

Publication Year	2015
Acceptance in OA@INAF	2020-03-16T11:31:39Z
Title	The WEBT campaign on the BL Lac object PG 1553+113 in 2013. An analysis of the enigmatic synchrotron emission
Authors	RAITERI, Claudia Maria; STAMERRA, Antonio; VILLATA, Massimo; Larionov, V. M.; Acosta-Pulido, J. A.; et al.
DOI	10.1093/mnras/stv1884
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/23259
Journal	MONTHLY NOTICES OF THE ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY
Number	454

The WEBT campaign on the BL Lac object PG 1553+113 in 2013. An analysis of the enigmatic synchrotron emission

C. M. Raiteri,^{1*} A. Stamerra,^{1,2} M. Villata,¹ V. M. Larionov,^{3,4,5} J. A. Acosta-Pulido,^{6,7} M. J. Arévalo,^{6,7} A. A. Arkharov,⁴ R. Bachev,⁸ E. Benítez,⁹ V. V. Bozhilov,¹⁰ G. A. Borman,¹¹ C. S. Buemi,¹² P. Calcidese,¹³ M. I. Carnerero,^{1,6,7} D. Carosati,^{14,15} R. A. Chigladze,¹⁶ G. Damljanovic,¹⁷ A. Di Paola,¹⁸ V. T. Doroshenko,¹⁹ N. V. Efimova,⁴ Sh. A. Ehgamberdiev,²⁰ M. Giroletti,²¹ P. A. González-Morales,^{6,7} A. B. Grinon-Marin,^{6,7} T. S. Grishina,³ D. Hiriart,²² S. Ibryamov,⁸ S. A. Klimanov,⁴ E. N. Kopatskaya,³ O. M. Kurtanidze,^{16,23} S. O. Kurtanidze,¹⁶ A. A. Kurtenkov,^{10,8} L. V. Larionova,³ E. G. Larionova,³ C. Lázaro,^{6,7} A. Lähteenmäki,^{24,25} P. Leto,¹² G. Markovic,²⁶ D. O. Mirzaqulov,²⁰ A. A. Mokrushina,^{3,4} D. A. Morozova,³ R. Mújica,²⁷ S. V. Nazarov,¹¹ M. G. Nikolashvili,¹⁶ J. M. Ohlert,²⁸ E. P. Ovcharov,¹⁰ S. Paiano,^{29,30} A. Pastor Yabar,^{6,7} E. Prandini,^{31,32} V. Ramakrishnan,²⁴ A. C. Sadun,³³ E. Semkov,⁸ L. A. Sigua,¹⁶ A. Strigachev,⁸ J. Tammi,²⁴ M. Tornikoski,²⁴ C. Trigilio,¹² Yu. V. Troitskaya,³ I. S. Troitsky,³ G. Umana,¹² S. Velasco^{6,7} and O. Vince¹⁷

Affiliations are listed at the end of the paper

Accepted 2015 August 12. Received 2015 August 7; in original form 2015 May 12

ABSTRACT

A multifrequency campaign on the BL Lac object PG 1553+113 was organized by the Whole Earth Blazar Telescope (WEBT) in 2013 April-August, involving 19 optical, two near-IR, and three radio telescopes. The aim was to study the source behaviour at low energies during and around the high-energy observations by the Major Atmospheric Gamma-ray Imaging Cherenkov telescopes in April–July. We also analyse the UV and X-ray data acquired by the Swift and XMM-Newton satellites in the same period. The WEBT and satellite observations allow us to detail the synchrotron emission bump in the source spectral energy distribution (SED). In the optical, we found a general bluer-when-brighter trend. The X-ray spectrum remained stable during 2013, but a comparison with previous observations suggests that it becomes harder when the X-ray flux increases. The long XMM-Newton exposure reveals a curved X-ray spectrum. In the SED, the XMM-Newton data show a hard near-UV spectrum, while Swift data display a softer shape that is confirmed by previous Hubble Space Telescope/Cosmic Origins Spectrograph and International Ultraviolet Explorer observations. Polynomial fits to the optical–X-ray SED show that the synchrotron peak likely lies in the 4–30 eV energy range, with a general shift towards higher frequencies for increasing X-ray brightness. However, the UV and X-ray spectra do not connect smoothly. Possible interpretations include: (i) orientation effects, (ii) additional absorption, (iii) multiple emission components, and (iv) a peculiar energy distribution of relativistic electrons. We discuss the first possibility in terms of an inhomogeneous helical jet model.

Key words: galaxies: active - BL Lacertae objects: general - BL Lacertae objects: individual: PG 1553+113.

1 INTRODUCTION

BL Lac objects, together with flat-spectrum radio quasars, form the 'blazar' class of active galactic nuclei. Their observed properties, such as strong variability at all frequencies, high and variable polarization, apparent superluminal motion of the radio components, are explained as due to beamed emission from a relativistic jet closely aligned with the line of sight (e.g. Urry & Padovani 1995). The broad-band spectral energy distribution (SED) of these objects shows two bumps: the low-frequency one (from radio to optical/X-rays) is ascribed to synchrotron emission, while the bump at high energies (X-rays to γ -rays) is likely produced by inverse-Compton scattering of soft photons off the same relativistic electrons responsible for the synchrotron radiation (Konigl 1981).¹

The source PG 1553+113 is one of the so-called high-energypeaked BL Lacs (HBL), whose synchrotron peak in the SED typically falls in the UV–X-ray frequency range (Falomo & Treves 1990). The redshift of PG 1553+113 is still unknown. Many authors tried to set lower and upper limits in an indirect way, from the lack of the host galaxy detection (e.g. Treves, Falomo & Uslenghi 2007) or from the γ -ray spectrum (e.g. Abdo et al. 2010). In contrast, Danforth et al. (2010) derived firm constraints 0.43 < z < 0.58 from the direct analysis of intergalactic absorption features visible in the UV spectra acquired by the *Hubble Space Telescopel*/Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (*HST*-COS).

Very high energies (VHE, $E > 100 \,\text{GeV}$) observations with Major Atmospheric Gamma-ray Imaging Cherenkov (MAGIC) in 2005-2009 showed modest flux variability, possibly correlated with the optical one (Aleksić et al. 2012). In 2012, the source was found in a flaring state at VHE and X-rays, but not at the GeV energies covered by the Fermi satellite (Aleksić et al. 2015). New MAGIC observations were performed in April, June and July 2013 during a low emission state. This time, a broad multiwavelength campaign was organized by the Whole Earth Blazar Telescope² (WEBT), an international collaboration born in 1997 to study blazar emission variability (e.g. Villata et al. 2002, 2006; Raiteri et al. 2006, 2009; Böttcher et al. 2007; Larionov et al. 2008). In this paper, we present the results of the WEBT monitoring campaign, which was extended to all the 2013 observing season, and explore the synchrotron part of the source SED. To this aim, we complement the radio-to-optical WEBT observations with the UV and X-ray data acquired by the Swift and XMM-Newton satellites in the same period. The results of the MAGIC observations will be analysed in a forthcoming paper (Ahnen et al., in preparation).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the WEBT light curves in the optical *BVRI* and near-IR *JHK* bands as well as radio light curves at three wavelengths. We also derive calibration of an optical photometric sequence in the *BVRI* bands and perform colour analysis. The *Swift* and *XMM–Newton* observations are analysed in Sections 3 and 4, respectively, while in Section 5 the SED shape and variability is discussed with the help of past data by the *Swift*, the *International Ultraviolet Explorer* (IUE) and the *HST* satellites. Conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

2 WEBT DATA

Optical observations for the WEBT campaign were performed with 19 telescopes in 17 observatories around the globe.

¹ Alternatively, a hadronic cascade scenario has been proposed (e.g. Böttcher et al. 2013, and references therein).

 Table 1. The optical, near-IR, and radio observatories participating in the WEBT campaign.

Observatory	Country	Bands
	Optical	
Abastumani	Georgia	R
Belogradchik	Bulgaria	BVRI
AstroCamp	Spain	R
Crimean	Russia	BVRI
Michael Adrian	Germany	BVRI
Mt. Maidanak	Uzbekistan	BVRI
New Mexico Skies	USA	R
Plana	Bulgaria	BVRI
Rozhen ¹	Bulgaria	BVRI
San Pedro Martir	Mexico	R
Siding Spring	Australia	R
Skinakas	Greece	BVRI
St. Petersburg	Russia	BVRI
Teide	Spain	R
Tijarafe	Spain	R
Valle d'Aosta	Italy	BVRI
ASV^2	Serbia	BVRI
	Near-infrared	
Campo Imperatore	Italy	JHK
Teide	Spain	JHK
	Radio	
Medicina	Italy	8 GHz
Metsähovi	Finland	37 GHz
Noto	Italy	43 GHz

Notes. ¹Three telescopes.

²Astronomical Station Vidojevica.



Figure 1. Finding chart for PG 1553+113 with the stars of the optical photometric sequence labelled from 1 to 4. North is up and East on the left. The field of view is \sim 7 arcmin × 7 arcmin.

These are listed in Table 1 with indication of their observing bands.

Calibration of the source magnitude was obtained with respect to the reference stars 1, 2, 3 and 4 shown in Fig. 1. Photometry

² http://www.oato.inaf.it/blazars/webt

Table 2. Magnitudes (and errors) of the optical photometric sequence shown in Fig. 1 for calibration of the PG 1553+113 magnitude. The values derived from ground-based observations by the WEBT for the Bessell's filters (Bessell, Castelli & Plez 1998) are compared to those derived in this paper from *Swift*-UVOT and *XMM*–*Newton*-OM observations of the PG 1553+113 field.

Band	Star 1	Star 2	Star 3	Star 4
		WEBT		
В	14.503 (0.047)	14.543 (0.050)	16.399 (0.037)	16.555 (0.039)
V	13.832 (0.027)	13.923 (0.022)	15.688 (0.017)	15.771 (0.019)
R	13.465 (0.032)	13.582 (0.029)	15.277 (0.026)	15.317 (0.029)
Ι	13.080 (0.056)	13.230 (0.055)	14.896 (0.051)	14.893 (0.056)
		Swift-UVOT		
В	14.497 (0.019)	14.547 (0.019)	16.420 (0.051)	16.580 (0.079)
V	13.885 (0.033)	13.954 (0.030)	15.721 (0.034)	15.837 (0.043)
		XMM-Newton-O	М	
В	14.556 (0.022)	14.605 (0.022)	16.501 (0.023)	16.652 (0.023)
V	13.838 (0.022)	13.904 (0.022)	15.676 (0.023)	15.773 (0.023)

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/454/1/353/1119674 by Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias user on 06 March 2020

of Stars 3 and 4 was derived from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey³ (SDSS), using *ugriz* to *UBVRI* transformations by Chonis & Gaskell (2008). The SDSS magnitudes for Stars 1 and 2 are affected by saturation, so we calibrated these stars through differential photometry with respect to Stars 3 and 4 using our best-quality data. The results of our photometry are reported in Table 2. They are in good agreement with those of Andruchow et al. (2011) and Doroshenko et al. (2005, 2014), while the difference with the values contained in the USNO-A2.0 catalogue is up to several tenths of mag.

In total, we collected 3908 optical data points in the period 2013 January 25 to September 11. Light curves in *BVRI* bands were built by carefully assembling the data sets coming from the different telescopes. Cleaned light curves were obtained after correcting for evident outliers, which are specially recognizable through a comparison among the source behaviour in different bands. Moreover, binning was used to reduce the noise of data acquired close in time by the same telescope. After the cleaning procedure, we were left with 3336 data points. No shift was given to correct for offsets between different data sets, since in the few cases where an offset was clearly identified, it was always lower than 0.05 mag. The final light curves are shown in Fig. 2, where different symbols and colours highlight data from the various telescopes. The epochs of MAGIC, *XMM–Newton* and *Swift* observations are also indicated.

Starting from the first data in January, the source brightness smoothly decreased by $\Delta R \sim 0.9$ mag until June, when it reached a minimum; then it started to grow slowly. In the other, less-sampled optical bands the overall variation is about 0.5 mag. No significant flickering (fast continuous variability of the order of few tenths of mag on daily time-scales) is visible. The three MAGIC observations occurred during the dimming phase, the last one very close to the minimum. In contrast, the *XMM–Newton* pointing met the source in the brightening phase. *Swift* observations are more distributed in time.

Near-IR observations were performed at the Campo Imperatore and Teide observatories (see Table 1). The data sampling is not as dense as the optical one, but we can recognize a similar trend.

Radio data were acquired at 37 GHz with the 14-m antenna of the Metsähovi Observatory and at 43 and 8 GHz with the 32-m telescopes of the Noto and Medicina stations of the Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica (INAF), respectively. The source is quite faint at radio wavelengths, its flux oscillating around 0.2 Jy.

2.1 Colour analysis

Although in the considered period the source was not particularly active, we investigated the presence of possible spectral variations. We built B - R colour indices by coupling data acquired from the same telescope within 15 min. To increase the accuracy of the results, we only considered R- and B-band data with errors less than 0.02 and 0.04 mag, respectively, obtaining 169 values. The average is $\langle B - R \rangle = 0.72$ and the standard deviation 0.03. The top panel of Fig. 3 highlights the points in the *R*-band light curve that have been used to build the B - R colour indices. These are plotted as a function of time in the middle panel, which also shows a smoothed B - R trend compared to a smoothed R-mag trend.⁴ The comparison suggests that most of the time B - R values increase with decreasing flux. This is more evident in the bottom panel, where the behaviour of the colour index with brightness is displayed: a weak (linear Pearson's correlation coefficient of 0.53 and Spearman's rank correlation coefficient of 0.52 with two-sided significance of its deviation from zero of 3.6×10^{-13}), but clear, bluer-when-brighter trend is recognizable, which is often found in BL Lacs (see e.g. Ikejiri et al. 2011; Wierzcholska et al. 2015). It is interesting to notice that Wierzcholska et al. (2015) did not find a definite trend when analysing the colour index behaviour in 2007–2012, when the source was in a brighter state than in 2013. However, the authors noticed that both bluer-when-brighter and redder-when-brighter trends can be found on shorter time-scales. Indeed, a redder-when-brighter tendency is visible in Fig. 3 after $JD = 245\,6500.$

In Fig. 3, we also show the value of the optical spectral index $\alpha_0 = [(B - R) - (A_B - A_R) - 0.306]/0.413$, assuming that $F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$ is the de-reddened flux density.⁵ The Galactic extinction values in the *B* and *R* bands, A_B and A_R , are reported in Table 3. We obtain a

⁴ Smoothing was obtained with a boxcar average of width 8, see http://www.exelisvis.com/docs/SMOOTH.html

⁵ We adopted effective wavelength and absolute flux values from Bessell et al. (1998).



 6300
 6350
 6400
 6450
 6500
 6550

 Julian Date
 - 2450000

 Figure 2. Cleaned light curves of PG 1553+113 built with data from the WEBT collaboration. Different colours and symbols highlight data from different telescopes. The yellow and green stripes mark the times of MAGIC and XMM-Newton observations, respectively; dotted lines those of Swift pointings. In the bottom panel, purple circles, green squares and blue diamonds refer to flux densities at 43, 37 and 8 GHz, respectively.

minimum and a maximum α_0 of 0.60 and 0.97, with a mean index of $\langle \alpha_0 \rangle = 0.80$ and a standard deviation of 0.08. The spectral trend visible in the bottom panel then indicates that the synchrotron bump in the SED tends to peak very close to the optical band in faint states $(\nu F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\alpha+1} \sim \text{const})$, while in brighter states the peak shifts to higher frequencies $(-\alpha + 1 > 0)$.

Using the same procedure, we estimated the near-infrared spectral index from the J - K colour index as $\alpha_{nir} = [(J - K) - (A_J - A_K) - 0.987]/0.635$. The average value of 45 indices is $\langle \alpha_{nir} \rangle = 0.67$ with a standard deviation of 0.02. In this case, there is no recognizable trend with brightness.

We finally calculate the radio–optical spectral index α_{ro} by considering the Medicina flux densities at 8 GHz, F_8 , and simultaneous *R*-band data, $\alpha_{ro} = 0.210 \log F_8 + 0.084 (R - A_R) - 0.731$. We

obtain values in the range 0.30–0.35,⁶ in agreement with the typical indices of HBL (e.g. Donato et al. 2001).

3 OBSERVATIONS BY SWIFT

During the WEBT campaign, the source was observed by the *Swift* satellite during 16 epochs. These are indicated in Fig. 2. Details on the observations are reported in Table 4.

⁶ We neglect *k*-correction because we are dealing with an object of uncertain redshift. However, a rough estimate assuming z = 0.5 and adopting the spectral indices of Fossati et al. (1998), indicates that the *k*-correction should increase the index by only about 0.02.



Figure 3. Top: the *R*-band light curve (black plus signs) where data points used to build the B - R colour indices have been highlighted with blue dots. Middle: B - R versus time; the red line represents the smoothed trend of the colour index; the green line the smoothed *R*-band light curve (R/2 - 6.4). Bottom: B - R and optical spectral index α_0 versus brightness; the red line represents a linear fit to the data. Horizontal dashed lines mark mean values.

Table 3. Galactic extinction values (mag) used in this paper for the different photometric bands. They are based on the Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989) laws with $R_V = A_V/E(B - V) = 3.1$, the standard value for the diffuse interstellar medium, and on $A_B = 0.224$ from Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998). In the case of UVOT and OM, the laws were convolved with the filter effective areas and source spectrum.

Band	Bessel	UVOT	OM
W2	_	0.457	0.484
M2	_	0.481	0.470
W1	_	0.394	0.326
U	0.262	0.275	0.275
В	0.224	0.229	0.230
V	0.170	0.173	0.173
R	0.142	_	-
Ι	0.101	_	_
J	0.049	_	-
Н	0.031	_	-
Κ	0.019	_	-

We reduced the data with the HEASOFT package version 6.15.1.

3.1 UVOT

The *Swift* satellite carries a 30-cm Ultraviolet/Optical telescope (UVOT; Roming et al. 2005) equipped with v, b and u optical filters, and w1, m2 and w2 UV filters. To process the data, we used the UVOT calibration release 20130118 of the CALDB data base available at NASA's High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Re-

 Table 4. Swift pointings at PG 1553+113: starting times and duration of the XRT and UVOT exposures.

ObsID	Start time	XRT (s)	UVOT (s)
00031368050	2013-04-08 01:07:59	1793	1746
00031368051	2013-04-11 02:57:59	2196	2222
00031368052	2013-05-04 08:09:59	1170	1144
00031368053	2013-05-08 02:01:59	1954	1906
00031368054	2013-05-10 05:37:59	859	831
00031368055	2013-05-11 02:19:59	1307	1284
00031368056	2013-05-16 06:58:58	1029	1003
00031368057	2013-06-03 06:06:59	1024	997
00031368058	2013-06-08 06:11:59	950	920
00031368059	2013-06-08 22:33:59	1040	1011
00031368060	2013-06-09 00:13:58	580	551
00031368061	2013-06-11 21:13:59	1974	1918
00031368062	2013-06-13 05:06:59	1014	988
00031368063	2013-07-01 05:24:59	1049	1020
00031368064	2013-07-04 05:26:59	1030	1001
00031368065	2013-07-07 04:07:58	1080	1053

search Center (HEASARC).⁷ For each epoch, possible multiple images in the same filter were first summed with the task uvotimsum and then aperture photometry was performed with uvotsource. We extracted source counts from a circular region with 5-arcsec radius centred on the source and background counts from a circle with 20-arcsec radius in a source-free field region.

The UVOT light curves are shown in Fig. 4. On the left, we plotted the observed magnitudes, while on the right we show flux densities after recalibration and correction for the Galactic extinction. Recalibration is necessary when a source has a spectral shape that differs from that of the objects used to calibrate the instrument. The average b - v of PG 1553+133 is 0.33, which is out of the colour range inside which the available UV count rate to flux conversion factors (cfs) are valid (Poole et al. 2008; Breeveld et al. 2011). We thus interpolated the source spectrum with a log-linear fit and convolved it with the filter effective areas to calculate new factors (see e.g. Raiteri et al. 2010). The procedure was iterated to check the stability of the results. The ratio between these new cfs and the old cfs by Breeveld et al. (2011) is 0.997, 1.001, 1.015, 1.064, 0.995 and 1.014 in the v, b, u, w1, m2 and w2 bands, respectively. The most important effect of the recalibration is thus to enhance the source flux density in the w1 band by about 6 per cent.

Galactic extinction in each UVOT band was similarly estimated by convolving the Cardelli et al. (1989) laws with the filter effective areas and source spectrum, adopting $R_V = A_V/E(B - V) = 3.1$ (the standard value for the diffuse interstellar medium), and starting from an extinction of 0.224 mag in the Johnson's *B* band (Schlegel et al. 1998). The results after iteration are reported in Table 3. A discussion on the uncertainties affecting estimates of Galactic extinction, which are larger in the UV, can be found in Fitzpatrick & Massa (2007). In the case of PG 1553+113, which has a low E(B - V) = 0.054 (see Table 3), we would expect an error of 0.02– 0.04 mag on the UV de-reddened continuum due to uncertainties in the extinction alone.

The UVOT light curves plotted in Fig. 4 confirm the trend shown by the ground-based optical (and near-IR) curves in Fig. 2. They also suggest an increasing variability amplitude with frequency, as usually observed in BL Lac objects (e.g. Raiteri et al. 2010).

⁷ http://heasarc.nasa.gov/



Figure 4. UV–optical light curves of PG 1553+113 obtained from *Swift*-UVOT data. Observed magnitudes are plotted on the left; maximum and minimum values are indicated in the lower left. The flux densities on the right were obtained after recalibration and correction for the Galactic extinction as explained in the text; maximum and minimum values are printed in the upper right.

We also derived UVOT v and b magnitudes for the reference stars and transformed them into Johnson's magnitudes through the colour corrections given by Poole et al. (2008). The resulting Vand B magnitudes, which differ from the v and b values by 0.009– 0.017 mag, are reported in Table 2. They are in excellent agreement with the magnitudes derived from the WEBT data in the B band, while the UVOT data are a bit fainter in the V band.

3.2 XRT

The Swift X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) is a CCD imaging spectrometer. We reduced the data with the CALDB calibration files updated 20140120. We run the task xrtpipeline with standard screening criteria on the observations performed in pointing mode. We found four observations in Photon Counting (PC) mode and 16 observations in Windowed Timing (WT) mode, three of which were discarded because they had exposure times less than 30 s. The count rate ranges from 1.3 to 3.0 counts s^{-1} , hence the four PC exposures need correction for pile-up. We analysed the PC images with the task ximage and modelled the wings of the source point spread function (PSF) with the King's function representing the expected PSF of XRT (Moretti et al. 2005). The extrapolation of the fit to the inner region allowed us to define the radius within which pile-up is important. This turned out to be 10 arcsec. We thus extracted source counts from an annular region with inner and outer radius of 10 and 75 arcsec, respectively, while we took the background from an annulus between 100 and 150 arcsec. As for the observations performed in WT mode, we extracted the source counts in a circular region with 70-arcsec radius, and the background counts from a region of the same size shifted along the

window, away from the source PSF. The task xrtmkarf was used to create ancillary response files to correct for hot columns, bad pixels, and the loss of counts caused by using an annular extraction region in the pile-up case.

We grouped each spectrum with the corresponding background, redistribution matrix (rmf), and ancillary (arf) files with the task grppha, setting a binning of at least 25 counts for each spectral channel in order to use the χ^2 statistic. The spectra were analysed with XSPEC version 12.8.1. We adopted a Galactic absorption of $N_{\rm H} = 0.372 \times 10^{21} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$ from the LAB survey (Kalberla et al. 2005) and the Wilms, Allen & McCray (2000) elemental abundances. The spectra were fitted with both an absorbed power law $N(E) = N_0 E^{-\Gamma}$, where N_0 represents the number of photons keV⁻¹ cm⁻² s⁻¹ at 1 keV, and absorbed curved models. Application of a broken power-law model

$$N(E) = \begin{cases} N_0 E^{-\Gamma_1} & E < E_{\rm b} \\ N_0 E_{\rm b}^{\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1} E^{-\Gamma_2} & E \ge E_{\rm b} \end{cases}$$

led to large errors on the parameters or to multiple solutions. We also tried the log-parabola model (Landau et al. 1986; Massaro et al. 2004)

$$N(E) = N_0 \left(E/E_s \right)^{-\alpha - \beta \log(E/E_s)}$$

(where E_s is a scale parameter that we fixed equal to 1 keV) which has largely been used to fit the X-ray spectrum of this source (see Section 6).

Details of the power-law fits are given in Table 5, where Column 1 gives the observation ID, Column 2 the XRT observing mode, Column 3 the half-exposure JD, Column 4 the 1 keV flux density corrected for the Galactic extinction, Column 5 the power-law photon index Γ , Column 6 the reduced χ^2 , and Column 7 the number of degrees of freedom. The average photon index is $\Gamma = 2.14$, with a standard deviation of 0.06, and there is no significant correlation with brightness. Indeed, the linear Pearson correlation coefficient is only 0.46 and the variance is less than the mean square uncertainty, which means that possible variability is hidden by errors. Table 6 gives the same information as Table 5 for the log-parabolic fits, where the photon index Γ is replaced by the two parameters α and β . In the last column, we also report the *F*-test probability P_F (see below).

Most of the power-law fits are statistically good ($\chi^2_{red} \sim 1$), with a few exceptions. The most critical case is the observation at JD =245 6422.74, for which the fit cannot be improved by the curved model. In contrast, the data acquired on $JD = 245\,6428.80$ seem to be better reproduced by a log-parabola. To verify when the logparabola offers a statistically better fit to the data than the power-law model, we applied the F statistic, which can be used to test for an additional term in a model (e.g. Protassov et al. 2002; Orlandini et al. 2012). A low F-test probability P_F (e.g. below ~0.01) means that the simpler model is unlikely to be correct. The P_F in Table 6 covers a wide range of values, favouring the log-parabola at some epochs and disfavouring it in the others. The curvature parameter β corresponding to the cases with $P_F < 0.01$ goes from 0.27 to 0.53, indicating noticeable curvature, as expected. When instead β approaches zero, P_F approaches one, or is not even computable. However, the large uncertainties on β as well as its strong erratic variations prevent a reliable characterization of the X-ray spectral curvature with XRT.

In Fig. 5, the de-absorbed X-ray light curve (obtained through power law fits) is compared with those at lower frequencies, showing the same decreasing trend of the near-IR to UV light curves.

ID	Mode	JD	$F_{1\rm keV}$ (μ Jy)	Г	χ^2_{red}	d.o.f.
			2013			
00031368050	PC	245 6390.557 59	11.46 (11.01–11.91)	2.14 (2.09-2.20)	0.95	77
00031368051	PC	245 6393.636 31	9.83 (9.40-10.25)	2.12 (2.05-2.18)	1.17	71
00031368051	WT	245 6393.636 31	11.30 (10.56-12.05)	2.19 (2.09-2.29)	1.07	46
00031368052	WT	245 6416.847 03	8.01 (7.67-8.34)	2.15 (2.09-2.22)	0.99	92
00031368053	PC	245 6420.596 02	8.89 (8.51-9.26)	2.15 (2.09-2.20)	1.07	72
00031368054	WT	245 6422.739 68	9.48 (9.06-9.89)	2.14 (2.08-2.21)	1.40	81
00031368055	PC	245 6423.604 78	7.89 (7.46-8.32)	2.11 (2.03-2.19)	0.97	45
00031368056	WT	245 6428.796 91	8.74 (8.36-9.11)	2.19 (2.13-2.25)	1.21	86
00031368057	WT	245 6446.760 78	7.56 (7.20-7.93)	2.09 (2.02-2.16)	1.09	72
00031368058	WT	245 6451.763 82	6.58 (6.23-6.94)	2.08 (2.00-2.16)	1.10	63
00031368059	WT	245 6452.446 28	7.29 (6.95-7.62)	2.24 (2.17-2.32)	0.85	74
00031368060	WT	245 6452.513 05	6.74 (6.28-7.20)	2.01 (1.92-2.11)	0.93	41
00031368061	WT	245 6455.396 13	7.01 (6.77-7.26)	2.17 (2.12-2.22)	0.98	131
00031368062	WT	245 6456.719 05	6.70 (6.37-7.03)	2.11 (2.04-2.19)	0.93	73
00031368063	WT	245 6474.731 76	9.38 (8.99-9.78)	2.15 (2.09-2.21)	1.17	91
00031368064	WT	245 6477.733 03	10.82 (10.37-11.26)	2.20 (2.14-2.26)	0.92	94
00031368065	WT	245 6480.678 45	10.35 (9.95–10.74)	2.21 (2.16-2.27)	1.01	102
			2009			
00031368009	PC	245 5083.532 35	2.63 (2.54-2.72)	2.37 (2.33-2.42)	1.11	92
00031368010	PC	245 5108.096 67	2.18 (2.08-2.28)	2.43 (2.36-2.51)	1.06	54
			2012			
00031368035	WT	245 6046.576 54	17.12 (16.61-17.63)	2.16 (2.12-2.20)	1.15	144

Table 5. Results of fitting the *Swift*-XRT spectra with an absorbed power-law model with photon index Γ and fixed Galactic absorption $N_{\rm H} = 0.372 \times 10^{21} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$.

Table 6. Results of fitting the XRT spectra with an absorbed log-parabola model $N(E) = N_0 (E/E_s)^{-\alpha - \beta \log(E/E_s)}$ with $E_s = 1 \text{ keV}$ and fixed Galactic absorption $N_{\rm H} = 0.372 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

ID	Mode	JD	$F_{1\mathrm{keV}}$ (μ Jy)	α	β	χ^2_{red}	d.o.f.	P_F
			20	013				
00031368050	PC	245 6390.557 59	12.26 (11.66–12.86)	2.06 (1.99-2.13)	0.37 (0.20-0.56)	0.80	76	2×10^{-4}
00031368051	PC	245 6393.636 31	10.19 (9.66-10.72)	2.06 (1.98-2.14)	0.22 (0.04-0.42)	1.14	70	0.09
00031368051	WT	245 6393.636 31	11.44 (10.54–12.31)	2.16 (2.02-2.29)	0.09 (-0.18-0.40)	1.09	45	0.69
00031368052	WT	245 6416.847 03	8.17 (7.76-8.58)	2.12 (2.03-2.20)	0.14 (-0.06-0.35)	0.98	91	0.17
00031368053	PC	245 6420.596 02	9.77 (9.26-10.27)	2.03 (1.94-2.10)	0.52 (0.32-0.73)	0.79	71	2×10^{-6}
00031368054	WT	245 6422.739 68	9.56 (9.07-10.07)	2.13 (2.04-2.21)	0.06 (-0.13-0.26)	1.42	80	_
00031368055	PC	245 6423.604 78	8.26 (7.71-8.81)	2.05 (1.95-2.15)	0.28 (0.03-0.54)	0.92	44	0.07
00031368056	WT	245 6428.796 91	9.41 (8.94-9.88)	2.06 (1.97-2.15)	0.53 (0.32-0.75)	1.01	85	6×10^{-5}
00031368057	WT	245 6446.760 78	7.96 (7.51-8.41)	1.99 (1.88-2.08)	0.37 (0.15-0.62)	0.99	71	5×10^{-3}
00031368058	WT	245 6451.763 82	6.96 (6.53-7.36)	1.97 (1.85-2.08)	0.42 (0.15-0.72)	1.01	62	0.01
00031368059	WT	245 6452.446 28	7.42 (6.96-7.83)	2.22 (2.13-2.30)	0.12 (-0.10-0.35)	0.85	73	0.32
00031368060	WT	245 6452.513 05	7.23 (6.66-7.81)	1.88 (1.74-2.02)	0.53 (0.19-0.90)	0.78	40	5×10^{-3}
00031368061	WT	245 6455.396 13	7.31 (7.00-7.61)	2.10 (2.03-2.17)	0.28 (0.12-0.45)	0.93	130	5×10^{-3}
00031368062	WT	245 6456.719 05	6.83 (6.44-7.22)	2.08 (1.97-2.17)	0.14 (-0.08-0.37)	0.92	72	0.18
00031368063	WT	245 6474.731 76	9.53 (9.05-10.01)	2.12 (2.04-2.20)	0.11 (-0.09-0.31)	1.18	90	0.63
00031368064	WT	245 6477.733 03	10.82 (10.29–11.35)	2.20 (2.12-2.27)	0.00 (-0.18-0.19)	0.93	93	-
00031368065	WT	245 6480.678 45	10.70 (10.22–11.19)	2.15 (2.08-2.23)	0.23 (0.06-0.42)	0.97	101	0.02
			20)09				
00031368009	PC	245 5083.532 35	2.82 (2.70-2.95)	2.32 (2.26-2.38)	0.39 (0.22-0.57)	0.95	91	10^{-4}
00031368010	PC	245 5108.096 67	2.25 (2.12-2.39)	2.42 (2.34-2.50)	0.17 (-0.04-0.41)	1.04	53	0.16
			20)12				
00031368035	WT	245 6046.576 54	17.91 (17.27–18.56)	2.10 (2.04–2.15)	0.27 (0.14–0.41)	1.07	143	8×10^{-4}



Figure 5. Multiwavelength light curves of PG 1553+113 around the period of the MAGIC pointings (yellow stripes). In the top panel, the de-absorbed flux density at 1 keV is given, separating the data obtained in PC (red dots) from WT (blue dots) mode. Flux densities in the w1, R, and H bands are corrected for Galactic extinction as explained in the text. Different colours and symbols in the bottom panel, showing the radio flux densities, have the same meaning as in Fig. 2.

4 OBSERVATIONS BY XMM-NEWTON

A long calibration pointing at PG 1553+113 was performed by the *XMM–Newton* satellite on 2013 July 24–25 (JD = 245 6498.135 60–245 6498.522 37). The total exposure time was 34 500 s. We processed the data with the Science Analysis Software⁸ (sAs) package version 13.5.0.

4.1 OM

The Optical Monitor (Mason et al. 2001) onboard *XMM–Newton* performed nine exposures, six of which with the optical and UV wide-band filters, two with the UV grism (grism1), and one with the optical grism (grism2). We ran the pipeline omichain and analysed the *V*, *B*, *W*1, *M*2 and *W*2 images with the omsource task. The resulting source magnitudes, already adjusted to the Johnson's system, are reported in Table 7. The uncertainties take into account both the measurement and the calibration errors. The measurement error is very small, of the order of 0.001–0.003 mag, in all bands but *W*2, where it is 0.018 mag. The errors in the calibration are estimated to be 2 per cent in *V* and *B* bands and 10 per cent in *U* band.⁹ We

Table 7. Results of the XMM–Newton-OM photometry on PG1553+113.

Filter	Exp (s)	Mag	F_{λ}^{1}	F_{ν}^2
V	1700	14.561 (0.022)	5.72	6.60
В	2001	14.986 (0.022)	6.65	5.55
U	2000	14.09 (0.11)	9.05	4.60
W1	3999	13.84 (0.11)	10.6	4.04
М2	3999	13.79 (0.11)	13.6	3.74
W2	4000	13.87 (0.11)	14.4	3.36

Notes. ¹Observed flux densities in units 10^{-15} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ Å⁻¹. ²De-absorbed flux densities in mJy.



Figure 6. *XMM–Newton-*OM grism spectra and broad-band photometry. The green and red lines represent the grism1 (UV) spectra, while the blue line shows the grism2 (optical) spectrum. Black dots mark the flux densities in the V, B, U, W1, M2, and W2 filters. A conservative 10 per cent error is assumed on the flux.

assumed a 10 per cent error also in the UV bands. We notice that the OM V and B magnitudes fairly match the simultaneous values by the WEBT shown in Fig. 2.

We also extracted the V and B magnitudes of the reference stars, to compare their space photometry with the ground-based one. As can be seen in Table 2, the OM values in V band are in excellent agreement with those obtained with the WEBT data, with deviations of less than 0.02 mag. The difference in B band is more pronounced, up to ~ 0.1 mag.

The OM grism1 and grism2 provide low-resolution spectra in the range 1800 to 3600 Å and 3000 to 6000 Å, respectively. Exposure times were 4400 and 4260 s for the two grism1 observations and 4000 s for the grism2. We reduced these data with the task omgchain and checked the results with omgsource. The resulting UV spectra are very noisy and no reliable feature can be recognized. The optical spectrum is contaminated by zero-order features.

The grism spectra are shown in Fig. 6, where they are compared with the OM broad-band photometry. Flux densities in the *V*, *B*, *U*, *W*1, *M*2, and *W*2 bands were obtained by multiplying the count rates for the conversion factors derived from observations of white dwarf standard stars.¹⁰ They are also reported in Table 7. We assumed a conservative 10 per cent error on these values. There is

 10 http://xmm.esac.esa.int/sas/8.0.0/watchout/. We notice that when using conversion factors based on the Vega spectrum, a dip in U band appears.

⁸ http://xmm.esac.esa.int/sas/current/documentation/

⁹ http://xmm2.esac.esa.int/docs/documents/CAL-TN-0019.pdf

Table 8. Results of fitting the *XMM–Newton*-EPIC spectra with different models: power law (pow), broken power law (bknpow), and log-parabola (logpar). For the broken power law and log-parabola models, the absorption was fixed to the Galactic value $N_{\rm H} = 0.372 \times 10^{21} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$, while for the single power-law models both free and fixed absorption were tried.

Model pow	$F_{1\rm keV}$ (μ Jy) 10.48 ± 0.02	$N_{\rm H} (10^{21} {\rm cm}^{-2})$ 0.372	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \\ 2.319 \pm 0.002 \end{array}$		$\chi^2_{\rm red}$ 1.56	d.o.f. 3270
Model pow	$F_{1 \mathrm{keV}} (\mu \mathrm{Jy})$ 11.15 ± 0.04	$N_{\rm H} \ (10^{21} {\rm cm}^{-2})$ 0.550 ± 0.009	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \\ 2.395 \pm 0.004 \end{array}$		χ^2_{red} 1.19	d.o.f. 3269
Model bknpow	$F_{1\rm keV}$ (μ Jy) 10.75 ± 0.03	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_1\\ 2.246\pm 0.005\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma_2\\ 2.464\pm 0.010\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} E_b \; (\mathrm{keV}) \\ 1.62 \pm 0.06 \end{array}$	$\chi^2_{\rm red}$ 1.08	d.o.f. 3268
Model logpar	$F_{1\rm keV}$ (μ Jy) 10.83 ± 0.03	$lpha$ 2.283 \pm 0.003	β 0.166 \pm 0.007	E_s (keV) 1	$\chi^2_{\rm red}$ 1.09	d.o.f. 3269

a fair agreement between the results of the OM spectroscopy and photometry.

In Table 7, we also give de-absorbed flux densities in mJy. The values of Galactic extinction for the OM filters calculated by integrating the source spectrum with the Cardelli et al. (1989) laws and filter effective areas are reported in Table 3.

4.2 EPIC

The European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) onboard XMM-Newton carries three detectors: MOS1, MOS2, (Turner et al. 2001) and pn (Strüder et al. 2001). Because of the expected high source brightness, exposures were performed in small window mode with medium filter. We processed the data with the emproc and epproc tasks of the sas package. Possible high-background periods were removed by asking that the count rate of high-energy events (>10 keV) was less than 0.35 and 0.40 cts s⁻¹ on the MOS and pn detectors, respectively. Out-of-time events are visible in the pn image, but because of the small window mode, their fraction is \sim 1 per cent only and a correction is not necessary. We extracted source counts from a circular region with 40-arcsec radius. In the case of MOS detectors, background was extracted from a circle of 80-arcsec radius on an external CCD, while in the case of pn it was taken from a circle of 40-arcsec radius on the same CCD, as far as possible from the source. We selected the best calibrated single and double events only (PATTERN < = 4), and rejected events next to either the edges of the CCDs or bad pixels (FLAG==0). The absence of pile-up was verified with the epatplot task.

As in the XRT data analysis, we grouped each spectrum with the corresponding background, redistribution matrix (rmf), and ancillary (arf) files with the task grppha, setting a binning of at least 25 counts for each spectral channel in order to use the χ^2 statistic. The three spectra were analysed with XSPEC version 12.8.1. We adopted a Galactic absorption of $N_{\rm H} = 0.372 \times 10^{21} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$ from the LAB survey (Kalberla et al. 2005) and the Wilms et al. (2000) elemental abundances. We fitted the three EPIC spectra together. An absorbed power law gives a poor fit to the spectrum, even when letting the absorption free. The X-ray spectra are better reproduced by absorbed curved models such as a broken power law or a log-parabola (see the previous section). Table 8 shows the results of the spectral fitting with the different models. Both curved models give a reasonably good fit according to the χ^2_{red} value. Unfortunately, this is not a case where we can use the F-statistic to see which model is better (Protassov et al. 2002; Orlandini et al. 2012), so we consider both as reliable.

In the parabolic case, the observed fluxes in the 0.3–10, 0.3–2, and 2–10 keV energy ranges are (6.64 \pm 0.02),



Figure 7. X-ray spectra of PG 1553+113 acquired by the EPIC instrument onboard *XMM–Newton* on 2013 July 24–25. Black, red, and green symbols represent MOS1, MOS2, and pn data, respectively. The folded model, an absorbed log-parabola with $N_{\rm H} = 0.372 \times 10^{21} \,\rm cm^{-2}$, is shown by solid lines of the same colour. The ratio between the data and the folded model is plotted in the bottom panel.

 (4.27 ± 0.01) , and $(2.37 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. The corresponding de-absorbed values are 7.62, 5.25, and $2.37 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The three EPIC spectra with folded model and data-to-model ratio are shown in Fig. 7.

We finally extracted source X-ray light curves with different time binning, but they did not show significant variability.

5 SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTION

In the following, we present SEDs of the source from the nearinfrared to the X-ray energies, i.e. the frequency range where the synchrotron bump achieves its maximum in the high-energy peaked BL Lac objects such as PG 1553+113. For the UV data of both UVOT and OM, we assumed a 10 per cent error on the flux. The errors on the WEBT data also include uncertainties on the calibration. The *Swift*-XRT spectra are here modelled with power laws.

At the epoch of the first MAGIC pointing, from JD = 2456390.6326 to JD = 2456393.7306, two *Swift* observations were performed: the first one just before the start and the second by the end of the MAGIC pointing. Fig. 8 shows the corresponding SEDs of the source; X-ray and UV data from *Swift* are complemented by simultaneous optical and near-IR data acquired by the WEBT. In particular, in the first SED (red) the *J*, *H*, and *K* data are from Campo Imperatore, while the *R*-band datum is the average of



Figure 8. SED of PG 1553+113 during the first (top), second (middle), and third (bottom) MAGIC observations. Data from the WEBT are displayed as diamonds, while those from *Swift*-UVOT as circles. The errors on the WEBT data take into account also the uncertainties on the calibration. The dashed lines represent log-cubic fits, which suggest a general shift of the synchrotron peak (marked by vertical dotted lines) towards higher frequencies when the source brightness increases.

eight cleaned data from Abastumani. Both a PC-mode and a WTmode spectra were available for the second SED (blue); we chose to plot the results of the PC mode because of the larger number of counts. Near-IR points for this epoch represent averages of simultaneous WEBT data from Campo Imperatore and Teide; optical *B*, *V*, *R*, and *I* data are mean values from simultaneous observations at Rozhen and a dense monitoring at Mt Maidanak. We notice that the WEBT points nicely continue the spectral shape traced by the optical–UV data from *Swift*-UVOT and that the *V*-band points from UVOT and from the WEBT available in the second epoch are in excellent agreement. The only critical point is in the *B* band, where the WEBT fluxes are a bit fainter than the UVOT values. This problem has already been found for 3C 454.3 (Raiteri et al. 2011).

In the period of the second MAGIC pointing (JD = 2456420.5826-2456423.6299), there were three *Swift* observations. WEBT *BVRI* data corresponding to the first epoch are from the Crimean Observatory, complemented by observations at the



Figure 9. SED of PG 1553+113 during the *XMM*–*Newton* pointing of 2013 July 24–25 (JD = 245 6498). Data from the WEBT are displayed as diamonds, while those from *XMM*–*Newton*-OM as squares. The errors on the WEBT data take into account also the uncertainties on the calibration. The EPIC spectra include errors on the free parameters of the log-parabola (blue) and broken power-law (green) models. The dotted and dashed lines are log-parabolic and log-cubic fits, respectively, suggesting a synchrotron peak at about 26–33 eV. The red dot–dashed line represents the episodic particle acceleration model by Perlman et al. (2005).

Abastumani Observatory in the R band. WEBT data for the second pointing were provided by the Crimean, Mt Maidanak and New Mexico Skies observatories, while for the third pointing WEBT data were acquired at the Valle d'Aosta Observatory.

The third MAGIC pointing, from JD = $245\,6452.4486$ to JD = $245\,6455.5083$, saw again three *Swift* pointings. In the figure, we only plot the first and last ones, since the second is very close in time to the first but lacks observations in the m2 and v bands. WEBT data for these two epochs are from the Mt Maidanak Observatory, complemented in the last epoch by observations from the Crimean Observatory. No near-IR data are available during the second and third MAGIC observations.

A log-parabolic model (Landau et al. 1986; Massaro et al. 2004) applied to the near-IR–X-ray SEDs gave a poor fit to the X-ray data ($\chi^{2}_{red} = 7-14$). Hence, we fitted all SEDs with a log-cubic model ($\chi^{2}_{red} = 2-7$), which suggests synchrotron peak frequencies in the range log $\nu_{p} = 15.3-15.6$, i.e. $\nu_{p} = 8-16$ eV, and a general increase with the source brightness. An increase of the synchrotron peak frequency with flux has already been found for various other blazars, notably for another high-energy peaked BL Lac object: Mkn 501 (Pian et al. 1999). Note however that the fit cannot account for the downturn in the UV band.

The SED corresponding to the *XMM–Newton* pointing of 2013 July 24–25 (JD = 245 6498.135 60–245 6498.522 37) is shown in Fig. 9. Both the log-parabola (blue) and the broken power-law (green) fits to the EPIC spectra are plotted. The OM spectrum is hard. The simultaneous WEBT data points are from the Crimean Observatory. The *B* and *V* ground-based fluxes appear in agreement, within the errors, with those derived from the space observations. The dotted and dashed lines represent log-parabolic and log-cubic fits to the data, respectively.¹¹ They highlight that the OM UV*M*2

¹¹ The *F*-test probability is 0.17, which does not clearly favour any of the two models.

and UVW2 data points are a bit too high to smoothly connect with the X-ray spectrum, whose curvature cannot be fully accounted for. The fits suggest a synchrotron peak located at log $v_p = 15.8-15.9$, i.e. $v_p = 26-33$ eV. For comparison, a parabolic fit through the SED including *XMM–Newton* observations in 2001, when the source was 1.5 times brighter, gave log $v_p = 15.7$ (Perlman et al. 2005). In contrast, the log-parabolic curvature of the 2013 X-ray spectrum alone would imply log $v_p \sim 16.5$ at log $vF_v \sim -10.46$, incompatible with a single synchrotron peak that also includes the UV and optical data.

6 DISCUSSION

In the previous section, we presented broad-band SEDs of PG 1553+113 at different epochs, where UV and X-ray data from either *Swift* or *XMM–Newton* were available. The SEDs built with *Swift* data present a convexity in the optical–UV that makes a smooth connection of the UV with the X-ray spectra hard to trace, especially when adopting a curved model for the X-ray spectrum. This problem does not depend on the recalibration procedure we applied, since standard calibration even worsens the picture. The errors on the UVOT points however are rather large as well as the uncertainty affecting the XRT spectra.

In contrast, the SED obtained with the XMM-Newton data is very precise and the problem of connecting the UV to the X-ray spectrum is mitigated, but not completely solved because of the curvature inferred from the spectral analysis of the EPIC data. The polynomial fits suggest a synchrotron peak at a higher frequency than in the Swift case. It is interesting to notice that a similar X-ray spectral shape was found by Perlman et al. (2005) while analysing an XMM-Newton observation of September 2001 $(\alpha = 2.33^{+0.02}_{-0.01}, \beta = 0.13^{+0.02}_{-0.03})$, and an even more pronounced curvature was obtained by Reimer et al. (2008) from a Suzaku observation in July 2006 ($\alpha = 2.19 \pm 0.01, \beta = 0.26 \pm 0.01$). In both epochs, the X-ray flux was about 1.5 times higher than in the XMM-Newton observation of 2013. Noticeable curvatures were also estimated by Massaro et al. (2008) from Swift observations in 2005 ($\alpha = 2.11-2.21$, $\beta = 0.23-0.36$) and especially from a *BeppoSAX* pointing in 1998 ($\alpha = 2.17 \pm 0.07, \beta = 0.63 \pm 0.08$). In all these cases, the log-parabolic fit of the X-ray spectrum gave a synchrotron peak energy of about 0.5-0.7 keV, i.e. more than 10 times higher than we found by fitting the SED over a broader energy range. Physical explanations of the X-ray spectral curvature observed in BL Lac objects were given by Massaro et al. (2004) by assuming that the probability for a particle to increase its energy is a decreasing function of the energy itself, and by Perlman et al. (2005) as due to episodic particle acceleration. In the latter model, particle acceleration occurs with a typical time-scale T and the maximum synchrotron energy E_1 is reached at time T, when the acceleration stops. The observed photon spectrum is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}E} \propto E^{-(s+1)/2} \ln \frac{E_1^{1/2} \left(E_{\max}^{1/2} - E^{1/2} \right)}{E^{1/2} \left(E_{\max}^{1/2} - E_1^{1/2} \right)}, E \le E_1,$$

where E_{max} is the maximum energy that would be reached under steady injection conditions. We show in Fig. 9 a fit of this model to the 2013 EPIC spectrum, normalized to 0.3 keV and with the choice of parameters s = 3.0, $E_1 = 25 \text{ keV}$, and $E_{\text{max}} = 100 \text{ keV}$. The model gives a fair fit of the EPIC spectrum, though predicts a slightly stronger curvature at high energies, while the data show a more pronounced bending in soft X-rays.

With the aim of clarifying the shape of the source spectra around the synchrotron peak, we looked for other data. Falomo & Treves



Figure 10. SEDs of PG 1553+113 in different brightness states. The *Swift* data of 2009 September 9, 2009 October 3, 2012 April 29, and 2013 May 10 are shown in red, blue, orange, and green, respectively. The X-ray spectra are modelled with power laws; in the high and low states also log-parabola fits are shown. The de-absorbed *HST*-COS spectrum of 2009 September 22 is plotted in black and prolongs the *Swift*-UVOT spectra of 2009 September 9 and October 3. The dashed lines represent fourth-order polynomial fits to the near-IR to power-law X-ray spectra (including the *HST*-COS data in 2009); the dot–dashed line is a fit to the optical to log-parabolic X-ray spectrum of 2012 April 29. The purple crosses and lines refer to near-IR–UV data acquired in August 1988 close to *IUE* observations (Falomo & Treves 1990).

(1990) analysed multiwavelength observations of PG 1553+113 including four pointings by the *IUE* in 1982–1988. From their paper, we extracted the infrared-to-UV SED corresponding to a high state of the source in August 1988. As can be seen in Fig. 10, it shows a curvature, suggesting a synchrotron peak around $\log v_p = 14.9$.

In the same figure, we plotted the HST-COS spectrum acquired on 2009 September 22 and downloaded from the Space Telescope Science Institute archive.¹² The spectrum was de-absorbed according to the Cardelli et al. (1989) law and smoothed.¹³ The wealth of interstellar and intergalactic medium features were analysed by Danforth et al. (2010), who used them to set constraints on the source redshift (see the Introduction). The HST spectrum covers the wavelength range $\sim 1120 - 1800$ Å and is soft. It refers to a fainter state of the source than the IUE spectrum. Swift pointed at the source on 2009 September 9 and October 3, i.e. 13 d before and 11 d after the HST observations. We analysed the corresponding data following the same procedure described in Section 4. The results are shown in Fig. 10, while details on the fit of the XRT spectra are given in Tables 5 and 6. While the X-ray flux decreased by ~ 20 per cent from September 9 to October 3, the optical-UV fluxes are similar in the two epochs. Hence, if we assume that in that period the UV flux was stable, the composite Swift plus HST SED can be considered as indicative of the source spectral shape at that time. A fourth-order polynomial fit shows how the X-ray spectra can smoothly connect with the optical-UV ones, suggesting that the synchrotron peak is at log $v_p \approx 15.0$, i.e. about 4 eV. This would

¹² http://mast.stsci.edu

 $^{^{13}}$ We also cut the deep absorption line at $\lambda \sim$ 1210–1220 Å for sake of clarity.

further confirm the trend of decreasing ν_p with decreasing X-ray flux already seen for the 2013 *Swift* observations. We finally notice that in 2009 the X-ray flux was 3–6 times lower than in 2013 and the X-ray spectrum definitely softer, while the optical–UV level was similar (see Fig. 10).

Hence, both the *IUE* and the *HST*-COS spectra confirm the soft *Swift*-UVOT spectra, so we can guess that the *XMM–Newton*-OM data may suffer calibration problems. Yet, a recalibration of the OM data following the same prescriptions that we used for UVOT does not produce appreciable differences.

The 2013 and 2009 SEDs that we have analysed show faint states of the source. In order to investigate the SED behaviour at brighter levels, we analysed *Swift* data taken on 2012 April 29, at the peak of the 2012 outburst (see also Aleksić et al. 2015). The results of spectral fitting are reported in Tables 5 and 6, while the corresponding SED is displayed in Fig. 10. The cubic fit suggests a synchrotron peak at log $v_p \approx 15.3$, i.e. about 8.3 eV. Because of the higher statistic compared to the other *Swift*-XRT observations, we also show the result of a log-parabolic fit on the X-ray spectrum. This implies a more pronounced curvature than in the *XMM–Newton* case and suggests an inflection point around log v = 16.

The problem of connecting the optical–UV spectrum with the X-ray one presents no simple solution. One may wonder whether the choice of Galactic extinction can play a role. We followed Schlegel et al. (1998); had we used the recalibration by Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011), which implies a \sim 20 per cent lower extinction values, we would have obtained a small shift of the optical–UV SEDs downward, by \sim 0.01 in the *V* band, up to \sim 0.04 in the *w*2 band. This would slightly improve the match between the *XMM–Newton* UV and X-ray spectra, but would worsen it in the *Swift* case. In general, it seems hard to find a solution that suits both the *Swift* and *XMM–Newton* data just playing with the Galactic extinction uncertainties (see also Section 6.2).

Incidentally, we notice that similar connection problems were found for other BL Lac objects, both LBL such as BL Lacertae itself (Ravasio et al. 2003; Raiteri et al. 2009) and HBL such as Mkn 421 (Massaro et al. 2004) and H 1722+119 (Ahnen et al., in preparation). Moreover, inflection points in the infrared portion of the SED have been revealed in 3C 454.3, PKS 1510–089, and 3C 279 (Wehrle et al. 2012; Nalewajko et al. 2012; Hayashida et al. 2012). All these evidences suggest that a full understanding of blazar emission requires a more complex picture than that is commonly assumed.

6.1 Helical jet interpretation

In the following, we will analyse the source SEDs in an inhomogeneous curved jet scenario, where synchrotron radiation of decreasing frequency is emitted from jet regions at increasing distance from the jet apex, which have different viewing angles and thus different Doppler factors. A helical jet model of this kind was developed by Villata & Raiteri (1999) and was successfully applied to interpret the observed broad-band flux variability in other BL Lac objects (e.g. Raiteri et al. 1999, 2009, 2010; Ostorero, Villata & Raiteri 2004). According to this model, the jet viewing angle varies along the helical path as

$$\cos\theta(z) = \cos\psi\cos\zeta + \sin\psi\sin\zeta\cos(\phi - az), \qquad (1)$$

assuming that the helical jet axis lies along the z coordinate of a 3D reference frame. The angle ψ is defined by the helix axis and the line of sight, ζ is the pitch angle, ϕ is the azimuthal difference between



Figure 11. SEDs of PG 1553+113 presented in Figs 9 and 10 fitted with the helical jet model by Villata & Raiteri (1999). Three brightness states are presented: the high state of 2012 April 29 (dashed line), the intermediate state of 2013 May/July (dotted line), and the low state of 2009 September/October (dot–dashed line). The fits were obtained by varying only the orientation of the jet, leaving the intrinsic flux unchanged.

the line of sight and the initial direction of the helical path, and *a* is the 'curvature', defining the azimuthal angle $\varphi(z) = az$ along the helical path. Each slice of the jet can radiate, in the plasma rest frame, synchrotron photons from a minimum frequency ν'_{\min} to a maximum one ν'_{\max} . The observed flux density has a power-law dependence on the frequency (with spectral index α_0) and a cubic dependence on the Doppler beaming factor $\delta = [\Gamma_b(1 - \beta \cos \theta)]^{-1}$, where β is the bulk velocity of the emitting plasma in units of the speed of light, $\Gamma_b = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$ the corresponding bulk Lorentz factor, and θ is the viewing angle of equation (1). The variation of the viewing angle along the helical path implies a change of the beaming factor. As a consequence, the flux at ν peaks when the part of the jet mostly contributing to it has minimum θ .

In Fig. 11, we show fits to three different brightness states of the source obtained with this model, where only the three parameters defining the jet orientation $(a, \psi \text{ and } \phi)$ have been changed from one fit to the other, leaving the intrinsic flux unchanged. The high state is obtained with $a = 45^{\circ}$, $\psi = 25^{\circ}.7$, and $\phi = 17^{\circ}.5$, the intermediate state with $a = 48^\circ$, $\psi = 24^\circ$, and $\phi = 18^\circ$, and the low state with $a = 70^{\circ}$, $\psi = 24^{\circ}.8$, and $\phi = 26^{\circ}.5$. As for the other parameters introduced above, we fixed $\zeta = 30^{\circ}$, log $\nu'_{min}(0) = 16.7$, and $\log \nu'_{\text{max}}(0) = 19.3$ at jet apex (z = 0), $\alpha_0 = 0.5$, and $\Gamma_b = 10$. We selected the model parameters in particular to obtain (i) an X-ray spectrum with the same curvature as that derived from the XMM-Newton data, but (ii) a UV spectral shape more similar to that given by the Swift-UVOT data, (iii) an inflection point in the SED of the low X-ray state that can reproduce the narrow synchrotron peak marked by the Swift-UVOT and HST-COS data, and (iv) sufficient flux in the radio band to fit the radio observations at 43 GHz performed close to the XMM-Newton pointing of 2013 July 24-25.

While we refer to Villata & Raiteri (1999, and to the other papers mentioned at the beginning of this section) for a full mathematical description of the helical jet model, we show in Fig. 12 the trends of some physical and geometrical quantities with the distance zalong the helix axis. In the top panel, one can see that the maximum and minimum emitted frequencies decrease along the jet, while the



Figure 12. The helical jet model used to fit the SEDs of Fig. 11. Top: the trend of the maximum and minimum emitted frequencies as a function of the distance *z* along the helical jet axis. The behaviour of the emissivity is also shown (arbitrary units). Bottom: the viewing angle θ and Doppler factor δ along the jet axis for the three fits to the source SEDs presented in Fig. 11.

emissivity first rapidly increases and then slowly decreases.¹⁴ In the bottom panel, we compare the behaviour of the viewing angle θ and Doppler factor δ for the three fits to the source SEDs presented in Fig. 11. Close to the jet apex, where the highest frequencies are emitted, the Doppler factor is greater for the high-state fit and lower for the low X-ray state, and this is also true, with different amplitude, along all the jet. For all cases, the maximum beaming occurs around z = 0.38-0.4, the region from which frequencies in the range $\sim 10^{10}$ to 10^{14} Hz are emitted. The peak of the Doppler factor is lower in the intermediate state than in the low state, but δ_{int} is smoother than δ_{low} , and this explains why the two cases produce the same IR–optical flux. Indeed, δ_{int} soon overcomes δ_{low} , in regions where IR and optical frequencies are still efficiently produced.

In conclusion, the good fits to the source SEDs that the inhomogeneous helical jet model can produce suggest that instabilities developing inside the jet and changing the jet geometry may explain the variations of the SED shape even when the intrinsic jet emission does not change. We notice that three-dimensional numerical simulations of relativistic magnetized jets show that kink instabilities are produced, leading to jet wiggling and beam deflection off the main longitudinal axis (Mignone et al. 2010) or helical jet deformations (Moll, Spruit & Obergaulinger 2008). These results indicate that variations of the viewing angle of the jet emitting regions are likely to occur.

6.2 Other possible explanations

Another possible explanation for the optical–X-ray spectral shape and its variability may be the presence of an extra contribution to the absorption, in addition to the Galactic one, which would imply less steep UV spectra and softer X-ray spectra, eliminating the need for an X-ray spectral curvature. This is questioned by the *XMM– Newton* data, which already present too high UV fluxes and for which the fit of the EPIC spectrum with a power-law model with $N_{\rm H}$ free is statistically worse than the fits with curved models.

An alternative picture is that there are multiple (at least two) emission components, so that the optical–UV and X-ray emissions have a different origin. This would also explain in particular why the PG 1553+113 X-ray flux varies by about a factor of 4 while the optical–UV level does not change, as can be derived when comparing the state of the source in 2009 September–October with that during the second MAGIC pointing (see Fig. 10).

Another possibility is that the energy distribution of the relativistic electrons in the source, $N(\gamma)$, where γ is the Lorentz factor of the electrons, has a more complex shape than the usually assumed power-law or log-parabolic one.

To distinguish among the various interpretations, we need more high-quality simultaneous observations in the optical–UV and Xrays, covering different brightness states of the source. This would allow us to better understand the correlation between the emission in the two bands.

7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented multiwavelength data acquired during the WEBT campaign organized in 2013 to study the blazar PG 1553+113 during MAGIC VHE observations. We have complemented the radio-to-optical data from the WEBT with UV and X-ray observations by *Swift* and *XMM–Newton* to analyse the synchrotron emission in detail. A forthcoming paper will address the higher energy emission and in particular the results obtained by MAGIC and *Fermi* (Ahnen et al., in preparation).

The source revealed an enigmatic synchrotron behaviour, the connection between the UV and X-ray spectra in the SED appearing more complex than usually assumed. While *Swift*-XRT observations have too low statistic to derive detailed information on the X-ray spectral shape, the long exposure by *XMM–Newton* in July 2013 confirms that the X-ray spectrum is curved, but probably not as curved as a log-parabolic fit would imply. On the other side, *Swift*-UVOT as well as *HST*-COS and *IUE* data show a steep UV spectrum that hardly connects to the X-ray one.

Among the possible interpretations, we have investigated a scenario where the observed flux variations are due to changes of the viewing angle of the emitting regions in a helical jet, while the intrinsic flux remains constant. We have obtained good fits to the SEDs built with contemporaneous data in different brightness states by varying only three parameters defining the jet orientation. We are not claiming that all the source variability is due to geometrical effects, but our analysis reveals how important orientation effects are in these beamed sources.

We finally note that a periodicity of 720 ± 60 d has recently been found when analysing the γ -ray data of PG 1553+113 (Ciprini et al.

¹⁴ The laws according to which v'_{min} and v'_{max} decrease along the jet [see equations (4) and (5) in Villata & Raiteri 1999] are defined by: $\log l_1 = -2.3$, $\log l_2 = -1.8$, $c_1 = c_2 = 3.3$. The trend of emissivity has been slightly modified with respect to equation (9) in Villata & Raiteri through the introduction of a further multiplicative factor $(l/l_0)^{(c_0/c_3)}$, where $\log l_0 = -1$, $c_0 = 3$, $c_3 = 2.3$.

2014). The helical jet model can in principle explain periodicities by assuming that the helix rotates because of the orbital motion in a binary black hole system (Villata et al. 1998; Ostorero et al. 2004). However, a reproduction of both the SED and multiwavelength flux variations requires good data sampling and a fine-tuning of the model parameters.

A further observing effort needs to be spent to fully understand the emission variability mechanisms of this object.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The data collected by the WEBT collaboration are stored in the WEBT archive; for questions regarding their availability, please contact the WEBT President Massimo Villata (villata@oato.inaf.it). This research has made use of data obtained through the High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center Online Service, provided by the NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center. This article is partly based on observations made with the telescopes IAC80 and TCS operated by the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias in the Spanish Observatorio del Teide on the island of Tenerife. Most of the observations were taken under the rutinary observation programme. The IAC team acknowledges the support from the group of support astronomers and telescope operators of the Observatorio del Teide. Based (partly) on data obtained with the STELLA robotic telescopes in Tenerife, an AIP facility jointly operated by AIP and IAC. This research was (partially) funded by the Italian Ministry for Research and Scuola Normale Superiore. St Petersburg University team acknowledges support from Russian RFBR grant 15-02-00949 and St Petersburg University research grant 6.38.335.2015. AZT-24 observations are made within an agreement between Pulkovo, Rome, and Teramo observatories. The Abastumani team acknowledges financial support of the project FR/638/6-320/12 by the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation under contract 31/77. Data at Rozhen NAO and Plana SAO were obtained with support by BG051 PO001-3.3.06-0057 project within Human Resources Development Operational Programme 2007-2013. This research was partially supported by Scientific Research Fund of the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Sciences under grant DO 02-137 (BIn-13/09). The Skinakas Observatory is a collaborative project of the University of Crete, the Foundation for Research and Technology -Hellas, and the Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik. Uzbekistan's authors acknowledge the support from Committee for coordination of science and technology development (Project N. F2-FA-F027). GD and OV gratefully acknowledge the observing grant support from the Institute of Astronomy and Rozhen National Astronomical Observatory, Bulgaria Academy of Sciences. This work is a part of the Projects No 176011 (Dynamics and kinematics of celestial bodies and systems), No. 176004 (Stellar physics) and No. 176021 (Visible and invisible matter in nearby galaxies: theory and observations) supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia. SPM observatory data were obtained through support given by PA-PIIT grant 111514. The Metsähovi team acknowledges the support from the Academy of Finland to our observing projects (numbers 212656, 210338, 121148, and others). Based on observations with the Medicina and Noto telescopes operated by INAF - Istituto di Radioastronomia.

REFERENCES

Abdo A. A. et al., 2010, ApJ, 708, 1310 Aleksić J. et al., 2012, ApJ, 748, 46

Aleksić J. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 4399

- Andruchow I., Combi J. A., Muñoz-Arjonilla A. J., Romero G. E., Cellone S. A., Martí J., 2011, A&A, 531, A38
- Bessell M. S., Castelli F., Plez B., 1998, A&A, 333, 231
- Böttcher M. et al., 2007, ApJ, 670, 968
- Böttcher M., Reimer A., Sweeney K., Prakash A., 2013, ApJ, 768, 54
- Breeveld A. A., Landsman W., Holland S. T., Roming P., Kuin N. P. M., Page M. J., 2011, in McEnery J. E., Racusin J. L., Gehrels N., eds, AIP Conf. Proc. Vol. 1358, Gamma Ray Bursts 2010. Am. Inst. Phys., New York, p. 373
- Burrows D. N. et al., 2005, Space Sci. Rev., 120, 165
- Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
- Chonis T. S., Gaskell C. M., 2008, AJ, 135, 264
- Ciprini S. et al., 2014, Proc. of the Fifth International Fermi Symposium, Nagoya, Japan
- Danforth C. W., Keeney B. A., Stocke J. T., Shull J. M., Yao Y., 2010, ApJ, 720, 976
- Donato D., Ghisellini G., Tagliaferri G., Fossati G., 2001, A&A, 375, 739
- Doroshenko V. T., Sergeev S. G., Merkulova N. I., Sergeeva E. A., Golubinsky Y. V., Pronik V. I., Okhmat N. N., 2005, Astrophysics, 48, 304
- Doroshenko V. T., Efimov* Y. S., Borman G. A., Pulatova N. G., 2014, Astrophysics, 57, 176
- Falomo R., Treves A., 1990, PASP, 102, 1120
- Fitzpatrick E. L., Massa D., 2007, ApJ, 663, 320
- Fossati G., Maraschi L., Celotti A., Comastri A., Ghisellini G., 1998, MNRAS, 299, 433
- Hayashida M. et al., 2012, ApJ, 754, 114
- Ikejiri Y. et al., 2011, PASJ, 63, 639
- Kalberla P. M. W., Burton W. B., Hartmann D., Arnal E. M., Bajaja E., Morras R., Pöppel W. G. L., 2005, A&A, 440, 775
- Konigl A., 1981, ApJ, 243, 700
- Landau R. et al., 1986, ApJ, 308, 78
- Larionov V. M. et al., 2008, A&A, 492, 389
- Mason K. O. et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L36
- Massaro E., Perri M., Giommi P., Nesci R., 2004, A&A, 413, 489 Massaro F. Tramacara A. Cavaliara A. Parri M. Giommi P. 2008
- Massaro F., Tramacere A., Cavaliere A., Perri M., Giommi P., 2008, A&A, 478, 395
- Mignone A., Rossi P., Bodo G., Ferrari A., Massaglia S., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 7
- Moll R., Spruit H. C., Obergaulinger M., 2008, A&A, 492, 621
- Moretti A. et al., 2005, in Siegmund O. H. W., ed., Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 5898, UV, X-Ray, and Gamma-Ray Space Instrumentation for Astronomy XIV. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 360
- Nalewajko K., Sikora M., Madejski G. M., Exter K., Szostek A., Szczerba R., Kidger M. R., Lorente R., 2012, ApJ, 760, 69
- Orlandini M., Frontera F., Masetti N., Sguera V., Sidoli L., 2012, ApJ, 748, 86
- Ostorero L., Villata M., Raiteri C. M., 2004, A&A, 419, 913
- Perlman E. S. et al., 2005, ApJ, 625, 727
- Pian E. et al., 1999, ApJ, 521, 112
- Poole T. S. et al., 2008, MNRAS, 383, 627
- Protassov R., van Dyk D. A., Connors A., Kashyap V. L., Siemiginowska A., 2002, ApJ, 571, 545
- Raiteri C. M. et al., 1999, A&A, 352, 19
- Raiteri C. M. et al., 2006, A&A, 459, 731
- Raiteri C. M. et al., 2009, A&A, 507, 769
- Raiteri C. M. et al., 2010, A&A, 524, A43
- Raiteri C. M. et al., 2011, A&A, 534, A87
- Ravasio M., Tagliaferri G., Ghisellini G., Tavecchio F., Böttcher M., Sikora M., 2003, A&A, 408, 479
- Reimer A., Costamante L., Madejski G., Reimer O., Dorner D., 2008, ApJ, 682, 775
- Roming P. W. A. et al., 2005, Space Sci. Rev., 120, 95
- Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, ApJ, 737, 103
- Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Strüder L. et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L18
- Treves A., Falomo R., Uslenghi M., 2007, A&A, 473, L17
- Turner M. J. L. et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L27

- Urry C. M., Padovani P., 1995, PASP, 107, 803
- Villata M., Raiteri C. M., 1999, A&A, 347, 30
- Villata M., Raiteri C. M., Sillanpää A., Takalo L. O., 1998, MNRAS, 293, L13
- Villata M. et al., 2002, A&A, 390, 407
- Villata M. et al., 2006, A&A, 453, 817
- Wehrle A. E. et al., 2012, ApJ, 758, 72
- Wierzcholska A., Ostrowski M., Stawarz Ł., Wagner S., Hauser M., 2015, A&A, 573, A69
- Wilms J., Allen A., McCray R., 2000, ApJ, 542, 914

¹INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, via Osservatorio 20, I-10025 Pino Torinese, Italy

²INFN, Sezione di Pisa, Largo Pontecorvo 3, I-56127 Pisa, Italy

³Astronomical Institute, St Petersburg State University, 198504 St Petersburg, Russia

⁴Pulkovo Observatory, 196140 St Petersburg, Russia

⁵Isaac Newton Institute of Chile, St Petersburg Branch, 198504 St Petersburg. Russia

⁶Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias (IAC), La Laguna, E-38200 Tenerife, Spain

⁷Departamento de Astrofisica, Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, E-38205 Tenerife, Spain

⁸Institute of Astronomy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 72 Tsarigradsko shosse Blvd, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

⁹Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 04510 Mexico DF, Mexico

¹⁰Department of Astronomy, University of Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski", BG-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

¹¹Crimean Astrophysical Observatory, P/O Nauchny, 298409 Crimea

¹²INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania, Via S. Sofia 78, I-95123 Catania, Italy

¹³Osservatorio Astronomico della Regione Autonoma Valle d'Aosta, Saint-Barthélemy, I-11020 Nus, Italy

¹⁴EPT Observatories, Tijarafe, E-38780 La Palma, Spain,

- ¹⁵INAF, TNG Fundación Galileo Galilei, E-38712 La Palma, Spain
- ¹⁶Abastumani Observatory, Mt Kanobili, 0301 Abastumani, Georgia

¹⁷Astronomical Observatory, Volgina 7, 11060 Belgrade, Serbia

¹⁸INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, I-00040 Monte Porzio Catone, Italy

¹⁹Southern Station of the Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Moscow M.V. Lomonosov State University, P/O Nauchny, 298409 Crimea

²⁰Ulugh Beg Astronomical Institute, Maidanak Observatory, Uzbekistan ²¹INAF, Istituto di Radioastronomia, via Gobetti 101, I-40129 Bologna, Italy

²²Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 22800 Ensenada, BC, Mexico

²³Engelhardt Astronomical Observatory, Kazan Federal University, 422526 Tatarstan, Russia

²⁴Aalto University Metsähovi Radio Observatory, Metsähovintie 114, FI-02540 Kylmälä, Finland

²⁵Aalto University Department of Radio Science and Engineering, PO BOX 13000, FI-00076 AALTO, Finland

²⁶Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

²⁷Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, 72840 Puebla, Mexico

²⁸Michael Adrian Observatory, Fichtenstrasse 7, D-65468 Trebur, Germany ²⁹INFN, I-35131 Padova, Italy

³⁰INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy

³¹ETH Zurich, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

³²ISDC - Science Data Center for Astrophysics, CH-1290 Versoix, Geneva, Switzerland

³³Department of Physics, University of Colorado Denver, CO, 80217-3364 USA

This paper has been typeset from a TFX/LATFX file prepared by the author.