

Loyola University Chicago Loyola eCommons

Mathematics and Statistics: Faculty Publications and Other Works

Faculty Publications

10-1982

Ideals and Centralizing Mappings in Prime Rings

Joseph H. Mayne Loyola University Chicago, jmayne@luc.edu

Recommended Citation

Mayne, JH. "Ideals and Centralizing Mappings in Prime Rings." Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 86(2), 1982.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Publications at Loyola eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics: Faculty Publications and Other Works by an authorized administrator of Loyola eCommons. For more information, please contact ecommons@luc.edu.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 License. © American Mathematical Society, 1982.

IDEALS AND CENTRALIZING MAPPINGS IN PRIME RINGS

JOSEPH H. MAYNE

ABSTRACT. Let R be a prime ring and U be a nonzero ideal of R. If T is a nontrivial automorphism or derivation of R such that $uu^T - u^T u$ is in the center of R and u^T is in U for every u in U, then R is commutative. If R does not have characteristic equal to two, then U need only be a nonzero Jordan ideal.

If R is a ring, a mapping T of R to itself is called *centralizing* on a subset S of R if $ss^T - s^Ts$ is in the center of R for every s in S. There has been considerable interest in centralizing automorphisms and derivations defined on rings. Miers [4] has studied these mappings defined on C^* -algebras. In [5] Posner proved that if a prime ring has a nontrivial centralizing derivation, then the ring must be commutative. The same result was obtained for centralizing automorphisms in [3]. In this paper it is shown that the automorphism or derivation need only be centralizing and invariant on a nonzero ideal in the prime ring in order to ensure that the ring is commutative. Also, if R is of characteristic not two, then the mapping need only be centralizing and invariant on a nonzero Jordan ideal. For derivations this gives a short proof of a result related to that of Awtar [1, Theorem 3]. Awtar proved that if R is a prime ring of characteristic not two with a nontrivial derivation and a nonzero Jordan ideal U such that the derivation is centralizing on U, then U is contained in the center of R.

Jeffrey Bergen deserves many thanks for his suggestions concerning the results and proofs in this paper.

From now on assume that R is a prime ring and let Z be the center of R. Let [x,y] = xy - yx and note the important identity [x,yz] = y[x,z] + [x,y]z. The following lemmas will be used in the proofs of the main results.

LEMMA 1. If b[a,r] = 0 for all r in R, then b = 0 or a is in Z.

PROOF. Assume that b[a,r] = 0 for all r in R. Replace r by xy to obtain b[a,xy] = bx[a,y] + b[a,x]y = bx[a,y] = 0 for all x and y in R. Since R is prime, b = 0 or [a,y] = 0 for all x in R.

LEMMA 2. If D is a derivation of R such that $u^D = 0$ for all u in a nonzero right ideal U of R, then $r^D = 0$ for all r in R.

PROOF. Let u be a nonzero element in U and x be an element in R. Then ux is in U and $0 = (ux)^D = u^D x + u(x^D) = u(x^D)$. Now replace x by sr to obtain $0 = u(sr)^D = [u(s^D)]r + us(r^D) = us(r^D)$ for all r and s in R. Since R is prime and u is nonzero, $r^D = 0$ for all r in R.

```
© 1982 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/82/0000-0069/$01.75
```

Received by the editors October 21, 1981 and, in revised form, January 12, 1982.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16A70; Secondary 16A12, 16A68, 16A72. Key words and phrases. Ideal, centralizing automorphism, centralizing derivation, prime ring, commutative.

LEMMA 3. If T is a homomorphism of R such that $u^T = u$ for all u in a nonzero right ideal U of R, then $r^T = r$ for every r in R.

PROOF. Let u be a nonzero element in U and r, s be in R. Since U is a right ideal, us and usr are in U. Then $(usr)^T = usr = (us)^T r^T = usr^T$. Hence $us(r-r^T) = 0$ for all s and r in R. Thus $r = r^T$ for all r in R.

LEMMA 4. If R contains a nonzero commutative right ideal U, then R must be commutative.

PROOF. Let u be in U and assume that u^2 is not zero. Such an element exists for if not, then by a variation of Levitzki's theorem [2, Lemma 1.1], R has a nonzero nilpotent ideal and this is impossible in a prime ring. U is a right ideal and so ur and us are in U for every r and s in R. Since U is commutative, $u^2sr = u(us)r =$ $us(ur) = ur(us) = u(ur)s = u^2rs$. Hence $u^2[r, s] = 0$ for all r and s in R. By Lemma 1, every r in R is in Z. Therefore R is commutative.

THEOREM. Let R be a prime ring and U be a nonzero ideal of R. If R has a nontrivial automorphism or derivation T such that $uu^T - u^T u$ is in the center of R and u^T is in U for every u in U, then R is commutative.

PROOF. By Lemma 2 or Lemma 3, T is nontrivial on U. Since U is a nonzero ideal in a prime ring, U is itself a prime ring. U is then commutative by the author's result in [3] for automorphisms or by Posner's result [5] for derivations. By Lemma 4, R is commutative.

COROLLARY. If U is a nonzero Jordan ideal in a prime ring R of characteristic not two and T is a nontrivial automorphism or derivation of R which is centralizing and invariant on U, then R is commutative.

PROOF. Every nonzero Jordan ideal in a prime ring of characteristic not two contains a nonzero ideal [2, Theorem 1.1]. Apply the theorem to this ideal.

References

- 1. R. Awtar, Lie and Jordan structures in prime rings with derivations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1973), 67-74.
- 2. I. Herstein, Topics in ring theory, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 1969.
- 3. J. Mayne, Centralizing automorphisms of prime rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 19 (1976), 113-115.
- 4. C. R. Miers, Centralizing mappings of operator algebras, J. Algebra 59 (1979), 56-64.
- 5. E. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093-1100.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60626