Technische Universität Berlin



FAKULTÄT II MATHEMATIK UND NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN Institut für Mathematik

INFORMATION ON MIPLIB'S TIMETAB-INSTANCES

 ${\rm by}$

Christian Liebchen and Rolf H. Möhring

No. 2003/49

Information on MIPLIB's timetab-instances

Christian Liebchen and Rolf H. Möhring

December 31, 2003

Abstract

This report provides information for the timetab-instances of the new MIPLIB. This includes data for both, the underlying real-world application and the resulting graph problem.

1 Origin

In the timetab-instances, we want to solve the Cyclic Railway Timetabling Problem. In this problem, we are given information about a railway network, consisting of a graph representing its infrastructure and different traffic lines. Each of the traffic lines is operated every T time units. We want to determine periodic departure times within the basic interval [0, T) at every stop of every line.

The constraints of our instances have various sources. Besides elementary conditions on running and stopping times, there are also more advanced topics included, e.g. conditions on single tracks.

In our objective function, we penalize the passenger waiting times that occur along change activities and along the stopping activities. Moreover, the vehicle waiting times that occur along the stopping activities and during the turnover activities are penalized. This models the piecewise constant nature of vehicle costs, i.e. precisely the number of vehicles can be minimized.

The real-world problems, which are the base for our slightly perturbed data sets, have the properties that are shown in Table 1. Notice that the number of turnover activities is strictly greater than twice the number of pairs of traffic lines. This is, because we do not restrict vehicles always to serve the same line. Rather, a train may continue on every line which starts at the station where its last trip finished, given that the lines share the same type of trains. Certainly, an additional potential for optimization is made accessible, but the minimal number of vehicles required when allowing such line changes is no more guaranteed to be met[LP02a].

Quantity	timetab1	timetab2
Pairs of traffic lines	11	13
Change activities	55	143
Stopping activities with extension		
of minimal stopping time allowed	44	72
Number of pairs of lines		
synchronized to a frequency of $\frac{T}{2}$	10	10
Turnover activities	38	44

Table 1: Classification numbers of the real-world problems

2 Graph Model (PESP)

The Cyclic Railway Timetabling Problem is usually modeled as a Periodic Event Scheduling Problem[SU89]. This model is based on so-called *events*. With an event *i*, we associate either the arrival or the departure of a given directed traffic line at a certain station. A *periodic timetable* π then assigns to each of the events a point of time within the basic interval [0, *T*). Constraints are of the form

$$\ell_{ij} \le (\pi_j - \pi_i - \ell_{ij}) \mod T + \ell_{ij} \le u_{ij},\tag{1}$$

where ℓ_{ij} and u_{ij} are input parameters, which require the difference $\pi_j - \pi_i$ to reside in the interval $[\ell_{ij}, u_{ij}]$, modulo the period time T. Due to this special structure, events and constraints can be interpreted as vertices and arcs of a directed graph.

The PESP with period time T is \mathcal{NP} -complete. In particular, it is at least as hard as finding out whether a graph has a coloring with at most T colors[Odi97].

When analyzing data that is obtained by some train network planning and analysis software, such as VISUM[Vis03], there are many redundancies in the resulting digraph associated with the PESP instance. These can be eliminated in a preprocessing phase that "contracts" the graph. For example, nodes with degree at most one as well as arcs with span $d_{ij} := u_{ij} - \ell_{ij}$ equal to zero can be contracted. Notice that the size of the initial digraphs essentially depends on how safety arcs are generated. They are needed to ensure a safety distance between two consecutive trains. If two trains share five consecutive tracks, this could be translated into five safety arcs, as well. However, our preprocessing method only creates one single safety arc in this case.

3 MIP formulation

Based on an approach by Nachtigall[Nac98], the MIP is formulated in terms of *periodic tension* variables x_{ij} , instead of *node potential* variables π_i . For a given node potential π , the corresponding periodic tension x is defined as

$$x_{ij} := (\pi_j - \pi_i - \ell_{ij}) \mod T + \ell_{ij}, \ \forall (i,j) \in A.$$

$$(2)$$

Quantity	timetab1	timetab2
Original Digraph		
Nodes	4604	5344
Arcs	5053	5859
Run/stop arcs	4582	5318
safety arcs	225	265
Contracted Digraph		
Nodes	56	88
Arcs	226	381
- with $d_{ij} = T - 1$	72	164
- with $d_{ij} \ge 0.9 \cdot T$	153	253
- with $d_{ij} \leq 0.1 \cdot T$	41	70
average span	77.76%	79.19%

Table 2: Classification numbers of the digraphs

Notice that not every vector $x \in \mathbb{Q}^{|A|}$ can be derived from a node potential in this way. So, in order that the tensions obtained by a MIP-solver actually generate a periodic timetable π , they must satisfy some additional constraints which involve additional artificial integer variables p, see Liebchen and Peeters[LP02b]. We will make this precise below.

We are given a PESP digraph D = (V, A) with period time T and for every arc a a lower bound ℓ_a , an upper bound u_a , and a coefficient c_a .

Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}\}$ be the arcs of a spanning tree H of the underlying undirected graph of D. Define the cycle-arc incidence matrix $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})_{(m-n+1)\times m}$ generated by H as:

$\gamma_{ij} := \langle$	1 1	if a_j is not part of the cycle induced by a_j , if a_j is part of the cycle induced by a_j	(9)
	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} -1, \end{array} \right.$	and a_j is traversed in the same direction as a_i ,	(3)

The mixed integer linear program to be solved is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & cx \\ \text{s.t.} & \Gamma x = pT \\ & \ell \leq x \leq u \\ & \underline{p} \leq p \leq \overline{p} \\ & x \in \mathbb{Q}^m \\ & p \in \mathbb{Z}^{m-n+1}. \end{array}$$

$$(4)$$

The box-constraints $\underline{p} \leq p \leq \overline{p}$ are obtained by valid inequalities that have been introduced by Odijk[Odi97]. They heavily depend on the choice of the spanning tree H.

We may classify trees by their width

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m-n+1} (\overline{p} - \underline{p} + 1).$$
(5)

Liebchen[Lie03] observed a significant correlation between the width and the solution time of CPLEX[©]. Hence, spanning trees with a small width are preferable. But minimizing a linearized variant of this objective over spanning trees is MAX-SNP-hard[GA03].

In Deo et. al.[DKP95], several heuristics for constructing a spanning tree with small width are proposed, among them UV (unexplored vertices) and NT (non-tree edges). For timetab1, we obtained the smallest width by applying a weighted variant of UV, for timetab2, we obtainted the smallest width by applying NT. The widths and the distributions of the number of possible values for the integer variables p are reported in Table 3.

Quantity	timetab1	timetab2
Width	$1.24111 \cdot 10^{73}$	$3.46383 \cdot 10^{127}$
n	\sharp variables with	n integer values
1	13	17
2	64	113
3	38	74
4	38	54
5	15	30
6	3	5
7	0	1

Table 3: Properties of the cycle bases

4 Solution Behavior with CPLEX[©]

The optimal value for timetabl is 764772. It has been obtained and proven after two consecutive runs of CPLEX[©] 8.0 on an Athlon XP 1500+ with 512MB on a formulation refined by 200 valid inequalities in the root node of the branch and bound tree. The two runs took less than six hours in total.

For timetab2, the best solution we know has an objective value of 1129073. The value of 1020507 has been identified by CPLEX[©] 8.0 as a lower bound, implying a gap of about ten percent. These values have been attained only within several days, by alternated runs of CPLEX[©] 8.0 on a formulation refined by up to 1000 valid inequalities, and a genetic algorithm[NV96].

References

- [GA03] G. Galbiati and E. Amaldi. On the Approximability of the Minimum Fundamental Cycle Basis Problem. In K. Jansen and R. Solis-Oba (eds.): Workshop on Approximation and Online Algorithms, Springer, 2003
- [Cpl03] CPLEX[©] 8.0. http://www.ilog.com/products/cplex ILOG SA, France, 2003.
- [DKP95] N. Deo, N. Kumar, and J. Parson. Minimum-Length Fundamental-Cycle Set Problem: A New Heuristic and an SIMD Implementation. Technical Report CS-TR-95-04, University of Central Florida, Orlando, 1995
- [Lie03] C. Liebchen. Finding Short Integral Cycle Bases for Cyclic Timetabling. In G. Di Battista and Uri Zwick (eds.): Algorithms - ESA 2003, LNCS 2832, Springer, 2003
- [LP02a] C. Liebchen and L. Peeters. Some Practical Aspects of Periodic Timetabling. In P. Chamoni et al (eds.): Operations Research Proceedings 2001, Springer, 2002
- [LP02b] C. Liebchen and L. Peeters. On Cyclic Timetabling and Cycles in Graphs. Technical Report 761/2002, TU Berlin, 2002
- [Nac98] K. Nachtigall. Periodic Network Optimization and Fixed Interval Timetables. Habilitation thesis, University Hildesheim, 1998
- [NV96] K. Nachtigall and S. Voget. A genetic algorithm approach to periodic railway synchronization. Computers and Operations Research 23 (5), 453–463, 1996
- [Odi97] M. Odijk. Railway Timetable Generation. Ph.D. Thesis, TU Delft, 1997
- [SU89] P. Serafini and W. Ukovich. A mathematical model for periodic scheduling problems. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 2, 550–581, 1989
- [Vis03] VISUM 8.0. http://www.ptv.de/cgi-bin/produkte/visumoev.pl. PTV AG, Germany, 2003

Reports from the group

"Combinatorial Optimization and Graph Algorithms"

of the Department of Mathematics, TU Berlin

- **2003**/49 Christian Liebchen and Rolf H. Möhring: Information on MIPLIB's timetab-instances
- 2003/48 Jacques Desrosiers and Marco E. Lübbecke: A Primer in Column Generation
- **2003/47** Thomas Erlebach, Vanessa Kääb, and Rolf H. Möhring: Scheduling AND/OR-Networks on Identical Parallel Machines
- **2003**/**43** Michael R. Bussieck, Thomas Lindner, and Marco E. Lübbecke: A Fast Algorithm for Near Cost Optimal Line Plans
- **2003/42** Marco E. Lübbecke: Dual Variable Based Fathoming in Dynamic Programs for Column Generation
- **2003/37** Sándor P. Fekete, Marco E. Lübbecke, and Henk Meijer: Minimizing the Stabbing Number of Matchings, Trees, and Triangulations
- **2003/25** Daniel Villeneuve, Jacques Desrosiers, Marco E. Lübbecke, and François Soumis: On Compact Formulations for Integer Programs Solved by Column Generation
- 2003/24 Alex Hall, Katharina Langkau, and Martin Skutella: An FPTAS for Quickest Multicommodity Flows with Inflow-Dependent Transit Times
- 2003/23 Sven O. Krumke, Nicole Megow, and Tjark Vredeveld: How to Whack Moles
- 2003/22 Nicole Megow and Andreas S. Schulz: Scheduling to Minimize Average Completion Time Revisited: Deterministic On-Line Algorithms
- 2003/16 Christian Liebchen: Symmetry for Periodic Railway Timetables
- 2003/12 Christian Liebchen: Finding Short Integral Cycle Bases for Cyclic Timetabling
- **762/2002** Ekkehard Köhler and Katharina Langkau and Martin Skutella: Time-Expanded Graphs for Flow-Dependent Transit Times
- **761/2002** Christian Liebchen and Leon Peeters: On Cyclic Timetabling and Cycles in Graphs
- 752/2002 Ekkehard Köhler and Rolf H. Möhring and Martin Skutella: Traffic Networks and Flows Over Time
- **739/2002** Georg Baier and Ekkehard Köhler and Martin Skutella: On the k-splittable Flow Problem
- **736/2002** Christian Liebchen and Rolf H. Möhring: A Case Study in Periodic Timetabling
- 723/2001 Berit Johannes: Scheduling Parallel Jobs to Minimize Makespan

- **716/2001** Christian Liebchen: The Periodic Assignment Problem (PAP) May Be Solved Greedily
- 711/2001 Esther M. Arkin, Michael A. Bender, Sándor P. Fekete, Joseph S. B. Mitchell, and Martin Skutella: The Freeze-Tag Problem: How to Wake Up a Swarm of Robots
- 710/2001 Esther M. Arkin, Sándor P. Fekete, and Joseph S. B. Mitchell: Algorithms for Manufacturing Paperclips and Sheet Metal Structures
- 705/2000 Ekkehard Köhler: Recognizing Graphs without Asteroidal Triples
- 704/2000 Ekkehard Köhler: AT-free, coAT-free Graphs and AT-free Posets
- 702/2000 Frederik Stork: Branch-and-Bound Algorithms for Stochastic Resource-Constrained Project Scheduling
- **700**/**2000** *Rolf H. Möhring:* Scheduling under uncertainty: Bounding the makespan distribution
- **698/2000** Sándor P. Fekete, Ekkehard Köhler, and Jürgen Teich: More-dimensional packing with order constraints
- **697/2000** Sándor P. Fekete, Ekkehard Köhler, and Jürgen Teich: Extending partial suborders and implication classes
- **696/2000** Sándor P. Fekete, Ekkehard Köhler, and Jürgen Teich: Optimal FPGA module placement with temporal precedence constraints
- **695/2000** Sándor P. Fekete, Henk Meijer, André Rohe, and Walter Tietze: Solving a "hard" problem to approximate an "easy" one: heuristics for maximum matchings and maximum Traveling Salesman Problems
- **694/2000** Esther M. Arkin, Sándor P. Fekete, Ferran Hurtado, Joseph S. B. Mitchell, Marc Noy, Vera Sacristán and Saurabh Sethia: On the reflexivity of point sets
- **693/2000** Frederik Stork and Marc Uetz: On the representation of resource constraints in project scheduling
- **691/2000** Martin Skutella and Marc Uetz: Scheduling precedence constrained jobs with stochastic processing times on parallel machines
- **689/2000** Rolf H. Möhring, Martin Skutella, and Frederik Stork: Scheduling with AND/OR precedence constraints
- **685/2000** Martin Skutella: Approximating the single source unsplittable min-cost flow problem
- **684/2000** Han Hoogeveen, Martin Skutella, and Gerhard J. Woeginger: Preemptive scheduling with rejection
- **683/2000** Martin Skutella: Convex quadratic and semidefinite programming relaxations in Scheduling
- 682/2000 Rolf H. Möhring and Marc Uetz: Scheduling scarce resources in chemical engineering
- **681/2000** Rolf H. Möhring: Scheduling under uncertainty: optimizing against a randomizing adversary
- 680/2000 Rolf H. Möhring, Andreas S. Schulz, Frederik Stork, and Marc Uetz: Solving project scheduling problems by minimum cut computations (Journal version for the previous Reports 620 and 661)

- 674/2000 Esther M. Arkin, Michael A. Bender, Erik D. Demaine, Sándor P. Fekete, Joseph S. B. Mitchell, and Saurabh Sethia: Optimal covering tours with turn costs
- 669/2000 Michael Naatz: A note on a question of C. D. Savage
- 667/2000 Sándor P. Fekete and Henk Meijer: On geometric maximum weight cliques
- **666/2000** Sándor P. Fekete, Joseph S. B. Mitchell, and Karin Weinbrecht: On the continuous Weber and k-median problems
- 664/2000 Rolf H. Möhring, Andreas S. Schulz, Frederik Stork, and Marc Uetz: On project scheduling with irregular starting time costs
- **661/2000** Frederik Stork and Marc Uetz: Resource-constrained project scheduling: from a Lagrangian relaxation to competitive solutions

Reports may be requested from:

Sekretariat MA 6–1 Fakultät II – Institut für Mathematik TU Berlin Straße des 17. Juni 136 D-10623 Berlin – Germany

e-mail: klink@math.TU-Berlin.DE

Reports are also available in various formats from

http://www.math.tu-berlin.de/coga/publications/techreports/

and via anonymous ftp as

ftp://ftp.math.tu-berlin.de/pub/Preprints/combi/Report-number-year.ps