer stress and depression over time (i.e., negative linear and positive curvilinear associations).
- 3. Peer stress would predict depression, above and beyond the contribution of puberty, in both girls and boys (but more strongly in girls).
- 4. The inclusion of peer stress in the longitudinal models would reduce the effects of puberty on depression, more strongly in girls than in boys.

Method

Participants

The present study involved the first two waves (Wave 1 and Wave 2) of a longitudinal investigation examining the development of depression during the adolescent transition (e.g., Conley & Rudolph, 2009; Rudolph et al., 2009; Rudolph & Troop-Gordon, 2010). Participants in the longitudinal study included 167 families drawn from a midsized midwestern city and several rural towns. Recruited youth for the longitudinal study had participated in schoolwide screenings using the Children's Depression Inventory (CDI; Kovacs, 1992). Youth who participated in these screenings represented approximately 80% of targeted participants. From the screening sample (n = 1,985), we selected potential participants (n =468) along the range of the CDI, oversampling slightly at the high end without regard to sex (i.e., whereas 15.8% of the screening sample had CDI scores above 18, 20.3% of the participants we targeted for recruitment fell into this category). Participants from the screening sample were recruited for the longitudinal study based on CDI scores, a maternal caregiver in the home, and proximity to the university, until

the targeted sample was successfully recruited. Participants and nonparticipants in the longitudinal study did not differ in sex, χ^2 (1) = 0.39, r = .04, p = .53, ethnicity (White vs. minority), χ^2 (1) = 0.02, r = .01, p = .89, or depressive symptoms, t (280) = 1.11, r = .07, p = .13. Participants (M = 12.41) were slightly younger than nonparticipants (M = 12.65), t (275) = 2.28, r = .14, p = .012.

This research focused on a subsample of 149 youth (78 girls, 71 boys) who had relevant data on pubertal development, peer stress, and depression.¹ Among this subsample (*M* age = 12.38, *SD* = 1.24, range = 9.6–14.8; 77.2% White, 22.8% minority), socioeconomic status was diverse, with total family income below \$30,000 for 16.4% of the sample, and above \$75,000 for 18.5% of the sample. Of the original 167 participants, youth with complete data did not differ from those with missing data, in sex, χ^2 (1) = 0.40, *r* = .08, *p* = .53, ethnicity (White vs. minority), χ^2 (1) = 0.35, *r* = .07, *p* = .55, or any of the puberty, stress, or depression variables (*ts* < 1.63, *rs* < .20, *ps* > .05), but those with complete data were slightly younger than those with missing data, *t* (165) = 2.18, *r* = .26, *p* = .015.

Procedure

Youth and primary female caregivers completed 3- to 4-hr assessments with two interviewers, at baseline and 1 year later. At each assessment, families received a cash stipend, and youth received a gift certificate.

Measures

Table 1 presents descriptive information for the measures.

Assessment of pubertal status and timing. Given this study's focus on how somatic changes associated with puberty influence depression, we followed precedent from past research by assessing secondary sex characteristics and other physical changes of puberty (Dubas, Graber, & Petersen, 1991; Ge, Elder, et al., 2001; Hayward, 2003; Petersen, Crockett, Richards, & Boxer, 1988). Participants completed two assessments of youths' pubertal status at Wave 1. The first measure consisted of a series of drawings illustrating the stages of pubertal development specified by Tanner (1969), and adapted by Morris and Udry (1980). Informants indicated which of the drawings in each group most closely matched youths' current stage of development.

Youths' self-ratings on the Tanner stages are significantly associated with clinician ratings on physical exams (Dorn, Susman, Nottelmann, Inoff-Germain, & Chrousos, 1990; Schlossberger, Turner, & Irwin, 1992; Shirtcliff, Dahl, & Pollak, 2009). In the present sample, youth and caregiver reports correlated well for girls' breast (r = .83, p < .001; 97% agreement within one category) and pubic hair (r = .68, p < .001;

Eight participants were missing Wave 1 puberty data, and an additional 10 participants were missing Wave 2 stress and/or depression data.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and comparisons by sex

	Girls			Boys		
	n	М	SD	n	М	SD
W ₁ pubertal status						
composite ^a	78	3.13	1.20	71	2.50	0.99
W ₁₋₂ chronic peer						
stress	78	2.09	0.89	71	2.23	0.91
W ₁₋₂ episodic peer						
stress	78	2.92	2.85	70	2.84	3.30
W ₁₋₂ peer stress						
composite	78	-0.03	0.85	71	0.02	0.89
W ₁ depression	78	0.63	1.36	71	0.61	1.19
W ₂ depression	78	0.71	1.41	71	0.46	0.92
$W_2[W_1]$ residualized						
depression	78	0.12	1.05	71	-0.13	0.94

Note: W_{1-2} peer stress consists of peer stress occurring between Wave 1 (W_1) and Wave 2 (W_2). W_2 [W_1] residualized depression consists of W_2 depression, adjusting for W_1 depression (both measures over the past month). ^{*a*} Variable differed significantly between sexes.

84% agreement within one category) development, and moderately well for boys' genital (r = .46, p < .01; 78% agreement within one category) and pubic hair (r = .66, p < .001; 79% agreement within one category) development, similar to other studies (e.g., Dorn et al., 1990). Youth and caregiver reports were averaged into consensual ratings, and then combined to form a single index of pubertal development ($\alpha s > 0.91$ for girls and boys; ps < .001). The present sample included youth across the full range of Tanner stages: 31.4% of girls and 35.8% of boys fell between 1 and 2, 41.5% of girls and 46.2% of boys fell between 2 and 4, and 27.1% of girls and 18% of boys fell between 4 and 5.

The second measure, the Pubertal Development Scale (PDS; Petersen et al., 1988) assesses five physical aspects of pubertal development with Likert ratings (1 = no development, 2 = development has just begun, 3 = development is definitely underway, 4 = development is complete). The PDS has been well validated, with interitem reliability ranging from the 0.50s to the 0.80s (median $\alpha = 0.71$ across three studies; Brooks-Gunn, Warren, Rosso, & Gargiulo, 1987; Petersen et al., 1988; Tobin-Richards et al., 1983). The PDS also is moderately correlated with clinician ratings of the Tanner stages (Brooks-Gunn et al., 1987; Shirtcliff et al., 2009).

We scored the PDS using an established method that maps the measure's five pubertal indicators onto two pubertal indexes: adrenal and gonadal maturation. These two scores map onto clinician-rated Tanner stages, using a parallel 5point scale, and correlate well with the underlying hormonal processes of puberty (see Shirtcliff et al., 2009). Youth and caregiver reports on these two PDS indexes were moderately correlated in the present sample (rs > .77 for girls and > .57for boys; ps < .001), and were averaged into consensual ratings. Following Shirtcliff et al. (2009), these two scores were then averaged to form a single index of pubertal development ($\alpha s > 0.85$ for girls and boys; ps < .001).

Creation of pubertal status and timing variables.

Pubertal status. Confirming the validity of Shirtcliff and colleagues' method (2009), scores from the Tanner ratings and the PDS correlated strongly with one another (rs = .86 for girls and .72 for boys; p < .001), and thus were averaged to form an overall composite index of pubertal maturation ($\alpha = 0.94$ for girls and 0.86 for boys; p < .001). Higher scores reflected *more advanced* pubertal status.

Pubertal timing. To create an index of pubertal timing, residualized scores were computed separately for girls and boys by regressing pubertal status onto chronological age. Higher scores reflected *earlier* maturation relative to one's age mates. This conceptualization and operationalization of pubertal timing (i.e., level of maturation relative to age) is consistent with a large body of theory and research on pubertal timing (e.g., Dorn et al., 2003; Steinberg, 1987; Susman & Rogol, 2004; Weichold et al., 2003).

Assessment and coding of peer stress. The Youth Life Stress Interview (Rudolph & Flynn, 2007), a revised version of the Child Episodic Life Stress and Chronic Strain Interviews (Rudolph & Hammen, 1999; Rudolph et al., 2000), assessed peer stress occurring between Wave 1 and Wave 2. This semistructured interview elicits information from youth and their caregivers about the nature and intensity of chronic and episodic stress youth experienced over the past year.

Interviewers presented narrative information to a team of trained coders who had no knowledge of youths' diagnostic status or subjective response to the stress. Coders provided consensual ratings based on youth and caregiver reports. For chronic stress, coders rated the severity of stress on a 5-point scale: 1 = no stress, 2 = mild stress, 3 = isolated stress, 4 = serious stress, 5 = severe stress.² For episodic stress, coders rated the stressfulness or negative impact of each event, from 1 (*none*) to 5 (*severe*),³ reflecting how stressful the event would be for a typical child in the described circumstances. Episodic peer stress scores were calculated as the total of the objective stress ratings for each peer event with a stress rating above 1.

To determine reliability, information from 41 interviews (including 160 episodic stress events) was presented to two teams of coders, who gave independent ratings. One-way random-effects intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) evi-

^{2.} An example of a participant with a chronic peer stress rating of 1 (no stress): has many friends including four close friends; sees friends every day and engages in many social activities; is not lonely, teased, or under peer pressure; does not have arguments with friends. Example of a participant with a chronic peer stress rating of 5 (severe stress): moved from another state in the middle of the school year; made one friend at school but they broke up; feels lonely and gets teased every day.

^{3.} An example of a peer event rated as 1.5 (lowest rating in sample; *no to mild stress*): grew apart from a friend, without any animosity, and while maintaining social contact in group settings. Example of a peer event rated as 4.5 (highest rating in sample; *serious to severe stress*): had a confrontational falling out with closest friend, resulting in ending the friendship and teasing by other peers.

denced high reliability for the chronic peer stress rating (ICC = 0.96) and the objective episodic stress rating (ICC = 0.90). The Cohen κ value for agreement on whether an event was peer-related was 1.00. As might be expected, stability was strong for chronic stress (r = .67, p < .001) and moderate for episodic stress (r = .37, p < .001). Confirming that chronic and episodic peer stress assess closely related aspects of peer stress, these two scores were moderately correlated (rs = .53 and .48, respectively, for Wave 1 and Wave 2, ps < .001). Thus, we computed a composite score of peer stress by averaging standardized scores on the two measures.

Assessment and coding of depression. Interviewers administered the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children—Epidemiologic Version 5 (Orvaschel, 1995) to youth and their caregivers, to assess youth depression at Wave 1 and Wave 2. Consensual diagnoses were assigned using a best-estimate approach (Klein, Lewinsohn, Rohde, Seeley, & Olino, 2005; Klein, Ouimette, Kelly, Ferro, & Riso, 1994) to combine youth and caregiver reports.

For each period and type (e.g., major depression, dysthymia) of depression (both diagnosable episodes and subclinical symptoms), interviewers used the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th ed., text revision; DSM-IV-TR; American Psychiatric Association, 2000) to assign ratings of depressive symptoms on a 5-point scale: 0 =no symptoms, 1 = mild symptoms, 2 = moderate symptoms, 3 = diagnosis with mild impairment, 4 = diagnosis with severe impairment. Based on DSM-IV criteria, these ratings considered the number, severity, frequency, duration, and resulting impairment of the reported symptoms. Thus, subclinical symptoms (i.e., mild or moderate) reflected the presence of symptoms that failed to meet one or more of the DSM-IV criteria (e.g., the youth had fewer than the required number of symptoms or had the required number of symptoms for less than the required duration). These ratings were then summed to create separate continuous depression scores for youths' level of depression at the time of each assessment (i.e., during the past month). Higher ratings reflect more severe symptoms within a single diagnostic category and/or the presence of symptoms from multiple categories (for similar

rating approaches, see Davila, Hammen, Burge, Paley, & Daley, 1995; Hammen, Shih, Altman, & Brennan, 2003; Hammen, Shih, & Brennan, 2004; Rudolph et al., 2000). Thus, these scores represent composite indexes of several different markers of depression severity. This continuous index of depression is consistent with contemporary conceptualizations, derived in part from taxometric analyses, that view depression as best represented by a dimensional continuum rather than a discrete category (Fergusson, Horwood, Ridder, & Beautrais, 2005; Hankin, Fraley, Lahey, & Waldman, 2005; Shih, Eberhart, Hammen, & Brennan, 2006). Depression ratings demonstrated strong interrater reliability (one-way random-effects ICC = 0.97, based on independent coding of 42 interviews) and high stability over time (r = .67, p < .001).

At Wave 1, 37 youth (24.8%) had some depression (i.e., a score above 0 for at least one type of depression), and 15 of these youth (10.1%) had a clinical diagnosis of depression or dysthymia. At Wave 2, 40 youth (26.8%) had some depression, and 12 of these youth (8.1%) had a diagnosis. Scores on the depression summary index ranged from 0 to 7 at Wave 1, and 0 to 6 at Wave 2.

Results

As reflected in Table 1, girls' pubertal status was significantly more advanced than boys', t (147) = 3.47, r = .28, p < .001. This difference, along with the absence of a sex difference in age, t (147) = .23, r = .02, p = .82, is consistent with the fact that pubertal maturation occurs earlier in girls than in boys. There were no sex differences in any other variables (ts < 1.52, rs < .12, ps > .065). The absence of sex differences in depression and peer stress is likely due to the fact that these sex differences tend to emerge during middle adolescence (about age 13; e.g., Costello, Mustillo, Erkanli, Keeler, & Angold, 2003; Ge, Lorenz, Conger, Elder, & Simons, 1994; Rudolph & Hammen, 1999), and nearly two-thirds of the present sample was younger than 13 years old.

Table 2 presents intercorrelations among the study variables. As expected, more advanced pubertal status and earlier pubertal timing generally correlated with peer stress and depression in girls. In contrast, this pattern was not as strong

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. W ₁ pubertal status composite		.66***	.20†	.22†	.22†	.13
2. W_1 pubertal timing	.75***		.22†	.27*	.35**	.28*
3. W_{1-2} peer stress composite	20^{+}	08		.39***	.51***	.42***
4. W ₁ depression	21†	17	.46**		.75***	.23*
5. W ₂ depression	03	.17	.58***	.48***		.82***
6.W ₂ [W ₁] residualized depression	.14	.33**	.23†	33**	.67***	

Table 2. Correlations among puberty, peer stress, and depression in girls and boys

Note: Correlations in girls are presented above the diagonal, and correlations in boys are presented below the diagonal. Ns = 78 girls and 71 boys. W_{1-2} peer stress consists of peer stress occurring between Wave 1 (W_1) and Wave 2 (W_2). $W_2[W_1]$ residualized depression consists of W_2 depression, adjusting for W_1 depression (both measures over the past month). †p < .10. *p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001. or consistent in boys. Furthermore, among both girls and boys, peer stress was strongly associated with depression. Notably, however, residualized depression was more strongly associated with peer stress in girls than in boys.

Overview: Data analysis strategy

Structural equation modeling analyses were conducted via LISREL 8 (Jöreskog & Sörbom, 1996) to examine sex differences in the pathway from puberty to peer stress to depression across a 1-year period. All path models used covariance matrices as input with maximum likelihood estimation, which is robust to moderate violations of nonnormality (Bollen, 1989). Path models were estimated separately for pubertal status and timing. Residualized depression (Wave 2 adjusting for Wave 1) was used as the final outcome variable to examine changes in depression over the past year. This allowed for a rigorous test of longitudinal effects, while also conserving degrees of freedom and increasing statistical power (Cohen & Cohen, 1975). Two steps were taken for each analysis.

First, to test hypotheses about differences in mediation between girls and boys, we used multigroup path analysis to impose cross-group equality constraints on the magnitude of path coefficients across groups (Jöreskog & Sörbom, 1996). We also used a cross-group algebraic constraint to provide a direct test of sex-moderated mediation by constraining the product of the path coefficients composing the indirect effect to be equal in girls and boys. Given a "saturated" baseline model with perfect goodness of fit, a significant multigroup chi-square value signified that the coefficients being constrained equal were in fact different across groups, whereas a nonsignificant multigroup chi-square value signified that the coefficients being compared did not differ across groups.

Second, when evidence was found for significant sex-moderated mediation, mediation was tested within girls and boys based on the parameters obtained from each single-group path model. Several indicators were examined to evaluate the degree of mediation (Baron & Kenny, 1986; MacKinnon, Lockwood, Hoffman, West, & Sheets, 2002; Shrout & Bolger, 2002). Each total effect (i.e., puberty to depression, without peer stress in the model) was compared to the corresponding direct effect (i.e., puberty to depression, with peer stress in the model). To quantify the strength of mediation, each indirect effect was examined for size, significance (Sobel, 1982, 1986), and ratio to the total effect (i.e., effect proportion mediated [P_M], using standardized estimates). When the total effect was smaller than the direct effect (i.e., suppression), the effect proportion was not calculated. Finally, the strength of the paths from puberty to peer stress, and peer stress to depression, was examined.⁴

Pubertal status

The model for pubertal status predicted 18% of the variance in depression in girls (medium effect size) but only 9% in boys (small effect size; see Figure 1). Confirming the a priori hypothesis, a test of sex-moderated mediation indicated that the indirect effect of pubertal status on depression via peer stress was significantly different in girls ($\beta = 0.08$, p =.10) and boys ($\beta = -0.05$, p = .17), χ^2 (1, N = 149) = 6.04, r = .20, p = .014. Multigroup path analysis using equality constraints revealed that the path coefficient linking pubertal status to peer stress significantly differed in girls and boys, χ^2 (1, N = 149) = 5.95, r = .20, p = .015. Although the effects within sex did not reach significance, more advanced pubertal status predicted more peer stress in girls (B = 0.20, p = .069) but less peer stress in boys ($\beta = -0.20$, p = .089). There was no significant sex difference in the strength of the path coefficient linking peer stress to depression, χ^2 (1, N = 149) = 1.52, r = .10, p = .22.

Within girls, pubertal status had a stronger effect on depression when stress was not included (total effect $\beta = 0.12$, p = .27) than when it was included (direct effect $\beta = 0.04$, p = .70) in the model; this indirect effect explained 67% of the total effect ($P_{\rm M} = 67\%$). Within boys, pubertal status had a stronger effect on depression when stress was included (direct effect $\beta = 0.20$, p = .094) than when it was not included (total effect $\beta = 0.15$, p = .23) in the model, suggesting that peer stress slightly suppressed the effect of pubertal status on depression.⁵

Pubertal timing

The model for pubertal timing predicted 21% of the variance in depression in girls (medium effect size) and 18% in boys (medium effect size; see Figure 2). Confirming the a priori hypothesis, a test of sex-moderated mediation indicated that the indirect effect of linear timing on depression via peer stress was significantly different in girls ($\beta = 0.09$, p =.071) and boys ($\beta = -0.02$, p = .53), χ^2 (1, N = 149) = 4.35, r = .17, p = .038. Contrary to predictions, a test of sex-moderated mediation indicated that the indirect effect of curvilinear timing on depression via peer stress was nonsignificant, χ^2 (1, N = 149) = 0.52, r = .04, p = .47. Thus, we did

^{4.} We report two-tailed p values based on unstandardized coefficients divided by their standard errors. Thus, a standardized coefficient can be non-significant even if it is larger than a smaller standardized coefficient that is statistically significant. As measures of effect size, we report Pearson r (for between-group differences in means and frequencies, and differences in maximum-likelihood chi-square values), and standardized regression coefficients (for direct, indirect, and total effects). In some cases, the

sum of the direct and indirect effects does not equal the total effect because of rounding error.

^{5.} To address the potential confounding effects of age when analyzing the impact of pubertal status on stress and depression, we estimated two additional path models: (a) one in which we added age as a second exogenous predictor along with pubertal status, with links from both age and pubertal status to stress and depression (and from stress to depression); and (b) the other in which we omitted pubertal status from the model and used age as the only exogenous predictor of stress and depression, with a link from stress to depression. Results revealed that the effects originally found for pubertal status were unchanged when controlling for the effects of age for both girls and boys. Moreover, age had no significant direct effects on either stress or depression for girls or boys when dropping pubertal status from the model. These findings strongly suggest that the effects we have identified for pubertal status are not due to age per se.





Figure 1. Peer stress as a mediator of the effect of pubertal status on subsequent depression in girls and boys. *p < .05. ***p < .001. N = 149 (78 girls, 71 boys).

not test for mediation in the path from curvilinear timing to peer stress to depression.

Multigroup path analysis using equality constraints revealed that the path coefficient linking linear timing to peer stress marginally differed in girls and boys, χ^2 (1, N = 149) = 3.57, r =.15, p = .059. Notably, earlier pubertal timing predicted more peer stress in girls ($\beta = 0.24$, p = .037) but the association was nonsignificant, and in the opposite direction, in boys ($\beta =$ -0.08, p = .52). There was no significant sex difference in the strength of the path coefficient linking peer stress to depression, χ^2 (1, N = 149) = 1.21, r = .12, p = .14.

Within girls, linear pubertal timing had a stronger effect on depression when stress was not included (total effect $\beta = 0.29$, p = .011) than when it was included (direct effect $\beta = 0.20$, p = .067) in the model; this indirect effect explained 31% of the total effect ($P_{\rm M} = 31\%$). Within boys, pubertal timing had a stronger effect on depression when stress was included (direct effect $\beta = 0.36$, p = .001) than when it was not included (total effect $\beta = 0.34$, p = .004) in the model, sug-

gesting that peer stress slightly suppressed the effect of pubertal timing on depression.

Discussion

Following the principles of developmental psychopathology and recommendations of developmental scientists (Cicchetti, Rogosch, & Toth, 1994; Graber, 2003; Hayward & Sanborn, 2002; Susman & Rogol, 2004), this research took a contextualized approach to understanding the developmental processes linking sex and puberty to depression. Consistent with predictions, structural equation modeling analyses confirmed that (a) sex moderated the pathway from puberty to peer stress to depression; (b) the associations between puberty (status and linear timing) and depression were in opposite directions for girls and boys; (c) peer stress predicted depression, above and beyond the contribution of puberty, in both girls and boys; although they did not significantly differ, these paths were consistently stronger in girls than in boys; (d) consequently, the indirect



Figure 2. Peer stress as a mediator of the linear and curvilinear effects of pubertal timing on subsequent depression in girls and boys. *p < .05. **p < .01. N = 149 (78 girls, 71 boys).

effects of puberty and depression were in opposite directions in girls and boys; and (e) in girls, peer stress accounted for 67% of the effect of pubertal status on depression, and 31% of the effect of pubertal timing on depression; in contrast, the mediated effect proportions were not calculated in boys due to suppression effects. In sum, this research elucidated sex differences in one pathway through which puberty influences depression, via heightened stress in the peer domain.

Peer stress as a mediator between puberty and depression

The present research provides evidence that peer stress partially accounts for the association between puberty and depression in girls but not in boys. In models of pubertal status and timing, the longitudinal association between puberty and depression was more strongly mediated by peer stress in girls than in boys. Specifically, peer stress accounted for a moderate to large amount (i.e., 31% to 67%) of the association between puberty and depression in girls but not in boys. Furthermore, puberty and peer stress together explained a moderate proportion of the variance in depression in girls, and a smaller proportion of the variance in boys. These findings provide evidence that, at least in girls, puberty partially contributes to depression in adolescents because it triggers stress in peer relationships, which in turn heightens depression. The pattern of stronger findings in girls is consistent with research highlighting girls' tendencies toward interpersonal sensitivity, social-evaluative concerns, and social approval-based self-evaluations, which often contribute to psychological distress (Crocker & Wolfe, 2001; Cross & Madson, 1997; La Greca & Lopez, 1998; Rudolph, Caldwell, & Conley, 2005; Rudolph & Conley, 2005).

The observed pathways in the present study suggest the developmental unfolding of transactions between adolescents and their social contexts (Cicchetti & Toth, 1994; Lerner, 1985; Sameroff, 1987). Specifically, adolescents' personal and physical characteristics (including sex, pubertal status, and pubertal timing) hold particular values and meanings, and evoke certain social responses. Depending on particular personal characteristics (e.g., being an earlier-developing girl), these social consequences can take the form of social exclusion, teasing, lowered social status, restricted friendships, or other forms of peer stress. These stressful peer experiences, in turn, feed back into the developing adolescent's adjustment, reflected in the depressive reactions that often accompany stress in the peer domain (Rudolph et al., 2000). Furthermore, by virtue of their pubertal development and timing, adolescents also seek out particular social relationships and environments (Brooks-Gunn et al., 1986; Magnusson, 1988). Thus, adolescents both select and shape their social contexts in ways that contribute to their subsequent developmental trajectories (Lerner, 1987; Scarr & McCartney, 1983; Steinberg, 1995).

Contributions and future directions

The present study contributes to our growing understanding of the interplay among physical, psychological, and social processes involved in the sex difference in adolescent depression. This research also offered various methodological strengths in comparison to past research in this area. At the same time, there are areas for improvement in future research. An important contribution of the present study is its longitudinal design, which is particularly significant in testing mediational models and for tracking development over time. The study's sample included a broad age range. However, many of the youth were still undergoing pubertal changes at Wave 2. This is particularly true for boys, who develop later than girls (Tanner, 1969). Future research with even broader age ranges can establish whether the current pattern of findings continues to hold later in adolescence.

Further, this study used a multiple-informant assessment approach and interview methods that are less subject to informant bias (Rudolph & Hammen, 1999; Rudolph et al., 2000). First, as recommended by Hayward (2003), we assessed multiple aspects of pubertal development. Two separate measures of pubertal development, from two informants, were converted into a composite index that has demonstrated reliability with both clinician-rated assessments and the underlying hormonal processes of puberty (Shirtcliff et al., 2009). However, future research is needed to determine whether the same pattern of findings would emerge for other assessments of puberty, such as hormone levels. Second, the in-depth semistructured life stress interview assessed both chronic and episodic peer stress, both of which are important contributors to psychological adjustment (Compas, 1987). Third, depression was assessed with a semistructured diagnostic interview, which provides optimal discrimination among different types of psychopathology. Despite recent emphasis on continuous assessments of psychopathology (Brown & Barlow, 2005; Hankin et al., 2005), and evidence that stress-depression links are similar for clinical and subclinical levels of depression (Shih et al., 2006), additional research with larger samples should confirm whether the present findings can be replicated when predicting categorical diagnoses of depression.

Finally, although this study confirmed significant contributions of puberty and peer stress to adolescent depression, many of the effect sizes were medium, or even small. Given the complex and dynamic nature of adolescent development, there are likely several other contributors to the emergence of sex differences in depression. For example, theory and research underscore the value of integrative models that consider physical and biological, cognitive, affective, and contextual processes (Cyranowski, Frank, Young, & Shear, 2000; DeRose, Wright, & Brooks-Gunn, 2006; Graber et al., 2005; Hilt & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2009; Hyde, Mezulis, & Abramson, 2008; Rudolph, 2009). The present research focused specifically on physical and social contributions within the peer domain. Future research is still needed to integrate various theoretical perspectives and research findings into a comprehensive developmental model of the sex difference in depression.

Summary and implications

These findings contribute to a growing body of research that demonstrates the role of social context in the sex-differentiated pathway between puberty and depression. Specifically, puberty and peer stress are two aspects of adolescent development that have a powerful influence on the development of depression, particularly in girls. More broadly, these findings suggest that there are longitudinal, transactional associations between developing adolescents and their social contexts, ultimately contributing to the rising rates of depression, and the sex difference therein, during adolescence.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed., text revision). Washington, DC: Author.
- Angold, A., Costello, E. J., Erkanli, A., & Worthman, C. M. (1999). Pubertal changes in hormone levels and depression in girls. *Psychological Medicine*, 29, 1043–1053.
- Angold, A., Costello, E. J., & Worthman, C. M. (1998). Puberty and depression: The roles of age, pubertal status and pubertal timing. *Psychological Medicine*, 28, 51–61.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173–1182.
- Benjet, C., & Hernández-Guzmán, L. (2002). A short-term longitudinal study of pubertal change, gender, and psychological well-being of Mexican early adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 31, 429–442.
- Bollen, K. A. (1989). Structural equations with latent variables. New York: Wiley.
- Brooks-Gunn, J., & Warren, M. P. (1988). The psychological significance of secondary sexual characteristics in nine- to eleven-year-old girls. *Child Development*, 59, 1061–1069.
- Brooks-Gunn, J., & Warren, M. P. (1989). Biological and social contributions to negative affect in young adolescent girls. *Child Development*, 60, 40–55.
- Brooks-Gunn, J., Warren, M. P., Rosso, J., & Gargiulo, J. (1987). Validity of self-report measures of girls' pubertal status. *Child Development*, 58, 829–841.
- Brooks-Gunn, J., Warren, M. P., Samelson, M., & Fox, R. (1986). Physical similarity of and disclosure of menarcheal status to friends: Effects of grade and pubertal status. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 6, 3–14.
- Brown, T. A., & Barlow, D. H. (2005). Dimensional versus categorical classification of mental disorders in the fifth edition of the diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders and beyond: Comment on the special section. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 114, 551–556.
- Carter, R., Jaccard, J., Silverman, W. K., & Pina, A. A. (2009). Pubertal timing and its link to behavioral and emotional problems among "at-risk" African American adolescent girls. *Journal of Adolescence*, 32, 467– 481.
- Cavanagh, S. E. (2004). The sexual debut of girls in early adolescence: The intersection of race, pubertal timing, and friendship group characteristics. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, *14*, 285–312.
- Cicchetti, D., & Rogosch, F. A. (2002). A developmental psychopathology perspective on adolescence. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychol*ogy, 70, 6–20.
- Cicchetti, D., Rogosch, F. A., & Toth, S. L. (1994). A developmental psychopathology perspective on depression in children and adolescents. In W. M. Reynolds & H. F. Johnston (Eds.), *Handbook of depression in children and adolescents* (pp. 123–141). New York: Plenum Press.
- Cicchetti, D., & Toth, S. L. (1994). The development of depression in children and adolescents. American Psychologist, 53, 221–241.

These findings also have important implications for the prevention and treatment of depression in adolescence. Given that the peer context plays an important role in the developmental progression of adolescent depression, particularly in girls, both treatment planning for clinical populations and positive youth development programming for healthy populations should aim to ameliorate stressors and enhance adolescents' effective coping skills in the peer domain. Such efforts could reduce both the onset and persistence of adolescent depression at this key developmental transition. Given the consistent and perplexing problem of heightened depression in females starting in adolescence (Hankin & Abramson, 1999), and evidence that interpersonal stress and depression might form a self-perpetuating cycle in adolescent girls (Rudolph et al., 2009), such efforts have the potential for large-scale impact.

- Cohen, J., & Cohen, P. (1975). Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Compas, B. E. (1987). Stress and life events during childhood and adolescence. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 7, 275–302.
- Compian, L. J., Gowen, L. K., & Hayward, C. (2009). The interactive effects of puberty and peer victimization on weight concerns and depression symptoms among early adolescent girls. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 29, 357–375.
- Conley, C. S., & Rudolph, K. D. (2009). The emerging sex difference in adolescent depression: Interacting contributions of puberty and peer stress. *Development and Psychopathology*, 21, 593–620.
- Costello, E. J., Mustillo, S., Erkanli, A., Keeler, G., & Angold, A. (2003). Prevalence and development of psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 60, 837–844.
- Crocker, J., & Wolfe, C. T. (2001). Contingencies of self-worth. Psychological Review, 108, 593–623.
- Cross, S. E., & Madson, L. (1997). Models of the self: Self-construals and gender. *Psychological Bulletin*, 122, 5–37.
- Cyranowski, J. M., Frank, E., Young, E., & Shear, M. K. (2000). Adolescent onset of the gender difference in lifetime rates of major depression: A theoretical model. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 57, 21–27.
- Davila, J., Hammen, C., Burge, D., Paley, B., & Daley, S. E. (1995). Poor interpersonal problem solving as a mechanism of stress generation in depression among adolescent women. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 104, 592–600.
- DeRose, L. M., Wright, A. J., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (2006). Does puberty account for the gender differential in depression? In C. L. M. Keyes & S. H. Goodman (Eds.), Women and depression: A handbook for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences (pp. 89–128). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Dorn, L. D., Susman, E. J., Nottelmann, E. D., Inoff-Germain, G., & Chrousos, G. P. (1990). Perceptions of puberty: Adolescent, parent, and health care personnel. *Developmental Psychology*, 26, 322–329.
- Dorn, L. D., Susman, E. J., & Ponirakis, A. (2003). Pubertal timing and adolescent adjustment and behavior: Conclusions vary by rater. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 32, 157–167.
- Dubas, J. S., Graber, J. A., & Petersen, A. C. (1991). A longitudinal investigation of adolescents' changing perceptions of pubertal timing. *Developmental Psychology*, 27, 580–586.
- Felson, R. B., & Haynie, D. L. (2002). Pubertal development, social factors, and delinquency among adolescent boys. *Criminology*, 40, 967–988.
- Fergusson, D. M., Horwood, L., Ridder, E. M., & Beautrais, A. L. (2005). Subthreshold depression in adolescence and mental health outcomes in adulthood. Archives of General Psychiatry, 62, 66–72.
- Ge, X., Conger, R. D., & Elder, G. H. (2001). Pubertal transition, stressful life events, and the emergence of gender differences in adolescent depressive symptoms. *Developmental Psychology*, 37, 404–417.
- Ge, X., Elder, G. H., Regnerus, M., & Cox, C. (2001). Pubertal transitions, perception of being overweight, and adolescents' psychological malad-

justment: Gender and ethnic differences. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 64, 363–375.

- Ge, X., Kim, I. J., Brody, G. H., Conger, R. D., Simons, R. L., Gibbons, F. X., et al. (2003). It's about timing and change: Pubertal transition effects on symptoms of major depression among African American youths. *Devel*opmental Psychology, 39, 430–439.
- Ge, X., Lorenz, F. O., Conger, R. D., Elder, G. H., & Simons, R. L. (1994). Trajectories of stressful life events and depressive symptoms during adolescence. *Developmental Psychology*, 30, 467–483.
- Graber, J. A. (2003). Puberty in context. In C. Hayward (Ed.), Gender differences at puberty (pp. 307–325). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Graber, J. A., Brooks-Gunn, J., & Archibald, A. B. (2005). Links between girls' puberty and externalizing and internalizing behaviors: Moving from demonstrating effects to identifying pathways. In D. M. Stoff & E. J. Susman (Eds.), *Developmental psychobiology of aggression* (pp. 87–113). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Graber, J. A., Brooks-Gunn, J., & Warren, M. P. (2006). Pubertal effects on adjustment in girls: Moving from demonstrating effects to identifying pathways. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 35, 413–423.
- Hammen, C., Shih, J., Altman, T., & Brennan, P. A. (2003). Interpersonal impairment and the prediction of depressive symptoms in adolescent children of depressed and nondepressed mothers. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 42, 571–577.
- Hammen, C., Shih, J. H., & Brennan, P. A. (2004). Intergenerational transmission of depression: Test of an interpersonal stress model in a community sample. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 72, 511– 522.
- Hankin, B. L., & Abramson, L. Y. (1999). Development of gender differences in depression: Description and possible explanation. *Annals of Medicine*, 31, 372–379.
- Hankin, B. L., Fraley, R. C., Lahey, B. B., & Waldman, I. D. (2005). Is depression best viewed as a continuum or discrete category? A taxometric analysis of childhood and adolescent depression in a population-based sample. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 114, 96–110.
- Hankin, B. L., Mermelstein, R., & Roesch, L. (2007). Sex differences in adolescent depression: Stress exposure and reactivity models in interpersonal and achievement contextual domains. *Child Development*, 78, 279–295.
- Haynie, D. L. (2003). Contexts of risk? Explaining the link between girls' pubertal development and their delinquency involvement. *Social Forces*, 82, 355–397.
- Haynie, D. L., & Piquero, A. R. (2006). Pubertal development and physical victimization in adolescence. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 43, 3–35.
- Hayward, C. (2003). Methodological concerns in puberty-related research. In C. Hayward (Ed.), *Gender differences at puberty* (pp. 1–14). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hayward, C., Gotlib, I. J., Schraedley, P. K., & Litt, I. F. (1999). Ethnic differences in the association between pubertal status and symptoms of depression in adolescent girls. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 25, 143–149.
- Hayward, C., & Sanborn, K. (2002). Puberty and the emergence of gender differences in psychopathology. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 30, 49– 58.
- Hilt, L. M., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2009). The emergence of gender differences in depression in adolescence. In L. M. Hilt & S. Nolen-Hoeksema (Eds.), *Handbook of depression in adolescents* (pp. 111–135). New York: Taylor & Francis.
- Huddleston, J., & Ge, X. (2003). Boys at puberty: Psychosocial implications. In C. Hayward (Ed.), *Gender differences at puberty* (pp. 113–134). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hyde, J. S., Mezulis, A. H., & Abramson, L. Y. (2008). The ABCs of depression: Integrating affective, biological, and cognitive models to explain the emergence of the gender difference in depression. *Psychological Review*, 115, 291–313.
- Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (1996). LISREL 8's user's guide reference. Lincolnwood, IL: Scientific Software International.
- Kaltiala-Heino, R., Kosunen, E., & Rimpela, M. (2003). Pubertal timing, sexual behaviour and self-reported depression in middle adolescence. *Journal of Adolescence*, 26, 531–545.
- Klein, D. N., Lewinsohn, P. M., Rohde, P., Seeley, J. R., & Olino, T. M. (2005). Psychopathology in the adolescent and young adult offspring of a community sample of mothers and fathers with major depression. *Psychological Medicine*, 35, 353–365.
- Klein, D. N., Ouimette, P. C., Kelly, H. S., Ferro, T., & Riso, L. P. (1994). Test–retest reliability of team consensus best-estimate diagnoses of

Axis I and II disorders in a family study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 151, 1043–1047.

- Kovacs, M. (1992). The Children's Depression Inventory manual. North Tonawanda, NY: Multi-Health Systems.
- La Greca, A. M., & Lopez, N. (1998). Social anxiety among adolescents: Linkages with peer relations and friendships. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 26, 83–94.
- Leadbeater, B. J., Blatt, S. J., & Quinlan, D. M. (1995). Gender-linked vulnerabilities to depressive symptoms, stress, and problem behaviors in adolescents. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 5, 1–29.
- Lerner, R. M. (1985). Adolescent maturational changes and psychosocial development: A dynamic interactional perspective. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 14, 355–372.
- Lerner, R. M. (1987). A life-span perspective for early adolescence. In R. M. Lerner & T. T. Foch (Eds.), *Biological–psychosocial interactions in early adolescence* (pp. 9–34). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Lindberg, S. M., Grabe, S., & Hyde, J. S. (2007). Gender, pubertal development, and peer sexual harassment predict objectified body consciousness in early adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 17, 723–742.
- MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., Hoffman, J. M., West, S. G., & Sheets, V. (2002). A comparison of methods to test mediation and other intervening variable effects. *Psychological Methods*, 7, 83–104.
- Magnusson, D. (1988). Individual development from an interactional perspective: A longitudinal study. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Michael, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2003). When coming of age means coming undone: Links between puberty and psychosocial adjustment among European American and African American girls. In C. Hayward (Ed.), Gender differences at puberty (pp. 277–303). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Morris, N. M., & Udry, J. R. (1980). Validation of a self-administered instrument to assess stage of adolescent development. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 9, 271–280.
- Natsuaki, M. N., Biehl, M. C., & Ge, X. (2009). Trajectories of depressed mood from early adolescence to young adulthood: The effects of pubertal timing and adolescent dating. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 19, 47–74.
- Natsuaki, M. N., Klimes-Dougan, B., Ge, X., Shirtcliff, E. A., Hastings, P. D., & Zahn-Waxler, C. (2009). Early pubertal maturation and internalizing problems in adolescence: Sex differences in the role of cortisol reactivity to interpersonal stress. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 38, 513–524.
- Negriff, S., Fung, M. T., & Trickett, P. K. (2008). Self-rated pubertal development, depressive symptoms and delinquency: Measurement issues and moderation by gender and maltreatment. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 37, 736–746.
- Oldenburg, C. M., & Kerns, K. A. (1997). Associations between peer relationships and depressive symptoms: Testing moderator effects of gender and age. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 17, 319–337.
- Orvaschel, H. (1995). Schedule of affective disorders and schizophrenia for school-age children: Epidemiologic version 5. Ft. Lauderdale, FL: Nova Southeastern University.
- Patton, G. C., Olsson, C., Bond, L., Toumbourou, J. W., Carlin, J. B., Hemphill, S. A., et al. (2008). Predicting female depression across puberty: A two-nation longitudinal study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child* & Adolescent Psychiatry, 47, 1424–1432.
- Petersen, A. C. (1983). Menarche: Meaning of measures and measuring meaning. In S. Golub (Ed.), *Menarche: The transition from girl to woman* (pp. 63–76). Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Petersen, A. C., & Crockett, L. (1985). Pubertal timing and grade effects on adjustment. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 14, 191–206.
- Petersen, A. C., Crockett, L., Richards, M., & Boxer, A. (1988). A self-report measure of pubertal status: Reliability, validity, and initial norms. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 17, 117–133.
- Ruble, D. N., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (1982). The experience of menarche. *Child Development*, 53, 1557–1566.
- Rudolph, K. D. (2002). Gender differences in emotional responses to interpersonal stress during adolescence. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 30, 3–13.
- Rudolph, K. D. (2009). Exploring depressive personality traits in youth: Origins, correlates, and developmental consequences. *Development and Psychopathology*, 21, 1155–1180.
- Rudolph, K. D., Caldwell, M. S., & Conley, C. S. (2005). Need for approval and children's well-being. *Child Development*, 76, 309–323.

- Rudolph, K. D., & Conley, C. S. (2005). The socioemotional costs and benefits of social-evaluative concerns: Do girls care too much? *Journal* of *Personality*, 73, 115–137.
- Rudolph, K. D., & Flynn, M. (2007). Childhood adversity and youth depression: Influence of gender and pubertal status. *Development and Psychopathology*, 19, 497–521.
- Rudolph, K. D., Flynn, M., Abaied, J. L., Groot, A., & Thompson, R. (2009). Why is past depression the best predictor of future depression? Stress generation as a mechanism of depression continuity in girls. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 38, 473–485.
- Rudolph, K. D., & Hammen, C. (1999). Age and gender determinants of stress exposure, generation, and reactions in youngsters: A transactional perspective. *Child Development*, 70, 660–677.
- Rudolph, K. D., Hammen, C., Burge, D., Lindberg, N., Herzberg, D., & Daley, S. (2000). Toward an interpersonal life-stress model of depression: The developmental context of stress generation. *Development and Psychopathology*, 12, 215–234.
- Rudolph, K. D., & Troop-Gordon, W. (2010). Personal-accentuation and contextual-amplification models of pubertal timing: Predicting youth depression. *Development and Psychopathology*, 22, 433–451.
- Sameroff, A. J. (1987). The social context of development. In N. Eisenberg (Ed.), *Contemporary topics in developmental psychology* (pp. 273–291). New York: Wiley.
- Scarr, S., & McCartney, K. (1983). How people make their own environments: A theory of genotype → environment effects. *Child Development*, 54, 424–435.
- Schlossberger, N. M., Turner, R. A., & Irwin, C. E. (1992). Validity of selfreport of pubertal maturation in early adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 13, 109–113.
- Schraedley, P. K., Gotlib, I. H., & Hayward, C. (1999). Gender differences in correlates of depressive symptoms in adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 25, 98–108.
- Shih, J. H., Eberhart, N. K., Hammen, C. L., & Brennan, P. A. (2006). Differential exposure and reactivity to interpersonal stress predict sex differences in adolescent depression. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 35, 103–115.
- Shirtcliff, E. A., Dahl, R. A., & Pollak, S. D. (2009). Pubertal development: Correspondence between hormonal and physical development. *Child Development*, 8, 327–337.
- Shrout, P. E., & Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological Methods*, 7, 422–445.
- Simmons, R. G., Blyth, D. A., & McKinney, K. L. (1983). The social and psychological effects of puberty on white females. In J. Brooks-Gunn & A. C. Petersen (Eds.), *Girls at puberty: Biological and psychosocial perspectives* (pp. 229–272). New York: Plenum Press.

- Smith, A. E., & Powers, S. I. (2009). Off-time pubertal timing predicts physiological reactivity to postpuberty interpersonal stress. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 19, 441–458.
- Sobel, M. E. (1982). Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. In S. Leinhardt (Ed.), *Sociological methodol*ogy (pp. 290–313). San Francisco, CA: Jossey–Bass.
- Sobel, M. E. (1986). Some new results on indirect effects and their standard errors in covariance structure models. In N. Tuma (Ed.), *Sociological methodology* (pp. 159–186). San Francisco, CA: Jossey–Bass.
- Sontag, L. M., Graber, J. A., Brooks-Gunn, J., & Warren, M. P. (2008). Coping with social stress: Implications for psychopathology in young adolescent girls. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 36, 1159–1174.
- Sroufe, L. A., & Rutter, M. (1984). The domain of developmental psychopathology. *Child Development*, 55, 17–29.
- Steinberg, L. (1987). Impact of puberty on family relations: Effects of pubertal status and pubertal timing. *Developmental Psychology*, 23, 451–460.
- Steinberg, L. (1995). Commentary: On developmental pathways and social contexts in adolescence. In L. J. Crockett & A. C. Crouter (Eds.), *Pathways through adolescence: Individual development in relation to social contexts* (pp. 245–253). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Susman, E. J., Dorn, L. D., & Chrousos, G. P. (1991). Negative affect and hormone levels in young adolescents: Concurrent and predictive perspectives. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 20, 167–190.
- Susman, E. J., & Rogol, A. (2004). Puberty and psychological development. In R. M. Lerner & L. Steinberg (Eds.), *Handbook of adolescent psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 15–44). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Taga, K. A., Markey, C. N., & Friedman, H. S. (2006). A longitudinal investigation of associations between boys' pubertal timing and adult behavioral health and well-being. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 35, 401– 411.
- Tanner, J. M. (1969). Growth and endocrinology in the adolescent. In L. I. Gardner (Ed.), *Endocrine and genetic diseases of childhood* (pp. 19– 60). Philadelphia, PA: Saunders.
- Tobin-Richards, M. H., Boxer, A. M., & Petersen, A. C. (1983). The psychological significance of pubertal change: Sex differences in perceptions of self during early adolescence. In J. Brooks-Gunn & A. C. Petersen (Eds.), *Girls at puberty: Biological and psychosocial perspectives* (pp. 127–154). New York: Plenum Press.
- Weichold, K., Silbereisen, R. K., & Schmitt-Rodermund, E. (2003). Shortterm and long-term consequences of early versus late physical maturation in adolescents. In C. Hayward (Ed.), *Gender differences at puberty* (pp. 241–276). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Wichstrom, L. (1999). The emergence of gender difference in depressed mood during adolescence: The role of intensified gender socialization. *Developmental Psychology*, 35, 232–245.