How Iberian are we? Mediterranean climate determines structure and endemicity of spider communities in Iberian oak forests 4 Jagoba Malumbres-Olarte^{*, 1, 2, 3}, Luís Carlos Crespo², Marc Domènech², Pedro Cardoso^{1,3}, 5 Jordi Moya-Laraño⁴, Carles Ribera², Miquel A. Arnedo². *CE3C – Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes / Azorean Biodiversity Group and Universidade dos Açores, Angra do Heroísmo, Azores, Portugal. Dept. de Biologia Evolutiva, Ecologia i Ciències Ambientals & Institut de Recerca de la Biodiversitat, Universitat de Barcelona; Av. Diagonal 643 – 08028, Barcelona, Spain. Laboratory for Integrative Biodiversity Research (LIBRe), Finnish Museum of Natural History (Luomus), University of Helsinki; PO Box 17, 00014 Helsinki, Finland. Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, Estación Experimenta de Zonas Áridas (EEZA, CSIC); Carretera de Sacramento, s/n. La Cañada de San Urbano 04120, Almería, Spain.*

* Corresponding author: jagoba.malumbres.olarte@gmail.com

Abstract

 Understanding the causes behind species richness and endemicity is fundamental to explain biodiversity and assist conservation management, especially in biodiversity hotspots like the Mediterranean Basin. Here we investigate the patterns in Iberian forest spider communities and the processes behind their assembly, by testing hypotheses about the effects of climate and habitat on species richness, endemicity and structure of communities at different spatial scales, and about how microhabitat and dispersal affect the level of endemicity of species.

 We studied 16 spider communities in Iberian *Quercus* forests from different climatic zones, applying a standardised sampling protocol. We examined the contribution of habitat, climate, and geography to the differences in the composition of spider communities across spatial scales using distance-based redundancy analysis models (dbRDA) and principal coordinates of neighbour matrices (PCNM). We assessed the effects of the same variables on the endemicity of communities (measured by a weighted index), and tested the correlation between the microhabitat and the ballooning frequency (obtained from bibliography), and the endemicity of species through generalised linear models.

 Spider communities formed two groups ― southern and one northern ― based on similarity in species composition. Precipitation and temperatures were inversely related with the number of species while geography and forest type explained the compositional similarities between communities at different spatial scales. Endemicity of communities increased with temperature and decreased with precipitation, whereas species endemicity decreased with ballooning frequency. Our findings illustrate how niche-related processes may drive spider diversity while dispersal determines species distribution and identity and, ultimately, community composition. From a conservation viewpoint,

 when maximising species richness is incompatible with prioritising endemicity, the criteria to follow may depend on the geographic scale at which decisions are made.

 Keywords: Araneae, species distributions, endemism, functional traits, white-oak forest, COBRA protocols.

Introduction

 The study of the patterns and drivers of species communities and, more specifically, the features of species richness and endemicity (here defined as how restricted the range of a species or the species of a given community are geographically), are fundamental to understand how biodiversity is formed and shaped through space and time, and provide basic indicators and/or criteria for conservation prioritisation and management (Brooks et al. 2009; Kier et al. 2009). Although certain mechanisms, such as dispersal (colonisation) and local diversification (adaptive radiation) (Givnish et al. 2009; Kier et al. 2009, Gillespie et al. 2018) may act in parallel to generate matching variations in both species diversity and community endemicity (Barnard et al. 1998; Simmons et al. 1998), it is often not the case (Hughes et al. 2002; Orme et al. 2005; Irl et al. 2015).

 The environmental conditions at different spatial scales (e.g. climate at regional scale, habitat at the local scale or microhabitat at the infra-local scale) and the geographic location of communities drive the niche and dispersal-related mechanisms (Grinnell 1917; Soberón 2007; Malumbres-Olarte et al. 2013b, 2018) that, in combination with speciation (Emerson and Kolm 2005; Steinbauer et al. 2013), affect the range of a species and, ultimately, the communities that they form (Whittaker 1956; Condit et al. 2002) and their level of endemicity. Likewise, the intrinsic characteristics of a species, such as its functional traits (sensu Violle et al. 2007) – such as (micro)habitat adaptations, dispersal ability or hunting strategy, which are often used to classify species into functional guilds – will limit its range, and therefore its endemicity level (Rix et al. 2015). In consequence, one may expect to find communities and species with high levels of endemicity in isolated areas with unique environmental

 conditions in comparison with their surrounding matrix (Ohlemüller et al. 2008; Steinbauer et al. 2012, Kaltsas et al. 2019).

 The Mediterranean Basin has long been the focus of ecological, evolutionary and conservation research. Understanding the biological patterns of this global biodiversity hotspot (Myers 2000) and the processes behind them is paramount, more so when its biodiversity is threatened by agriculture, deforestation, construction and tourism (Morillo and Gómez-Campo 2000) and it has had a long history of interactions between natural ecosystems and human activities (Blondel et al. 2010). Compared to other temperate climatic regions, areas with Mediterranean climate show exceptionally large levels of species diversity and endemicity, for which a number of hypotheses related to greater habitat availability, a warmer or more stable climate, glacial refugia or higher disturbance frequency have been invoked (Cowling et al. 1996, 2015; Keeley et al. 2003; Fattorini and Ulrich 2012; Rundel et al. 2016).

 Because of its geographic location and mountainous topography, the Iberian Peninsula presents a variety of climatic zones that range from Mediterranean to Eurosiberian (Merino et al. 2015; Natalini et al. 2016), which, to some extent, are matched by biogeographic regions (Romo and García-Barros 2010; Céréghino et al. 2012). White oak trees and relatives (genus *Quercus,* section *Quercus* and *Mesobalanus*) have adapted to many of these different climatic conditions (Sánchez de Dios et al. 2009; Peguero-Pina et al. 2016). However, the once widespread Iberian oak forests have gone fragmented as a result of human activities such as agriculture, fire and grazing (Verdú et al. 2000; Acácio et al. 2009; Catry et al. 2009; Garrido-Benavent et al. 2015).

 The Spanish National Parks form a network of protected areas that aim to preserve the most representative ecosystems of the Iberian Peninsula and educate about them. From an international perspective, this task is of major importance since the Iberian Peninsula is part of one of the main biodiversity hot spots (species richness and endemicity) in Europe (Medail and Quezel 1997; Williams et al. 2000; Araújo et al. 2007). If national parks are to endure the effects of habitat and

 climate change, their management must be based on reliable and quantitative information on their biota (Vaughan et al. 2001; Fancy et al. 2009; Val Martin et al. 2015). Furthermore, conservation policies and strategies based on sound scientific data are better suited to succeed in legal and political arenas. These data must provide knowledge on how and why the communities that inhabit the parks change in time and space (Fancy et al. 2009; Ladin et al. 2016). Most of the effort and resources invested in the research and management of the Spanish National Parks has focused on plants and vertebrates, for which there is much information on diversity and distribution. Meanwhile, the most diverse and abundant taxa – arthropods – remain poorly known, despite their potential to provide valuable information for conservation management (Kremen et al. 1993).

 Spiders are an excellent model taxon to test ecological and evolutionary hypotheses because they are abundant and diverse both locally and worldwide (Basset et al. 2012; World Spider Catalog 2019) and they have adapted to a variety of habitats and microhabitats (Malumbres-Olarte et al. 2013a). Because of their key role as invertebrate predators in most terrestrial ecosystems (Marc et al. 1999, Michalko et al. 2018), spiders can be used as indicators of changes in other arthropods and of habitat disturbance (Wise 1993; Moretti et al. 2002; Cardoso et al. 2010; Malumbres-Olarte et al. 2013b). Furthermore, because of their different dispersal abilities – the tendency to balloon varies across species, families and used microhabitat – spiders can be used to model the effects of dispersal on community assembly (Bell et al. 2005; Malumbres-Olarte et al. 2014). In addition, spiders can be grouped into functional guilds relatively easily (Cardoso et al. 2011). Therefore, spiders can provide valuable information for conservation, both for measuring basic diversity levels and monitoring changes throughout time (Malumbres-Olarte et al. 2013b). Moreover, spiders are the only mega- diverse taxon for which we have optimised and standardised sampling protocols (Cardoso 2009; Cardoso et al. 2009, 2016; Malumbres-Olarte et al. 2017), which provide semi-quantitative and comparable data.

 In this study we aim to answer a number of hypotheses on the diversity, composition and endemicity of Iberian forest spider communities and the drivers behind them: 1) Given the past higher climatic stability, spider communities are more species-rich in Southern Iberian forests, located in areas with warmer and drier Mediterranean climates; 2) Community structure – taxonomic and functional – are mainly determined by climatic differences at large scale, by geographic distance at regional scale and by habitat structure (forest type) at the local scale; 3) Community endemicity positively correlates with species richness – dry areas will show greater endemicity, with the endemicity of a given community represented by either the number of specimens belonging to species with the smallest known distribution or by a measure that is weighted by the number of species in the community - this hypothesis is based on the peninsular effect, according to which southern regions contain more endemic spider species because they are farther (or more disconnected) from areas that may be sources of species; and because spiders living in higher microhabitats (higher strata of the vegetation) are more exposed to the wind and, therefore, can balloon more easily, we expect that (4) endemicity is greater for species that inhabit ground microhabitats and are less prone to balloon.

Methods

Study areas

 Within our target region that was the Iberian Peninsula, we selected our sampling areas following management/historic, biogeographic and ecological (habitat) criteria. The sampling plots were: 1) in national parks distributed across the Iberian Peninsula as they host some of the best preserved oak forests; 2) distributed to cover different climatic zones (Oceanic and Mediterranean); and 3) in representative forests dominated by diverse native oak species (*Quercus* spp.) (Table 1, Figure 1).

 The six chosen national parks contained either two or four plots, each of which was in a forest dominated by one of five *Quercus* species: Picos de Europa (*Q. petraea* and *Q. faginea*), Ordesa y

Monte Perdido (*Quercus subpyrenaica* [*Q. Faginea × Q. pubescens*]), Aigüestortes i Estany de Sant

- Maurici (*Q. humilis*), Monfragüe (*Q. faginea*), Cabañeros (*Q. faginea* and *Q. pyrenaica*) and Sierra
- Nevada (*Q. pyrenaica*) (Crespo et al. 2018) (Table 1).
- *Spider sampling, identification and classification*

 In each of the 16 plots we sampled the spider communities using the COBRA 50 sampling protocol for Mediterranean forest (Cardoso 2009). We sampled each plot once between May-June (the time when the spider communities are the most species-rich) in either 2013 or 2014. Briefly, the COBRA sampling protocols are standardised protocols composed of samples of different methods optimally combined to collect as many species as possible for a given amount of effort (Cardoso 2009). The sampling methods used were pitfall trapping, sweep-netting, aerial hand collection and foliage beating. We identified and classified all specimens to species or, when encountering undescribed species, to morphospecies following the latest taxonomic literature and the World Spider Catalogue (Natural History Museum of Bern 2019). In the cases of conflictive or difficult morphological identification we used DNA-barcoding methods to match or distinguish specimens. For a full description of the sampling sites and protocols, and morphological and molecular identification of specimens, see Crespo et al. (2018).

 We classified species and morphospecies into: eight ecological categories following the predatory guilds of the families to which they belong (Cardoso et al. 2011); according to ballooning propensity, into rare, occasional and frequent ballooners (by family as in Carvalho et al. 2014); and into four biogeographic categories – Iberian, Mediterranean, Palearctic and Cosmopolitan – according to their known range (Crespo et al. 2018; Natural History Museum of Bern 2019). In addition, we assigned species values that represent the height of the vegetation or the microhabitat in which they are most common. To obtain these values, first we assigned each individual spider a value that corresponded 158 to the height of the microhabitat in which it was found -0 if it was collected on the ground using pitfall traps (ground microhabitat), 1 if it was collected by sweep-netting (herbaceous microhabitat), 2 if it was collected through aerial hand collecting (very low canopy microhabitat) and 3 if we used foliage beating (low canopy microhabitat) divided by the number of samples per method. Then we calculated the mean value for each species. This microhabitat index must be interpreted with caution

and as an indicator of how close to the ground or to the canopy a given species is present. For instance,

a species equally present in all four strata of the vegetation will have the value of 1.5.

Spatial, environmental and habitat data

 We used principal coordinates of neighbour matrices (PCNM) to identify spatial trends using the R package "spacemakeR" (Dray et al. 2006). This analysis decomposes relationships among points in space (in this case, our study plots) into orthogonal variables representing decreasing spatial scales (Borcard et al. 2004; Dray et al. 2006).

 We extracted climatic and habitat-related data on the Iberian Peninsula from different sources. The climatic data (maximum, minimum and mean annual temperatures, mean annual precipitation, mean annual radiation) came from an Iberian database (Ninyerola et al. 2005) whereas the habitat-related information (EVI [Enhanced Vegetation Index]) originated from terrestrial MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) satellite data at the spatial resolution of 250 m x 250 m (Lafage et al. 2014; Didan 2015). The EVI is a measure of plant density and compared to NDVI (Normalised Difference Vegetation Index), is corrected for the ground beneath and the air particles above the vegetation. In order to investigate the patterns within the communities, we partitioned the forest habitat into four microhabitats, according to the strata or height of the vegetation: ground, herbacious, very low canopy and low canopy microhabitats.

Data analyses

Species richness across regions

 We assessed the efficiency of the sampling by calculating the sampling completeness based on the Chao 1 species estimator (Scharff et al. 2003; Magurran and McGill 2011), and evaluated the biodiversity of the studied spider communities calculating the total number of individuals and juveniles, the observed, estimated and rarefied (999 permutations) number of species, and the number of specimens and species belonging to different predatory guilds and in different biogeographic categories.

 After a test for autocorrelation (potentially caused by geographic proximity) resulted negative (no autocorrelation), we built generalised linear models with quasipoisson errors to determine the potential climatic and habitat-related factors behind the (rarefied and rounded) species richness of the studied communities. We tested the effects of the five climatic variables, the two habitat-related variables (forest type, represented by the dominant tree species, and EVI) and geographic distance from the southern tip of the Iberian Peninsula through forward variable selection keeping a maximum of three explanatory variables. We limited the number of variables because the total number of plots was not large enough for models with more variables – more variables may have led to over-fitting. 196 As the three temperature variables were highly correlated with each other $(0.99 \lt)$, we only kept maximum temperature (the variable that showed the strongest effect) in the models. We chose and used only the models whose residual plots and the goodness of fit were the adequate and showed no signs of multicollinearity, and applied Tukey tests when including factorial variables.

Taxonomic and functional changes across scales

 To assess the similarity in the taxonomic composition and relative abundance of species between spider communities we created ordinations through non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) (McCune and Grace 2002) based on dissimilarity matrices of presence/absence (Sørensen index) and relative abundance (Legendre and Legendre 1998) (Steinhaus index). Previous to this analysis (and the following matrix-based analyses) we applied the Hellinger transformation to the data to give lower weights to rare species (Legendre and Gallagher 2001).

 We quantified the variation in the composition of spider communities explained by spatial, climatic and habitat variables, as well as their combined effects, through variation partitioning (Borcard et al. 1992). To select the variables to be included in the variation partitioning, we ran a forward and backward selection in three distance-based redundancy analysis models (dbRDA) (Legendre and Anderson 1999) (*p*< 0.05, 999 permutations), each for the spatial (the seven obtained PCNM eigenvectors), climatic (temperature, rainfall and radiation) and habitat (forest type and EVI) -related 213 factors. The variation partitioning was based on multiple partial dbRDAs and used adjusted R^2 , as

unadjusted values have been suggested to be biased (Peres-Neto et al. 2006).

 Through a set of additive spatial scale-specific models, we assessed the separate and combined contributions of the variations in climate and habitat on community structure (species abundances) at 217 different spatial scales (Laliberté et al. 2009). With this purpose, we constructed a set of RDAs, each of which fitted the species abundance matrix against one of the previously selected PCNM eigenvectors (four eigenvectors were selected out of the total of seven). Then, at each scale, we used the fitted values in a variation partitioning, where we included the climatic and habitat-related variables. This allowed us to know the relative importance of each variable at different spatial scales. We tested for differences in the functional structure across communities by applying a null model approach to the data on the dominance of each predatory guild. With this purpose, we computed null models (1000 simulations) varying the number of individuals per guild and per community while maintaining the total number of individuals, and calculated their percentages. We then compared the observed values with the values of the null model and considered the values above the top and below the bottom 5% percentiles as being significantly different.

228 Community and species endemicity

 We assessed the level of endemicity of each community by taking two approaches: one that considers species relative abundance and another that uses species presence and absence. For the former, we calculated the percentages of the specimens that belonged to the different biogeographic categories in each plot, whereas for the latter we used a diversity-corrected version of a range or inverse weighted endemicity (DCWE) index (Huang et al. 2012; Linder 2014). The range-weighted endemicity index is calculated by summing the endemicity value of each species present in a community, where the endemicity value of a species equals the inverse of its range size (Linder 2014). Since data on the exact range sizes do not exist for most species, we assigned relative endemicity values to the species – values of 1, 1/2, 1/3 and 1/4 to species with Iberian, Mediterranean, Paleartic and Cosmopolitan distributions, respectively. Because the number of species in each community varied, we corrected the range-weighted endemicity index by dividing it by the number of species. To estimate the 240 probability of the corrected DCWE values to occur, we created three sets of null models of each community, at the scales of: i) the Iberian Peninsula; ii) the Oceanic and Mediterranean climatic regions; and iii) geographic regions (national parks). Each of the three sets of models represented a different spatial scale, at which we expected to detect the effects of different processes behind community assembly – environmental filtering and dispersal, long-distance dispersal and short- distance dispersal, respectively. We built each set of null models using a different species pool for each community: species collected in all plots, species collected in all the plots of of the same climatic region and species collected in all the plots of the same national park. We included the plots in the national parks of Picos de Europa, Ordesa and Aigüestortes in the Oceanic climatic region, and the plots in Cabañeros, Monfragüe and Sierra Nevada in the Mediterranean climatic region. These null models were based on 1000 simulated communities generated by randomising the number of species belonging to each biogeographic category while keeping the number of species. In each iteration, a corrected DCWE value was calculated from the newly generated community. We then compared the results to the observed values.

 We ran a Pearson's Correlation test to test for the correlation between (rarefied) species richness and endemicity (DCWE). To investigate the potential effects of climatic and habitat-related factors on the level of endemicity of the communities, we built generalised linear models with the number of Iberian species (with Poisson error distribution as there was very little over-dispersion of residuals) and the corrected DCWE per plot as response variables, using the same approach as with the species richness models.

Endemicity and ballooning

 As for species-level analyses, we first calculated the level of endemicity of each spider family by applying the formula of DCWE to family (instead of plot) and assigning the same values of relative endemicity to the species. We then tested whether the tendency to balloon (based on family data) and the microhabitat height of each species had an effect on its level of endemicity through proportional odd (cumulative) mixed-effects models family of the species as the random variable via the Laplace approximation.

 We conducted all data manipulation and analyses using R3.6.2. (R Development Core Team 2019) and a number of packages, including "BAT" (Cardoso et al. 2015), "lme4" (Bates et al. 2015) and "vegan" (Oksanen et al. 2018).

Results

Species richness across regions

 Our sampling yielded a total of 20,551 specimens, of which 8,533 were adults (42%) belonging to 273 375 species or morphospecies. These results differ slightly from those of Crespo et al. (2018) because here we report only the results obtained from applying the sampling protocol COBRA (24 samples/ plot, 16 plots), whereas Crespo et al. (2018) also reported species collected in additional ground sampling. Sampling completeness across plots ranged between 56-82% (Table 1), common values when applying standardised and optimised sampling protocols such as COBRA (Cardoso 2009).

 Both the observed and rarefied values of the number of species indicated that the most species-rich spider communities were those from *Q. faginea* forests in Picos de Europa, from *Q. subpyrenaica* forests in Ordesa and from the *Q. faginea* forest communities in Cabañeros (Table 1, Fig. 2). At the lower end were the communities from Monfragüe and the *Q*. *petraea* forests in Picos de Europa. Our selected regression model included forest type, annual average rainfall and annual maximum temperature as explanatory variables. According to this model, the rarefied number of species changed with the forest type, with *Q. petraea*, *Q. pubescens* and *Q. pyrenaica* forests containing 285 significantly fewer species than *Q. faginea* ($z = 4.535$, $p < 0.001$; $z = 5.132$, $p < 0.001$; $z = 5.359$, $p <$ 0.001, respectively) and *Q. subpyrenaica* forests (*z* = 3.078, *p* < 0.05; *z* = 4.598, *p* < 0.001; *z* = 3.374,

287 $p < 0.01$, respectively). Although weakly, the rarefied number of species decreased with both annual 288 average rainfall $(b = -0.165, t = -4.3, p < 0.01)$ and maximum temperature $(b = -0.203, t = -5.31, p$ 289 ≤ 0.001 (Fig. 3).

Taxonomic and functional changes across scales

 Both NMDS on the Sørensen and Steinhaus similarity indices showed similar results, according to which communities from the same park were more similar to each other than to communities elsewhere in all but one national park – Ordesa. Communities were separated along the first axis following a northern (Picos de Europa, Ordesa y Aigüestortes) vs. southern (Sierra Nevada, Cabañeros and Monfragüe) pattern (Fig. 4).

 The variables selected through the three dbRDA were the large to medium-scale eigenvectors PCNM1, PCNM2, PCNM4 and PCNM5 as geographic variables, temperature and rainfall as climatic variables, and forest type and EVI as habitat-related variables. The variables that explained the largest portions of the variation in community composition and species relative abundance were geographic (15%) and habitat-related (12%) variables, and the combined effects of geographic and climatic variables (20%) (Fig. 5a). Climate alone only explained 4% of the community variation. As for the three partial dbRDAs, geographic variables explained similar proportions of the variation (rainfall 14% and mean annual temperature 11%), and so did habitat-related variables (forest type 20% and EVI 16%). In the partial dbRDA with geographic variables, eigenvectors PCNM1, PCNM2, PCNM4 and PCNM5 explained 11%, 19%, 8% and 5%, respectively.

 The four spatial scale-specific models suggested that climate (which included temperature and mean annual rainfall), forest type and EVI have different levels of importance at different geographic scales. In the model of PCNM1 (which represented the largest spatial scale) forest type explained most of 309 the variation in spider communities $-43%$ by the variable alone and 61% by its combination with climate (Fig. 5b). In the PCNM2 model the combined effects of all three variables explained 42%

 whereas in the PCNM4 model climate alone explained 38%, EVI 21% and forest type 17%. Forest type explained, by far, the largest portion of variation (63%) in the PCNM5 model (Carvalho et al. 2011b).

 Regarding the functional or predatory guild structure, the general pattern was a similarity in percentages of species belonging to each guild across most communities (Fig. 6). Specialists, however, appeared to be more abundant in southern forests and, according to the null models, were significantly less abundant than expected by chance in the community O1 from Ordesa (*p* < 0.05) and significantly more in the community M1 from Monfragüe (*p* < 0.01). Likewise, there were fewer sheet web weavers in the community O1 (Ordesa, *p* < 0.05) and more in the community M2 320 (Mofragüe, $p < 0.01$). Also, there were proportionally more ambush hunters in M1 (Monfragüe, $p <$ 321 0.05) and more species classified as other hunters in O1 ($p < 0.05$).

Community and species endemicity

 Most of the spider communities that we studied were dominated by Palearctic species, although in the northern parks this pattern was overwhelming whereas in the communities of Cabañeros and Sierra Nevada it was less so (Fig. 7a). In the latter, Mediterranean and Iberian species had a much larger presence, the extreme being Monfragüe, where Mediterranean and Iberian species were dominant. There was a general pattern in the percentage of species of the different biogeographic categories in each microhabitat (Fig. 7b): On the ground, there were proportionally fewer Palearctic species and more Iberian species. The results of the endemicity analysis followed species dominance patterns at the Iberian scale: the eight northern communities had significantly lower values of corrected DCWE than expected by chance while the two Monfragüe communities had significantly greater values (Fig. 8a). At the climatic region scale, only lower than expected endemicity values surfaced, in communities from *Q. faginea* forests in Picos de Europa and Cabañeros, and from a *Q. pyrenaica* community in Cabañeros (Fig. 8b). At the regional scale, only the community from S2 335 (Sierra Nevada) showed endemicity values lower than expected by chance $(p < 0.01)$.

 The families represented by one Iberian species – Leptonetidae, Mysmenidae, Nemesiidae, Oecobiidae and Pimoidae – and, therefore with 100% of Iberian species had the highest level of endemicity (Table 2). Among the families with more than four species, the families with the greatest level of endemicity were Oonopidae (most of which were undescribed morphospecies), Dysderidae (half of them were morphospecies), Zodariidae (half of them Iberian species), Sparassidae (half of them Iberian species) and Phrurolithidae (two Iberian, one Mediterranean and four Paleartic species). At the bottom of the list were Clubionidae, Araneidae, Miturgidae, Tetragnathidae and Hahniidae.

 There was no significant correlation between DCWE and the rarefied species richness (estimate = - 344 0.09, $t = -0.36$, $df = 14$, $p = 0.72$) but the former was positively correlated with both percentage of 345 specialist individuals (estimate = 0.75 , $t = 4.22$, $df = 14$, $p < 0.01$) and percentage of species (estimate 346 = 0.92, $t = 8.8$, $df = 14$, $p < 0.01$) present in each plot. The annual average rainfall had a significant 347 negative effect on the number of Iberian spider species $(b = -0.002, z = -4.98, p < 0.001)$ as well as 348 on the level of community endemicity $(b = -9.7, t = -3.12, p < 0.01)$, whereas the maximum annual 349 temperature had a significant positive effect on the community endemicity ($b = 0.01$, $t = 3.42$, $p <$ 0.01) (Fig. 9).

Endemicity and ballooning

 As for species endemicity, we found a negative effect of the tendency to ballooning on the level of 353 species endemicity (estimate $= -1.15$, $z = -2.75$, $p < 0.001$) with an increasing estimate of the transition from one biogeographic category to the next (Cosmopolitan | Palearctic: −6.376 [*p* < 0.001]; Palearctic | Mediterranean: −1.27 [*p* < 0.05]; Mediterranean | Iberian: 0.21 [*p* = 0.74]). However, microhabitat height did not have any effects (*p >* 0.05) on endemicity.

Discussion

 The processes behind spider species assembly in Iberian forests appear to be as complex as the biotic and abiotic composition of the Iberian Peninsula. Here we show that climatic, geographic and habitatrelated factors interact in various ways to exert differing effects on features such as species richness,

- taxonomic and functional structure and endemicity.
- *Species richness across regions*

 The species richness of the spider communities that we studied appear to be determined by both the geographic region where the community is (and its climatic conditions) and the forest type (tree *Quercus* species) that they inhabit. In the line of other authors (Carvalho et al. 2011a), we detected signs of the effects of climate on species richness and some indication of the so-called "peninsular effect", a hypothesis based on the idea that a decrease in the colonisation rates at the end of a peninsula leads to a decrease in the number of species (Simpson 1964). The commonly discussed and observed increase in the number of species as latitude decreases may anyway depend on the spatial scale. Indeed, this may be the case of spiders, which have been found to be affected by climate at both European and Iberian scales (Finch et al. 2008; Ysnel et al. 2008; Carvalho et al. 2012) but less so at small scales, where the relevance of climate may be substituted by factors such as the spatial distribution of microclimatic conditions or habitat structure (represented by forest type). This may be the case in our study, where the number of species can be better explained by forest type and climatic similarity between plots.

Taxonomic and functional changes across scales

 The heterogeneity of climate and habitat structure vary in space, and as a consequence, so do their effects across geographic scales. Overall, the Iberian spider communities that we studied may be determined similarly by the physical and biologic characteristics of the plots that they inhabit. The idea that geography is a key driver behind the species assembly is illustrated by the large portion of variation in communities that it explained (Fig. 5a) and the ordination analysis (NMDS, Fig. 4), where the similarities in species composition between communities occurred at two scales: at the peninsular scale where communities were separated into a northern group and a southern group, and at the regional scale, where communities in the same national park (region) were clumped together.

 Habitat structure is known to be determinant in spider community assembly (Greenstone 1984; Dennis et al. 2001; Jiménez-Valvelde et al. 2007; Malumbres-Olarte et al. 2013B, 2018; Kaltsas et al. 2019), and here it is so to the point that forest type explains (alone and in combination with climate) almost all the variation in spider communities at the largest spatial scale, according to our scale- explicit spatial model. Moreover, this variable explained close to 70% of the variation at small scales (PCNM5).

 Climate may be a key driver of the assembly of spider communities at continental and Iberian scales (Finch et al. 2008; Carvalho et al. 2011a, b) but, contrary to our expectations, it had a smaller effect on the structure of the communities that we studied. Mean annual temperature and rainfall may have a substantial influence on taxonomic community structure at the regional scale (Fig. 5b). If this scale corresponds to distances at which climate changes significantly between plots, climatic filtering may restrict the distribution and survival of the species adapted to temperate climate in the south and the competitive abilities of Mediterranean species in the cooler north of the Iberian Peninsula (Carvalho et al. 2011a).

 Although the percentages of the species belonging to different predatory guilds are similar across communities, specialist species were more dominant in the southern communities than in the northern ones. This finding leads to interesting hypotheses on whether it is due to greater diversity of niches or niche packing (see further discussion below), available food resources – greater diversity of prey – as seen in communities of other taxa (Dalsgaard et al. 2017) or greater abundances of the prey species on which spider species are specialised (Líznarová et al. 2013).

Community and species endemicity

 The biogeographic composition of spider communities (the percentage of species belonging to the four biogeographic categories) show visible geographic patterns, at both community and microhabitat levels. Northern Iberian communities are overwhelmingly dominated by species with Paleartic distribution (> 80 %) whereas in the Southern communities their percentages decrease, dropping to < 30 % in the two Monfragüe communities – whose low levels of endemicity and sampling completeness may lead to hypotheses about the possible connections between these two measures. The north vs. south patterns in community endemicity were further supported by the finding that all northern communities had lower weighted corrected endemicity values than expected by chance. These patterns are likely to be the result of complex interactions between past migrations, ongoing selective pressures and speciation, all conditioned by the ecological traits of spider species.

 During the Quaternary glacial maxima, many warm-adapted species reduced their ranges and retreated into refugia in regions that were climatically stable during glacial periods (Stewart and Lister 2001; Schmitt and Varga 2012; Abellán and Svenning 2014). These refugia could have played two roles that could explain the dominance of Palearctic species in northern regions and the abundance of endemic species in southern Iberia (García-Vázquez et al. 2017). On one side, refugia in Southern Iberia could have acted as sources of colonists after the glacial maxima, through which species previously adapted to cool temperate conditions may have expanded northward (and in some cases, re-colonised) tracking postglacial climate warming (Hewitt 2000). On the other, the confinement and isolation in Southern refugia could have promoted the speciation of certain taxa that would not be able to compete (through environmental filtering) and/or disperse as well as the colonisers in the north (Bilton et al. 1998). Indeed, the positive relationship between temperature and community endemicity, and the negative relationship between the rainfall and both endemicity and number of Iberian species are aligned with this idea. Again, the patterns that we found may also indicate a peninsular effect, with more endemic species in the southern regions of the Iberian Peninsula caused by the greater distances from the most likely and main source of species (i.e. rest of Western Europe). As hypothesised, we found no spatial congruence between the response of species richness and community endemicity to environmental factors. Although both metrics responded negatively to rainfall, species richness decreased with temperature while community endemicity increased. Our findings about the effects of rainfall do not concur with previous claims that communities (including spiders) may be more species-rich under mesic conditions (those with well-balanced moisture supplies) due to greater resource availability (Bolger et al. 2008; Entling el al. 2007). If that was the case, we would have observed an increase in the number of species from dry to wet plots. As for endemicity, the higher levels found in drier areas could be explained by either the peninsular effect or a greater stochasticity in water availability, caused by selective pressure for specialised adaptations (Griffin 1998; Simmons et al. 1998; Dewar and Richard 2007). One may argue that our results indicate that it is temperature, rather than humidity, what limits species ranges and, ultimately, determines how many and which species overlap in any given community. However, it is also possible that species adapted to mesic conditions – many of them of Palearctic distribution, and therefore of larger ranges and less endemic – are more likely to overlap, and therefore may form more speciose communities in the colder regions of Iberia. Phylogenetic and functional data may help identify the best explanation for the patterns found.

 Resource availability, in interaction with climate, may also play a part in setting the endemicity of spider communities. Here we define specialist species as trophic specialists, which require time to find their prey and are often of limited dispersal ability. Given that specialisation is a trait that may need time to develop, we could expect to find more specialist species in regions with climatic stability throughout time, such as those in southern Iberia. This finding opens the door to using some of the specialist spider species that we identified here as indicators of the conservation value of the natural areas or national parks where we collected them.

Endemicity and ballooning

 Dispersal ability, a factor connected to colonisation, seems to play a role in the assembly and biogeographic characteristics of Iberian spider communities. Three indicators suggest the effect of dispersal ability of spiders on communities. First, the resemblance of Sierra Nevada communities to the other southern communities despite the fact that climatically they are closer to northern areas. It is likely that the inability of northern species with Palearctic distribution to reach climatically suitable

 but remote areas is the cause of their lower numbers in this national park. Second, the larger abundance of Iberian species in southern communities, especially in forest ground communities, which generally disperse less than the species inhabiting herbaceous and canopy vegetation. Most of these species may be adapted to Mediterranean conditions and are likely to be restricted to Iberia due to their limited ability to disperse beyond the mountain ranges and the coastline that delimits the peninsula. And third, the fact that, across all families, species are more likely to be Iberian when their tendency to balloon is lower.

 Based on our results, we cannot validate our hypothesis that species have more restricted ranges (higher endemicity) if they dwell microhabitats closer to the ground. Although species ranges may be more dependent on general climatic conditions than microhabitat requirements, it is also possible that proving our hypothesis may require more detailed quantitative data about the species ranges, which is currently far from being available.

Conclusions

 The Mediterranean basin, as a biogeographic region, is indeed a hotspot of species endemicity and richness, being home to the bulk of European species (Cowling et al. 1996; Blondel and Aronson 1999; Myers et al. 2000; Rund et al. 2019; Underwood et al. 2009). Conservation management plans and actions often aim to maximise both species richness and endemicity but the weight of each criterion to follow may vary depending on the geographic scale at which decision-making authorities act. In the case of the Spanish National Parks network, and based on our results, maximising the conservation of the Iberian biodiversity may require prioritising the protection and, when possible, expansion of areas with greater numbers and proportions of Iberian or Mediterranean species – such as Monfragüe, Cabañeros and Sierra Nevada.

 Efficient and effective management of biodiversity will not only require more information on endemicity patterns but also a greater understanding of the driving processes at different spatial scales, both of which depend on further and more extensive sampling and data collection. In the Mediterranean context, this may involve using multi-scale climatic data as well as local-scale features, such as land use surrounding the parks (Bonache et al. 2016; Hewitt et al. 2016). Furthermore, these data, if combined with phylogenetic and functional information, may allow to infer past and present community dynamics and predict future changes – such as those caused by climate change (Ohlemüller et al. 2008) – on all present species in general, and endemic ones in particular.

Acknowledgements

 This work would not have been possible without the priceless help of all the people that participated in collecting and sorting the samples, namely Nuria Macías, Eva de Mas, Paola Mazzuca, Elisa Mora, Vera Opatova Enric Planas, Marcos Roca-Cusachs, Dolores Ruiz, Pedro Sousa and Vanina Tonzo. We also want to acknowledge the park directors and responsables Miguel Menéndez de la Hoz (Picos de Europa), Elena Villagrasa (Ordesa), Maria Merced Aniz Montes (Aigüestortes), Angel Rodriguez Martin (Monfragüe), Angel Gómez Manzaneque (Cabañeros), Blanca Ramos Losada (Sierra Nevada) for issuing the permits and providing logistic support for conducting fieldwork in their respective parks. We would like to further acknowledge all the park rangers that help us locating and helping us to set up the plots. We are grateful to AEMET (Agencia Estatal de Meteorología, Spain) for providing climatic data for the Iberian Peninsula. We also thank the University of Barcelona for supporting the contribution of M.D. through the APIF PhD fellowship. This research was supported by The Spanish Autonomous Organization of National Parks (Ministry of Agriculture, Alimentation and Environment) grant 495/2012 "Reconciling semi-quantitative bioinventoring with DNA barcoding to infer diversity and biogeographical patterns in the Spanish National Parks network" to MAA.

References

Abellán P, Svenning JC (2014) Refugia within refugia - patterns in endemism and genetic

divergence are linked to Late Quaternary climate stability in the Iberian Peninsula. Biol J Linn

- Soc 113:13–28. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12309
- Acácio V, Holmgren M, Rego F, et al (2009) Are drought and wildfires turning Mediterranean cork oak forests into persistent shrublands? Agrofor Syst 76:389–400.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-008-9165-y
- Araújo MB, Lobo JM, Moreno JC (2007) The effectiveness of Iberian protected areas in conserving
- terrestrial biodiversity. Conserv Biol 21:1423–1432. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-
- 1739.2007.00827.x
- Barnard P, Brown CJ, Jarvis AM, et al (1998) Extending the Namibian protected area network to
- safeguard hotspots of endemism and diversity. Biodivers Conserv 7:531–547.
- https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008831829574
- Basset Y, Cizek L, Cuénoud P, et al (2012) Arthropod diversity in a tropical forest. Science
- 338:1481–1484. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1226727
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using
- {lme4}. J Stat Softw 67:1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- Bell JR, Bohan DA, Shaw EM, Weyman GS (2005) Ballooning dispersal using silk: world fauna,
- phylogenies, genetics and models. Bull Entomol Res 95:69–114
- Bilton DT, Mirol PM, Mascheretti S, et al (1998) Mediterranean Europe as an area of endemism for
- small mammals rather than a source for northwards postglacial colonization. Proc R Soc B
- Biol Sci 265:1219–1226. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1998.0423
- Blondel J, Aronson J (1999) Biology and wildlife of theMediterranean region. Oxford University
- Press, Oxford
- Blondel J, Aronson J, Bodiou J, et al (2010) The Mediterranean region: Biological diversity in
- space and time. Oxford University Press, Oxford; New York
- Bolger DT, Beard KH, Suarez AV, Case TJ (2008) Increased abundance of native and non-native
- spiders with habitat fragmentation. Divers Distrib, 14:655–665.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2008.00470.x
- Bonache J, De Mingo-Sancho G, Serrada J, et al (2016) El seguimiento y la evaluación a largo plazo en la Red española de Parques Nacionales. Ecosistemas 25:31–48.

https://doi.org/10.7818/ECOS.2016.25-1.05

- Borcard D, Legendre P, Avois-Jacquet C, Tuomisto H (2004) Dissecting the spatial structure of
- ecological data at multiple scales. Ecology 85:1826–1832. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-3111
- Borcard D, Legendre P, Drapeau P (1992) Partialling out the Spatial Component of Ecological Variation. Ecology 73:1045–1055
- Brooks TM, Mittermeier RA, da Fonseca GAB, et al (2009) Global biodiversity conservation
- priorities. Science 313:58–61. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127609
- Cardoso P (2009) Standardization and optimization of arthropod inventories the case of Iberian spiders. Biodivers Conserv 18:3949–3962. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-009-9690-7
- Cardoso P, Arnedo MA, Triantis KA, Borges PA V. (2010) Drivers of diversity in Macaronesian
- spiders and the role of species extinctions. J Biogeogr 37:1034–1046.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02264.x
- Cardoso P, Carvalho JC, Crespo LC, Arnedo MA (2016) Optimal inventorying and monitoring of
- taxon, phylogenetic and functional diversity. Biorxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/060400
- https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/060400
- Cardoso P, Crespo LC, Carvalho R, et al (2009) Ad-hoc vs. standardized and optimized arthropod diversity sampling. Diversity 1:36–51. https://doi.org/10.3390/d1010036
- Cardoso P, Pekár S, Jocqué R, Coddington JA (2011) Global patterns of guild composition and functional diversity of spiders. PLoS One 6:e21710.
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021710
- Cardoso P, Rigal F, Carvalho JC (2015) BAT Biodiversity Assessment Tools, an R package for
- the measurement and estimation of alpha and beta taxon, phylogenetic and functional diversity.
- Methods Ecol Evol 6:232–236. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12310
- Carvalho JC, Cardoso P, Crespo LC, et al (2011a) Biogeographic patterns of spiders in coastal dunes along a gradient of mediterraneity. Biodivers Conserv 20:873–894.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-011-0001-8>

- Carvalho JC, Cardoso P, Crespo LC, et al (2011b) Determinants of beta diversity of spiders in
- coastal dunes along a gradient of mediterraneity. Divers Distrib 17:225–234.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00731.x>

- Carvalho JC, Cardoso P, Crespo LC, et al (2012) Determinants of spider species richness in coastal
- dunes along a gradient of mediterraneity. Insect Conserv Divers 5:127–137.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4598.2011.00139.x>

- Carvalho JC, Cardoso P (2014) Drivers of beta diversity in Macaronesian spiders in relation to
- dispersal ability. J Biogeogr, 41:1859–1870. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12348
- Catry FX, Moreira F, Duarte I, Acácio V (2009) Factors affecting post-fire crown regeneration in
- cork oak (Quercus suber L.) trees. Eur J For Res 128:231–240. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342- 009-0259-5
- Céréghino R, Oertli B, Bazzanti M, et al (2012) Biological traits of European pond
- macroinvertebrates. Hydrobiologia 689:51–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-011-0744-y
- Condit R, Pitman N, Leigh EG, et al (2002) Beta-diversity in tropical forest trees. Science 295:666–
- 9. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1066854
- Cowling RM, Potts AJ, Bradshaw PL, et al (2015) Variation in plant diversity in mediterranean-
- climate ecosystems: The role of climatic and topographical stability. J Biogeogr 42:552–564.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12429
- Cowling RM, Rundel PW, Lamont BB, et al (1996) Plant diversity in mediterranean-climate
- regions. Trends Ecol Evol 11:362–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(96)10044-6
- Crespo L, Domènech M, Enguídanos A, et al (2018) A DNA barcode-assisted annotated checklist
- of the spider (Arachnida, Araneae) communities associated to white oak woodlands in Spanish
- National Parks. Biodivers Data J 6:e29443. https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.6.e29443
- Dalsgaard B, Schleuning M, Maruyama PK, et al (2017) Opposed latitudinal patterns of network-
- derived and dietary specialization in avian plant–frugivore interaction systems. Ecography
- 40:1395–1401. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.02604
- Dennis P, Young MR, Bentley C (2001) The effects of varied grazing management on epigeal
- spiders, harvestmen and pseudoscorpions of *Nardus stricta* grassland in upland Scotland.
- Agric Ecosyst Environ 86:39–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(00)00263-2
- Dewar RE, Richard AF (2007) Evolution in the hypervariable environment of Madagascar. Proc
- Natl Acad Sci 104:13723–13727. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704346104
- Dray S, Legendre P, Peres-Neto PR (2006) Spatial modelling: a comprehensive framework for
- principal coordinate analysis of neighbour matrices (PCNM). Ecol Modell 196:483–493.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.02.015
- Emerson BC, Kolm N (2005) Species diversity can drive speciation. Nature 434:1015–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03450>
- Entling W, Schmidt MH, Bacher S, Brandl R, Nentwig W (2007) Niche properties of Central
- European spiders: Shading, moisture and the evolution of the habitat niche. Glob Ecol
- Biogeogr 16:440–448. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2006.00305.x
- Fancy SG, Gross JE, Carter SL (2009) Monitoring the condition of natural resources in US national
- parks. Environ Monit Assess 151:161–174. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-008-0257-y
- Fattorini S, Ulrich W (2012) Drivers of species richness in European Tenebrionidae (Coleoptera).
- Acta Oecologica 43:22–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2012.05.003
- Finch OD, Blick T, Schuldt A (2008) Macroecological patterns of spider species richness across Europe. Biodivers Conserv 17:2849–2868. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9400-x
-
- García-Vázquez D, Bilton DT, Foster GN, Ribera I (2017) Pleistocene range shifts, refugia and the
- origin of widespread species in western Palaearctic water beetles. Mol Phylogenet Evol
- 114:122–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2017.06.007
- Garrido-Benavent I, Llop E, Gómez-Bolea A (2015) The effect of agriculture management and fire
- on epiphytic lichens on holm oak trees in the eastern Iberian Peninsula. Lichenol 47:59–68.
- <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002428291400053X>
- Gillespie RG, Benjamin SP, Brewer MS, Rivera MAJ, Roderick GK (2018) Repeated
- Diversification of Ecomorphs in Hawaiian Stick Spiders. Curr Biol 28:941-947.e3.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.083
- Givnish TJ, Millam KC, Mast AR, et al (2009) Origin, adaptive radiation and diversification of the
- Hawaiian lobeliads (Asterales: Campanulaceae). Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 276:407–416.
- https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1204
- Greenstone MH (1984) Determinants of web spider species-diversity vegetation structural
- diversity vs prey availability. Oecologia 62:299–304. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00384260
- Griffin RE (1998) Species richness and biogeography of on-acarine arachnids in Namibia.
- Biodivers Conserv 7:467–481
- Grinnell J (1917) The niche-relationships of the California thrasher. Auk 34:427–433.
- https://doi.org/10.2307/4072271
- Hewitt G (2000) The genetic legacy of the quaternary ice ages. Nature 405:907–913.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/35016000
- Hewitt R, Pera F, Escobar F (2016) Modelización de las dinámicas de los usos del suelo en la Red
- de Parques Nacionales Españoles y su entorno. Cuad Geográficos 55:46–84
- Huang J, Chen B, Liu C, et al (2012) Identifying hotspots of endemic woody seed plant diversity in
- China. Divers Distrib 18:673–688. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00845.x
- Hughes TP, Bellwood DR, Connolly SR (2002) Biodiversity hotspots, centres of endemicity, and
- the conservation of coral reefs. Ecol Lett 5:775–784. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-
- 0248.2002.00383.x
- Irl SDH, Harter DEV, Steinbauer MJ, et al (2015) Climate vs. topography spatial patterns of plant species diversity and endemism on a high-elevation island. J Ecol 103:1621–1633.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12463>

- Jiménez-Valverde A, Lobo JM (2007) Determinants of local spider (Araneidae and Thomisidae)
- species richness on a regio- nal scale: climate and altitude vs. habitat structure. Ecol Entomol,

32:113–122.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2006.00848.x>

- Kaltsas D, Panayiotou E, Kougioumoutzis K, & Chatzaki M (2019) Overgrazed shrublands support
- high taxonomic, functional and temporal diversity of Mediterranean ground spider
- assemblages. Ecol Indic 103(April):599–609. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.04.024
- Keeley J, Fotheringham C, Anonymous (2003) Species-area relationships in Mediterranean climate
- plant communities. J Biogeogr 30:1629–1657
- Kier G, Kreft H, Lee TM, et al (2009) A global assessment of endemism and species richness across
- island and mainland regions. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106:9322–9327.
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810306106
- Kremen C, Colwell RK, Erwin TL, et al (1993) Terrestrial arthropod assemblages: Their use in
- conservation planning. Conserv Biol 7:796–808
- Ladin ZS, Higgins CD, Schmit JP, et al (2016) Using regional bird community dynamics to

evaluate ecological integrity within national parks. Ecosphere 7:.

- <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1464>
- Lafage D, Secondi J, Georges A, Bouzillé JB, Pétillon J (2014) Satellite-derived vegetation indices
- as surrogate of species richness and abundance of ground beetles in temperate floodplains.
- Insect Conserv Divers 7:327–333. https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12056
- Laliberté E, Paquette A, Legendre P, Bouchard A (2009) Assessing the scale-specific importance of
- niches and other spatial processes on beta diversity: A case study from a temperate forest.
- Oecologia 159:377–388. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1214-8
- Legendre P, Anderson MJ (1999) Distance-based redundancy analysis: Testing multispecies responses in multifactorial ecological experiments. Ecol Monogr 69:1–24
- Legendre P, Gallagher ED (2001) Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species
- data. Oecologia 129:271–280
- Legendre P, Legendre L (1998) Numerical ecology. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Linder HP (2014) Plant diversity and endemism in sub-Saharan tropical Africa. J Biogeogr 28:169–
- 182. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2001.00527.x
- Líznarová E, Sentenská L, Fernando L, et al (2013) Local trophic specialisation in a cosmopolitan
- spider (Araneae). Zoology 116:20–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2012.06.002
- Magurran AE, McGill BJ (eds) (2011) Biological diversity: frontiers in measurement and
- assessment. Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York
- Malumbres-Olarte J, Barratt BIP, Vink CJ, et al (2013a) Habitat specificity, Dispersal and burning
- season: Recovery indicators in New Zealand native grassland communities. Biol Conserv
- 160:140–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.01.004
- Malumbres-Olarte J, Barratt BIP, Vink CJ, et al (2014) Big and aerial invaders: dominance of
- exotic spiders in burned New Zealand tussock grasslands. Biol Invasions.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-014-0666-5
- Malumbres-Olarte J, Crespo L, Cardoso P, et al (2018) The same but different: equally megadiverse
- but taxonomically variant spider communities along an elevational gradient. Acta Oecologica
- 88:19–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2018.02.012
- Malumbres-Olarte J, Scharff N, Pape T, et al (2017) Gauging megadiversity with optimized and
- standardized sampling protocols: a case for tropical forest spiders. Ecol Evol 7:494–506.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2626
- Malumbres-Olarte J, Vink CJ, Ross JG, et al (2013b) The role of habitat complexity on spider

communities in native alpine grasslands of New Zealand. Insect Conserv Divers 6:124–134.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4598.2012.00195.x

- Marc P, Canard A, Ysnel F (1999) Spiders (Araneae) useful for pest limitation and bioindication. Agric Ecosyst Environ 74:229–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(99)00038-9
- McCune B, Grace JB (2002) Analysis of ecological communities. MjM Software Design, Gleneden Beach, Oregon
- Medail F, Quezel P (1997) Hot-Spots Analysis for Conservation of Plant Biodiversity in the
- Mediterranean Basin. Ann Missouri Bot Gard 84:112. https://doi.org/10.2307/2399957

Merino A, López L, Hermida L, et al (2015) Identification of drought phases in a 110-year record

from Western Mediterranean basin: Trends, anomalies and periodicity analysis for Iberian

- Peninsula. Glob Planet Change 133:96–108.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.08.007>
- Michalko R, Pekár S, Entling MH (2019) An updated perspective on spiders as generalist predators in biological control. Oecologia, 189:21–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-4313-1
- Moretti M, Conedera M, Duelli P, Edwards PJ (2002) The effects of wildfire on ground-active
- spiders in deciduous forests on the Swiss southern slope of the Alps. J Appl Ecol 39:321–336.
- https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2002.00701.x
- Morillo C, Gómez-Campo C (2000) Conservation in Spain, 1980-2000. Biol Conserv 95:165–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00031-8
- Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, et al (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403:853–858. https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501
- Natalini F, Alejano R, Vázquez-Piqué J, et al (2016) Spatiotemporal variability of stone pine (*Pinus*
- *pinea* L.) growth response to climate across the Iberian Peninsula. Dendrochronologia 40:72–
- 84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2016.07.001
- Ninyerola M, Pons X, Roure J (2005) Atlas Climático Digital de la Península Ibérica. Metodología
- y aplicaciones en bioclimatología y geobotánica. Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona,
- Bellaterra
- Ohlemüller R, Anderson BJ, Araújo MB, et al (2008) The coincidence of climatic and species
- rarity: High risk to small-range species from climate change. Biol Lett 4:568–572.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0097

- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, et al (2018) vegan: Community Ecology Package
- Orme CDL, Davies RG, Burgess M, et al (2005) Global hotspots of species richness are not
- congruent with endemism or threat. Nature 436:1016–1019.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03850
- Peguero-Pina JJ, Sisó S, Sancho-Knapik D, et al (2016) Leaf morphological and physiological
- adaptations of a deciduous oak (*Quercus faginea* Lam.) to the Mediterranean climate: A
- comparison with a closely related temperate species (*Quercus robur* L.). Tree Physiol 36:287–
- 299. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv107
- Peres-Neto PR, Legendre P, Dray S, Borcard D (2006) Variation partitioning of species data

metrices: estimation and comparison of fractions. Ecology 87:2614–2625.

- https://doi.org/10.2307/20069271
- R Development Core Team (2019) R: a language and environment for statistical computing.

Version 3.4.1.

- Rix MG, Edwards DL, Byrne M, et al (2015) Biogeography and speciation of terrestrial fauna in the
- south-western Australian biodiversity hotspot. Biol Rev 90:762–793.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12132
- Romo H, García-Barros E (2010) Biogeographic regions of the Iberian Peninsula: Butterflies as
- biogeographical indicators. J Zool 282:180–190. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
- 7998.2010.00730.x
- Rund SSC, Braak K, Cator L, et al (2019) MIReAD, a minimum information standard for reporting
- arthropod abundance data. Sci Data 6:40. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0042-5
- Rundel PW, Arroyo MTK, Cowling RM, et al (2016) Mediterranean Biomes: Evolution of Their
- Vegetation, Floras, and Climate. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 47:383–407.
- https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-121415-032330
- Sánchez de Dios R, Benito-Garzón M, Sainz-Ollero H (2009) Present and future extension of the
- Iberian submediterranean territories as determined from the distribution of marcescent oaks.
- Plant Ecol 204:189–205. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-009-9584-5
- Scharff N, Coddington JA, Griswold CE, et al (2003) When to quit? estimating spider species
- richness in a northern European deciduous forest. J. Arachnol. 31:246–273
- Schmitt T, Varga Z (2012) Extra-Mediterranean refugia: The rule and not the exception? Front Zool
- 9:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-9-22
- Simmons RE, Griffin M, Griffin RE, et al (1998) Endemism in Namibia: Patterns, processes and
- predictions. Biodivers Conserv 7:513–530. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008879712736
- Simpson GG (1964) Species density of North American recent mammals. Syst Zool 13:57–73.
- https://doi.org/10.2307/2411825
- Soberón J (2007) Grinnellian and Eltonian niches and geographic distributions of species. Ecol Lett
- 10:1115–1123. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01107.x
- Steinbauer M, Dolos K, Field R, et al (2013) Re-evaluating the general dynamic theory of oceanic
- island biogeography. Front Biogeogr 5:217–220. https://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2011.5.6700
- Steinbauer MJ, Otto R, Naranjo-Cigala A, et al (2012) Increase of island endemism with altitude -
- speciation processes on oceanic islands. Ecography (Cop) 35:23–32.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2011.07064.x
- Stewart JR, Lister AM (2001) Cryptic northern refugia and the origins of the modern biota. Trends
- Ecol Evol 16:608–613. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02338-2
- Stohlgren TJ, Guenther DR, Evangelista PH, Alley N (2005) Patterns of Plant Species Richness,
- Rarity, Endeism, and Uniqueness in an Arid Landscape. Ecol Appl 15:715–725.

https://doi.org/10.1890/03-5352

 Underwood EC, Viers JH, Klausmeyer KR, et al (2009) Threats and biodiversity in the mediterranean biome. Divers Distrib 15:188–197. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-

4642.2008.00518.x

- Val Martin M, Heald CL, Lamarque JF, et al (2015) How emissions, climate, and land use change
- will impact mid-century air quality over the United States: A focus on effects at national parks.
- Atmos Chem Phys 15:2805–2823. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2805-2015
- Vaughan H, Brydges T, Fenech A, Lumb A (2001) Monitoring long-term ecological changes
- through the ecological monitoring and assessment network: Science-based and policy relevant.

Environ Monit Assess 67:3–28. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006423432114

- Verdú JR, Crespo MB, Galante E (2000) Conservation strategy of a nature reserve in Mediterranean
- ecosystems: the effect of protection from grazing on biodiversity. Biodivers Conserv 9:1707–
- 1721
- Violle C, Navas M-L, Vile D, Kazakou E, Fortunel C, Hummel I, & Garnier E (2007) Let the
- concept of trait be functional! Oikos, 116(5): 882–892. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-
- 1299.2007.15559.x
- Whittaker RH (1956) Vegetation of the Great Smoky Mountains. Ecol Monogr 26:1–80.
- https://doi.org/10.2307/1943577
- Williams PH, Humphries C, Araújo MB, et al (2000) Endemism and important areas for conserving
- European biodiversity: a preliminary exploration of atlas data for plants and terrestrial
- vertebrates. Belgian J Entomol 2:21–46
- Wise DH (1993) Spiders in Ecological Webs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge World
- Spider Catalog (2020). World Spider Catalog. Version 20.0. Natural History Museum Bern,
- online at http://wsc.nmbe.ch, accessed on 2019-05-01. doi: 10.24436/2
- Ysnel F, Pétillon J, Gérard E, Canard A (2008) Assessing the conservation value of the spider fauna

across the West Palearctic area. J Arachn, 36:457–463. https://doi.org/10.1636/CT07-121.1

Figure captions

 Fig. 1. Map of the Iberian Peninsula with locations of National Parks (circled letters) and climatic regions according to the Koppen−Geiger climate classification (in colours). A: Parc Nacional d'Aigüestortes i Estany de Sant Maurici; C: Parque Nacional de Cabañeros; M: Parque Nacional de Monfragüe; O: Parque Nacional de Ordesa y Monte Perdido; P: Parque Nacional de Picos de Europa; S: Parque Nacional de Sierra Nevada. BWh= Hot desert climate, BWk= Cold desert climate, BSh= 794 Hot semi-arid climate, BSk= Cold semi-arid climate, Csa= Hot-summer Mediterranean climate, Csb= Warm-summer Mediterranean climate, Cfa=Humid subtropical climate, Cfb= Temperate oceanic climate, Dsb= Warm, dry-summer continental climate, Dsc= dry-summer subarctic climate, Dfb= Warm-summer humid continental climate.

 Fig. 2. Rarefied number of species per community (mean and 95% CI). See Table 1 for codes of plots/ communities.

 Fig. 3. Relationships between rarefied species richness and a) forest (habitat) type (with estimates of the group means and confidence intervals), b) mean annual rainfall and c) mean annual maximum temperature modelled by generalised linear models. Red circles represent partial residuals. The studied 16 plots were located in six different national parks, with each plot being dominated by one of the following five white oak (*Quercus*) species: *Q. pubescens* (Q. pub., 2 plots), *Q. pyrenaica* (Q. pyr., 4 plots), *Q. faginea* (Q. fag., 6 plots), *Q. subpyrenaica* (Q. sub., 2 plots), *Q. petraea* (Q. pet., 2 plots).

 Fig. 4. Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling ordination plot based on species similarity and relative abundance (Steinhaus index). Colours represent the tree species that are dominant in each of the plots where spider communities were sampled.

 Fig. 5. Percentages of taxonomic variation between communities explained by different factors obtained through variation partitioning analysis. a) Overall variation explained by geography (eigenvectors PCNM1, PCNM2, PCNM4, PCNM5), climate (mean annual rainfall and temperature) and habitat structure (forest type and EVI). b) Variation explained by climatic and habitat-related variables at each of the four spatial scales obtained from additive spatial scale-specific models. Residuals represent the variation not explained by the used variables.

 Fig. 6. Percentages of species belonging to each predatory guild per community. Species were classified according to family, following Cardoso et al 2011. See Table 1 for codes of plots/ communities.

- Fig. 7. Percentages of individuals belonging to different biogeographic categories (based on known distributions, see Methods) in each plot. a) Per entire communities and across microhabitats. b) Per community and microhabitat.
- Fig. 8. Observed (circles) and simulated (mean and 95% CI) weighted corrected endemicity of each community at the Iberian (a) and the corresponding climatic region scales (b).

 Fig. 9. Response of the number of Iberian species (a) and weighted corrected endemicity to mean annual rainfall (b) and mean annual maximum temperature (c) modelled by generalised linear models.

- 827 **Tables**
- 828

830 measures.

831 832

833 Table 2. Number of species and endemicity of the families represented by the collected specimens. Families are in
834 descending order according to their weighted corrected endemicity value.

descending order according to their weighted corrected endemicity value.

⁸²⁹ Table 1. National parks where the plots are located, dominant tree species, and corresponding diversity and collection

840