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with the chemical and physical properties of the new ligands. Examples include Schiff bases, porphyrins and organometallic tripodal ligands. ${ }^{26-33}$ Note that even the replacement of some pyrrole units in the macrocyclic framework with e.g. furan or thiophene, result in a significant change in the magnetic characteristics. ${ }^{34}$ On the other hand, redox-active lacunary polyoxometalates act as versatile polydentate ligands towards oxophilic lanthanide ions, resulting in application potentials in electrochemistry, photochemistry, catalysis and magnetism. ${ }^{35-}$ ${ }^{45}$ Despite these prospects, there is just one report of a doubledecker Ln"I' complex comprising both a phthalocyanine and a polyoxometalate, namely a $\mathrm{Yb}^{3+}$ complex with a polyoxovanadate. ${ }^{46}$ In contrast, we here focus on the lanthanide ions $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}\left(4 \mathrm{f}^{8}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}\left(4 \mathrm{f}^{9}\right)$ that exhibit especially high magnetic anisotropy in $\mathrm{LnPc}_{2}$-type double decker complexes, ${ }^{15}$ in conjunction with a thermodynamically highly stable, classical polyoxotungstate ligand.

Herein, we describe the synthesis and characterisation of a new class of Ln double-decker species isolated as $\left(\mathrm{N}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}^{\text {II }} \mathrm{Pc}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)\right]$ with $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{1})$, $\mathrm{Dy}(\mathbf{2})$ or Tb (3) that contain a $\mathrm{Pc}^{2-}$ and a monolacunary $\alpha$-Keggin ( $\left[\mathrm{P}^{\vee} \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{V}}{ }_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$ ) ligand. Key to these syntheses is the precursor $[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{Pc})(\mathrm{OAc})]$, which is reacted with $\left(\mathrm{N}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right], \mathrm{N}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ in a 1:1:1 mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ overnight at 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution is filtered and the mother liquor evaporated to dryness. Precipitation of the dissolved crude material in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with hexane renders a green powder, which is purified by column chromatography. We started with the diamagnetic analogue, $\left.{ }^{\left[Y^{\prime \prime \prime}( \right.}(\mathrm{Pc})\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)\right]^{6-}$, to examine its solution behaviour via ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR. Thereafter, $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were isolated from similar synthetic procedures, with green rod-like crystals of 3 grown through slow evaporation of a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution.
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Y}^{111}(\mathrm{Pc})\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)\right]^{6-}$ presents broad singlets for $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ (9.61-9.20 ppm) and $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ (8.348.05 ppm ) of the Pc ligand, characteristic of a reduced symmetry in the complex (Figure S12). While a shift of $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ from ca. 9.42 to 9.50 ppm is observed when the single-decker phthalocyanate complex $[\mathrm{YPc}(\mathrm{OAc})]$ coordinates to $\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}, \mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ remains relatively unchanged. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $243 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) spectrum shows a shift from -13.24 to -14.64 ppm of the $\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$
precursor (Figures S10, S13). NMR, EA, IR, UV-Vis, and ESI HRMS are consistent with the purity of the diamagnetic analogue and support the existence of the counteraction composition in 1. Subsequently, compounds 2 and 3 were synthesised and characterised by ESI-HRMS, IR, UV-Vis, and EA. Akin to the Y complex (see SI for crystallography information), green rod-like crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ were obtained from a concentrated $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. Several attempts to obtain single crystals of 2 using different mixtures of solvents, cation exchange and crystallisation conditions failed. Both 1 and $\mathbf{3}$ crystallise in a monoclinic system and structure solution was performed in the $P 2_{1} / c$ and $C 2 / m$ space groups, respectively. The molecular $\left\{\mathrm{MPc}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)\right\}$ units in 1 and 3 are virtually isostructural, and in the following we discuss the representative structure 3. $\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$, the monolacunary derivative of the seminal $\alpha$-Keggin-type polyanion $\left[\mathrm{PW}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{40}\right]^{3-}$, contains a central phosphate ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ : $1.478-1.547 \AA$ ), coordinating to $11 \mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{VI}}$ ions via three $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ and one $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ sites ( $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}: 2.390-2.498 \AA$ ). On the periphery, the $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{VI}}$ ions are linked by $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ions (W-O: 1.792-2.077 $\AA$ ), with the remaining coordination sites completed by terminal oxo groups (W-O: 1.684-1.704 Å). The defect (lacuna) site of $\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$ is defined by four basic oxygen atoms ( $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}: 2.81-2.94 \AA$ A ) that coordinate to the TbPc unit and generate $\left[\mathrm{Tb}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\mathrm{Pc})\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)\right]^{6-}$ with $\mathrm{Tb}^{\text {III }}$ adopting a distorted square-antiprismatic $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ environment (Tb-O: 2.361 and $2.389 \AA$; Tb-N: 2.452, 2.465 and $2.467 \AA$ ). . The $\mathrm{TbN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ fragment is rotated by a skew angle of $46.08^{\circ}$ and $44.44^{\circ}$ relative to an eclipsed geometry. The symmetry plane of the $C_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetric $\left\{\mathrm{PW}_{11}\right\}$ unit approximately aligns with one of the two $\sigma_{v}$ planes of the Pc unit (Figure 1). This effectively tilts the Pc group to one side, hence breaking the equivalency of pyrrolic units, in line with the low symmetry observed by NMR of the Y derivative.


Figure 1. Molecular structure of the $\left[Y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{PC}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)\right]^{6-}$ anion in 1. The distorted square-antiprismatic $\mathrm{YN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ coordination polyhedron is highlighted in transparent grey, and the orientation of its associated local $C_{4}$ axis is shown as green line. The four oxygen centres surrounding the lacunary site of the $\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$ group, to which the $\mathrm{Y}^{3+}$ ion coordinates, are shown in orange. Colour code: Y : green, W : dark yellow, P: purple, O: red, N: blue, C: light grey. H atoms omitted for clarity. Benzene and pyrrole rings of the Pc ligand are shown in transparent yellow to better illustrate the bent Pc geometry.

The electronic absorption spectra of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ exhibit a typical Soret band at $\sim 352 \mathrm{~nm}$ and an intense Q-band at $\sim 693$ and $\sim 710 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Figure S8). This splitting of the Q-band, similar to other heteroleptic bis(phthalocyanato) $\mathrm{Ln}^{\text {III }}$ complexes, arises due to lowered molecular symmetry resulting in different possible transitions. ${ }^{20,47-49}$ In addition to the Soret and Q-bands of Pc ligand origin, the spectra display another band at $\sim 260 \mathrm{~nm}$ corresponding to an $\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{W}$ charge transfer transition in the $\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}$ unit. FT-IR spectra of 1, $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ (Figures S6, S7) display vibrations related to $v(C-H) \sim 2960-2853 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v(C=N) \sim 1632 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}, \delta\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \sim 1458 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, v(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}) \sim 1094$ and $1056 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {terminal }}\right)$ $\sim 953 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{W}\right) \sim 886 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (bridging oxygen of two octahedral $W$ sharing a corner) and $v\left(W-O_{c}-W\right) 800-730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (bridging oxygen of two octahedral W sharing an edge). ESIHRMS in the negative mode for $\mathbf{1 , 2} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ show fragmentations characteristic for the triply negatively charged species $[\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{NBu}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}\right]^{3-}$ and $\left[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{NBu}_{4}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{3-}$, where $\mathrm{M}=$ $\left[\mathrm{Ln}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\mathrm{Pc})\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)\right]^{6-}$. The isotopic distributions of the calculated species perfectly match with $m / z$ deviations within $\sim 0.0005$ and $\sim 0.0004$, respectively (Figures $2 b$, S4 and S5).


Figure 2. a) Cyclic voltammogram of a 0.33 mM solution of $\mathbf{2}$ in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Sweeping direction is from negative to positive potentials. b) Full ESI-HRMS spectrum of 2, $\mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{Dy}{ }^{\text {II }}(\mathrm{Pc})\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)\right]^{6-}$. The inset shows the partial ESI-HRMS
 corresponding to $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NBu}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}\right]^{3-}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{Dyy}\right]^{3-}$ (green) and $[\mathrm{M}+$
$\left.2 \mathrm{NBu}_{4}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{3-}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{89} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{Dy}\right]^{3-}$ (blue), compared to calculated isotopic $2 \mathrm{NBU}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{3-}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{89} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{Dy}\right]^{3-}$ (blue), compared to calculated isotopic
distribution (black), corresponding to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=1198.8732$ and 1278.9649 , distribution (black), corresponding to $m / z=1198.8732$ and 1278.9649 ,
respectively. Experimental and theoretical relative abundances have been adjusted to $50 \%$ and $100 \%$, respectively, for the purpose of comparison.

Cyclic voltammograms of $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were performed in 10 mL $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions using [ $\left.\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](0.1 \mathrm{M})$ as electrolyte, a glassy carbon working electrode, and a Pt wire as reference and counter electrode. All complexes show similar behaviour (Figures 2a and S3). Plotted data were corrected for ohmic drop (PEIS method) and referenced vs. $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right]^{+}$. The CVs do not display any marked redox couples, and except for the reduction potentials at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pc}} \sim-1.80 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pc}} \sim-1.40 \mathrm{~V}$, the oxidation and reduction processes are not well defined. Electrochemistry of complexes containing Pc ligands show multiple redox couples based on oxidation and reduction of the Pc ring. ${ }^{50-52}$ The $\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$ constituent is only redox-active at negative potentials showing a quasi-reversible couple $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{VI}} / \mathrm{W}^{\vee}$ at $E_{1 / 2}=-1.18 \mathrm{~V}$ (Figure S2). Therefore, by comparison with the starting materials, oxidation peaks at $\sim-1.58, \sim+0.18$ and $\sim$ +0.46 V and reduction peaks at $\sim-1.80 \mathrm{~V}$ are associated to Pc. Meanwhile, the observed $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pc}} \sim-1.40 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pa}} \sim-1.20 \mathrm{~V}$ are likely overlapping redox processes occurring in both the Pc ligand and POM unit. Narrowing the window of the sweeping potential in the negative $(-2.10 \mathrm{~V}$ to $-0.24 \mathrm{~V})$ and positive $(-0.25$ V to +0.94 V ) ranges yielded identical features to the full spectra (Figure S1). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of 1, 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ (Figure S9) exhibit thermal stability until $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and up to ca. $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the complexes lose all $\mathrm{NBu}_{4}$ countercations (ca. $22 \%$ ).

Direct current (dc) magnetic susceptibility and magnetisation data for $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are shown in Figure 3a as $\chi_{\mathrm{m}} T$ vs. $T$ at 0.1 T and $M_{\mathrm{m}}$ vs. $B$ at 2.0 K . At 290 K , the $\chi_{\mathrm{m}} T$ values are 13.26 (2) and $11.84 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (3), in good agreement with those expected for an isolated Dy'I or Tb"I' centre (Dy"I': 13.01-14.05 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$, Tbl'I: $\left.11.76-12.01 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{53}$ Upon cooling, the values of $\chi_{\mathrm{m}} T$ gradually decrease to 12.63 (2) or $11.24 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (3) at 90 K , and subsequently drop to reach 9.10 (2) or $9.31 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}(3)$ at 2.0 K . These drop-offs are due to the thermal depopulation of the energy states of the respective split ground terms ${ }^{6} H_{15 / 2}$ (Dy ${ }^{\text {III }}$ ) or ${ }^{7} F_{6}$ ( $\mathrm{Tb}^{\text {III }}$ ). At 2.0 K , the molar magnetisation as a function of the applied magnetic field (Figure 3a, inset) steeply rises at low fields ( $0-1 \mathrm{~T}$ ) and slightly increases at higher fields reaching a value of 5.1 (2) or $4.8 N_{A} \mu_{B}$ (3) at 5.0 T . As expected, this value is about half of the saturation value of a single Dy "II ( $10 N_{A} \mu_{B}$ ) or Tb"I' ( $9 N_{A} \mu_{B}$ ) centre, since these data represent the mean value of a statistical arrangement (i.e. powder sample) of magnetically anisotropic lanthanide centres.
 circles) and $\mathbf{3}$ (blue full circles; because of the loss of lattice solvent during the treatment of the sample, leading to uncertainty in the molar mass, the $\chi_{\mathrm{m}} T$ at 290 K was scaled to $11.84 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ). b) out-of-phase molar magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ vs. $f$ for 2 (symbols: data, lines: fits to generalised Debye expression). c) Magnetic ac data for 2: Cole-Cole plot in the range $1.9-10.0 \mathrm{~K}$ at a static bias field of 500 Oe (symbols: data, lines: fits to a generalised Debye expression). d) Arrhenius plot of relaxation time $\tau$ vs. $T^{-1}(1.9 \mathrm{~K} \leq T \leq 8.0 \mathrm{~K})$ for $\mathbf{2}$, red line shows a combined fit to Orbach (blue), Raman (green) and direct relaxation (black) processes.

For 3, weak out-of-phase ac susceptibility signals were detected at static bias fields above 100 Oe and below 1000 Oe. However, the curvature in the Cole-Cole plots (Figure S16, bottom) are not pronounced enough to obtain a reliable fit. For 2, weak out-ofphase signals were detected at zero static bias field (Figure S16, top-left). At a 500 Oe static bias field, optimal conditions for fitting the data were found, i.e. pronounced curvatures in the Cole-Cole plot (Figure 3c) and maxima in the $\chi_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ vs. $f$ representation (Figure 3b). The data were analysed in terms of a generalised Debye expression ${ }^{54}$ at each temperature yielding the lines in the Cole-Cole plot and ( $\chi_{m}{ }^{\prime}, \chi_{m}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) vs. $f$ plots, and the relaxation times $\tau$ (Figure 3d). The distribution of these relaxation times is $\alpha=0.383 \pm 0.052$, suggesting multiple relaxation pathways. We therefore analysed the data shown in the Arrhenius plot considering numerous slow relaxation processes and found an adequate description by adopting

Orbach, Raman and direct relaxation processes. The corresponding formula is given by $\tau^{-1}=\tau_{0}{ }^{-1} \exp \left(-U_{\text {eff }} / k_{B} T\right)+C T^{n}$ $+A_{\mathrm{K}} T$ ( $k_{\mathrm{B}}$ : Boltzmann's constant). The least-squares fit yields an attempt time $\tau_{0}=(1.08 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-7}$ s and an effective barrier $U_{\text {eff }}$ $=(33.7 \pm 1.5) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the Orbach process, a constant $C=(0.41 \pm$ $0.08) \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-n}$ and an exponent $n=4.9 \pm 0.2$ for the Raman process, and a constant $A_{\mathrm{K}}=(8.9 \pm 0.6) \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ at 500 Oe static bias field for the direct process. The Orbach process parameters are in the common range of Dy ${ }^{\text {III }} \mathrm{SIMs},{ }^{10}$ while the parameters for the Raman and direct processes are within the expected range for systems with closely spaced Kramers levels ( $n=5$ ). ${ }^{55}$ The corresponding homoleptic double-decker complexes, i.e. [Dy $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}(\mathrm{Pc})_{2}\right]^{-}$and $\left[\mathrm{Dy}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)_{2}\right]^{11-}$, exhibit effective energy barriers $U_{\text {eff }}=28$ and $38.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $\tau_{0}$ values of $6.25 \times 10^{-6}$ and $9.6 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~s}$ respectively. ${ }^{15,56}$ The $U_{\text {eff }}$ value of 2 thus represents the average value, however, in contrast to $\left[\mathrm{Dy}^{11 \prime}\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)_{2}\right]^{11-}$, it shows a more pronounced curvature of the isotherms in the Cole-Cole plot (at a significantly smaller bias field; 500 Oe vs. 3000 Oe).
In conclusion, we have reported the synthesis and characterisation of a family of new hybrid complexes of formula [ $\left.{ }^{1 I \prime}(\mathrm{Pc})\left(\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right)\right]^{6-}$, formed by combining two tetradentate ligands with donor atoms forming $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ squares of nearly identical size (ca. $2.8 \AA$ side length), namely the polyoxotungstate $\quad\left[\mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{39}\right]^{7-}$ and unsubstituted phthalocyanate. The observation of SMM behaviour in $\mathbf{2}$ will prompt further investigations into the derivatisation of the peripheral hydrogens on the Pc ligand (e.g. with electrondonating and electron-withdrawing groups) and the use of other lacunary POMs (Keggin and Wells-Dawson type) to study the magnetic response.
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## Notes and references

Crystal Data. 3: $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{122} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{39} \mathrm{PW}_{11} \mathrm{~Tb} \cdot 6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) M_{r}=4549.64 \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, monoclinic, $C 2 / m$ (No. 12), $a=22.5917$ (14) $\AA$ A, $b=$ 21.7413(12) $\AA, c=26.2648(15) \AA, \beta=95.3550(10)^{\circ}, \alpha=\gamma=90^{\circ}, V$ $=12844.3(13) \AA^{3}, T=100.15 \mathrm{~K}, Z=4, Z^{\prime}=0.5, \mu($ Synchrotron $)=$ 9.767, 53920 reflections measured, 7102 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0833$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $w R_{2}$ was 0.1507 (all data) and $R_{1}$ was 0.0509 ( $1>2(1)$ ). CCDC number: 1993275.
1: $\mathrm{C}_{192} \mathrm{H}_{324} \mathrm{~N}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{78} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{22} \mathrm{Y} \cdot 3.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right), \quad M_{r}=8798.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$ (No. 14), $a=29.676(4) \AA, b=30.709(4) \AA, c=$ 29.725(4) $\AA, \beta=91.830(2)^{\circ}, \alpha=\gamma=90^{\circ}, V=27074(5) \AA^{3}, T=$ $100.0 \mathrm{~K}, Z=4, Z^{\prime}=1, \mu$ (Synchrotron) $=9.036,213327$ reflections measured, 28548 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.1538$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $w R_{2}$ was 0.2344 (all data) and $R_{1}$ was 0.0869 (I > 2(I)). CCDC number: 1993274.
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