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#### Abstract

Some inequalities for the mean and standard deviation of continuous probability distributions are presented here in this paper and their geometrical significance has also been discussed. It has been shown that the inequalities obtained in this paper are better than the inequalities discussed by J. Muilwijk[6].
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

If $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ be the mean and $\sigma^{2}$ the variance for continuous probability distribution function. Then variance of a random variable which varies over the interval [a, b] is bounded by the following inequality $[1,2,3 \& 4]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \sigma^{2} \leq\left(\mathbf{b}-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\mathbf{a}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \sigma^{2}+\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A bound on variance of a continuous random variable which varies over the interval [a, b] is discussed in references [3,4\&6]. In this paper we try to obtain the reduction in this bound for the case when minimum and maximum values of the probability density function are prescribed. The geometrical significance of these bounds is discussed in relation with the circle diagram given in [5\&7].
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## 2. MAIN RESULTS

## Theorem 2.1

Let $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ be the mean and $\sigma$ be the standard deviation of a continuous random variable x whose probability density function $\phi(\mathrm{x})$ is defined in the interval [ $a, b]$. If $m$ be the infimum of the function $\phi(x)$ in the interval $[a, b]$ then we must have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a})^{3}}{12} \leq \sigma^{2}+\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a})^{3}}{6} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{2} & =\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{x}-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} \\
& =\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{x}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}-\int_{a}^{b}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The definite integral of probability distribution function $\phi(x)$ over the limits a to $b$ is unity therefore from (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{2} & +\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2}=\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{x}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}}{2}\right)^{2}-\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{a}) \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x$ lies in the interval $[a, b]$ therefore

$$
(x-a)(x-b) \leq 0
$$

Since $m$ be the infimum of the function $\phi(x)$ in the interval $[a, b]$ then Inequality (2.1) follows from (2.3) and (2.4).

## Theorem 2.2

Let $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ be the mean and $\sigma$ be the standard deviation of a continuous random variable x whose probability density function $\phi(\mathrm{x})$ is defined in the interval [ $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ]. If M be the supremum of the function $\phi(\mathrm{x})$ in the interval $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ then we must have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a})^{3}}{6} \leq \sigma^{2}+\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \leq \mathrm{M} \frac{(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a})^{3}}{12} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

Consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{2} & =\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{x}-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} \\
& =\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{x}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}-\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The definite integral of probability distribution function $\phi(x)$ over the limits a to $b$ is unity therefore from (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{2} & +\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2}=\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{x}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}}{2}\right)^{2}-\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{a}) \phi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since x lies in the interval $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ therefore

$$
(x-a)(x-b) \leq 0
$$

Since $M$ is the supremum of the function $\phi(x)$ in the interval $[a, b]$ then Inequality (2.5) follows from (2.7) and (2.8).

## 3. GEOMETRICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF INEQUALITIES (2.1) AND (2.5)

i) Inequality (2.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{1}^{2} \leq \sigma^{2}+\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \leq \mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$\mu_{1}^{\prime}$
Figure: 3.1: If $m$ be the infimum of the probability density function $\phi(x)$ in the interval $[\mathbf{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ then from inequality (3.1) it follows that the point $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma$ -plane lies in a region bounded by circles with diameter EF and CD.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A} \leftrightarrow \quad(\mathrm{a}, 0), \quad \mathrm{B} \leftrightarrow \quad(\mathrm{~b}, 0), \quad \mathrm{E} \leftrightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}-\mathrm{k}_{1}, 0\right), \mathrm{F} \leftrightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}+\mathrm{k}_{1}, 0\right), \\
& \mathrm{C} \leftrightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}-\mathrm{k}_{2}, 0\right), \text { and } \mathrm{D} \leftrightarrow\left(\frac{a+b}{2}+k_{2}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{1}^{2}=\frac{m}{12}(b-a)^{3}$, and $k_{2}^{2}=\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{2}-m \frac{(b-a)^{3}}{6}$.
Since $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}) \leq 1$, it follows that $\mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \geq \mathrm{k}_{1}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}}{2}\right)^{2}$.
From inequality (1.2) we see that the point $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\mu_{1}^{\prime} \sigma$ - plane lies in the upper half of the circle with diameter ' $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}$ '. From inequality (2.1) we find that the point $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\mu_{1}^{\prime} \sigma$ - plane lies in a region bounded by circles with radii $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}\left(k_{1}<k_{2}\right)$. This is shown in figure (3.1). From figure (3.1) we see that inequality (2.1) affects an improvement in inequality (1.2), see[6] in terms of further reducing the region in which the point $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ must of necessity lie.


Figure: 3.2: If $M$ be the supremum of the probability density function $\phi(x)$ in the interval $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ then from inequality (2.5) it follows that the point $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma$-plane lies in a region bounded by circles with diameter EF and CD.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A} \leftrightarrow(\mathrm{a}, 0), \quad \mathrm{B} \leftrightarrow(\mathrm{~b}, 0), \quad \mathrm{E} \leftrightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}-l_{1}, 0\right), \quad \mathrm{F} \leftrightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}+l_{1}, 0\right), \\
& \mathrm{C} \leftrightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}-l_{2}, 0\right), \text { and } \mathrm{D} \leftrightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}+l_{2}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

ii) Inequality (2.5) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}^{2} \leq \sigma^{2}+\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)^{2} \leq l_{2}^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{1}^{2}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}}{2}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{M} \frac{(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})^{3}}{6}$, and $l_{2}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})^{3}}{12}$.
Since $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}) \geq 1$, it follows that $l_{1}^{2} \leq l_{2}^{2}$ and $l_{2}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}}{2}\right)^{2}$, if and only if (b-a)
$\mathrm{M} \leq 3$.
From inequality (1.2) we find that the point $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ in $\mu_{1}^{\prime} \sigma$ - plane lies in a region bounded by circles with radii $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}\left(l_{1}<l_{2}\right)$. This is shown in figure (3.2). From figure we see that inequality (2.5) affects an improvement in inequality
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(1.2), see[6], for (b-a) $\mathrm{M} \leq 3$, in terms of further reducing the region in which the point $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma\right)$ must of necessity lie.

## 4. CONCLUSION/RESULT ANALYSIS

A bound on variance of a continuous random variable which varies over the interval $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$ is discussed in references [ $1,3,4 \& 6]$. Here we have obtained the reduction in this bound for the case when minimum and maximum values of the probability density function are prescribed.
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