

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Efficient Method for Selective Oxidation of 1,2-Diols in Water Catalyzed by Me₂SnCl₂

Julius M. William,^a Masami Kuriyama,^a and Osamu Onomura^{*a}


Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000

Dimethyltin(IV)dichloride-catalyzed selective oxidation of 1,2-diols in water was achieved using dibromoisocyanuric acid (DBI) or Br₂ as oxidants. The catalyst activates the 1,2-diol moiety through the formation of stannylene acetal in addition to enhancing selectivity. Various cyclic and acyclic 1,2-diols substrates have been selectively oxidized affording α-hydroxyketones in good to excellent yields. This method is safe and simple in operation.

Introduction

Organic reactions in water as a solvent or co-solvent have attracted a lot of attention recently. This is because of the unique properties of water and its key role as a solvent for green chemistry.¹ With increasing regulatory pressure targeting organic solvents, the development of nonhazardous alternatives is of great importance.² Selective oxidation of 1,2-diols to their corresponding α-hydroxyketones is a basic and an important organic reaction.³ This is because α-hydroxyketones unit is found in some antitumor antibiotics and important natural products like olivomycin A,⁴ kurasoin A and B.⁵ However, the few methods available for selective oxidation of 1,2-diols to α-hydroxyketones,^{6–11} suffer drawbacks such as relatively low yields and side reactions accompanying C-C bond cleavage.¹⁰ Use of Bu₂SnO,¹² has been recognized as a highly selective method. It proceeds in two stages: formation of stannylene acetal **b** by azeotropic condensation of 1,2-diol **1** with Bu₂SnO followed by the oxidation of **b** by Br₂ (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Oxidation of 1,2-diols via stannylene acetal.

This method has been applied to the synthesis of (+)-spectinomycin¹³ and to the oxidation of sugars into oxo-sugars.¹⁴ Unfortunately, it is unfavourable from environmental viewpoint and also requires more than 1 equimolar amount of Bu₂SnO. So far, a handful of methods on selective oxidation of 1,2-diols in organic solvents have been presented using organotin catalysts,¹⁵ chiral copper compounds,¹⁶ and electrochemical methods.^{15,17} But to the best of our knowledge no report on selective oxidation in

water has been presented. Our most recent report on selective monobenzylation of 1,2-diols in water,¹⁸ and other reports on selective monobenzylation in organic solvents,¹⁹ greatly motivated this investigation. In these reports, Me₂SnCl₂ catalyst activates the 1,2-diol moiety through the formation of stannylene acetal and also facilitate selectivity. We envisioned that if we introduce a suitable oxidizing agent to a stannylene acetal in water, then selective oxidation may be achieved.

Results and discussion

We began our investigation on catalytic selective oxidation of 1,2-diols in water using *cis*-cyclooctane-1,2-diol **1a** as a model substrate and halogen cation “source” oxidants. After a series of optimization studies, we found that monoselective oxidation of **1a** proceeded efficiently in the presence of 10 mol % of Me₂SnCl₂, 1.2 equiv of potassium carbonate, and 1.5 equiv of Br₂ in water at low temperature (0 °C) under shielding the light for 1 h to afford **2a** in (85%) yield (entry1, Table1).

Table 1 Selective oxidation of 1,2-diol **1a** in water using various oxidants.


Entry	Oxidant (equiv)	Temp (°C)	Yield (%) ^a
1	Br ₂ (1.5)	0	85
2	Br ₂ (1.5)	-5	91
3	Br ₂ (1.5)	-10	89
4	Br ₂ (1.0)	-5	78
5	NBS (2.0)	0	40
6	NIS (2.0)	0	29
7	NCS (2.0)	0	trace
8	DBI ^b (1.0)	0	90
9	DBI ^b (1.5)	0	83
10	DBDMH ^c (1.5)	0	86
11	DIDMH ^d (1.5)	0	45
12	H ₂ O ₂ (2.0)	0	0

^a Isolated yield. ^b Dibromoisocyanuric acid. ^c 1,3-Dibromo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin. ^d 1,3-diiodo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin.

Temperature optimization experiments revealed that $-5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ was the optimum temperature for oxidation of **1a** using Br_2 , affording (91%) yield (entry 1–3). Reducing the amount of Br_2 to 1.0 equiv led to a drop in yield (78%) (entry 4). Commonly known mild oxidizing agents, *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS) and *N*-iodosuccinimide (NIS) afforded lower yields at $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (entries 5 and 6), while *N*-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) only afforded a trace amount of the desired product (entry 7). Dibromoisocyanuric acid (DBI), first reported by Gottardi²⁰ as a mild but more effective brominating agent than NBS,²¹ afforded the desired product in an excellent yield of 90% with 1 equiv (entry 8). Increasing the amount of DBI to 1.5 equiv led to the formation of some diketone product resulting in a drop in yield of the desired product (entry 9). 1.5 Equiv of 1,3-dibromo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin (DBDMH) gave comparable results. However, a closely related 1,3-diiodo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin (DIDMH) was not efficient in effecting this oxidation (entries 10 and 11). Efforts to use H_2O_2 as oxidant failed as it did not oxidize our reaction.

We next investigated the effect of a number of different reaction parameters on the efficiency of selective oxidation of 1,2-diol **1a** in water with Br_2 or DBI. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 Selective oxidation study by varying various parameters.



Entry	Variation from the "standard" condition	Yield (%) ^a
1 ^b	Under O_2	90
2 ^b	Under Ar	73
3 ^b	0.05 equiv Me_2SnCl_2	78
4 ^b	0.01 equiv Me_2SnCl_2	63
5 ^b	Absence of Me_2SnCl_2 for 1h	54
6 ^b	Absence of Me_2SnCl_2 for 5h	40
7 ^b	Absence of K_2CO_3	43
8 ^b	Without shielding the light	65
9 ^c	Absence of Me_2SnCl_2	<1
10 ^c	0.05 equiv Me_2SnCl_2	76
11 ^c	Absence of K_2CO_3	60

^a Isolated yield. ^b 1.5 Equiv of Br_2 was used. ^c 1.0 Equiv of DBI was used.

With bromine as the oxidant, the 90% yield was maintained under oxygen atmosphere, while in argon there was a drop to 73% (entries 1 and 2). Reducing the catalyst loading to 0.05 equiv and 0.01 equiv led to drop in yields (entries 3 and 4). As expected, poor yield was obtained in the absence of the catalyst (entry 5). Extending the reaction time to 5 h in the absence of Me_2SnCl_2 , resulted in the formation of the diketone and associated drop in the yield of the monoketone (entry 6). Similarly, absence of the base (potassium carbonate) or performing the reaction in presence of light led to drop in yields (entries 7 and 8). In the reaction with DBI as the oxidant but without the catalyst, essentially no reaction was observed (entry 9). As with bromine as the oxidant, reducing the catalyst loading

to 0.05 equiv, also led to a drop in yield (76%) (entry 10). Similarly, the yield dropped in the absence of the base (entry 11).

Lack of reaction with DBI in the absence of Me_2SnCl_2 prompted us to study the effects of other organotin compounds as potential catalysts in reactions involving different 1,2-diols with 1.0 equiv of this oxidant. The results are summarized in Table 3. Me_2SnBr_2 was found to be effective as a catalyst in place of Me_2SnCl_2 , although at the expense of slight erosion in selectivity (entry 1). Dialkyltin dichloride catalysts gave moderate yields of mono-oxidation products (entries 2–4), while dialkyltin oxide and Cu salts did not show impressive activities (entries 5–7 and 8–9).

Table 3 Screening of catalyst with DBI as oxidant.


Catalyst (0.1 equiv)
 K_2CO_3 (1.2 equiv)
 DBI (1.0 equiv)
 water (5 mL)
 dark condition, 1 h, $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$

Entry	Catalyst	Yield (%) ^a
1	Me_2SnBr_2	87
2	<i>n</i> - $\text{Oct}_2\text{SnCl}_2$	61
3	<i>n</i> - Bu_2SnCl_2	68
4	Ph_2SnCl_2	57
5	<i>n</i> - Bu_2SnO	23
6	Ph_2SnO	58
7	Me_2SnO	30
8	CuCl_2	15
9	$\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$	10

^a Isolated yield.


We next explored the substrate applicability to the oxidation system involving Me_2SnCl_2 as the catalyst and Br_2 or DBI as the oxidants. Generally, selective oxidation using 1.5 equiv of Br_2 and 1.0 equiv DBI gave comparable yields for all substrates screened (Table 4). All *meso*- and *trans*-cyclic-1,2-diols, underwent selective oxidation affording mono-oxidized products in moderate to excellent yields (entries 1–6). A number of acyclic-1,2-diols were selectively oxidized at elevated temperature of $50\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$,²² affording corresponding α -hydroxyketones in excellent to moderate yields (entries 7–9). Next, we carried out selective oxidation of 1,2-diols having both primary and secondary alcohols. When 1,2-diols **1k**, **1l**, **1m**, **1n**, and **1o** were treated under these catalytic conditions, the secondary alcohols were selectively oxidized preferentially affording products **2k**, **2l**, **2m**, **2n**, and **2o** respectively in moderate to excellent yields (entries 10–14). Additionally, 1,2,6-hexanetriol **1p** underwent selective oxidation at the secondary hydroxyl group of the 1,2-diol moiety affording **2p** in good yield (entry 15).

Competitive reaction between 1,2-diol **1a** and mono-ol **1q** was next tried (Scheme 2). In the presence of Me_2SnCl_2 , **1a** and **1q** were oxidized to **2a** and **2q** in the ratio of 74:26 respectively. On the other hand, in the absence of Me_2SnCl_2 , **2q** was predominantly obtained. These results clearly demonstrate the role of Me_2SnCl_2 in the activation of the hydroxyl groups of 1,2-diols and the versatility of the DBI as a promising oxidant for mono-ols in water.



Scheme 2 Competition reaction between *cis*-1,2-cyclooctanediol and cyclooctanol.


Table 4 Scope of substrates with Br_2 or DBI as oxidants.



Entry	1,2-diol	Temp (Time)	Product	Yield (%) ^a	
				Br_2	DBI
1		0 °C (1 h)		93	91
2	1b-d	0 °C (2 h)	2b-d	72	69
3		0 °C (3 h)		55	57
4		-5 °C (0.5 h)		81	85
5	1e-g	0 °C (2 h)	2a,c,d	73	71
6		0 °C (3 h)		57	57
7	1h	50 °C (1 h)	2h	92	91
8	1i	50 °C (1 h)	2h	89	88
9	1j	50 °C (1 h)	2j	56	59
10	1k	0 °C (2 h)	2k	82	87
11	1l	rt (2 h)	2l	86	82
12	1m	rt (3 h)	2m	34	37
13	1n	0 °C (1 h)	2n	69	67
14	1o	0 °C (1 h)	2o	85	80
15	1p	0 °C (2 h)	2p	60	56

^a Isolated yield.

Finally a proposed reaction pathway for selective oxidation of 1,2-diols **1** is shown in Scheme 3. Chelate complex **A**²³ formed from the interaction of **1** with Me_2SnCl_2 or related complex **A'** (activated intermediates), could be oxidized by (Br^\oplus) generated from the oxidant in water leading to **2**.



Scheme 3 Proposed reaction pathway for selective oxidation using Me_2SnCl_2 as a catalyst with Br_2 or DBI as the oxidant.

Conclusions

A catalytic process for selective oxidation of 1,2-diols in water under mild conditions has been achieved. This method not only provides a new approach to an environmentally benign process for selective oxidation 1,2-diols but also introduces an efficient oxidant (DBI) with superior results compared to NBS, which has commonly been used for selective oxidation in organic solvents. The method may also have potential in selective oxidation of specific hydroxyl groups in polyols. Selective oxidation using more safer catalysts and enantioselective oxidation of 1,2-diols in water is currently ongoing in our lab.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by MEXT KAKENHI Grant Number 23105539 as Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas from The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24590012 as Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) from The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Research Grant for Pharmaceutical Sciences from Takeda Science Foundation, and the President's Discretion Fund of Nagasaki University.

Notes and references

- ^a Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, 1-14 Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki 852-8521, Japan. Fax: +81 95 819 2476; Tel: +81 95 819 2429; E-mail: onomura@nagasaki-u.ac.jp
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterization data. NMR Spectra for all novel compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- P. A. Grieco, *Organic Synthesis in Water*, Blackie Academic & Professional: London, 1998; C.-J. Li, T. H. Chan, *Organic Reactions in Aqueous Media*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1997.
 - U. M. Lindstrom, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 2751; D. Sinou, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2002, **344**, 221; U. M. Lindstrom, F. Andersson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 548; M. C. Pirrung, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 1312; C.-J. Li, L. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 68-82.

-
- 3 Recent reviews: R. Noyori, M. Aoki, K. Sato, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 1977; R. Irie, T. Katsuki, *Chem. Rec.*, 2004, **4**, 96; T. Mallat, A. Baiker, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 3037; M. J. Schultz, M. S. Sigman, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 8227.
- 5 4 R. Uchida, K. Shiomi, J. Inokoshi, R. Masuma, T. Kawakubo, H. Tanaka, Y. Iwai, S. Omura, *J. Antibiot.*, 1996, **49**, 932.
- 5 V. Kumar, W. A. Remers, W. T. Bradner, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1980, **23**, 376.
- 6 L. D. Accolti, A. Detomaso, C. Fusco, A. Rosa, R. Curci, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **58**, 3600; W. Adam, C. R. Shaha-McIler, C. G. Zhao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 7492; B. Plietker, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 289.
- 10 7 W. A. Cramp, F. J. Julietti, J. F. McGhie, B. L. Rao, W. A. Ross, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, 4257.
- 8 M. Ftizon, M. Golfier, J. M. Louis, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, 1102.
- 15 9 E. J. Corey, C. U. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1974, **15**, 287.
- 10 Y. Sakata, Y. Ishii, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 6233.
- 11 L. F. Walker, A. Bourghida, S. Connolly, M. Wills, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, 2002, 965; T. Yamato, T. Hironaka, T. Saisyo, T. Manabe, K. Okuyama, *J. Chem. Res. Synop.*, 2003, 63.
- 20 12 S. David, A. Thieffry, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, 1979, 1568; D. H. Crout, S. M. Morrey, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, 1983, 2435.
- 13 S. Hanessian, R. Roy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1979, **101**, 5839.
- 14 Y. Tsuda, M. Hanajima, N. Matsuhira, Y. Okuno, K. Kanemitsu, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1989, **37**, 2344.
- 25 15 T. Maki, S. Iikawa, G. Mogami, H. Harasawa, Y. Matsumura, O. Onomura, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 5364.
- 16 O. Onomura, H. Arimoto, Y. Matsumura, Y. Demizu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 8668.
- 17 T. Maki, K. Fukae, H. Harasawa, T. Ohishi, Y. Matsumura, O. Onomura, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 651.
- 30 18 W. Muramatsu, J. M. William, and O. Onomura, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 754.
- 19 For the benzylation of 1,2-diols catalyzed by organotin compounds, see: F. Iwasaki, T. Maki, W. Nakashima, O. Onomura, Y. Matsumura, *Org. Lett.*, 1999, **1**, 969; F. Iwasaki, T. Maki, W. Nakashima, O. Onomura, Y. Matsumura, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 996; Y. Demizu, Y. Kubo, H. Miyoshi, T. Maki, Y. Matsumura, N. Moriyama, O. Onomura, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 5075.
- 20 W. Gottardi, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1968, **99**, 815.
- 40 21 W. D. Brown, A. H. Goulliaev, *Synthesis*, 2002, 83; C. Virgil, in *Encyclopedia of reagents for organic synthesis*, ed. by L.A. Paquette, John Wiley&Sons, Chichester, 2001, pp. 1560–1561.
- 22 Performing the reaction at elevated temperatures improved the solubility of substrates which have poor solubility in water.
- 45 23 The change in oxidation potential in reference 15 suggest formation of complex between the 1,2-diol and the Me₂SnCl₂.