

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Sleep Duration, Sleep Quality, and the Development of **Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease**

Citation for published version:

Um, YJ, Chang, Y, Jung, H-S, Cho, IY, Shin, JH, Shin, H, Wild, SH, Byrne, CD & Ryu, S 2021, 'Sleep Duration, Sleep Quality, and the Development of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Cohort Study', *Clinical and translational gastroenterology*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. e00417. https://doi.org/10.14309/ctg.00000000000417

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.14309/ctg.0000000000000417

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Clinical and translational gastroenterology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	Sleep duration, sleep quality, and the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A
2	cohort study
3	Yoo Jin Um ¹ , Yoosoo Chang ^{2,3,4†} , Hyun-Suk Jung, ^{1,2} In Young Cho ⁵ , Jun Ho Shin ^{1,6} , Hocheol
4	Shin ^{2,5} , Sarah H. Wild ⁷ , Christopher D Byrne ^{8,9} ; Seungho Ryu ^{2,3,4†}
5	
6	¹ Total Healthcare Center, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of
7	Medicine, Seoul, South Korea
8	² Center for Cohort Studies, Total Healthcare Center, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
9	Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea
10	³ Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,
11	Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea
12	⁴ Department of Clinical Research Design & Evaluation, SAIHST, Sungkyunkwan
13	University, Seoul, South Korea
14	⁵ Department of Family Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University
15	School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea
16	⁶ Department of Surgery, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of
17	Medicine, Seoul, South Korea
18	⁷ Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.
19	⁸ Nutrition and Metabolism, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton,
20	United Kingdom.
21	⁹ National Institute for Health Research Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University
22	Hospital Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
23	
24	[†] Co-Corresponding authors:

1	Seungho Ryu, Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung
2	Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Samsung Main Building B2, 250
3	Taepyung-ro 2ga, Jung-gu, Seoul 04514, Korea.
4	Tel: +82-2-2001-5137; Fax: +82-2-757-0436; E-mail: <u>sh703.yoo@gmail.com</u>
5	and
6	Yoosoo Chang, Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung
7	Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Samsung Main Building B2, 250
8	Taepyung-ro 2ga, Jung-gu, Seoul 04514, Korea.
9	Tel: +82-2-2001-5139; Fax: +82-2-757-0436; E-mail: <u>yoosoo.chang@gmail.com</u>
10	
11	Word count: Abstract 248; main text 2986.
12	Number of figures and tables: 1 figure and 4 tables
13	Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.
14	Financial support statement: None to declare.
15	Author contributions:
16	Yoo Jin Yum: drafting of the manuscript and critical revision of the manuscript
17	Yoosoo Chang: study concept and design; acquisition of data; interpretation of data; drafting
18	of the manuscript and critical revision of the manuscript
19	Hyun-Suk Jung: acquisition of data; interpretation of data; and critical revision of the
20	manuscript
21	In Young Cho: interpretation of data; and critical revision of the manuscript
22	Jun Ho Shin : technical, or material support; and study supervision
23	Hocheol Shin : technical, or material support; and study supervision
24	Sarah H. Wild : interpretation of data; and critical revision of the manuscript
	2

1	Christopher D Byrne: interpretation of data; and critical revision of the manuscript
2	Seungho Ryu: study concept and design; acquisition of data; analysis and interpretation of data
3	and critical revision of the manuscript
4	
5	Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body
6	mass index; BP, blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; FIB-4,
7	Fibrosis-4 Index; GGT, gamma-glutamyltransferase; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model
8	assessment of insulin resistance; HR, hazard ratio; HS, hepatic steatosis; hsCRP, high-
9	sensitivity C-reactive protein; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NFS, NAFLD
10	fibrosis score;
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	Study	Highli	ghts
	/		_

2

3 WHAT IS KNOWN

- Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is associated with the risk of cardio metabolic disease.
- Inadequate sleep duration is related to obesity, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular
 disease.
- The cohort studies on the relationship of sleep duration and NAFLD show conflicting
 results.
- 10

11 WHAT IS NEW HERE

12	•	Short sleep duration is a risk factor for developing NAFLD with or without fibrosis.

- BMI only partially mediated the association between sleep duration and NAFLD.
- Changes in sleep duration as a time-varying covariate produced similar results.

1 Abstract

Objectives: The longitudinal relationship between sleep duration, sleep quality, and the risk of
non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is unknown. We aimed to examine the association
between sleep duration, sleep quality, and NAFLD development.

5 **Methods:** 143,306 NAFLD-free Korean adults with a mean age of 36.6 years were followed 6 for an average of 4.0 years. Sleep duration and quality were assessed using the Pittsburgh Sleep 7 Quality Index. Hepatic steatosis (HS) was assessed using ultrasonography and liver fibrosis by 8 the fibrosis-4 index (FIB-4) or the NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS). Flexible parametric 9 proportional hazard models were used to determine the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence 10 intervals (CIs).

Results: 21,817 subjects with incident HS were identified of whom 1471 had incident HS plus 11 intermediate/high FIB-4. Multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CIs) for incident HS comparing 12 sleep durations of ≤ 5 , 6, 8, and ≥ 9 hours with 7 hours were 1.19 (1.14-1.23), 1.07 (1.04-13 14 1.10), 0.98 (0.94-1.02), and 0.95 (0.87-1.03), respectively. The corresponding HRs for incident HS plus intermediate/high FIB-4 were 1.30 (1.11-1.54), 1.14 (1.01-1.29), 1.11 (0.93-1.33) and 15 1.08 (0.71-1.63). The association between sleep duration and HS plus intermediate/high FIB-4 16 was inverse in individuals with good sleep quality but tended to be U-shaped in those with poor 17 sleep quality. The results were similar if FIB-4 was replaced by the NFS. 18

19 Conclusions: In young adults, short sleep duration was independently associated with an 20 increased risk of incident NAFLD with or without intermediate/high fibrosis score, suggesting 21 a role for inadequate sleep quantity in NAFLD risk and severity.

22 Keywords: Hepatic steatosis; Hepatic fibrosis; Sleep quality; Pittsburg sleep quality index;

23 Fibrosis-4 scores; NAFLD fibrosis score

24 INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is one of the most prevalent liver disorders worldwide,(1) with a global prevalence of approximately 25%.(2) NAFLD is now considered a multisystem disease that is associated with cardiometabolic disorders, all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality.(3, 4) There are currently no approved medical therapies,(5) and the first-line treatment for NAFLD management is lifestyle modification.(6) Thus, it is important to identify all modifiable lifestyle factors, as it is plausible that improvements in each of these factors may help prevent the development of NAFLD.

We spend, on average, a one-third of our lifetime sleeping. Sleep has been reported to play a 8 9 pivotal role in cardiovascular health, as well as the endocrine and immune systems.(7, 8)However, in recent decades, sleep duration has decreased, with a reported prevalence of short 10 sleep duration (defined as <6 h) reaching over 20%.(9) A decrease in sleep duration may 11 adversely affect insulin sensitivity and inflammatory activity, (8, 10) and may therefore 12 contribute to the development of NAFLD. Epidemiological studies have also suggested that 13 14 short sleep duration is closely associated with obesity, metabolic syndrome, and CVD,(11, 12) all of which are also commonly observed in patients with NAFLD.(13) Currently, the 15 relationship between sleep duration and NAFLD is controversial. A meta-analysis reported a 16 small but significant association between short sleep duration and increased risk of NAFLD,(14) 17 whereas another meta-analysis showed no significant association between sleep duration and 18 the risk of fatty liver disease.(15) However, the findings from both these meta-analyses were 19 20 determined mostly based on results from cross-sectional studies. Currently, available cohort studies on this association have limitations, including small sample sizes, lack of consideration 21 22 of sleep quality and changing status of sleep habits over time, and the inclusion of elderly adults who already had a high number of comorbidities, including sleep problems.(16-19) 23 Furthermore, none of the cohort studies evaluated the impact of sleep duration on the 24

development of the more severe form of NAFLD, incident NAFLD with liver fibrosis, the most
 important predictor of liver and non-liver mortality.(20, 21)

This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between sleep duration and sleep quality and the development of incident hepatic steatosis (HS) with and without an intermediate/high probability of liver fibrosis while accounting for time-dependent measures of change in sleep duration, sleep quality, and potential confounders during the follow-up period.

7

8 MATERIALS AND METHODS

9 Study population

This cohort study is a part of the Kangbuk Samsung Health Study, a cohort study of Korean 10 11 adults who participated in a health examination annually or biennially at Kangbuk Samsung 12 Hospital Total Healthcare Centers in Seoul and Suwon, South Korea as previously described.(22) The present study population was restricted to individuals who underwent a 13 health screening examination with information on sleep duration and sleep quality from 14 March 2011 to December 2017 and had at least one follow-up visit by December 31, 2019 (N 15 = 295,404). A total of 143,964 subjects met one or more of the exclusion criteria at baseline 16 (Figure 1). The final sample included 143,306 subjects in the analysis. This study was 17 approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital (IRB 2021-01-18 024) and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The requirement for 19 informed consent was waived due to the use of a preexisting de-identified dataset that was 20 21 routinely collected during the health screening process.

22

23 Data collection

Data regarding the patient's demographic characteristics, behavioral factors, and medical
history were collected using a standardized, self-administered questionnaire, while
anthropometry, blood pressure, and serum biochemical parameters were measured by trained
staff during the health examinations.
Sleep duration and quality were assessed using the validated Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index

6 (PSQI), 19-item self-administered questionnaire, at baseline and during the follow-up sessions

7 (23) (further details in **Supporting Documents**).

8 The diagnosis of HS was based on an abdominal ultrasound performed by an experienced

9 radiologist who was blinded to the aim of the present study. To assess severity of NAFLD,

10 two non-invasive indices of liver fibrosis were used: the fibrosis-4 index (FIB-4) and NAFLD

11 fibrosis score (NFS) (24, 25) (further details in **Supporting Documents).**

12

13 Statistical analysis

The primary endpoints were a) the development of incident HS (regardless of fibrosis score) 14 and b) the development of incident HS plus an intermediate/high probability of liver fibrosis. 15 Incident HS, and incident HS combined with an intermediate/high probability of liver fibrosis 16 based on the FIB-4 or NFS, were treated as separate endpoints in each model. The event 17 detection date was defined as the earliest date of identification of HS or HS with an 18 intermediate/high probability of liver fibrosis based on the FIB-4 score or NFS, which were 19 analyzed separately. The person-years were calculated as the sum of the follow-up duration 20 from baseline to the event detection date (HS or HS with fibrosis, separately) or until the final 21 22 examination (before December 31, 2019), whichever occurred first. Incidence rates were calculated as the number of incident cases divided by the person-years of follow-up. 23 Therefore, as the primary endpoint occurred at an unknown time point between the event 24

detection date and the previous screening visit, a parametric proportional hazards model was
used to account for this type of interval censoring and to estimate the hazard ratios (HRs) and
95% confidence intervals (CIs). In these models, the baseline hazard function was
parameterized with restricted cubic splines in log time with four degrees of freedom. We
assessed the proportional hazards assumption by examining graphs of estimated log
(-log(survival)) versus the log of survival time graph. No violation of the assumption was
found.

8 The risk of incident HS and incident HS combined with an intermediate/high probability of

9 liver fibrosis were separately evaluated according to the sleep duration category (further

10 details in **Supporting Documents**).

Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 16.0 (StataCorp LP, College
Station, TX, USA). All reported *P-values* were two-tailed, and a *P*-value of < 0.05 was
considered statistically significant.

14

15 **RESULTS**

16	At baseline, the mean (SD) age and sleep duration of 143,306 subjects were 36.6 (6.6) years
17	and 7.0 (1.1) h, respectively. The prevalence of poor sleep quality was 20.1% and was
18	inversely associated with sleep duration, with the highest prevalence seen in those with a
19	short sleep duration of ≤ 5 h (45.8%). The sleep duration categories were positively
20	associated with being married but were inversely associated with age, male sex, current
21	smoking, alcohol drinking, depressive symptoms, and obesity as described in Table 1.
22	During 618,582.6 person-years of follow-up, 27,817 cases of incident HS were
23	identified (incidence rate 45.0 per 10 ³ person-years). Median follow-up was 4.0 years
24	(interquartile range, 2.1-6.1). After adjustment for age, sex, the center, year of the screening

1 exam, season, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, marital status, education level, depressive symptoms, history of diabetes, and history of hypertension 2 (Table 2), multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CIs) for incident HS comparing sleep durations of 3 $\leq 5, 6, 8, \text{ and } \geq 9 \text{ h with } 7 \text{ h were } 1.19 (1.14-1.23), 1.07 (1.04-1.10), 0.98 (0.94-1.02), and 0.95$ 4 (0.87-1.03), respectively. After further adjustment for BMI (Model 3), the association between 5 short sleep duration and incident HS was attenuated but remained statistically significant. 6 Results were similar if BMI was replaced by the waist circumference (Model 4). In time-7 dependent analyses where change in sleep duration, sleep quality and other covariates during 8 follow-up were treated as a time-varying covariate, these results were similar. In the spline 9 10 regression analyses, there was a dose-response relationship between sleep duration and the development of NAFLD (Figure 2). 11

During 680,986.1 person-years of follow-up, 1,471 cases of incident HS plus an 12 intermediate/high FIB-4 were identified (incidence rate 2.2 per 10³ person-years). After 13 adjustment for potential confounders, the multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CI) for incident HS 14 plus an intermediate/high FIB-4, comparing sleep durations of ≤ 5 , 6, 8, and ≥ 9 h with 7 h 15 (reference) were 1.30 (1.11-1.54), 1.14 (1.01-1.29), 1.11 (0.93-1.33), and 1.08 (0.71-1.63), 16 respectively. Results were similar based on the NFS. After further adjustment for BMI (Model 17 18 3), the association between short sleep duration and incident HS plus intermediate/high fibrosis markers remained significant. In contrast, poor sleep quality was not significantly associated 19 with the risk of either incident HS or HS plus intermediate/high fibrosis markers (Tables 2 and 20 3). All these associations were consistently observed in both males and females without 21 22 significant interaction by sex.

The associations between sleep duration and risk of HS were similarly observed in those with or without poor sleep quality (P for interaction= 0.734), whereas the association

between sleep duration and HS plus intermediate/high fibrosis markers significantly differed 1 2 by sleep quality (Table 4). There was an inverse association between sleep duration and HS plus an intermediate/high FIB-4 in individuals with good sleep quality but it tended to be U-3 4 shaped in those with poor sleep quality. These associations were similarly observed in the analysis using NFS instead of FIB-4. 5 6 Since obesity is closely associated with HS and sleep duration is also related to 7 obesity, we performed analyses among non-obese individuals to address residual confounding factors due to obesity. The associations between sleep duration, HS, and HS plus an 8 intermediate/high FIB-4 were similar in non-obese individuals with a BMI of <25 kg/m². 9 Specifically, after adjustment for age, sex, center, year of screening exam, alcohol 10 consumption, smoking, physical activity, season, total energy intake, marital status, education 11 level, depression and history of hypertension, multivariable-adjusted HR (95% CIs) for 12 13 incident HS regardless of fibrosis score comparing sleep durations of ≤ 5 , 6, 8, and ≥ 9 h with 7 h were 1.17 (1.12-1.22), 1.07 (1.04-1.11), 0.98 (0.93-1.02), and 0.88 (0.80-0.97), 14 respectively. The corresponding HRs (95% CIs) for HS plus an intermediate/high FIB-4 were 15 1.38 (1.05-1.79), 1.20 (0.98-1.47), 1.13 (0.86-1.49), and 1.20 (0.68-2.11), respectively. 16 17

18 **DISCUSSION**

In this large-scale prospective cohort study of relatively young adults with a median age of 36.6 years, a median follow-up of over four years and the availability of repeated measurements of sleep habits, NAFLD status, and other covariates, short sleep duration was found to be independently associated with an increased risk of developing NAFLD both with and without an intermediate/high fibrosis score. These associations between short sleep duration and increased risk of developing NAFLD were attenuated after adjustment for either

1 BMI or waist circumference, but still remained significant. Additionally, the associations 2 remained significant after adjustment for changes in sleep duration and other confounders over time, (as time-varying covariates in the time-dependent models). Interestingly, sleep 3 4 quality was not significantly associated with the risk of NAFLD and the association between sleep duration and HS did not significantly differ by sleep quality. However, the association 5 6 between sleep duration and HS plus intermediate/high FIB-4 significantly differed by sleep 7 quality. In individuals with good sleep quality, sleep duration was inversely associated with HS plus an intermediate/high FIB-4 in a dose-response manner, while both short and long 8 sleep durations were associated with an increased risk of HS plus an intermediate/high FIB-4, 9 10 showing a U-shaped association in those with poor sleep quality. The reason for the increased risk of HS plus an intermediate/high FIB-4 level among individuals with long sleep duration 11 in those with poor sleep quality, and the decreased risk in those with good sleep quality, is not 12 13 fully understood. Compared with individuals with normal sleep duration, those with long sleep duration have been reported as having a higher risk of obstructive sleep apnea and 14 insomnia symptoms, such as increased sleep fragmentation, wake after sleep onset, and sleep 15 latency(26). Additionally, habitually long sleep duration has been reported to be associated 16 with poor physical and mental health status(27, 28); thus, excessively long sleep duration may 17 18 be an indicator of coping with and compensating for this poor sleep quality and other unmeasured features of poor health status(26-28). 19

A meta-analysis including five cross-sectional studies and one cohort study found a small but significantly increased risk of NAFLD among individuals with a short sleep duration compared to those with a longer sleep duration.(14) In contrast, another meta-analysis of six cross-sectional studies and two cohort studies found that neither short nor long sleep duration was related to NAFLD risk.(15) However, these meta-analyses were both limited in that they

included only a few cohort studies; the former only included one cohort study,(14) and the
latter two cohort studies.(15)

An earlier cohort study of 2133 middle-aged Japanese patients showed an association between 3 4 short sleep duration and reduced risk of NAFLD (17), whereas a recent cohort study of 12,306 Japanese adults reported a significant association between short sleep duration and 5 6 increased risk of NAFLD (19). A cohort study of 5427 Korean adults reported that long sleep duration was associated with an increased incidence of NAFLD, based on the NAFLD scores 7 rather than on ultrasonography.(29) Finally, a different cohort study of 8965 Chinese subjects 8 with a mean age of 61.6 years demonstrated a positive association between long sleep 9 10 duration (8-9 h/day) and new-onset NAFLD. (16) However, this study was limited in that it only included a very small number of subjects in the short sleep duration category (only 96 11 subjects with a sleep duration of <6 h).(16) Also, the prevalence of sleep disorders increases 12 13 with age, and approximately 50% of the elderly have sleep problems.(30) None of previous studies evaluated the impact of sleep quality as either the main exposure or effect modifier on 14 the risk of incident NAFLD. 15

Several plausible mechanisms may explain the association between sleep and NAFLD. Sleep 16 deprivation may increase the ghrelin but decrease leptin levels, causing a rise in appetite,(31) 17 18 subsequently resulting in weight gain and obesity, which is a strong risk factor for NAFLD.(1) In our study, adjustment for either BMI or waist circumference attenuated the 19 relationship between sleep duration and incident HS or HS plus an intermediate/high FIB-4, 20 but the results remained statistically significant. Furthermore, this association was observed in 21 non-obese individuals with a BMI < 25 kg/ m². Therefore, the association between sleep 22 duration and NAFLD appears to not be fully explained by obesity. However, given that the 23 association between sleep duration and NAFLD risk was attenuated by approximately 14% 24

1	after adjustment for BMI or waist circumference, the role of overall and abdominal obesity is
2	likely to contribute to the association between sleep duration and NAFLD risk. Studies
3	suggest that sleep deprivation and sleep disturbance can decrease insulin sensitivity,(10, 32) a
4	key pathogenic mechanism of NAFLD. Second, sleep deprivation provokes proinflammatory
5	activity (e.g., increased IL-6 or TNF-alpha(32, 33)), ^{39 41} which can induce inflammation,
6	another mechanism of NAFLD. Furthermore, melatonin is known to function as a strong
7	antioxidant, and low levels of melatonin may influence liver disease.(34) In support of the
8	beneficial effect of melatonin, a randomized controlled trial of 100 patients with
9	histologically proven NAFLD showed a beneficial effect of melatonin treatment on liver
10	enzyme levels.(35)
11	A major strength of our study is that we have shown the effect of sleep duration and sleep
12	quality on NAFLD and its severity using a large-scale cohort. Additionally, the availability of
13	repeated measurements of sleep habits, NAFLD status, and other covariates enabled us to take
14	into account the effects of changes in these variables as time-varying covariates. Furthermore,
15	our findings are derived from a relatively young population less likely to be affected by
16	survivor bias or bias related to comorbidities. However, age is an important risk factor for
17	NAFLD and its progression (36, 37). Therefore, our findings derived from young adults may
18	not be generalizable to older populations. However, recent studies suggest that the prevalence
19	of hepatic steatosis and fibrosis is increasing in younger populations(38, 39). The results of
20	our study emphasize the importance of starting lifestyle modifications at an early age to
21	prevent progression of the disease. Currently, the risk factors for lean NAFLD are not fully
22	understood,(40) despite an increase in its prevalence, especially in Asians.(41) Importantly,
23	our study findings suggest that short sleep duration could be a risk factor for lean NAFLD, as
24	we also know that short sleep duration is associated with an increased risk of NAFLD in non-

1 obese subjects. The effect size was comparably small. However, we emphasize that NAFLD 2 is one of the most common liver diseases worldwide and sleep shortage is common, with an estimated prevalence of over 20% (9, 42). In the absence of approved medication for NAFLD, 3 4 healthy lifestyle adoption, which also includes better quality and adequate sleep duration, continues to be at the center of primary and secondary prevention of this disease. 5 6 There were several limitations to our study. First, sleep duration was self-reported in the PSOI 7 questionnaire, which has been reported to show a moderate correlation with objectively measured sleep duration(43). In a study of 112 volunteers, consisting of a group aged 18-32 8 years (n=59) and an older group aged 59–75 years (n=53), the global and component scores of 9 10 the PSQI correlated well with sleep diary variables and CES-D scores(44). In contrast, the PSQI score did not correlate with sleep variables measured using Actigraph accelerometers in 11 the whole group, while significant correlations were only observed between the PSQI sleep 12 13 duration component and total sleep time in the younger group(44). Further studies with objective and subjective sleep measures are required to confirm the relationship between sleep 14 quantity and quality and NAFLD risk. Second, a histologic assessment of the liver was not 15 performed. However, abdominal ultrasonography is widely used in large cohort studies, as a 16 measure to diagnose hepatic steatosis with acceptable diagnostic accuracy for the detection of 17 18 fatty liver.(45) Although a high FIB-4 or NFS is a validated proxy measure of high probability of advanced liver fibrosis(24), it is possible that some subjects with intermediate 19 FIB-4 or NFS scores do not have liver fibrosis. Finally, our study population comprised 20 relatively young and middle-aged Koreans, possibly limiting the generalizability of our 21 22 findings to other age groups, populations with a higher prevalence of comorbidities, or other ethnic groups. 23

24 In conclusion, our results show that short sleep duration was associated with an increased risk

of incident NAFLD, both with and without intermediate/high fibrosis scores at follow up. We
suggest that interventional studies that modify sleep duration are necessary to test whether
there is a beneficial effect of ameliorating sleep deprivation on the risk of NAFLD.

4

5 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

6 This study was supported by SKKU Excellence in Research Award Research Fund,
7 Sungkyunkwan University, 2020. This study was supported by the National Research
8 Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT, & Future Planning (NRF9 2017R1A2B2008401). CDB is supported in part by the Southampton NIHR Biomedical
10 Research Centre (IS-BRC-20004), United Kingdom.

1 **REFERENCES**

Sheka AC, Adeyi O, Thompson J, et al. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis: A Review. JAMA
 2020;323:1175-1183.

Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, et al. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic
 fatty liver disease-Meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes.
 Hepatology 2016;64:73-84.

7 3. Byrne CD, Targher G. NAFLD: a multisystem disease. J Hepatol 2015;62:S47-64.

4. Younossi ZM. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease - A global public health perspective. J
Hepatol 2019;70:531-544.

5. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, et al. The diagnosis and management of
nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study
of Liver Diseases. Hepatology 2018;67:328-357.

Byson JK, Anstee QM, McPherson S. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a practical
approach to treatment. Frontline Gastroenterol 2014;5:277-286.

15 7. Irwin MR, Olmstead R, Carroll JE. Sleep Disturbance, Sleep Duration, and
16 Inflammation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies and Experimental
17 Sleep Deprivation. Biol Psychiatry 2016;80:40-52.

Grandner MA, Sands-Lincoln MR, Pak VM, et al. Sleep duration, cardiovascular
 disease, and proinflammatory biomarkers. Nat Sci Sleep 2013;5:93-107.

Sheehan CM, Frochen SE, Walsemann KM, et al. Are U.S. adults reporting less sleep?:
 Findings from sleep duration trends in the National Health Interview Survey, 2004-2017. Sleep
 2019;42.

Hall MH, Muldoon MF, Jennings JR, et al. Self-reported sleep duration is associated
with the metabolic syndrome in midlife adults. Sleep 2008;31:635-43.

Xi B, He D, Zhang M, et al. Short sleep duration predicts risk of metabolic syndrome:
 a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev 2014;18:293-7.

Itani O, Kaneita Y, Tokiya M, et al. Short sleep duration, shift work, and actual days
taken off work are predictive life-style risk factors for new-onset metabolic syndrome: a sevenyear cohort study of 40,000 male workers. Sleep Med 2017;39:87-94.

6 13. Rinella ME. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review. JAMA
7 2015;313:2263-73.

8 14. Wijarnpreecha K, Thongprayoon C, Panjawatanan P, et al. Short sleep duration and risk
9 of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol
10 Hepatol 2016;31:1802-1807.

11 15. Shen N, Wang P, Yan W. Sleep Duration and the Risk of Fatty Liver Disease: A
12 Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Sci Rep 2016;6:31956.

13 16. Liu C, Zhong R, Lou J, et al. Nighttime sleep duration and risk of nonalcoholic fatty
14 liver disease: the Dongfeng-Tongji prospective study. Ann Med 2016;48:468-476.

15 17. Miyake T, Kumagi T, Furukawa S, et al. Short sleep duration reduces the risk of
16 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease onset in men: a community-based longitudinal cohort study. J
17 Gastroenterol 2015;50:583-9.

18. Trovato FM, Martines GF, Brischetto D, et al. Fatty liver disease and lifestyle in
youngsters: diet, food intake frequency, exercise, sleep shortage and fashion. Liver Int
2016;36:427-33.

19. Okamura T, Hashimoto Y, Hamaguchi M, et al. Short sleep duration is a risk of incident
nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a population-based longitudinal study. J Gastrointestin Liver
Dis 2019;28:73-81.

24 20. Angulo P, Kleiner DE, Dam-Larsen S, et al. Liver Fibrosis, but No Other Histologic

Features, Is Associated With Long-term Outcomes of Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver
 Disease. Gastroenterology 2015;149:389-97 e10.

3 21. Dulai PS, Singh S, Patel J, et al. Increased risk of mortality by fibrosis stage in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Hepatology 2017.

5 22. Chang Y, Ryu S, Sung KC, et al. Alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and
6 associations with coronary artery calcification: evidence from the Kangbuk Samsung Health
7 Study. Gut 2019;68:1667-1675.

8 23. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, 3rd, Monk TH, et al. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a
9 new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res 1989;28:193-213.

Shah AG, Lydecker A, Murray K, et al. Comparison of noninvasive markers of fibrosis
in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009;7:1104-12.

Angulo P, Hui JM, Marchesini G, et al. The NAFLD fibrosis score: a noninvasive
system that identifies liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD. Hepatology 2007;45:846-54.

Tan X, Chapman CD, Cedernaes J, et al. Association between long sleep duration and
increased risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes: A review of possible mechanisms. Sleep Med Rev
2018;40:127-134.

Grandner MA, Drummond SP. Who are the long sleepers? Towards an understanding
of the mortality relationship. Sleep Med Rev 2007;11:341-60.

Stamatakis KA, Punjabi NM. Long sleep duration: a risk to health or a marker of risk?
 Sleep Med Rev 2007;11:337-9.

Kim JH, Jung DH, Kwon YJ, et al. The impact of the sleep duration on NAFLD score
 in Korean middle-aged adults: a community-based cohort study. Sleep Med 2019;57:144-150.
 Patel D, Steinberg J, Patel P. Insomnia in the Elderly: A Review. J Clin Sleep Med

24 2018;14:1017-1024.

Morselli L, Leproult R, Balbo M, et al. Role of sleep duration in the regulation of
 glucose metabolism and appetite. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010;24:687-702.

3 32. Briancon-Marjollet A, Weiszenstein M, Henri M, et al. The impact of sleep disorders
4 on glucose metabolism: endocrine and molecular mechanisms. Diabetol Metab Syndr
5 2015;7:25.

Batel SR, Zhu X, Storfer-Isser A, et al. Sleep duration and biomarkers of inflammation.
Sleep 2009;32:200-4.

8 34. Sun H, Huang FF, Qu S. Melatonin: a potential intervention for hepatic steatosis. Lipids
9 Health Dis 2015;14:75.

Pakravan H, Ahmadian M, Fani A, et al. The Effects of Melatonin in Patients with
 Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Adv Biomed Res 2017;6:40.
 Golabi P, Paik J, Reddy R, et al. Prevalence and long-term outcomes of non-alcoholic
 fatty liver disease among elderly individuals from the United States. BMC Gastroenterol
 2019;19:56.

37. Vernon G, Baranova A, Younossi ZM. Systematic review: the epidemiology and natural
history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in adults. Aliment
Pharmacol Ther 2011;34:274-85.

38. Abeysekera KWM, Fernandes GS, Hammerton G, et al. Prevalence of steatosis and
fibrosis in young adults in the UK: a population-based study. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol
2020;5:295-305.

39. Zhang X, Wu M, Liu Z, et al. Increasing prevalence of NAFLD/NASH among children,
adolescents and young adults from 1990 to 2017: a population-based observational study. BMJ
Open 2021;11:e042843.

24 40. Kumar R, Mohan S. Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Lean Subjects:

1	Charac	cteristics and Implications. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2017;5:216-223.
2	41.	Lu FB, Zheng KI, Rios RS, et al. Global epidemiology of lean non-alcoholic fatty liver
3	disease	e: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020.
4	42.	Tarantino G, Citro V, Capone D. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Challenge from
5	Mecha	nisms to Therapy. J Clin Med 2019;9.
6	43.	Lauderdale DS, Knutson KL, Yan LL, et al. Self-reported and measured sleep duration:
7	how si	milar are they? Epidemiology 2008;19:838-45.
8	44.	Grandner MA, Kripke DF, Yoon IY, et al. Criterion validity of the Pittsburgh Sleep
9	Qualit	y Index: Investigation in a non-clinical sample. Sleep Biol Rhythms 2006;4:129-139.
10	45.	Hernaez R, Lazo M, Bonekamp S, et al. Diagnostic accuracy and reliability of
11	ultrasc	prography for the detection of fatty liver: a meta-analysis. Hepatology 2011;54:1082-90.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		

Characteristics	0 "	Sleep duration (hours)							
	Overall	≤ 5	6	7	8	≥ 9			
Number	143,306	19,003	48,163	49,589	21,766	4,785			
Age (years) ^a	36.6 (6.6)	36.7 (7.0)	36.8 (6.8)	36.6 (6.5)	36.2 (6.3)	35.2 (6.1)			
Men (%)	38.5	40.2	47.4	39.0	22.4	8.6			
Obesity (%)	11.8	14.1	13.6	11.0	8.6	7.1			
Current smoker (%)	13.3	15.8	15.8	12.9	8.0	3.8			
Alcohol intake (%) ^b	25.0	27.4	29.2	24.6	17.1	12.4			
Alcohol intake, gram/day	6.2 (6.5)	6.6 (6.8)	6.9 (6.9)	6.1 (6.5)	4.8 (5.5)	3.8 (4.6)			
Men	9.9 (7.7)	10.2 (7.9)	10.1 (7.7)	9.8 (7.7)	9.4 (7.6)	9.2 (7.4)			
Women	3.7 (3.9)	3.9 (4.2)	3.9 (4.0)	3.6 (3.9)	3.4 (3.7)	3.3 (3.8)			
HEPA (%)	14.1	15.5	14.3	14.1	13.1	11.5			
High education (%) ^d	87.9	86.8	88.9	88.6	86.0	82.7			
Married (%)	78.4	72.1	74.9	79.8	86.0	90.7			
Depression (%)	11.6	19.7	11.8	9.2	9.5	11.9			
Hypertension (%)	4.4	4.9	4.9	4.3	3.2	2.3			
Diabetes (%)	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6			
History of CVD (%)	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.4	0.8			
BMI (kg/m^2)	21.9 (2.6)	22.1 (2.7)	22.1 (2.6)	21.8 (2.6)	21.4 (2.6)	21.0 (2.5)			
Waist circumference (cm)	77.0 (7.2)	77.5 (8.1)	77.8 (8.1)	76.8 (7.9)	75.5 (7.6)	74.3 (7.2)			
Systolic BP (mmHg) ^a	104.8 (11.7)	104.9 (11.7)	105.8 (11.8)	104.9 (11.8)	103.0 (11.3)	101.1 (10.5)			
Diastolic BP (mmHg) ^a	66.9 (8.8)	66.9 (8.8)	67.5 (8.9)	67.0 (8.8)	65.8 (8.5)	64.7 (7.9)			
Glucose (mg/dl) ^a	91.3 (9.2)	91.0 (9.7)	91.6 (9.4)	91.4 (9.2)	91.0 (8.7)	90.1 (8.7)			
Total cholesterol (mg/dl) ^a	187.4 (31.6)	188.5 (31.8)	188.4 (31.5)	187.3 (31.4)	185.2 (31.6)	183.8 (32.1)			
LDL-C (mg/dl) ^a	113.6 (29.4)	114.5 (29.7)	115.1 (29.6)	113.5 (29.2)	111.0 (28.8)	109.0 (28.6)			
HDL-C (mg/dl) ^a	63.0 (14.9)	63.2 (15.2)	62.3 (14.9)	63.1 (14.8)	63.9 (14.8)	64.8 (14.4)			

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants by sleep duration

Triglycerides (mg/dl) ^c	75 (57-103)	75 (56-104)	76 (58-105)	75 (57-103)	72 (56-98)	71 (54-95)
ALT (U/l) ^c	15 (11-20)	15 (11-20)	15 (12-21)	15 (11-20)	13 (11-18)	12 (10-16)
GGT (U/l) ^c	15 (11-22)	15 (11-23)	16 (12-24)	15 (11-22)	13 (10-19)	12 (10-17)
HOMA-IR ^c	1.04 (0.71-1.48)	1.01 (0.68-1.44)	1.02 (0.69-1.46)	1.05 (0.71-1.49)	1.08 (0.73-1.53)	1.08 (0.73-1.53)
hsCRP (mg/l) ^c	0.3 (0.2-0.6)	0.3 (0.2-0.7)	0.3 (0.2-0.7)	0.3 (0.2-0.6)	0.3 (0.2-0.6)	0.3 (0.2-0.6)
Total energy intake ^{c,e}	1445.1 (1085.2-	1451.5 (1072.1-	1456.0 (1096.8-	1444.8 (1094.1-	1423.3 (1069.4-	1397.0 (1017.5-
	1833.5)	1863.9)	1851.7)	1822.6)	1801.3)	1802.3)
Poor sleep quality (%)	20.1	45.8	21.8	13.2	10.8	13.4

Data are expressed as the ^a mean (standard deviation), ^c median (interquartile range), or percentage. ^b \geq 10 g of ethanol per day; ^d \geq college graduate; ^e among 143,306 subjects with plausible estimated energy intake levels (within three standard deviations from the log-transformed mean energy intake)

Abbreviations: CVD, cardiovascular disease; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HEPA, health-enhancing physical activity; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance.

	Sleep duration (hours)						<i>P</i> for Subjective sleep q	
	≤5	6	7	8	≥9	trend	Good	Poor
Person-years (PY)	78,095	204,972	216,532	97,316	21,668		498,010	120,573
Incident cases	4,128	10,567	9,326	3,244	552		22,982	4,835
Incidence density $(/10^3 \text{ PY})$	52.9	51.6	43.1	33.3	25.5		46.1	40.1
Multivariable-adjusted HR								
Model 1	1.24 (1.19-1.28)	1.09 (1.06-1.12)	1.0	0.97(0.94 -1.01)	0.94 (0.86-1.03)	< 0.001	1.0	1.07 (1.04-1.11)
Model 2	1.19 (1.14-1.23)	1.07 (1.04-1.10)	1.0	0.98(0.94 - 1.02)	0.95 (0.87-1.03)	0.002	1.0	1.00 (0.97-1.04)
Model 3	1.07 (1.03-1.11)	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	1.0	0.99 (0.96-1.04)	1.00 (0.91-1.09)	0.001	1.0	1.05 (1.02-1.09)
Model 4	1.10 (1.05-1.14)	1.03 (1.00-1.06)	1.0	0.97 (0.93-1.01)	0.95 (0.87-1.04)	< 0.001	1.0	1.03 (1.00-1.07)
Time dependent model 1 ^b	1.20 (1.15-1.25)	1.07 (1.04-1.10)	1.0	0.97 (0.93-1.01)	0.88 (0.80-0.97)	< 0.001	1.0	0.97 (0.94-1.01)
Time dependent model 2 ^b	1.06 (1.02-1.10)	1.01 (0.99-1.04)	1.0	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	0.95 (0.86-1.04)	0.001	1.0	1.02 (0.99-1.06)
Time dependent model 3 ^b	1.16 (1.06-1.15)	1.03 (1.001-1.06)	1.0	0.98 (0.94-1.02)	0.91 (0.83-1.001)	< 0.001	1.0	1.00 (0.96-1.03)

Table 2. Hazard ratios ^a (95% CI) of incident hepatic steatosis (regardless of fibrosis score) by sleep duration and subjective sleep quality

^a Estimated from parametric proportional hazard models. Multivariable model 1 was adjusted for age and sex; model 2: model 1 plus adjustment for center, year of screening exam, season, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, marital status, season, education level, depression, history of diabetes, and history of hypertension; model 3: model 2 plus adjustment for BMI; model 4: model 2 plus adjustment for waist circumference.

^b Estimated from parametric proportional hazard models with sleep duration, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, total energy intake, marital status, depression, history of diabetes, and history of hypertension as time-dependent categorical variables and baseline age, sex, center, year of screening exam, education level as time-fixed variables: Time dependent model 2; Time dependent model 1 plus adjustment for BMI as time-varying variable; Time dependent model 3; Time dependent model 1 plus adjustment for waist circumference as time-varying variable

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazards ratio.

	Sleep duration (hours)						Subjecti	Subjective sleep quality	
	≤5	6	7	8	≥9	trend	Good	Poor	
HS +	-	-	-	-			-	-	
Intermediate/high based on Fib4									
Person-years (PY)	87,425	229,519	237,443	103,907	22,692		550,419	130,567	
Incident cases	232	591	461	163	24		1242	229	
Incidence density $(/10^3 \text{ PY})$	2.7	2.6	1.9	1.6	1.1		2.3	1.8	
Multivariable-adjusted HR									
Model 1	1.37 (1.17-1.61)	1.16 (1.03-1.31)	1.0	1.11 (0.93-1.33)	1.06 (0.70-1.60)	0.002	1.0	1.08 (0.94-1.25)	
Model 2	1.30 (1.11-1.54)	1.14 (1.01-1.29)	1.0	1.11 (0.93-1.33)	1.08 (0.71-1.63)	0.021	1.0	0.97 (0.84-1.13)	
Model 3	1.19 (1.01-1.40)	1.08 (0.95-1.22)	1.0	1.14 (0.96-1.37)	1.14 (0.75-1.72)	0.392	1.0	1.02 (0.88-1.19)	
Model 4	1.25 (1.05-1.49)	1.08 (0.94-1.24)	1.0	1.03 (0.84-1.27)	0.99 (0.62-1.57)	0.038	1.0	0.96 (0.81-1.13)	
Time dependent model 1 ^b	1.21 (1.03-1.43)	1.03 (0.91-1.17)	1.0	0.86 (0.71-1.05)	1.03 (0.67-1.56)	0.006	1.0	1.03 (0.89-1.19)	
Time dependent model 2 ^b	1.06 (0.91-1.25)	0.97 (0.86-1.10)	1.0	0.89 (0.73-1.08)	1.08 (0.71-1.64)	0.339	1.0	1.08 (0.93-1.25)	
Time dependent model 3 ^b	1.09 (0.92-1.28)	0.99 (0.87-1.12)	1.0	0.86 (0.70-1.05)	1.03 (0.68-1.56)	0.139	1.0	1.05 (0.90-1.22)	
HS +									
Intermediate/high based on NFS									
Person-years (PY)	87081	228,463	236,841	103,769	22,657		548,535	130,274	
Incident cases	339	339	716	215	39		1911	353	
Incidence density $(/10^3 \text{ PY})$	3.9	4.2	3.0	2.1	1.7		3.5	2.7	
Multivariable-adjusted HR									
Model 1	1.30 (1.14-1.48)	1.22 (1.11-1.35)	1.0	0.93 (0.80-1.09)	1.10 (0.80-1.53)	< 0.001	1.0	1.07 (0.95-1.20)	
Model 2	1.26 (1.10-1.44)	1.21 (1.10-1.33)	1.0	0.93 (0.80-1.09)	1.11 (0.80-1.53)	< 0.001	1.0	1.01 (0.90-1.14)	
Model 4	1.15 (1.00-1.34)	1.13 (1.01-1.26)	1.0	0.89 (0.74-1.06)	1.15 (0.80-1.63)	0.005	1.0	1.01 (0.88-1.15)	
Time dependent model 1 ^b	1.20 (1.05-1.37)	1.16 (1.05-1.28)	1.0	0.86 (0.73-1.01)	0.95 (0.67-1.35)	< 0.001	1.0	0.99 (0.87-1.12)	
Time dependent model 3 ^b	1.01 (0.88-1.16)	1.07 (0.97-1.18)	1.0	0.86 (0.73-1.01)	0.97 (0.69-1.38)	0.065	1.0	1.02 (0.90-1.16)	

Table 3. Hazard ratios ^a (95% CI) of incident hepatic steatosis (HS) plus intermediate/high probability of advanced fibrosis by sleep duration and subjective sleep quality

^a Estimated from parametric proportional hazard models. Multivariable model 1 was adjusted for age and sex; model 2: model 1 plus adjustment for center, year of screening exam, season, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, marital status, season, education level, depression, history of diabetes (only for FIB4), and history of hypertension; model 3: model 2 plus adjustment for BMI; model 4: model 2 plus adjustment for waist circumference.

^b Estimated from parametric proportional hazard models with sleep duration, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, total energy intake, marital status, depression, history of diabetes, and history of hypertension as time-dependent categorical variables and baseline age, sex, center, year of screening exam, education level as time-fixed variables: Time dependent model 2; Time dependent model 1 plus adjustment for BMI as time-varying variable; Time dependent model 3; Time dependent model 1 plus adjustment for waist circumference as time-varying variable Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazards ratio.

Table 4. Hazard ratios a (95% CI) of incident hepatic steatosis (HS) without or with intermediate/high probability of advanced fibrosis by sleep duration

among subjects with and without poor sleep quality

	Sleep duration (hours)					P for trend	P for
	≤5	6	7	8	≥9	-	interaction
HS regardless of fibrosis score							
Sleep quality							0.743
Good (N=114,577)	1.20 (1.15-1.25)	1.08 (1.04-1.11)	1.0	0.98 (0.94-1.03)	0.94 (0.86-1.03)	< 0.001	
Poor (N=28,729)	1.13 (1.05-1.23)	1.05 (0.97-1.13)	1.0	0.94 (0.83-1.08)	0.99 (0.78-1.26)	< 0.001	
HS+ Intermediate/high FIB-4							
Sleep quality							0.047
Good (N=114,577)	1.31 (1.08-1.58)	1.11 (0.97-1.26)	1.0	1.12 (0.93-1.35)	0.85 (0.52-1.38)	0.033	
Poor (N=28,729)	1.45 (0.97-2.15)	1.41 (0.96-2.08)	1.0	0.95 (0.46-1.98)	3.33 (1.48-7.51)	0.365	
HS+ Intermediate/high NFS							
Sleep quality							0.068
Good (N=114,577)	1.28 (1.10-1.49)	1.18 (1.07-1.31)	1.0	0.90 (0.77-1.06)	0.94 (0.65-1.36)	< 0.001	
Poor (N=28,729)	1.36 (0.99-1.88)	1.44 (1.06-1.97)	1.0	1.34 (0.80-2.23)	2.72 (1.34-5.51)	0.684	

^a Estimated from parametric proportional hazard models. Multivariable model was adjusted for age, sex, center, year of screening exam, season, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, marital status, season, education level, depression, history of diabetes (not for HS+ Intermediate/high NFS), and history of hypertension

P for quadratic term =0.001 for the association between sleep duration and incident HS; *P for quadratic term* =0.08 for the association between sleep duration and incident HS plus intermediate/high FIB-4; and *P for quadratic term* =0.192 for the association between sleep duration and incident HS plus intermediate/high NFS among participants with poor sleep quality

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazards ratio.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Flowchart of the included subjects.

Figure 2. Multivariable-adjusted HRs for NAFLD. The curves represent adjusted HRs for incident NAFLD based on restricted cubic splines with knots at the 5th, 27.5th, 50th, 72.5th, and 95th percentiles of sleep duration distribution. The model was adjusted for age, sex, center, year of the screening exam, BMI, alcohol consumption, smoking, physical activity, total energy intake, marital status, education level, depression, history of diabetes, and history of hypertension.

Participants who underwent a comprehensive health examination with information on sleep duration and sleep quality at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital between 2011 and 2017 had at least one follow-up visit through December 31, 2019 (N=295,404)

Exclusions (n=143,964): some individuals met more than one exclusion criterion

- Missing information on liver ultrasound, body mass index or glucose (n=1,141)
- Night shift workers (n=22,277)
- History of sleep apnea based on self-report (n=1,535)
- History of narcolepsy (n=180)
- History of malignancy (n=6,439)
- Alcohol intake of \geq 30 g/day for men and \geq 20 g/day for women (n=44,984)
- -Positive serologic markers for hepatitis B or C virus (n=9,496)
- Use of steatogenic medications within the past year (n=1,639)
- History of liver cirrhosis or findings of liver cirrhosis on ultrasound (n=91)
- History of hepatitis or use of medications for liver disease (n=10,454)
- Fatty liver based on ultrasound (n=82,433; 15,155 women and 67,278 men)
- Intermediate or high probability of advanced fibrosis based on either FIB-4 or NFS (n=33,887)

Participants were included in the final analysis (n=143,306)





SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

S1. Measurement

Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), 19-item self-administered questionnaire, at baseline and during the follow-up sessions was used to assess sleep duration and quatliy.(1) It consists of seven components, including subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleeping medication, and daytime function. Each component score ranges from 0 (best) to 3 (worst sleep properties), and the PSQI score is calculated as the sum of each component score to generate an overall score. In one of the PSQI items, the subjects were asked to report the hours of actual asleep at night in a typical 24 h period over the previous month. Sleep duration was obtained by rounding values of 30 min or more up to the nearest hour and rounding values less than 30 min down to the nearest hour. Sleep quality was categorized into two groups (good: PSQI score <6, poor: PSQI score ≥ 6) (1). Sleep duration were grouped into $\leq 5, 6, 7, 8$, and ≥ 9 h. Since 7 hours per day or more for adults are considered appropriate to maintain ideal health,(2) 7 hours was chosen as the reference and shorter duration was defined as short sleep duration. Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Korean version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale and were categorized as a CES-D score of <16 and $\geq 16.(3, 4)$

Physical activity levels were assessed using the validated Korean version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form.(5, 6) Health-enhancing physical activity (HEPA) was defined as follows: (1) vigorous activity \geq 3 days/week with \geq 1,500 accumulated metabolic equivalent (MET)-minutes/week, or (2) a combination of walking, moderate- or vigorous-intensity activities for 7 days accumulating to \geq 3,000 MET-min/week.

Sitting blood pressure (BP), height, weight, and waist circumference were measured by trained nurses. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mmHg, or current use of antihypertensive medication.

Blood and urine specimens were collected after at least 10 h of fasting. Fasting blood sample measurements included total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides, AST, ALT, gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), glucose, uric acid, hsCRP, albumin, and platelet count. The homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) index was calculated as follows: fasting blood insulin (mU/mL) × fasting blood glucose (mmol/L)/22.5.

S2. Assessment of hepatic steatosis and non-invasive fibrosis score

The diagnosis of hepatic steatosis was determined using standard criteria, including the presence of a diffuse increase in fine echoes in the liver parenchyma compared with the kidney or spleen parenchyma, deep beam attenuation, and bright vessel walls.(7) Interobserver and intra-observer reliability values for HS diagnoses were moderate to substantial (kappa statistic of 0.74) (8, 9) and excellent (kappa statistic of 0.94), respectively.(10)

The FIB-4 index was calculated using the following formula: FIB-4 = [age (years) × AST (U/L)] / [platelet count (×10⁹/L) × ALT (U/L)^{1/2}]. The subjects were classified into three groups, reflecting the probability of advanced fibrosis based on the FIB-4 score: low (FIB-4 <1.30), intermediate (FIB-4 1.30-2.66), and high (FIB-4 \ge 2.67).(11) NFS was calculated according to the following published formula: NFS = -1.675 + 0.037 × age (years) + 0.094 × BMI (kg/m²) + 1.13 × impaired fasting glycemia or diabetes (yes = 1, no = 0) + 0.99 × AST/ALT ratio - 0.013 × platelet (×10⁹/L) - 0.66 × albumin (g/dL).(12) Subjects were also

categorized into three groups reflecting the probability of advanced fibrosis based on the NFS: high (NFS >0.676), intermediate (NFS: 0.676 to -1.455), and low (NFS < -1.455).(12)

S3. Statistical modeling for data analysis

The models were initially adjusted for age and sex and then adjusted for study center (Seoul, Suwon), year of the screening exam, season (spring, summer, fall, and winter), smoking (never, past, current, or unknown), alcohol intake (none, < 10, or ≥ 10 g/day, or unknown), physical activity (inactive, minimally active, health-enhancing physical activity (HEPA), or unknown), CES-D (<16, ≥ 16 , or unknown), education level (< community college graduate, \ge community college graduate, or unknown), total energy intake, history of diabetes, history of hypertension, and history of CVD (Model 2). Next, we sought to examine whether the relationship between sleep duration and development of the primary endpoints was mediated by body mass index (BMI) as a continuous variable (Model 3) on a priori grounds.

We evaluated the mediation effect of BMI on the association between sleep duration and risk of HS or HS plus an intermediate/high probability of liver fibrosis (Model 4) if the BMI met the three criteria for being a potential mediator as follows: 1) sleep duration was associated with BMI, 2) BMI was significantly associated with the incident endpoint when sleep duration was included in the model, and 3) the addition of BMI to the model attenuated the association between sleep duration and incident HS. To explore the role of central obesity, these analyses were repeated when BMI was replaced by waist circumference (Model 4). To further explore the shape of the dose-response relationship of sleep duration with the development of NAFLD, restricted cubic splines with knots were used at the 5th, 27.5th, 50th, 72.5th, and 95th percentiles of sleep duration. We then evaluated whether the associations between sleep duration and the risk of NAFLD differed by sleep quality. The interactions

between sleep quality and sleep duration categories on the risk of NAFLD were tested using likelihood ratio tests. These tests were used to compare the models with and without multiplicative interaction terms.

References

1. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, 3rd, Monk TH, et al. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res 1989;28:193-213.

2. Hirshkowitz M, Whiton K, Albert SM, et al. National Sleep Foundation's sleep time duration recommendations: methodology and results summary. Sleep Health 2015;1:40-43.

3. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Appl Psychol Meas 1977;1:385-401.

4. Cho MJ, Kim KH. Diagnostic validity of the CES-D (Korean version) in the assessment of DSM-III R major depression. J Korean Neuropsychiatr Assoc 1993;32:381-399.

5. Chun MY. Validity and reliability of korean version of international physical activity questionnaire short form in the elderly. Korean J Fam Med 2012;33:144-51.

Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire:
 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003;35:1381-95.

7. Mathiesen UL, Franzen LE, Aselius H, et al. Increased liver echogenicity at ultrasound examination reflects degree of steatosis but not of fibrosis in asymptomatic patients with mild/moderate abnormalities of liver transaminases. Dig Liver Dis 2002;34:516-22.

8. McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochem Med (Zagreb) 2012;22:276-82.

9. Sim J, Wright CC. The kappa statistic in reliability studies: use, interpretation, and

sample size requirements. Phys Ther 2005;85:257-68.

10. Chang Y, Ryu S, Sung KC, et al. Alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and associations with coronary artery calcification: evidence from the Kangbuk Samsung Health Study. Gut 2019;68:1667-1675.

11. Shah AG, Lydecker A, Murray K, et al. Comparison of noninvasive markers of fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009;7:1104-12.

12. Angulo P, Hui JM, Marchesini G, et al. The NAFLD fibrosis score: a noninvasive system that identifies liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD. Hepatology 2007;45:846-54.