1	Title Gelation of cassia gum by freezing and thawing	
2		
3	Authors	Mika Iijima <sup>a</sup> , TatsukoHatakeyama <sup>b</sup> and HyoeHatakeyama <sup>c**</sup>
4		
5	Affiliation <sup>a</sup> l	Faculty of Education, Nagasaki University, 1-14, Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki, 852-8521, Japan
6		<sup>b</sup> Lignocell Research, 73-8 Yatsumata, Fukui 910-3558, Japan
7		<sup>c</sup> Department of Environment and Biotechnology, Faculty of Engineering, Fukui University
8		of Technology, 3-6-1, Gakuen, Fukui 910-8505, Japan
9		** Present address; Lignocel Research, Japan
10		
11	Correspon	dence Mika Iijima
12		Faculty of Education,
13		Nagasaki University,
14		1-14, Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki, 852-8521, Japan
15		Phone; 81-(0)95-819-2371
16		Fax; 81-(0)95-819-2265
17		e-mail; m-iijima@nagasaki-u.ac.jp
18		
19	Total pages (including cover page and figures) 14	
20	figure	8
21		
22		

23	Gelation of cassia gum by freezing and thawing	
24		
25	Mika Iijima <sup>a*</sup> , Tatsuko Hatakeyama <sup>b</sup> , Hyoe Hatakeyama <sup>c**</sup>	
26		
27 28	<sup>a</sup> Nagasaki University, Nagasaki 852-8521, Japan <sup>b</sup> Lignocel Research,Fukui 910-3558, Japan	
29	<sup>c</sup> Fukui University of Technology, Fukui 910-8505, Japan	
30	* E-mail:m-iijima@nagasaki-u.ac.jp, Tel.: +81-95-819-2371; Fax: +81-95-819-2265	
31		
32	*corresponding author	
33	** Present address; Lignocel Research, Japan	
34		
35	Abstract	
36	Aqueous solution of cassia gum (CG), which is categorized as a galactomannan	
37	polysaccharide having mannose/galactose ratio=5/1, forms hydrogels by freezing and	
38	thawing. When frozen CG aqueous solution was thawed, transparent sol was	
39	separated from a turbid gel, i.e. syneresis occurred. Gel concentration ({(Mass of dry	
40	gel) / (Mass of gel)} 100) increased with increasing CG concentration. Viscoelastic	
41	properties of CG hydrogels formed by freezing and thawing were investigated by	
42	thermomechanical analysis (TMA) in water using an oscillation mode at 0.05 Hz.	
43	Dynamic modulus $(E')$ increased from 3 kPa to ca. 5 kPa with increasing freezing rate.	
44	In contrast, E' maintained a constant value regardless of repeating number of freezing	
45	and thawing. From TMA results, it is concluded that the density of cross-linking	
46	network structure depends on the size of ice formed by freezing. At the same time, the	
47	low $E'$ value of CG gels is ascribed to the fact that association of galactosyl side group	
48	is disturbed by the stiff chain attributed to the unsubstituted region of CG	
49		
50		
51	Keywords; TMA, galactomannan polysaccharide, cassia gum, hydrogel, freezing and	
52	thawing	
53		
54		
55	Introduction	

Cassia gum (CG), locust bean gum (LBG), tara gum (Tara-G), guar gum (GG) and 57 fenugreek gum are categorized as galactomannan polysaccharides. Galactomannan 58 polysaccharide is extracted from plant seeds of various plants, i.e. CG is extracted from 59 plant seeds for *Cassia tora*, [1,2] LBG is *Ceratoniasiliqua*, 60 Tara-G is is FG 61 Caesalpiniaspinosa, GG Cyamopsistetragonoloba and is of 62 Trigonellafoenum-graecum. Galactomannan polysaccharides consist а 1,4- $\beta$ -D-mannose backbone and 1,6- $\alpha$ -D-galactose side chains. A structural difference 63 among the five gums is the mannose/galactose ratio (M/G ratio), which is 5, 4, 3, 2 and 64 65 1 for CG, LBG, Tara-G, GG and FG, respectively. GMs are widely used in food, pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries as stabilizers and emulsifiers since GMs hold a 66 large amount of water [3]. Recently, the conformation of GM galactomannans with 67 different mannose/galactose ratios has been investigated by the molecular modeling 68 approach [4]. However, CG has received little attention sincetheeligibility has been 69 recognized in recent years [5]. 70

71 A large number of polysaccharides, such as agarose,  $\kappa$ -carrageenann andcurdlan, are 72 known to form hydrogels when aqueous solution of the above polysaccharides is cooled, 73 by which molecular chains of polysaccharides are co-aggregated and a three 74 dimensional network structure is established. Among various types of gelation methods, such as, cooling, heating and ionic binding, gelation of polymers by freezing and 75 thawing is not common. A rare example is LBG[6-9]. Among synthetic polymers, 76 poly(vinyl alcohol)is only known to form hydrogel by freezing and thawing [10-13]. 77 The above facts suggest that polymers having the capability of gel formation by 78 79 freezing and thawing are rare. When the chemical structures of LBG and CG are compared, it is thought that CG may have the capability of gel formation by freezing 80 and thawing. 81

In our previous studies, we employed thermomechanical analysis (TMA) to measure the viscoelastic properties of polysaccharide hydrogels in water [14-17]. Using the above technique, both static and dynamic mechanical properties of hydrogels at various temperatures can be measured by controlling water temperature [14]. In this study, gelation of CG by freezing and thawing is investigated by TMA in water.

- 87
- 88

- 89 Experimental
- 90

91 Sample preparation

92

CG in powder form was provided by Kibun Food Chemifa Co. Ltd., Japan. The 93 commercial name is Rheo Ranger TM SR. CG was solved in deionized water at 298 K 94 to obtain  $0.1 \sim 1.5$  % solutions. The solutions were annealed at 376 K for 2 h. After 95 annealing at 378 K, 5, 10, 20, 30 or 50 ml of CG solutions were put in a 100 ml 96 polyethylene container (49\op x 72 mm). The CG solution in PE container was directly 97 98 transferred to a freezer whose temperature was 253 K and maintained for approximately 18 h. Cooling rate was measured by thermometer. Obtained cooling rates were 3.0, 2.5, 99 1.5, 1.0 and 0.7 K min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Frozen samples were thawed slowly at 298 K. 100 101 This process took more than 6 h. The above freezing and thawing cycles are referred to as "n". After freezing and thawing, the samples were stored at 298 K. 102

The gel and sol were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min. Gels were removed from the centrifugal vessel and weighed quickly. The gel ratio was calculated according to the following equation.

106

107 Gel ratio 
$$(g g^{-1}) = (Mass of gel) / (Mass of gel + mass of sol)$$
 (1)

108

The gel or sol was removed from the container and dried at 393 K for 10 h in an oven and dry mass of the gel was recorded. Polymer concentration was calculated according to the following equation.

112

114

115 The mass of samples was measured using a Mettler Toledo microbalance (XS205). 116 Precision was  $\pm 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  g.

117 Concentration ratio was calculated according to the following equation.

118

119 Concentration ratio = Polymer concentration / Sol concentration (3)

- 120
- 121

124 An SII Nano Technology Inc. thermomechanical analyser (TMA, SII Nano Technology 125 Inc. TMA/SS 150) equipped with a newly designed sample holder was used [14]. A guartz rod with uniform cross sectional area  $(5.09 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2)$  was used as a probe. The 126 sample holder was immersed in water whose temperature was controlled at 298 K. The 127 gel sample was placed in a quartz sample pan with diameter 9 mm (inner diameter) and 128 height 5 mm and immersed in 70 ml of water. The sample was compressed by quartz 129 130 probe. Dynamic measurements were carried out in water at 298 K using a quartz probe. 131

Operating frequency was 0.05 Hz. Measurements were carried out for 5 min. The conditions employed were dynamic modulus (E') and tan  $\delta$ . From Lissajous diagram, E'and tan  $\delta$  were obtained. 1 % CG hydrogel was used as a sample for TMA measurements.

136

137

138 **Results** 

139

140

The chemical structure of CG is shown in Fig. 1.A turbid gel was formed by freezing-thawing process of CG aqueous solution, and transparent liquid was separated from the gel at the time of thawing, i.e. syneresis occurred. When this gel is treated at a high temperature, the cross linking network decomposes.

145



146



148

## 150 Effect of sol concentration on gelation

151

Gelation by freezing and thawing is affected by various factors such as concentration of 152 153 sol, freezing rate [9], number of freezing and thawing [7] and thermal history of sol state [8]. At first, in this study, effect of sol concentration on gelation was investigated. 154 Fig.2 shows relationships between polymer concentration in gel and sol concentration. 155 Freezing rate was 2.5 K min<sup>-1</sup>, n was 1 or 3. The broken line shown in Fig.2 indicates 156 that polymer concentration is assumed to be the same as sol concentration. It is clearly 157 seen that polymer concentration is far larger than that shown in the broken line. This 158 159 indicates that CG markedly condensed during freezing process. As shown in Fig.2, polymer concentration increases with increasing sol concentration. It is considered that 160 molecular co-aggregation occurs during freezing. CG concentration in the gel state 161 obviously increases and an excess amount of water was excluded from the gel network. 162 163

164



165

166 **Fig.2** Relationships between polymer concentration and sol concentration.

167 Closed circles; cooling rate (CR)= 2.5 K min<sup>-1</sup>, n(number of freezing and thawing) =1, 168 Open circles; CR=2.5 K min<sup>-1</sup>. n=3. Broken line; postulated line assuming gel 169 concentration=sol concentration

170

171

When sol concentration is low, a large amount of water is excluded from gels in which CG mass markedly increases.Fig.3 shows the relationship between concentration ratio and sol concentration. Concentration ratio is defined in Eq. (3). As shown in Fig.3, 0.1 % sol is condensed ca. 35 times. Concentration ratio decreased with increasing sol
concentration. This indicates that molecular co-aggregation is markedly observed when
sol concentration is small.







**Fig.4** Relationship between polymer concentration and *n*.

- 197 Concentration=1 %, Closed circle; CR=2.5 K min<sup>-1</sup>, open circle; CR=1 K min<sup>-1</sup>

200 Effect of cooling rate

Fig.5 shows polymer concentration formed at n=1(Fig.5 (a)) and formed at n=3(Fig.5 (b))as a function of sol concentration. At rapid cooling (2.5 K min<sup>-1</sup>), polymer concentration increased with increasing sol concentration regardless of n. However, at slow cooling, polymer concentration maintains a constant value regardless of sol concentration. The effect of *n* is slightly observed when cooling is 1.0 K min<sup>-1</sup>. Concentrations of gel formed by n=3 at 1.0 K min<sup>-1</sup> are higher than those by at n=1. In contrast, no significant difference by changing n was found for gels formed at 2.5 K min<sup>-1</sup>. Molecular co-aggregation during freezing depends on cooling rate. This indicates that the size of ice affects the cross-linking zone formation.





**Fig.6**Relationship between polymer concentration and cooling rate.

Sol concentration=1%, Closed circle; n=1, open circle; n=3

Representative gels which formed from sol concentration = 1 %, n=1, cooling rate 238  $0.7 \sim 3.0$  K min<sup>-1</sup> were measured by TMA in water [9]. The sample was taken from 239 240 different parts of the frozen gel and no significant difference was observed in the values of E' and tan  $\delta$ . As reported in our previous papers, dynamic modulus E' was calculated 241 from Lissajous diagram [14]. Fig.7 shows the relationship between E' and cooling rate. 242 E' increased from 3 kPa to ca. 5 kPa with increasing cooling rate. E' maintained a 243 constant value regardless of n, although the figure is not shown. The cooling rate 244 dependency of gelation is also confirmed by TMA results. A densely cross-linked 245 246 network structure is formed by slow cooling.







249

**Fig.7** Relationship between *E*' and cooling rate.

251 Concentration= 1 %, n=1

- 252
- 253

## 254 Discussion

255

256

From the above results, it was confirmed that CG forms hydrogels by freezing and thawing. At the same time, it was found that gels are formed in a limited concentration range, from 0.1 to 1.5 %. Among a series of galactomannans, LBG is known to form hydrogel by freezing and thawing [6-9]. LBG forms hydrogels in a concentration from 0.5 to 10 % under the same conditions in which CG hydrogel is

formed in this study. Both hydrogels are turbid, however, when cooling rate is the same, 262 CG excludes a large amount of water from gel as shown in Fig. 2. In contrast, LBG 263 showed no syneresis when concentration exceeds 3 % [9]. As shown in Fig. 4, n264 265 scarcely affects polymer concentration, although cooling rate dependency on the gelation is noticeable. As shown in Fig. 6, polymer concentration decreases with 266 increasing cooling rate. Viscoelastic properties measured by TMA exhibit clearly the 267 characteristic features of CG gel. E' values of CG gels are compared with those of LBG 268 in Fig. 8. E' values of CG are far lower than those of LBG. When comparison is made 269 on a same scale of LBG, no marked change is observed for CG, when *n* and cooling rate 270 271 are varied.

- 272
- 273 274



275

Fig. 8 Comparison of dynamic modulus (*E*') measured by TMA of CG and LBG.
Shaded bars ;LBG; solid bars ; CG.

278 (a) Concentration of CG and LBG; 1%, cooling rate; 1 K min<sup>-1</sup>

(b) Concentration of CG and LBG; 1%, number of freezing and thawing (*n*); 1

280

281

The above facts indicate that once the cross-linking zone is formed via intermolecular association at the initial freezing process, the network structure of CG gels is maintained and no further molecular association occurs by repeating freezing. It can be concluded that the size of ice initially formed inside the gel is memorized and crystallization occurs in a similar manner by successive freezing and thawing. Cooling rate kinetically affects the aggregation of polymer chains, and therefore polymer

concentration decreases with increasing cooling rate. Size of ice depends on cooling rate, 288 289 and quick cooling forms a small size of ice which induces a dense network structure, although this effect on CG is not marked compared with that of LBG as shown in Fig. 290 291 8(b). According to computer simulations of FG, GG, Tara-G and LBG, it is thought 292 that the galactosyl side groups have the effect of lowering the chain dimension. The intra-chain associations via H-bonding along the side groups are also ascertained [4]. 293 294 The galactosyl side groups associate and form a cross-linking area or junction zone. According to M/G ratio, the stiff chain attributed to the unsubstituted region of CG is 295 longer than that of LBG. Accordingly, it is considered that once H-bonding is 296 297 established, further molecular association is disturbed by stiff main chains, since the 298 molecular chain distance between cross-linking area of CG is considerably large. The difference between LBG and CG observed in E' can be explained by mannose/galactose 299 300 ratio. At the same time, it is reasonable to assume that FG, GG and Tara-G do not 301 form hydrogels by freezing and thawing, since molecular conformation is too compact for ice crystallization which induces inter-molecular H-bonding. 302

303

304

## 305 Conclusions

306

CG hydrogels were prepared by freezing and thawing. *n* scarcely affects gelation ability. 307 308 This indicates that once the network structure is formed, molecular rearrangement does 309 not occur. In contrast, it is clearly seen that cooling rate evidently affects gelation ability. E' values by measured TMA in water increased with increasing cooling rate. E' of CG 310 311 are far lower than those of LBG. It is thought that the galactosyl side groups associate 312 and form a cross-linking area or junction zone. The low E' value of CG gels is ascribed 313 to the fact that association of galactosyl side group is disturbed by stiff chains attributed 314 to the unsubstituted region of CG

315

316

This paper was presented at the International Conference on Thermal analysis and Calorimetry 2012, held at Osaka, Japan in August 2012. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Young Scientists (B)).

Acknowledgements The authors extend their sincere thanks to Professor Clive S.Langham, of Nihon University for his helpful comments.

- 324
- 325

## 326 **References**

327

Singh V, Sethi R, Tiwari A. Structure elucidation and properties of a non-ionic
 galactomannan derived from the Cassia pleurocarpa seeds. International Journal of
 Biological Macromolecules.2009;44:9–13.

 Singh V, Srivastava A, Tiwari A. Structural elucidation, modification and characterization of seed gum from Cassia javahikai seeds: A non-traditional source of industrial gums. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2009;45:293–7.

- 3. Funami T, Kataoka Y, Noda S, Hiroe M, Ishihara S, Asai I, Takahashi R, Inouchi N,
  Nishinari K. Functions of fenugreek gum with various molecular weights on the
  gelatinization and retrogradation behaviors of corn starch-2:Characterizations of
  starch and investigations of corn starch/fenugreek gum composite system at a
  relatively low starch concentration; 5 w/v%. Food Hydrocolloids, 2008;22:777–87.
- 4. Wu Y, Li W, Cui W, Eskin N.A.M, Goff H.D. Molecular modeling approach to
  understand conformation functionality relationships of galactomannans with
  different mannose/galactose ratio. Food Hydrocolloids, 2012;26:359-64.
- 5. Hallagan J.B, La Du B.N, Pariza M.W, Putnam J.M, Borzelleca J.F. Assessment of
  cassia gum. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 1997;35:625-32.

6. Tanaka R, Hatakeyama T, Hatakeyama H. Interaction between polymer molecules in
locust bean gum-water systems during cooling and freezing processes.Williams P.A.
Phillips G.O, editors. Gums and Stabilisers for the Food Industry 9.Cambridge:The
Royal Society of Chemistry; 1998.pp. 43-7.

- 7. Tanaka R, Hatakeyama T, Hatakeyama H. Formation of locust bean gum hydrogel by
  freezing-thawing. Polym. Int. 1998;45:118–26.
- 8. Hatakeyama T, Naoi S, Iijima M, Hatakayama H. Locust bean gum hydrogels formed
  by freezing and thawing. Macromol. Symp. 2005;224:253-62.
- 9. Iijima M, Hatakeyama T, Hatakeyama H. DSC and TMA studies on freezing andthawing gelation of galactomannan polysaccharide. Thermochim.Acta.

- 354 2012;532:83–7.
- 10. Nagura M, Nagura M, Ishikawa H. State of water in highly elastic poly(vinyl
  alcohol) hydrogels prepared by repeated freezing and melting. Polymer
  Communications Guildford. 1984;25:313-4.
- 11. Nagura M, Hamano T, Ishikawa H. Structure of poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogel
  prepared by repeated freezing and melting. Polymer. 1989;30:762-5.
- 12. Hatakeyama T, Yamauchi A, Hatakeyama H. Effect of thermal hysteresis on
  structural change of water restrained in poly(vinyl alcohol) pseudo-gel. Eur. Polym.
  J.1987;23:361-5.
- 13. Hatakeyama T, Uno J, Yamada C, Kishi A, Hatakeyama H. Gel–sol transition of
  poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogels formed by freezing and thawing. Thermoochim. Acta.
  2005;431:144–8.
- 14. Iijima M, Hatakeyama T, Takahashi M, Hatakeyama H. Thermomechanical analysis
  of polysaccharide hydrogels in water. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 2001;64:617–27.
- 15. Iijima M, Hatakeyama T, Nakamura K, Hatakeyama H. Thermomechanical analysis
  of calcium alginate hydrogels in water. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 2002;70:807–14.
- 16. Iijima M, Hatakeyama T, Nakamura K, Hatakeyama H. Effect of annealing on
  calcium pectin gel formation by thermomechanical analysis. J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.
  2002;70:815–24.
- 17. Iijima M, Hatakeyama T, Hatakeyama H. Swelling behavior of calcium pectin
  hydrogels by thermomechanical analysis in water. Thermochim.
  Acta.2005;431:68–72.
- 376