

Cancer Mortality in Nagasaki Atomic Bomb Survivors with Epilation

Ken-ichi YOKOTA,¹ Mariko MINE,¹ Sumihisa HONDA,² Masao TOMONAGA³

¹ Biostatistics Section, Atomic Bomb Disease Institute, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan

² Department of Radiation Epidemiology, Atomic Bomb Disease Institute, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan

³ Department of Hematology, Atomic Bomb Disease Institute, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan

To elucidate the association between epilation and cancer mortality in Nagasaki atomic bomb survivors, cancer mortality was determined for a total of 9,356 survivors (3,591 males and 5,765 females) from 1 January 1970 to 31 December 1997. The subjects included individuals other than those in the Life Span Study (LSS) cohort of ABCC-RERF. Information on acute injury was obtained from a survey that was conducted at the time of application for a health handbook. The association between epilation and cancer mortality was evaluated by the Cox proportional hazards model, including factors such as gender, age at the time of the bombing, attained age, radiation dose and epilation. Epilation was found in 81 (2.3%) males and 148 (2.6%) females. No significant difference in the frequency of epilation was observed by gender or age at the time of the bombing. The hazard ratio of cancer mortality in those with epilation compared to those without epilation was 1.06 (95% confidence interval: 0.72-1.54). Similar to the study of the LSS cohort, no significant effects of epilation on cancer mortality were observed in the present study. The results indicated that the cancer mortality was significantly higher in those exposed to atomic bombing younger as was reported by LSS studies. However, the effects of radiation dose on cancer mortality have not been observed in the present study.

ACTA MEDICA NAGASAKIENSIA 50: 73 - 76, 2005

Keywords: Atomic bomb; Radiation; Cancer mortality; Acute injury; Epilation

Introduction

Association between acute radiation injury, such as epilation, and cancer mortality in atomic bomb survivors has still been in controversy. Stewart and Kneale¹⁻³ demonstrated an association between acute radiation injury and cancer mortality. They also reported an association between epilation and leukemia- or neoplasm-related mortality and pointed out the selection effects of early death. On the other hand, Neriishi et al.⁴ reported, on the basis of the Life Span Study (LSS) cohort,⁵ that a significant association observed between acute radiation outcomes, especially epilation and leukemia mortality, became insignificant after adjustment for dosimetric errors. Furthermore, Little⁶ re-analyzed the LSS mortality data and found no significant difference between the groups with and without acute radiation injury with respect to cancer or non-cancer mortality, except for leukemia. Little also showed that a statistically significant difference observed in the dose-response for leukemia between the groups with and without epilation or burns was no longer significant after adjustment for dosimetric errors.

The objective of the present study was to elucidate the association between epilation and cancer mortality in a cohort different from the LSS cohort, i.e., Nagasaki atomic bomb survivors registered in the database of the Atomic Bomb Disease Institute, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences.⁷

The present study was reviewed and approved by the institutional ethical committee of Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences.

Methods and Subjects

Study subjects

The Atomic Bomb Disease Institute of the Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences has been following up atomic bomb survivors with Atomic Bomb Survivor's Handbook issued by Nagasaki city since 1970. As of 1 January 1970, there were 21,666 survivors in Nagasaki city who were directly exposed to the Nagasaki atomic bomb within 2.6 km from the hypocenter and were issued

Address correspondence: Ken-ichi Yokota, Biostatistics Section, Atomic Bomb Disease Institute, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, 1-12-4 Sakamoto, Nagasaki 852-8523 JAPAN

TEL: +81-(0)95-849-7127, FAX: +81-(0)95-849-7131, E-mail: kyokota@net2.nagasaki-u.ac.jp

Received April 25, 2005; Accepted May 31, 2005

Atomic Bomb Survivor's Handbook by Nagasaki city. The study subjects were those atomic survivors who further satisfied the following conditions: (1) information on acute radiation injury was available; (2) radiation dose was available; and (3) exposed to the atomic bomb at the age under 50 years. A total of 9,356 individuals (3,591 males and 5,765 females) remained for the present study.

We note that the subjects of the present study are not necessarily included in the LSS cohort. Indeed, we confirmed that among 11,911 Nagasaki atomic bomb survivors including all subjects of the present study, 5,004 (42.0%) were in the LSS cohort (data not published). Research Institute for Radiation Biology and Medicine of Hiroshima University, which has been following up Hiroshima atomic bomb survivors with Atomic Bomb Survivor's Handbook, reported that among 10,000 subjects randomly selected from their database, 5,615 (56.2%) were in the LSS cohort.⁸

Information on acute radiation injury

Information on acute injury was obtained at the time of the survivor's application for the Atomic Bomb Survivor's Handbook. The subjects completed a self-reporting questionnaire that included questions about symptoms of acute radiation injury (vomiting, diarrhea, fever, epilation, purpura, nasal bleeding, gingival bleeding, stomatitis and oropharyngeal lesions) that appeared within 6 months after the bombing. Our study focused on epilation. We used the information on the time when the epilation occurred and the degree of epilation. The latter was divided into 2 groups: (1) slight or moderate (less than half of hair lost) and (2) severe (loss of half or more). We considered a survivor to have epilation if he or she reported severe epilation that had occurred by 30 September 1945.

Dose estimation

We estimated individual radiation doses⁹ using the Atomic Bomb Survivor 1993 Dose (ABS93D) developed at Hiroshima University¹⁰ on the basis of DS86.¹¹ From the questionnaires, we also obtained information on the distance of the exposed from the hypocenter and any shielding conditions. We used estimates of shielded kerma and truncated them at 6 Gy.

Mortality information

We collected the subjects' death certificates and classified the underlying cause of death on the basis of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD). We used ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes for the deaths from 1 January 1970 to 31 December 1994 and for those from 1 January 1995 onward, respectively. We defined the underlying cause of death coded 140-208 by ICD-9 or C00-C97 by ICD-10 as cancer.

Statistical analysis

We evaluated the effects of gender, age at the time of the bombing

(ATB) and radiation dose on the frequency of epilation on the basis of the following linear logistic regression model:

$$\text{logit}(p) = \log [p/(1-p)] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 G + \beta_2 A + \beta_3 D,$$

where p denotes the frequency of epilation; $G=1$ or 0 for males and females, respectively; A denotes the age ATB (years); D denotes the radiation dose (Gy); and β s are unknown parameters to be estimated.

We evaluated the effects of epilation, gender, age ATB, attained age and radiation dose on the cancer mortality on the basis of the following Cox proportional hazards model:

$$\log \lambda(t) = \log \lambda_0(t) + \beta_1 E + \beta_2 G + \beta_3 A + \beta_4 A^*(t) + \beta_5 D,$$

where t denotes the time since the commencement of the follow-up; $\lambda(t)$ and $\lambda_0(t)$ denote the hazard rate and baseline hazard rate at t , respectively; $E=1$ or 0 according to whether the subject had epilation; G , A and D are as defined above; $A^*(t)$ denotes the attained age at t ; and β s are unknown parameters to be estimated. We treated non-cancer disease deaths, survival to the end of the study period (31 December 1997) and the first migration out of Nagasaki city as censoring.

FREQ, LOGISTIC and PHREG in the SAS[®] system¹² were used for the calculations.

Results

Frequency of epilation

Table 1 shows the frequency of epilation by gender, age ATB and radiation dose. Epilation was observed in 229 individuals (81 males and 148 females) among 9,356 subjects. The frequency of epilation was 2.3% (81/3,591) in males and 2.6% (148/5,765) in females, and there was no significant difference by gender ($p=0.343$, chi-square test). There was a significant increase of epilation with radiation dose after adjustment for 2 other factors; odds ratio was 2.07 (95% confidence interval, 1.92-2.22) with every 1 Gy increase in radiation dose.

Table 1. Frequency of epilation by gender, age at the time of bombing (ATB) and radiation dose

| Factor | Male | Female | Total |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Age ATB (years) | 0-9 | 24/1,348 (1.8) ^a | 23/1,313 (1.8) |
| | 10-19 | 24/1,071 (2.2) | 56/1,429 (3.9) |
| | 20-29 | 12/305 (3.9) | 30/1,262 (2.4) |
| | 30-39 | 14/420 (3.3) | 23/979 (2.3) |
| | 40-49 | 7/447 (1.6) | 16/782 (2.0) |
| Radiation dose (Gy) | 0-0.09 | 11/2,546 (0.4) | 29/4,190 (0.7) |
| | 0.1-0.9 | 18/727 (2.5) | 30/1,100 (2.7) |
| | 1.0-2.4 | 27/207 (13.0) | 40/306 (13.1) |
| | 2.5-3.9 | 11/52 (21.2) | 25/79 (31.6) |
| | 4.0-6.0 | 14/59 (23.7) | 24/90 (26.7) |
| Total | 81/3,591 (2.3) | 148/5,765 (2.6) | 229/9,356 (2.4) |

^aDenominator and numerator denote the number of subjects and the number of subjects with epilation, respectively. Parenthetic entries refer to the percentage of subjects with epilation.

Cancer mortality

Out of 9,356 subjects, 4,396 (47%) were alive as of 31 December 1997, while 2,717 (29%) had died and 2,243 (24%) had migrated out of Nagasaki city. Of the 2,717 deaths, 774 were due to cancer, 398 to cerebrovascular disease, 458 to heart disease and 917 to other diseases. Also, there were 74 deaths due to external causes and 96 deaths could not be classified. The 774 cancers were classified by site: stomach - 157, lung - 134, liver - 106, colon - 67, pancreas - 44, gallbladder - 43, uterus - 37, leukemia - 30, breast - 19 and others - 137.

Table 2 shows the cancer mortality by gender, radiation dose and epilation. There was the appearance of an increase in cancer mortality with radiation dose in those without epilation under 4Gy. It was noted that cancer mortality in males was higher in those with epilation than in those without epilation, whereas no such difference was observed in females.

Table 2. Cancer mortality observed in 1970-1997 by gender, epilation and dose

| Gender | Epilation | Radiation dose(Gy) | No. of Subjects | No. of Deaths | Person-years | Mortality ^a |
|--------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Male | Yes | 0-0.09 | 11 | 0 | 199 | 0 |
| | | 0.1-0.9 | 18 | 5 | 247 | 2,024 |
| | | 1.0-2.4 | 27 | 5 | 468 | 1,068 |
| | | 2.5-3.9 | 11 | 7 | 248 | 2,823 |
| | | 4.0-6.0 | 14 | 5 | 263 | 1,901 |
| | No | 0-0.09 | 2,535 | 196 | 44,671 | 439 |
| | | 0.1-0.9 | 709 | 72 | 13,079 | 551 |
| | | 1.0-2.4 | 180 | 27 | 3,045 | 887 |
| | | 2.5-3.9 | 41 | 11 | 632 | 1,741 |
| | | 4.0-6.0 | 45 | 4 | 807 | 496 |
| Female | Yes | 0-0.09 | 29 | 1 | 565 | 177 |
| | | 0.1-0.9 | 30 | 4 | 656 | 610 |
| | | 1.0-2.4 | 40 | 4 | 857 | 467 |
| | | 2.5-3.9 | 25 | 2 | 491 | 407 |
| | | 4.0-6.0 | 24 | 3 | 470 | 638 |
| | No | 0-0.09 | 4,161 | 301 | 81,763 | 368 |
| | | 0.1-0.9 | 1,070 | 88 | 21,583 | 408 |
| | | 1.0-2.4 | 266 | 28 | 4,802 | 583 |
| | | 2.5-3.9 | 54 | 6 | 989 | 607 |
| | | 4.0-6.0 | 66 | 5 | 1,232 | 406 |

^aNumber of deaths per 100,000 person-years

Table 3 presents the effects of epilation, gender, age ATB, attained age and radiation dose on the cancer mortality. No factors other than age ATB and attained age showed significant effects on cancer mortality.

Table 3. Effects of epilation, gender, age at the time of bombing (ATB), attained age and radiation dose on cancer mortality

| Factor | Hazard ratio | 95% CI ^a | p-value | |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|
| Epilation | yes vs. no | 1.06 | 0.72-1.54 | 0.780 |
| Gender | male vs. female | 1.11 | 0.96-1.28 | 0.155 |
| Age ATB | 1 year increase | 0.98 | 0.97-0.98 | <0.001 |
| Attained Age | 1 year increase | 1.08 | 1.07-1.08 | <0.001 |
| Radiation dose | 1Gy increase | 0.98 | 0.91-1.07 | 0.679 |

^aCI=confidence interval.

Discussion

Acute radiation injury includes not only epilation but also vomiting, diarrhea, fever, purpura, nasal bleeding, gingival bleeding, stomatitis and oropharyngeal lesions. However, epilation has been used to assess the estimated radiation dose in previous studies.¹³⁻¹⁵ We also focused on epilation in the present study because it was observed most frequently in atomic bomb survivors shortly after the bombing^{16,17} and because it is considered the most objective and least prone to influence by other factors. It is also the symptom that tends to be remembered most clearly.¹⁸

The accuracy of the information on epilation may have been affected by the fact that it was gathered more than 12 years after the bombing. Furthermore, the epilation that some survivors experienced may have been caused by factors other than radiation exposure due to their distance from the hypocenter.¹⁴ Some epilation may have been a physiological response to the change in seasons from summer to autumn, or it may have been caused by undernourishment or psychological stress.

In Table 2, a monotone increase in the cancer mortality with radiation dose (under 4 Gy) was observed in both males and females without epilation, while the cancer mortality varied irregularly with radiation dose in those with epilation. This may be due to errors in dose estimation and smallness in the subjects with epilation.

Since recent analysis of the LSS cohort usually excludes those who received 4 Gy or more,⁶ we re-analyzed the data excluding such subjects. The results, however, changed little; the hazard of cancer mortality in males with epilation was 1.09 (95% confidence interval: 0.96-1.24)-fold higher than in those without epilation, while no significant effects of epilation were observed in females.

The findings of the present study clearly show that the effects of acute radiation injury are complex and further investigations are needed.

Acknowledgements

The present study was based on the database of the Atomic Bomb Disease Institute, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, which has been collecting administrative and medical data on atomic bomb survivors from 1970 with the help of the Nagasaki City government and the Nagasaki Atomic Bomb Casualty Council Health Management Center. The authors thank Dr Masuko Tagawa, Nagasaki Atomic Bomb Casualty Council Health Management Center for suggestions and comments given in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Stewart AM, Kneale GW. Differences between A-bomb survivors with and without acute injuries. *Eur J Oncol Nurs* 3: 361-366, 1998
2. Stewart AM, Kneale GW. A-bomb survivors: reassessment of the radiation hazard. *Med Confl Surviv* 15: 47-57, 1999

3. Stewart AM, Kneale GW. A-bomb survivors: factors that may lead to a re-assessment of the radiation hazard. *Int J Epidemiol* 29: 708-714, 2000
4. Neriishi K, Stram D, Vaeth M, Mizuno S, Akiba S. The observed relationship between the occurrence of acute radiation sickness and subsequent cancer mortality among A-bomb survivors in Hiroshima and Nagasaki. *Radiat Res* 125: 206-213, 1991
5. Preston D, Shimizu Y, Pierce D et al. Studies of mortality of atomic bomb survivors. Report 13: Solid cancer and noncancer disease mortality: 1950-1997. *Radiat Res* 160: 381-407, 2003
6. Little MP. Absence of evidence for differences in the dose-response for cancer and non-cancer endpoints by acute injury status in the Japanese atomic-bomb survivors. *Int J Radiat Biol* 78: 1001-1010, 2002
7. Okajima S, Mine M, Nakamura T. Mortality of registered A-bomb survivors in Nagasaki, Japan, 1970-1984. *Radiat Res* 103: 419-431, 1985
8. Hayakawa N, Hoshi M, Matsuura M et al. Comparison of DS86 and ABS93D (Atomic Bomb Survivors 1993 Dose). (in Japanese) *In Reports of the Study Group on Atomic Bomb Disease* (The Study Group on Atomic Bomb Disease ed.; Japan Public Health Association, Tokyo) pp. 119-123, 1994
9. Honda S, Mine M, Okumura Y et al. Estimation of radiation doses for Nagasaki atomic-bomb survivors based on ABS93D (in Japanese). *Nagasaki Igakkai Zasshi (Nagasaki Medical Journal)* 72: 30-46, 1997
10. Hoshi M, Matsuura M, Hayakawa N, Ito C, Kamada N. Estimation of radiation doses for atomic-bomb survivors in the Hiroshima University registry. *Health Phys* 70: 735-740, 1996
11. Roesch WC ed. *U.S.-Japan Joint Reassessment of Atomic Bomb Radiation Dosimetry in Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Final Report Vol. 1*, Radiation Effects Research Foundation, Hiroshima, 1987
12. SAS Institute. *SAS/Stat User's Guide, Version 6, 4th edition*. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 1990
13. Jablon S, Fujita S, Fukushima K, Ishimaru T, Auxier JA. RBE of neutrons in atomic bomb survivors, Hiroshima-Nagasaki. *ABCC Technical Report 12-70*, Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission, Hiroshima, 1969
14. Gilbert ES, Ohara JL. Analysis of atomic bomb radiation dose estimation at RERF using data on acute radiation symptoms. *RERF Technical Report 9-83*, Radiation Effects Research Foundation, Hiroshima, 1983
15. Stram DO, Mizuno S. Analysis of the DS86 atomic bomb radiation dosimetry methods using data on severe epilation. *Radiat Res* 117: 93-113, 1989
16. Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. *Collection of the Reports on the Investigation of the Atomic Bomb Casualties*, Maruzen, Tokyo, pp. 550-592, 1953
17. Ohkita T. Acute effects. *J Radiat Res* 16 (Suppl): 49-66, 1975
18. Ishida M, Gilbert WB. Research plan for joint NIH-ABCC study of life-span of A-bomb survivors. *ABCC Technical Report 4-59*, Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission, Hiroshima, 1959