## A NOTE ON BULGARIAN SOLITAIRE

## MIDORI KOBAYASHI

Let *n* be any triangular number:  $n = 1 + 2 + \cdots + k$ , where *k* is a natural number.

Form a pile of *n* cards, then divide it into arbitrary piles with an arbitrary number of cards in each pile. Take one card from each pile and with them make a new pile. Keep repeating the procedure. It is conjectured that regardless of the initial state you will reach the consecutive state, i. e.,  $(1, 2, 3, \dots, k)$  in finite steps: the game must end because the consecutive state cannot change. This game is called Bulgarian Solitaire.<sup>(1)</sup>

For example, in case k=3, n=6,

$$(1, 1, 4) \rightarrow (3, 3) \rightarrow (2, 2, 2) \rightarrow (1, 1, 1, 3) \rightarrow (2, 4) \rightarrow (1, 2, 3),$$

 $(6) \rightarrow (1, 5) \rightarrow (2, 4) \rightarrow (1, 2, 3),$ 

and in case k = 4, n = 10,

 $(1, 1, 3, 5) \rightarrow (2, 4, 4) \rightarrow (1, 3, 3, 3) \rightarrow (2, 2, 2, 4) \rightarrow (1, 1, 1, 3, 4) \rightarrow (2, 3, 5) \rightarrow (1, 2, 3, 4).$ 

The above games end with the consecutive state in 5, 3 and 6 steps, respectively.

It is conjectured that for  $n = 1 + 2 + \dots + k$ , any game must end in no more than k(k - 1) steps, and in 1982 Donald E. Knuth and his students of Stanford University comfirmed it for  $k \le 10$  by computer.<sup>(2)</sup>

In this paper we shall show that the above conjecture cannot be

(2) Gardner, op. cit., 1983, 11.

<sup>(1)</sup> M. Gardner, "Mathematical Games," Scentific American, Vol. 249, No. 2, 1983, 8-13.

made better in a sense, that is, we shall prove the following:

Let k be any natural number ( $\geq 3$ ). Put  $n=1+2+\dots+k$ . The partition of n, (1, 1, 2, 3,  $\dots$ , k-2, k-1, k-1) reaches the consecutive state by Bulgarian operation in k(k-1) steps.

The partition (1, 1, 2, 3,  $\cdots$ , k-2, k-1, k-1) is called the top of the main trunk of Bulgarian tree by Gardner.<sup>(3)</sup>

Now we shall prove the above theorem for  $k \ge 6$ ; it is easily checked for  $k \le 5$ .

The initial state is  $(1, 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-2, k-1, k-1)$ , so we have  $(1, 2, 3, \dots, k-2, k-2, k+1)$  after the lst step,  $(1, 2, \dots, k-3, k-3, k, k)$  after the 2nd step,  $(1, 2, \dots, k-4, k-4, k-1, k-1, k)$  after the 3rd step, and so on,  $(1, 1, 4, 4, 5, \dots, k)$  after the (k-2) th step and  $(3, 3, 4, 5, \dots, k-1, k)$  after the (k-1) th step. Hence we have  $(2, 2, 3, 4, \dots, k-2, k-1, k-1)$  after the kth step.

Let  $2 \le l \le k-3$ . We shall show by induction on l that we have (1, 2,  $\cdots$ , l-1, l+1, l+1, l+2,  $\cdots$ , k-1, k-1) after the lkth step.

If l=2, it is easily checked that we have  $(1, 1, 3, 5, 5, 6, \dots, k)$  after the (2k-2) th step, so  $(1, 3, 3, 4, 5, \dots, k-2, k-1, k-1)$  after the 2kth step.

If l=3, we have (2, 2, 3, 4, ..., k-3, k-2, k-2, k) after the (2k+ 1)th step and (1, 1, 3, 4, 6, 6, 7, ..., k) after the (3k-2)th step, so we have (1, 2, 4, 4, 5, ..., k-1, k-1) after the 3kth step.

Suppose, then, that  $4 \le l \le k-3$ . By induction we may have  $(1, 2, \dots, l-2, l, l, l+1, \dots, k-1, k-1)$  after the (l-1)kth step. Then we have  $(1, 2, \dots, l-3, l-1, l-1, l, \dots, k-2, k-2, k)$  after the ((l-1) k+1) th

step, and so on,  $(1, 3, 3, 4, 5, \dots, k-l+2, k-l+2, k-l+4, \dots, k-1, k)$  after the ((l-1) k+l-3) th step. So we get  $(1, 2, \dots, k-l-2, k-l-2, k-l-2, k-l, \dots, k-2, k, k)$  after the ((l-1)k+l+1)th step. And we have  $(1, 1, 3, 4, \dots, l+1, l+3, l+3, l+4, \dots, k)$  after the ((l-1)k+k-2) th step, so  $(2, 3, \dots, l, l+2, l+2, l+3, \dots, k-1, k)$  after the ((l-1) k+k-1) th step, hence we obtain  $(1, 2, \dots, l-1, l+1, l+1, l+2, \dots, k-1, k-1)$  after the ((l-1)k+k) = lkth step.

Therefore, putting l = k-3, we have  $(1, 2, \dots, k-4, k-2, k-2, k-1, k-1)$  after the (k-3)kth step. So we get  $(1, 2, \dots, k-4, k-3, k-1, k-1, k-1)$  after the (k-2)kth step. Further we have  $(1, 2, \dots, k-4, k-2, k-2, k-2, k)$  after the ((k-2)k+1)th step,  $(1, 3, 3, 3, 5, \dots, k)$  after the ((k-2)k+k-4)th step, hence  $(1, 2, \dots, k-2, k-1, k)$  after the (k-1)kth step. This completes the proof.

We shall next show that for any triangular number  $n=1+2+\dots+k$ , the partition (*n*) reaches the consecutive state in (n-k)th steps, where (*n*) is the next state of the partition  $(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n})$  by Bulgarian operation.

Put  $S_m = 1 + 2 + \cdots + m$  with  $1 \le m \le k - 1$ . We shall show by induction on *m* the state after the  $S_m$ th step is  $(1, 2, \cdots, m, n - S_m)$ .

The state after the lst step is (1, n-1) and the state after the 3rd step is (1, 2, n-3), so the assertion holds for m=1, 2.

Suppose  $3 \le m \le k-1$ . By induction we may have  $(1, 2, \dots, m-1, n-S_{m-1})$  after the  $S_{m-1}$ th step. Then we have  $(1, 2, \dots, m-2, m, n-S_{m-1}-1)$  after the  $(S_{m-1}+1)$ th step,  $(1, 3, 4, \dots, m, n-S_m+2)$  after the  $(S_{m-1}+m-2)$ th step, so  $(1, 2, \dots, m, n-S_m)$  after the  $(S_{m-1}+m)=S_m$ th step.

Hence, putting m = k-1, we have  $(1, 2, \dots, k)$  after the (n-k)th step because  $S_{k-1} = n-k$ .

For  $t < S_{k-1}$ , the state after the *t*th step cannot be consecutive con-

sidering n-t > k. Therefore for the first time we reach the consecutive state after the (n-k)th step.