



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

A 3-dimensional endometrial organotypic model simulating the acute inflammatory decidualisation initiation phase with epithelial induction of the key endometrial receptivity marker, integrin V3

Citation for published version:

Fraser, R, Smith, R & Lin, C-J 2021, 'A 3-dimensional endometrial organotypic model simulating the acute inflammatory decidualisation initiation phase with epithelial induction of the key endometrial receptivity marker, integrin V3', *Human Reproduction Open*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hropen/hoab034>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1093/hropen/hoab034](https://doi.org/10.1093/hropen/hoab034)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Human Reproduction Open

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



A 3-dimensional endometrial organotypic model simulating the acute inflammatory decidualisation initiation phase with epithelial induction of the key endometrial receptivity marker, integrin α V β 3

Journal:	<i>Human Reproduction Open</i>
Manuscript ID	HROPEN-20-0089.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original article
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Jun-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Fraser, Rupsha; University of Edinburgh, MRC Centre for Reproductive Health; University of Edinburgh Smith, Rowena; The University of Edinburgh MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, Queen's Medical Research Institute Lin, Chih-Jen (Lance); The University of Edinburgh MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, Queen's Medical Research Institute
Keywords:	ENDOMETRIAL RECEPTIVITY, ENDOMETRIUM, CELL CULTURE, CELL SIGNALLING, PROGESTERONE, ESTROGEN

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

We thank the reviewers, Associate Editor and Editor-in-Chief for their further evaluation and comments. We have prepared a revised manuscript based on the feedback, and provide a point-by-point response to the comments below. The additions and sections linked to the comments are shown in red font within our revised manuscript, and the changed wording throughout the manuscript (as suggested by the Associate Editor), indicated in light blue font.

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author:

Although the reported findings are quite interesting, they are also quite limited. Using a single molecule for assessment of decidualization and another single molecule for assessment of receptivity is a superficial analysis, despite the chosen markers being very good ones. In fact, the single measure of decidualization, IGFBP-1 immunofluorescence, remains unquantified. The representative images (figure 1) for IGFBP-1 would seem quite convincing, but there is no measure of variability using quantitative methods. The α v β 3 expression is quantified, but exhibits only a 3-fold increase. This is surprising, as the in vivo situation shows very little epithelial staining without stimulation and quite robust staining afterwards (except for endothelium). Thus, the markers are good ones, but without other markers, the reader is left to guess whether this truly recapitulates endometrial functional changes due to hormonal decidualization stimulus.

In addition to IGFBP-1 being a robust marker for assessing differentiation status and quality of the decidual response of ESCs in culture, we also observed clear morphological changes upon hormonal stimulation (such as enlargement and rounding of the nucleus, and larger cell size) in ESCs upon hormonal stimulation (Figure 1A), which also induced a transient upregulation of ST2L, on day 6 (Figure 1B), indicating an acute inflammatory decidual response (lines 89-90, 92, and 291-298). Decidualising ESCs induce a transitory, acute autoinflammatory response, through secretion of IL-33 (a key regulator of the innate immune response), whilst upregulating the expression of its receptor, ST2L, and this signalling induces receptivity gene expression in the overlying epithelium (lines 401-405), which was indicated by the induction of epithelial α V β 3.

We acknowledge, however, that further validation would be beneficial to confirm an accurate representation of these key endometrial functional changes in our model, and we have added a section within our manuscript to describe the limitations of our study, which could include, for example, the iGenomix® Endometrial Receptivity Array (ERA) genomic tool (lines 511-512).

In this regard, changes in steroid hormone receptors after decidualization stimulus would be enlightening. The response that steroid receptors can be variable due to hormonal effects, and thus were not evaluated, is quite concerning. Eutherian mammals, including humans, universally show down regulation of epithelial ER and PR prior to implantation. Thus, demonstration of epithelial ER and/or PR

changes after decidual stimulus would be an important validation of the model and further evidence that the response to E+P+cAMP is mediated by the E+P stimulus.

While the lack of induction of epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ (upon hormonal stimulation) by EECs in monoculture suggests that the induction of epithelial $\alpha V\beta 3$ in our co-culture model may have resulted from EEC-ESC crosstalk, following potential hormonal downregulation of epithelial PR and ER, further experiments would be needed to confirm this (lines 478-483). The '*Limitations of the study*' section therefore now states that characterisation of EEC and ESC steroid receptor expression upon hormonal stimulation could be conducted for further validation of a receptive endometrial phenotype (lines 510-511).

The response about glandular versus luminal epithelium is confusing. There are molecular markers that have been proposed by DeMayo, Spencer and others, e.g. FOXA2 for glandular. Of course, there are light microscopic and ultrastructural markers as well. If none of those were done, it is unclear why the authors state that $\alpha V\beta 3$ appears on both luminal and glandular epithelium, but then indicate that it was luminal phenotype because they saw $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression. This is a very minor issue.

We apologise for the lack of clarity that led to confusion. We have discussed luminal epithelial $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression throughout the manuscript, as this is perceived as the fundamental site for endometrial receptivity (line 443). The comment regarding $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression in the glandular epithelium was in response to a question in the previous critique, regarding differential receptor expression patterns in luminal versus glandular epithelium, and we stated that $\alpha V\beta 3$ appears on both luminal and glandular epithelium.

We have deleted the reference to glandular epithelial $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression from the manuscript, and we did not observe any gland-like structures within the epithelial monolayer in our 3D model.

As mentioned in the previous critique, there is no photomicrograph evidence that the structure represents a 3-D model of the endometrium, such as seen in figure 3A. The studies cited in response to the critique do not use the combination of cell types used in this study, thus it cannot be claimed that such recapitulation is "well-established". Cell migration can easily occur in 3-D models and an intact epithelium would be necessary for a true model of receptivity that the title suggests - one that could measure function.

The '*Limitations of the study*' section, which incorporates suggestions of how our model can benefit from additional confirmation of endometrial receptivity, now includes a statement that photomicrographic verification of accurately representative 3D spatial relationships of the cell-types would further validate our model (lines 509-510).

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author:

In Supplement figure 1B, they authors show that integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression by endometrial epithelial cells (EECs) alone did not change following hormone stimulation. But were the EECs grown in monolayer 2D or 3D culture with Matrigel layer when they did this experiment? This needs to be clarified since some marker proteins may have different expression patterns 2D cultures compared to 3D cultures.

They were grown in monolayer, on Matrigel-coated plates. However, while there may be discrepancies in protein expression associated with degree of Matrigel thickness and in 2D vs. 3D culture models (although, even in our 3D construct, the EECs were seeded in a 2D monolayer above the 3D ESC assembly), rising progesterone levels are known to downregulate endometrial epithelial PR and ER expression, and therefore the hormonal stimulation is likely to not act directly on EECs to induce $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression, although we concede that further investigation would be needed to confirm this (lines 478-483).

[*If the editorial team deems it necessary, the following can be added to the manuscript at line 478: '...compartment. While there may be a degree of differential protein expression patterns associated with Matrigel thickness and in 2D vs. 3D cell culture (Liu, Qi et al. 2018, Edmondson, Broglie et al. 2014), rising progesterone levels...']

Associate Editor's comment to Author:

The two reviewers have given quite disparate opinions on the resubmitted manuscript. The overall criticism from R2 is mainly that your suggested model is not validated for functionality, and no firm conclusions can be drawn. However, the model can be seen as a new concept to study receptivity, but in need for further development and validation. As such it can be of interest to the readers of HRO.

I have the following suggestions:

1. Change the title and the wording throughout the manuscript to express that the model has a potential to improve receptivity insights. In the title and in the Summary answer in the abstract, 'novel' could be exchanged with 'potential'. In Wider implications in the Abstract, 'offers' can be replaced by 'may offer' etc. to highlight that the model needs further validation.

As suggested, we have now removed the word 'novel' from both the title and the Abstract. We have also changed the title to: **A 3-dimensional endometrial organotypic model simulating the acute inflammatory decidualisation initiation phase with epithelial induction of the key endometrial receptivity marker, integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$**

We have changed the summary answer in the Abstract to: We present a new concept to investigate endometrial receptivity, with a 3-dimensional (3D) organotypic model to simulate an early and transient acute autoinflammatory decidual status that resolves in the induction of a receptive endometrial phenotype.

We believe these changes better represent our model as a new concept to investigate endometrial receptivity, via a simplified simulation of the uterine microenvironment leading up to the induction of a receptive endometrial phenotype, rather than stating that we present 'a novel *in vitro* 3-dimensional (3D) organotypic functional co-culture model representing a receptive endometrial phenotype' (as in the previous version of the manuscript).

As suggested, we have changed the wording throughout (light blue font).

2. The Discussion section could be more structured. Under a subheading Limitations, the points raised by Reviewer 2 could be summarized, to emphasize that the model can be further developed. A specific question from Reviewer 1 regarding Suppl Fig 1B needs to be clarified. Also, line 160, the number of patients (three) could be added; "obtained from three women".

We have restructured the Discussion and added the following: '*Limitations of the study*' and '*Concluding remarks*'.

We have included the points raised by Reviewer 2 in the *Limitations of the study* section, and we have addressed the specific question from Reviewer 1 in lines 478-483.

We have also changed the wording to "obtained from three women" (now line 177).

Editor-in-Chief

Comments to the Authors:

The views of the AE and one of the reviewers indicate the need to address a number of residual issues before this paper can be considered suitable for acceptance by HROpen. This paper does need a "What does this mean for Patients" lay summary.

We have now added a '*What does this mean for patients?*' lay summary.

The authors have one more opportunity to respond to the queries and comply with the suggestions from the AE.

We thank the Editor-in-Chief for this chance to respond to the queries, which we have now addressed,

and we have made changes to our manuscript in order to comply with the Associate Editor's suggestions.

In addition, they need to explain what they mean by "endometrial factor infertility" in line 493 as this is not a term used in clinical practice.

We were referring to abnormalities of the endometrium being the cause of infertility as 'endometrial factor infertility', as we have come across this term being used in a few papers (albeit without proper descriptions of its meaning). However, we realise that this does not need to be additionally stated, since we have described the dysregulation of endometrial events (such as decidualisation and induction of endometrial receptivity) being implicated in infertility, making the statement superfluous. We have therefore removed this redundant point.

For Review Only

1 **A 3-dimensional endometrial organotypic model simulating the acute**
2 **inflammatory decidualisation initiation phase with epithelial induction of the key**
3 **endometrial receptivity marker, integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$**

4 Running title: 3D *in vitro* receptive endometrium

5

6 ¹R. Fraser*, ¹R. Smith and ¹C-J. Lin*

7

8 ¹The University of Edinburgh, MRC Centre for Reproductive Health,

9 Queen's Medical Research Institute, 47 Little France Crescent,

10 Edinburgh EH16 4TJ, Scotland, United Kingdom.

11

12 *Correspondence should be addressed to Rupsha.Fraser@ed.ac.uk or Chih-Jen.Lin@ed.ac.uk

13 Abstract

14 **Study question:** There is a distinct lack of directly relevant *in vitro* models of endometrial receptivity;
15 is it possible to develop a simplified physiological *in vitro* system representing the key cell-types
16 associated with a receptive endometrial phenotype?

17 **Summary answer:** We present a new concept to investigate endometrial receptivity, with a 3-
18 dimensional (3D) organotypic co-culture model to simulate an early and transient acute
19 autoinflammatory decidual status that resolves in the induction of a receptive endometrial
20 phenotype.

21 **What is known already:** Embryo implantation is dependent on a receptive uterine environment.
22 Ovarian steroids drive post-ovulation structural and functional changes in the endometrium, which
23 becomes transiently receptive for an implanting conceptus, termed the 'window of implantation',
24 and dysregulation of endometrial receptivity is implicated in a range of reproductive, obstetric, and
25 gynaecological disorders and malignancies. The interactions that take place within the uterine
26 microenvironment during this time are not fully understood, and human studies are constrained by
27 a lack of access to uterine tissue from specific time-points during the menstrual cycle. Physiologically
28 relevant *in vitro* model systems are therefore fundamental for conducting investigations to better
29 understand the cellular and molecular mechanisms controlling endometrial receptivity.

30 **Participants/materials, setting, methods:** An endometrial stromal cell (ESC) line, and endometrial
31 epithelial cells (EECs) isolated from uterine biopsy tissue and expanded *in vitro* by conditional
32 reprogramming, were used throughout the study. Immunocytochemical and flow cytometric
33 analyses were used to confirm epithelial phenotype following conditional reprogramming of EECs.
34 To construct an endometrial organotypic co-culture model, ESCs were embedded within a 3D
35 growth factor-reduced Matrigel structure, with a single layer of conditionally reprogrammed EECs
36 seeded on top. Cells were stimulated with increasing doses of medroxyprogesterone acetate, cyclic
37 adenosine monophosphate and estradiol, in order to induce ESC decidual transformation and
38 endometrial receptivity. Decidual response and the induction of a receptive epithelial phenotype
39 were assessed by immunocytochemical detection and quantitative in-cell western® analyses,
40 respectively.

41 **Main results and the role of chance:** A transient upregulation of the IL-33 receptor, ST2L, was
42 observed in ESCs, indicating a transient autoinflammatory decidual response to the hormonal
43 stimulation, known to induce receptivity gene expression in the overlying epithelium. Hormonal
44 stimulation induced the EEC expression of the key marker of endometrial receptivity, integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$

45 (n = 8; *P <0.05; ***P < 0.0001). To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of a dedicated
46 endometrial organotypic model, that has been developed to investigate endometrial receptivity, via
47 the recapitulation of an early decidual transitory acute autoinflammatory phase and induction of an
48 epithelial phenotypic change, to represent a receptive endometrial status.

49 **Limitations, reasons for caution:** This simplified *in vitro* ESC-EEC co-culture system may be only
50 partly representative of more complex *in vivo* conditions.

51 **Wider implications of the findings:** The 3D endometrial organotypic model presented here may
52 offer a valuable tool for investigating a range of reproductive, obstetric, and gynaecological
53 disorders, to improve outcomes for assisted reproductive technologies, and for the development of
54 advances in contraceptive methods.

55 **Study funding/competing interest(s):** This work was supported in part by an MRC Centre Grant
56 (project reference MR/N022556/1). RF was the recipient of a Moray Endowment award and a
57 Barbour Watson Trust award. C-JL is a Royal Society of Edinburgh Personal Research Fellow, funded
58 by the Scottish Government. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

59

60 **Keywords:** endometrial receptivity; window of implantation; decidualisation; endometrial
61 organotypic model; conditional reprogramming

62 **What does this mean for patients?**

63 During the first stage of the menstrual cycle, estrogen secretion from the developing ovarian follicle
64 promotes growth of the endometrium (the lining of the womb). Following ovulation, rising
65 progesterone and estrogen levels, produced by the corpus luteum (the remaining structure of the
66 ovarian follicle that contained the maturing egg before its release during ovulation), promote
67 structural and functional changes in the endometrium, in preparation for the 'window of
68 implantation' – a period of 2–5 days when the endometrium is optimally receptive to an implanting
69 embryo. This period of optimal endometrial receptivity is not only crucial for successful embryo
70 implantation, but abnormal molecular and cellular events in the endometrium during this transient
71 period have been implicated in fertility problems, obstetric complications, gynaecological disorders,
72 and endometrial cancer. In this study, we have developed a simplified cellular model, with
73 physiologically appropriate hormonal stimulation, to investigate endometrial receptivity. A more
74 comprehensive understanding of these events can lead to the development of new interventions to
75 promote pregnancy success, long-term maternal and fetal health, women's health, as well as for
76 improving contraceptive methods, and this new concept may be able to aid investigations to better
77 understand the complex mechanisms involved in the generation of endometrial receptivity.

78 Introduction

79 Embryo implantation is a critical event in human pregnancy that is reliant on a receptive uterine
80 environment. The cycling endometrium undergoes profound changes in women, leading to a
81 carefully timed and defined period during which an embryo is able to attach and invade into a
82 receptive uterus, resulting in the establishment of a successful pregnancy (Norwitz, Schust et al.
83 2001). Ovarian steroids, estrogen and progesterone, drive structural and functional changes in the
84 uterine lining, preparing it for the implantation of a conceptus. The uterine lining, known as the
85 endometrium, consists of a fibroblast-like stromal matrix lined by a single layer of columnar
86 epithelium. Following ovulation, dynamic changes take place in the endometrial stromal cell (ESC)
87 morphology, which undergo mesenchymal-to-epithelial transformation, and begin to differentiate
88 into large, secretory, 'decidualised' stromal cells, in response to rising progesterone levels produced
89 by the corpus luteum (Gellersen, Brosens et al. 2007, Salamonsen, Nie et al. 2009). **Decidual**
90 **transformation of ESCs is associated with enlargement and rounding of the nucleus**, increased
91 number of nucleoli, rough endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi complex expansion, and accumulation
92 of glycogen and lipid droplets **in the expanding cytoplasm** (Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Kajihara,
93 Tanaka et al. 2014, Okada, Tsuzuki et al. 2018).

94
95 Decidualisation is a dynamic, multistep progression of events, comprising 3 critical transitory
96 phases: (i) an acute inflammatory initiation phase that subsequently transitions to (ii) an anti-
97 inflammatory secretory phase during which time embryo implantation takes place, followed by (iii)
98 a final resolution phase (Gellersen and Brosens 2014). First, ESCs undergo cell cycle exit at G_0/G_1
99 and mount a transient pro-inflammatory response generated by a self-limiting autoinflammatory
100 response, which, in turn, results in the expression of key receptivity genes in the overlying
101 endometrial surface luminal epithelium (Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012). This renders the endometrium
102 receptive for embryo implantation for a limited period of time: the 'window of implantation'. This
103 period of optimal endometrial receptivity begins approximately 6 days post-ovulation and lasts 2–5
104 days (i.e. approximately between days 20 and 25 of an idealised 28-day cycle) (Denker 1993). A
105 receptive endometrial phenotype is not only imperative for embryo implantation and pregnancy
106 success, but aberrant decidual transformation and dysregulation of uterine receptivity have also
107 been implicated in several obstetric complications, gynaecological disorders and cancer (Norwitz
108 2006, Strowitzki, Germeyer et al. 2006, Cartwright, Fraser et al. 2010, Lessey 2011, Patel and Lessey
109 2011, Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Timeva, Shterev et al. 2014, Rabaglino, Post Uiterweer et al.
110 2015, Tan, Hang et al. 2015, Conrad, Rabaglino et al. 2017).

111

112 Interactions between different cell-types have reciprocal effects on cell phenotypes and ensuing
113 functions (Freshney 2005). The same is true for uterine compartments and the contributions of
114 these interactions to endometrial receptivity, since decidual transformation of the stroma confers
115 its ability to create paracrine gradients necessary for expression of evolutionarily conserved
116 molecules by the luminal epithelium that are fundamental for embryo implantation (Achache and
117 Revel 2006, Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012). It has been demonstrated that endometrial receptivity is
118 mediated by the activation of autoregulatory feedback loops in decidualising ESCs underlying the
119 luminal epithelium, which activate the sequential expression of pro- and anti-inflammatory gene
120 networks, and that ESCs can exert this function independent of local immune cells (Salker, Nautiyal
121 et al. 2012). As such, it is evident that there is a co-dependent relationship between the endometrial
122 stroma and epithelium, to prepare the uterus for pregnancy (Cakmak and Taylor 2011), with ESC
123 decidual transformation being a prerequisite for the generation of endometrial receptivity
124 (Vinketova, Mourdjeva et al. 2016, Yu, Berga et al. 2016). The current study focuses on the uterine
125 phenotype during the acute inflammatory initiation phase of decidual transformation implicated in
126 the generation of endometrial receptivity.

127

128 The mechanisms that control decidualisation and endometrial receptivity are highly complex, and
129 we do not yet fully understand all the interactions that take place within the uterine
130 microenvironment during this time. Uterine competence for embryo implantation sets the
131 foundation for a successful pregnancy pathway; it is only when a clearer picture of the relative
132 contributions of the cellular and molecular mechanisms leading to a receptive endometrial status
133 become apparent, that the pathophysiology of several reproductive, obstetric and gynaecological
134 disorders can be further defined, and appropriate interventions can be developed to promote
135 pregnancy success as well as long-term maternal and fetal health. Likewise, a better understanding
136 of these mechanisms will also be beneficial for innovations in contraceptive methods. We have
137 developed a simplified 3-dimensional (3D) endometrial organotypic model to investigate
138 endometrial receptivity, in which we simulate an early acute inflammatory endometrial status, that
139 resolves in the generation of a receptive luminal epithelial phenotype, known as the 'window of
140 implantation'. Organotypic culture refers to *in vitro* cell culture models in which two or more
141 previously disaggregated cell-types are recombined in experimentally determined ratios and spatial
142 relationships to reconstruct a constituent of the corresponding *in vivo* organ, as opposed to
143 histiotypic cultures (high density culture of a single cell-type within a 3D matrix) or organoid cultures

144 (simplified, self-organising stem cell-derived 3D multicellular aggregates with the ability to mimic its
145 *in vivo* organ counterpart) (Freshney 2005, Simian and Bissell 2017). An endometrial organotypic
146 culture model, albeit a simplified representation, is able to better recapitulate the morphological
147 and functional features of the *in vivo* uterine microenvironment, than is possible conventional 2-
148 dimensional or even histiotypic cell culture methods. Physiologically representative *in vitro* model
149 systems are vital for investigating the mechanisms implicated in endometrial receptivity, due to the
150 ethical and logistical limitations of human studies. While there are reports of several *in vitro*
151 endometrial co-culture models in the literature (Bentin-Ley, Horn et al. 2000, Arnold, Kaufman et
152 al. 2001, Bläuer, Heinonen et al. 2005, Wang, Pilla et al. 2012, Chen, Erikson et al. 2013), these are
153 not representative of the early acute inflammatory decidualisation phase that gives rise to a
154 transiently receptive epithelial phenotype. The new functional co-culture system presented here
155 may offer a convenient and accessible tool to improve our comprehension of interactions in the
156 uterine microenvironment during this transitory phase.

157

158 **Materials and methods**

159 *Culture and hormonal stimulation of the endometrial stromal cell line St-T1b a*

160 The human ESC-derived telomerase-immortalised cell line, St-T1b (Samalecos, Reimann et al. 2009),
161 kindly provided by Professor Jan Brosens (University of Warwick, UK), was maintained in phenol
162 red-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium DMEM/Ham's F12 (DMEM/F12; Invitrogen, Renfrew,
163 UK) with 10% steroid-depleted fetal calf serum (FCS) supplemented with 2mM L-glutamine, 1 µg/ml
164 insulin, 0.3 ng/ml 17β-estradiol (E2), 50 µg/ml penicillin, 50 µg/ml streptomycin, and 0.2% Primocin
165 (Invivogen, Toulouse, France) (ESC medium) at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Phenol red-free
166 medium was used in all experiments, due to phenol red's known estrogenic activity (Berthois,
167 Katzenellenbogen et al. 1986). To induce decidualisation, cells were treated with minimal medium
168 1 (MM1; ESC medium without insulin and E2) containing increasing concentrations of the progestin,
169 medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA), 8-bromoadenosine 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (8-
170 Br-cAMP; Cambridge Bioscience, Cambridge, UK) and E2, or MM1 with 0.001% ethanol (EtOH) (Table
171 1) every 48 hours, and cultured over 8 days at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. All reagents for St-
172 T1b cell culture were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset UK) unless stated
173 otherwise. Cultured cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) on days 4, 6 and 8 for
174 immunocytochemical analysis.

175

176 *Isolation of epithelial cells from endometrial biopsies and ethical approval*

177 Primary human endometrial biopsy tissue was obtained from three women attending
178 gynaecological outpatient departments in NHS Lothian. Written informed consent was obtained
179 from participants and ethical approval granted from Lothian Research Ethics Committee (REC
180 16/ES/0007). The women reported regular menstrual cycles and did not have exogenous hormone
181 exposure for 2 months prior to biopsy. Women receiving hormonal therapy, suffering from
182 endometriosis or those with fibroids of >3 cm were excluded. Human endometrial epithelial cells
183 (EECs) were isolated from endometrial biopsies by tissue digestion and separation from ESCs.
184 Endometrial tissue was minced using scalpel blades, followed by digestion with 100 µg/ml
185 collagenase II and 0.25 µg/ml DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset UK) for 1.5 h at 37°C. The tissue
186 homogenate was then sequentially strained through 70-µm and 40-µm membrane filters to
187 separate glandular epithelium from ESCs. The membrane filters were back-washed with PBS to
188 retrieve endometrial gland clumps, further rinsed with PBS to flush out any digestion medium, and
189 mixed well to disperse clumps. EECs were then suspended in PBS and centrifuged at 500 x g for 5
190 minutes at room temperature (RT), supernatant subsequently discarded, followed by resuspension
191 in PBS and centrifugation at 500 x g for 5 minutes at RT.

192 193 *Expansion of endometrial epithelial cells by conditional reprogramming*

194 EECs were rapidly expanded *in vitro* by conditional reprogramming with the use of Y-27632 (a Rho
195 kinase inhibitor) and fibroblast feeder cells. First, 3T3 Swiss Albino fibroblasts (cell line obtained
196 from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Culture, Public Health England, Salisbury, UK)
197 were grown in MM1 to approximately 80% confluence in T175 flasks, trypsinated, washed,
198 resuspended in MM1 and irradiated at 30 Gy. The irradiated cells were washed, cultured at 37°C in
199 an atmosphere of 5% CO₂, and conditioned medium collected 72 hours post-irradiation. EEC
200 medium was prepared with phenol red-free DMEM/Ham's F12 containing 10% steroid-depleted
201 FCS, and supplemented with 2mM L-glutamine, 5 µg/ml insulin, 24 µg/ml adenine, 0.4 µg/ml
202 hydrocortisone, 10 ng/ml epidermal growth (EGF), 8.4 ng/ml cholera toxin, 10 µmol/l Y-27632
203 (Cambridge Bioscience, Cambridge, UK, 10 µg/ml gentamycin and 0.25 µg/ml amphotericin. The
204 irradiated 3T3 conditioned medium was added to EEC medium in a 1:3 ratio, 1 part of IR 3T3
205 conditioned media to 3 parts of EEC medium; CREEC medium (conditional reprogramming EEC
206 medium), and the EECs maintained in CREEC medium at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. This
207 method has previously been shown to directly alter cell growth without selecting for a small sub-
208 population of stem-like cells, while retaining a normal non-tumourigenic karyotype, and

209 conditionally inducing an indefinite proliferative state in primary mammalian epithelial cells (Liu,
210 Ory et al. 2012, Supryniewicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron, Supryniewicz et al. 2013). All
211 reagents for EEC culture and conditional reprogramming were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich
212 (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset UK) unless stated otherwise. EECs can be cryopreserved using mFreSR™
213 cryopreservation medium (Stemcell Technologies, Cambridge, UK). Cultured EECs were fixed in 4%
214 PFA for immunocytochemical analysis.

215

216 *Immunocytochemical confirmation of ESC decidualisation and EEC phenotype*

217 Fixed cells (St-T1b cells and EECs) were permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes,
218 and washed three times with 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS (PBST). Cells were then blocked with 5% BSA in
219 PBS for 1 hour at RT, incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C, washed three times with
220 0.1% PBST, subsequently incubated with secondary antibody and 1:10,000 DAPI for 30 minutes at
221 RT in the dark, followed by a final wash with PBS. Primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-human
222 IGFBP-1 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab111203; 1:100), rat anti-mouse ST2L (IL-33R/ST2) (eBioscience,
223 Cheshire, UK; 17-9335-82; 1:100), rabbit anti-human cytokeratin-18 conjugated to phycoerythrin
224 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab218288; 1:1000), rabbit anti-human vimentin (New England Biolabs,
225 Hitchin, UK; 5741; 1:100), and mouse anti-human integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab190147;
226 1:100). A goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 546 (Invitrogen, Renfrew, UK; A-11071;
227 1:300), a donkey anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen, Renfrew, UK;
228 A10042; 1:250) and a donkey anti-mouse antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen,
229 Renfrew, UK; A21202; 1:500) were used as secondary antibodies. The cytokeratin-18 staining did
230 not require incubation with a secondary antibody. The ST2L staining did not require cell
231 permeabilisation for detection, but did require a signal amplification step after primary antibody
232 incubation, with a biotinylated goat anti-rat antibody (Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK; BA-
233 4000; 1:100) for 30 minutes at RT in the dark, followed by three washes with 0.1% PBST. Cells were
234 then incubated with streptavidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, Renfrew, UK; s11223;
235 1:200) and 1 mg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, Renfrew, UK; H3570) for 30 minutes at RT in the
236 dark, and then washed with PBS. Imaging was conducted on an Olympus IX71 microscope with a
237 QImaging optiMOS camera and CoolLED PE4000 light source (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) or a Zeiss Axio
238 Observer 7 microscope (Carl Zeiss Ltd, Cambridge, UK) with a Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash LT camera
239 (Hamamatsu Protonics, Hertfordshire, UK) and Zeiss Colibri 7 LED light source (Carl Zeiss Ltd,
240 Cambridge, UK). Images were analysed using ImageJ software (ImageJ, US National Institute of
241 Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

242

243 *Flow cytometric confirmation of EEC phenotype*

244 EECs were blocked with 10% normal goat serum for 10 minutes on ice. Cells were then either left
245 unstained (negative control) or incubated with mouse anti-human E-cadherin-BV421 (BD
246 Biosciences, Oxford, UK), mouse anti-human EpCAM-PE (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), mouse anti-
247 human CD31-PerCP-Cy5.5 and rat anti-human CD45-APC-Cy7 (BioLegend, London, UK). Flow
248 cytometry was carried out on a BD LSR Fortessa 5L flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK).
249 Analysis was carried using FlowJo software (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK).

250

251 *Generation of a 3D in-vitro organotypic model of a receptive endometrium*

252 EECs were primed in ESC medium (containing 1 µg/ml insulin and 0.3 ng/ml E2) for 48 hours at 37°C
253 in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. When the EECs had already been incubating with ESC medium 24
254 hours, St-T1b cells were seeded at a ratio of 1:3 growth factor-reduced (GFR) Matrigel (BD
255 Biosciences, Oxford, UK) in ESC medium, at a density of 6 x 10⁶ cells/ml, 60 µl/well (3.6 x 10⁵
256 cells/well) in wells of a 96-well plate, and allowed to set into a 3D structure at 37°C in an atmosphere
257 of 5% CO₂ over 45 minutes. A further 200 µl ESC medium was subsequently added to wells and
258 maintained overnight at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Once the EECs had been primed in ESC
259 medium for 48 hours, and the St-T1b cells grown within GFR Matrigel overnight, the medium was
260 removed from wells containing the St-T1b 3D structures, and EECs were trypsinated, washed,
261 resuspended in ESC medium and seeded on top of the 3D St-T1b cells at a density of 1 x 10⁷ cells/ml,
262 100 µl/well (1 x 10⁶ cells/well). To confirm that the phenotypic changes resulting from the hormonal
263 stimulation were dependent on cell-to-cell communication between the stromal and epithelial
264 compartments in our model, a parallel group was included, in which EECs were cultured alone
265 without ESCs on GFR Matrigel-coated plates. Cells were further incubated overnight at 37°C in an
266 atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Following overnight incubation, hormonal stimuli were added to cells every
267 48 hours as described in [Table 1](#), with the first addition of stimuli considered as day 0. Cells were
268 fixed with 4% PFA on days 4, 6 and 8 for quantitative in-cell western analyses.

269

270 *Quantification of integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression by in-cell western assay*

271 Fixed cells were blocked overnight with Odyssey[®] buffer (LI-COR Biosciences, Cambridge, UK),
272 followed by incubation with mouse anti-human integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab190147;
273 1:100), overnight at 4°C. Cells were then washed with PBS and the subsequent protocol, using a
274 goat anti-mouse IRDye[®] 800CW antibody and the CellTag[™] 700 normalisation stain (LI-COR

275 Biosciences, Cambridge, UK), was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells
276 were imaged and analysed using the Odyssey CLx Near-Infrared Fluorescence Imaging System (LI-
277 COR Biosciences, Cambridge, UK). In each experiment, data were normalised such that the integrin
278 $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression in the control (unstimulated) wells were given a value of 100.

279

280 *Statistical analysis*

281 One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison post-test was used to determine *P* values using
282 GraphPad Prism. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

283

284 **Results**

285 *Decidualisation induces the transient upregulation of ST2L in St-T1b cells*

286 Decidualisation was induced in St-T1b cells with increasing levels of MPA, E2 and cAMP over 8 days
287 (Table 1), to model the rising progesterone and estrogen levels that drive the structural and
288 functional changes in the secretory stage endometrium. Transformation of the St-T1b cells into
289 characteristically larger, rounded cells, 'decidualised' stromal cells, with increased cytoplasmic and
290 nuclear size, was observed. Decidualisation was confirmed by immunocytochemical analyses of
291 insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1) expression (Figure 1A). **IGFBP-1 is a widely
292 used marker to assess the differentiation status and quality of the decidual response of ESCs in
293 culture (Gao, Mazella et al. 1994, Giudice, Mark et al. 1998, Fazleabas, Kim et al. 2004, Kim, Taylor
294 et al. 2007, Samalecos, Reimann et al. 2009, Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Tamura, Jozaki et al. 2018).
295 Furthermore, decidual transformation of ESCs was additionally corroborated by visible enlargement
296 and rounding of the nucleus and an expanding cytoplasm upon hormonal stimulation, which also
297 induced a transient upregulation of the interleukin-33 (IL-33) transmembrane receptor, ST2L, on
298 day 6 (Figure 1B), indicating a transient autoinflammatory decidual response.**

299

300 *Primary human endometrial epithelial cells were rapidly expanded in vitro, by conditional 301 reprogramming, with retention of epithelial markers cells*

302 Epithelial cells can be rapidly expanded *in vitro*, by conditional reprogramming, with the use of a
303 Rho kinase inhibitor (Y-27632) and irradiated fibroblast feeder cells (Liu, Ory et al. 2012,
304 Suprynovicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron, Suprynovicz et al. 2013). This technique was
305 adapted for human EECs in the current study, following isolation from clinical endometrial biopsies
306 by tissue digestion with collagenase and DNase, and separation from ESCs (Figure 2A). Conditionally

307 reprogrammed EECs were generated, and these cells could be passaged several times with retention
308 of epithelial markers. The conditionally reprogrammed EECs could be cryopreserved in single cell
309 suspension in mFreSR™1 freezing medium and successfully revived following cryopreservation. EEC
310 phenotype was confirmed by immunocytochemical expression of the epithelial marker cytokeratin-
311 18 (CK-18) and absence of the stromal cell marker vimentin (Figure 2B). Further validation of an
312 epithelial phenotype was conducted by flow cytometric analyses, which demonstrated that the cells
313 expressed two additional epithelial markers, EpCAM and E-cadherin, but did not express the
314 leukocyte and endothelial cell markers, CD45 and CD31, respectively (Figure 2C).

315

316 *Generation of a novel endometrial organotypic in vitro co-culture model of the 'window of* 317 *implantation'*

318 Firstly, EECs and ESCs were primed in medium containing with E2 and insulin to model the
319 proliferative stage of the uterine cycle. EECs were then co-cultured with St-T1b cells in a 3D structure
320 (Figure 3A), to produce an endometrial organotypic co-culture model. The organotypic 3D co-
321 cultures were subjected to decidualisation hormonal stimuli over 8 days (Table 1), to model the
322 secretory stage of the uterine cycle and ultimately a receptive endometrial phenotype. EECs were
323 monitored for expression of integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ (a key marker of uterine receptivity) by quantitative
324 immunocytochemical detection, and in-cell western analyses demonstrated that integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$
325 expression by EECs was significantly higher on day 8 after hormonal stimulation compared to basal
326 expression where the cells did not receive any hormonal stimuli ($P < 0.0005$), as well as in
327 comparison to integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression on day 4 of treatment ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 3B). There was no
328 significant change over time in basal integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression in the control unstimulated group,
329 and the data from the stimulated cells were therefore normalised to the control unstimulated
330 group. Furthermore, there was no induction of epithelial $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression in a parallel group in
331 which EECs were cultured alone and subjected to hormonal stimuli over 8 days, indicating combined
332 effects of hormone treatment and cell-to-cell communication between the stromal and epithelial
333 compartments in our model (Supplementary figure 1).

334

335 **Discussion**

336 Decidualisation begins during the secretory phase of the menstrual cycle in response to rising
337 steroid hormone levels, and is marked by the differentiation of fibroblast-like ESCs into specialised
338 decidual cells, secretory changes in the uterine epithelial glands, the accumulation of uterine natural

339 killer cells, and vascular changes in the uterine spiral arteries (Maruyama and Yoshimura 2008,
340 Cartwright, Fraser et al. 2010, Fraser, Whitley et al. 2015). These changes are not only important for
341 implantation success, but defective endometrial receptivity is also associated with a wide range of
342 gynaecological, reproductive, obstetric disorders, as well as in the pathophysiology reproductive
343 malignancies (Makieva, Giacomini et al. 2018).

344 The key molecular players of ESC decidual transformation are progesterone and cAMP, which act
345 synergistically to stimulate successful differentiation of ESCs into their decidualised state (Brar,
346 Frank et al. 1997, Gellersen and Brosens 2003). Progesterone acts on ESCs by binding to the
347 progesterone receptor (PR), a member of the steroid/thyroid hormone receptor superfamily of
348 ligand-activated transcription factors (Gellersen and Brosens 2003). Estrogen is responsible for
349 inducing PR expression in ESCs that determine progesterone responsiveness during the secretory
350 stage of uterine cycle (Patel, Elguero et al. 2015). Rising progesterone levels drive the structural and
351 biochemical changes from proliferative to secretory ESC status, with a simultaneous generation of
352 endometrial receptivity and opening of the 'window of implantation' (Paulson 2011), and activation
353 of the cAMP second messenger pathway can direct cellular specificity to progesterone action
354 through the induction of diverse transcription factors that affect PR function (Gellersen and Brosens
355 2003). The initiation of endometrial receptivity is dependent on the local removal of steroid action
356 in the endometrial epithelium, facilitated via selective downregulation of epithelial PRs and
357 estrogen receptors (ERs), combined with the steroid-mediated paracrine effects from the stromal
358 compartment. Through the selective epithelial cell PR and ER downregulation, it is believed that
359 progesterone and estrogen act on stromal cells, which then influence epithelial cells through specific
360 paracrine factors (Lessey, Ilesanmi et al. 1996, Lessey 1998). However, although adequate
361 progesterone signalling is required to establish a receptive endometrial status, some studies suggest
362 that untimely, excess progesterone can compromise decidualisation and endometrial receptivity
363 (Labarta, Martínez-Conejero et al. 2011, Liang, Liu et al. 2018). Furthermore, while progesterone is
364 responsible for the structural ESC changes during decidualisation, animal studies have
365 demonstrated that uterine estrogen biosynthesis is crucial for the progression of decidualisation,
366 possibly by promoting stromal cell gap junction communication, which is known to be implicated in
367 preparing the endometrium for implantation (Ma, Song et al. 2003, Das, Mantena et al. 2009).
368 Moreover, studies in mice have shown that estrogen is critical in regulating the receptive
369 endometrial state; low estrogen levels can extend the 'window of implantation', whereas
370 excessively high estrogen levels can promptly initiate a refractory state, indicating that a very
371 narrow range of estrogen levels can determine the duration of endometrial receptivity, which could

372 have implications in the human setting (Ma, Song et al. 2003). Ovarian hormonal signalling must
373 therefore be stringently regulated to establish an adequately programmed, appropriately timed
374 receptive uterine environment to ensure pregnancy success, and to maintain gynaecological and
375 reproductive health.

376

377 In the present study, decidualisation was induced with increasing doses of MPA, 8-Br-cAMP and E2
378 over 8 days, in order to recapitulate the time it takes for these functional changes to occur *in vivo*,
379 since the 'window of implantation' becomes apparent (through detection of epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$
380 expression) 6–8 days after ovulation (Lessey 1998). Frequently used *in vitro* decidualisation
381 protocols include treatment of ESCs with constant doses of various combinations of progesterone
382 or a progestin, a cAMP-inducing analogue and E2, with high variability in duration of treatment
383 (Logan, Ponnampalam et al. 2013, Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Michalski, Chadchan et al. 2018).
384 Early *in vitro* decidualisation studies established that progestins (such as MPA) induce enhanced
385 decidual effects in cultured ESCs compared to progesterone, that a combination of progesterone
386 with E2 can amplify decidual effects in ESCs compared to treatment with progesterone alone, and
387 that the cAMP signal transduction cascade is a key stimulant in progesterone-dependent
388 decidualisation (Eckert and Katzenellenbogen 1981, Irwin, Kirk et al. 1989, Levin, Tonetta et al. 1990,
389 Gellersen, Kempf et al. 1994, Brar, Frank et al. 1997). Observations were based on physiological
390 doses of ovarian hormones and cAMP stimulation that induced ESC ultrastructural and molecular
391 changes characteristic of *in vivo* decidualisation (Eckert and Katzenellenbogen 1981, Irwin, Kirk et
392 al. 1989, Gellersen, Kempf et al. 1994). While the majority of *in vitro* decidualisation protocols make
393 use of continuous hormonal stimulatory doses (Logan, Ponnampalam et al. 2013, Gellersen and
394 Brosens 2014, Michalski, Chadchan et al. 2018), we used increasing doses of hormonal and cAMP
395 stimulation, to better represent the *in vivo* post-ovulatory rise in ovarian hormones and local cAMP
396 production that controls decidualisation and endometrial receptivity. Our study demonstrates that
397 these rising levels of ovarian hormones and cAMP can induce the transient upregulation of the IL-
398 33 receptor, ST2L, which was not observed when ESCs were subjected to the standard continuous
399 doses of hormonal and cAMP stimulation reported in the literature (Logan, Ponnampalam et al.
400 2013, Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Michalski, Chadchan et al. 2018) (Supplementary Figure 1).
401 Decidualising ESCs have been shown to induce a transitory, acute autoinflammatory response,
402 through secretion of IL-33 (a key regulator of the innate immune response), whilst upregulating the
403 expression of its receptor, ST2L. This IL-33-ST2L signalling induces receptivity gene expression in the
404 overlying epithelium, rendering the endometrium transiently receptive for the implantation of a

405 **conceptus** (Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012). In the absence of an implanting conceptus, the ESCs mount
406 an anti-inflammatory response that involves the downregulation of ST2L (Salker, Nautiyal et al.
407 2012).

408

409 Human studies are restricted by a lack of access to tissue throughout the different stages of the
410 menstrual cycle. The current study provides a simple *in vitro* organotypic co-culture model of a 3D
411 uterine structure, using Matrigel, an ESC cell line, and conditionally reprogrammed EECs. Matrigel is
412 rich in laminin and collagen, bearing similarities to the uterine extracellular matrix composition
413 (Tarrade, Goffin et al. 2002). Furthermore, it has been suggested that in addition to inducing a
414 stromal regulatory phenotype, Matrigel is able to act as a mediator for ESCs to signal to EECs, in a
415 similar paracrine manner to what occurs in living uterine tissue, when ESCs and EECs are co-cultured
416 with Matrigel serving as the basement membrane (Arnold, Kaufman et al. 2001). The St-T1b ESC cell
417 line used in our study expresses phenotypic ESC markers and can mimic primary decidual stromal
418 cell responses *in vitro* (Samalecos, Reimann et al. 2009), and its use eliminates patient variability, as
419 well as the possibility of 'contaminating' EECs being present within the stromal ESC component of
420 the model. However, an EEC cell line was not utilised, as all commonly-used EEC cell lines are derived
421 from malignant endometrial adenocarcinoma tissues. Since cancer cells have undergone numerous
422 genetic and epigenetic alterations, adenocarcinoma-derived cell lines are not representative of non-
423 cancerous biological processes such as decidualisation and the induction of endometrial receptivity.
424 Conditional reprogramming transcends the difficulty of growing primary EECs in long-term culture,
425 but allows propagation of primary epithelial cells into a highly proliferative state, whilst cells
426 maintain their original karyotype and remain in a non-neoplastic state (Liu, Ory et al. 2012,
427 Suprynowicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron, Suprynowicz et al. 2013). Cells are denoted as
428 'conditionally reprogrammed' due to the conditional induction of cell proliferation, with increased
429 telomerase expression, by a combination of Y-27632 (which suppresses differentiation and extends
430 life span in calcium- and serum-containing medium) and diffusible factor(s) released by the
431 irradiation-induced apoptotic 3T3 feeder cells (Suprynowicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron,
432 Suprynowicz et al. 2013). It has been suggested that the unrestricted cell proliferation induced by
433 conditional reprogramming is mediated through the induction of telomerase and cytoskeletal
434 remodelling and/or interference with the p16/Rb pathway (Liu, Ory et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron,
435 Suprynowicz et al. 2013). The capacity for rapid establishment of karyotype-stable cell cultures from
436 normal human epithelium facilitates *in vitro* cellular studies without the drawbacks of cell cultures
437 generated, for example, from induced pluripotent stem cells, such as genetic instability,

438 tumourigenicity and altered antigenicity (Suprynowicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012). Large numbers of
439 EECs were generated through conditional reprogramming in the present study, which could be
440 passaged multiple times with the retention of epithelial markers, thus providing the advantages of
441 a conventional cell line.

442

443 **The luminal epithelium is perceived as the fundamental site for endometrial receptivity (Idelevich**
444 **and Vilella 2020)**, and integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ is a cell-surface adhesion receptor that appears on the apex of
445 endometrial luminal epithelial cell surfaces, coincident with the 'window of implantation', and has
446 putative roles in embryo attachment during implantation (Rai, Hopkisson et al. 1996, Apparao,
447 Murray et al. 2001, Lessey 2002, Lessey and Castelbaum 2002). Integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ is maximally
448 expressed during the 'window of implantation' (Apparao, Murray et al. 2001), and its endometrial
449 expression is significantly lower in cases of unexplained infertility, indicating that aberrant epithelial
450 integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression may be associated defective endometrial receptivity (Elnaggar, Farag et
451 al. 2017). Here, we capitalise on the acute inflammatory initiation phase of decidual transformation
452 that promotes the generation of endometrial receptivity. This temporal endometrial phenotypic
453 change is not only important for implantation success, but its dysregulation is also associated with
454 a wide range of gynaecological, reproductive, and obstetric disorders, as well as in the
455 pathophysiology of reproductive malignancies (Makieva, Giacomini et al. 2018). It has been
456 suggested that endometrial receptivity is mediated through both direct and indirect progesterone
457 action (Lessey 2003). Epithelial steroid receptor expression varies during the menstrual cycle, with
458 high PR levels in the proliferative phase and selective loss of epithelial PR (and reduced ER)
459 expression in the secretory phase (Lessey, Ilesanmi et al. 1996), demonstrating a direct action of
460 progesterone on epithelial cells. Endometrial receptivity is tightly associated with the shifts in PR
461 and ER expression, which occur at the time of its onset around 5–6 days post-ovulation, concomitant
462 with the appearance of epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ (Lessey 1998). Stromal cells, on the other hand,
463 maintain their PR expression throughout the menstrual cycle, and progesterone action on stromal
464 cells generates paracrine mediators (such as the secretion of specific growth factors, cytokines and
465 inflammatory mediators) (Al-Sabbagh, Fusi et al. 2011, Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012, Chen, Erikson et
466 al. 2013) that promote epithelial gene expression, indicating the indirect action of progesterone, via
467 stromal cells, in the induction of an epithelial receptive phenotype (Lessey 1998, Lessey 2003,
468 Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012). The addition of hormonal stimuli to our ESC-EEC co-culture system
469 induced an autoinflammatory decidual stromal response and the upregulation of epithelial integrin
470 $\alpha V\beta 3$, representing phenotypic endometrial changes coincident with the 'window of implantation'.

471

472 Epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression within our 3D co-culture model coincided with the timing of the
473 transient ST2L upregulation that was observed in ESCs, and was subsequently further amplified.
474 Furthermore, there was no induction of epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression when EECs were
475 cultured alone, without ESCs, and subjected to hormonal stimulation (Supplementary Figure 1),
476 suggesting that the induction of epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression upon hormonal stimulation may
477 have resulted from EEC-ESC crosstalk following IL-33-ST2L signalling within the stromal
478 compartment, particularly since rising progesterone levels induce the downregulation of epithelial
479 PR and ER expression during the secretory stage, permitting progesterone and estrogen to act on
480 ESCs (Lessey, Ilesanmi et al. 1996, Lessey 1998). However, further experiments would be required
481 to confirm whether these well-known endometrial functional changes are responsible for the lack
482 of induction of integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ in EECs in monoculture, following hormonal stimulation, that was
483 observed in the current study. In addition, differences were observed with modifications of
484 decidualisation stimulation doses: while ESCs treated with increasing doses exceeding physiological
485 hormonal and cAMP levels still elicited a transient ST2L upregulation, continuous stimulatory doses
486 did not. Nonetheless, both of these stimulation protocols induced epithelial $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression, albeit
487 to a lesser amplitude (Supplementary Figure 1) than detected upon treatment with increasing
488 physiological stimulatory doses. Such observations and nuances highlight the significance of
489 appropriate experimental design, and also denote the importance of the interdependent
490 relationship between the timing and level of ovarian hormonal signalling that is a likely requisite in
491 the process of endometrial receptivity.

492

493 **Limitations of the study**

494 We acknowledge that the simplified functional endometrial organotypic model system presented
495 here does not fully represent all the cellular components and communications that are implicated
496 in the early events leading up to and during the 'window of implantation'. These include glandular
497 epithelial cells that undergo secretory transformation to provide histiotrophic nutrition for
498 implanting embryo, decidual natural killer cells that have important functions in stromal-immune
499 crosstalk, uterine vascular development, embryo implantation and trophoblast invasion, or vascular
500 components that undergo changes (Maruyama and Yoshimura 2008, Cartwright, Fraser et al. 2010,
501 Weimar, Post Uiterweer et al. 2013, Fraser, Whitley et al. 2015). However, ESCs are the main cell-
502 type in the uterine microenvironment, and through an initial acute autoinflammatory decidual
503 response, they are pivotal for transforming the uterus into a receptive phenotype by signalling to

504 the overlying epithelium to induce the expression of key receptivity molecules. We have therefore
505 put emphasis on the stromal and luminal epithelial components for the development of our
506 organotypic model system, paracrine interactions of which are central to the generation of
507 endometrial receptivity (Lessey 1998, Lessey 2003, Al-Sabbagh, Fusi et al. 2011, Salker, Nautiyal et
508 al. 2012, Lucas, Dyer et al. 2016). In addition, our model could benefit from further validation, for
509 example, via photomicrographic verification of accurately representative 3D spatial relationships of
510 the cell-types, through characterisation of EEC and ESC steroid receptor expression upon hormonal
511 stimulation, and by using the iGenomix® (iGenomix UK Ltd, Surrey, UK) Endometrial Receptivity
512 Array (ERA) genomic tool (Katzorke, Vilella et al. 2016), for additional confirmation of a receptive
513 endometrial phenotype.

514

515 **Concluding remarks**

516 Endometrial cell and molecular signalling errors are widely associated with uterine pathologies
517 ranging from infertility to cancer (Makieva, Giacomini et al. 2018). Any disturbance in decidual
518 transformation of the endometrium, and in turn endometrial receptivity, can cause endometrial
519 functional inadequacy, leading to implantation failure or pregnancy loss resulting from abnormal
520 implantation. Dysregulation of decidualisation and endometrial receptivity have been implicated in
521 infertility, implantation failure, recurrent miscarriage, pre-eclampsia and intrauterine growth
522 restriction (Norwitz 2006, Strowitzki, Germeyer et al. 2006, Cartwright, Fraser et al. 2010, Lessey
523 2011, Patel and Lessey 2011, Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Timeva, Shterev et al. 2014, Rabaglino,
524 Post Uiterweer et al. 2015, Tan, Hang et al. 2015, Conrad, Rabaglino et al. 2017). In addition, several
525 gynaecological disorders, including endometriosis, polycystic ovarian syndrome, hydrosalpinges and
526 luteal phase defect, are also associated with decreased endometrial receptivity and anomalous
527 expression of endometrial biomarkers (Donaghy and Lessey 2007). The endometrial organotypic
528 system presented here may therefore facilitate a better understanding of interactions within the
529 uterine microenvironment. These could include, for example, the immunomodulatory and vascular
530 changes that are of critical importance during the secretory stage, as well as the application to the
531 current model of previously described organoid systems, or embryo implantation and trophoblast
532 invasion study protocols (Teklenburg, Salker et al. 2010, Fraser, Whitley et al. 2012, Wang, Pilla et
533 al. 2012, Wallace, Host et al. 2013, James, Tun et al. 2016, Turco, Gardner et al. 2017), taking into
534 consideration both the respective distinct stages of decidualisation and implantation in any future
535 studies conducted. Other further potential applications would be for the development of advances
536 in contraceptives, as well as to investigate how various drugs (such as those used in infertility or

537 chemotherapy treatments) [may interfere with endometrial signalling pathways](#), particularly where
538 human *in vivo* studies are not feasible. [The co-culture system developed here, therefore has the](#)
539 [scope to be applied in an extensive range of settings](#), allowing investigations for the comprehensive
540 understanding of the molecular interactions and cellular consequences within the uterine
541 microenvironment during this early transitory period, in the broad context of several of
542 reproductive, obstetric and gynaecological pathologies.

543

544 **Authors' roles**

545 RF conceived the study. RF and RS performed experiments. RF analysed data, prepared the
546 manuscript, and was responsible for funding acquisition to provide consumables. C-JL was
547 responsible for funding acquisition to provide salary, space and equipment for this work to be
548 conducted, and provided critical appraisal of the research. All authors revised the manuscript and
549 approved the final version.

550

551 **Acknowledgements**

552 The authors thank Professor Hilary Critchley and her team for recruitment of patients and collection
553 of uterine biopsy samples, and we are grateful to all the patients who participated in this research.
554 We also thank Professor Jan Brosens, University of Warwick, for his generous gift of St-T1b cells for
555 this study.

556

557 **Funding**

558 This work was supported in part by an MRC Centre Grant (project reference MR/N022556/1). RF
559 was the recipient of a Moray Endowment award and a Barbour Watson Trust award. C-JL is a Royal
560 Society of Edinburgh (RSE) Personal Research Fellow, funded by the Scottish Government.

561

562 **Conflict of interest**

563 None declared.

564

565 **Data availability statement**

566 The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

567

568 **References**

- 569 Achache, H. and A. Revel (2006). "Endometrial receptivity markers, the journey to successful embryo
570 implantation." Hum Reprod Update **12**(6): 731-746.
- 571 Al-Sabbagh, M., L. Fusi, J. Higham, Y. Lee, K. Lei, A. C. Hanyaloglu, E. W. Lam, M. Christian and J. J.
572 Brosens (2011). "NADPH oxidase-derived reactive oxygen species mediate decidualization of human
573 endometrial stromal cells in response to cyclic AMP signaling." Endocrinology **152**(2): 730-740.
- 574 Apparao, K. B., M. J. Murray, M. A. Fritz, W. R. Meyer, A. F. Chambers, P. R. Truong and B. A. Lessey
575 (2001). "Osteopontin and its receptor alphavbeta(3) integrin are coexpressed in the human
576 endometrium during the menstrual cycle but regulated differentially." J Clin Endocrinol Metab
577 **86**(10): 4991-5000.
- 578 Arnold, J. T., D. G. Kaufman, M. Seppälä and B. A. Lessey (2001). "Endometrial stromal cells regulate
579 epithelial cell growth in vitro: a new co-culture model." Hum Reprod **16**(5): 836-845.
- 580 Bentin-Ley, U., T. Horn, A. Sjögren, S. Sorensen, J. Falck Larsen and L. Hamberger (2000).
581 "Ultrastructure of human blastocyst-endometrial interactions in vitro." J Reprod Fertil **120**(2): 337-
582 350.
- 583 Berthois, Y., J. A. Katzenellenbogen and B. S. Katzenellenbogen (1986). "Phenol red in tissue culture
584 media is a weak estrogen: implications concerning the study of estrogen-responsive cells in culture."
585 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **83**(8): 2496-2500.
- 586 Bläuer, M., P. K. Heinonen, P. M. Martikainen, E. Tomás and T. Ylikomi (2005). "A novel organotypic
587 culture model for normal human endometrium: regulation of epithelial cell proliferation by estradiol
588 and medroxyprogesterone acetate." Hum Reprod **20**(4): 864-871.
- 589 Brar, A. K., G. R. Frank, C. A. Kessler, M. I. Cedars and S. Handwerger (1997). "Progesterone-
590 dependent decidualization of the human endometrium is mediated by cAMP." Endocrine **6**(3): 301-
591 307.
- 592 Cakmak, H. and H. S. Taylor (2011). "Implantation failure: molecular mechanisms and clinical
593 treatment." Hum Reprod Update **17**(2): 242-253.
- 594 Cartwright, J. E., R. Fraser, K. Leslie, A. E. Wallace and J. L. James (2010). "Remodelling at the
595 maternal-fetal interface: relevance to human pregnancy disorders." Reproduction **140**(6): 803-813.
- 596 Chen, J. C., D. W. Erikson, T. T. Piltonen, M. R. Meyer, F. Barragan, R. H. McIntire, J. S. Tamaresis, K.
597 C. Vo, L. C. Giudice and J. C. Irwin (2013). "Coculturing human endometrial epithelial cells and
598 stromal fibroblasts alters cell-specific gene expression and cytokine production." Fertil Steril **100**(4):
599 1132-1143.

- 600 Conrad, K. P., M. B. Rabaglino and E. D. Post Uiterweer (2017). "Emerging role for dysregulated
601 decidualization in the genesis of preeclampsia." Placenta **60**: 119-129.
- 602 Das, A., S. R. Mantena, A. Kannan, D. B. Evans, M. K. Bagchi and I. C. Bagchi (2009). "De novo
603 synthesis of estrogen in pregnant uterus is critical for stromal decidualization and angiogenesis."
604 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **106**(30): 12542-12547.
- 605 Denker, H. W. (1993). "Implantation: a cell biological paradox." J Exp Zool **266**(6): 541-558.
- 606 Donaghy, M. and B. A. Lessey (2007). "Uterine receptivity: alterations associated with benign
607 gynecological disease." Semin Reprod Med **25**(6): 461-475.
- 608 Eckert, R. L. and B. S. Katzenellenbogen (1981). "Human endometrial cells in primary tissue culture:
609 modulation of the progesterone receptor level by natural and synthetic estrogens in vitro." J Clin
610 Endocrinol Metab **52**(4): 699-708.
- 611 Elnaggar, A., A. H. Farag, M. E. Gaber, M. A. Hafeez, M. S. Ali and A. M. Atef (2017). "AlphaVBeta3
612 Integrin expression within uterine endometrium in unexplained infertility: a prospective cohort
613 study." BMC Womens Health **17**(1): 90.
- 614 Fazleabas, A. T., J. J. Kim and Z. Strakova (2004). "Implantation: embryonic signals and the
615 modulation of the uterine environment--a review." Placenta **25 Suppl A**: S26-31.
- 616 Fraser, R., G. S. Whitley, A. P. Johnstone, A. J. Host, N. J. Sebire, B. Thilaganathan and J. E. Cartwright
617 (2012). "Impaired decidual natural killer cell regulation of vascular remodelling in early human
618 pregnancies with high uterine artery resistance." J Pathol **228**(3): 322-332.
- 619 Fraser, R., G. S. Whitley, B. Thilaganathan and J. E. Cartwright (2015). "Decidual natural killer cells
620 regulate vessel stability: implications for impaired spiral artery remodelling." J Reprod Immunol **110**:
621 54-60.
- 622 Freshney, R. I. (2005). Organotypic Culture. Culture of Animal Cells.
- 623 Gao, J. G., J. Mazella and L. Tseng (1994). "Activation of the human IGFBP-1 gene promoter by
624 progestin and relaxin in primary culture of human endometrial stromal cells." Mol Cell Endocrinol
625 **104**(1): 39-46.
- 626 Gellersen, B., I. A. Brosens and J. J. Brosens (2007). "Decidualization of the human endometrium:
627 mechanisms, functions, and clinical perspectives." Semin Reprod Med **25**(6): 445-453.
- 628 Gellersen, B. and J. Brosens (2003). "Cyclic AMP and progesterone receptor cross-talk in human
629 endometrium: a decidualizing affair." J Endocrinol **178**(3): 357-372.
- 630 Gellersen, B. and J. J. Brosens (2014). "Cyclic decidualization of the human endometrium in
631 reproductive health and failure." Endocr Rev **35**(6): 851-905.

- 632 Gellersen, B., R. Kempf, R. Telgmann and G. E. DiMattia (1994). "Nonpituitary human prolactin gene
633 transcription is independent of Pit-1 and differentially controlled in lymphocytes and in endometrial
634 stroma." Mol Endocrinol **8**(3): 356-373.
- 635 Giudice, L. C., S. P. Mark and J. C. Irwin (1998). "Paracrine actions of insulin-like growth factors and
636 IGF binding protein-1 in non-pregnant human endometrium and at the decidual-trophoblast
637 interface." J Reprod Immunol **39**(1-2): 133-148.
- 638 Idelevich, A. and F. Vilella (2020). "Mother and Embryo Cross-Communication." Genes (Basel) **11**(4).
- 639 Irwin, J. C., D. Kirk, R. J. King, M. M. Quigley and R. B. Gwatkin (1989). "Hormonal regulation of
640 human endometrial stromal cells in culture: an in vitro model for decidualization." Fertil Steril **52**(5):
641 761-768.
- 642 James, J., W. Tun and A. Clark (2016). "Quantifying trophoblast migration: In vitro approaches to
643 address in vivo situations." Cell Adh Migr **10**(1-2): 77-87.
- 644 Kajihara, T., K. Tanaka, T. Oguro, H. Tochigi, J. Prechapanich, S. Uchino, A. Itakura, S. Sućurović, K.
645 Murakami, J. J. Brosens and O. Ishihara (2014). "Androgens modulate the morphological
646 characteristics of human endometrial stromal cells decidualized in vitro." Reprod Sci **21**(3): 372-380.
- 647 Katzorke, N., F. Vilella, M. Ruiz, J. S. Krüssel and C. Simón (2016). "Diagnosis of Endometrial-Factor
648 Infertility: Current Approaches and New Avenues for Research." Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd **76**(6):
649 699-703.
- 650 Kim, J. J., H. S. Taylor, Z. Lu, O. Ladhani, J. M. Hastings, K. S. Jackson, Y. Wu, S. W. Guo and A. T.
651 Fazleabas (2007). "Altered expression of HOXA10 in endometriosis: potential role in
652 decidualization." Mol Hum Reprod **13**(5): 323-332.
- 653 Labarta, E., J. A. Martínez-Conejero, P. Alamá, J. A. Horcajadas, A. Pellicer, C. Simón and E. Bosch
654 (2011). "Endometrial receptivity is affected in women with high circulating progesterone levels at
655 the end of the follicular phase: a functional genomics analysis." Hum Reprod **26**(7): 1813-1825.
- 656 Lessey, B. A. (1998). "Endometrial integrins and the establishment of uterine receptivity." Hum
657 Reprod **13 Suppl 3**: 247-258; discussion 259-261.
- 658 Lessey, B. A. (2002). "Adhesion molecules and implantation." J Reprod Immunol **55**(1-2): 101-112.
- 659 Lessey, B. A. (2003). "Two pathways of progesterone action in the human endometrium:
660 implications for implantation and contraception." Steroids **68**(10-13): 809-815.
- 661 Lessey, B. A. (2011). "Assessment of endometrial receptivity." Fertil Steril **96**(3): 522-529.
- 662 Lessey, B. A. and A. J. Castelbaum (2002). "Integrins and implantation in the human." Rev Endocr
663 Metab Disord **3**(2): 107-117.

- 664 Lessey, B. A., A. O. Ilesanmi, M. A. Lessey, M. Riben, J. E. Harris and K. Chwalisz (1996). "Luminal and
665 glandular endometrial epithelium express integrins differentially throughout the menstrual cycle:
666 implications for implantation, contraception, and infertility." Am J Reprod Immunol **35**(3): 195-204.
- 667 Levin, J. H., S. A. Tonetta and R. A. Lobo (1990). "Comparison of norethindrone and
668 medroxyprogesterone acetate with natural progesterone and estradiol in stimulating prolactin
669 production from cultured endometrial stromal cells." Am J Obstet Gynecol **163**(6 Pt 1): 1932-1938.
- 670 Liang, Y. X., L. Liu, Z. Y. Jin, X. H. Liang, Y. S. Fu, X. W. Gu and Z. M. Yang (2018). "The high
671 concentration of progesterone is harmful for endometrial receptivity and decidualization." Sci Rep
672 **8**(1): 712.
- 673 Liu, X., V. Ory, S. Chapman, H. Yuan, C. Albanese, B. Kallakury, O. A. Timofeeva, C. Nealon, A. Dakic,
674 V. Simic, B. R. Haddad, J. S. Rhim, A. Dritschilo, A. Riegel, A. McBride and R. Schlegel (2012). "ROCK
675 inhibitor and feeder cells induce the conditional reprogramming of epithelial cells." Am J Pathol
676 **180**(2): 599-607.
- 677 Logan, P. C., A. P. Ponnampalam, M. Steiner and M. D. Mitchell (2013). "Effect of cyclic AMP and
678 estrogen/progesterone on the transcription of DNA methyltransferases during the decidualization
679 of human endometrial stromal cells." Mol Hum Reprod **19**(5): 302-312.
- 680 Lucas, E. S., N. P. Dyer, K. Murakami, Y. H. Lee, Y. W. Chan, G. Grimaldi, J. Muter, P. J. Brighton, J. D.
681 Moore, G. Patel, J. K. Chan, S. Takeda, E. W. Lam, S. Quenby, S. Ott and J. J. Brosens (2016). "Loss of
682 Endometrial Plasticity in Recurrent Pregnancy Loss." Stem Cells **34**(2): 346-356.
- 683 Ma, W. G., H. Song, S. K. Das, B. C. Paria and S. K. Dey (2003). "Estrogen is a critical determinant that
684 specifies the duration of the window of uterine receptivity for implantation." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
685 A **100**(5): 2963-2968.
- 686 Makieva, S., E. Giacomini, J. Ottolina, A. M. Sanchez, E. Papaleo and P. Viganò (2018). "Inside the
687 Endometrial Cell Signaling Subway: Mind the Gap(s)." Int J Mol Sci **19**(9).
- 688 Maruyama, T. and Y. Yoshimura (2008). "Molecular and cellular mechanisms for differentiation and
689 regeneration of the uterine endometrium." Endocr J **55**(5): 795-810.
- 690 Michalski, S. A., S. B. Chadchan, E. S. Jungheim and R. Kommagani (2018). "Isolation of Human
691 Endometrial Stromal Cells for In Vitro Decidualization." J Vis Exp(139).
- 692 Norwitz, E. R. (2006). "Defective implantation and placentation: laying the blueprint for pregnancy
693 complications." Reprod Biomed Online **13**(4): 591-599.
- 694 Norwitz, E. R., D. J. Schust and S. J. Fisher (2001). "Implantation and the survival of early pregnancy."
695 N Engl J Med **345**(19): 1400-1408.

- 696 Okada, H., T. Tsuzuki and H. Murata (2018). "Decidualization of the human endometrium." Reprod
697 Med Biol **17**(3): 220-227.
- 698 Palechor-Ceron, N., F. A. Suprynowicz, G. Upadhyay, A. Dakic, T. Minas, V. Simic, M. Johnson, C.
699 Albanese, R. Schlegel and X. Liu (2013). "Radiation induces diffusible feeder cell factor(s) that
700 cooperate with ROCK inhibitor to conditionally reprogram and immortalize epithelial cells." Am J
701 Pathol **183**(6): 1862-1870.
- 702 Patel, B., S. Elguero, S. Thakore, W. Dahoud, M. Bedaiwy and S. Mesiano (2015). "Role of nuclear
703 progesterone receptor isoforms in uterine pathophysiology." Hum Reprod Update **21**(2): 155-173.
- 704 Patel, B. G. and B. A. Lessey (2011). "Clinical assessment and management of the endometrium in
705 recurrent early pregnancy loss." Semin Reprod Med **29**(6): 491-506.
- 706 Paulson, R. J. (2011). "Hormonal induction of endometrial receptivity." Fertil Steril **96**(3): 530-535.
- 707 Rabaglino, M. B., E. D. Post Uiterweer, A. Jeyabalan, W. A. Hogge and K. P. Conrad (2015).
708 "Bioinformatics approach reveals evidence for impaired endometrial maturation before and during
709 early pregnancy in women who developed preeclampsia." Hypertension **65**(2): 421-429.
- 710 Rai, V., J. Hopkisson, S. Kennedy, A. Bergqvist, D. H. Barlow and H. J. Mardon (1996). "Integrins alpha
711 3 and alpha 6 are differentially expressed in endometrium and endometriosis." J Pathol **180**(2): 181-
712 187.
- 713 Salamonsen, L. A., G. Nie, N. J. Hannan and E. Dimitriadis (2009). "Society for Reproductive Biology
714 Founders' Lecture 2009. Preparing fertile soil: the importance of endometrial receptivity." Reprod
715 Fertil Dev **21**(7): 923-934.
- 716 Salker, M. S., J. Nautiyal, J. H. Steel, Z. Webster, S. Sucurovic, M. Nicou, Y. Singh, E. S. Lucas, K.
717 Murakami, Y. W. Chan, S. James, Y. Abdallah, M. Christian, B. A. Croy, B. Mulac-Jericovic, S. Quenby
718 and J. J. Brosens (2012). "Disordered IL-33/ST2 activation in decidualizing stromal cells prolongs
719 uterine receptivity in women with recurrent pregnancy loss." PLoS One **7**(12): e52252.
- 720 Samalecos, A., K. Reimann, S. Wittmann, H. M. Schulte, J. J. Brosens, A. M. Bamberger and B.
721 Gellersen (2009). "Characterization of a novel telomerase-immortalized human endometrial stromal
722 cell line, St-T1b." Reprod Biol Endocrinol **7**: 76.
- 723 Simian, M. and M. J. Bissell (2017). "Organoids: A historical perspective of thinking in three
724 dimensions." J Cell Biol **216**(1): 31-40.
- 725 Strowitzki, T., A. Germeyer, R. Popovici and M. von Wolff (2006). "The human endometrium as a
726 fertility-determining factor." Hum Reprod Update **12**(5): 617-630.
- 727 Suprynowicz, F. A., G. Upadhyay, E. Krawczyk, S. C. Kramer, J. D. Hebert, X. Liu, H. Yuan, C.
728 Chelubaraju, P. W. Clapp, R. C. Boucher, Jr., C. M. Kamonjoh, S. H. Randell and R. Schlegel (2012).

- 729 "Conditionally reprogrammed cells represent a stem-like state of adult epithelial cells." Proc Natl
730 Acad Sci U S A **109**(49): 20035-20040.
- 731 Tamura, I., K. Jozaki, S. Sato, Y. Shirafuta, M. Shinagawa, R. Maekawa, T. Taketani, H. Asada, H.
732 Tamura and N. Sugino (2018). "The distal upstream region of insulin-like growth factor-binding
733 protein-1 enhances its expression in endometrial stromal cells during decidualization." J Biol Chem
734 **293**(14): 5270-5280.
- 735 Tan, S. Y., F. Hang, G. Purvarshi, M. Q. Li, D. H. Meng and L. L. Huang (2015). "Decreased endometrial
736 vascularity and receptivity in unexplained recurrent miscarriage patients during midluteal and early
737 pregnancy phases." Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol **54**(5): 522-526.
- 738 Tarrade, A., F. Goffin, C. Munaut, R. Lai-Kuen, V. Tricottet, J. M. Foidart, M. Vidaud, F. Frankenne
739 and D. Evain-Brion (2002). "Effect of matrigel on human extravillous trophoblasts differentiation:
740 modulation of protease pattern gene expression." Biol Reprod **67**(5): 1628-1637.
- 741 Teklenburg, G., M. Salker, M. Molokhia, S. Lavery, G. Trew, T. Aojanepong, H. J. Mardon, A. U.
742 Lokugamage, R. Rai, C. Landles, B. A. Roelen, S. Quenby, E. W. Kuijk, A. Kavelaars, C. J. Heijnen, L.
743 Regan, J. J. Brosens and N. S. Macklon (2010). "Natural selection of human embryos: decidualizing
744 endometrial stromal cells serve as sensors of embryo quality upon implantation." PLoS One **5**(4):
745 e10258.
- 746 Timeva, T., A. Shterev and S. Kyurkchiev (2014). "Recurrent implantation failure: the role of the
747 endometrium." J Reprod Infertil **15**(4): 173-183.
- 748 Turco, M. Y., L. Gardner, J. Hughes, T. Cindrova-Davies, M. J. Gomez, L. Farrell, M. Hollinshead, S. G.
749 E. Marsh, J. J. Brosens, H. O. Critchley, B. D. Simons, M. Hemberger, B. K. Koo, A. Moffett and G. J.
750 Burton (2017). "Long-term, hormone-responsive organoid cultures of human endometrium in a
751 chemically defined medium." Nat Cell Biol **19**(5): 568-577.
- 752 Vinketova, K., M. Mourdjeva and T. Oreshkova (2016). "Human Decidual Stromal Cells as a
753 Component of the Implantation Niche and a Modulator of Maternal Immunity." J Pregnancy **2016**:
754 8689436.
- 755 Wallace, A. E., A. J. Host, G. S. Whitley and J. E. Cartwright (2013). "Decidual natural killer cell
756 interactions with trophoblasts are impaired in pregnancies at increased risk of preeclampsia." Am J
757 Pathol **183**(6): 1853-1861.
- 758 Wang, H., F. Pilla, S. Anderson, S. Martínez-Escribano, I. Herrer, J. M. Moreno-Moya, S. Musti, S.
759 Bocca, S. Oehninger and J. A. Horcajadas (2012). "A novel model of human implantation: 3D
760 endometrium-like culture system to study attachment of human trophoblast (Jar) cell spheroids."
761 Mol Hum Reprod **18**(1): 33-43.

762 Weimar, C. H., E. D. Post Uiterweer, G. Teklenburg, C. J. Heijnen and N. S. Macklon (2013). "Reprint
763 of: In-vitro model systems for the study of human embryo-endometrium interactions." Reprod
764 Biomed Online **27**(6): 673-688.

765 Yu, J., S. L. Berga, E. B. Johnston-MacAnanny, N. Sidell, I. C. Bagchi, M. K. Bagchi and R. N. Taylor
766 (2016). "Endometrial Stromal Decidualization Responds Reversibly to Hormone Stimulation and
767 Withdrawal." Endocrinology **157**(6): 2432-2446.

768

769 **Table and figure legends**

770 **Table 1:** To produce a physiologically representative decidual response *in vitro*, cells were stimulated
771 with minimal medium 1 (MM1) containing increasing concentrations of medroxyprogesterone
772 acetate (MPA), 8-bromoadenosine 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (8-Br-cAMP) and 17 β -
773 estradiol (E2), or MM1 with 0.001% ethanol (EtOH), every 48 hours, and monitored over 8 days.

774

775 **Figure 1:** Hormonal stimulation of St-T1b cells with medroxyprogesterone acetate, 8-
776 bromoadenosine 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate and 17 β -estradiol. Decidualisation
777 confirmed by immunohistochemical detection of **[A]** IGFBP-1 expression, and **[B]** the transient
778 upregulation of ST2L expression. Scale bars = 100 μ m.

779

780 **Figure 2:** **[A]** Endometrial epithelial cells (EECs) were isolated from endometrial biopsies and
781 expanded *in vitro* by conditional reprogramming. Confirmation of epithelial phenotype by **[B]**
782 immunocytochemical analyses demonstrated cytokeratin-18 (CK18) expression and negative
783 vimentin staining in EECs, with endometrial stromal cells (ESCs) serving as negative and positive
784 controls, respectively. Scale bars = 100 μ m. **[C]** Flow cytometric analyses demonstrated EpCAM and
785 E-cadherin expression by EECs, but no CD31 and CD45 expression.

786

787 **Figure 3.** **[A]** To construct a 3D endometrial organotypic co-culture model, St-T1b cells were
788 embedded in growth factor-reduced Matrigel, with a single layer of EECs seeded on top. **[B]** In-cell
789 western® analysis was conducted to quantify epithelial integrin α V β 3 expression with or without
790 treatment with medroxyprogesterone acetate, cyclic adenosine monophosphate and 17 β -estradiol,
791 on days 4, 6 and 8. Results are mean \pm SEM of eight separate experiments. ** P < 0.05; *** P < 0.0001;
792 one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison post-test analysis.

1 **A 3-dimensional endometrial organotypic model simulating the acute**
2 **inflammatory decidualisation initiation phase with epithelial induction of the key**
3 **endometrial receptivity marker, integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$**

4 Running title: 3D *in vitro* receptive endometrium

5

6 ¹R. Fraser*, ¹R. Smith and ¹C-J. Lin*

7

8 ¹The University of Edinburgh, MRC Centre for Reproductive Health,

9 Queen's Medical Research Institute, 47 Little France Crescent,

10 Edinburgh EH16 4TJ, Scotland, United Kingdom.

11

12 *Correspondence should be addressed to Rupsha.Fraser@ed.ac.uk or Chih-Jen.Lin@ed.ac.uk

13 **Abstract**

14 **Study question:** There is a distinct lack of directly relevant *in vitro* models of endometrial receptivity;
15 is it possible to develop a simplified physiological *in vitro* system representing the key cell-types
16 associated with a receptive endometrial phenotype?

17 **Summary answer:** We present a new concept to investigate endometrial receptivity, with a 3-
18 dimensional (3D) organotypic co-culture model to simulate an early and transient acute
19 autoinflammatory decidual status that resolves in the induction of a receptive endometrial
20 phenotype.

21 **What is known already:** Embryo implantation is dependent on a receptive uterine environment.
22 Ovarian steroids drive post-ovulation structural and functional changes in the endometrium, which
23 becomes transiently receptive for an implanting conceptus, termed the 'window of implantation',
24 and dysregulation of endometrial receptivity is implicated in a range of reproductive, obstetric, and
25 gynaecological disorders and malignancies. The interactions that take place within the uterine
26 microenvironment during this time are not fully understood, and human studies are constrained by
27 a lack of access to uterine tissue from specific time-points during the menstrual cycle. Physiologically
28 relevant *in vitro* model systems are therefore fundamental for conducting investigations to better
29 understand the cellular and molecular mechanisms controlling endometrial receptivity.

30 **Participants/materials, setting, methods:** An endometrial stromal cell (ESC) line, and endometrial
31 epithelial cells (EECs) isolated from uterine biopsy tissue and expanded *in vitro* by conditional
32 reprogramming, were used throughout the study. Immunocytochemical and flow cytometric
33 analyses were used to confirm epithelial phenotype following conditional reprogramming of EECs.
34 To construct an endometrial organotypic co-culture model, ESCs were embedded within a 3D
35 growth factor-reduced Matrigel structure, with a single layer of conditionally reprogrammed EECs
36 seeded on top. Cells were stimulated with increasing doses of medroxyprogesterone acetate, cyclic
37 adenosine monophosphate and estradiol, in order to induce ESC decidual transformation and
38 endometrial receptivity. Decidual response and the induction of a receptive epithelial phenotype
39 were assessed by immunocytochemical detection and quantitative in-cell western® analyses,
40 respectively.

41 **Main results and the role of chance:** A transient upregulation of the IL-33 receptor, ST2L, was
42 observed in ESCs, indicating a transient autoinflammatory decidual response to the hormonal
43 stimulation, known to induce receptivity gene expression in the overlying epithelium. Hormonal
44 stimulation induced the EEC expression of the key marker of endometrial receptivity, integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$

45 (n = 8; * $P < 0.05$; *** $P < 0.0001$). To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of a dedicated
46 endometrial organotypic model, that has been developed to investigate endometrial receptivity, via
47 the recapitulation of an early decidual transitory acute autoinflammatory phase and induction of an
48 epithelial phenotypic change, to represent a receptive endometrial status.

49 **Limitations, reasons for caution:** This simplified *in vitro* ESC-EEC co-culture system may be only
50 partly representative of more complex *in vivo* conditions.

51 **Wider implications of the findings:** The 3D endometrial organotypic model presented here may
52 offer a valuable tool for investigating a range of reproductive, obstetric, and gynaecological
53 disorders, to improve outcomes for assisted reproductive technologies, and for the development of
54 advances in contraceptive methods.

55 **Study funding/competing interest(s):** This work was supported in part by an MRC Centre Grant
56 (project reference MR/N022556/1). RF was the recipient of a Moray Endowment award and a
57 Barbour Watson Trust award. C-JL is a Royal Society of Edinburgh Personal Research Fellow, funded
58 by the Scottish Government. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

59

60 **Keywords:** endometrial receptivity; window of implantation; decidualisation; endometrial
61 organotypic model; conditional reprogramming

62 **What does this mean for patients?**

63 During the first stage of the menstrual cycle, estrogen secretion from the developing ovarian follicle
64 promotes growth of the endometrium (the lining of the womb). Following ovulation, rising
65 progesterone and estrogen levels, produced by the corpus luteum (the remaining structure of the
66 ovarian follicle that contained the maturing egg before its release during ovulation), promote
67 structural and functional changes in the endometrium, in preparation for the 'window of
68 implantation' – a period of 2–5 days when the endometrium is optimally receptive to an implanting
69 embryo. This period of optimal endometrial receptivity is not only crucial for successful embryo
70 implantation, but abnormal molecular and cellular events in the endometrium during this transient
71 period have been implicated in fertility problems, obstetric complications, gynaecological disorders,
72 and endometrial cancer. In this study, we have developed a simplified cellular model, with
73 physiologically appropriate hormonal stimulation, to investigate endometrial receptivity. A more
74 comprehensive understanding of these events can lead to the development of new interventions to
75 promote pregnancy success, long-term maternal and fetal health, women's health, as well as for
76 improving contraceptive methods, and this new concept may be able to aid investigations to better
77 understand the complex mechanisms involved in the generation of endometrial receptivity.

78 Introduction

79 Embryo implantation is a critical event in human pregnancy that is reliant on a receptive uterine
80 environment. The cycling endometrium undergoes profound changes in women, leading to a
81 carefully timed and defined period during which an embryo is able to attach and invade into a
82 receptive uterus, resulting in the establishment of a successful pregnancy (Norwitz, Schust et al.
83 2001). Ovarian steroids, estrogen and progesterone, drive structural and functional changes in the
84 uterine lining, preparing it for the implantation of a conceptus. The uterine lining, known as the
85 endometrium, consists of a fibroblast-like stromal matrix lined by a single layer of columnar
86 epithelium. Following ovulation, dynamic changes take place in the endometrial stromal cell (ESC)
87 morphology, which undergo mesenchymal-to-epithelial transformation, and begin to differentiate
88 into large, secretory, 'decidualised' stromal cells, in response to rising progesterone levels produced
89 by the corpus luteum (Gellersen, Brosens et al. 2007, Salamonsen, Nie et al. 2009). Decidual
90 transformation of ESCs is associated with enlargement and rounding of the nucleus, increased
91 number of nucleoli, rough endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi complex expansion, and accumulation
92 of glycogen and lipid droplets in the expanding cytoplasm (Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Kajihara,
93 Tanaka et al. 2014, Okada, Tsuzuki et al. 2018).

94
95 Decidualisation is a dynamic, multistep progression of events, comprising 3 critical transitory
96 phases: (i) an acute inflammatory initiation phase that subsequently transitions to (ii) an anti-
97 inflammatory secretory phase during which time embryo implantation takes place, followed by (iii)
98 a final resolution phase (Gellersen and Brosens 2014). First, ESCs undergo cell cycle exit at G_0/G_1
99 and mount a transient pro-inflammatory response generated by a self-limiting autoinflammatory
100 response, which, in turn, results in the expression of key receptivity genes in the overlying
101 endometrial surface luminal epithelium (Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012). This renders the endometrium
102 receptive for embryo implantation for a limited period of time: the 'window of implantation'. This
103 period of optimal endometrial receptivity begins approximately 6 days post-ovulation and lasts 2–5
104 days (i.e. approximately between days 20 and 25 of an idealised 28-day cycle) (Denker 1993). A
105 receptive endometrial phenotype is not only imperative for embryo implantation and pregnancy
106 success, but aberrant decidual transformation and dysregulation of uterine receptivity have also
107 been implicated in several obstetric complications, gynaecological disorders and cancer (Norwitz
108 2006, Strowitzki, Germeyer et al. 2006, Cartwright, Fraser et al. 2010, Lessey 2011, Patel and Lessey
109 2011, Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Timeva, Shterev et al. 2014, Rabaglino, Post Uiterweer et al.
110 2015, Tan, Hang et al. 2015, Conrad, Rabaglino et al. 2017).

111

112 Interactions between different cell-types have reciprocal effects on cell phenotypes and ensuing
113 functions (Freshney 2005). The same is true for uterine compartments and the contributions of
114 these interactions to endometrial receptivity, since decidual transformation of the stroma confers
115 its ability to create paracrine gradients necessary for expression of evolutionarily conserved
116 molecules by the luminal epithelium that are fundamental for embryo implantation (Achache and
117 Revel 2006, Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012). It has been demonstrated that endometrial receptivity is
118 mediated by the activation of autoregulatory feedback loops in decidualising ESCs underlying the
119 luminal epithelium, which activate the sequential expression of pro- and anti-inflammatory gene
120 networks, and that ESCs can exert this function independent of local immune cells (Salker, Nautiyal
121 et al. 2012). As such, it is evident that there is a co-dependent relationship between the endometrial
122 stroma and epithelium, to prepare the uterus for pregnancy (Cakmak and Taylor 2011), with ESC
123 decidual transformation being a prerequisite for the generation of endometrial receptivity
124 (Vinketova, Mourdjeva et al. 2016, Yu, Berga et al. 2016). The current study focuses on the uterine
125 phenotype during the acute inflammatory initiation phase of decidual transformation implicated in
126 the generation of endometrial receptivity.

127

128 The mechanisms that control decidualisation and endometrial receptivity are highly complex, and
129 we do not yet fully understand all the interactions that take place within the uterine
130 microenvironment during this time. Uterine competence for embryo implantation sets the
131 foundation for a successful pregnancy pathway; it is only when a clearer picture of the relative
132 contributions of the cellular and molecular mechanisms leading to a receptive endometrial status
133 become apparent, that the pathophysiology of several reproductive, obstetric and gynaecological
134 disorders can be further defined, and appropriate interventions can be developed to promote
135 pregnancy success as well as long-term maternal and fetal health. Likewise, a better understanding
136 of these mechanisms will also be beneficial for innovations in contraceptive methods. We have
137 developed a simplified 3-dimensional (3D) endometrial organotypic model to investigate
138 endometrial receptivity, in which we simulate an early acute inflammatory endometrial status, that
139 resolves in the generation of a receptive luminal epithelial phenotype, known as the 'window of
140 implantation'. Organotypic culture refers to *in vitro* cell culture models in which two or more
141 previously disaggregated cell-types are recombined in experimentally determined ratios and spatial
142 relationships to reconstruct a constituent of the corresponding *in vivo* organ, as opposed to
143 histiotypic cultures (high density culture of a single cell-type within a 3D matrix) or organoid cultures

144 (simplified, self-organising stem cell-derived 3D multicellular aggregates with the ability to mimic its
145 *in vivo* organ counterpart) (Freshney 2005, Simian and Bissell 2017). An endometrial organotypic
146 culture model, albeit a simplified representation, is able to better recapitulate the morphological
147 and functional features of the *in vivo* uterine microenvironment, than is possible conventional 2-
148 dimensional or even histiotypic cell culture methods. Physiologically representative *in vitro* model
149 systems are vital for investigating the mechanisms implicated in endometrial receptivity, due to the
150 ethical and logistical limitations of human studies. While there are reports of several *in vitro*
151 endometrial co-culture models in the literature (Bentin-Ley, Horn et al. 2000, Arnold, Kaufman et
152 al. 2001, Bläuer, Heinonen et al. 2005, Wang, Pilla et al. 2012, Chen, Erikson et al. 2013), these are
153 not representative of the early acute inflammatory decidualisation phase that gives rise to a
154 transiently receptive epithelial phenotype. The new functional co-culture system presented here
155 may offer a convenient and accessible tool to improve our comprehension of interactions in the
156 uterine microenvironment during this transitory phase.

157

158 **Materials and methods**

159 *Culture and hormonal stimulation of the endometrial stromal cell line St-T1b a*

160 The human ESC-derived telomerase-immortalised cell line, St-T1b (Samalecos, Reimann et al. 2009),
161 kindly provided by Professor Jan Brosens (University of Warwick, UK), was maintained in phenol
162 red-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium DMEM/Ham's F12 (DMEM/F12; Invitrogen, Renfrew,
163 UK) with 10% steroid-depleted fetal calf serum (FCS) supplemented with 2mM L-glutamine, 1 µg/ml
164 insulin, 0.3 ng/ml 17β-estradiol (E2), 50 µg/ml penicillin, 50 µg/ml streptomycin, and 0.2% Primocin
165 (Invivogen, Toulouse, France) (ESC medium) at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Phenol red-free
166 medium was used in all experiments, due to phenol red's known estrogenic activity (Berthois,
167 Katzenellenbogen et al. 1986). To induce decidualisation, cells were treated with minimal medium
168 1 (MM1; ESC medium without insulin and E2) containing increasing concentrations of the progestin,
169 medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA), 8-bromoadenosine 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (8-
170 Br-cAMP; Cambridge Bioscience, Cambridge, UK) and E2, or MM1 with 0.001% ethanol (EtOH) (Table
171 1) every 48 hours, and cultured over 8 days at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. All reagents for St-
172 T1b cell culture were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset UK) unless stated
173 otherwise. Cultured cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) on days 4, 6 and 8 for
174 immunocytochemical analysis.

175

176 *Isolation of epithelial cells from endometrial biopsies and ethical approval*

177 Primary human endometrial biopsy tissue was obtained from three women attending
178 gynaecological outpatient departments in NHS Lothian. Written informed consent was obtained
179 from participants and ethical approval granted from Lothian Research Ethics Committee (REC
180 16/ES/0007). The women reported regular menstrual cycles and did not have exogenous hormone
181 exposure for 2 months prior to biopsy. Women receiving hormonal therapy, suffering from
182 endometriosis or those with fibroids of >3 cm were excluded. Human endometrial epithelial cells
183 (EECs) were isolated from endometrial biopsies by tissue digestion and separation from ESCs.
184 Endometrial tissue was minced using scalpel blades, followed by digestion with 100 µg/ml
185 collagenase II and 0.25 µg/ml DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset UK) for 1.5 h at 37°C. The tissue
186 homogenate was then sequentially strained through 70-µm and 40-µm membrane filters to
187 separate glandular epithelium from ESCs. The membrane filters were back-washed with PBS to
188 retrieve endometrial gland clumps, further rinsed with PBS to flush out any digestion medium, and
189 mixed well to disperse clumps. EECs were then suspended in PBS and centrifuged at 500 x g for 5
190 minutes at room temperature (RT), supernatant subsequently discarded, followed by resuspension
191 in PBS and centrifugation at 500 x g for 5 minutes at RT.

192 193 *Expansion of endometrial epithelial cells by conditional reprogramming*

194 EECs were rapidly expanded *in vitro* by conditional reprogramming with the use of Y-27632 (a Rho
195 kinase inhibitor) and fibroblast feeder cells. First, 3T3 Swiss Albino fibroblasts (cell line obtained
196 from the European Collection of Authenticated Cell Culture, Public Health England, Salisbury, UK)
197 were grown in MM1 to approximately 80% confluence in T175 flasks, trypsinated, washed,
198 resuspended in MM1 and irradiated at 30 Gy. The irradiated cells were washed, cultured at 37°C in
199 an atmosphere of 5% CO₂, and conditioned medium collected 72 hours post-irradiation. EEC
200 medium was prepared with phenol red-free DMEM/Ham's F12 containing 10% steroid-depleted
201 FCS, and supplemented with 2mM L-glutamine, 5 µg/ml insulin, 24 µg/ml adenine, 0.4 µg/ml
202 hydrocortisone, 10 ng/ml epidermal growth (EGF), 8.4 ng/ml cholera toxin, 10 µmol/l Y-27632
203 (Cambridge Bioscience, Cambridge, UK, 10 µg/ml gentamycin and 0.25 µg/ml amphotericin. The
204 irradiated 3T3 conditioned medium was added to EEC medium in a 1:3 ratio, 1 part of IR 3T3
205 conditioned media to 3 parts of EEC medium; CREEC medium (conditional reprogramming EEC
206 medium), and the EECs maintained in CREEC medium at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. This
207 method has previously been shown to directly alter cell growth without selecting for a small sub-
208 population of stem-like cells, while retaining a normal non-tumourigenic karyotype, and

209 conditionally inducing an indefinite proliferative state in primary mammalian epithelial cells (Liu,
210 Ory et al. 2012, Supryniewicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron, Supryniewicz et al. 2013). All
211 reagents for EEC culture and conditional reprogramming were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich
212 (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset UK) unless stated otherwise. EECs can be cryopreserved using mFreSR™
213 cryopreservation medium (Stemcell Technologies, Cambridge, UK). Cultured EECs were fixed in 4%
214 PFA for immunocytochemical analysis.

215

216 *Immunocytochemical confirmation of ESC decidualisation and EEC phenotype*

217 Fixed cells (St-T1b cells and EECs) were permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes,
218 and washed three times with 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS (PBST). Cells were then blocked with 5% BSA in
219 PBS for 1 hour at RT, incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C, washed three times with
220 0.1% PBST, subsequently incubated with secondary antibody and 1:10,000 DAPI for 30 minutes at
221 RT in the dark, followed by a final wash with PBS. Primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-human
222 IGFBP-1 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab111203; 1:100), rat anti-mouse ST2L (IL-33R/ST2) (eBioscience,
223 Cheshire, UK; 17-9335-82; 1:100), rabbit anti-human cytokeratin-18 conjugated to phycoerythrin
224 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab218288; 1:1000), rabbit anti-human vimentin (New England Biolabs,
225 Hitchin, UK; 5741; 1:100), and mouse anti-human integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab190147;
226 1:100). A goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 546 (Invitrogen, Renfrew, UK; A-11071;
227 1:300), a donkey anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen, Renfrew, UK;
228 A10042; 1:250) and a donkey anti-mouse antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen,
229 Renfrew, UK; A21202; 1:500) were used as secondary antibodies. The cytokeratin-18 staining did
230 not require incubation with a secondary antibody. The ST2L staining did not require cell
231 permeabilisation for detection, but did require a signal amplification step after primary antibody
232 incubation, with a biotinylated goat anti-rat antibody (Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK; BA-
233 4000; 1:100) for 30 minutes at RT in the dark, followed by three washes with 0.1% PBST. Cells were
234 then incubated with streptavidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, Renfrew, UK; s11223;
235 1:200) and 1 mg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, Renfrew, UK; H3570) for 30 minutes at RT in the
236 dark, and then washed with PBS. Imaging was conducted on an Olympus IX71 microscope with a
237 QImaging optiMOS camera and CoolLED PE4000 light source (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) or a Zeiss Axio
238 Observer 7 microscope (Carl Zeiss Ltd, Cambridge, UK) with a Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash LT camera
239 (Hamamatsu Protonics, Hertfordshire, UK) and Zeiss Colibri 7 LED light source (Carl Zeiss Ltd,
240 Cambridge, UK). Images were analysed using ImageJ software (ImageJ, US National Institute of
241 Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

242

243 *Flow cytometric confirmation of EEC phenotype*

244 EECs were blocked with 10% normal goat serum for 10 minutes on ice. Cells were then either left
245 unstained (negative control) or incubated with mouse anti-human E-cadherin-BV421 (BD
246 Biosciences, Oxford, UK), mouse anti-human EpCAM-PE (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), mouse anti-
247 human CD31-PerCP-Cy5.5 and rat anti-human CD45-APC-Cy7 (BioLegend, London, UK). Flow
248 cytometry was carried out on a BD LSR Fortessa 5L flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK).
249 Analysis was carried using FlowJo software (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK).

250

251 *Generation of a 3D in-vitro organotypic model of a receptive endometrium*

252 EECs were primed in ESC medium (containing 1 µg/ml insulin and 0.3 ng/ml E2) for 48 hours at 37°C
253 in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. When the EECs had already been incubating with ESC medium 24
254 hours, St-T1b cells were seeded at a ratio of 1:3 growth factor-reduced (GFR) Matrigel (BD
255 Biosciences, Oxford, UK) in ESC medium, at a density of 6 x 10⁶ cells/ml, 60 µl/well (3.6 x 10⁵
256 cells/well) in wells of a 96-well plate, and allowed to set into a 3D structure at 37°C in an atmosphere
257 of 5% CO₂ over 45 minutes. A further 200 µl ESC medium was subsequently added to wells and
258 maintained overnight at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Once the EECs had been primed in ESC
259 medium for 48 hours, and the St-T1b cells grown within GFR Matrigel overnight, the medium was
260 removed from wells containing the St-T1b 3D structures, and EECs were trypsinated, washed,
261 resuspended in ESC medium and seeded on top of the 3D St-T1b cells at a density of 1 x 10⁷ cells/ml,
262 100 µl/well (1 x 10⁶ cells/well). To confirm that the phenotypic changes resulting from the hormonal
263 stimulation were dependent on cell-to-cell communication between the stromal and epithelial
264 compartments in our model, a parallel group was included, in which EECs were cultured alone
265 without ESCs on GFR Matrigel-coated plates. Cells were further incubated overnight at 37°C in an
266 atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Following overnight incubation, hormonal stimuli were added to cells every
267 48 hours as described in [Table 1](#), with the first addition of stimuli considered as day 0. Cells were
268 fixed with 4% PFA on days 4, 6 and 8 for quantitative in-cell western analyses.

269

270 *Quantification of integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression by in-cell western assay*

271 Fixed cells were blocked overnight with Odyssey[®] buffer (LI-COR Biosciences, Cambridge, UK),
272 followed by incubation with mouse anti-human integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab190147;
273 1:100), overnight at 4°C. Cells were then washed with PBS and the subsequent protocol, using a
274 goat anti-mouse IRDye[®] 800CW antibody and the CellTag[™] 700 normalisation stain (LI-COR

275 Biosciences, Cambridge, UK), was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells
276 were imaged and analysed using the Odyssey CLx Near-Infrared Fluorescence Imaging System (LI-
277 COR Biosciences, Cambridge, UK). In each experiment, data were normalised such that the integrin
278 $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression in the control (unstimulated) wells were given a value of 100.

279

280 *Statistical analysis*

281 One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison post-test was used to determine *P* values using
282 GraphPad Prism. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

283

284 **Results**

285 *Decidualisation induces the transient upregulation of ST2L in St-T1b cells*

286 Decidualisation was induced in St-T1b cells with increasing levels of MPA, E2 and cAMP over 8 days
287 (Table 1), to model the rising progesterone and estrogen levels that drive the structural and
288 functional changes in the secretory stage endometrium. Transformation of the St-T1b cells into
289 characteristically larger, rounded cells, 'decidualised' stromal cells, with increased cytoplasmic and
290 nuclear size, was observed. Decidualisation was confirmed by immunocytochemical analyses of
291 insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1) expression (Figure 1A). IGFBP-1 is a widely
292 used marker to assess the differentiation status and quality of the decidual response of ESCs in
293 culture (Gao, Mazella et al. 1994, Giudice, Mark et al. 1998, Fazleabas, Kim et al. 2004, Kim, Taylor
294 et al. 2007, Samalecos, Reimann et al. 2009, Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Tamura, Jozaki et al. 2018).
295 Furthermore, decidual transformation of ESCs was additionally corroborated by visible enlargement
296 and rounding of the nucleus and an expanding cytoplasm upon hormonal stimulation, which also
297 induced a transient upregulation of the interleukin-33 (IL-33) transmembrane receptor, ST2L, on
298 day 6 (Figure 1B), indicating a transient autoinflammatory decidual response.

299

300 *Primary human endometrial epithelial cells were rapidly expanded in vitro, by conditional* 301 *reprogramming, with retention of epithelial markers cells*

302 Epithelial cells can be rapidly expanded *in vitro*, by conditional reprogramming, with the use of a
303 Rho kinase inhibitor (Y-27632) and irradiated fibroblast feeder cells (Liu, Ory et al. 2012,
304 Suprynovicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron, Suprynovicz et al. 2013). This technique was
305 adapted for human EECs in the current study, following isolation from clinical endometrial biopsies
306 by tissue digestion with collagenase and DNase, and separation from ESCs (Figure 2A). Conditionally

307 reprogrammed EECs were generated, and these cells could be passaged several times with retention
308 of epithelial markers. The conditionally reprogrammed EECs could be cryopreserved in single cell
309 suspension in mFreSR™1 freezing medium and successfully revived following cryopreservation. EEC
310 phenotype was confirmed by immunocytochemical expression of the epithelial marker cytokeratin-
311 18 (CK-18) and absence of the stromal cell marker vimentin (Figure 2B). Further validation of an
312 epithelial phenotype was conducted by flow cytometric analyses, which demonstrated that the cells
313 expressed two additional epithelial markers, EpCAM and E-cadherin, but did not express the
314 leukocyte and endothelial cell markers, CD45 and CD31, respectively (Figure 2C).

315

316 *Generation of a novel endometrial organotypic in vitro co-culture model of the 'window of* 317 *implantation'*

318 Firstly, EECs and ESCs were grown in medium containing with E2 and insulin to model the
319 proliferative stage of the uterine cycle. EECs were then co-cultured with St-T1b cells in a 3D structure
320 (Figure 3A), to produce an endometrial organotypic co-culture model. The organotypic 3D co-
321 cultures were subjected to decidualisation hormonal stimuli over 8 days (Table 1), to model the
322 secretory stage of the uterine cycle and ultimately a receptive endometrial phenotype. EECs were
323 monitored for expression of integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ (a key marker of uterine receptivity) by quantitative
324 immunocytochemical detection, and in-cell western analyses demonstrated that integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$
325 expression by EECs was significantly higher on day 8 after hormonal stimulation compared to basal
326 expression where the cells did not receive any hormonal stimuli ($P < 0.0005$), as well as in
327 comparison to integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression on day 4 of treatment ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 3B). There was no
328 significant change over time in basal integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression in the control unstimulated group,
329 and the data from the stimulated cells were therefore normalised to the control unstimulated
330 group. Furthermore, there was no induction of epithelial $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression in a parallel group in
331 which EECs were cultured alone and subjected to hormonal stimuli over 8 days, indicating combined
332 effects of hormone treatment and cell-to-cell communication between the stromal and epithelial
333 compartments in our model (Supplementary figure 1).

334

335 **Discussion**

336 Decidualisation begins during the secretory phase of the menstrual cycle in response to rising
337 steroid hormone levels, and is marked by the differentiation of fibroblast-like ESCs into specialised
338 decidual cells, secretory changes in the uterine epithelial glands, the accumulation of uterine natural

339 killer cells, and vascular changes in the uterine spiral arteries (Maruyama and Yoshimura 2008,
340 Cartwright, Fraser et al. 2010, Fraser, Whitley et al. 2015). These changes are not only important for
341 implantation success, but defective endometrial receptivity is also associated with a wide range of
342 gynaecological, reproductive, obstetric disorders, as well as in the pathophysiology reproductive
343 malignancies (Makieva, Giacomini et al. 2018).

344 The key molecular players of ESC decidual transformation are progesterone and cAMP, which act
345 synergistically to stimulate successful differentiation of ESCs into their decidualised state (Brar,
346 Frank et al. 1997, Gellersen and Brosens 2003). Progesterone acts on ESCs by binding to the
347 progesterone receptor (PR), a member of the steroid/thyroid hormone receptor superfamily of
348 ligand-activated transcription factors (Gellersen and Brosens 2003). Estrogen is responsible for
349 inducing PR expression in ESCs that determine progesterone responsiveness during the secretory
350 stage of uterine cycle (Patel, Elguero et al. 2015). Rising progesterone levels drive the structural and
351 biochemical changes from proliferative to secretory ESC status, with a simultaneous generation of
352 endometrial receptivity and opening of the 'window of implantation' (Paulson 2011), and activation
353 of the cAMP second messenger pathway can direct cellular specificity to progesterone action
354 through the induction of diverse transcription factors that affect PR function (Gellersen and Brosens
355 2003). The initiation of endometrial receptivity is dependent on the local removal of steroid action
356 in the endometrial epithelium, facilitated via selective downregulation of epithelial PRs and
357 estrogen receptors (ERs), combined with the steroid-mediated paracrine effects from the stromal
358 compartment. Through the selective epithelial cell PR and ER downregulation, it is believed that
359 progesterone and estrogen act on stromal cells, which then influence epithelial cells through specific
360 paracrine factors (Lessey, Ilesanmi et al. 1996, Lessey 1998). However, although adequate
361 progesterone signalling is required to establish a receptive endometrial status, some studies suggest
362 that untimely, excess progesterone can compromise decidualisation and endometrial receptivity
363 (Labarta, Martínez-Conejero et al. 2011, Liang, Liu et al. 2018). Furthermore, while progesterone is
364 responsible for the structural ESC changes during decidualisation, animal studies have
365 demonstrated that uterine estrogen biosynthesis is crucial for the progression of decidualisation,
366 possibly by promoting stromal cell gap junction communication, which is known to be implicated in
367 preparing the endometrium for implantation (Ma, Song et al. 2003, Das, Mantena et al. 2009).
368 Moreover, studies in mice have shown that estrogen is critical in regulating the receptive
369 endometrial state; low estrogen levels can extend the 'window of implantation', whereas
370 excessively high estrogen levels can promptly initiate a refractory state, indicating that a very
371 narrow range of estrogen levels can determine the duration of endometrial receptivity, which could

372 have implications in the human setting (Ma, Song et al. 2003). Ovarian hormonal signalling must
373 therefore be stringently regulated to establish an adequately programmed, appropriately timed
374 receptive uterine environment to ensure pregnancy success, and to maintain gynaecological and
375 reproductive health.

376

377 In the present study, decidualisation was induced with increasing doses of MPA, 8-Br-cAMP and E2
378 over 8 days, in order to recapitulate the time it takes for these functional changes to occur *in vivo*,
379 since the 'window of implantation' becomes apparent (through detection of epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$
380 expression) 6–8 days after ovulation (Lessey 1998). Frequently used *in vitro* decidualisation
381 protocols include treatment of ESCs with constant doses of various combinations of progesterone
382 or a progestin, a cAMP-inducing analogue and E2, with high variability in duration of treatment
383 (Logan, Ponnampalam et al. 2013, Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Michalski, Chadchan et al. 2018).
384 Early *in vitro* decidualisation studies established that progestins (such as MPA) induce enhanced
385 decidual effects in cultured ESCs compared to progesterone, that a combination of progesterone
386 with E2 can amplify decidual effects in ESCs compared to treatment with progesterone alone, and
387 that the cAMP signal transduction cascade is a key stimulant in progesterone-dependent
388 decidualisation (Eckert and Katzenellenbogen 1981, Irwin, Kirk et al. 1989, Levin, Tonetta et al. 1990,
389 Gellersen, Kempf et al. 1994, Brar, Frank et al. 1997). Observations were based on physiological
390 doses of ovarian hormones and cAMP stimulation that induced ESC ultrastructural and molecular
391 changes characteristic of *in vivo* decidualisation (Eckert and Katzenellenbogen 1981, Irwin, Kirk et
392 al. 1989, Gellersen, Kempf et al. 1994). While the majority of *in vitro* decidualisation protocols make
393 use of continuous hormonal stimulatory doses (Logan, Ponnampalam et al. 2013, Gellersen and
394 Brosens 2014, Michalski, Chadchan et al. 2018), we used increasing doses of hormonal and cAMP
395 stimulation, to better represent the *in vivo* post-ovulatory rise in ovarian hormones and local cAMP
396 production that controls decidualisation and endometrial receptivity. Our study demonstrates that
397 these rising levels of ovarian hormones and cAMP can induce the transient upregulation of the IL-
398 33 receptor, ST2L, which was not observed when ESCs were subjected to the standard continuous
399 doses of hormonal and cAMP stimulation reported in the literature (Logan, Ponnampalam et al.
400 2013, Gellersen and Brosens 2014, Michalski, Chadchan et al. 2018) (Supplementary Figure 1).
401 Decidualising ESCs have been shown to induce a transitory, acute autoinflammatory response,
402 through secretion of IL-33 (a key regulator of the innate immune response), whilst upregulating the
403 expression of its receptor, ST2L. This IL-33-ST2L signalling induces receptivity gene expression in the
404 overlying epithelium, rendering the endometrium transiently receptive for the implantation of a

405 conceptus (Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012). In the absence of an implanting conceptus, the ESCs mount
406 an anti-inflammatory response that involves the downregulation of ST2L (Salker, Nautiyal et al.
407 2012).

408

409 Human studies are restricted by a lack of access to tissue throughout the different stages of the
410 menstrual cycle. The current study provides a simple *in vitro* organotypic co-culture model of a 3D
411 uterine structure, using Matrigel, an ESC cell line, and conditionally reprogrammed EECs. Matrigel is
412 rich in laminin and collagen, bearing similarities to the uterine extracellular matrix composition
413 (Tarrade, Goffin et al. 2002). Furthermore, it has been suggested that in addition to inducing a
414 stromal regulatory phenotype, Matrigel is able to act as a mediator for ESCs to signal to EECs, in a
415 similar paracrine manner to what occurs in living uterine tissue, when ESCs and EECs are co-cultured
416 with Matrigel serving as the basement membrane (Arnold, Kaufman et al. 2001). The St-T1b ESC cell
417 line used in our study expresses phenotypic ESC markers and can mimic primary decidual stromal
418 cell responses *in vitro* (Samalecos, Reimann et al. 2009), and its use eliminates patient variability, as
419 well as the possibility of 'contaminating' EECs being present within the stromal ESC component of
420 the model. However, an EEC cell line was not utilised, as all commonly-used EEC cell lines are derived
421 from malignant endometrial adenocarcinoma tissues. Since cancer cells have undergone numerous
422 genetic and epigenetic alterations, adenocarcinoma-derived cell lines are not representative of non-
423 cancerous biological processes such as decidualisation and the induction of endometrial receptivity.
424 Conditional reprogramming transcends the difficulty of growing primary EECs in long-term culture,
425 but allows propagation of primary epithelial cells into a highly proliferative state, whilst cells
426 maintain their original karyotype and remain in a non-neoplastic state (Liu, Ory et al. 2012,
427 Suprynowicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron, Suprynowicz et al. 2013). Cells are denoted as
428 'conditionally reprogrammed' due to the conditional induction of cell proliferation, with increased
429 telomerase expression, by a combination of Y-27632 (which suppresses differentiation and extends
430 life span in calcium- and serum-containing medium) and diffusible factor(s) released by the
431 irradiation-induced apoptotic 3T3 feeder cells (Suprynowicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron,
432 Suprynowicz et al. 2013). It has been suggested that the unrestricted cell proliferation induced by
433 conditional reprogramming is mediated through the induction of telomerase and cytoskeletal
434 remodelling and/or interference with the p16/Rb pathway (Liu, Ory et al. 2012, Palechor-Ceron,
435 Suprynowicz et al. 2013). The capacity for rapid establishment of karyotype-stable cell cultures from
436 normal human epithelium facilitates *in vitro* cellular studies without the drawbacks of cell cultures
437 generated, for example, from induced pluripotent stem cells, such as genetic instability,

438 tumourigenicity and altered antigenicity (Suprynowicz, Upadhyay et al. 2012). Large numbers of
439 EECs were generated through conditional reprogramming in the present study, which could be
440 passaged multiple times with the retention of epithelial markers, thus providing the advantages of
441 a conventional cell line.

442

443 The luminal epithelium is perceived as the fundamental site for endometrial receptivity (Idelevich
444 and Vilella 2020), and integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ is a cell-surface adhesion receptor that appears on the apex of
445 endometrial luminal epithelial cell surfaces, coincident with the 'window of implantation', and has
446 putative roles in embryo attachment during implantation (Rai, Hopkisson et al. 1996, Apparao,
447 Murray et al. 2001, Lessey 2002, Lessey and Castelbaum 2002). Integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ is maximally
448 expressed during the 'window of implantation' (Apparao, Murray et al. 2001), and its endometrial
449 expression is significantly lower in cases of unexplained infertility, indicating that aberrant epithelial
450 integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression may be associated defective endometrial receptivity (Elnaggar, Farag et
451 al. 2017). Here, we capitalise on the acute inflammatory initiation phase of decidual transformation
452 that promotes the generation of endometrial receptivity. This temporal endometrial phenotypic
453 change is not only important for implantation success, but its dysregulation is also associated with
454 a wide range of gynaecological, reproductive, and obstetric disorders, as well as in the
455 pathophysiology of reproductive malignancies (Makieva, Giacomini et al. 2018). It has been
456 suggested that endometrial receptivity is mediated through both direct and indirect progesterone
457 action (Lessey 2003). Epithelial steroid receptor expression varies during the menstrual cycle, with
458 high PR levels in the proliferative phase and selective loss of epithelial PR (and reduced ER)
459 expression in the secretory phase (Lessey, Ilesanmi et al. 1996), demonstrating a direct action of
460 progesterone on epithelial cells. Endometrial receptivity is tightly associated with the shifts in PR
461 and ER expression, which occur at the time of its onset around 5–6 days post-ovulation, concomitant
462 with the appearance of epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ (Lessey 1998). Stromal cells, on the other hand,
463 maintain their PR expression throughout the menstrual cycle, and progesterone action on stromal
464 cells generates paracrine mediators (such as the secretion of specific growth factors, cytokines and
465 inflammatory mediators) (Al-Sabbagh, Fusi et al. 2011, Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012, Chen, Erikson et
466 al. 2013) that promote epithelial gene expression, indicating the indirect action of progesterone, via
467 stromal cells, in the induction of an epithelial receptive phenotype (Lessey 1998, Lessey 2003,
468 Salker, Nautiyal et al. 2012). The addition of hormonal stimuli to our ESC-EEC co-culture system
469 induced an autoinflammatory decidual stromal response and the upregulation of epithelial integrin
470 $\alpha V\beta 3$, representing phenotypic endometrial changes coincident with the 'window of implantation'.

471

472 Epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression within our 3D co-culture model coincided with the timing of the
473 transient ST2L upregulation that was observed in ESCs, and was subsequently further amplified.
474 Furthermore, there was no induction of epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression when EECs were
475 cultured alone, without ESCs, and subjected to hormonal stimulation (Supplementary Figure 1),
476 suggesting that the induction of epithelial integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression upon hormonal stimulation may
477 have resulted from EEC-ESC crosstalk following IL-33-ST2L signalling within the stromal
478 compartment, particularly since rising progesterone levels induce the downregulation of epithelial
479 PR and ER expression during the secretory stage, permitting progesterone and estrogen to act on
480 ESCs (Lessey, Ilesanmi et al. 1996, Lessey 1998). However, further experiments would be required
481 to confirm whether these well-known endometrial functional changes are responsible for the lack
482 of induction of integrin $\alpha V\beta 3$ in EECs in monoculture, following hormonal stimulation, that was
483 observed in the current study. In addition, differences were observed with modifications of
484 decidualisation stimulation doses: while ESCs treated with increasing doses exceeding physiological
485 hormonal and cAMP levels still elicited a transient ST2L upregulation, continuous stimulatory doses
486 did not. Nonetheless, both of these stimulation protocols induced epithelial $\alpha V\beta 3$ expression, albeit
487 to a lesser amplitude (Supplementary Figure 1) than detected upon treatment with increasing
488 physiological stimulatory doses. Such observations and nuances highlight the significance of
489 appropriate experimental design, and also denote the importance of the interdependent
490 relationship between the timing and level of ovarian hormonal signalling that is a likely requisite in
491 the process of endometrial receptivity.

492

493 **Limitations of the study**

494 We acknowledge that the simplified functional endometrial organotypic model system presented
495 here does not fully represent all the cellular components and communications that are implicated
496 in the early events leading up to and during the 'window of implantation'. These include glandular
497 epithelial cells that undergo secretory transformation to provide histiotrophic nutrition for
498 implanting embryo, decidual natural killer cells that have important functions in stromal-immune
499 crosstalk, uterine vascular development, embryo implantation and trophoblast invasion, or vascular
500 components that undergo changes (Maruyama and Yoshimura 2008, Cartwright, Fraser et al. 2010,
501 Weimar, Post Uiterweer et al. 2013, Fraser, Whitley et al. 2015). However, ESCs are the main cell-
502 type in the uterine microenvironment, and through an initial acute autoinflammatory decidual
503 response, they are pivotal for transforming the uterus into a receptive phenotype by signalling to

504 the overlying epithelium to induce the expression of key receptivity molecules. We have therefore
505 put emphasis on the stromal and luminal epithelial components for the development of our
506 organotypic model system, paracrine interactions of which are central to the generation of
507 endometrial receptivity ([Lessey 1998](#), [Lessey 2003](#), [Al-Sabbagh, Fusi et al. 2011](#), [Salker, Nautiyal et
508 al. 2012](#), [Lucas, Dyer et al. 2016](#)). In addition, our model could benefit from further validation, for
509 example, via photomicrographic verification of accurately representative 3D spatial relationships of
510 the cell-types, through characterisation of EEC and ESC steroid receptor expression upon hormonal
511 stimulation, and by using the iGenomix® (iGenomix UK Ltd, Surrey, UK) Endometrial Receptivity
512 Array (ERA) genomic tool ([Katzorke, Vilella et al. 2016](#)), for additional confirmation of a receptive
513 endometrial phenotype.

514

515 **Concluding remarks**

516 Endometrial cell and molecular signalling errors are widely associated with uterine pathologies
517 ranging from infertility to cancer ([Makieva, Giacomini et al. 2018](#)). Any disturbance in decidual
518 transformation of the endometrium, and in turn endometrial receptivity, can cause endometrial
519 functional inadequacy, leading to implantation failure or pregnancy loss resulting from abnormal
520 implantation. Dysregulation of decidualisation and endometrial receptivity have been implicated in
521 infertility, implantation failure, recurrent miscarriage, pre-eclampsia and intrauterine growth
522 restriction ([Norwitz 2006](#), [Strowitzki, Germeyer et al. 2006](#), [Cartwright, Fraser et al. 2010](#), [Lessey
523 2011](#), [Patel and Lessey 2011](#), [Gellersen and Brosens 2014](#), [Timeva, Shterev et al. 2014](#), [Rabaglino,
524 Post Uiterweer et al. 2015](#), [Tan, Hang et al. 2015](#), [Conrad, Rabaglino et al. 2017](#)). In addition, several
525 gynaecological disorders, including endometriosis, polycystic ovarian syndrome, hydrosalpinges and
526 luteal phase defect, are also associated with decreased endometrial receptivity and anomalous
527 expression of endometrial biomarkers ([Donaghay and Lessey 2007](#)). The endometrial organotypic
528 system presented here may therefore facilitate a better understanding of interactions within the
529 uterine microenvironment. These could include, for example, the immunomodulatory and vascular
530 changes that are of critical importance during the secretory stage, as well as the application to the
531 current model of previously described organoid systems, or embryo implantation and trophoblast
532 invasion study protocols ([Teklenburg, Salker et al. 2010](#), [Fraser, Whitley et al. 2012](#), [Wang, Pilla et
533 al. 2012](#), [Wallace, Host et al. 2013](#), [James, Tun et al. 2016](#), [Turco, Gardner et al. 2017](#)), taking into
534 consideration both the respective distinct stages of decidualisation and implantation in any future
535 studies conducted. Other further potential applications would be for the development of advances
536 in contraceptives, as well as to investigate how various drugs (such as those used in infertility or

537 chemotherapy treatments) may interfere with endometrial signalling pathways, particularly where
538 human *in vivo* studies are not feasible. The co-culture system developed here, therefore has the
539 scope to be applied in an extensive range of settings, allowing investigations for the comprehensive
540 understanding of the molecular interactions and cellular consequences within the uterine
541 microenvironment during this early transitory period, in the broad context of several of
542 reproductive, obstetric and gynaecological pathologies.

543

544 **Authors' roles**

545 RF conceived the study. RF and RS performed experiments. RF analysed data, prepared the
546 manuscript, and was responsible for funding acquisition to provide consumables. C-JL was
547 responsible for funding acquisition to provide salary, space and equipment for this work to be
548 conducted, and provided critical appraisal of the research. All authors revised the manuscript and
549 approved the final version.

550

551 **Acknowledgements**

552 The authors thank Professor Hilary Critchley and her team for recruitment of patients and collection
553 of uterine biopsy samples, and we are grateful to all the patients who participated in this research.
554 We also thank Professor Jan Brosens, University of Warwick, for his generous gift of St-T1b cells for
555 this study.

556

557 **Funding**

558 This work was supported in part by an MRC Centre Grant (project reference MR/N022556/1). RF
559 was the recipient of a Moray Endowment award and a Barbour Watson Trust award. C-JL is a Royal
560 Society of Edinburgh (RSE) Personal Research Fellow, funded by the Scottish Government.

561

562 **Conflict of interest**

563 None declared.

564

565 **Data availability statement**

566 The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

567

568 **References**

- 569 Achache, H. and A. Revel (2006). "Endometrial receptivity markers, the journey to successful embryo
570 implantation." Hum Reprod Update **12**(6): 731-746.
- 571 Al-Sabbagh, M., L. Fusi, J. Higham, Y. Lee, K. Lei, A. C. Hanyaloglu, E. W. Lam, M. Christian and J. J.
572 Brosens (2011). "NADPH oxidase-derived reactive oxygen species mediate decidualization of human
573 endometrial stromal cells in response to cyclic AMP signaling." Endocrinology **152**(2): 730-740.
- 574 Apparao, K. B., M. J. Murray, M. A. Fritz, W. R. Meyer, A. F. Chambers, P. R. Truong and B. A. Lessey
575 (2001). "Osteopontin and its receptor alphavbeta(3) integrin are coexpressed in the human
576 endometrium during the menstrual cycle but regulated differentially." J Clin Endocrinol Metab
577 **86**(10): 4991-5000.
- 578 Arnold, J. T., D. G. Kaufman, M. Seppälä and B. A. Lessey (2001). "Endometrial stromal cells regulate
579 epithelial cell growth in vitro: a new co-culture model." Hum Reprod **16**(5): 836-845.
- 580 Bentin-Ley, U., T. Horn, A. Sjögren, S. Sorensen, J. Falck Larsen and L. Hamberger (2000).
581 "Ultrastructure of human blastocyst-endometrial interactions in vitro." J Reprod Fertil **120**(2): 337-
582 350.
- 583 Berthois, Y., J. A. Katzenellenbogen and B. S. Katzenellenbogen (1986). "Phenol red in tissue culture
584 media is a weak estrogen: implications concerning the study of estrogen-responsive cells in culture."
585 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **83**(8): 2496-2500.
- 586 Bläuer, M., P. K. Heinonen, P. M. Martikainen, E. Tomás and T. Ylikomi (2005). "A novel organotypic
587 culture model for normal human endometrium: regulation of epithelial cell proliferation by estradiol
588 and medroxyprogesterone acetate." Hum Reprod **20**(4): 864-871.
- 589 Brar, A. K., G. R. Frank, C. A. Kessler, M. I. Cedars and S. Handwerger (1997). "Progesterone-
590 dependent decidualization of the human endometrium is mediated by cAMP." Endocrine **6**(3): 301-
591 307.
- 592 Cakmak, H. and H. S. Taylor (2011). "Implantation failure: molecular mechanisms and clinical
593 treatment." Hum Reprod Update **17**(2): 242-253.
- 594 Cartwright, J. E., R. Fraser, K. Leslie, A. E. Wallace and J. L. James (2010). "Remodelling at the
595 maternal-fetal interface: relevance to human pregnancy disorders." Reproduction **140**(6): 803-813.
- 596 Chen, J. C., D. W. Erikson, T. T. Piltonen, M. R. Meyer, F. Barragan, R. H. McIntire, J. S. Tamaresis, K.
597 C. Vo, L. C. Giudice and J. C. Irwin (2013). "Coculturing human endometrial epithelial cells and
598 stromal fibroblasts alters cell-specific gene expression and cytokine production." Fertil Steril **100**(4):
599 1132-1143.

- 600 Conrad, K. P., M. B. Rabaglino and E. D. Post Uiterweer (2017). "Emerging role for dysregulated
601 decidualization in the genesis of preeclampsia." Placenta **60**: 119-129.
- 602 Das, A., S. R. Mantena, A. Kannan, D. B. Evans, M. K. Bagchi and I. C. Bagchi (2009). "De novo
603 synthesis of estrogen in pregnant uterus is critical for stromal decidualization and angiogenesis."
604 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **106**(30): 12542-12547.
- 605 Denker, H. W. (1993). "Implantation: a cell biological paradox." J Exp Zool **266**(6): 541-558.
- 606 Donaghy, M. and B. A. Lessey (2007). "Uterine receptivity: alterations associated with benign
607 gynecological disease." Semin Reprod Med **25**(6): 461-475.
- 608 Eckert, R. L. and B. S. Katzenellenbogen (1981). "Human endometrial cells in primary tissue culture:
609 modulation of the progesterone receptor level by natural and synthetic estrogens in vitro." J Clin
610 Endocrinol Metab **52**(4): 699-708.
- 611 Elnaggar, A., A. H. Farag, M. E. Gaber, M. A. Hafeez, M. S. Ali and A. M. Atef (2017). "AlphaVBeta3
612 Integrin expression within uterine endometrium in unexplained infertility: a prospective cohort
613 study." BMC Womens Health **17**(1): 90.
- 614 Fazleabas, A. T., J. J. Kim and Z. Strakova (2004). "Implantation: embryonic signals and the
615 modulation of the uterine environment--a review." Placenta **25 Suppl A**: S26-31.
- 616 Fraser, R., G. S. Whitley, A. P. Johnstone, A. J. Host, N. J. Sebire, B. Thilaganathan and J. E. Cartwright
617 (2012). "Impaired decidual natural killer cell regulation of vascular remodelling in early human
618 pregnancies with high uterine artery resistance." J Pathol **228**(3): 322-332.
- 619 Fraser, R., G. S. Whitley, B. Thilaganathan and J. E. Cartwright (2015). "Decidual natural killer cells
620 regulate vessel stability: implications for impaired spiral artery remodelling." J Reprod Immunol **110**:
621 54-60.
- 622 Freshney, R. I. (2005). Organotypic Culture. Culture of Animal Cells.
- 623 Gao, J. G., J. Mazella and L. Tseng (1994). "Activation of the human IGFBP-1 gene promoter by
624 progestin and relaxin in primary culture of human endometrial stromal cells." Mol Cell Endocrinol
625 **104**(1): 39-46.
- 626 Gellersen, B., I. A. Brosens and J. J. Brosens (2007). "Decidualization of the human endometrium:
627 mechanisms, functions, and clinical perspectives." Semin Reprod Med **25**(6): 445-453.
- 628 Gellersen, B. and J. Brosens (2003). "Cyclic AMP and progesterone receptor cross-talk in human
629 endometrium: a decidualizing affair." J Endocrinol **178**(3): 357-372.
- 630 Gellersen, B. and J. J. Brosens (2014). "Cyclic decidualization of the human endometrium in
631 reproductive health and failure." Endocr Rev **35**(6): 851-905.

- 632 Gellersen, B., R. Kempf, R. Telgmann and G. E. DiMattia (1994). "Nonpituitary human prolactin gene
633 transcription is independent of Pit-1 and differentially controlled in lymphocytes and in endometrial
634 stroma." Mol Endocrinol **8**(3): 356-373.
- 635 Giudice, L. C., S. P. Mark and J. C. Irwin (1998). "Paracrine actions of insulin-like growth factors and
636 IGF binding protein-1 in non-pregnant human endometrium and at the decidual-trophoblast
637 interface." J Reprod Immunol **39**(1-2): 133-148.
- 638 Idelevich, A. and F. Vilella (2020). "Mother and Embryo Cross-Communication." Genes (Basel) **11**(4).
- 639 Irwin, J. C., D. Kirk, R. J. King, M. M. Quigley and R. B. Gwatkin (1989). "Hormonal regulation of
640 human endometrial stromal cells in culture: an in vitro model for decidualization." Fertil Steril **52**(5):
641 761-768.
- 642 James, J., W. Tun and A. Clark (2016). "Quantifying trophoblast migration: In vitro approaches to
643 address in vivo situations." Cell Adh Migr **10**(1-2): 77-87.
- 644 Kajihara, T., K. Tanaka, T. Oguro, H. Tochigi, J. Prechapanich, S. Uchino, A. Itakura, S. Sućurović, K.
645 Murakami, J. J. Brosens and O. Ishihara (2014). "Androgens modulate the morphological
646 characteristics of human endometrial stromal cells decidualized in vitro." Reprod Sci **21**(3): 372-380.
- 647 Katzorke, N., F. Vilella, M. Ruiz, J. S. Krüssel and C. Simón (2016). "Diagnosis of Endometrial-Factor
648 Infertility: Current Approaches and New Avenues for Research." Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd **76**(6):
649 699-703.
- 650 Kim, J. J., H. S. Taylor, Z. Lu, O. Ladhani, J. M. Hastings, K. S. Jackson, Y. Wu, S. W. Guo and A. T.
651 Fazleabas (2007). "Altered expression of HOXA10 in endometriosis: potential role in
652 decidualization." Mol Hum Reprod **13**(5): 323-332.
- 653 Labarta, E., J. A. Martínez-Conejero, P. Alamá, J. A. Horcajadas, A. Pellicer, C. Simón and E. Bosch
654 (2011). "Endometrial receptivity is affected in women with high circulating progesterone levels at
655 the end of the follicular phase: a functional genomics analysis." Hum Reprod **26**(7): 1813-1825.
- 656 Lessey, B. A. (1998). "Endometrial integrins and the establishment of uterine receptivity." Hum
657 Reprod **13** Suppl 3: 247-258; discussion 259-261.
- 658 Lessey, B. A. (2002). "Adhesion molecules and implantation." J Reprod Immunol **55**(1-2): 101-112.
- 659 Lessey, B. A. (2003). "Two pathways of progesterone action in the human endometrium:
660 implications for implantation and contraception." Steroids **68**(10-13): 809-815.
- 661 Lessey, B. A. (2011). "Assessment of endometrial receptivity." Fertil Steril **96**(3): 522-529.
- 662 Lessey, B. A. and A. J. Castelbaum (2002). "Integrins and implantation in the human." Rev Endocr
663 Metab Disord **3**(2): 107-117.

- 664 Lessey, B. A., A. O. Ilesanmi, M. A. Lessey, M. Riben, J. E. Harris and K. Chwalisz (1996). "Luminal and
665 glandular endometrial epithelium express integrins differentially throughout the menstrual cycle:
666 implications for implantation, contraception, and infertility." Am J Reprod Immunol **35**(3): 195-204.
- 667 Levin, J. H., S. A. Tonetta and R. A. Lobo (1990). "Comparison of norethindrone and
668 medroxyprogesterone acetate with natural progesterone and estradiol in stimulating prolactin
669 production from cultured endometrial stromal cells." Am J Obstet Gynecol **163**(6 Pt 1): 1932-1938.
- 670 Liang, Y. X., L. Liu, Z. Y. Jin, X. H. Liang, Y. S. Fu, X. W. Gu and Z. M. Yang (2018). "The high
671 concentration of progesterone is harmful for endometrial receptivity and decidualization." Sci Rep
672 **8**(1): 712.
- 673 Liu, X., V. Ory, S. Chapman, H. Yuan, C. Albanese, B. Kallakury, O. A. Timofeeva, C. Nealon, A. Dakic,
674 V. Simic, B. R. Haddad, J. S. Rhim, A. Dritschilo, A. Riegel, A. McBride and R. Schlegel (2012). "ROCK
675 inhibitor and feeder cells induce the conditional reprogramming of epithelial cells." Am J Pathol
676 **180**(2): 599-607.
- 677 Logan, P. C., A. P. Ponnampalam, M. Steiner and M. D. Mitchell (2013). "Effect of cyclic AMP and
678 estrogen/progesterone on the transcription of DNA methyltransferases during the decidualization
679 of human endometrial stromal cells." Mol Hum Reprod **19**(5): 302-312.
- 680 Lucas, E. S., N. P. Dyer, K. Murakami, Y. H. Lee, Y. W. Chan, G. Grimaldi, J. Muter, P. J. Brighton, J. D.
681 Moore, G. Patel, J. K. Chan, S. Takeda, E. W. Lam, S. Quenby, S. Ott and J. J. Brosens (2016). "Loss of
682 Endometrial Plasticity in Recurrent Pregnancy Loss." Stem Cells **34**(2): 346-356.
- 683 Ma, W. G., H. Song, S. K. Das, B. C. Paria and S. K. Dey (2003). "Estrogen is a critical determinant that
684 specifies the duration of the window of uterine receptivity for implantation." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
685 A **100**(5): 2963-2968.
- 686 Makieva, S., E. Giacomini, J. Ottolina, A. M. Sanchez, E. Papaleo and P. Viganò (2018). "Inside the
687 Endometrial Cell Signaling Subway: Mind the Gap(s)." Int J Mol Sci **19**(9).
- 688 Maruyama, T. and Y. Yoshimura (2008). "Molecular and cellular mechanisms for differentiation and
689 regeneration of the uterine endometrium." Endocr J **55**(5): 795-810.
- 690 Michalski, S. A., S. B. Chadchan, E. S. Jungheim and R. Kommagani (2018). "Isolation of Human
691 Endometrial Stromal Cells for In Vitro Decidualization." J Vis Exp(139).
- 692 Norwitz, E. R. (2006). "Defective implantation and placentation: laying the blueprint for pregnancy
693 complications." Reprod Biomed Online **13**(4): 591-599.
- 694 Norwitz, E. R., D. J. Schust and S. J. Fisher (2001). "Implantation and the survival of early pregnancy."
695 N Engl J Med **345**(19): 1400-1408.

- 696 Okada, H., T. Tsuzuki and H. Murata (2018). "Decidualization of the human endometrium." Reprod
697 Med Biol **17**(3): 220-227.
- 698 Palechor-Ceron, N., F. A. Suprynowicz, G. Upadhyay, A. Dakic, T. Minas, V. Simic, M. Johnson, C.
699 Albanese, R. Schlegel and X. Liu (2013). "Radiation induces diffusible feeder cell factor(s) that
700 cooperate with ROCK inhibitor to conditionally reprogram and immortalize epithelial cells." Am J
701 Pathol **183**(6): 1862-1870.
- 702 Patel, B., S. Elguero, S. Thakore, W. Dahoud, M. Bedaiwy and S. Mesiano (2015). "Role of nuclear
703 progesterone receptor isoforms in uterine pathophysiology." Hum Reprod Update **21**(2): 155-173.
- 704 Patel, B. G. and B. A. Lessey (2011). "Clinical assessment and management of the endometrium in
705 recurrent early pregnancy loss." Semin Reprod Med **29**(6): 491-506.
- 706 Paulson, R. J. (2011). "Hormonal induction of endometrial receptivity." Fertil Steril **96**(3): 530-535.
- 707 Rabaglino, M. B., E. D. Post Uiterweer, A. Jeyabalan, W. A. Hogge and K. P. Conrad (2015).
708 "Bioinformatics approach reveals evidence for impaired endometrial maturation before and during
709 early pregnancy in women who developed preeclampsia." Hypertension **65**(2): 421-429.
- 710 Rai, V., J. Hopkisson, S. Kennedy, A. Bergqvist, D. H. Barlow and H. J. Mardon (1996). "Integrins alpha
711 3 and alpha 6 are differentially expressed in endometrium and endometriosis." J Pathol **180**(2): 181-
712 187.
- 713 Salamonsen, L. A., G. Nie, N. J. Hannan and E. Dimitriadis (2009). "Society for Reproductive Biology
714 Founders' Lecture 2009. Preparing fertile soil: the importance of endometrial receptivity." Reprod
715 Fertil Dev **21**(7): 923-934.
- 716 Salker, M. S., J. Nautiyal, J. H. Steel, Z. Webster, S. Sucurovic, M. Nicou, Y. Singh, E. S. Lucas, K.
717 Murakami, Y. W. Chan, S. James, Y. Abdallah, M. Christian, B. A. Croy, B. Mulac-Jericovic, S. Quenby
718 and J. J. Brosens (2012). "Disordered IL-33/ST2 activation in decidualizing stromal cells prolongs
719 uterine receptivity in women with recurrent pregnancy loss." PLoS One **7**(12): e52252.
- 720 Samalecos, A., K. Reimann, S. Wittmann, H. M. Schulte, J. J. Brosens, A. M. Bamberger and B.
721 Gellersen (2009). "Characterization of a novel telomerase-immortalized human endometrial stromal
722 cell line, St-T1b." Reprod Biol Endocrinol **7**: 76.
- 723 Simian, M. and M. J. Bissell (2017). "Organoids: A historical perspective of thinking in three
724 dimensions." J Cell Biol **216**(1): 31-40.
- 725 Strowitzki, T., A. Germeyer, R. Popovici and M. von Wolff (2006). "The human endometrium as a
726 fertility-determining factor." Hum Reprod Update **12**(5): 617-630.
- 727 Suprynowicz, F. A., G. Upadhyay, E. Krawczyk, S. C. Kramer, J. D. Hebert, X. Liu, H. Yuan, C.
728 Chelubaraju, P. W. Clapp, R. C. Boucher, Jr., C. M. Kamonjoh, S. H. Randell and R. Schlegel (2012).

- 729 "Conditionally reprogrammed cells represent a stem-like state of adult epithelial cells." Proc Natl
730 Acad Sci U S A **109**(49): 20035-20040.
- 731 Tamura, I., K. Jozaki, S. Sato, Y. Shirafuta, M. Shinagawa, R. Maekawa, T. Taketani, H. Asada, H.
732 Tamura and N. Sugino (2018). "The distal upstream region of insulin-like growth factor-binding
733 protein-1 enhances its expression in endometrial stromal cells during decidualization." J Biol Chem
734 **293**(14): 5270-5280.
- 735 Tan, S. Y., F. Hang, G. Purvarshi, M. Q. Li, D. H. Meng and L. L. Huang (2015). "Decreased endometrial
736 vascularity and receptivity in unexplained recurrent miscarriage patients during midluteal and early
737 pregnancy phases." Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol **54**(5): 522-526.
- 738 Tarrade, A., F. Goffin, C. Munaut, R. Lai-Kuen, V. Tricottet, J. M. Foidart, M. Vidaud, F. Frankenne
739 and D. Evain-Brion (2002). "Effect of matrigel on human extravillous trophoblasts differentiation:
740 modulation of protease pattern gene expression." Biol Reprod **67**(5): 1628-1637.
- 741 Teklenburg, G., M. Salker, M. Molokhia, S. Lavery, G. Trew, T. Aojanepong, H. J. Mardon, A. U.
742 Lokugamage, R. Rai, C. Landles, B. A. Roelen, S. Quenby, E. W. Kuijk, A. Kavelaars, C. J. Heijnen, L.
743 Regan, J. J. Brosens and N. S. Macklon (2010). "Natural selection of human embryos: decidualizing
744 endometrial stromal cells serve as sensors of embryo quality upon implantation." PLoS One **5**(4):
745 e10258.
- 746 Timeva, T., A. Shterev and S. Kyurkchiev (2014). "Recurrent implantation failure: the role of the
747 endometrium." J Reprod Infertil **15**(4): 173-183.
- 748 Turco, M. Y., L. Gardner, J. Hughes, T. Cindrova-Davies, M. J. Gomez, L. Farrell, M. Hollinshead, S. G.
749 E. Marsh, J. J. Brosens, H. O. Critchley, B. D. Simons, M. Hemberger, B. K. Koo, A. Moffett and G. J.
750 Burton (2017). "Long-term, hormone-responsive organoid cultures of human endometrium in a
751 chemically defined medium." Nat Cell Biol **19**(5): 568-577.
- 752 Vinketova, K., M. Mourdjeva and T. Oreshkova (2016). "Human Decidual Stromal Cells as a
753 Component of the Implantation Niche and a Modulator of Maternal Immunity." J Pregnancy **2016**:
754 8689436.
- 755 Wallace, A. E., A. J. Host, G. S. Whitley and J. E. Cartwright (2013). "Decidual natural killer cell
756 interactions with trophoblasts are impaired in pregnancies at increased risk of preeclampsia." Am J
757 Pathol **183**(6): 1853-1861.
- 758 Wang, H., F. Pilla, S. Anderson, S. Martínez-Escribano, I. Herrer, J. M. Moreno-Moya, S. Musti, S.
759 Bocca, S. Oehninger and J. A. Horcajadas (2012). "A novel model of human implantation: 3D
760 endometrium-like culture system to study attachment of human trophoblast (Jar) cell spheroids."
761 Mol Hum Reprod **18**(1): 33-43.

762 Weimar, C. H., E. D. Post Uiterweer, G. Teklenburg, C. J. Heijnen and N. S. Macklon (2013). "Reprint
763 of: In-vitro model systems for the study of human embryo-endometrium interactions." Reprod
764 Biomed Online **27**(6): 673-688.

765 Yu, J., S. L. Berga, E. B. Johnston-MacAnanny, N. Sidell, I. C. Bagchi, M. K. Bagchi and R. N. Taylor
766 (2016). "Endometrial Stromal Decidualization Responds Reversibly to Hormone Stimulation and
767 Withdrawal." Endocrinology **157**(6): 2432-2446.

768

769 **Table and figure legends**

770 **Table 1:** To produce a physiologically representative decidual response *in vitro*, cells were stimulated
771 with minimal medium 1 (MM1) containing increasing concentrations of medroxyprogesterone
772 acetate (MPA), 8-bromoadenosine 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (8-Br-cAMP) and 17 β -
773 estradiol (E2), or MM1 with 0.001% ethanol (EtOH), every 48 hours, and monitored over 8 days.

774

775 **Figure 1:** Hormonal stimulation of St-T1b cells with medroxyprogesterone acetate, 8-
776 bromoadenosine 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate and 17 β -estradiol. Decidualisation
777 confirmed by immunohistochemical detection of **[A]** IGFBP-1 expression, and **[B]** the transient
778 upregulation of ST2L expression. Scale bars = 100 μ m.

779

780 **Figure 2:** **[A]** Endometrial epithelial cells (EECs) were isolated from endometrial biopsies and
781 expanded *in vitro* by conditional reprogramming. Confirmation of epithelial phenotype by **[B]**
782 immunocytochemical analyses demonstrated cytokeratin-18 (CK18) expression and negative
783 vimentin staining in EECs, with endometrial stromal cells (ESCs) serving as negative and positive
784 controls, respectively. Scale bars = 100 μ m. **[C]** Flow cytometric analyses demonstrated EpCAM and
785 E-cadherin expression by EECs, but no CD31 and CD45 expression.

786

787 **Figure 3.** **[A]** To construct a 3D endometrial organotypic co-culture model, St-T1b cells were
788 embedded in growth factor-reduced Matrigel, with a single layer of EECs seeded on top. **[B]** In-cell
789 western® analysis was conducted to quantify epithelial integrin α V β 3 expression with or without
790 treatment with medroxyprogesterone acetate, cyclic adenosine monophosphate and 17 β -estradiol,
791 on days 4, 6 and 8. Results are mean \pm SEM of eight separate experiments. ** P < 0.05; *** P < 0.0001;
792 one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison post-test analysis.

Table 1

Timeline	Hormonal stimuli added with MM1	Control (unstimulated cells)
Day 0	0.25 μ M MPA + 0.25 mM 8-Br-cAMP + 1 nM E2	MM1 + 0.001% EtOH
Days 2, 4 and 6	1 μ M MPA + 0.5 mM 8-Br-cAMP + 10 nM E2	MM1 + 0.001% EtOH

For Review Only




Figure 1: Hormonal stimulation of St-T1b cells with medroxyprogesterone acetate, 8-bromoadenosine 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate and 17 β -estradiol. Decidualisation confirmed by immunohistochemical detection of [A] IGFBP-1 expression, and [B] the transient upregulation of ST2L expression. Scale bars = 100 μ m.




Figure 2: Endometrial epithelial cells (EECs) were isolated from endometrial biopsies and expanded in vitro by conditional reprogramming. Confirmation of epithelial phenotype by [B] immunocytochemical analyses demonstrated cytoke­ratin-18 (CK18) expression and negative vimentin staining in EECs, with endometrial stromal cells (ESCs) serving as negative and positive controls, respectively. Scale bars = 100 μ m. [C] Flow cytometric analyses demonstrated EpCAM and E-cadherin expression by EECs, but no CD31 and CD45 expression.

338x285mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 3. [A] To construct a 3D endometrial organotypic co-culture model, St-T1b cells were embedded in growth factor-reduced Matrigel, with a single layer of EECs seeded on top. [B] In-cell western analysis was conducted to quantify epithelial integrin α V β 3 expression with or without treatment with medroxyprogesterone acetate, cyclic adenosine monophosphate and 17 β -estradiol, on days 4, 6 and 8. Results are mean \pm SEM of eight separate experiments. **P < 0.05; ***P < 0.0001; one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison post-test analysis.

338x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1 **Supplementary table and figure legends**




2 Supplementary table 1: **Continuous stimulatory dose:** St-T1b cells were stimulated with minimal
3 medium 1 (MM1) containing medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA), 8-bromoadenosine 3',5'-
4 cyclic adenosine monophosphate (8-Br-cAMP) and 17 β -estradiol (E2), or MM1 with 0.001%
5 ethanol (EtOH), every 48 hours, and monitored over 8 days.

6
7 Supplementary table 2: **High stimulatory dose:** to produce a decidual response to doses
8 exceeding physiological levels, St-T1b cells were stimulated with minimal medium 1 (MM1)
9 containing increasing concentrations of medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA), 8-bromoadenosine
10 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (8-Br-cAMP) and 17 β -estradiol (E2) , or MM1 with 0.001%
11 ethanol (EtOH), every 48 hours, and monitored over 8 days.

12
13 Supplementary figure 1: **[A]** ST2L expression by St-T1b cells following stimulation with
14 continuous stimulatory doses (Supplementary table 1) or high stimulatory doses (Supplementary
15 table 2) of medroxyprogesterone acetate, 8-bromoadenosine 3',5'-cyclic adenosine
16 monophosphate and 17 β -estradiol. Scale bars = 100 μ m. **[B]** Integrin α V β 3 expression by
17 endometrial epithelial cells (EECs) following stimulation with increasing physiological stimulatory
18 hormonal doses (Table 1). Scale bars = 100 μ m. St-T1b cells were embedded in growth factor-
19 reduced Matrigel, with a single layer of EECs seeded on top, to produce a 3D endometrial
20 organotypic co-culture model. In-cell western[®] analysis was conducted to quantify EEC integrin
21 α V β 3 expression upon treatment of the 3D co-culture system with **[C]** continuous stimulatory
22 doses (Supplementary table 1) or **[D]** high stimulatory doses (Supplementary table 2). Results are
23 mean \pm SEM of at least eight separate experiments. * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.001; one-way ANOVA with
24 Tukey's multiple comparison post-test analysis.

25
26 Supplementary table 3: Representative in-cell western (ICW) data (arbitrary units indicating
27 epithelial integrin α V β 3 expression) for Figure 3B (increasing physiological stimulatory hormonal
28 doses), Supplementary figure 1A (continuous stimulatory dose) and Supplementary figure 1B
29 (high, increasing stimulatory doses).

30
31 Supplementary table 4: Pooled (n = 8) raw in-cell western data (arbitrary units indicating
32 epithelial integrin α V β 3 expression) for Figure 3B.



Supplementary Figure 1

338x516mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Supplementary table 1

Timeline	Hormonal stimuli added with MM1	Control (unstimulated cells)
Days 0, 2, 4 and 6	1 μ M MPA + 0.5 mM 8-Br-cAMP + 10 nM E2	MM1 + 0.001% EtOH

Supplementary table 2

Timeline	Hormonal stimuli added with MM1	Control (unstimulated cells)
Day 0	0.25 μ M MPA + 0.25 mM 8-Br-cAMP + 1 nM E2	MM1 + 0.001% EtOH
Day 2	1 μ M MPA + 0.5 mM 8-Br-cAMP + 5 nM E2	MM1 + 0.001% EtOH
Days 4 and 6	1.5 μ M MPA + 0.75 mM 8-Br-cAMP + 10 nM E2	MM1 + 0.001% EtOH

Supplementary table 3

ICW data for Figure 3B			
Control (unstimulated)	Day 4 (stimulated)	Day 6 (stimulated)	Day 8 (stimulated)
100	149.0756	154.6218	300.1681
100	112.471	88.8437	158.6287
100	267.8411	222.1139	447.6012
100	135.1058	138.3982	149.2945
100	140.3425	141.0379	227.453
100	129.3527	205.7315	296.1996
100	210.4804	356.5579	418.6499
100	142.8542	324.3868	401.865
100	152.6217733	194.3709778	277.0797922
ICW data for Supplementary figure 1B			
Control (unstimulated)	Day 4 (stimulated)	Day 6 (stimulated)	Day 8 (stimulated)
100	75.19325	239.1597	355.6303
100	118.629	148.5476	190.55
100	74.74048	97.92388	98.05363
100	315.8171	88.8437	158.6287
100	135.5762	171.2046	212.3987

100	163.675	126.7834	181.522
100	196.3695	152.1088	217.7816
100	175.9984	473.9915	545.8747
100	156.9998663	187.3203975	245.0549538
ICW data for Supplementary figure 1C			
Control (unstimulated)	Day 4 (stimulated)	Day 6 (stimulated)	Day 8 (stimulated)
100	211.0924	187.0588	267.2269
100	91.67312	134.3726	80.86754
100	71.88581	143.8581	148.7024
100	208.3208	414.9925	514.3928
100	122.5634	108.7667	118.1735
100	133.3119	133.7754	240.9735
100	117.1173	117.3026	180.7508
100	153.6793	153.9226	237.1782
100	242.0323	256.5242	433.3141
100	211.0924	187.0588	267.2269
100	150.1862589	183.3970556	246.8421933

Supplementary table 4

	Day 4	Day 6	Day 8
Control (unstimulated)	17.348	19.104	18.711
Hormonal stimulation (increasing physiological stimulatory doses)	26.741	34.389	46.93