

# Rectal cancer lateral lymph nodes: multicentre study of the impact of obturator and internal iliac nodes on oncological outcomes

### Citation for published version (APA):

Schaap, D. P., Boogerd, L. S. F., Konishi, T., Cunningham, C., Ogura, A., Garcia-Aguilar, J., Beets, G. L., Suzuki, C., Toda, S., Lee, I. K., Sammour, T., Uehara, K., Lee, P., Tuynman, J. B., van de Velde, C. J. H., Rutten, H. J. T., Kusters, M., & Lateral Node Study Consortium (2021). Rectal cancer lateral lymph nodes: multicentre study of the impact of obturator and internal iliac nodes on oncological outcomes. *British Journal of Surgery*, *108*(2), 205-213. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjs/znaa009

Document status and date:

Published: 01/02/2021

DOI: 10.1093/bjs/znaa009

**Document Version:** Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Document license:** Taverne

#### Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# Rectal cancer lateral lymph nodes: multicentre study of the impact of obturator and internal iliac nodes on oncological outcomes

D. P. Schaap D<sup>1</sup>, L. S. F. Boogerd<sup>2</sup>, T. Konishi<sup>3</sup>, C. Cunningham<sup>4</sup>, A. Ogura<sup>5,6</sup>, J. Garcia-Aguilar<sup>7</sup>, G. L. Beets<sup>8</sup>, C. Suzuki<sup>9</sup>, S. Toda<sup>10</sup>, I. K. Lee D<sup>11</sup>, T. Sammour<sup>12</sup>, K. Uehara D<sup>3</sup>, P. Lee<sup>13,14</sup>, J. B. Tuynman<sup>2</sup>, C. J. H. van de Velde<sup>6</sup>, H. J. T. Rutten<sup>1,15</sup> and M. Kusters<sup>2,\*</sup> on behalf of the Lateral Node Study Consortium

- <sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Centres, Location VUmc, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Cancer Institute Hospital of Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, Tokyo, Japan
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Colorectal Surgery, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK
- <sup>5</sup>Division of Surgical Oncology, Department of Surgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Surgery, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, the Netherlands
- <sup>7</sup>Department of Surgery, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Centre, New York, USA
- <sup>8</sup>Department of Surgery, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- <sup>9</sup>Department of Radiology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- <sup>10</sup>Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan
- <sup>11</sup>Department of Surgery, Seoul St Mary's Hospital, Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Korea
- <sup>12</sup>Department of Surgery, Royal Adelaide Hospital and University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
- <sup>13</sup>Department of Colorectal Surgery, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
- <sup>14</sup> Surgical Outcomes Research Centre (SOuRCe), Sydney Local Health District and Sydney School of Public Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
- <sup>15</sup>Maastricht University, GROW, School of Oncology and Developmental Biology, Maastricht, the Netherlands

\*Correspondence to: Department of Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Centres, Location VUmc, De Boelelaan 1117, 1081 HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands (e-mail: m.kusters@amsterdamumc.nl)

### Abstract

**Background:** In patients with rectal cancer, enlarged lateral lymph nodes (LLNs) result in increased lateral local recurrence (LLR) and lower cancer-specific survival (CSS) rates, which can be improved with (chemo)radiotherapy ((C)RT) and LLN dissection (LLND). This study investigated whether different LLN locations affect oncological outcomes.

**Methods:** Patients with low cT3–4 rectal cancer without synchronous distant metastases were included in this multicentre retrospective cohort study. All MRI was re-evaluated, with special attention to LLN involvement and response.

**Results:** More advanced cT and cN category were associated with the occurrence of enlarged obturator nodes. Multivariable analyses showed that a node in the internal iliac compartment with a short-axis (SA) size of at least 7 mm on baseline MRI and over 4 mm after (C)RT was predictive of LLR, compared with a post-(C)RT SA of 4 mm or less (hazard ratio (HR) 5.74, 95 per cent c.i. 2.98 to 11.05 vs HR 1.40, 0.19 to 10.20; P < 0.001). Obturator LLNs with a SA larger than 6 mm after (C)RT were associated with a higher 5-year distant metastasis rate and lowered CSS in patients who did not undergo LLND. The survival difference was not present after LLND. Multivariable analyses found that only cT category (HR 2.22, 1.07 to 4.64; P = 0.033) and margin involvement (HR 2.95, 1.18 to 7.37; P = 0.021) independently predicted the development of metastatic disease.

**Conclusion:** Internal iliac LLN enlargement is associated with an increased LLR rate, whereas obturator nodes are associated with more advanced disease with increased distant metastasis and reduced CSS rates. LLND improves local control in persistent internal iliac nodes, and might have a role in controlling systemic spread in persistent obturator nodes.

Members of the Lateral Node Study Consortium are co-authors of this study and are listed under the heading Collaborators.

### Introduction

The 5-year local recurrence (LR) rate in patients with advanced rectal cancer remains between 5 and 10 per cent, despite advances in preoperative planning with MRI, the introduction of

neoadjuvant (chemo)radiotherapy ((C)RT) and standardized surgery with total mesorectal excision  $(TME)^{1-7}$ . Accumulating evidence suggests that malignant lateral lymph nodes (LLNs) are a cause of LR after surgery with clear resection margins (R0)<sup>8</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery, Catharina Hospital, Eindhoven, the Netherlands



#### Fig. 1 MRI of lateral lymph nodes

Transverse MRI images demonstrating an enlarged lymph node with a short-axis size of 16 mm located in the internal iliac compartment (arrow), and topographical annotation of the external iliac compartment (red), obturator compartment (green), and internal iliac compartment (blue) at different levels.

Japanese studies<sup>9,10</sup> have shown that these lateral nodes occur mainly in low cT3–4 rectal tumours. Previous research<sup>11</sup> has found that the involvement of LLNs located in the external iliac compartment is predictive of metastatic disease, but not LR. Surgeons in the East, predominantly in Japan, have treated lateral nodes with a primarily surgical approach, combining TME with LLN dissection (LLND); some centres also add neoadjuvant (C)RT<sup>12</sup>. Conversely, standard Western surgical treatment for clinical stage II and III rectal cancer has been TME (without LLND), which relies on neoadjuvant treatment to eradicate lateral nodal disease<sup>13</sup>.

A retrospective multicentre study<sup>14</sup> of 1216 patients with low cT3–4 rectal cancer from the East and the West found that an enlarged node with a short-axis (SA) size of at least 7 mm on pretreatment imaging in patients treated with (C)RT and TME led to a lateral local recurrence (LLR) rate of 19.5 per cent, which was lowered if LLND was performed (LLR rate 5.7 per cent; P = 0.042). In a further study<sup>15</sup> of 741 patients who underwent neoadjuvant (C)RT, the 3-year LLR was zero if a LLN with a pretreatment SA length of 7 mm or more had a SA size of 4 mm or less in the internal iliac compartment, or no more than 6 mm in the obturator compartment, on the restaging MRI.

The aim of this study was to determine whether enlarged LLNs in the internal iliac or obturator compartment occur differently, and are associated with dissimilar oncological outcomes thereby potentially requiring separate treatment strategies.

### **Methods**

Each of the 12 participating centres received local ethical approval for the study. All patients who underwent surgery for cT3-4 rectal cancer located within 8 cm from the anal verge were identified in the participating centres<sup>14,15</sup>. Exclusion criteria were the absence of good-quality primary MRI, the presence of distant metastases (DM) at the time of initial staging, or a non-curative (R2) resection. Analyses of baseline characteristics, and LLN size and features on the primary MRI involved all included patients. For analyses of LLN size and response on the restaging MRI, only data from patients who had received neoadjuvant (C)RT and underwent restaging MRI were included. Data regarding staging, (neoadjuvant) treatment, and oncological follow-up were obtained.

#### Radiological assessment

Primary and, if available, restaging MRI was re-evaluated by an experienced local radiologist who was blinded to the patient outcomes. T2-weighted images, with a maximum slice thickness of 5 mm, were acquired in sagittal and transversal planes. Besides

height and length of the tumour, cTNM stage, mesorectal fascia involvement, and LLN involvement were assessed using a specific guideline with a colour atlas of the pelvis that has been published previously<sup>14</sup>. A LLN was scored as visible when it was detectable on MRI, both with and without malignant features. LLN status assessment was based on the largest node on baseline MRI. The largest LLN SA (pre-SA) and long-axis size, and the presence of malignant features such as internal heterogeneity or border irregularity, were evaluated. Furthermore, the location of the LLN was divided into the internal iliac, external iliac, and obturator compartments as described previously (*Fig.* 1)<sup>11</sup>. The division between the internal iliac and obturator compartment was defined as the lateral border of the main trunk of the internal iliac vessels. The benign, long-stretched lymph nodes, located just behind the external iliac vein were not included in the assessment.

For all patients who received neoadjuvant (C)RT followed by restaging MRI, potential changes in SA (post-SA) and long-axis size, and the presence of malignant features of the same lateral node were evaluated.

Based on results published previously by the Lateral Node Study Consortium<sup>15</sup>, the LLN response was defined as sufficient when a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm was downstaged to a post-SA of 4 mm or less in the internal iliac compartment, or 6 mm or under in the obturator compartment, as none of these patients had developed LR by 3 years.

# (Surgical) treatment strategies and follow-up

All patients were discussed in a local multidisciplinary team meeting to determine individual treatment strategies. In general, both the internal iliac and obturator nodes were located in the standard irradiation field in each centre. However, specific information about irradiation fields could not be retrieved. There was no consensus on the surgical treatment of lateral nodal disease among the hospitals. LLND was defined as resection of the entire lymphatic tissue from the internal iliac and obturator compartments. Patient follow-up was undertaken according to local protocols. When a LR occurred, the MRI was further reviewed to determine the location according to the following, previously described division: anterior, presacral, anastomotic site, perineal or lateral<sup>16,17</sup>.

#### Statistical analysis

Individual variables were compared using the t test and  $\chi^2$  tests. LR, LLR, DM, cancer-specific survival (CSS) and overall survival curves were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and compared by means of the log rank test. Univariable logistic and Cox regression models were used to analyse the effects of covariables and thereby determine risk factors. Subsequently,



#### Fig. 2 Study flow diagram

(C)RT, (chemo)radiotherapy; TME, total mesorectal excision; LLND, lateral lymph node dissection.

multivariable analysis was performed using co-variables with a significant effect (P < 0.100) in univariable analyses. P < 0.050 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were done using SPSS<sup>®</sup> version 24 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA).

# **Results**

A total of 1216 patients were included in the study (Fig. 2), 192 (15.8 per cent) of whom had a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm. Of these 192 patients, 74 (38.5 per cent) had a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm in the internal iliac compartment and 103 (53.6 per cent) in the obturator compartment, resulting in a prevalence of 6.1 and 8.5 per cent respectively. Table 1 shows general, tumour, and treatment characteristics of patients with a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm in the internal iliac or obturator compartment compared with those in patients without an enlarged LLN or a LLN with a pre-SA smaller than 7 mm in that specific compartment. Interestingly, advanced disease, cT4 and cN2 category were not associated with a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm located in the internal iliac compartment; however, they were associated with a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm in the obturator compartment. In 12 patients, only a selected resection of specific suspected lymph nodes was performed, which was not regarded as a formal LLND.

Among 53 patients with an enlarged LLN (pre-SA at least 7 mm) who underwent formal LLND, 27 (51 per cent) had at least one pathologically positive LLN. For patients with a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm and post-SA larger than 4 mm in the internal iliac or obturator compartment, respective rates were 17 of 23 and 5 of 11 patients<sup>14,15</sup>.

#### Predictors of LLNs with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm in internal iliac and obturator compartments on baseline MRI

Table 2 shows the multivariable analyses for predictive factors for a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm in the internal iliac and obturator compartments; all factors from Table 1 were tested in univariable analyses. This multivariable analysis demonstrated that there were no predictive factors for the occurrence of a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm in the internal iliac compartment. In contrast, a higher cT category (hazard ratio (HR) 2.57, 95 per cent c.i. 1.68 to 3.94; P < 0.001) and cN category (HR 2.57, 1.49 to 4.43; P = 0.001) were associated with LLNs with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm in the obturator compartment.

# Response to neoadjuvant therapy of nodes in the internal iliac and obturator compartments

In the 741 patients who received (C)RT and restaging MRI (Fig. 2), univariable and multivariable analyses revealed that an increased SA size on baseline imaging was predictive of an insufficient response of LLNs in the internal iliac (HR 1.46, 95 per cent c.i. 1.09 to 1.97; P=0.012) and obturator (HR 1.19, 1.03 to 1.38; P = 0.017) compartments, with a post-SA of more than 4 mm and over 6 mm respectively; no other factor influenced the response rate. Looking at the association between the primary tumour response and LLN response, among patients with an internal iliac node with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm, 17 per cent of those with a post-SA of 4 mm or less had a complete response of the primary tumour, compared with 4 per cent of patients with a post-SA exceeding 4 mm (P = 0.120). In patients with an obturator node with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm, 23 per cent of those with a post-SA of 6 mm or less had a complete response of the primary tumour, compared with 11 per cent of those with was a post-SA larger than 6 mm (P = 0.219).

# Association between internal iliac nodes and oncological outcomes

Tables 3 and S1 show the univariable and multivariable analyses of oncological outcomes and survival. Independent of a higher cT category or the type of surgery, the occurrence of an unresponsive LLN in the internal iliac compartment, with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm and post-SA larger than 4 mm, was associated with LLR (HR 5.74, 95 per cent c.i. 2.98 to 11.05; P < 0.001). In univariable Cox regression analyses, the occurrence of unresponsive LLNs in the internal iliac compartment did not influence DM (HR 0.69, 0.34 to 1.40; P = 0.298), CSS (HR 1.53, 0.94 to 2.57; P = 0.116) or overall survival (HR 1.17, 0.64 to 2.14; P = 0.790). Response of a LLN with a pre-SA of at least 7 mm in the internal iliac compartment to a post-SA of 4 mm or less did not influence the 5-year DM rate (13 versus 18 per cent respectively; P = 0.517) or CSS (87 *versus* 84 per cent respectively; P = 0.793), and results were similar in separate analyses of patients who underwent surgery with or without LLND (Figs 3,b and 4a,b respectively).

# Association between obturator nodes and oncological outcomes

In multivariable analysis, cT category (HR 2.22, 95 per cent c.i. 1.07 to 4.64; P = 0.033) and margin involvement (HR 2.95, 1.18 to

	Node with SA length $\geq$ 7 mm in internal iliac compartment on baseline MRI			Node with SA length $\geq$ 7 mm in obturator compartment on base-line MRI			
-	No ( <i>n</i> =1142)	Yes ( <i>n</i> = 74)	P <sup>†</sup>	No ( <i>n</i> = 1113)	Yes ( <i>n</i> = 103)	P <sup>†</sup>	
Age (years) <sup>*</sup> Sex ratio (M : F) cT category	63 (54–71) 736 : 406	63 (54–70) 38 : 36	0.284 <sup>‡</sup> 0.023 0.147	63 (54–71) 707 : 406	60 (51–69) 67 : 36	0.104 <sup>‡</sup> 0.758 < 0.001	
cT3 cT4	872 (76.4) 270 (23.6)	51 (69) 23 (31)		867 (77.9) 246 (22.1)	56 (54.4) 47 (45.6)		
cN category cN0 cN+	377 (33.0) 765 (67.0)	17 (23) 57 (77)	0.074	377 (33.9) 736 (66 1)	17 (16.5) 86 (83 5)	< 0.001	
Distance from anorectal junc- tion on MRI	, 65 (67.6)	57 (77)	0.055	, 50 (00.1)	00 (05.5)	0.018	
$\leq 4$ > 4	563 (49.3) 579 (50.7)	45 (61) 29 (39)	< 0.001	545 (49) 568 (51)	63 (61.2) 40 (38.8)	0.046	
therapy None	243 (21.3)	5 (7)	< 0.001	236 (21.2)	12 (11.7)	0.046	
Short course radiotherapy	166 (14.5)	5 (7)		158 (14.2) 710 (64.6)	13 (12.6)		
Type of surgery Low anterior/	574 (50.3)	32 (43)	0.242	573 (51.5)	33 (32.0)	< 0.001	
Hartmann's re- section (Extended) abdominoperi-	568 (49.7)	42 (57)		540 (48.5)	70 (68.0)		
neal resection Lateral lymph node dissec-			< 0.001			< 0.001	
<b>tion</b> No Yes	1038 (90.9) 104 (9.1)	36 (49) 38 (51)		994 (89.3) 119 (10.7)	80 (77.7) 23 (22.3)		
Adjuvant chemo- therapy No	620 (59.7)	31 (53)	0.283	604 (59.8)	51 (54.3)	0.295	
Yes Missing ypT category	418 (40.3) 4	27 (47) 16	0.083	406 (40.2) 3	43 (45.7) 9	0.566	
ypT0 ypT1 ypT2 ypT3 ynT4	158 (13.8) 56 (4.9) 302 (26.4) 560 (49.0) 66 (5.8)	5 (7) 6 (8) 15 (20) 40 (54) 8 (11)		146 (13.1) 56 (5.0) 288 (25.9) 557 (50.0) 66 (5.9)	17 (16.5) 6 (5.8) 29 (28.2) 43 (41.7) 8 (7.8)		
ypN category ypN0 ypN1 ypN2	786 (68.8) 240 (21.0) 116 (10.2)	36 (49) 21 (28) 17 (23)	< 0.001	755 (67.8) 240 (21.6) 118 (10.6)	67 (65.0) 21 (20.4) 15 (14.6)	0.467	
Completeness of resection	1076 (04.2)	CC (80)	0.079	1046 (04.0)	06 (02 2)	0.753	
RU R1	66 (5.8)	8 (11)		67 (6.0)	7 (6.8)		

Table 1 Baseline characteristics according to presence of a lateral lymph node with a short axis length of at least 7 mm in the internal iliac or obturator compartment on initial MRI (1216 patients)

Values in parentheses are percentages unless indicated otherwise:

\*values are median (i.q.r.). SA, short axis; (C)RT, (chemo)radiotherapy.

 $^{\dagger}_{\phantom{\dagger}}\chi^{2}$  test, except

‡ î test.

7.37; P=0.021) were independent risk factors for DM, whereas unresponsiveness of obturator nodes to (C)RT was not an independent risk factor for metastatic disease (*Tables 3* and S1). The 5year DM rate was significantly higher in patients with a node with a post-SA larger than 6 mm in the obturator compartment than in patients with a node with a post-SA of 6 mm or less (37 versus 15 per cent respectively; P=0.031). Among patients who underwent surgical LLND, the 5-year DM rate in these subgroups did not differ (17 versus 22 per cent respectively; P=0.866). However, in patients who had surgery but did not undergo LLND, the 5-year DM rate was significantly higher in patients with a post-SA exceeding 6 mm (43 versus 14 per cent; P=0.015) (Fig. 3c,d). Furthermore, a LLN with a post-SA larger than 6 mm in the obturator compartment was associated with a lower 5-year CSS rate than a post-SA of 6 mm or less (79 versus 96 per cent respectively; P=0.005). The 5-year CSS rate did not differ according to post-SA among patients who underwent LLND (83 versus 100 per cent respectively; P=0.221);

Table 2 Multivariable regression analyses of predictive factors for a lateral lymph node with a a short-axis length of at least 7 mm in the internal iliac or obturator compartment (1216 patients)

	Node with SA length $\geq$ 7 mm in internal iliac compartment (n = 74)		Node with SA length $\geq$ 7 mm in obturator compartment ( <i>n</i> = 103)		
	Hazard ratio	Р	Hazard ratio	Р	
cT category		0.391		< 0.001	
cT3	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		
cT4	1.26 (0.74, 2.13)		2.57 (1.68, 3.94)		
cN category		0.057			
cN0	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)	0.001	
cN+	1.73 (0.98, 3.03)		2.57 (1.49, 4.43)		
Distance from anorectal		0.057		0.067	
junction on MRI (cm)					
$\leq 4$	1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		
> 4	1.62 (0.99, 2.66)		1.50 (0.97, 2.31)		

Values in parentheses are 95 per cent confidence intervals. SA, short axis.

Table 3 Multivariable regression analyses of risk factors for lateral local recurrence, distant metastasis, cancer-specific survival and overall survival (741 patients)

	Lateral local recurrence		Distant metastasis		Cancer-specific survival		Overall survival	
	Hazard ratio	Р	Hazard ratio	Р	Hazard ratio	Р	Hazard ratio	Р
Age (per year)							1.05 (1.01, 1.08)	0.010
cT category cT3	1.00 (refer-	0.358	1.00 (reference)	0.033	1.00 (reference)	0.019	1.00 (reference)	0.508
cT4	1.29 (0.73, 2.29)		2.22 (1.07, 4.64)		1.37 (1.03, 1.77)		0.76 (0.33, 1.73)	
cN category	2.25)			0.594		0.001		
cN0			1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference			
cN+			1.30 (0.50, 3.40)		1.61 (1.22, 2.12)			
SA length of LLNs in internal iliac		< 0.001						
compartment on baseline MBI (mm)								
< 7	1.00 (refer- ence)							
$\geq$ 7, unresponsive	5.74 (2.98, 11.05)							
$\geq$ 7, responsive	1.40 (0.19, 10.20)							
Type of surgery	,	0.009		0.830		0.019		0.456
Low anterior/ Hartmann's resec-	1.00 (refer- ence)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)	
(Extended) abdominoperineal	2.22 (1.22, 4.03)		0.92 (0.44, 1.92)		1.35 (1.02, 1.72)		0.75 (0.35, 1.61)	
resection				0 5 6 0		0.057		
No			1.00 (reference)	0.562	1.00 (reference)	0.067	1.00 (reference)	0.137
Adjuvant chemother-			0.79 (0.50, 1.75)		0.00 (0.45, 1.05)		0.55 (0.25, 1.25)	0 192
apv								0.152
No Yes							1.00 (reference) 0.57 (0.24, 1.33)	
Margin involvement		0.059		0.021		< 0.001		0.003
RO	1.00 (refer- ence)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)		1.00 (reference)	
R1	2.12 (0.97, 4.64)		2.95 (1.18, 7.37)		3.51 (2.46, 5.01)		3.96 (1.58, 9.93)	

Values in parentheses are 95 per cent confidence intervals. SA, short axis; LLN, lateral lymph node.

however, for patients who did not undergo LLND, the 5-year CSS rate was 58 per cent in those with a post-SA larger than 6 mm and 87 per cent in those with a smaller post-SA (P=0.002) (Fig. 4c,d).

# Discussion

This study demonstrated that unresponsive LLNs located in the internal iliac compartment resulted in an increased 5-year LLR rate. In contrast, the occurrence of unresponsive obturator LLNs





**a,b** According to the short-axis (SA) length after (chemo)radiotherapy (post-SA) in patients with a lateral lymph node (LLN) with a SA length on baseline MRI (pre-SA) of 7 mm or more in the internal iliac compartment who **a** did or **b** did not undergo LLN dissection (LLND); **c,d** according to post-SA in patients with a LLN with a pre-SA of 7 mm or more in the obturator compartment who **c** did or **d** did not undergo LLND. **a** P = 0.329, **b** P = 0.976, **c** P = 0.866, **d** P = 0.015 (log rank test).

was related to more advanced tumour stage, and resulted in worse 5-year DM and CSS rates.

It has been shown previously that an enlarged node with a SA size of 7 mm or more on pretreatment imaging results in a high LLR rate<sup>14</sup>. In a subsequent study<sup>15</sup> it was found that, although the obturator compartment commonly contained the largest node, such nodes were less often enlarged and led to less LLR than when the internal iliac compartment contained the largest node. This study also identified different cut-off values on the restaging MRI for obturator and internal iliac nodes; the risk of LLR at 3 years was zero when obturator nodes had a SA size of 6 mm or less after neoadjuvant (C)RT, whereas this was 4 mm or less in internal iliac nodes. Internal iliac nodes also behaved more aggressively, with persistently enlarged nodes with a post-SA over 4 mm resulting in a 5-year LLR of 52.3 per cent, compared with 17.8 per cent in patients with obturator nodes with a post-SA exceeding 6 mm. The present study demonstrated that internal iliac nodes are less likely to respond to neoadjuvant therapy than obturator nodes (22 and 63 per cent respectively). These

findings suggest that enlarged obturator nodes (pre-SA at least 7 mm) are more likely to be reactive.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate which factors are associated with the occurrence of enlarged lymph nodes in the internal iliac or obturator compartment, and the different behaviour patterns of these nodes. It was found that internal iliac node enlargement occurred independently of primary tumour stage, whereas higher cT and cN categories were associated with the presence of an enlarged node in the obturator compartment. In addition, the presence of unresponsive internal iliac nodes was associated with an increased 5-year LLR rate; in unresponsive obturator nodes 5-year LLR rate is also increased compared to small or responsive obturator nodes, but not significantly. These findings suggest that, although internal iliac nodal enlargement may be considered a locoregional 'sentinel node' without the tendency to metastasize, obturator nodes seem to behave more reactively and unresponsiveness in these nodes is an indicator of advanced disease that is likely to metastasize to distant sites. These findings support the work of Akiyoshi and colleagues<sup>18</sup>, who showed



Fig. 4 Kaplan-Meier analyses of cancer-specific survival

**a,b** According to the short-axis (SA) length after (chemo)radiotherapy (post-SA) in patients with a lateral lymph node (LLN) with a SA length on baseline MRI (pre-SA) of 7 mm or more in the internal iliac compartment who **a** did or **b** did not undergo LLN dissection (LLND); **c,d** according to post-SA in patients with a LLN with a pre-SA of 7 mm or more in the obturator compartment who **c** did or **d** did not undergo LLND. **a** P = 0.243, **b** P = 0.213, **c** P = 0.202 (log rank test).

that the survival rate of patients with lateral node involvement confined to the internal iliac compartment was comparable to that of patients with N2a disease, and was significantly better than that of patients with LLN metastases beyond the internal iliac compartment.

In the present study, all MRI was re-evaluated by experienced radiologists, using a specially developed colour atlas to ensure uniformity. The atlas topographically displayed the locations of the internal and external iliac and obturator compartment based on easily recognizable landmarks in different planes. In this atlas, the medial border of the compartments is formed by the mesorectal fascia, and the internal iliac and obturator compartments are divided by the lateral border of the main trunk of the internal iliac vessels. Everything caudally of the infra-piriformis foramen was considered to comprise the obturator compartment as the main trunk of the internal iliac compartment leaves the pelvis as the internal pudendal artery at this point. This subdivision of compartments is clinically applicable as the landmarks are in accordance with the dissection planes during LLND; the obturator compartment resection is usually carried out first to facilitate clearance of the internal iliac compartment.

Routine LLND for all patients would be inappropriate<sup>19</sup> but appears to be beneficial in specific subgroups of patients. Patients with an unresponsive internal iliac node with a post-SA larger than 4 mm had a five-fold higher risk of developing of a LLR. In patients with unresponsive internal iliac nodes, the 5-year LLR rate was lowered from 52.3 to 8.7 per cent with LLND, stressing the importance of LLND in this specific subgroup. However, enlarged obturator nodes tend to be more responsive to neoadjuvant therapy and so might behave more reactively. Failure of an enlarged obturator node to respond to neoadjuvant therapy is a surrogate marker of more advanced disease, with a significantly increased 5-year DM rate and lower CSS compared with when obturator nodes are responsive. Although firm conclusions from this study are limited by the small sample size, it is noteworthy that patients with unresponsive obturator nodes did not develop more local or distant recurrence or have decreased CSS after LLND, whereas those who did not undergo LLND had worse outcomes. The LaNoReC study (Lateral Nodal Recurrence in Rectal Cancer) may help clarify whether LLND can improve distant disease control.

Besides the limited number of participants, the retrospective multicentre nature of this study has intrinsic limitations, including the heterogeneity of patients and treatments. For example, most of the LLNDs were done in patients from Eastern centres, and subgroup analyses resulted in relatively small patient numbers making interpretation of these results difficult. Furthermore, the study design is associated with variability in the treatment and assessment of the included patients. It was possible to make radiological evaluation as uniform as possible by use of a colour atlas, but this was impossible for local treatment regimens. In addition, it is unclear what the response rates in the lateral compartment will be in the era of newer total neoadjuvant therapy and induction chemotherapy protocols<sup>20</sup>, and whether the impact of lateral compartment disease will be reduced in this context.

The results of this study suggest that internal iliac nodal enlargement, which occurs independently of tumour stage, has a specifically high risk of LLR without the tendency to metastasize. Obturator nodes are more likely to respond to neoadjuvant therapy and more frequently behave reactively, and lack of response of these nodes is a marker of advanced disease that is likely to metastasize. LLND improves local control in persistent internal iliac nodes and there might be a role for this procedure in controlling systemic spread in patients with persistent obturator nodes.

# **Collaborators**

Members of the Lateral Node Study Consortium: M. Kusters, J. Tuynman (Amsterdam University Medical Centres, location VUmc, Amsterdam, the Netherlands); R. Hompes (Amsterdam University Medical Centres, location AMC, Amsterdam, the Netherlands); T. Akiyoshi, T. Konishi (Cancer Institute Hospital of the Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, Tokyo, Japan); G. A. P. Nieuwenhuijzen, H. J. T. Rutten, D. P. Schaap (Catharina Hospital Eindhoven, Eindhoven, the Netherlands); H. Iversen, A. Martling, C. Suzuki (Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden); E. Meershoek-Klein-Kranenbarg, A. Ogura, H. Putter, C. J. H. van de Velde (Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, the Netherlands); J. Garcia-Aguilar, M. J. Gollub (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, USA); T. Aiba, A. Ogura, K. Uehara (Nagoya University School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan); A. G. J. Aalbers, G. L. Beets, R. G. H. Beets-Tan, M. Maas (Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands); M. Betts, C. Cunningham (Oxford University Hospitals National Health Service Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK); H. X. Lee, J. Moore, T. Sammour, M. Thomas, T. Wells (University of Adelaide and Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia); P. Lee, M. J. Solomon (Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia); M. H. Choi, M. K. Kim, I. K. Lee, S. N. Oh, D. D. Won (St Mary's Hospital, Seoul, Korea); Y. Hanaoka, H. Kuroyanagi, S. Toda, K. Tomizawa (Toranomon Hospital, Tokyo, Japan); H. Rutten (University of Maastricht, GROW, School of Oncology and Developmental Biology, Maastricht, the Netherlands); K. G. Brown, P. Lee, M. J. Solomon (University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia).

# **Supplementary material**

Supplementary material is available at BJS online.

# References

- 1. Heald RJ, Ryall RDH. Recurrence and survival after total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. Lancet 1986;**327**:1479–1482
- Kapiteijn E, Marijnen CA, Nagtegaal ID, Putter H, Steup WH, Wiggers T et al. Preoperative Radiotherapy Combined with Total Mesorectal Excision for Resectable Rectal Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine. 2001;345:638–646. 10.1056/NEJMoa010580.
- Swedish Rectal Cancer Trial; Cedermark B, Dahlberg M, Glimelius B, Påhlman L, Rutqvist LE, Wilking N. Improved survival with preoperative radiotherapy in resectable rectal cancer. N Engl J Med 1997;336: 980–987
- Sauer R, Becker H, Hohenberger W, Rödel C, Wittekind C, Fietkau R et al. Preoperative versus postoperative chemoradiotherapy for rectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1731–1740
- Taylor FGM, Quirke P, Heald RJ, Moran BJ, Blomqvist L, Swift IR et al. Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging assessment of circumferential resection margin predicts disease-free survival and local recurrence: 5-year follow-up results of the MERCURY study. J Clin Oncol 2014;**32**:34–43
- Beets-Tan RGH, Lambregts DMJ, Maas M, Bipat S, Barbaro B, Curvo-Semedo L et al. Magnetic resonance imaging for clinical management of rectal cancer: updated recommendations from the 2016 European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (ESGAR) consensus meeting. Eur Radiol 2018;28: 1465–1475
- Beets-Tan RGH, Beets GL, Vliegen RFA, Kessels AG, Van Boven H, De Bruine A et al. Accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging in prediction of tumour-free resection margin in rectal cancer surgery. Lancet 2001;357:497–504
- Williamson JS, Quyn AJ, Sagar PM. Rectal cancer lateral pelvic sidewall lymph nodes: a review of controversies and management. Br J Surg 2020;107:1562–1569.
- Ueno M, Oya M, Azekura K, Yamaguchi T, Muto T. Incidence and prognostic significance of lateral lymph node metastasis in patients with advanced low rectal cancer. Br J Surg 2005;92: 756–763
- Takahashi T, Ueno M, Azekura K, Ohta H. Lateral node dissection and total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. Dis Colon Rectum 2000;43:S59–S68
- Kanemitsu Y, Komori K, Shida D, Ochiai H, Tsukamoto S, Kinoshita T et al. Potential impact of lateral lymph node dissection (LLND) for low rectal cancer on prognoses and local control: a comparison of 2 high-volume centers in Japan that employ different policies concerning LLND. Surgery 2017;162:303–314
- Moriya Y, Sugihara K, Akasu T, Fujita S. Nerve-sparing surgery with lateral node dissection for advanced lower rectal cancer. Eur J Cancer 1995;31:1229–1232
- Glynne-Jones R, Wyrwicz L, Tiret E, Brown G, Rödel C, Cervantes A et al. Rectal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2018;29:iv263
- 14. Ogura A, Konishi T, Cunningham C, Garcia-Aguilar J, Iversen H, Toda S et al. Neoadjuvant (chemo)radiotherapy with total mesorectal excision only is not sufficient to prevent lateral local recurrence in enlarged nodes: results of the multicentre lateral node study of patients with low cT3/4 rectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2019;**37**:33–43
- 15. Ogura A, Konishi T, Beets GL, Cunningham C, Garcia-Aguilar J, Iversen H et al. Lateral nodal features on restaging magnetic

Disclosure. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

resonance imaging associated with lateral local recurrence in low rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy or radiotherapy. JAMA Surg 2019;**154**:e192172

- Kusters M, Beets GL, van de Velde CJH, Beets-Tan RG, Marijnen CA, Rutten HJ et al. A comparison between the treatment of low rectal cancer in Japan and the Netherlands, focusing on the patterns of local recurrence. Ann Surg 2009;249:229–235
- Kusters M, Marijnen CAM, van de Velde CJH, Rutten HJ, Lahaye MJ, Kim JH et al. Patterns of local recurrence in rectal cancer; a study of the Dutch TME trial. Eur J Surg Oncol 2010;36:470–476
- Akiyoshi T, Watanabe T, Miyata S, Kotake K, Muto T, Sugihara K. Results of a Japanese nationwide multi-institutional study on lateral pelvic lymph node metastasis in low rectal cancer: is it regional or distant disease? Ann Surg 2012;265:1129–1134
- Tsukamoto S, Fujita S, Ota M, Mizusawa J, Shida D, Kanemitsu Y et al. Long-term follow up of the randomized trial of mesorectal excision with or without lateral lymph node dissection in rectal cancer (JCOG0212). Br J Surg 2020;107:586–594
- Zaborowski A, Stakelum A, Winter DC. Systematic review of outcomes after total neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced rectal cancer. Br J Surg 2019;106:979–997