1	Estradiol valerate in COC has more favorable inflammatory profile than
2	synthetic ethinyl estradiol - a randomized trial
3	
4	Marika H. Kangasniemi, ¹ Annina Haverinen, ² Kaisu Luiro, ² J. Kalervo Hiltunen, ³
5	Elina K. Komsi, ¹ Riikka K. Arffman, ¹ Oskari Heikinheimo, ² Juha S. Tapanainen, ^{1,2}
6	and Terhi T. Piltonen ¹
7	
8	¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, PEDEGO Research Unit, Medical
9	Research Center, Oulu University Hospital, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland;
10	² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Helsinki and Helsinki
11	University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland; ³ Faculty of Biochemistry and Molecular
12	Medicine, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland
13	
14	SHORT TITLE: Estradiol valerate vs synthetic estrogen in COC: inflammation
15	KEY WORDS: estradiol valerate, ethinyl estradiol, combined contraceptives, hs-CRP,
16	pentraxin 3, COC
17	CORRESPONDENCE AND REPRINT REQUESTS:
18	Terhi Piltonen, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Oulu University Hospital,
19	PL 23 90029 OYS, Finland, Tel: +358 83153051, Fax: +358 83154310, E-mail:
20	terhi.piltonen(at)oulu.fi
21	Any grants or fellowships supporting the writing of the paper:
22	Sigrid Jusélius Foundation, The Academy of Finland, The Finnish Medical Foundation
23	and Research funds of the hospital system of Helsinki and Uusimaa.
24	

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT: OH serves occasionally on advisory boards for Bayer
AG, Gedeon-Richter, Sandoz and Vifor Pharma; and has lectured at educational
events organized by these companies. TTP has received honorariums related to
lecturing and advisory boards from Merck, Gedeon-Richter, Duodecim, Ajaton
Terveys, Roche, Ferring, MSD, Exeltis and Astra Zeneca. TTP also contributed to the
clinical trial (ESTETRA, HRA-Pharma, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02817828).
Other authors have nothing to disclose.

32

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; COCs, combined oral contraceptives; DNG,
dienogest; E2, estradiol; EE, ethinyl estradiol; ER, estrogen receptor; EV, estradiol
valerate; GPER, G-protein coupled estrogen receptor; HDL, high-density lipoprotein;
hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NF-KB,
nuclear factor kappa B; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; PTX-3, pentraxin 3;

38 WHR, waist-to-hip ratio

39 ABSTRACT

- 40 **CONTEXT:** Combined oral contraceptives (COCs) alter inflammatory status and lipid
- 41 metabolism. Whether different estrogens have different effects is poorly known.
- 42 **OBJECTIVE:** We compared the effects of COCs containing ethinyl estradiol (EE) or
- 43 estradiol valerate (EV) and dienogest (DNG) with those containing DNG only on
- 44 inflammation and lipid metabolism.
- 45 **DESIGN:** Randomized, controlled, open-label clinical trial.
- 46 **SETTING:** Two-center study in Helsinki and Oulu University Hospitals.
- 47 **PARTICIPANTS:** Fifty-nine healthy, young, non-smoking women with regular
- 48 menstrual cycles. Age, BMI and waist-to-hip ratio were comparable in all study
- 49 groups at the beginning. Fifty-six women completed the study (EV+DNG, n=20;
- 50 EE+DNG, n=19; DNG only, n=17).
- 51 **INTERVENTIONS:** Nine-week continuous use of COCs containing either EV+DNG
- 52 or EE+DNG, or DNG only as control.
- 53 MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: Parameters of chronic inflammation (high-sensitivity
- 54 C-reactive protein, hs-CRP and pentraxin 3, PTX-3) and lipid profile (HDL, LDL,
- 55 triglycerides and total cholesterol).
- 56 **RESULTS:** Serum hs-CRP increased after 9-week use of EE+DNG (mean
- 57 change±SD 1.10±2.11 mg/L) compared with EV+DNG (-0.06±0.97 mg/L, p=0.001)
- or DNG only (0.13±0.68 mg/L, p=0.021). Also, PTX-3 increased in the EE+DNG
- 59 group compared with EV+DNG and DNG-only groups (p= 0.017 and p=0.003). In the
- 60 EE+DNG group, HDL and triglycerides increased compared with other groups (HDL:
- 61 EE+DNG 0.20±0.24 mmol/L vs. EV+DNG 0.02±0.20 mmol/L[p=0.002] vs. DNG
- 62 0.02±0.18 mmol/L[p=0.002]; triglycerides: EE+DNG 0.45±0.21 mmol/L vs. EV+DNG
- 63 0.18±0.36 mmol/L[p=0.003] vs. DNG 0.06±0.18 mmol/L[p<0.001]).

- 64 **CONCLUSIONS:** EV+DNG and DNG only had a neutral effect on inflammation and
- lipids, while EE+DNG increased both hs-CRP and PTX-3 levels as well as
- 66 triglycerides and HDL.
- 67 **TRIAL REGISTRATION:** ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02352090
- 68
- 69 PRÉCIS
- 70 A contraceptive containing estradiol valerate induced less inflammation than ethinyl
- estradiol containing preparation during 9 weeks' continuous use.

72 **INTRODUCTION**

Combined oral contraceptives (COCs) are widely used for contraception and as a 73 treatment for several medical conditions. The marketed preparations include various 74 combinations of estrogen and progestin. Over time, several new progestins have been 75 developed to avoid side effects such as androgen action. Traditionally, most COCs 76 have included ethinyl estradiol (EE), the most common dose being 20-30 µg. EE is a 77 synthetic estrogen, which has an effect on liver protein synthesis 500-600 times 78 79 greater than that of the natural estrogen, estradiol (E2) (1). In efforts to replace EE 80 with E2 in COCs, the poor endometrial bleeding control of various combinations of E2 81 and progestins has limited its use. In recent years, new COC combinations containing E2 have been developed, and the combination of estradiol valerate (EV) with 82 dienogest (DNG) has resulted in an acceptable bleeding profile (2). 83

Even though the health benefits of COCs are clear, studies have shown that the 84 use of COCs may have some adverse short- and long-term metabolic effects. 85 86 According to previous studies, the use of COC increases the circulating levels of highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and triglycerides (3-5) as well as inflammatory 87 88 markers including high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and pentraxin 3 (PTX-3), the latter being known risk factors for cardiovascular diseases (3-7). In fact, a 89 90 recent study showed that the use of COC was associated with a small but significantly 91 increased risk of cardiovascular diseases and myocardial infarction (8). That study, however, did not include preparations containing bioidentical estrogens. Therefore, it 92 is possible that COCs containing E2 or EV instead of EE may have more beneficial 93 effects on metabolic profile (9, 10). Neutrality of COC in inflammation and lipid 94 metabolism would be beneficial especially for the women in high metabolic risk. Still, 95 as the overall impact of COC depends on the natures of both the estrogen and 96

progestin components, a strict comparison of metabolic effects between EE and E2 or
EV would require the comparison of preparations containing the same progestin. To
our knowledge, no previous study has compared combinations of different estrogens
with DNG in terms of lipid or inflammatory profiles.

101 The present study is part of a randomized, controlled clinical trial comparing 9 102 weeks' continuous use of COCs containing EE+DNG and EV+DNG along with DNG-103 only preparation. Primary outcome of the trial was changes in glucose metabolism and 104 that entity will be published on its own (11). The aim of the present study was to 105 compare the effects of EE vs. EV combined with DNG on inflammatory markers and 106 lipid metabolism in healthy young women.

107

108 MATERIALS AND METHODS

This randomized, controlled, open-label, two-center clinical trial was conducted at the 109 110 Helsinki and Oulu University Hospitals, Finland, between April 2015 and January 2018. Detailed study protocol has been described in our previous study (11). The 111 independent Ethics Committee of Helsinki University Central Hospital and The Finnish 112 113 Medicines Agency (FIMEA) approved the study. The Regional Ethics committee of the Northern Ostrobothnia Hospital District was informed of the approval. The study was 114 115 registered with the Clinical Trials database (identifier code NCT02352090; 116 https://clinicaltrials.gov/) and EU Clinical trials register (EudraCT Number 2014-117 001243-20; https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu). All the subjects signed a written informed consent document. This study was investigator initiated and no commercial 118 119 sponsorship was received.

120 The power analysis for the trial was based on glucose metabolism, which was 121 the primary outcome measure of the study. The analysis was calculated using the decrease in the Matsuda index in response to EE-containing combined contraceptives used in our previous study (5). According to the power analysis, 48 subjects would have been needed to reach the power of 0.8, when the α error was set to a significance level of 0.05.

126 Subjects

Altogether 77 women volunteered for the study, and after assessment for eligibility 59 127 healthy Caucasian women were randomized (Fig. 1). All study subjects had regular 128 129 menstrual cycles and had not used hormonal medication for at least 2 months before entering the study. Exclusion criteria were age >35 years, body mass index (BMI) \geq 25 130 kg/m^2 , blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmHg, abnormal findings in 2-h oral glucose tolerance 131 test (OGTT) or gynecological ultrasound examination, breastfeeding (minimum wash-132 133 out period 3 months prior study), smoking, alcohol or drug abuse, and any 134 contraindication regarding the use of COCs.

135 Randomization and the study protocol are described in Fig. 1. The randomization list was produced in a 1:1:1 ratio and blocks of six with a web-based randomizer 136 137 (www.sealedenvelope.com). Research nurses allocated the women to treatment groups according to the randomization list; 48 women were enrolled at Helsinki and 138 29 at Oulu. The study subjects used one of three hormonal preparations continuously 139 140 for 9 weeks: EV+DNG 2 mg/2–3 mg (Qlaira®, Bayer AG, Germany), EE+DNG 0.03 mg/2 mg (Valette®, Bayer AG, Germany) or DNG 2 mg (Visanne®, Jenapharm, Bayer 141 AG, Germany). As EV+DNG contraceptive is available only as four-phasic regimen, 142 the amounts of dienogest differed slightly between preparations. Differences were 143 minimized by altering the original packages to match hormonal contents as well as 144 145 possible, by removing placebo pills and the pills containing only estrogen. Women were evaluated 3 times during the study: at baseline and at 5th and 9th weeks of the 146

147 study. Baseline assessments were performed during the first 1-5 days of the menstrual cycle, and the use of study preparations was begun the following day after 148 149 confirmation of normal baseline OGTT. Women were advised to use a barrier contraception method for a week in cases when the COC was started later than cycle 150 day 2 and during the whole study period in all women randomized to the DNG-only 151 group. After randomization there were two drop-outs in the DNG group after the first 152 appointment, due to general malaise and mood changes, and one drop-out in the 153 154 EE+DNG group after the second appointment, due to minor non-specific side effects 155 (Fig. 1).

156 Measurements

Fasting blood samples were collected at baseline and at 5th and 9th weeks of the study 157 158 to analyze hs-CRP, PTX-3, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), HDL and triglycerides. There were technical difficulties in blood sampling for two 159 160 subjects during the week 5 visit, leading to missing data for lipid measurements (see Table 1). Samples for PTX-3 measurement at week 5 were collected only in Oulu. 161 Weight and blood pressure were measured at every appointment; waist and hip 162 163 circumferences were measured at baseline and at the 9-week appointment. Assays for inflammatory markers were not performed for any drop-out cases. 164

165 Assays

Analyses of serum hs-CRP were performed at Helsinki University Hospital using the immunoturbidimetric method (Abbott Architect c8000 & reagent Abbott CRP Vario, Abbott, USA), whereas plasma PTX-3 analyses were performed at Oulu University Hospital with ELISA (Human Pentraxin 3/TSG-14 Quantikine ELISA Kit, R&D Systems, USA). Serum measurements for lipids were performed directly after sampling using accredited enzymatic and photometric methods at Helsinki (Abbott Architect c16000/c8000, Abbott, USA) and Oulu (Advia Chemistry XPT, Siemens,Germany).

174 Statistics

The Statistical package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 24 was used 175 for statistical analyses. All measurements were analyzed using the hierarchical linear 176 177 mixed model in which treatment and time were fixed effects, and treatment*time interaction was included in the model to examine whether mean change over time was 178 179 different between treatments. Compound symmetry covariance structure was used for 180 repeated measures, and the normal distribution assumption was checked using 181 residuals. Missing values were assumed to be completely at random. Logarithmically transformed hs-CRP and PTX-3 were used in statistical analyses due to skewed 182 183 distribution. Measured hs-CRP values >10 mg/L were presumed to indicate acute infection, and the subjects (n=3) having hs-CRP >10 mg/L (at any time point) were 184 excluded from the hs-CRP and PTX-3 analyses. For the lipid analysis, all subjects 185 186 except for two drop-out cases were included.

187

188 **RESULTS**

Values for clinical and metabolic characteristics are presented in Table 1. At baseline, the mean age, BMI, waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) and blood pressure were comparable in all study groups. Waist circumference showed a slight decrease during the treatments in all study groups but remained, in general, fairly stable. Systolic blood pressure decreased in EV+DNG and EE+DNG groups during the first 5 weeks but reverted to the baseline level at the 9-week study visit. No change in BMI was observed in any of the study groups throughout the study period.

196 Markers for systemic low-grade inflammation

The changes in metabolic measurements within study groups are shown in Table 1. 197 In the EE+DNG group, the serum level of hs-CRP increased significantly and 198 199 remained higher compared with the other study groups throughout the intervention. The difference in hs-CRP at 5 and 9 weeks was significant in the EE+DNG group 200 compared with both EV+DNG and DNG groups, whereas no difference emerged 201 202 between the EV+DNG and DNG-only groups (see Fig. 2). Pentraxin levels acted 203 similarly to hs-CRP: there was a significant increase within the EE+DNG group, which 204 was also significant compared with the other two groups, in which the levels of PTX-3 remained stable. 205

206 Serum lipids

207 HDL increased significantly at the 5th week of treatment in the EV+DNG and EE+DNG groups and remained elevated at the 9th week visit in the EE+DNG group (Table 1). 208 209 The increase in HDL was significantly greater in the EE+DNG group compared with both EV+DNG and DNG groups (Fig. 2). Triglycerides increased in both EE+DNG and 210 211 EV+DNG groups, but the difference was not statistically significant between the 212 EV+DNG and DNG groups. However, the increase in triglycerides was significantly higher in the EE+DNG group compared with the other study groups. Total cholesterol 213 214 and LDL remained stable during the study in all treatment groups.

215

216 **DISCUSSION**

We observed that the preparation containing EE promoted systemic inflammation and altered lipid metabolism compared with EV-containing preparation or DNG only. The increase in systemic inflammation was evidenced by increased hs-CRP and PTX-3 levels. During the 9-week use of EE+DNG, HDL and triglycerides, but not LDL, increased within the study group and also compared with other groups. Triglycerides increased in the EV+DNG group, but the change was not significant compared with the DNG-only group. In the DNG-only group, the 9-week treatment did not result in significant changes in serum lipids. The study suggests that COC containing EV has a more beneficial inflammatory profile compared to a preparation containing EE.

The present results show that the use of COC containing EE promotes low-grade 226 227 inflammation in women, as evidenced by increased levels of circulating hs-CRP and PTX-3. This is in line with earlier studies that have reported an increase in hs-CRP 228 during the use of COC containing EE (3, 4, 6, 7). We have also previously 229 demonstrated that regardless of the route of administration (oral, transdermal, 230 231 vaginal), EE-containing combined contraceptives increase the serum concentrations 232 of hs-CRP and PTX-3 (5). The complex role of estrogens in inflammatory pathways has been reviewed earlier and the effect seems to differ according to estradiol levels 233 234 (12). Moreover, EE has a multifold effect on liver protein synthesis compared with natural estrogens (1), although the effects have been mainly focused on hormone-235 236 binding globulins, not on inflammatory markers.

237 CRP is produced mainly in the liver in response to IL-6 (13, 14) and is commonly recognized as a marker of inflammation but it also has an active role in promoting 238 239 atherosclerosis through different mechanisms (14-17). CRP is also able to activate the 240 complement system through C3 and promote leucocyte adhesion and migration (14, 241 16). Importantly, clinical data show that hs-CRP concentrations higher than 3.0 mg/L indicate an increased risk for cardiovascular events (18). Therefore, the mean 242 243 increase of 1.1 mg/L in hs-CRP seen in the EE group in the present study suggest clinical significance. PTX-3, on the other hand, is an acute-phase protein produced by 244 many different tissues, such as endothelial cells, mononuclear phagocytes and 245

adipocytes, but not hepatocytes (19). It mediates innate immunity by different
mechanisms, for example through opsonization and complement activation/inhibition
(19). As there was also a significant increase in PTX-3 levels during EE use, EE seems
to promote low-grade inflammation beyond liver targeted effects. The mechanism by
which EV induces less inflammatory effects than EE warrants further studies using
both *in vivo* and *in vitro* setups.

Besides inflammatory changes, we also observed significant changes in 252 253 circulating triglycerides and HDL concentrations, in line with the findings of previous studies (3-5, 20). Interestingly, EV had a significantly milder effect on these 254 parameters compared to EE. The mean increase of triglycerides in the EE+DNG group 255 was 0.45 mmol/L, compared to 0.18 mmol/L in the EV+DNG group, a result that might 256 257 have clinical significance. If the changes would prevail also during longer exposure, it may have an atherosclerotic effect over the long term. However, the observed 258 259 increase in HDL induced by EE may compensate for this risk.

The study has several strengths but also limitations that need to be addressed. 260 261 The findings provide clues on the metabolic and hormonal alterations and the 262 mechanisms of metabolic actions of these commonly used preparations in young healthy women. We were able to control progestin-related effects with progestin-only 263 264 preparation and reduce selection bias by randomization and low drop-out rate. Still 265 larger studies are needed to investigate if these effects remain in long-term use and whether the effects are similar in women with higher metabolic risk (obese or 266 premenopausal women, women with polycystic ovary syndrome etc.). In spite of 267 268 several metabolic alterations a possibility of type II error is still possible, as power calculation for the study was based on changes in glucose metabolism in our previous 269 study (5). As there is not monophasic contraceptive with EV+DNG on the market, the 270

amounts of DNG differs slightly between preparations. Moreover, as the packaging and contraceptive efficiency of the preparations were different, the setup had to be non-blinded to enable proper counselling considering the lack of contraceptive indication for DNG-only preparation in Finland. In any case, this is the first study comparing the effects of contraceptives containing EE or EV with the same progestin component and progestin effect alone.

277

278 CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates that COC containing EV seems to trigger less metabolic effects compared with preparations containing EE, as evidenced by the unchanged inflammation profile and neutral effect on triglyceride levels in the EV-DNG and DNG-only groups. Conclusions concerning the possible long-term effects of these preparations and the effects in metabolically compromised female populations cannot be drawn from this study, and larger, long-term follow-up studies are required.

285

286 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

287 We thank Mrs. Pirjo Ikonen and Ms. Elina Huikari for skillful technical assistance.

288

289 AUTHORS' ROLES

The study was designed by JST and OH in collaboration with TTP and AH. MHK, AH, KL, OH, JST and TTP contributed to the data collection. MHK conducted the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript; all authors contributed to revision and approved the final version of the manuscript. EKK, RKA and JKH shared in discussion and figure drawing. TTP, JST and OH supervised the project.

296 FUNDING

Funding was obtained from Helsinki University Hospital research funds, Hospital
District of Helsinki and Uusimaa, University of Oulu Health and Biosciences Doctoral
Programme, Sigrid Juselius Foundation, The Finnish Medical Association, the
Academy of Finland, Medical Society of Finland, Emil Aaltonen Foundation and North
Ostrobothnia Regional Fund.

302

303 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

304 This study was investigator initiated by JST and OH, and no commercial sponsorship was received. TTP has received honorariums related to lecturing and advisory boards 305 from Merck, Gedeon-Richter, Duodecim, Ajaton Terveys, Roche, Ferring, MSD, 306 307 Exeltis and Astra Zeneca. TTP also contributed to the clinical trial (ESTETRA, HRA-Pharma, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02817828). OH serves occasionally on 308 309 advisory boards for Bayer, Gedeon Richter, HRA-Pharma and Vifor Pharma and has lectured at educational events for Bayer, Gedeon Richter and Sandoz. The other 310 authors confirm having no conflict of interest. 311

312 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Mashchak CA, Lobo RA, Dozono-Takano R, Eggena P, Nakamura RM, Brenner
- 314 PF, Mishell DR. Comparison of pharmacodynamic properties of various estrogen
- 315 formulations. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1982;144(5):511-518
- 2. Fruzzetti F, Bitzer J. Review of clinical experience with estradiol in combined oral
 contraceptives. *Contraception.* 2010;81(1):8-15

318 3. Wang Q, Wurtz P, Auro K, Morin-Papunen L, Kangas AJ, Soininen P, Tiainen M,

319 Tynkkynen T, Joensuu A, Havulinna AS, Aalto K, Salmi M, Blankenberg S, Zeller T,

320 Viikari J, Kahonen M, Lehtimaki T, Salomaa V, Jalkanen S, Jarvelin MR, Perola M,

321 Raitakari OT, Lawlor DA, Kettunen J, Ala-Korpela M. Effects of hormonal

322 contraception on systemic metabolism: Cross-sectional and longitudinal evidence.

323 Int J Epidemiol. 2016;45(5):1445-1457

4. Morin-Papunen L, Martikainen H, McCarthy MI, Franks S, Sovio U, Hartikainen A,

325 Ruokonen A, Leinonen M, Laitinen J, Järvelin M, Pouta A. Comparison of metabolic

326 and inflammatory outcomes in women who used oral contraceptives and the

327 levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device in a general population. Am J Obstet

328 Gynecol. 2008;199(5):529.e-529.e10

329 5. Piltonen T, Puurunen J, Hedberg P, Ruokonen A, Mutt SJ, Herzig KH, Nissinen A,

330 Morin-Papunen L, Tapanainen JS. Oral, transdermal and vaginal combined

331 contraceptives induce an increase in markers of chronic inflammation and impair

insulin sensitivity in young healthy normal-weight women: A randomized study. *Hum*

333 *Reprod.* 2012;27(10):3046-3056

334 6. van Rooijen M, Hansson LO, Frostegard J, Silveira A, Hamsten A, Bremme K.

335 Treatment with combined oral contraceptives induces a rise in serum C-reactive

336 protein in the absence of a general inflammatory response. J Thromb Haemost.

337 2006;4(1):77-82

338 7. Haarala A, Eklund C, Pessi T, Lehtimaki T, Huupponen R, Jula A, Viikari J,

339 Raitakari O, Hurme M. Use of combined oral contraceptives alters metabolic

- determinants and genetic regulation of C-reactive protein. the cardiovascular risk in
 young finns study. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest.* 2009;69(2):168-174
- 342 8. Lidegaard O, Lokkegaard E, Jensen A, Skovlund CW, Keiding N. Thrombotic
- 343 stroke and myocardial infarction with hormonal contraception. *N Engl J Med.*
- 344 2012;366(24):2257-2266
- 9. Sitruk-Ware R, Nath A. Characteristics and metabolic effects of estrogen and
 progestins contained in oral contraceptive pills. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;27(1):13-24
- 10. Grandi G, Napolitano A, Cagnacci A. Metabolic impact of combined hormonal
- 349 contraceptives containing estradiol. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.*
- 350 2016;12(7):779-787
- 11. Haverinen A, Kangasniemi M, Piltonen T, Luiro K, Heikinheimo O, Tapanainen
- 352 JS. Ethinyl estradiol vs estradiol valerate in combined oral contraceptives: Effect on
- insulin sensitivity. A clinical randomized trial. Scientific Program and Abstracts of the
- 354 66th Annual Scientific Meeting, Paris, France, 2019. *Reproductive Sciences*.
- 355 2019;26(Supplement 1):129A-130A (Abstract T-035).
- 12. Straub RH. The complex role of estrogens in inflammation. Endocr Rev.
- 357 2007;28(5):521-574
- 13. Weinhold B, Bader A, Poli V, Rüther U. Interleukin-6 is necessary, but not
- sufficient, for induction of the human C-reactive protein gene in vivo. *Biochem J.*
- 360 1997;325 (Pt 3):617-621

- 14. Sproston NR, Ashworth JJ. Role of C-reactive protein at sites of inflammation
- and infection. *Frontiers in immunology.* 2018;9:754
- 15. Nyström T. C-reactive protein: A marker or a player? Clin Sci. 2007;113(2):79-81
- 16. Osman R, L'Allier PL, Elgharib N, Tardif J. Critical appraisal of C-reactive protein
 throughout the spectrum of cardiovascular disease. *Vasc Health Risk Manag.*2006;2(3):221-237
- 367 17. Zwaka TP, Hombach V, Torzewski J. C-reactive Protein–Mediated low density
 368 lipoprotein uptake by macrophages. *Circulation.* 2001;103(9):1194-1197
- 369 18. Pearson TA, Mensah GA, Alexander RW, Anderson JL, Cannon III RO, Criqui M,
- 370 Fadl YY, Fortmann SP, Hong Y, Myers GL, Rifai N, Smith Jr. SC, Taubert K, Tracy
- 371 RP, Vinicor F. Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease: Application to
- 372 clinical and public health practice: A statement for healthcare professionals from the
- 373 centers for disease control and prevention and the American heart association.
- 374 *Circulation.* 2003;107(3):499-511
- 19. Casula M, Montecucco F, Bonaventura A, Liberale L, Vecchié A, Dallegri F,
- 376 Carbone F. Update on the role of pentraxin 3 in atherosclerosis and cardiovascular
- 377 diseases. Vascul Pharmacol. 2017;99:1-12
- 20. Grandi G, Piacenti I, Volpe A, Cagnacci A. Modification of body composition and
- 379 metabolism during oral contraceptives containing non-androgenic progestins in
- association with estradiol or ethinyl estradiol. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2014;30(9):676-
- 381 680

383 **FIGURE LEGENDS**:

384

- **Figure 1. (a)** Flow chart of the study. Women were randomized to oral EV+DNG,
- 386 EE+DNG or DNG-only treatments for 9 weeks.
- 387 *Drop-out due to minor non-specific side-effects.
- ^{**} One drop-out due to general malaise; one drop-out due to mood changes.
- (b) Hormonal contents of the preparations used in the study. Treatments were used
- 390 for three consecutive cycles, i.e. 3×21 days.

391

- **Figure 2**. Changes in blood measurements during trial. Data are represented as
- 393 mean+SD. The SPSS hierarchical linear mixed model was used for statistical
- analysis.
- *Change within the group: p<0.05.

		A) E			B) E	EE+DNG					C) DNG					
		Ν	Mean	±	SD	p-value*	Ν	Mean	±	SD	p-value*	Ν	Mean	±	SD	p-value*
Age. years		20	24.1	±	3.5		20	25.7	±	3.7		17	24.0	±	3.7	
hs-CRP. mg/L	week 0	18	0.62	±	0.51		18	0.95	±	0.86		17	0.65	±	0.57	
	week 5	18	0.82	±	1.28		18	2.11	±	2.08	0.001	17	1.14	±	1.40	
	week 9	18	0.56	±	0.91		18	2.05	±	2.05	0.001	17	0.79	±	0.84	
PTX-3. ng/mL	week 0	18	0.81	±	0.53		18	0.59	±	0.24		15	0.62	±	0.20	
	week 5	8	1.25	±	0.69		8	0.94	±	0.51	0.041	7	0.71	±	0.30	
	week 9	18	0.80	±	0.53		18	0.81	±	0.44	0.012	15	0.59	±	0.26	
Total Cholesterol.	week 0	20	3.97	±	0.72		20	4.13	±	0.57		17	4.07	±	0.45	
mmol/L	week 5	18	3.97	±	0.71		20	4.22	±	0.69		17	4.14	±	0.70	
	week 9	20	3.81	±	0.62		19	4.25	±	0.77		17	4.18	±	0.63	
LDL. mmol/L	week 0	20	2.19	±	0.65		20	2.15	±	0.60		17	2.39	±	0.55	
	week 5	18	2.17	±	0.80		20	2.03	±	0.55		17	2.46	±	0.66	
	week 9	20	2.05	±	0.58		19	1.98	±	0.63		17	2.41	±	0.64	
HDL. mmol/L	week 0	20	1.61	±	0.35		20	1.79	±	0.38		17	1.62	±	0.30	
	week 5	18	1.71	±	0.27	0.022	20	1.95	±	0.40	0.004	17	1.59	±	0.39	
	week 9	20	1.59	±	0.34		19	2.00	±	0.47	0.001	17	1.60	±	0.32	
Triglycerides.	week 0	20	0.69	±	0.25		20	0.68	±	0.17		17	0.65	±	0.17	
mmol/L	week 5	18	0.74	±	0.22		20	1.08	±	0.30	<0.001	17	0.78	±	0.22	
	week 9	20	0.87	±	0.38	0.011	19	1.14	±	0.28	<0.001	17	0.71	±	0.22	
Weight. kg	week 0	20	61.44	±	5.80		20	62.71	±	5.01		17	57.98	±	7.10	
	week 5	20	60.88	±	6.09		20	62.48	±	4.88		17	57.29	±	6.97	0.002
	week 9	20	61.03	±	6.19		19	63.14	±	4.38		17	57.41	±	7.17	0.010
BMI. kg/m2	week 0	20	22.45	±	1.61		20	22.99	±	1.90		17	21.87	±	1.94	
	week 5	20	22.24	±	1.70		20	22.94	±	1.91		17	21.61	±	1.89	
	week 9	20	22.29	±	1.65		19	23.06	±	1.92		17	21.92	±	2.50	
Waist. cm	week 0	20	73.55	±	5.18		20	75.78	±	4.62		17	73.76	±	4.87	
	week 9	20	73.04	±	5.21	0.030	19	74.55	±	3.97	0.007	17	72.60	±	5.34	0.003
Hip. cm	week 0	20	96.65	±	3.83		20	96.78	±	4.78		17	94.18	±	5.78	
	week 9	20	96.07	±	4.16	0.028	19	97.79	±	4.60		17	93.53	±	6.02	
WHR	week 0	20	0.76	±	0.04		20	0.78	±	0.05		17	0.78	±	0.03	
	week 9	20	0.76	±	0.04		19	0.76	±	0.05		17	0.78	±	0.03	
sRR. mmHg	week 0	20	118.60	±	7.31		20	117.00	±	9.35		17	111.94	±	9.73	
	week 5	20	111.60	±	7.96	<0.001	20	111.10	±	8.82	0.013	17	108.41	±	9.69	
	week 9	20	115.85	±	9.31		19	114.53	±	9.38		17	108.53	±	9.27	
dRR. mmHg	week 0	20	75.05	±	6.96		20	72.45	±	8.13		17	72.53	±	7.38	
	week 5	20				0.005	20	71.10				17	71.65		7 40	

Table 1. Clinical characteristics and biochemical measurements of the study subjects during the 9-week trial.

Missing data are due to drop-out after second study visit, difficulties in sample collection or hs-CRP >10 mg/L (due to presumed infection). The SPSS hierarchical linear mixed model was used for statistical analysis. Units mmol/L can be converted to mg/dL by multiplying values with following conversion factors: total cholesterol, HDL and LDL by 38.67 and triglycerides by 88.57. *Values with p <0.05 compared to the baseline are marked in bold.

19 72.58 ± 7.08

17 70.00 ± 7.37

week 9 20 73.45 ± 8.99

Figure 1.



