



Publication Year	2019
Acceptance in OA @INAF	2021-04-14T09:50:53Z
Title	Neutrino emission from BL Lac objects: the role of radiatively inefficient accretion flows
Authors	Righi, Chiara; TAVECCHIO, Fabrizio; Inoue, S.
DOI	10.1093/mnrasl/sly231
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/30749
Journal	MONTHLY NOTICES OF THE ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY. LETTERS
Number	483

Neutrino emission from BL Lac objects: the role of radiatively inefficient accretion flows

C. Righi¹,^{2,3}★ F. Tavecchio² and S. Inoue⁴

¹Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, Italy

²INAF – Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via E. Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate, Italy

³INFN – Sezione di Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, I-16146 Genova, Italy

⁴Astrophysical big bang Laboratory, RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako 351-0198, Japan

Accepted 2018 December 3. Received 2018 December 3; in original form 2018 July 27

ABSTRACT

The origin of the astrophysical high-energy neutrinos discovered by IceCube is currently a major mystery. The recent detection of IceCube-170922A, an ~ 300 TeV neutrino potentially correlated with the flaring γ -ray source TXS 0506+056, directs attention towards BL Lac objects (BL Lacs), the subclass of blazars with weak emission lines. While high-energy neutrinos can be produced via photohadronic interactions between protons accelerated in their jets and ambient low-energy photons, the density of the latter in such objects had generally been thought to be too low for efficient neutrino emission. Here we consider the role of radiatively inefficient accretion flows (RIAFs), which can plausibly exist in the nuclei of BL Lacs, as the source of target photons for neutrino production. Based on simple model prescriptions for the spectra of RIAFs at different accretion rates, we find that they can be sufficiently intense to allow appreciable neutrino emission for the class of low-synchrotron-peak BL Lacs such as TXS 0506+056. In contrast, for high-synchrotron-peak BL Lacs, including Mkn 421 and Mkn 501, the contribution of RIAFs is subdominant and their neutrino production efficiency can remain low, consistent with their non-detection by IceCube to date.

Key words: astroparticle physics – neutrinos – radiation mechanisms: non-thermal – BL Lac objects: general – gamma-rays: galaxies.

1 INTRODUCTION

The origin of the astrophysical neutrinos with energies above 100 TeV detected by IceCube (Aartsen et al. 2013) is currently mysterious. The main observational challenges are their limited detection rates (~ 60 events since 2010) and large localization uncertainties ($\sim 1^\circ$ for muon track events and larger for cascade events). The observed isotropy of their distribution in the sky suggests a predominantly extragalactic origin.

The most likely production mechanism of high-energy neutrinos in astrophysical environments is the acceleration of protons to sufficiently high energies E_p , followed by their inelastic collisions with ambient gas or low-energy photons. Such interactions generate charged pions that subsequently decay into secondary particles, including neutrinos, with typical energy $E_\nu \sim 0.05E_p$ (e.g. Dermer & Menon 2009). Among the various astrophysical sources that have been proposed (for reviews, see e.g. Ahlers & Halzen 2015; Meszaros 2017), one of the most promising is blazars. Recognized as active galactic nuclei with relativistic jets oriented nearly towards

the observer, the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of their luminous, variable, and broad-band non-thermal emission are typically characterized by two humps (Madejski & Sikora 2016). The first one peaking in the infrared (IR) to soft X-ray band is understood as synchrotron emission of electrons accelerated inside the jet. The second one peaking in the γ -ray band is often interpreted as inverse Compton (IC) upscattering of ambient low-energy photons by the same electrons. It is plausible that protons are accelerated in the same conditions up to ultra-high energies, which can undergo $p\gamma$ interactions with ambient photons to produce high-energy neutrinos (e.g. Mannheim 1995). Neutrinos with $E_\nu \sim 300$ TeV require interactions between protons with $E_p \geq 6$ PeV and photons with energies above the photopion threshold, $\varepsilon \geq m_\pi m_p c^4 / E_p \approx 10^2 - 10^3$ eV, in the ultraviolet (UV) to soft X-ray range. In some models, the secondary cascade emission triggered by the same $p\gamma$ interactions can dominate the γ -rays (e.g. Mannheim 1993).

Blazars can be categorized into two main subclasses: flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs) and BL Lac objects (BL Lacs). FSRQs are relatively more powerful, especially in GeV γ -rays, and exhibit strong emission lines. The latter is a signature of intense optical-UV photons from the broad-line region outside the jet, likely photoexcited by a radiatively efficient accretion disc around the su-

* E-mail: chiara.righi@inaf.it

permissive black hole (SMBH) at the nucleus (e.g. Ghisellini et al. 2010). IC upscattering of such external photons impinging into the jet, referred to as external Compton (EC) emission, can dominate the γ -rays. As the same photons can also serve as effective targets for $p\gamma$ interactions, FSRQs have been considered promising neutrino emitters (e.g. Murase, Inoue & Dermer 2014; Kadler et al. 2016). However, a dominant contribution of FSRQs to the diffuse neutrino flux observed by IceCube is disfavoured, since their low surface density and high luminosity are at odds with upper limits on source clustering (Kowalski 2015) or multiplet events (Murase & Waxman 2016). BL Lacs are relatively less powerful, and display weak or no emission lines, indicating the lack of strong external radiation fields. Their γ -ray luminosity is comparable to the synchrotron luminosity, but extends to higher energies. BL Lacs can be further subdivided depending on the peak energy of their SED components, with low-synchrotron-peak BL Lacs (LBLs) emitting up to hundreds of GeV and high-synchrotron-peak BL Lacs (HBLs) up to tens of TeV (Ackermann et al. 2015).¹ The γ -rays observed in BL Lacs can generally be well explained as synchrotron self-Compton emission. Given their low power and inferred weak radiation fields, the neutrino production efficiency for BL Lacs has often been thought to be low (Murase et al. 2014; see, however, Sections 4 and 5).

The recent finding that the likely counterpart of the ~ 300 TeV neutrino IceCube-170922A is TXS 0506+056, a BL Lac (Aartsen et al. 2018), is therefore not trivial to interpret. Note that TXS 0506+056 is likely an LBL or possibly an intermediate-synchrotron-peak BL Lac (IBL; see below). The picture is further complicated by the fact that the HBLs Mkn 421 and Mkn 501 are still undetected in high-energy neutrinos, despite being more prominent γ -ray emitters (Aartsen et al. 2017).

In this context, a potential source of external photons for BL Lacs that has hardly been discussed in the literature is radiatively inefficient accretion flows (RIAFs). It is quite plausible that the nuclei of BL Lacs host RIAFs (e.g. Ghisellini, Maraschi & Tavecchio 2009), which are expected when the mass accretion rate \dot{M} on to the central SMBH is lower than a critical value (Narayan & Yi 1995; Yuan & Narayan 2014). Notwithstanding their lower emissivity compared to standard accretion discs, the spectra of RIAFs are expected to span a broader frequency range, and vary non-trivially with \dot{M} . This study focuses on the role of RIAFs as target photons for $p\gamma$ neutrino production in BL Lacs, which can have various interesting implications, including huge differences between LBLs and HBLs.

2 BL LAC SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS

Using a sample of 747 blazars (299 BL Lacs and 448 FSRQs) detected by *Fermi*-LAT with known redshifts from the 3LAC catalogue (Ackermann et al. 2015), Ghisellini et al. 2017 (hereafter G17) confirmed the evidence for a spectral sequence, a systematic trend among the SEDs of all blazars that had been found in previous studies (Fossati et al. 1998). To parametrize their average SEDs, G17 used a phenomenological function consisting of two broken power laws connecting with a power law describing the radio emission. They found that with increasing luminosity, BL Lacs have a lower peak frequency, softer γ -ray slope, and larger dominance of the high-energy component.

¹Note that the abbreviations here differ from ‘HSP’, ‘ISP’, and ‘LSP’ used in Ackermann et al. (2015).




Figure 1. Observed SEDs (filled circles) compared with average parametrized models for the three subclasses of BL Lacs: LBLs (top, blue), IBLs (middle, green), and HBLs (bottom, orange).

Ackermann et al. (2015) proposed a subclassification of BL Lacs, based on the peak frequency ν_S of the synchrotron SED component: LBLs with $\nu_S < 10^{14}$ Hz, IBLs with 10^{14} Hz $< \nu_S < 10^{15}$ Hz, and HBLs with $\nu_S > 10^{15}$ Hz. This ‘*Fermi*’ classification scheme can be applied to 110 out of the 299 BL Lacs in G17 with sufficient data, resulting in 71 LBLs, 21 IBLs, and 18 HBLs.

For the purpose of estimating typical values of the observed bolometric luminosity, intrinsic radiative power, and jet power for each BL Lac subclass, we parametrize the average SEDs of their non-thermal emission, using the phenomenological model of G17 and assuming $\alpha_R = -0.1$ for the radio spectral index. For each subclass, the model is compared with the data in Fig. 1, and the 10 model parameters that were determined are listed in Table 1. From the model, it is immediate to evaluate the average, isotropic-equivalent bolometric luminosity L_{bol} . Assuming a jet bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma_j = 15$ (Ghisellini et al. 2010), the beaming-corrected power in radiation can be estimated as $P_{\text{rad}} = L_{\text{bol}}/\Gamma_j^2$ (e.g. Celotti & Ghisellini 2008), with values listed in Table 2. Note that our aim here is not detailed spectral modelling of these SEDs.

3 RADIATIVELY INEFFICIENT ACCRETION FLOWS

A key quantity that regulates the properties of the accretion flow on to the SMBH with mass M_{BH} is the mass accretion rate in Eddington units $\dot{m} \equiv \dot{M}/\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$, where $\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} \equiv L_{\text{Edd}}/\eta_{\text{acc}}c^2$, $L_{\text{Edd}} = 4\pi GM_{\text{BH}}m_p c/\sigma_T$, and $\eta_{\text{acc}} = 0.1$ is a nominal accretion efficiency. When $\dot{m} \gtrsim 10^{-2}$, a standard accretion disc is expected that is geometrically thin, optically thick, and radiatively efficient (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). In contrast, when $\dot{m} \lesssim 10^{-2}$, a transition to RIAFs is supported by both theory and observations (Yuan & Narayan 2014). In RIAFs, the density of the accretion flow is low enough that thermal protons cannot transfer their energy effectively to thermal electrons via collisional processes. As a result, the proton temperature remains close to the virial value, much hotter than in standard accretion discs, and the flow becomes geometrically thick and optically thin while radiating inefficiently. The spectra of RIAFs can be more broad-band and complex than a standard accretion disc spectra, comprising multiple components.

The trends in the observed SED of FSRQs and BL Lacs have been adequately interpreted in terms of a sequence in the total power (energy flux) in the jet P_{jet} correlated with \dot{M} , together with a transition from standard accretion discs in FSRQs to RIAFs in

Table 1. Parameters for the phenomenological SEDs plotted in Fig. 1, where $\alpha_R = -0.1$ was fixed. See G17 for detailed definitions of the parameters.

	$\log < L_{\text{bol}} >$ $\log (\text{erg s}^{-1})$	α_1	α_2	α_3	ν_l Hz	ν_S Hz	ν_C Hz	$\nu_{\text{cut},S}$ Hz	$\nu_{\text{cut},C}$ Hz	$\nu_S L(\nu_S)$ erg s^{-1}	CD	N
LBL	47.2	0.65	1.3	0.62	3e11	1e12	3e21	5e16	7e26	1e46	1	71
IBL	46.2	0.7	1.3	0.8	2.5e11	5e14	1e23	6e18	8e26	8e44	0.7	21
HBL	45.8	0.68	1.2	0.8	1e11	9e16	5e24	4e19	5e27	4e44	0.4	18

Table 2. Radiative power, jet power, normalized accretion rate, proton power, and neutrino detection rate for the three subclasses of BL Lacs.

Type	P_{rad} erg s^{-1}	P_{jet} erg s^{-1}	\dot{m} (10^{-3})	L'_p erg s^{-1}	$R_{\nu\mu}$ 7 yr
LBL	6.3×10^{44}	6.3×10^{45}	5.7	5×10^{44}	1
IBL	6.3×10^{43}	6.3×10^{44}	0.5	4.5×10^{43}	8×10^{-5}
HBL	2.5×10^{43}	2.5×10^{44}	0.3	1.8×10^{43}	8×10^{-7}

BL Lacs (e.g. Ghisellini, Maraschi & Tavecchio 2009). Within the BL Lac population, the trends among the three subclasses may also be understood as a sequence in P_{jet} and \dot{M} . Here we discuss the emission expected from RIAFs for each BL Lac subclass. First, we adopt a simple scaling between P_{jet} and \dot{M} (e.g. Ghisellini et al. 2010),

$$P_{\text{jet}} \approx \eta_j \dot{M} c^2, \quad (1)$$

where a value $\eta_j \sim 1$ is supported for the jet formation efficiency through modelling of *Fermi*-LAT blazars (Ghisellini et al. 2014) as well as numerical simulations of magnetically driven jet formation (Tchekhovskoy, Narayan & McKinney 2011). On the other hand, a relation on average between P_{jet} and P_{rad} as derived from the SEDs in Section 2 is indicated by several studies,

$$P_{\text{jet}} \approx P_{\text{rad}} / \eta_{\text{rad}}, \quad (2)$$


with $\eta_{\text{rad}} \sim 0.1$ (Celotti & Ghisellini 2008, Nemmen et al. 2012). Assuming for simplicity fixed values of $M_{\text{BH}} = 10^9 M_{\odot}$, \dot{m} can be estimated for each BL Lac subclass from its average P_{rad} as

$$\dot{m} \approx \frac{\eta_{\text{acc}} P_{\text{jet}}}{\eta_j L_{\text{Edd}}} \approx \frac{\eta_{\text{acc}} P_{\text{rad}}}{\eta_j \eta_{\text{rad}} L_{\text{Edd}}}, \quad (3)$$

resulting in $\dot{m} = 5.7 \times 10^{-3}$, 5×10^{-4} , and 3×10^{-4} for LBLs, IBLs, and HBLs, respectively.

The details of the emission from RIAFs can be model-dependent (Yuan & Narayan 2014). For concreteness, we adopt the advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF) model of Mahadevan (1997), who provides simple prescriptions for calculating the spectra for different parameters. We assume viscosity parameter $\alpha = 0.3$, ratio of gas pressure to total pressure $\beta = 0.5$, minimum radius $r_{\text{min}} = 3r_S$, and maximum radius $r_{\text{max}} = 10^3 r_S$ in units of $r_S = 2GM_{\text{BH}}/c^2$ (for more details, see Mahadevan 1997).

For the three subclasses of BL Lacs, Fig. 2 shows the expected RIAF spectra. They comprise three components: a hard power law below 10^{12} Hz due to cyclo-synchrotron emission, a softer power law from IR to soft-X rays due to multiple IC upscattering by semirelativistic electrons, and a bump peaking in soft γ -rays due to bremsstrahlung. With increasing \dot{m} , a clear hardening of the IC component in the UV to X-ray range can be seen, besides the overall increase in the luminosity. This is a robust prediction of ADAF models, and reasonably representative of RIAFs in general (Yuan & Narayan 2014). The differences in the RIAF spectra among the BL Lac subclasses have key consequences for their neutrino emission.


Figure 2. RIAF spectra expected for the three subclasses of BL Lacs: LBLs (solid blue), IBLs (dashed green), and HBLs (dot-dashed orange).

4 NEUTRINO EMISSION INDUCED BY RIAFS

We now discuss the neutrino emission from BL Lacs, considering RIAFs as sources of external target photons for $p\gamma$ interactions with protons accelerated inside their jets. The basic formulation follows Tavecchio, Ghisellini & Guetta (2014), of which the main points 1–3 are summarized below. Aspects newly considered for this work are described as point 4. All physical quantities as measured in the jet comoving frame are primed.

1. Considering a region in the jet with radius $R_j = 10^{15}$ cm moving with bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma_j = 15$, accelerated protons are injected isotropically in the jet frame with luminosity L'_p , distributed in energy E'_p as a power law with a maximum cut-off:

$$L'_p(E'_p) = k_p E_p'^{-n} \exp\left(-\frac{E'_p}{E'_{p,\text{max}}}\right); \quad E'_p > E'_{p,\text{min}}, \quad (4)$$

where, for definiteness, we set $E'_{p,\text{max}} = 10^{17}$ eV, $E'_{p,\text{min}} = 3 \times 10^{11}$ eV, and $n = 2$. Heavier nuclei are neglected.

2. The photomeson production efficiency $f_{p\gamma}(E'_p)$ is determined by the ratio between the dynamical time-scale $t'_{\text{dyn}} \approx R_j/c$ and $t'_{p\gamma}(E'_p)$, the energy-loss time-scale for protons via $p\gamma$ interactions.

3. The neutrino luminosity L'_ν in the jet frame is evaluated by (e.g. Murase et al. 2014)

$$E'_\nu L'_\nu(E'_\nu) \approx \frac{3}{8} f_{p\gamma}(E'_p) E'_p L'_p(E'_p); \quad E'_\nu = 0.05 E'_p. \quad (5)$$

Using the Doppler factor of the emission region $\delta = [\Gamma_j(1 - \beta_j \cos \theta)]^{-1}$, where $\beta_j = (1 - 1/\Gamma_j^2)^{1/2}$ and $\theta \approx 1/\Gamma_j$ is the viewing angle with respect to the jet axis, the luminosity of *muon* neutrinos $L_{\nu\mu}$ in the observer frame is

$$E_\nu L_{\nu\mu}(E_\nu) = \frac{1}{3} E'_\nu L'_\nu(E'_\nu) \delta^4; \quad E_\nu = \delta E'_\nu. \quad (6)$$

Note that the factor 1/3 accounts for equipartition among the flavours due to neutrino oscillations during propagation.




Figure 3. Neutrino spectra due to $p\gamma$ interactions between protons with fixed $L'_p = 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and external RIAF photons for the three subclasses of BL Lacs: LBLs (solid blue), IBLs (solid green), and HBLs (solid orange). Contributions from internal photons as $p\gamma$ targets are also shown (dashed blue, green, and orange for LBLs, IBLs, and HBLs, respectively).

4. To evaluate $t'_{p\gamma}(E'_p)$ for this work, we account for both internal synchrotron photons from electrons accelerated in the jet and external photons from the RIAF. For the internal photons, we utilize the SED models for the observed non-thermal emission described in Section 2, assume that it originates co-spatially with the protons and isotropically in the jet frame, and convert the SEDs into photon density in the jet frame using δ . For external photons from the RIAF, we utilize the models of Section 3 and make the simplifying assumption that in the jet frame, they are nearly isotropic and uniform with energy density $\Gamma_j^2/3$ times its value in the BH frame, and that the jet emission region is at distance $d = 10^{16} \text{ cm}$ from the BH.

Regarding the latter, we note that in many analytic descriptions of RIAFs, UV–X-ray photons are expected to emerge primarily from within a few r_S from the BH. Such photons would enter the jet region mostly from behind, appearing substantially *debeamed* in the jet frame. However, detailed numerical models of RIAF-jet systems show that the jet can be surrounded by a funnel formed by a relatively dense wind (e.g. Sadowski et al. 2013), which could scatter and isotropize a fair fraction of the RIAF photons before they enter the jet. Moreover, Ryan et al. (2017) show that the funnel contains hot electrons that contribute appreciably to UV–X-ray emission out to $\sim 15r_S$ (see also Ryan et al. 2018, Chael, Narayan & Johnson 2018, and Nakamura et al. 2018 for the specific case of M87). Thus, external UV–X-ray photons can impinge into the jet region up to angles $\approx \pi/2$ from the jet axis. For such geometries, the energy density of external photons in the jet frame is amplified by a factor $f\Gamma_j^2$, where $f \simeq 1/3$ for an isotropic distribution, adopted here.

The resulting neutrino spectra for each BL Lac subclass are compared in Fig. 3, which also shows the contributions from RIAF and internal photons separately. To highlight the effect of the RIAF spectra, here $L'_p = 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ has been fixed. Most notably, the neutrino luminosity of LBLs at $E_\nu \sim 0.1\text{--}1 \text{ PeV}$ is ~ 4 orders of magnitude larger than that of HBLs, primarily due to the difference in the density of RIAF photons in the soft X-ray range, which serve as the main $p\gamma$ targets for protons with $E_p \sim 2\text{--}20 \text{ PeV}$. We also see that while internal photons are the most prevalent $p\gamma$ targets in HBLs, external RIAF photons become relatively more important in IBLs, and completely dominate in LBLs.

More realistically, L'_p is likely linked to P_{jet} and is expected to vary among the BL Lac subclasses. An important test case is the BL




Figure 4. SEDs for the three subclasses of BL Lacs: LBLs (top), IBLs (middle), and HBLs (bottom), showing the electromagnetic components from the jet (solid coloured) and RIAF (dot-dashed), and the neutrino components due to internal photons (dotted), RIAF photons (dashed), and their sum (solid black). Note the different scales for luminosity between the panels.

Lac TXS 0506+056, potentially associated with IceCube-170922A, an $\sim 300 \text{ TeV}$ neutrino (Aartsen et al. 2018). While TXS 0506+056 may be classifiable as an IBL from the observed ν_S alone, its observed luminosity is more representative of an LBL, especially in terms of our SED classification discussed in Section 2. We assume that TXS 0506+056 is a typical LBL, emitting neutrinos according to our model that includes RIAF photons. With the measured redshift of $z = 0.3365 \pm 0.0010$ (Paiano et al. 2018) and the IceCube effective area appropriate for the declination of TXS 0506+056 (Aartsen et al. 2018), its neutrino flux must be high enough to result in at least one ν_μ detection during 7 yr of IceCube observations in the energy range $60 \text{ TeV}\text{--}10 \text{ PeV}$, roughly corresponding to uncertainty for IceCube-170922A. This translates into a constraint on L'_p for LBLs. The values for IBLs and HBLs follow by assuming $L'_p \propto P_{\text{jet}}$. With these values of L'_p for the different BL Lac subclasses, their neutrino spectra can be predicted as shown in Fig. 4, together with the corresponding SEDs of the electromagnetic emission from the jet and RIAF. Table 2 lists the values of L'_p and $R_{\nu\mu}$, the neutrino detections expected in 7 yr.

Compared to the case assuming constant L'_p , the differences between LBLs and the other, less luminous subclasses is naturally magnified. As above, RIAFs play a significant role only for LBLs. In this scheme, only LBLs may be sufficiently powerful neutrino emitters to be observationally relevant. These inferences for the RIAF model are particularly interesting in view of the fact that the TXS 0506+056 is likely the first identified source of high-energy neutrinos, while HBLs such as Mkn 421 and Mkn 501 are yet to be detected by IceCube, despite being conspicuous nearby γ -ray emit-

ters with some predictions of detectability (e.g. Petropoulou et al. 2015). Although the statistics is currently limited, stronger tests of this picture through further observations are anticipated.

5 DISCUSSION

We have conducted a first study of the role of RIAFs as sources of target photons for $p\gamma$ neutrino production in BL Lacs, finding that they can be relevant for the subclass of LBLs, but less so for IBLs or HBLs. These results have interesting implications for interpreting the potential association of IceCube-170922A with the TXS 0506+056, and the non-detections by IceCube so far of HBLs.

As an exploratory step, many simplifying assumptions were made, which deserve more considerations in the future. We have assumed rough scaling relations between P_{rad} , P_{jet} , and \dot{M} , and fixed quantities such as M_{BH} , for simplicity. A more realistic study needs to account for the distribution and scatter of these variables. Although our description of the electromagnetic emission was phenomenological, more physical modelling is warranted, including the potential effects of EC emission induced by RIAFs, hadronic emission components triggered by $p\gamma$ interactions, etc.

The simple ADAF prescription of Mahadevan (1997) that we employed can be updated with more advanced RIAF models (Yuan & Narayan 2014). Since the RIAF is geometrically thick, with different spatial dependences for each of its spectral components, accurate evaluations require a more proper treatment of the spatial and angular distribution of the RIAF photon field, which can also be affected by electron scattering in the jet vicinity. Such calculations may reveal non-trivial beaming patterns for both the EC and neutrino emission, with potentially important observational implications (see discussion in Ansoldi et al. 2018).

Alternative scenarios have been proposed for neutrino emission from BL Lacs. Models in which the γ -rays are dominated by hadronic processes may allow more luminous neutrino emission than conventional expectations, but generally at the expense of a high value for L'_p that may not be realistic except in certain cases (e.g. Cerruti et al. 2015; Petropoulou & Dermer 2016). Spine-sheath scenarios were proposed by Tavecchio et al. (2014) and Righi, Tavecchio & Guetta (2017), where the jet consists of a faster spine structure enveloped by a slower sheath structure, such that synchrotron photons from the sheath can serve effectively as external photons for the spine, enhancing the neutrino yield compared to cases with only internal photons as $p\gamma$ targets. This scenario can provide a self-consistent explanation for TXS 0506+056 and IceCube-170922A (Ansoldi et al. 2018), and would predict that all subclasses of BL Lacs can be efficient neutrino emitters. In contrast, the RIAF scenario naturally favours only LBLs as significant neutrino sources, in accord with the current observational status. Another advantage of the scenario is that the spectrum of target photons is uniquely prescribed by the RIAF model, unlike the spine-sheath scenario for which the spectrum of the sheath radiation is not well defined a priori. Further IceCube observations combined with follow-up efforts should provide definitive discrimination among different models for neutrino emission.

Despite their expectation as promising neutrino sources, no FSRQ has been clearly detected to date. One possibility is that their jet composition is mainly electron–positron so that very few protons are accelerated therein, while that of BL Lacs is mostly electron–proton, a hypothesis for which there is some observational support (e.g. Hardcastle 2018). Low-power radio galaxies, the parent population of BL Lacs with their jets oriented away from the observer, may also be potentially interesting neutrino sources, although the

present model tailored to BL Lacs cannot be readily applied to such objects. Further discussion of FSRQs and radio galaxies is beyond the current scope and deferred to future work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the referee for constructive comments. CR and FT acknowledge support from the INAF CTA–SKA grant. SI thanks support from JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17K05460.

REFERENCES

- Aartsen M. G. et al., 2013, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 111, 021103
 Aartsen M. G. et al., 2017, *ApJ*, 835, 151
 Aartsen M. G. et al., 2018, *Science*, 361, eaat1378
 Ackermann M. et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 14
 Ahlers M., Halzen F., 2015, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 78, 126901
 Ansoldi S. et al., The MAGIC Coll. 2018, *ApJ*, 863, L10
 Celotti A., Ghisellini G., 2008, *MNRAS*, 385, 283
 Cerruti M., Zech A., Boisson C., Inoue S., 2015, *MNRAS*, 448, 910
 Chael A., Narayan R., Johnson M. D., 2018, *MNRAS*, submitted preprint (arXiv:1810.01983)
 Dermer C. D., Menon G., 2009, *High Energy Radiation from Black Holes: Gamma Rays, Cosmic Rays, and Neutrinos*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ
 Fossati G., Maraschi L., Celotti A., Comastri A., Ghisellini G., 1998, *MNRAS*, 299, 433
 Ghisellini G., Maraschi L., Tavecchio F., 2009, *MNRAS*, 396, L105
 Ghisellini G., Righi C., Costamante L., Tavecchio F., 2017, *MNRAS*, 469, 255 (G17)
 Ghisellini G., Tavecchio F., Foschini L., Ghirlanda G., Maraschi L., Celotti A., 2010, *MNRAS*, 402, 497
 Ghisellini G., Tavecchio F., Maraschi L., Celotti A., Sbarrato T., 2014, *Nature*, 515, 376
 Hardcastle M. J., 2018, *MNRAS*, 475:2768
 Kadler M. et al., 2016, *Nat. Phys.*, 12, 807
 Kowalski M., 2015, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, 632, 012039
 Madejski G., Sikora M., 2016, *ARA&A*, 54, 725
 Mahadevan R., 1997, *ApJ*, 477, 585
 Mannheim K., 1993, *A&A*, 269, 67
 Mannheim K., 1995, *Astropart. Phys.*, 3, 295
 Meszaros P., 2017, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, 67, 45
 Murase K., Inoue Y., Dermer C. D., 2014, *Phys. Rev. D*, 90, 023007
 Murase K., Waxman E., 2016, *Phys. Rev. D*, 94, 103006
 Nakamura M. et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 868, 146
 Narayan R., Yi I., 1995, *ApJ*, 452, 710
 Nemmen R. S., Georganopoulos M., Guiriec S., Meyer E. T., Gehrels N., Sambruna R. M., 2012, *Science*, 338, 1445
 Paiano S., Falomo R., Treves A., Scarpa R., 2018, *ApJ*, 854, L32
 Petropoulou M., Dermer C. D., 2016, *ApJ*, 825, L11
 Petropoulou M., Dimitrakoudis S., Padovani P., Resconi E., Giommi P., Mastichiadis A., 2015, in *Proceedings of the 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2015)*. 1125
 Righi C., Tavecchio F., Guetta D., 2017, *A&A*, 598, A36
 Ryan B. R., Ressler S. M., Dolence J. C., Gammie C., Quataert E., 2018, *ApJ*, 864, 126
 Ryan B. R., Ressler S. M., Dolence J. C., Tchekhovskoy A., Gammie C., Quataert E., 2017, *ApJ*, 844, L24
 Sądowski A., Narayan R., Penna R., Zhu Y., 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 3856
 Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, *A&A*, 24, 337
 Tavecchio F., Ghisellini G., Guetta D., 2014, *ApJ*, 793, L18
 Tchekhovskoy A., Narayan R., McKinney J. C., 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, L79
 Yuan F., Narayan R., 2014, *ARAA*, 52, 529

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.