

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Validity of the Fitbit Ace and Moki devices for assessing steps during different walking conditions in young adolescents

Citation for published version:

Sun, X, Adams, SA, Li, C, Booth, JN, Robertson, J & Fawkner, S 2021, 'Validity of the Fitbit Ace and Moki devices for assessing steps during different walking conditions in young adolescents', *Pediatric Exercise Science*. https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2021-0026

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1123/pes.2021-0026

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Pediatric Exercise Science

Publisher Rights Statement:

Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from Pediatric Exercise Science, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2021-0026. © Human Kinetics, Inc.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Original Article Title: Validity of the Fitbit Ace and Moki devices for assessing steps during different walking conditions in young adolescents Running title: Validity of Fitbit Ace and Moki Devices Keywords: adolescents, physical activity, validity, wearables

26 Abstract

Purpose: Using wearable monitoring devices is increasingly ubiquitous, including amongst
young people. However, there is limited evidence on the validity of devices which are aimed
at children and adolescents. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the validity of Fitbit
Ace and Moki monitors in healthy young people.

31

Methods: This cross-sectional study included 17 young adolescents (ages 11–13 years)
ambulating between three different walking conditions (incidental (~6 minutes), controlled
and treadmill (each 3 minutes), whilst wearing wrist-worn devices (Fitbit Ace, Moki) on each
wrist (left and right, respectively). Data from the devices were compared with observer
counts (criterion). Bland-Altman plots and mean absolute percent errors (MAPEs) were
computed.

38

39 *Results*: Analyses identified that the Fitbit Ace showed higher levels of bias across

40 conditions compared to the Moki device: (mean difference \pm SD Fitbit Ace, 30.0 \pm 38.0,

41 3.0±13.0, 13.0±23.0 steps; Moki, 1.0±19.0, 4.0±16.0, 6.0±14.0 steps, incidental, controlled,

42 and treadmill, respectively). MAPEs ranged from 3.1-9.5% for the Fitbit Ace and 3.0-4.0%

43 for the Moki device.

44

45 *Conclusion:* The Fitbit Ace and Moki devices might not provide acceptable validity under all
46 walking conditions, but the Moki provides more accurate estimates of incidental walking and
47 might therefore be a good choice for free-living research or school-based interventions.

48

49

52 Introduction

53 Leading a physically active lifestyle leads to a number of physical and mental health benefits,

54 and prevents a range of non-communicable diseases in both childhood and adulthood (27).

55 Not all children and adolescents however achieve physical activity (PA) guidelines, and

56 physical inactivity of youth and adolescents is a global challenge (1). Interventions to

57 increase PA in children and adolescents are therefore a public health priority.

58

Walking is one of the oldest and simplest forms of physical activity (31), and is often referred to as 'the activity closest to perfection' (26). Encouraging people to walk is significant to public health because it represents an accessible, affordable, and familiar form of PA (17). There is growing evidence that walking and pedometer based interventions can be effective for children and adolescents to increase PA, especially in the school environment, and thus the encouragement of walking may lead to an increase in PA in children and adolescents (8, 9, 19, 20).

66

67 The opportunity to direct PA interventions to walking behaviour has more recently been enhanced via the rapid development and access to consumer-based activity monitors. Whilst 68 69 some monitors purport to measure a range of behaviours, the most common function is step 70 counting. Often referred to as 'wearables' or 'wearable activity trackers', these devices can be used by consumers to track personal PA behaviour, and have been used in clinical studies 71 72 with adults and children (2). Devices, such as the Fitbit Zip for example, are small, long-73 lasting and convenient for consumers and researchers alike, and the data is typically easy to 74 transfer to a computer for processing (25).

As people are paying more attention to self-quantification of health parameters and these
devices are being adopted for research purposes, both as intervention tools and for the
assessment of behaviour, there is an inherent need for confirming the accuracy of
commercially available activity trackers (22).

80

Among dozens of brands in wearable activity monitors available on the market, Fitbit is among the most commonly purchased options (10, 11). The features of Fitbit activity devices, including their validity and reliability, have been investigated in a number of empirical studies with adults (11, 18). Findings generally suggest that with adults Fitbit devices demonstrate an overall tendency to underestimate steps during controlled testing conditions but may provide accurate step counts (within $\pm 3\%$) approximately 50% of the time (11).

87

There is comparatively less evidence regarding the validity of these devices when worn by children and adolescents. So far there have been studies assessing, for example, the validity of Fitbit Flex (7), Fitbit One (15), Fitbit Zip (25, 28) and Fitbit Charge (30). The research findings have been mixed, generally suggesting that Fitbits may overestimate (15, 25, 30) rather than underestimate (28) step counts. Unlike previously mentioned devices, the recent Fitbit Ace (and now the Fitbit Ace 2 and 3) has been marketed for children and adolescents but, to the best of our knowledge, its validity has not yet been explored.

95

96 Whilst Fitbit has had the market share of wearables (11), other devices are available, as are 97 devices designed specifically for use in school based interventions and surveillance, and 98 those that are arguably more affordable (the Fitbit Ace 3 is currently on the market in the UK 99 for £69.99 (12)). Moki is a new activity-tracking wristband monitor and software 100 application, aimed at providing a safe, simple and fun way for schools to support an active

VALIDITY OF FITBIT ACE AND MOKI DEVICES

5

101 curriculum for their students, and currently priced at £132+VAT for 4 bands and a reader (24). The monitoring device can send users' step data to a computer and generate walking 102 103 reports and analysis using software installed on a PC. Moki is being used in more than 250 104 Schools and with 7000 pupils in the UK, Europe and Canada (Moki, personal communication, Sept 2020). To the best of our knowledge, there has been no prior empirical 105 106 research exploring the validity of this consumer-based activity monitor. 107 One of the challenges of assessing validity of wearable devices is ensuring ecological validity 108 109 (5, 6). Assessing validity of devices during controlled treadmill walking is a commonly adopted approach (14, 23), primarily as the treadmill is able to regulate walking speed. 110 111 However, treadmill walking overestimates the energy cost of walking over ground in 112 adolescents (21), and is a poor proxy for incidental ambulatory activity (e.g., unstructured 113 play and stair climbing). Device validation in trials that replicate free-living conditions is 114 paramount (5). 115

116 Therefore, the aim of the current study was to assess the validity of the Fitbit Ace and Moki 117 devices in a population of healthy young adolescents under three different walking 118 conditions.

119 Methods and Procedures

120 Study design

121 This study assessed the validity of Fitbit Ace (Fitbit Inc, San Francisco, CA, USA)

122 and Moki (Moki Technology Ltd, UK) consumer-based PA monitors for young people, under

123 the following three walking conditions: incidental walking, controlled walking, and treadmill

124 walking. All testing was completed in a single high school in the Summer of 2019.

126 *Participants*

127 Participants were recruited from a high school located in Edinburgh, Scotland, using a 128 purposive sampling method. Participants were eligible to be included if they were: (a) 11–13 129 years old; (b) no chronic diseases; (c) able to walk without an assistive tool, and (d) able to undertake moderate and vigorous PA. Eighteen students volunteered to take part in the study, 130 131 of which one participant decided not to complete the data collection process. Approval for the study was granted by the institutional research ethics committee and the 132 133 local School authority. Participation was dependent upon informed consent being granted by 134 participants and their parents. 135 136 **Materials** 137 Fitbit Ace (version 1) is an accelerometer, designed for youth ages six and above, that 138 estimates step count, distance travelled, active minutes and sleep time. The weight of the 139 wrist-worn accelerometer is 8gs. Steps are measured via microelectromechanical tri-axial

140 accelerometer and proprietary algorithms. The data from the device are wirelessly uploaded141 to the software via Bluetooth.

142

Moki is an activity-tracking wristband and software application designed with the aim of 143 144 enabling schools or groups to support an active curriculum for their students or members. It 145 consists of two parts – a band and a reader. The Moki band comprises an accelerometer and battery housed inside a moulded wristband. The contactless (near-field communication) 146 147 technology contained in the device means that the activity data is transferred to the app by 148 tapping it on the Moki Reader. The Moki Reader (60 x 11 x 110mm) is then connected to a Windows desktop or laptop via a USB cable to allow transfer of data from the Moki bands to 149 150 the Moki application.

152 **Procedure**

153 *Pilot.* To optimise validity of the criterion outcome (observer counts), pilot trials were 154 conducted to familiarise the researchers with the counting procedure. A volunteer was 155 recruited to walk a defined route, during which one researcher followed the volunteer and 156 counted the number of steps taken. The walking (legs and feet only) was also video-recorded 157 (iPhone 8 and a tripod). A second researcher counted the steps from the video recording. The 158 results from the two researchers were compared and the tests were extensively practised until 159 the difference was lower than two steps. Both devices (Fitbit Ace, Moki) were tested to 160 assess time delays between walking activity and steps registering. A 60-second delay was 161 concluded to be necessary to confirm accurate registration of steps taken.

162

163 *Experimental Conditions.* Participants attended the testing session in pairs. Each participant 164 completed all three conditions sequentially before the other participant began their trial. The 165 Fitbit Ace was always fitted to participants left wrist, and the Moki to participants right 166 wrist.

167

168

Condition 1: Incidental walking.

The participants were first shown the walking route, which included a corridor and two flights of stairs, and took approximately 4 minutes to complete including a short seated period. Once a participant was ready to start the test, the Fitbit Ace was attached to their left wrist and the Moki to their right wrist. The participant was then required to stand still for one minute to collect and record the current number of steps displayed by each band. Next, the participant was asked to follow the walking route. Two researchers followed the participant moving at a normal walking speed and counted their steps, with one researcher holding a 176 stopwatch to record the time. The participant walked down the corridor and up two floors, 177 then sat still for a period of at least 60 seconds before returning. After returning to the start, 178 the participant was asked to stand still for one minute, with their arms naturally dropping to 179 the sides of their body. The researchers then collected the step-count data displayed by the 180 two bands and recorded the counted steps. A mean of the steps counted by the two 181 researchers was considered the criterion.

- 182
- 183

Condition 2: 3 minute controlled walking

184 Each participant was led to a square walking path, which was arranged in an empty dance room, with a level floor and no obstacles. The devices were fitted following the same 185 186 protocol as in Condition 1. On the researcher's signal, the participant was asked to walk 187 around the square course for three minutes. One researcher counted the steps, while the other 188 researcher controlled the camera and tripod to record the walking. The camera was set up to 189 only record the participants' legs and feet from below the knees. At three minutes, the 190 participant was asked to stop walking at once and stand for one minute so the number of steps 191 counted by each band could be recorded. A mean of the steps counted in real time and by the 192 video recording was considered the criterion.

193

194 *Condition 3: 3 minute treadmill walking.*

Following treadmill familiarisation, the participant was asked to straddle the moving treadmill while the devices were prepared as they were in the previous conditions. The participant was then asked to walk on the treadmill at a speed of 1.2 km/h for three minutes. Their steps were also recorded by camera, as above. At three minutes, the participant immediately straddled the treadmill and stood still for one minute, and the step-count data were recorded. As before, a mean of the observer counts and the video recording wasconsidered the criterion.

202

203 Data analysis

Analyses were undertaken in Excel (Version 16.37). Descriptive statistics were presented as means ± standard deviations. The Bland-Altman approach was used to investigate the agreement between measurements (device and criterion; 4, 13). Mean percent errors (MPEs) and mean absolute percent errors (MAPEs) were calculated for each condition in order to enable comparison between the devices and explore overall measurement error. Smaller MAPEs were interpreted as representing better accuracy, with a value of less than 3% as the

210 acceptable level of accuracy (3, 16).

211

212 **Results**

213 The final sample included 17 young adolescents (59% girls; 12.97±0.28 years of age). Table

214 1 presents the descriptive statistics (mean \pm SD), levels of agreement and MAPE for each

215 device and walking condition.

216

The MAPE values in Table 1 demonstrate the error rates for Fitbit Ace and Moki devices across the three walking conditions. Neither device under or overcounted participant's steps by more than 10%. The Fitbit device consistently undercounted across conditions. The greatest difference between criterion and the Fitbit Ace and Moki devices was in the incidental walking condition (9.5% and 4.0%, respectively), both of which were greater than the 3% level of acceptable accuracy. The Fitbit Ace controlled condition and the Moki treadmill condition were both 3.1 and 3.0% respectively.

Bland-Altman plots comparing the criterion with Fitbit Ace and Moki are provided in Figure
1. Analyses demonstrated the incidental and treadmill walking conditions of the Fitbit Ace
showed the greatest bias (30.0±38 and 13.0±23.0, respectively). The Fitbit Ace had the
widest 95% limits of agreement (-104.1, 44.1, incidental walking).
[Insert Figure 1 here]
Discussion

233 The aim of the current study was to assess the validity of the Fitbit Ace and Moki devices in 234 young people. This is the first study to examine the validity of these specific consumer-based 235 activity devices in this population and under different walking conditions (incidental, 236 controlled and treadmill walking). Our results indicate that both devices may provide a valid 237 meaure of step counts in young adolescents aged 11-13 years in some conditions. Findings 238 also suggest there may be a tendency for the FitBit Ace to undercount during specific PA 239 conditions. In particuar this may be the case with incidental walking conditions and treadmill 240 walking (see Table 1 & Figure 1).

241

242 Our findings indicated that the Fitbit Ace had greater bias and wider limits of agreement 243 compared to Moki, whilst the majority of all data fell within the 95% limits of agreement, 244 which is consistent with previous studies in children (28). As this is the first study to evaluate the vailidty of the Moki device it is not yet possible to compare current findings with 245 246 previous studies. It is interesting to note, however, that the Moki device may provide a more 247 valid estimate of step count under certain types of PA (i.e., incidental and treadmill walking) compared to the Fitbit Ace. In the main, the Moki device appears to undercount less than the 248 249 Fitbit Ace, and have very limited bias, although MAPE was marginally above 3% for both

incidental and controlled walking. In practical terms, if a young adolescent took 12,000 steps in a day (29) the error may be in the region of 1140 steps for the Fitbit, rather than 480 steps for the Moki. Thus the Moki device may be a preferable choice for intervention and research studies, as well as school use. Difference in functionality and cost may also contribute to the choice of device. For example, at present the Moki does not provide immediate step count feedback on the device in the way that the Fitbit does, but needs to be used with the classroom reader to provide step counts. The Moki is however considerably cheaper per unit.

257

258

We found that the Fitbit Ace consistently undercounted steps across conditions. This trend of 259 260 undercounting is consistent with Sharp et al. (28), who evaluated the validity of the Fitbit Zip 261 in a sample of preschool children during a 3-min walking task. Further, this is consistent with the evidence from Fitbit studies with adults (11). In constrast, most previous studies with 262 263 children have shown Fitbit devices may overestimate step counts in this age group (15, 25, 264 30), regardless of whether they are hip (25) or wrist worn (30). Mooses et al. (25) reported 265 the Fitbit Zip (worn by 147 children for 5 school days, aged 9-10 years) had a tendency to overestimate step counts throughout the day, particularly during physical education class. 266 267

To our knowledge, this is the first study with children or adolescents to validate the devices under different walking states designed to simulate the walking patterns that this population may display in everyday life. For example, the incidental walking condition simulated daily living activities such as how children and adolescents walk around school, while the controlled and treadmill conditions aimed to reflect daily exercise as well as laboratory conditions. Whilst device validation trials during free-living are also needed with children and adolescents (5), this type of protocol may make it challenging to delineate how a device might perform during specific types of walking activity. For example, current findings
suggest the device may perform differently under the different conditions, and neither device
achieved 3% MAPE during incidental walking. To help address the associated challenges of
measuring ecological validity, further research with these walking conditions and in freeliving conditions is needed for these devices that have been purposely designed for young
people.

281

It is unclear why the Fitbit Ace under incidental walking condition showed the greatest bias, error and limits of agreement in the current study. Extraneous factors such that effected arm movement during normal ambulation may have impacted the step-count registered. In contrast, the Moki device appears to have been less effected in this condition compared to the Fitbit. Future replication studies are warranted to better understand the present results.

288 This study had a number of limitations. The study included a small sample of participants 289 (n=17) from one urban school thus replication is needed to generalise the findings to other 290 samples. The Fitbit Ace was always fitted to participants left wrist, and the Moki to 291 participants right wrist, with no adjustments for hand dominance despite this being an option 292 in the Fitbit setup. Whilst it is unlikely that during normal ambulation this will have effected 293 validity, future studies should counterbalance the placement of the devices on each wrist to 294 minimize potential confounders associated with dominant versus non-dominant wrists. 295 Finally, the current study examined the validity of the Fitbit Ace, which has now been 296 superceded by more recent models. The extent to which the validity of the first generation 297 Fitbit Ace represents the validity of subsequent models is not known. 298

299 Conclusion

300	Using wearable monitoring devices is increasingly ubiquitous but there is mixed evidence on
301	the validity of these devices and limited evidence for those designed specifically for young
302	people. This is the first study to validate the use of the Fitbit Ace and Moki devices in young
303	adolescents aged 11-13 years. The Fitbit Ace and Moki devices might not provide acceptable
304	validity under all walking conditions, but the Moki provides more accurate estimates of
305	incidental walking and might therefore be a good choice for free-living research or school-
306	based interventions.

325		
326		
327		
328		
329	Re	ferences
330	1.	Aubert S, Barnes JD, Abdeta C, et al. Global matrix 3.0 physical activity report card
331		grades for children and youth: results and analysis from 49 countries. Journal of Physical
332		Activity and Health. 2018;15(2):251-273.
333	2.	Bai Y, Tompkins C, Gell N, Dione D, Zhang T, Byun W. Comprehensive comparison of
334		Apple Watch and Fitbit monitors in a free-living setting. PLoS One.
335		2021;16(5):e0251975
336	3.	Bassett DR, Mahar MT, Rowe DA, Morrow JR. Walking and measurement. Medicine &
337		Science in Sports & Exercise. 2008;40:s529-s536.
338	4.	Bland JM, Altman DG. Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. Stat
339		Methods Med Res. 1999;8:135–60.
340	5.	Brazendale K, Decker L, Hunt TE, et al. Validity and wearability of consumer-based
341		fitness trackers in free-living children. International Journal of Exercise Science.
342		2019;12(5):471-482.
343	6.	Brooke SM, An HE, Kang S, Noble J, Berg K, Lee J. Concurrent validity of wearable
344		activity trackers under free-living conditions. Journal of Strength and Conditioning
345		<i>Research</i> . 2017;31(4):1097-1106.
346	7.	Byun W, Lee J, Kim Y, Brusseau T. Classification accuracy of a wearable activity tracker
347		for assessing sedentary behavior and physical activity in 3–5-year-old children.
348		International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2018;15(4).

- 349 8. Carlin A, Murphy M, Gallagher H. Do interventions to increase walking work? A
- 350 systematic review of interventions in children and adolescents. *Sports Medicine*.

351 2016;46(4):515-530.

- 352 9. Duncan JS, Schofield G, Duncan EK. Step count recommendations for children based on
 353 body fat. *Preventive Medicine*. 2007;44(1): 42-4.
- 354 10. Evenson K, Goto M, Furberg R. Systematic review of the validity and reliability of
- 355 consumer-wearable activity trackers. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition And*

356 *Physical Activity*. 2015;12(161):159.

- 357 11. Feehan LM, Geldman J, Sayre EC, et al. Accuracy of Fitbit Devices: Systematic Review
- and Narrative Syntheses of Quantitative Data. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*.
- 359 2018;6(8):e10527.
- 360 12. Fitbit, Inc. 2021. Retrieved from
- 361 https://www.fitbit.com/global/us/products/trackers/ace3?sku=419BKBU
- 362 13. Giavarina D. Understanding Bland Altman analysis. *Biochem Med.* 2015;25:141–51.
- 363 14. Giannakidou DM, Kambas A, Ageloussis N, et al. The validity of two Omron pedometers
- 364 during treadmill walking is speed dependent. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*.
- 365 2012;112(1): 49-57.
- 366 15. Hamari L, Kullberg T, Ruohonen J, et al. Physical activity among children: Objective
- 367 measurements using Fitbit One and ActiGraph. *BMC Research Notes*. 2017;10(1): 161.
- 368 16. Johnson M. Activity monitors step count accuracy in community-dwelling older
- 369 adults. *Gerontology and Geriatric Medicine*. 2015. Available from:
- 370 https://doi.org/10.1177/2333721415601303
- 17. Lee IM, Buchner DM. The importance of walking to public health. *Medicine & Science*
- 372 *in Sports & Exercise*. 2008;40(7 suppl): s512-518.

- 373 18. Logan S. How accurate is Fitbit? Here's what the research says abut Fitbit accuracy
- 374 [online]. 2017 [updated 2017 Mar 29]. Available from:
- 375 <u>https://wearablezone.com/news/how-accurate-is-fitbit.</u> [Accessed September 2020]
- 376 19. Lubans D, Morgan P. Evaluation of an extra-curricular school sport programme
- 377 promoting lifestyle and lifetime activity for adolescents. *Journal of Sports Sciences*.
- 378 2008;26(5):519-529.
- 20. Lubans DR, Morgan PJ, Tudor-Locke C. A systematic review of studies using

380 pedometers to promote physical activity among youth. *Preventive Medicine*. 2009;48(4):

- 381
 307-315.
- 382 21. Macdaonald M, Fawkner S, Niven A, Rowe D. Real World, real People: can we assess
- 383 walking on a treadmill to establish step count recommendations in adolescents? *Pediatric*384 *Exercise Science*. 2018;31(4):488-494.
- 385 22. Mammen G, Gardiner S, Senthinathan A, McClemont L, Stone M, Faulkner G. Is this Bit
- Fit? Measuring the quality of the Fitbit step-counter. *The Health & Fitness Journal of Canada*. 2012;5(4): 30-39.
- 388 23. McNamara E, Hudson Z, Taylor JCS. Measuring activity levels of young people: the
- 389 validity of pedometers. *British Medical Bulletin*, 2010;95(1):121-137. Available from:
- 390 https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldq016
- 391 24. Moki Technology Ltd. 2021. Retrieved from https://moki.health/collections/store
- 392 25. Mooses K, Oja M, Reisberg S, Vilo J, Kull M. Validating Fitbit Zip for monitoring
- 393 physical activity of children in school: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*.
 394 2018;18(1):1-7.
- 395 26. Morris JN, Hardman AE. Walking to health. *Sports Medicine*. 1997;23(5): 306-332.
- 396 27. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. Physical Activity Guidelines
- 397 Advisory Committee Scientific Report. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and

- 398 Human Services; 2018. https://health. gov/sites/default/files/2019-09/07_F-1_Physical-
- Activity_Behaviors_ Steps_Bouts_and_High_Intensity_Training.pdf Accessed September2020.
- 401 28. Sharp CA, Mackintosh KA, Erjavec M, Pascoe DM, Horne PJ. Validity and reliability of
- 402 the Fitbit Zip as a measure of preschool children's step count. *BMJ Open Sport &*
- 403 *Exercise Medicine*. 2017;3(1):e000272.
- 404 29. Tudor-Locke C, Craig CL, Beets MW, Belton S, Cardon GM, Ducan S, Hatano Y,
- 405 Lubans DR, Olds TS, Raustorp A, Rowe DA, Spence JC, Tanaka S, Blair SN. How many
- 406 steps/day are enough? for children and adolescents. *International Journal of Behavioral*
- 407 *Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2011; 8(78).
- 408 30. Voss C, Gardner RF, Dean PH, Harris KC. Validity of commercial activity trackers in
- 409 children with congenital heart disease. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*. 2017;33(6):799410 805.
- 31. Zhu W. Let's keep walking. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2008;40(7 suppl):
 s509-511.
- 413

VALIDITY OF FITBIT ACE AND MOKI DEVICES

- 415 Table 1. Mean difference, levels of agreement, and absolute percentage errors of Fitbit Ace & Moki-estimated step counts relative to
- **observed criterion counts in three different walking conditions.**

Device	Condition	Mean SC	Mean SC	Difference	Lower	Upper	MAPE	MPE
		Criterion (SD)	Device (SD)	(SD)	LoA	LoA	% (SE)	% (SE)
Fitbit Ace	Incidental	342 (51)	312 (64)	-30 (38)	-104.1	44.1	9.5 (2.7)	-9.0 (2.8)
	Controlled	337 (28)	334 (22)	-3 (13)	-27.9	21.3	3.1 (0.6)	-0.8 (0.95)
	Treadmill	324 (23)	312 (31)	-13 (23)	-57.3	32.3	5.3 (1.4)	-3.8 (1.7)
Moki	Incidental	342 (51)	342 (52)	-1 (19)	-37.3	36.2	4.0 (1.0)	-0.1 (1.4)
	Controlled	337 (28)	341 (21)	4 (16)	-27.2	35.9	3.9 (0.8)	1.6 (1.2)
	Treadmill	324 (23)	330 (26)	6 (14)	-20.6	33.4	3.0 (0.8)	2.0 (1.0)

418 Note: SC - step counts, SD - standard deviation, MAPE – mean absolute percentage error, MPE – mean percentage error, LoA – limits of

agreement. SE -standard error of the mean.

424 Figure legend –

- 426 **Figure 1.** Bland-Altman plots representing the comparisons between the criterion observer count and Fitbit Ace or Moki device across (A)
- 427 Incidental walking, (B) Controlled walking, and (C) Treadmill walking conditions. Solid lines indicate the mean difference between observer
- 428 count and device, and dashed lines indicate limits of agreement (± 1.96 SD).