

Title	Evaluation of sensory loss obtained by modified-thoracoabdominal nerves block through perichondrial approach in patients undergoing gynecological laparoscopic surgery : a prospective observational study
Author(s)	Aikawa, Katsuhiro; Yokota, Isao; Maeda, Yosuke; Morimoto, Yuji
Citation	Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine, 102870 https://doi.org/10.1136/rapm-2021-102870
Issue Date	2021-07-20
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/83430
Rights	This article has been accepted for publication in Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine,2021 following peer review, and the Version of Record can be accessed online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/rapm-2021-102870.
Туре	article (author version)
File Information	Reg Anesth Pain Med rapm-2021-102870.pdf



#### Evaluation of Sensory Loss Obtained by Modified-Thoracoabdominal Nerves Block

Through Perichondrial Approach in Patients Undergoing Gynecological Laparoscopic

Surgery: A Prospective Observational Study

Katsuhiro Aikawa, MD, PhD, † Isao Yokota, PhD, MPH., ‡ Yosuke Maeda, MD, † and Yuji

Morimoto, MD, PhD<sup>†</sup>

#### From the

†Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Graduate

School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan

‡Department of Biostatistics, Faculty of Medicine and Graduate School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan

## Address correspondence to:

Katsuhiro Aikawa, MD, PhD, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Kita-15, Nishi-7, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8638, Japan

Phone/Fax: +81-11-706-7861 (Email: katsuhiro.aikawa@med.hokudai.ac.jp)

### ORCID iD

Katsuhiro Aikawa http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0871-3956

### **Running Head:**

Evaluation of Sensory Loss of M-TAPA

### Funding:

The authors have no sources of funding to declare for this research.

### **Conflicts of Interest:**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest for this research.

### Key words

modified-thoracoabdominal nerves block through perichondrial approach (M-TAPA)

thoracoabdominal nerves

### Word count:

# Trial registry number

UMIN000040296

### Abbreviations

- M-TAPA: modified-thoracoabdominal nerves block through perichondrial approach
- ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists
- IQR: interquartile range
- LA: local anesthetic
- TAP: transversus abdominis plane
- TAPB: transversus abdominis plane block

600

## 1 INTRODUCTION

- 2 Recently, Tulgar et al. reported modified-thoracoabdominal nerves block through perichondrial
- 3 approach (M-TAPA).<sup>1</sup> However, studies showing the area blocked by M-TAPA are lacking.

### **METHODS**

5	In this prospective observational study, 30 patients undergoing gynecological laparoscopic
6	surgery were recruited after obtaining ethical approval and written informed consent. Exclusion
7	criteria were: ASA $\geq$ 3, age <20 or >70 years, pregnancy, local anesthetics allergy, weight <45 kg,
8	body mass index >35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , history of thoracotomy or laparotomy except for lower abdominal
9	laparoscopic surgery, communication disorders, neuropathy, or operation time of >6 hours.
10	
11	M-TAPA technique
12	M-TAPA was performed bilaterally by a single anesthesiologist (KA) after general anesthesia
13	induction (see Figure 1A-D). The needle tip was repositioned if intramuscular spread was
14	observed.
15	
16	Sensory level assessment
17	An investigator (KA or YM) assessed sensory levels T4-L1 using a pinprick test 2 hours
18	postoperatively. The anterior and lateral cutaneous branches were evaluated at a vertical line 3-5
19	cm from the midline and midaxillary lines, respectively. A vertical force (1 newton) was
20	visualized using a tension gauge. A 3-point numerical scale ( $0 = no pain$ , $1 = decreased pain$ , $2 =$
21	normal pain) was used: values of 0 or 1 were defined as effective. Normal sensation on the

22	shoulder was used for comparison.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	

### **RESULTS**

41	The median (IQR) highest sensory level was T7 (T5-8) in the anterior and T9 (T7-10) in the
42	lateral area. Sensory loss was not observed in the lateral area in five patients. The median (IQR)
43	number of blocked dermatomes was 5 (7–4) in the anterior and 4 (5–2) in the lateral area (Figure
44	2).

#### 45 **DISCUSSION**

46 This study evaluated the sensory levels of anterolateral thoracoabdominal wall after performing M-TAPA using 25 mL of 0.25% ropivacaine and demonstrated its inconsistency. Because 10th 47 48 costal cartilage usually contacts the transversus abdominis muscle, the injected local anesthetic 49 (LA) spreads along the transversus abdominis plane (TAP). However, our results indicated that the LA pathway differed between TAP block (TAPB) and M-TAPA. Borglum et al.<sup>2</sup> reported that 50 51 LA injected to the TAP does not overcome the linea semilunaris. The anterior abdominal wall is innervated by the upper (T6–9) and lower TAP plexus (T10–12) divided by the linea semilunaris; 52 53 therefore, the hydrodissection technique<sup>3</sup> or dual TAPB<sup>4</sup> is required to simultaneously anesthetize 54 both areas. Considering the LA was injected outside the linea semilunaris, and most patients had 55 some sensory loss in the lateral area, the LA might spread laterocranially, resulting in a sensory block. Zinboonyahgoon et al.<sup>5</sup> reported that a single LA injection to the endothoracic fascial plane, 56 57 positioned immediately beneath the ribs, could achieve multi-level intercostal nerve block. Thus, 58 that compartment could be the responsible pathway of M-TAPA. LA spread of fascial plane blocks 59 is influenced by multiple factors.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, the features of the individual fascia allowing cranial 60 and lateral spread may affect the sensory area. The lower rate of dermatomal coverage of the T12 and L1 in the anterior area may be because of cranial needle direction. 61

62 This study has several limitations. Sensory areas were assessed postoperatively, and a previous

63	study demonstrated sensory ranges regressing over time. <sup>4</sup> Hence, our results may be less than the
64	maximum height and range. Furthermore, we cannot deny the existence of performance bias and
65	the potential residual effect of opioids. Because of several incisions and dressings, we could not
66	evaluate the blocked area as a plane, but only on the representative longitudinal lines.
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	
79	
80	

### 81 CONCLUSION

82	The area blocked by M-TAPA varies and provides limited dermatomal coverage than
83	previously reported. Anterior sensory coverage was marginally better than lateral coverage.
84	Future studies should investigate the corresponding fascial plane, and perioperative analgesic
85	efficiency of M-TAPA.

### 86 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 87 We would like to thank the nurses in the gynecologic surgical ward for supporting our pinprick
- tests, and Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

#### REFERENCES

- Tulgar S, Selvi O, Thomas DT, et al. Modified thoracoabdominal nerves block through
  perichondrial approach (M-TAPA) provides effective analgesia in abdominal surgery and
  is a choice for opioid sparing anesthesia. J Clin Anesth 2019;55:109.
- Børglum J, Jensen K, Christensen AF, *et al.* Distribution patterns, dermatomal anesthesia,
  and ropivacaine serum concentrations after bilateral dual transversus abdominis plane
  block. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2012;37:294-301.
- 95 3 Hebbard PD, Barrington MJ, Vasey C. Ultrasound-guided continuous oblique subcostal
  96 transversus abdominis plane blockade: description of anatomy and clinical technique. *Reg*97 *Anesth Pain Med* 2010;35:436-41.
- 4 Lee TH, Barrington MJ, Tran TM, et al. Comparison of extent of sensory block following
  posterior and subcostal approaches to ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane
  block. Anaesth Intensive Care 2010;38:452-60.
- 101 5 Zinboonyahgoon N, Luksanapruksa P, Piyaselakul S, et al. The ultrasound-guided
   102 proximal intercostal block: anatomical study and clinical correlation to analgesia for breast
   103 surgery. BMC Anesthesiol 2019;19:94.
- Black ND, Stecco C, Chan VWS. Fascial Plane Blocks: More Questions Than Answers?
   *Anesth Analg* 2021;132:899-905.

### 106 **LEGENDS for figures**

### 107 **Figure 1:** M-TAPA technique

- 108 A: A high-frequency linear probe (6–13 MHz) is placed in the sagittal direction at the 10<sup>th</sup> costal
- 109 margin, and transversus abdominis, internal oblique, and external oblique muscles are identified.
- 110 B: An 8-cm 18G Tuohy needle is inserted in the cranial direction using an in-plane technique. C:
- 111 The needle tip is moved to the posterior aspect of the 10<sup>th</sup> costal cartilage, following 1 mL of
- saline injection to confirm the correct spread. D: Subsequently, 25 mL of 0.25% ropivacaine is
- administered along with an intermittent aspiration test. It should be noted that the needle tip never
- 114 proceeded over the cranial edge of the  $10^{\text{th}}$  costal cartilage.
- 115 Abbreviations: C, costal cartilage. EOM, external oblique muscle. IOM, internal oblique muscle.
- 116 TAM, transversus abdominis muscle. LA: local anesthetics.
- 117 The white triangle symbolizes the 18G Touhy needle.
- 118
- 119 **Figure 2:** Percentages of successful blocks in each dermatome.



#### Anterior Area

#### Lateral Area

