

Literature Review

Cite this article: Andrews G, Flood T, and Shepherd P. (2021) A narrative review exploring the professional practice training requirement of therapeutic radiographers undertaking effective remote/telephone review clinics in place of face-to-face radiographer-led review clinics during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Radiotherapy in Practice* page 1 of 5. doi: [10.1017/S1460396921000613](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1460396921000613)

Received: 29 June 2021
Revised: 5 October 2021
Accepted: 13 October 2021

Key words:

Radiotherapy; telephone review; on-treatment review; telehealth

Author for correspondence:

Paul Shepherd OBE, School of Health Sciences, Ulster University, Jordanstown Campus, Newtownabbey, County Antrim, BT37 0QB, UK. Email: PH.Shepherd@ulster.ac.uk

A narrative review exploring the professional practice training requirement of therapeutic radiographers undertaking effective remote/telephone review clinics in place of face-to-face radiographer-led review clinics during the COVID-19 pandemic

Gemma Andrews¹ , Terri Flood²  and Paul Shepherd² 

¹Northern Ireland Cancer Centre, Belfast City Hospital, Belfast, BT9 7JL, UK and ²School of Health Sciences, Ulster University, Jordanstown Campus, Newtownabbey, County Antrim, BT37 0QB, UK

Abstract

Background and purpose: The COVID-19 pandemic has led to the introduction of alternative on-treatment and post-treatment radiographer-led review clinics in an attempt to protect patients, staff and the public. Pre-COVID, patient reviews were routinely undertaken face-to-face, led by therapeutic review radiographers with advanced practice qualifications and skills in radiotherapy symptom management, triage, referral and support services. During the COVID-19 pandemic, an alternative option has been to follow-up in the form of telephone reviews to reduce face-to-face exposure whilst continuing to manage patient radiotherapy treatment-related toxicities. The aim of the narrative review is to explore the subject of telephone reviews and how therapeutic review radiographers might need to adapt communication skills so that they can continue to effectively assess and manage radiotherapy patient treatment reactions remotely. **Method and discussion:** A narrative review was conducted using the SCOPUS database and 28 publications were included from 2013 to 2021. The review highlights a paucity of literature exploring specific telephone training for radiographers and other allied healthcare professionals. Experiences within medical and nursing programmes demonstrate that development and integration of training in this area is critical in preparing for patient interaction via telephone. **Conclusion and implications for practice:** Multiple teaching modalities including simulation are ideal for teaching telephone-specific skills and content, demonstrating improvement in student knowledge, competence and confidence. Less is known regarding whether this knowledge translates to an improved patient experience. Enhancements in education and training, guided by the Health and Care Professions Council, may be warranted to ensure that patients continue to receive the optimal quality of care in a world where remote reviews are likely to become commonplace. Patient-reported outcome measures might be utilized for future training evaluations to ensure that effective patient care is being maintained.

Introduction

Therapeutic radiographers undertaking on-treatment review clinics provide continuity of care for radiotherapy patients and reduce workloads for clinical oncologists and registrars.¹ Radiographer-led review clinics help the patient fully understand the written information regarding their treatment and assure them that their radiotherapy is integrated correctly into their overall treatment plan. Radiographers monitor patients' radiotherapy tolerance, reactions and manage treatment-related toxicity including providing advice and treatment regarding radiation reactions.¹ They co-ordinate the multidisciplinary team and make appropriate referrals to the Clinical Research Radiographer, Information and Support Radiographer, Macmillan Support and Information Service and a variety of allied health professionals. Since the existence of COVID-19, these beneficial clinics have had to adapt to ensure patients continue to receive information and support during their treatment while limiting their potential exposure to COVID-19.

Telephone reviews for patients are not novel and indeed pre-COVID-19 were becoming an increasingly common feature in the modern healthcare setting.² 'Telemedicine' is described as activities involving 'two-way, real-time interactive communication between the patient and practitioner at a distant site'.³ They consist of three phases: gathering information, cognitive processing and output.³ This template is similar to the traditional structured face-to-face interviews. Nowadays, in the post-COVID world, upwards of a quarter of all care consultations are

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Table 1. SCOPUS database search strategy and hits

Cohort	(OR) AND	(OR)
Keywords	Telephone	Teaching
	Telehealth	Training
	Telemedicine	Student
237 articles identified in initial search		
28 articles included in final review		

conducted by telephone.⁴ One study suggests that telephone reviews can effectively replace face-to-face reviews in roughly 10% of cases²; the concern is that for the other 90%, telephone may not be effective, with few alternatives amid a pandemic. A telephone review may not be as satisfying for a patient compared to a face-to-face consultation.⁵

The aim of the narrative review is to explore the subject of telephone reviews and how therapeutic review radiographers might need to adapt communication skills so that they can continue to effectively assess and manage radiotherapy patient treatment reactions remotely.

Method

The narrative literature review examines literature regarding telephone reviews and specific training/teaching of associated skills. The SCOPUS database was searched using a variety of title keyword combinations (Table 1). While telephone review is not always regarded as telehealth,⁶ due to the wide variability in definition, the keywords 'telehealth' and 'telemedicine' were included to capture publications of all types which discussed skills/training required in healthcare telephone reviews. The date range was set from 2013 to January 2021 to include more recent publications. Articles were rejected if they were not related to the healthcare system or had literature related only to virtual consultations. A total of 237 article abstracts were reviewed with 94 of the publications read in full. Twenty-one articles were deemed relevant to the discussion and were included along with seven additional references which were discovered from within the 21 articles. A total of 28 publications are included in the review. The authors acknowledge that the narrative review, by its very nature, is not a systematic review and therefore provides only a focused sample of literature on the subject, however, it does serve to inspire debate and deepen understanding.

Discussion

Health professionals' experience of telehealth review

Gupta (2013)⁵ commented that essential information for forming a diagnosis could be missed through telephone consultations, due to the absence of nonverbal communication such as facial expressions, body language and eye contact. The absence of these cues provides unique barriers to communication and the inability of reviewers to exercise professional skill and judgement.⁷ Consequently, review radiographers can no longer rely on eye contact and body language to read patients' comprehension and satisfaction, but instead they must rely on subtle changes in the tone of the patient's voice. Differences in communication patterns such as pace and type of discourse, and reliance on visual cues by both

provider and patient, especially in communicating empathy,⁸ can increase the risk for distractions, misunderstandings and difficulty in building rapport with a patient. Background noises and service system failures are other complications that can make telephone interactions challenging.⁹ Furthermore, the modest handshake that a reviewer would normally use to greet a patient and convey respect can no longer be practised.

Some patients can show reluctance to convey their issues through a telephone review. Patients demand time, information and want their questions to be answered. They expect politeness, empathy and human touch¹⁰ but it can be extremely challenging to recognise and understand feelings through the tone of a patient's voice and empathise accordingly. A qualitative study of 15 patients by Knudsen et al. (2018)¹¹ reported that while many patients enjoyed the flexibility and convenience of telephone reviews, some patients found it easier and more comfortable to talk about their problems face-to-face. These 'reluctant patients', as they were categorised by Knudsen et al., valued the interrelatedness, feeling that the contact served to build a closer relationship with their health professionals and viewed telephone communication as a reduced form of conversation, leading to a distanced relationship. The study found that in these patients there was a perception that the telephone follow-up benefited the system rather than the individual and they felt that they were treated as a 'number' rather than a person.¹¹ Attila (2017)¹² interviewed 58 physicians, mainly radiologists, discovering that radiologists too felt that telephone reviews depersonalised the doctor-patient relationship, often to the point where it almost terminates, resulting in incomplete clinical information being acquired. In contrast to these findings, Rodler et al. (2020),¹³ who received survey responses from 92 of 101 uro-oncologic patients (92% response rate) regarding telehealth during the COVID-19 pandemic, found this consultation method to be highly accepted. They acknowledged that while hearing impairment was a barrier in telehealth, virtual communication and help from relatives aided in alleviating this barrier. However, the majority of patients felt that this method of review would not be favourable after the acute crisis of COVID-19 has passed.¹³ Therefore this study, in alignment with the previous studies, demonstrates that patients feel that face-to-face reviews are very important, particularly where treatment decisions are being made. The study determined that patients ultimately value personal interactions with health professionals and concluded that telephone reviews should be balanced between the need for distancing and sustaining personal patient relationships which is also applicable to patients undergoing radiotherapy and attending radiographer-led treatment review clinics.

Interestingly, Larson et al. (2018),¹⁴ who conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of the use of telehealth reviews with adult cancer patients, concluded that telehealth reviews in conjunction with supplementary interventions, are at least as effective at improving quality of life scores in patients undergoing cancer treatment as in-person reviews. Eleven eligible studies were included where a measurable global quality of life scale or questionnaire was utilized.¹⁴ They concluded that an added benefit of telehealth reviews was the ability to reach patients in rural locations who may struggle to attend in-person appointments. A limitation of the review was the small number of studies and the fact that 6 of the 11 included studies involved only breast cancer patients, the findings may therefore not truly reflect the experiences of patients with a diversity of complex issues associated with a variety of cancer diagnoses.

Health professional training to address telephone review skills

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) Standards of proficiency for radiographers, paragraph 8, state that radiographers must be able to communicate effectively. Health professionals routinely receive training in communication skills, but this does not necessarily include the specificities of telephone communication.¹⁵ Some of the reviewed literature, mainly related to medical training, have assessed the short-term effect of interventions aimed at improving telephone consultation skills.

Medical training

Saba et al. (2014)¹⁶ studied and assessed the outcomes of a specific model of phone communication training within healthcare, implementing a 'Telephone Follow-up Curriculum' which encouraged students' patient-centred skills. Students and faculty both reported improvement in students' understanding of, and attitudes towards telephone follow-up. The majority of students agreed or strongly agreed that they learned about patient health behaviours (77%), that their ability to provide patient education improved (71%), and that these communication skills will be relevant to future patient encounters (94%).¹⁶ More recently, Seale et al. (2019)¹⁵ conducted a study with 50 undergraduate medical students where half of the students undertook specific telephone training (combination of 1 h session including PowerPoint and a practical component) followed by a short simulation session 7–14 days later. All 25 students felt positive about the learning experience and felt they would use aspects of the training in their role as junior doctors. Compared to the untrained group, students felt more confident and prioritised their job list in alignment with the clinical skills team.¹⁵ However, some gaps were still identified in the trained group and the authors concluded that more extensive education and practise of these skills was required. All students agreed that this specific telephone teaching was an important component and should be added as a standard within medical programmes.¹⁵

Hindman et al. (2020),¹⁷ using a convenience sample of third-year medical students, divided students into a control and intervention group where the intervention involved teaching of specific telephone medicine curriculum. Students in the intervention group had a significantly higher mean score on a simulated Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) compared to the control group. Jonas et al.¹⁸ also piloted a tailored telehealth curriculum for 149 third-year medical students finding similar results. McDaniel et al.¹⁹ developed telephone triage curriculum for their 3rd and 4th year medical students (74 students) where students self-reported that this teaching increased their knowledge (73%) and was engaging (86%). Other authors who have provided evidence-based models for integration of telehealth curriculum into their medical programmes include Pathipati et al.,²⁰ Rienits et al.,²¹ Vasquez-Cevallos²² and Walker et al.²³

Omoruyi et al. (2018)²⁴ explored the challenges of telecommunication for patients requiring interpretation due to language barriers and stressed the need for educational interventions, which consider this aspect of patient care. They specifically looked at the impact of introducing a 1-hour interactive educational intervention to medical students regarding issues related to live versus remote interpreter management. Utilising control and intervention cohorts, they reviewed students' telephone interpreter skills using actual patient encounters post-intervention. In comparison to the control groups, the intervention group felt more competent,

provided clearer information at the optimal pace and excelled in closing out conversations appropriately.

Veterinary training

Sheats et al.²⁵ who analysed 25 phone calls of final year veterinary students, also identified gaps in their telephone communication, concluding that specific education is needed to improve case review, preparation in addressing questions or concerns, following of organisational protocols along with listening and reflection skills related to demonstration of empathy. Grevemeyer et al.²⁶ stress the importance of specific telephone training for veterinary students and discuss their programme which incorporates the Calgary–Cambridge Guide (CCG) as a conceptual framework for this tailored communication skills training which includes practically simulated telephone communication.

Nurse training

Chike-Harris²⁷ discusses the integration of telehealth into a nursing programme curriculum using eight competencies provided by the National Organisation of Nurse Practitioner Faculties for telehealth education. Telehealth curriculum including didactic and simulated learning was integrated into all years of the nursing programme. Student pre-test/post-tests scores increased significantly and the feedback from students was very positive. The potential requirement for early education in this area of practice was evidenced by Glinkowski et al.²⁸ who found, through surveying nursing students, that only the final year students believed telenursing may improve nursing practice, years 1 and 2 students may require further education regarding the benefits. Lister et al. (2018)²⁹ and List et al. (2019)³⁰ through modifying their nursing curriculum to include telehealth, demonstrated that even small modifications to the existing curriculum can improve student confidence in this aspect of their practice. Other nursing programmes incorporating telehealth simulation have also demonstrated improvement knowledge gain through this adaptation of curriculum³¹. Rutledge et al.,⁶ based on their experience, outline a range of comprehensive topics and techniques which they advocate including in telehealth nursing curriculum.

Allied health professional (AHP) training

Few studies in the narrative review included AHP-specific telephone skills training. Gustin et al.³² describe the implementation of online learning and simulated training within an interprofessional module including advanced practice nurses, graduate health professionals and undergraduate dental hygiene students (103 participants). This intervention demonstrated improvement in all aspects of knowledge and students scored higher in their simulation encounters post-intervention. However, it should be noted that this education focused more on video communication rather than telephone communication though arguably these skills would apply to both scenarios. Gustin et al.³² conclude that, based on student comments and pre-intervention knowledge scores, there is a strong need for training in telehealth in all healthcare professions. The study supports the notion that telehealth etiquette is not intuitive and needs to be formally taught as part of all healthcare programmes. Randell et al.³³ also assessed a telehealth intervention as part of an interprofessional curriculum including occupational therapists, physiotherapists and student nurse practitioners. They concluded that the intervention resulted in increasingly positive perceptions regarding the use of telehealth for patient review though qualitative student data indicated that students perceived telehealth as 'an added barrier to interacting with the patient

and with other members of the team' (p. 350).³³ Randell et al. also focused on video communication rather than telephone communication.

The narrative review demonstrates that while there may be a limited number of publications specifically addressing telephone skills training in allied health professions, including therapeutic radiography, there is a strong need for specific high-quality training in the area. While telehealth, including telephone skills training, is increasingly being integrated into healthcare programmes,³⁴ this appears to be mainly occurring in medical and nursing programmes. Publications reviewed consistently demonstrate that the development and integration of evidence-based telephone skills training, improves student confidence, awareness, knowledge and skills in this area of practice.⁴ Ideally the training might be incorporated into all years of undergraduate therapeutic radiography programmes¹⁶ with a combination of multiple teaching modalities including didactic and simulated teaching.^{4,35} Simulated teaching ideally would involve the use of standardised patients and cover areas including the importance of 'appearance, distractors, privacy, nonverbal and verbal communication and strategies to effectively express empathy' (p. 89).³² This tailored learning may be ideally placed in interprofessional modules throughout the curriculum as all allied health professionals need to develop effective communication skills.

While the majority of studies focused on the student outcomes and demonstrated very positive findings, very few studies actually explored how the learning translated to the quality of patient experience. More research might be undertaken to assess current therapeutic radiography training with direct assessment of its impact on patient care. Moving forward, aptly chosen patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) may prove useful to enable evaluation of long-term retention of skills and tangible benefits to patient quality of care. Few studies to date have captured the experiences of patients post-training and determined the true benefit of training to the patient experience and wellbeing.

There is an opportunity within telehealth to enhance access to care, quality of care and satisfaction for both patients and reviewers.¹³ While health professionals routinely receive training in communication and reviewing skills, this does not necessarily include the specificities of telephone communication. Telephone reviews require the same degree of thoroughness and careful clinical judgment as face-to-face consultations. The standards of education and training for higher education programmes might be refreshed by the HCPC to ensure that student radiographers are achieving refreshed standards of proficiency in this dimension of communication. The student standards of proficiency might be evidenced through simulated practice moderated by experienced therapeutic radiographers.³⁶

It is well established that therapeutic radiographers should be well prepared, engaged and courteous when undertaking reviews. They should be friendly and warm and not make patients feel rushed.³⁷ Asking open-ended questions, effective listening, appropriate praise, providing enough information as part of advice and finally checking the patient's understanding, are all key areas of communication during a review.¹⁰ Ultimately, therapeutic review radiographers may need further training in telehealth to enhance their communication skills and so make up for the lack of visual stimuli.³⁸ Understanding the barriers that inhibit radiographer and patient communication can provide an opportunity to eliminate them. Radiographers need to acquire telehealth consultation skills in order to thrive in an increasingly pressurised health system that demands the delivery of high-quality, high-volume health care

within the confines of a shrinking health care workforce. The distinct differences between telehealth and face-to-face consultations necessitate a need to provide guidelines and recommendations to educate both health professionals and patients on how they can best participate in telephone reviews.³⁷ The use of competency-based training for telephone reviews will facilitate high-quality, safe and effective consultations³⁹ especially if telehealth becomes the new post-COVID-19 normal.

Conclusion

On-treatment reviews, whether face-to-face or on the telephone, between therapeutic review radiographers and patients are about human connection and engagement and should always be viewed as such to provide compassionate, high-quality care to patients and their loved ones.⁴⁰ Telephone reviews can be convenient and effectively resourceful for both radiographers and patients, but communication barriers do exist which can limit the ability of telehealth to match certain aspects of the face-to-face review clinics. The long-term effects, such as the apparent safety afforded by home-based reviews during the pandemic and the effectiveness of telephone communication for patients undergoing radiotherapy, are yet to be fully realised.⁴¹ Multiple teaching modalities including simulation are ideal for teaching telephone-specific skills and content, demonstrating improvement in student knowledge, competence and confidence.

Perhaps there is a requirement for a nationwide consultation event to seek the views of radiographers, stakeholders and service users regarding how to strengthen the standards of proficiency aligned to telehealth/telephone communication.³⁶ Future studies might focus on investigating further the type of teaching methods needed whilst developing telephone consultation models and validating assessment tools.⁴² PROMs may be a useful undertaking for future training evaluations to help determine that effective patient care is being maintained. Given that remote consultation is becoming more prevalent, it would appear to be good practice to develop and enhance the telehealth curriculum in therapeutic radiography.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the staff of the Northern Ireland Cancer Centre, Belfast Health and Social Care Trust, for their support.

Financial Support. This study received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest. None.

References

1. Murray S, Gillece TM, Shepherd PH. Evaluating the effectiveness of the clinical research radiographer undertaking the on-treatment review of clinical trial patients receiving radiotherapy for prostate cancer. *J Radiother Pract* 2019; 18 (2): 123–126.
2. Gonzalez F, Cimadevila B, Garcia-Comesaña J et al. Telephone consultation in primary care: a retrospective two-year observational analysis of a public healthcare system. *J Health Organ Manage* 2018; 32 (2): 321–337.
3. Chaet D, Clearfield R, Sabin JE, Skimming K, on behalf of the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs American Medical Association. Ethical practice in Telehealth and Telemedicine. *J Gen Intern Med* 2017; 32 (10): 1136–1140.
4. Vaona A, Pappas Y, Grewal RS, Ajaz M, Majeed A, Car J. Training interventions for improving telephone consultation skills in clinicians. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 1 (1): CD010034.

5. Gupta SG. Tips for telephone and electronic medical consultation. *Indian J Pediatr* 2013; 80 (11): 944–948.
6. Rutledge CM, Kott K, Schweickert PA, Poston R, Fowler C, Haney TS. Telehealth and eHealth in nurse practitioner training: current perspectives. *Adv Med Educ Pract* 2017; 8: 399.
7. Irvine A, Drew P, Bower P et al. Are there interactional differences between telephone and face-to-face psychological therapy? A systematic review of comparative studies. *J Affective Disord* 2020; 265: 120–131.
8. Henry BW, Block DE, Ciesla JR, McGowan BA, Vozenilek JA. Clinician behaviors in telehealth care delivery: a systematic review. *Adv Health Sci Educ* 2017; 22 (4): 869–888.
9. Yliluoma P, Palonen M. Telenurses' experiences of interaction with patients and family members: nurse–caller interaction via telephone. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2020; 34 (3): 675–683.
10. Shendurnikar N, Thakkar PA. Communication skills to ensure patient satisfaction. *Indian J Pediatr* 2013; 80 (11): 938–943.
11. Knudsen LR, de Thurah A, Lomborg K. Experiences with Telehealth followup in patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Qualitative Interview Study. *Arthritis Care Res* 2018; 70 (9): 1366–1372.
12. Attila B. The impact of telemedicine on the development of doctor-patient relationship based on interviews conducted among physicians. *Lege Artis Med* 2017; 27 (4–5): 186–192.
13. Rodler S, Apfelbeck M, Schulz GB et al. Telehealth in Uro-oncology beyond the pandemic: toll or lifesaver? *Eur Urol Focus* 2020; 6 (5): 1097–1103.
14. Larson JL, Rosen AB, Wilson FA. The effect of telehealth interventions on quality of life of cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Telemed e-Health* 2018; 24 (6): 397–405.
15. Seale J, Ragbourne SC, Purkiss Bejarano N et al. Training final year medical students in telephone communication and prioritization skills: an evaluation in the simulated environment. *Med Teach* 2019; 41 (9): 1023–1028.
16. Saba GW, Chou CL, Satterfield J et al. Teaching patient-centered communication skills: a telephone follow-up curriculum for medical students. *Med Educ Online* 2014; 19: 22522.
17. Hindman DJ, Kochis SR, Apfel A et al. Improving medical students' OSCE performance in telehealth: the effects of a telephone medicine curriculum. *Acad Med* 2020; 95 (12): 1908–1912.
18. Jonas CE, Durning SJ, Zebrowski C, Cimino F. An interdisciplinary, multi-institution telehealth course for third-year medical students. *Acad Med* 2019; 94 (6): 833–837.
19. McDaniel LM, Molloy M, Hindman DJ et al. Phone it in: a medical student primer on telemedicine consultation in pediatrics. *MedEdPORTAL* 2021; 17: 11067.
20. Pathipati AS, Azad TD, Jethwani K. Telemedical education: training digital natives in telemedicine. *J Med Internet Res* 2016; 18 (7): e193.
21. Rienits H, Teuss G, Bonney A. Teaching telehealth consultation skills. *Clin Teach* 2016; 13 (2): 119–123.
22. Vasquez-Cevallos L, Bobokova J, Bautista-Valarezo E, Dávalos-Batallas V, Hernando ME. Telemedicine in medical training in Ecuador. In: 2017 IEEE Second Ecuador Technical Chapters Meeting (ETCM). USA: IEEEExplore, 2017: 1–5.
23. Walker C, Echternacht H, Brophy PD. Model for medical student introductory telemedicine education. *Telemed e-Health* 2019; 25 (8): 717–723.
24. Omoruyi EA, Dunkle J, Dendy C, McHugh E, Barratt MS. Cross talk: evaluation of a curriculum to teach medical students how to use telephone interpreter services. *Acad Pediatr* 2018; 18 (2): 214–219.
25. Sheats MK, Hammond S, Kedrowicz AA. Analysis of final year veterinary students' telephone communication skills at a veterinary teaching hospital. *Vet Sci* 2018; 5 (4): 99.
26. Grevemeyer B, Betance L, Artemiou E. A telephone communication skills exercise for veterinary students: experiences, challenges, and opportunities. *J Vet Medical Educ* 2016; 43 (2): 126–134.
27. Chike-Harris KE. Telehealth education of nurse practitioner students. *J Nurse Pract* 2021; 17 (3): 310–316.
28. Glinkowski W, Pawłowska K, Kozłowska L. Telehealth and telenursing perception and knowledge among university students of nursing in Poland. *Telemed e-Health* 2013; 19 (7): 523–529.
29. Lister M, Vaughn J, Brennan-Cook J, Molloy M, Kuszajewski M, Shaw RJ. Telehealth and telenursing using simulation for pre-licensure USA students. *Nurse Educ Pract* 2018; 29: 59–63.
30. List BA, Saxon R, Lehman D, Frank C, Toole KP. Improving telehealth knowledge in nurse practitioner training for rural and underserved populations. *J Nurs Educ* 2019; 58 (1): 57–60.
31. Mennenga HA, Johansen L, Foerster B, Tschetter L. Using simulation to improve student and faculty knowledge of telehealth and rural characteristics. *Nurs Educ Perspect* 2016; 37 (5): 287–288.
32. Gustin TS, Kott K, Rutledge C. Telehealth etiquette training: a guideline for preparing interprofessional teams for successful encounters. *Nurse Educ* 2020; 45 (2): 88–92.
33. Randall K, Steinheider B, Isaacson M et al. Measuring knowledge, acceptance, and perceptions of telehealth in an interprofessional curriculum for student nurse practitioners, occupational therapists, and physical therapists. *J Interact Learn Res* 2016; 27 (4): 339–353.
34. Camhi SS, Herweck A, Perone H. Telehealth training is essential to care for underserved populations: a medical student perspective. *Med Sci Educ* 2020; 30 (3): 1287–1290.
35. Stovel RG, Gabarin N, Cavalcanti RB, Abrams H. Curricular needs for training telemedicine physicians: a scoping review. *Med Teach* 2020; 42 (11): 1234–1242.
36. Chambers M, Hickey G, Borghini G, McKeown R. Preparation for Practice: The Role of the HCPC's Standards of Education and Training in Ensuring that Newly Qualified Professionals are Fit to Practice. London: Faculty of Health, Social Care and Education Kingston University and St George's, University of London, 2009.
37. Vidal-Alaball J, Acosta-Roja R, Pastor Hernández N et al. Telemedicine in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Aten Primaria* 2020; 52 (6): 418–422.
38. Rothwell E, Ellington L, Planalp S, Crouch B. Exploring challenges to telehealth communication by specialists in poison information. *Qual Health Res* 2012; 22 (1): 67–75.
39. Lum E, van Galen LS, Car J. Competency-based training for entrustment in telehealth consultations. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2020; 67 (4): 735–757.
40. Houchens N, Tipirneni R. Compassionate communication amid the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Hosp Med* 2020; 15 (7): 437–439.
41. Leung MST, Lin SG, Chow J, Harky A. COVID-19 and Oncology: service transformation during pandemic. *Cancer Med* 2020; 9 (19): 7161–7171.
42. Van Galen LS, Wang CJ, Nanayakkara PW, Paranjape K, Kramer MH, Car J. Telehealth requires expansion of physicians' communication competencies training. *Med Teach* 2019; 41 (6): 714–715.