



TITLE:

Approximate point spectra of  $S_m$ -complex symmetric operators and others (Research on structure of operators by order and related topics)

AUTHOR(S):

Chō, Muneo; Saito, Taiga

---

CITATION:

Chō, Muneo ...[et al]. Approximate point spectra of  $S_m$ -complex symmetric operators and others (Research on structure of operators by order and related topics). 数理解析研究所講義録 2021, 2202: 1-8

ISSUE DATE:

2021-10

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/266241>

RIGHT:

# Approximate point spectra of $m$ -complex symmetric operators and others

Muneo Chō<sup>1</sup> and Taiga Saito<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

Let  $C$  be a conjugation on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of unit vectors, then so is  $\{Cx_n\}$ . Under the assumption such that  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), we show spectral properties concerning with a sequence  $\{Cx_n\}$  of unit vectors.

## 1 Introduction and conjugation

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . First we introduce a conjugation  $C$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space. For a mapping  $C : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is said to be *antilinear* if

$$C(ax + by) = \bar{a}Cx + \bar{b}Cy \quad (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}).$$

An antilinear operator  $C$  is said to be a *conjugation* if

$$C^2 = I \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Cx, Cy \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle \quad (\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}).$$

If  $C$  is a conjugation, then  $\|Cx\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $C$  is isometric. In this paper, when a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of unit vectors satisfies  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), we show spectral properties concerning with a sequence  $\{Cx_n\}$  of unit vectors.

## 2 $m$ -Complex symmetric operator

Let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  be the set of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 2.1** An operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be  *$m$ -complex symmetric* if

---

This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, a Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

$$\delta_m(T; C) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} T^{*j} \cdot CT^{m-j}C = 0.$$

It holds that  $\delta_m(T; C) \cdot (CTC) - T^* \cdot \delta_m(T; C) = \delta_{m+1}(T; C)$ .

Hence, if  $T$  is  $m$ -complex symmetric, then  $T$  is  $n$ -complex symmetric for all  $n \geq m$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -complex symmetric operator and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of unit vectors. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , if  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then  $\langle (T - \lambda)^m Cx_n, Cx_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Hence, if  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $\langle (T - \lambda)^m Cx, Cx \rangle = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $C(T - \lambda)^m C = -\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} (T^{*j} - \bar{\lambda}^j) CT^{m-j}C$ , it holds

$$\langle (T - \lambda)^m Cx_n, Cx_n \rangle = -\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} \langle (T^j - \lambda^j)x_n, CT^{m-j}Cx_n \rangle.$$

Hence we have Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3** *Under the assumption of Theorem 2.2, we have:*

$$(1) \langle (T^* - \bar{\lambda})^m x_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(2) \langle (T^k - \lambda^k)Cx_n, Cx_n \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Example 2.4** Let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Cx = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then for a vector  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , it holds  $Tx = 0$ . But since  $Cx = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have

$$\langle TCx, Cx \rangle = 1 \neq 0.$$

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -complex symmetric operator and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of unit vectors. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $(T^* - \lambda)^m Cx_n \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, if  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $(T^* - \lambda)^m Cx = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$  and

$$C(T^* - \lambda)^m C = -\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} CT^{*m-j}C(T^j - \lambda^j),$$

we have

$$(T^* - \lambda)^m Cx_n = \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} CT^{*m-j}C(T^j - \lambda^j)x_n.$$

Therefore we have Theorem 2.5.  $\square$

### 3 $[m, C]$ -Symmetric operator

**Definition 3.1** An operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be  $[m, C]$ -symmetric if

$$\alpha_m(T; C) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} CT^{m-j}C \cdot T^j = 0.$$

Then it holds  $(CTC) \cdot \alpha_m(T; C) - \alpha_m(T; C) \cdot T = \alpha_{m+1}(T; C)$ .

Hence, if  $T$  is  $[m, C]$ -symmetric, then  $T$  is  $[n, C]$ -complex symmetric for all  $n \geq m$ .

Also if  $T$  is  $[m, C]$ -symmetric, then so is  $T^*$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $T$  be  $[m, C]$ -symmetric and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of unit vectors. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , if  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $(T - \bar{\lambda})^m Cx_n \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, if, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $(T - \bar{\lambda})^m Cx = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T^*$  is  $[m, C]$ -symmetric,  $\alpha_m(T^*, C) = 0$  and

$$\alpha_m(T^*, C)^* = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} T^{m-j} \cdot CT^j C = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left( \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} T^{m-j} \cdot CT^j C \right) Cx_n \\ &= (T - \bar{\lambda})^m Cx_n + \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} T^{m-j} \cdot (CT^j C - \bar{\lambda}^j) Cx_n. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

If  $T$  is  $[m, C]$ -symmetric, then so is  $T^k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [4]). Hence we have following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3** Under the assumption of Theorem 3.2, it holds

$$\|(T^k - \bar{\lambda}^k)^m Cx_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 3.4** Let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 1 \\ 1 & -2i \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Cx = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Then  $CTC =$

$T$  and  $T$  is  $[1, C]$ -symmetric. For an eigenvalue  $\sqrt{3}i$  and an eigen-vector  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ (\sqrt{3} - 2)i \end{pmatrix}$ , it holds

$$(T - \sqrt{3}i)Cx = \begin{pmatrix} 4\sqrt{3} - 6 \\ -2\sqrt{3}i \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ and } (T + \sqrt{3}i)Cx = 0.$$

## 4 Skew $m$ -complex operator

**Definition 4.1** An operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be *skew  $m$ -complex symmetric* if

$$\gamma_m(T; C) = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} T^{*j} \cdot CT^{m-j}C = 0.$$

Since it holds that

$$T^* \cdot \gamma_m(T; C) + \gamma_m(T; C) \cdot CTC = \gamma_{m+1}(T; C),$$

if  $T$  is skew  $m$ -complex symmetric, then  $T$  is skew  $n$ -complex symmetric for all  $n \geq m$ .

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $T$  be a skew  $m$ -complex symmetric operator and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of unit vectors. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , if  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then  $\langle (T + \lambda)^m Cx_n, Cx_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Hence, if  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $\langle (T + \lambda)^m Cx, Cx \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $C(T + \lambda)^m C = \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} \bar{\lambda}^j \cdot CT^{m-j}C$ ,

$$\langle (T + \lambda)^m Cx_n, Cx_n \rangle = - \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} \langle (T^j - \lambda^j)x_n, CT^{m-j}Cx_n \rangle \quad \square$$

**Example 4.3** If  $T$  is  $m$ -complex symmetric, then so is  $T^n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But there exists a skew 1-complex symmetric operator  $T$  such that  $T^2$  is not skew 1-complex symmetric. For example, let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 0 \\ 0 & -1-i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Cx = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{x_2} \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Then it is easy to see  $CTC = \begin{pmatrix} -1+i & 0 \\ 0 & 1-i \end{pmatrix} = -T^*$  and hence  $T$  is skew 1-complex symmetric. But since  $T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 0 \\ 0 & 2i \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $CT^2C = T^{2*}$  and hence  $T^2$  is complex symmetric and not skew 1-complex symmetric.

**Theorem 4.4** Let  $T$  be a skew  $m$ -complex symmetric operator and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of unit vectors. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , if  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then  $(T^* + \bar{\lambda})^m Cx_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Hence, if  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $\langle (T^* + \bar{\lambda})^m Cx, Cx \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $(CT^jC - \bar{\lambda}^j)Cx_n \rightarrow 0$  and

$$C(\gamma_m(T; C))^*C = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} T^{*m-j} \cdot CT^{m-j}C,$$

it holds

$$0 = (T^* + \bar{\lambda})^m Cx_n + \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} T^{*m-j} \cdot (CT^j C - \bar{\lambda}^j) Cx_n.$$

Hence, we have Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5** *Let  $T$  be skew  $m$ -complex symmetric. Then:*

- (1) *If  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ , then  $-\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_a(T^*)$ .*
- (2) *If  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ , then  $-\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(T^*)$ .*

By Theorem 4.4 since  $0 \in \sigma_a((T^* + \bar{\lambda})^m)$ , by the spectral mapping theorem of the approximate point spectrum,  $0 \in \sigma_a(T^* + \bar{\lambda})$  and hence  $-\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_a(T^*)$ .

## 5 Skew $[m, C]$ -symmetric operator

**Definition 5.1** An operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be *skew  $[m, C]$ -symmetric* if

$$\zeta_m(T; C) := \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} CT^{m-j} C \cdot T^j = 0.$$

It holds  $CTC \cdot \zeta_m(T; C) + \zeta_m(T; C) \cdot T = \zeta_{m+1}(T; C)$ .

Therefore if  $T$  is skew  $[m, C]$ -symmetric, then  $T$  is skew  $[n, C]$ -symmetric for all  $n \geq m$ . If  $T$  is skew  $[m, C]$ -symmetric, then it holds

$$0 = C(\zeta_m(T; C))^* C = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} CT^{*j} C \cdot T^{*m-j} = \zeta_m(T^*; C)$$

and hence so is  $T^*$ .

**Theorem 5.2** *Let  $T$  be a skew  $[m, C]$ -symmetric operator and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of unit vectors. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , if  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $(T^* + \bar{\lambda})^m Cx_n \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, if  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $(T^* + \bar{\lambda})^m Cx = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $C(\zeta_m(T^*; C))^* C = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} T^{m-j} \cdot CT^j C = 0$ ,

$$0 = (T^* + \bar{\lambda})^m Cx_n + \sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} T^{m-j} \cdot (CT^j C - \bar{\lambda}^j) Cx_n.$$

Hence, we have Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3** *Let  $T$  be skew  $[m, C]$ -symmetric. Then:*

- (1) *If  $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ , then  $-\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_a(T^*)$ .*

(2) If  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ , then  $-\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(T^*)$ .

By Theorem 5.2 since  $0 \in \sigma_a((T^* + \bar{\lambda})^m)$ , by the spectral mapping theorem of the approximate point spectrum,  $0 \in \sigma_a(T^* + \bar{\lambda})$  and hence  $-\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_a(T^*)$ .

**Example 5.4** Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2i \\ 2i & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Cx = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Then it holds  $CTC = -T$  and hence  $T$  is skew  $[1, C]$ -symmetric. For the eigenvalue  $\sqrt{3}i$  of  $T$  and the corresponding eigenvector  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}+i}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ , we have

$$(T + \sqrt{3}i)Cx = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{3}i \\ -\sqrt{3} + 3i \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (T - \sqrt{3}i)Cx = 0.$$

**Theorem 5.5** Let  $T$  be a skew  $[m, C]$ -symmetric operator and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of unit vectors. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , if  $(T - \lambda)x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\langle (T^* + \lambda)^m Cx_n, Cx_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, if  $(T - \lambda)x = 0$ , then  $\langle (T^* + \lambda)^m Cx, Cx \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $CT^{*m}C = -\sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} T^{*j} \cdot CT^{*m-j}C$ ,

$$C(T^* + \lambda)^m C = -\sum_{j=1}^m \binom{m}{j} (T^{*j} - \bar{\lambda}^j) \cdot CT^{*m-j}C.$$

Hence we have Theorem 5.5.  $\square$

**Example 5.6** If  $T$  is  $[m, C]$ -symmetric, then so is  $T^n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But there exists a skew  $[1, C]$ -symmetric operator  $T$  such that  $T^2$  is not skew  $[1, C]$ -symmetric. For example, let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2i \\ -2i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Cx = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Then it is easy to see  $CTC = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2i \\ 2i & -1 \end{pmatrix} = -T$  and hence  $T$  is skew  $[1, C]$ -symmetric.

But since  $T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have  $CT^2C = T^2$ . Hence  $T^2$  is  $[1, C]$ -symmetric and not skew  $[1, C]$ -symmetric.

## 6 Square hyponormal operator

We begin with the definition of square hyponormal operators.

**Definition 6.1** An operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be *square hyponormal* if  $T^2$  is hyponormal.

Following results are famous.

- (1) If  $\ker(T - z) \perp \ker(T - w)$  for any distinct nonzero eigenvalues  $z$  and  $w$ , then  $T$  has SVEP.
- (2) Let  $p$  be polynomial. If  $p(T)$  has SVEP, then  $T$  has SVEP.

Hence, if  $T$  is square hyponormal, then  $T$  has SVEP.

In general,  $T$  is 2-hyponormal if  $\begin{pmatrix} I & T^* \\ T & T^*T \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$

We have many papers about 2-hyponormal operators. So  $T$  is said to be *square hyponormal* if  $T^2$  is hyponormal. About 2-hyponormal operators, please see “R. Curto and Woo Young Lee, Towards a model theory for 2-hyponormal operators, Integr. Equat. Oper. Theory, 44(2002), 290-315”.

Basic properties are the following:

**Theorem 6.2** Let  $T$  be square hyponormal. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) If  $T$  is invertible, then so is  $T^{-1}$ .
- (2) If  $n = 2k \in \mathbb{N}$  is even, then  $T^n$  is  $\frac{1}{k}$ -hyponormal.
- (3) If  $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $S \simeq T$ , then  $S$  is square hyponormal.
- (4) If  $T - t$  are square hyponormal for all  $t > 0$ , then  $T$  is hyponormal.
- (5) If  $M$  is an invariant subspace for  $T$ , then  $T|_M$  is square hyponormal.

By Aluthge and Wang’ result,  $T$  is hyponormal, then  $T^2$  is semi-hyponormal. But we have many examples non hyponormal operator  $T$  which  $T^2$  is hyponormal.

Curto and Han studied algebraically hyponormal operators.

For  $T$ , we set the following property:

$$(*) \quad \sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) \subset \{0\}$$

**Lemma 6.3** Let  $T$  satisfy (\*). If  $z$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$ , then  $z^2$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(T^2)$ .

*Proof.* If  $z = 0$ , then it is clear. If  $z \neq 0$ , then proof follows from  $T^2 - z^2 = (T + z)(T - z)$  and (\*).  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4** Let  $T$  be square hyponormal and satisfy (\*), then  $\sigma(T) = \{\bar{z} : z \in \sigma_a(T)\}$ .

**Theorem 6.5** Let  $T$  be square hyponormal and satisfy (\*),  $M$  be an invariant subspace for  $T$  such that  $\sigma(T|_M) = \{z\}$ . Then:



- (1) If  $z = 0$ , then  $(T|_M)^2 = 0$ .  
 (2) If  $z \neq 0$ , then  $T|_M = z$ .

**Theorem 6.5** Let  $T$  be square hyponormal and satisfy (\*). Then:

- (1) Let  $Tx = zx$  and  $Ty = wy$ . If  $z \neq w$ , then  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ .  
 (2) Similar result holds for approximate eigenvalues.

**Theorem 6.6** Let  $T$  be square hyponormal and satisfy (\*). Let  $Tx = zx$  ( $z \neq 0$ ). Then  $\ker(T - z) = \ker(T^2 - z^2) \subset \ker(T^{*2} - \bar{z}^2) = \ker(T^* - \bar{z})$ .

**Remark** About proofs and other results, please see [1] - [5].

### References

- [1] M. Chō, E. Ko and Ji Eun Lee, On  $m$ -complex symmetric operators, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **13**(2016), 2025-2038.  
 [2] M. Chō, Ji Eun Lee and H. Motoyoshi, On  $[m, C]$ -isometric operators, *Filomat* **31:7** (2017) 2073-2080.  
 [3] M. Chō, Ji Eun Lee, B. N. Nastovska and T. Saito, On the approximate point spectra of  $m$ -complex symmetric operators,  $[m, C]$ -symmetric operators and others, *Funct. Anal. App. Comp.* **11 (1)** (2019), 11-20.  
 [4] M. Chō, Ji Eun Lee, K. Tanahashi and J. Tomiyama, On  $[m, C]$ -symmetric operators, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **58 (4)** (2018), 637-650.  
 [5] M. Chō, D. Mosić, B. Načevska Nastovska and T. Saito, Spectral properties of square hyponormal operators, to appear in *Filomat*.  
 [6] R. Curto and Y.M. Han, Weyl's theorem for algebraically hyponormal operators, *Integr. Equat. Oper. Theory* **47** (2003), 307-314.  
 [7] S. Jung, E. Ko and Ji Eun Lee, On complex symmetric operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **406** (2013) 373-385.

Munco Chō<sup>1</sup> and Taiga Saito<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Kanagawa University, Hiratsuka 259-1293, Japan

e-mail<sup>1</sup>: chiyom01@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

e-mail<sup>2</sup>: taiga1996227@outlook.jp