brought to you by **CORE**

THEORY OF SINGULARITIES IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

by

KAM-CHAN LO

A thesis

Submitted to

the Graduate School of The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Division of Mathematics) In partial fulfillment

of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Philosophy (M.Phil.)

May 1976.

thesis QA 564 L67

§18451



The Chinese University of Hong Kong Graduate School

The undersigned certify that we have read the thesis, entitled "Theory of Singularities in Algebraic Geometry" submitted to the Graduate School by K.C. Lo (盧 傑 燦) in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of <u>Master of Philosophy</u> in Mathematics. We recommend that it [•] be accepted.

De Cha Huo De T.C. Kuo, Supervisor

Dr. N.Y. Foo, Extra-Department

Dr. N.Y. Foo, Extra-Department Member

K.P. Shum

Prof. Y.C. Lu (External Examiner)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Dr. Tzee-Char Kuo for his supervision. Thanks are also due to Miss Kicinski for her excellent typing.

A TRANSVERSALITY THEOREM FOR ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

§1. Introduction and Results.

It is well-known that one of the most fundamental theorems in the theory of Singularities is Thom's Transversality Theorem, established some 20 years ago. These are now enough evidence showing that some analogue of Thom's theorem for algebraic varieties would have interesting applications to algebraic geometry (e.g. see [2], Cor. 2).

In this paper, we shall establish such an analogue for complex projective varieties which are complete intersections.

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in C_H[z_0, \ldots, z_n]$ (the ring of homogeneous polynomials in n+1 variable over C, where C is the field of complex numbers) and let $V(f_1, \ldots, f_s)$ denote the variety $f_1(z_0, \ldots, z_n) = 0, \ldots, f_s(z_0, \ldots, z_n) = 0$ in the complex projective n-space $\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^n$. Call $V = V(f_1, \ldots, f_s)$ a complete intersection if at every $z \in V(f_1, \ldots, f_s), df_1(z), \ldots, df_s(z)$ are linearly independent. In this case, V is a manifold and the vector space generated by $df_1(z), \ldots, df_s(z)$ is the normal space of V at z, denoted by $N_z(V)$.

Let
$$T_a = \begin{bmatrix} a_{00} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot a_{0n} \\ \cdot \cdot \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \cdot \\ a_{n0} \cdot \cdot \cdot a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$
 be an (n+1) × (n+1) matrix

over C. We can identify T_a with the point $a \equiv (a_{00}, \dots, a_{nn})$ in $C^{(n+1)^2}$. Recall that if det $T_a \neq 0$, then T_a defines a projective change of coordinates in CPⁿ by

$$z_{i}' = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{ij} z_{j}, \qquad 0 \le i \le n,$$

and that for $\lambda \neq 0$ in C, T_a and T_{λa} define the same coordinate transformation.

Thus letting [a] denote the point in $\mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1}$ represented by a, $T_{[a]}$ is a well-defined coordinate transformation of \mathbb{CP}^n . Note that for two representatives a_1 , a_2 of [a], det $T_{a_1} \neq 0$ if and only if det $T_{a_2} \neq 0$.

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_s, g_1, \ldots, g_t$ be in $\mathbb{C}_H[z_0, \ldots, z_n]$, defining complete intersections $V_1 = V(f_1, \ldots, f_s)$ and $V_2 = V(g_1, \ldots, g_t)$. We say that V_1 intersects V_2 transversally at a point $z \in V_1 \cap V_2$ (we use the notation: $V_1 \equiv V_2$ at z) if $N_z(V_1) \cap N_z(V_2) = \{0\}$, and that V_1 intersects V_2 transversally $(V_1 \equiv V_2)$ if $V_1 \equiv V_2$ at all $z \in V_1 \cap V_2$. We write $V_1 \equiv V_2$ if they are not transversal at at least one point.

It is clear that if $V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ is a complete intersection, then $T_a^{-1}(V(f_1, \dots, f_s)) = V(f_1 \circ T_a, \dots, f_s \circ T_a)$ is also a complete intersection provided that det $T_a \neq 0$.

<u>THEOREM</u>. Let $V_1 \equiv V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ and $V_2 \equiv V(g_1, \dots, g_t)$ be two complete intersections, then the set {[a] $\in \mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^{2}-1}$ | det $T_a \neq 0$, T_a^{-1} (V_1) \mathbb{K} V_2 } is an open subset of a proper subvariety of $\mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^{2}-1}$.

For two matrices T_a and T_b , define $||T_a - T_b|| \equiv \sup_{\substack{0 \le i, j \le n \\ 0 \le i, j \le n \\ 0$

<u>COROLLARY 1</u>. For any two complete intersections $V_1 = V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ and $V_2 = V(g_1, \dots, g_t)$ in \mathbb{CP}^n , there is a projective change of coordinates T_a such that $T_a^{-1}(V_1) \neq V_2$. Morevoer, given $\varepsilon > 0$, T_a can be chosen such that $||T_a - I|| < \varepsilon$ (that is, a suitable arbitrarily small "perturbation" applied to V_1 will make the image of V_1 intersecting V_2 transversally).

<u>COROLLARY 2.</u> If $V_1 \equiv V_2$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all T_a with $\|T_a - I\| < \varepsilon$, we have det $T_a \neq 0$ and $T_a^{-1}(V_1) \equiv V_2$. (Geometrically, if V_1 and V_2 intersect transversally, then after applying any sufficiently small

"perturbation" to V_1 , the image of V_1 still intersects V_2 transversally.)

§2. Proof of Theorem

First we show that $\{[a] \in \mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1} | \det T_a \neq 0, T_{[a]}^{-1}$ (V₁) \mathbb{N} V₂ is an open subset of a subvariety of $\mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1}$.

<u>LEMMA (2.1)</u>. Let $f_1(z,w), \ldots, f_r(z,w)$ be in $\mathbb{C}_H[z_0, \ldots, z_n, w_0, \ldots, w_m]$. Moreover, suppose they are also homogeneous in the w's. Then the set $B = \{w | \exists z \neq 0, f_i(z,w) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ is a projective variety in \mathbb{CP}^m .

That is, the projection of $V(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$ to the w-space is also a variety. Note that for varieties over \mathbb{R} , there is no such a theorem. Consider, for example, $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ in \mathbb{R}^2 ; its projection to the x-axis is the interval [-1,1], which is not a variety.

Proof. Let

$$\mathbf{f}_{s} = \sum_{|\mathbf{j}|=e_{s}}^{\mathbf{j}} c_{s\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{w}_{0}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{m}) z_{0}^{\mathbf{j}_{0}}, \dots, z_{n}^{\mathbf{j}_{n}}$$

where $j = (j_0, \dots, j_n)$, $|j| = j_0 + \dots + j_n$, e_s is a constant, $deg(f_s) = d_s$, $deg(C_{sj}) = d_s - e_s$.

By elimination theory ([4], Vol. II, p. 8), f_1, \ldots, f_r possess a resultant system of integral polynomials b_v in $C_{sj}(w_0, \ldots, w_m)$ such that the vanishing of all resultants is necessary and sufficient in order that the equations $f_1 = 0, \ldots, f_r = 0$ has a nonzero solution (z_0, \ldots, z_n) . Moreover, the b_v are homogeneous in $C_{sj}(w_0, \ldots, w_m)$ for each s.

Let
$$b_v = \sum_{t=1}^{k} d_t \prod_{s=1}^{r} \prod_{|j|=e_s} C_{sj}(w)^{\ell_{sj}}$$
, where k_v is a

$$\sum_{\substack{|j|=e_s}} \ell_{sj}^t = q_s$$

for all t, where q_s is a constant depending on s.

Therefore each term of b_v is of degree

$$\sum_{s=1}^{r} (d_s - e_s) \left(\sum_{j \mid s = e_s} \ell^t \right) = \sum_{s=1}^{r} (d_s - e_s) q_s$$

in w_0, \ldots, w_m ; note that this last number is a constant, hence by is homogeneous in w₀,...,w_m.

Now $w \in B$ if and only if $b_{v}(w) = 0$ for all v; therefore B is the projective variety $V(b_v)$ in \mathbb{CP}^m .

Assume det $T_a \neq 0$. Observe that $T_a^{-1}(V_1) \gg V_2$ if and only if there exists $z \neq 0$ such that $(z,a) \equiv (z_0, \dots, z_n, a_{00}, \dots, a_{nn})$ satisfies

$$F_{\ell} \equiv f_{\ell} \circ T_{a} = f_{\ell} \left(\sum_{\lambda=0}^{n} a_{0\lambda} z_{\lambda}, \dots, \sum_{\lambda=0}^{n} a_{n\lambda} z_{\lambda} \right) = 0, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq s, \quad (2.2)$$

$$g_{k} = g_{k}(z_{0}, \dots, z_{n}) = 0$$
, $1 \le k \le t$, (2.3)

$\frac{\partial^{\mathrm{F}} 1}{\partial^{\mathrm{Z}} 0}$		$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_n}$		
: $\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial z_0}$		$\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial z_n}$	has rank < s + t.	
$\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_0}$	• • • .	$\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_n}$		
:		÷		
$\frac{\partial g_t}{\partial z_0}$		$\frac{\partial g_t}{\partial z_n}$	2	

(2.4)

and

Since (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) are polynomials homogeneous both in (z,a) and in z, the set

$$A_1 = \{ [a] \in \mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2 - 1} | \exists z \neq 0, (z,a) \text{ satisfies } (2.2), (2.3), 2.4 \} \}$$

is a projective variety in $CP^{(n+1)^2-1}$, by lemma (2.1).

Now, since $A_2 = \{[a] | det T_a = 0\}$ is a projective variety in CP^{(n+1)²-1}, we have the following,

<u>LEMMA (2.5)</u>. The set $A = \{[a] \in \mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^{2}-1} | \det T_a \neq 0, T_a^{-1}(V_1) \setminus V_2\}$ is an open subset of the projective variety A_1 defined above. In fact $A = A_1 - A_2$.

It remains to show that A_1 is a proper variety in $\mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1}$.

Remark. When s + t > n + 1, (2.4) is always true. Hence $A_1 = \{[a] \in \mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1} | \exists z \neq 0, (z,a) \text{ satisfies } (2.2), (2.3)\}$, which is a proper subset of $\mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1}$ ([1] Vol 2. P. 157, Theorem 1). Therefore our theorem holds for s + t > n + 1.

In the following, we shall always assume $s + t \leq n + 1$.

Let W = { (z,a) $\in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)+(n+1)^2}$ | $F_{\ell}(z,a) = 0, g_k(z) = 0,$

 $l \leq l \leq s, l \leq k \leq t$ }. A point (z,a) in W is called a simple point if $dF_1(z,a), \ldots, dF_s(z,a), dg_1(z,a), \ldots, dg_t(z,a)$ are linearly independent, otherwise it is called a singular point.

In the following lemma, every differential or partial derivative is evaluated at (z*,a*) or z*.

LEMMA (2.6). Assume det $T_{a^*} \neq 0$, then each (z^*, a^*) in W with $z^* \neq 0$ is a simple point.



$$dF_{\ell} = \left(\frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial z_{0}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial z_{n}}, \frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{00}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{nn}}\right), 1 \leq \ell \leq s,$$

(2.7)

$$dg_{k} = \left(\frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial z_{0}}, \dots, \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial z_{n}}, 0, \dots, 0\right), \qquad 1 \leq k \leq t.$$

First we show that
$$(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial a_{00}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial a_{nn}}), \dots, (\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial a_{00}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial a_{nn}})$$

are linearly independent.

By the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{ij}} = \frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial z_{i}} (\Sigma a_{0\lambda}^{*} z_{\lambda}^{*}, \dots, \Sigma a_{n\lambda}^{*} z_{\lambda}^{*}) z_{j}^{*}$$
(2.8)

Since det $T_{a^*} \neq 0$ and $z^* \neq 0$, $b^* = (\Sigma a_{0\lambda}^* z_{\lambda}^*, \dots, \Sigma a_{n\lambda}^* z_{\lambda}^*)$ is nonzero. Now $(z^*, a^*) \in W$ implies $F_{\ell}(z^*, a^*) = f_{\ell}(\Sigma a_{0\lambda}^* z_{\lambda}^*, \dots, \Sigma a_{n\lambda}^* z_{\lambda}^*) = 0$, $1 \leq \ell \leq s$, so $b^* \in V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$. Since $V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ is a complete intersection and b^* is a set of homogeneous coordinates of a point in \mathbb{CP}^n , $df_1(b^*), \dots, df_s(b^*)$ are linearly independent.

Assume
$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{s} C_{\ell}(\frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{00}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{nn}}) = 0$$
, then by (2.8),

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{s} c_{\ell} \left(\frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{00}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{nn}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=1}^{s} C_{\ell} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial z_{0}}(b^{*}) z_{0}^{*}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial z_{0}}(b^{*}) z_{n}^{*}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial z_{n}}(b^{*}) z_{0}^{*}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial z_{n}}(b^{*}) z_{n}^{*} \right)$$

This implies

$$0 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{s} c_{\ell} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial z_{0}}(b^{*}) z_{j}^{*}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial z_{n}}(b^{*}) z_{j}^{*} \right)$$
$$= \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{s} c_{\ell} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial z_{0}}(b^{*}), \dots, \frac{\partial f_{\ell}}{\partial z_{n}}(b^{*}) \right) \right] z_{j}^{*}, \quad 0 \le j \le n.$$

Choose j such that $z_j^* \neq 0$, then by linear independency of $df_1(b^*), \ldots, df_s(b^*), C_1 = \ldots = C_s = 0$. Hence $(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial a_{00}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial a_{nn}}), \ldots, (\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial a_{00}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial a_{nn}})$ are linearly independent.

Now we complete the proof of the lemma. If $\sum_{\ell=1}^{s} d_{\ell} dF_{\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^{t} e_{k} dg_{k} = 0, \text{ then by (2.7) and above, } d_{1} = \dots = d_{s} = 0.$ Since dg_{1}, \dots, dg_{t} are linearly independent, $e_{1} = \dots = e_{t} = 0$ also.

Let p be an analytic (resp. differential) map of M_1 to M_2 , where M_1 , M_2 are analytic (resp., differential) manifolds. A point q of M_1 is called a critical point of p if for some coordinate charts (U, ϕ) about q and (V, ψ) about p(q), the Jacobian matrix of $\psi \circ p \circ \phi^{-1}$ at $\phi(q)$ is of rank less than the dimension of M_2 . A point q ϵ M_2 such that $p^{-1}(q)$ contains at least one critical point is called a critical value. Note that the above definitions are independent of the choice of (U, ϕ) and (V, ψ).

Let $V = V(h_1, \ldots, h_r) \subset K^N$, where $K = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} , with $r \leq N$, q $\in V$. Suppose $dh_1(q), \ldots, dh_r(q)$ are linearly independent at q (q is then a simple point of V), then V is a manifold near q, of dimension N-r. Let p be an analytic function from K^N to K^m , let p' denote the restriction of p to V, and let $Tq(V) = \{z \in K^N | z.dh_i(q) = 0, i = 1, \ldots, r\}$, where "." is the standard inner product in K^N , then q is a critical point of p' if and only if dp(q) (TqV) is a proper subset of K^m .

Let W' = {(z,a) \in W det T_a \neq 0, $z \neq$ 0}, then W' consists entirely of simple points by lemma (2.6), so it is a manifold. Let P be the projection of C^{(n+1)+(n+1)²} to C^{(n+1)²} which maps (z,a) to a. Let \overline{P} denote the restriction of P to W'. Since the differential of a projection is itself, we have dP(z,a) = P.

7

Now we prove our crucial lemma, which gives us a characterization of transversality in Differential Topology.

LEMMA (2.9). Assume det $T_{a^*} \neq 0$, $z^* \neq 0$, then (z^*, a^*) is a critical point of \overline{P} if and only if (z^*, a^*) satisfies (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

Proof. In this proof, all differentials and partial derivatives are evaluated at (z^*,a^*) or z^* . Also, (z,a) stands for points in $(n+1)+(n+1)^2$

$$(\Rightarrow)$$
 Since dP(z*,a*)(T(z*,a*)W')

= $P(\{(z,a) | (z,a).dF_{\ell} = 0, (z,a).dg_{k} = 0, 1 \le \ell \le s; 1 \le k \le t\})$

=
$$\{a | \exists z, (z,a).dF_{\rho} = (z,a).dg_{L} = 0, 1 \leq \ell \leq s, 1 \leq k \leq t\},\$$

(z*,a*) is a critical point of \overline{P} if and only if there exists a $\epsilon C^{(n+1)^2}$ such that the system

$$\begin{cases} Z. \left(\frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial z_{0}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial z_{n}}\right) = -a. \left(\frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{00}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{nn}}\right), & 1 \leq \ell \leq s \end{cases}$$

$$z. \left(\frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial z_{0}}, \dots, \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial z_{n}}\right) = 0 , \qquad 1 \leq k \leq t \qquad (2.11)$$

has no solution in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Now if (z^*,a^*) is a critical point, then there exists a such that (2.10) and (2.11) have no common solution, therefore $(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_n}), \dots, (\frac{\partial g_t}{\partial z_n}, \dots, \frac{\partial g_t}{\partial z_n})$ must be linearly dependent at (z^*,a^*) . Therefore, (z^*,a^*) satisfies (2.4). Since $(z^*,a^*) \in W' \subset W$, (z^*,a^*) also satisfies (2.2) and (2.3). (\Leftarrow) Conversely, assume (z^* , a^*) satisfies (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4).

since det $T_{a^*} \neq 0$, $z^* \neq 0$, $(z^*, a^*) \in W'$. As (z^*, a^*) satisfies (2.4),

$$\alpha_1 = (\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z_n}), \dots, \alpha_s = (\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial z_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial z_n}), dg_1, \dots, dg_t \text{ are linearly}$$

dependent, so there exist d_p , e_k , not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{s} d_{\ell} \alpha_{\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^{t} e_{k} dg_{k} = 0.$$
 (2.12)

Note that since dg_1, \ldots, dg_t are linearly independent, some d_ℓ must be nonzero.

Since
$$(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial a_{00}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial a_{nn}}), \dots, (\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial a_{00}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial a_{nn}})$$
 are linearly

independent (see the proof of lemma (2.6)), there exists b $\epsilon \, {\mathfrak{c}^{(n+1)}}^2$ such

that $-b \cdot \left(\frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{00}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F_{\ell}}{\partial a_{nn}}\right) = d_{\ell}, \ 1 \leq \ell \leq s.$

Now, if for this b, (2.10), (2.11) have a solution, say z, then $z \cdot \alpha_{\ell} = d_{\ell}$ and $z \cdot dg_{k} = 0$, hence (2.12) implies

$$0 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{s} d_{\ell}(z \cdot \alpha_{\ell}) + \sum_{k=1}^{t} e_{k}(z \cdot dg_{k})$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=1}^{s} d_{\ell}^{2}.$$

But $\sum_{\ell=1}^{s} d_{\ell}^{2} \neq 0$, we have a contradiction. Therefore (z*,a*) is a critical point of \overline{P} .

In order to apply Sard's Theorem (which is stated for real differential manifolds) to complete the proof, we need the following:

LEMMA (2.13). Let $V = V(h_1, \dots, h_r) \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, $r \leq N$, and let $z_j = x_j + iy_j (x_j, y_j \in \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq j \leq N$, then z = x + iy where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N), y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$. Let $(x, y) = (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_N, y_N)$, then $h_\ell(z) = u_\ell(x, y) + iv_\ell(x, y), 1 \leq \ell \leq r$, where u_ℓ , v_ℓ are the real and imaginary parts of h_ℓ . It is clear that $z^* = x^* + iy^* \in V$ if and only if $(x^*, y^*) \in V(u_1, v_1, \dots, u_\ell, v_\ell) \equiv V' \subset \mathbb{R}^{2N}$.

By applying Cauchy-Riemann conditions, we have the following:

(i) dh₁(z*),...,dh_r(z*) are linearly independent if and only if
 du₁(x*,y*), dv₁(x*,y*),...,du_r(x*,y*), dv_r(x*,y*) are linearly independent.

(ii)
$$T_{(x^*, y^*)} V' = \{(x, y) | x + iy \in T_{z^*} V\}.$$

(iii) Let f be an analytic map of \mathbb{C}^N to \mathbb{C}^m , and let f' be the map from \mathbb{R}^{2N} to \mathbb{R}^{2m} defined by

$$f'(x,y) = (Re[f(x+iy)], Im[f(x+iy)]).$$

Clearly, f' is a differential function and

df'(x*,y*)(x,y) = (Re[df(z*)(x+iy)],Im[df(z*)(x+iy)]).

By (ii) and (iii), df'(x*,y*) ($T_{(x^*,y^*)}V'$) is a proper subset of \mathbb{R}^{2N} if and only if df(z*)($T_{z^*}V$) is a proper subset of \mathbb{C}^N .

Now, if z^* is a simple point, so is (x^*, y^*) (by (i)); z^* is a critical point of $f|_V$ implies that (x^*, y^*) is a critical point of $f'|_V$ (by (iii)). The converse of the above statement is also true. Proof of Theorem. By lemma (2.5) and (2.9), [a] ϵ A if and only if det $T_a \neq 0$ and there exists $z \neq 0$ such that (z,a) is a critical point of \overline{P} . Now in lemma (2.13), let V = W, f = P, $f|_{W'} = \overline{P}$ and $V'' = \{(x,y,\mu,\nu) | (x+iy, \mu+i\nu) \epsilon W'\}$. Clearly, $V'' \in V'$. Now (z,a) $\equiv (x+iy,\mu+i\nu)$ is a critical point of \overline{P} if and only if (x,y,μ,ν) is a critical point of $f'|_{V''}$.

For a subset D of $\mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1}$, let $[D] = \{z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2} | z_1 = \lambda z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, z \in D\}$, then clearly $[\mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1} - D] \supset \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2} - [D]$.

By Sard's Theorem ([3], p. 47), the set $C = \{(\mu, \nu) | (\mu, \nu) \text{ is} \\$ a critical value of f' $|_{V''}\}$ does not contain any open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2(n+1)^2}$. Therefore [A] contains no open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2}$. If A' = $\mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1}$, $[A'] = \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2}$, then since [A''] is a proper closed subset of $\mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2}$, $[A] = [A'-A''] = [\mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1} - A''] \supset \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2} - [A'']$, which is a nonempty open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $A' \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^{(n+1)^2-1}$. Now the theorem follows immediately from lemma (2.5).

Proof of Corollaries. Corollary 1 is obvious. Corollary 2 is also obvious by noting that A" is a proper subvariety of $CP^{(n+1)^2-1}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] HODGE, W.V.D. and PEDOE, D., Methods of Algebraic Geometry, Cambridge (1968).
- [2] KUO, T.C., On C°-Sufficiency of Jets of Potential Functions, Topology, Vol. 8, pp 167-171 (1969).
- [3] STERNBERG, S., Lectures on Differential Geometry, Prentice-Hall (1964).

[4] Van der WAEDREN, B.L., Modern Algebra, Ungar (1964).



