University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska

3-6-2020

Geographic variation in the genetic basis of resistance to leaf rust between locally adapted ecotypes of the biofuel crop switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)

Acer Van Wallendael Michigan State University

Jason Bonnette University of Texas at Austin

Thomas E. Juenger University of Texas at Austin

Felix B. Fritschi University of Missouri

Philip A. Fay USDA-ARS

See Wext page of did think works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaarsfacpub

Van Wallendael, Acer; Bonnette, Jason; Juenger, Thomas E.; Fritschi, Felix B.; Fay, Philip A.; Mitchell, Robert B.; Lloyd-Reilley, John; Rouquette, Francis M. Jr; Bergstrom, Gary C.; and Lowry, David B., "Geographic variation in the genetic basis of resistance to leaf rust between locally adapted ecotypes of the biofuel crop switchgrass (Panicum virgatum)" (2020). *Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty*. 2512.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdaarsfacpub/2512

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications from USDA-ARS / UNL Faculty by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Acer Van Wallendael, Jason Bonnette, Thomas E. Juenger, Felix B. Fritschi, Philip A. Fay, Robert B. Mitchell, John Lloyd-Reilley, Francis M. Rouquette Jr, Gary C. Bergstrom, and David B. Lowry



Geographic variation in the genetic basis of resistance to leaf rust between locally adapted ecotypes of the biofuel crop switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*)

Acer VanWallendael^{1,2,3,4} , Jason Bonnette⁵, Thomas E. Juenger⁵, Felix B. Fritschi⁶, Philip A. Fay⁷, Robert B. Mitchell⁸, John Lloyd-Reilley⁹, Francis M. Rouquette Jr¹⁰, Gary C. Bergstrom¹¹ and David B. Lowry^{1,2,3,4}

¹Department of Plant Biology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA; ²Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA; ³Department of Ecology, Evolutionary Biology, and Behavior, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA; ⁴Plant Resilience Institute, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA; ⁵Department of Integrative Biology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA; ⁶Division of Plant Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65201, USA; ⁷Soil and Water Research Laboratory, USDA-ARS Grassland, Temple, TX 76508, USA; ⁸USDA-ARS Wheat, Sorghum, and Forage Research Unit, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA; ⁹USDA-NRCS, Kika de la Garza Plant Materials Center, Kingsville, TX 78572, USA; ¹⁰Texas A&CM AgriLife Research, Texas A&CM AgriLife Research and Extension Center, Overton, TX 75684, USA; ¹¹School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA

Author for correspondence: Acer VanWallendael Tel: +1 517 432 4883 Email: vanwaax07@gmail.com

Received: 23 January 2020 Accepted: 6 March 2020

New Phytologist (2020) **227:** 1696–1708 **doi**: 10.1111/nph.16555

Key words: GxE, local adaptation, pathogen resistance, *Puccinia*, QTL mapping, switch-grass (*Panicum virgatum*).

Summary

• Local adaptation is an important process in plant evolution, which can be impacted by differential pathogen pressures along environmental gradients. However, the degree to which pathogen resistance loci vary in effect across space and time is incompletely described.

• To understand how the genetic architecture of resistance varies across time and geographic space, we quantified rust (*Puccinia* spp.) severity in switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*) plantings at eight locations across the central USA for 3 yr and conducted quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping for rust progression.

• We mapped several variable QTLs, but two large-effect QTLs which we have named *Prr1* and *Prr2* were consistently associated with rust severity in multiple sites and years, particularly in northern sites. By contrast, there were numerous small-effect QTLs at southern sites, indicating a genotype-by-environment interaction in rust resistance loci. Interestingly, *Prr1* and *Prr2* had a strong epistatic interaction, which also varied in the strength and direction of effect across space.

• Our results suggest that abiotic factors covarying with latitude interact with the genetic loci underlying plant resistance to control rust infection severity. Furthermore, our results indicate that segregating genetic variation in epistatically interacting loci may play a key role in determining response to infection across geographic space.

Introduction

Understanding the factors that determine how well a particular population is adapted to its environment is a major goal of evolutionary biology. Plant populations often exhibit local adaptation (Leimu & Fischer, 2008; Hereford, 2009), in which genotypes in a particular area are more successful in their local environment than foreign genotypes transplanted to that area (Kawecki & Ebert, 2004). A major component of adaptation to a local environment is adaptation to biotic factors such as competition, mutualism, herbivory and pathogens (McKay *et al.*, 2003; Fournier-Level *et al.*, 2011; He *et al.*, 2018; Price *et al.*, 2018; Lowry *et al.*, 2019). Pathogens in particular impose strong selection on plant populations and may thus influence the evolution of those populations (Giraud *et al.*, 2017; Mursinoff & Tack, 2017). Disease resistance alleles vary in frequency across populations (Thrall & Burdon, 2002; Kniskern & Rausher, 2006; Chappell & Rausher, 2016) and may be differentially expressed depending on environmental conditions (Colhoun, 1973; Atkinson & Urwin, 2012; Huot *et al.*, 2017). Thus, a complete understanding of local adaptation in plants requires measurement of the changes in the genetic architecture of pathogen resistance across multiple environments (Busby *et al.*, 2014).

Population heterogeneity in pathogen resistance loci results in imperfect resistance for plant species. To explain maintenance of this variation, previous studies have noted the role of the abiotic environment in influencing the plant–pathogen relationship (Atkinson & Urwin, 2012; Huot *et al.*, 2017) as well as coevolutionary dynamics between plants and their pathogens (Thrall *et al.*, 2012; Bever *et al.*, 2015; Chappell & Rausher, 2016;

Penczykowski et al., 2016), including fitness costs of both virulence and resistance (Bergstrom et al., 2000; Tian et al., 2003). The abiotic environment can influence the relationship by restricting the range of pathogens that are, for instance, humidity- or temperature-sensitive (Shaw & Osborne, 2011). For example, the oomycete pathogen of dry beans Pythium spp. is highly effective in high-moisture conditions (Soltani et al., 2018). Therefore, wetadapted bean varieties must exhibit higher Pythium resistance (Soltani et al., 2018). Similarly, abiotic conditions can directly impact plant molecular immune responses, such as in the case of temperature-dependent immunity in Arabidopsis (Huot et al., 2017). In addition, coevolution between pathogens and host metapopulations can dramatically shape plant evolution, largely independently of the abiotic environment. As plants evolve immune responses, pathogens evolve ways to evade immunity, resulting in population-specific adaptations to variable pathogen regimes (Thrall et al., 2012; Bever et al., 2015; Penczykowski et al., 2016; Chappell & Rausher, 2016). Determining the extent to which plant-pathogen coevolution is shaped by abiotic conditions and 'arms race' dynamics requires a pathosystem studied over several years and a wide range of environmental conditions.

Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.) and its obligate fungal pathogens, especially switchgrass leaf rusts (Puccinia spp.), are an ideal system to study long-term changes in the molecular basis of resistance. Switchgrass is a long-lived, polyploid, C₄, perennial grass native to North America east of the Rocky Mountains from northern Mexico to southern Canada (Gleason & Cronquist, 1963). It is a common prairie and pasture grass grown as both a forage crop and as a bioenergy feedstock (Casler, 2012; Parrish et al., 2012). Switchgrass has also become an important study system for understanding the causes of ecological specialization (Casler, 2012; Lowry et al., 2014). Switchgrass is split into two locally adapted ecotypes, upland and lowland (Morris et al., 2011; Lowry et al., 2014; Milano et al., 2016). The upland ecotype is more common in northern North America, has a small stature (up to 190 cm) and has limited resistance to multiple pathogens, including rust and other fungal pathogens as well as viruses (Casler, 2012; Uppalapati et al., 2013; Lovell et al., 2016; Milano et al., 2016; Alexander et al., 2017). By contrast, the southern lowland ecotype is large (up to 285 cm) and is more resistant to fungal pathogens (Casler, 2012; Uppalapati et al., 2013; Lovell et al., 2016; Milano et al., 2016). While the lowland ecotype produces more biomass, it also has lower freezing tolerance (Lee et al., 2014; Peixoto & Sage, 2016), possibly explaining the rarity of lowland ecotypes in more northern climates. Temperature, moisture regimes and other aspects of climate often covary with latitude in the central USA, so over the switchgrass range plant traits respond to north-south clines (Lowry et al., 2019).

Because switchgrass ecotypes differ in their susceptibility to rust infection, this host-pathogen system is useful for testing the role of local variation in rust species presence in the evolution of resistance. Switchgrass is infected with at least five species of rust (*Puccinia* spp.; Demers *et al.*, 2017). Rusts are basidiomycete fungi that infect only living leaf tissue (biotrophs). As such, these fungi are thought to be extirpated from northern switchgrass populations every winter when switchgrass senesces. Re-infection may occur from the alternative host, thought to be *Euphorbia* corollata (Demers et al., 2017), or through wind-borne spores from already infected plants. Rust infection is common in switch-grass stands in North America by late summer, although damage is less severe in varieties from the lowland ecotype.

While there is still little known about the natural history of switchgrass rusts, closely related wheat rusts such as Puccinia striiformis have been extensively studied, and thus provide a model for thinking about switchgrass rusts. In addition to restrictions imposed by obligate biotrophy, winter minimum temperatures below -10° C may kill fungal spores, and high night-time temperatures limit disease development (Chen, 2005). Furthermore, the spores require high humidity to germinate, and may be spread between plants by rainfall (Chen, 2005). The geographic range of switchgrass encompasses many temperature and precipitation regimes, so direct abiotic selection probably plays an important role in rust biology. Furthermore, the abiotic environment directly impacts plant resistance to rust. In P. striiformis, resistance genes such as Yr36 are effective at high, but not low, temperatures (Fu et al., 2009). Thus, over the geographic range of the switchgrass-rust interactions, variation in the abiotic environment may play an important role in influencing both pathogen virulence and plant defenses.

The distribution of related pathogen species can have an important role in the evolution of resistance. Resistance mechanisms can be specific to particular rust species or to specific strains of a species. Wheat varieties bred for stem rust (Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici) resistance were overcome in recent years by a novel rust lineage known as Ug99 (Singh et al., 2015). Ug99 originated from the dikaryotic parasexual combination of two asexual rust lineages (Li et al., 2019). If rust species are geographically restricted, switchgrass may evolve local resistance mechanisms to respond to specific rusts. By contrast, if only one species is dominant, the genetic basis of resistance may be identical over a wide geographic range, or population-specific resistance will occur at the level of pathogen strain, rather than pathogen species. There is conflicting evidence about the ranges of the five switchgrass rusts. Demers et al. (2017) found predominantly P. pammelii and P. graminicola in the midwestern USA, and P. novopanici predominantly in eastern states. By contrast, Kenaley et al. (2018) found that most midwestern switchgrass plantings are infected with P. novopanici, with P. pammelii and P. graminicola showing up only rarely.

The primary goal of the present study was to understand the nature of genotype-by-environment (GxE) interactions underlying variation of switchgrass rust resistance across the central USA. To overcome past limitations due to environmental and temporal variation in host–pathogen relationships, we measured fungal resistance over 3 yr at a large geographic scale. We studied quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping populations replicated at eight sites across more than 1500 km of latitude to map QTLs for rust resistance. These populations have been previously used to study QTL × environment interactions for several morphological and phenological traits (Lowry *et al.*, 2019), but biotic interactions have not been assessed before this study. The geographic patterns of QTL presence and strength allowed us to examine the spatial

distribution of pathogen resistance expression. If QTL presence and strength was correlated with latitude of the field sites over the eight sites, we could infer that the abiotic environment played an essential role in governing the interaction. However, if resistance was site-specific, that would be evidence of rust population dynamics as being a stronger influence on plant resistance. Furthermore, the four mapping cross genotypes allowed us to test the host-population specificity of resistance. We predicted that any resistance alleles that we mapped would originate from a lowland genotype, because lowland plants are more resistant to fungal pathogens. Furthermore, we expected that resistance alleles would explain substantial variation in other morphological traits that distinguish ecotypes, indicating that resistance genes are associated with overall local adaptation in switchgrass.

Materials and Methods

Development of mapping populations

To identify loci controlling variation in rust progression, we used a previously developed four-way phase-known (pseudo-testcross) mapping population derived from both upland and lowland genotypes (conceptual map in Fig. 1). For full details of the development of the mapping population see Milano et al. (2016). We clonally divided the outbred populations by manually splitting rhizomes at the Brackenridge Field Laboratory in Austin, TX, USA. In May–July 2015, the F₀, F₁ and F₂ clones were potted, moved by truck and transplanted into the field at 10 sites throughout the USA (Lowry et al., 2019). Thus, at each site, we planted five or more clones of each of the four F_0 genotypes, 15 individuals of each of the two F1 populations and 431 individuals from the F₂ generation. We were able to monitor pathogen changes throughout the course of this study at eight of these sites: Kingsville, TX; Austin, TX; Temple, TX; Overton, TX; Columbia, MO; Manhattan, KS; Mead, NE; and Hickory Corners, MI (Fig. 1). We assigned plants randomly to a honeycomb design, with 1.56 m between each plant. To reduce edge effects, we planted a border of lowland plants around the plot that were not measured experimentally. We watered plants by hand in 2015, when necessary to facilitate establishment. Weed cloth was installed to cover the ground between plants and reduce weed pressure. After 2015, we removed weeds using pre-emergent herbicides and physical pulling but did not otherwise manage plots. To develop a linkage map for QTL mapping we genotyped 431 second-generation genotypes by whole genome resequencing (for full sequencing details, see Lowry et al., 2019).

Phenotyping

At each site we scored the presence of leaf rust in 2016, 2017 and 2018. We used a method developed for rust on wheat (McNeal *et al.*, 1971; Roelfs *et al.*, 1992), which translates well to switch-grass and has been used in previous studies (Uppalapati *et al.*, 2013). At each site, we scored rust on a 0-10 scale based on the total proportion of the canopy covered in rust pustules, a score which we have defined as 'rust severity' for this study (Fig. 2).

The 0–10 scale can be thought of as 1/10 of the per cent of leaf area covered in rust pustules (a score of 1 corresponds to 10% leaf cover, 3–30% cover, and so on). We assessed the whole canopy visually. This subjective rating is imperfect because it relies on field ratings by technicians rather than a quantitative measure. However, alternative methods such as leaf collection and scanning are much more labor-intensive and introduce similar biases when choosing leaves. Other fungal pathogens such as anthracnose and *Bipolaris* were present in plots but were much less common than rust and were not reflected in our ratings.

The effort extended to phenotyping rust severity varied among sites and years due to logistical challenges. However, sampling generally began 3 wk after green-up (the point at which *c*. 50% of plants tiller crop had emerged from the soil) and continued weekly until severity stopped increasing (Fig. 3). Over 3 yr, this resulted in more than 149 000 rust ratings, which we used for the QTL analyses. In addition, we measured other morphological and physiological traits at all sites, including the number of tillers, plant height at end of the season, date of first flowering and end-of-season above-ground biomass (see Milano *et al.*, 2016 and Lowry *et al.*, 2019 for details of this phenotyping effort).

Rust abundance and species composition

Visual pathogen scoring regimes are well known to be subject to statistical artifacts (Lesaffre & Lawson 2012) and our data were no exception. Pathogen scores followed a tail-inflated ('U'-shaped) distribution. Therefore, we used nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-rank and Kruskal–Wallis tests through the functions *wilcox.test* and *kruskal.test* in the STATS package of R to test the differences in severity between lineages, years and sites (R Core Team, 2019). To test for cytoplasmic effects on rust severity, we compared rust scores between F_2 individuals with maternal cytoplasm from upland and lowland grandparents, also with the aforementioned nonparametric tests.

We assayed the prevalence of various species of rust at study sites in 2018 by sequencing a portion of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region for subsampled isolates from each site. At each location, we haphazardly collected leaves from c. 20 individuals, and isolated single pustules from each. By sampling 20 individuals, we ensured that we would have the power to detect species present in a substantial portion of the field (>30%) at a 99.9% confidence level. We followed the same method as Kenaley et al. (2018) for DNA extraction, PCR amplification, purification and sequencing. Briefly, we extracted genomic DNA from leaf segments cut from areas with one pustule or very few pustules using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). We then amplified the ITS2 region using the primer pair RUST2inv (5'-GATGAAGAACACAGTGAAA; Aime et al., 2006) and RUST1 (5'-AGTGCACTTTATTGTGGCTCGA; Kropp et al., 1995), producing a 510 bp fragment. We purified the PCR product using a QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) and then sequenced unidirectionally with 180 ng of template, 25 pmol of primer RUST1, and using a BigDye terminator sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) on an ABI 3730 DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems).



Fig. 1 Experimental design. (a) Conceptual map of four-way cross design. Horizontal bars represent copies of chromosome 9N, for example. In the F_0 generation (grandparents), each genotype has two mostly homozygous haplotypes, shown by the color of the bars. In the F_1 cross generation, there are two combinations of haplotypes: $A \times D$ for AP13 \times DAC6, and $W \times V$ for WBC3 \times VS16. The F_2 generation combines the F_1 haplotypes, so each of the 431 offspring individuals have some combination of the four grandparental alleles at any one locus. (b) Locations of experimental switchgrass planting sites in central North America. KING: Kingsville, TX; PKLE: Austin, TX; TMPL: Temple, TX; OVTN: Overton, TX; CLMB: Columbia, MO; MNHT: Manhattan, KS; LINC: Mead, NE; KBSM: Hickory Corners, MI. We additionally collected rust samples from sites in Stillwater, OK (STIL), and Brookings, SD (BRKG), but were not able to assess rust infection scores at these sites.



Fig. 2 (a) Heavily infected single switchgrass leaf. (b) Lightly infected small switchgrass plant. This individual would be rated a 3, as *c*. 30% of the leaf surface is covered with rust pustules.

Genomic architecture

Initially, we mapped QTLs based on pathogen ratings for each individual time point at which rust prevalence was quantified. Pathogen ratings were processed in R (v.3.4; R Core Team, 2019) using both packages QTL and FUNQTL (Broman *et al.*, 2003; Kwak *et al.*, 2016). To examine QTL effects over time, we scanned for QTLs using Haley–Knott regression for each site by year combination, with each time point as a separate trait using

the functions *scanone* in QTL and *geteffects* in FUNQTL (Broman *et al.*, 2003; Kwak *et al.*, 2016).

We additionally examined QTLs controlling the overall progression of rust by modeling severity as a function-valued trait (Kwak *et al.*, 2014). For function-valued traits such as timeseries, QTLs can be summarized across time using the R package FUNQTL (Kwak *et al.*, 2014, 2016). This method has the advantage of decreasing bias introduced by differences among raters at different sites as well as phenology differences by summarizing



Fig. 3 Switchgrass rust progression curves for 2016. Black lines show smoothed mean rust values for sampled dates, black dotted lines show fitted logistic curves to sampled data. Green and brown vertical lines show green-up date and date of first flowering, respectively. Green-up was not quantified at TMPL in 2016 (Temple, TX; see 2017 and 2018 in Supporting Information Fig. S4). Site codes: KING: Kingsville, TX; PKLE: Austin, TX; TMPL: Temple, TX; OVTN: Overton, TX; CLMB: Columbia, MO; MNHT: Manhattan, KS; LINC: Mead, NE; KBSM: Hickory Corners, MI.

multiple QTLs using a penalized likelihood approach (Kwak *et al.*, 2014). Previous studies have used area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) measurements to quantify resistance (Jeger & Viljanen-Rollinson, 2001). AUDPC is robust and useful but may show bias when infection timing differs among sites (Jeger & Viljanen-Rollinson, 2001). The FUNQTL method yields two scores for each phenotype, the mean LOD (SLOD) and the maximum LOD (MLOD). The first is useful for identifying loci that show large effects over time, while the second identifies loci that have a large effect for a single time point (Kwak *et al.*, 2014). Because we were most interested in QTLs with effects across the season, we focused on SLOD scores. We conducted 1000 permutations to calculate a penalty for the SLOD score that reduces the rate of inclusion of extra loci to 5% (Broman & Sen, 2009). To estimate the percentage explained variation (PEV) for each

New Phytologist

significant QTL, we fit single-QTL models for each time point with the *fitqtl* command in the QTL package, then used the maximum PEV value (Broman *et al.*, 2003). We examined geographic and temporal variation by mapping QTLs separately for each site and year, but we also generated a combined test that summarizes variation in this experiment. For this test, we summed SLOD scores across multiple sites and years, and concatenated permutations to generate a critical SLOD cutoff. We produced all plots using FUNQTL and GGPLOT2 (Kwak *et al.*, 2016; Wickham, 2016).

Finally, we estimated the allele-specific effects of the significant QTLs we discovered. In the four-way cross design, second-generation offspring will have one of four possible genotypes at each locus: AP13 & WBC3, AP13 & VS16, WBC3 & DAC6, or DAC6 & VS16. These genotypes represent the combination of alleles from each of the four grandparents of the cross (lowland AP13 and WBC; upland DAC and VS16; Fig. 1). For each locus, we compared the pathogen scores for the individuals with the 'resistant' QTL alleles to those with the 'susceptible' alleles. We additionally made this comparison for morphological traits, including biomass, flowering time, tiller count and green-up date. We tested for difference in means using nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-rank tests for pathogen ratings through the function wilcox.test in the STATS package, and a two-sample t-test for all morphological traits through the function *t.test* in the STATS package (R Core Team, 2019). R code for all analyses is available for download on the author's Github page: https://github.com/ avanwallendael/switchgrass_rust_qtl.

Results

Rust abundance and composition

Although infection timing varied, rust was present at all sites throughout the study period (Fig. 3). The largest divergence in infection was between upland and lowland F₀ (grandparental) plants, with upland plants experiencing 39.15% more rust than lowland plants (W=1.15e7, P<0.0001). The divergence between lowland and upland plants in rust severity was comparable in effect size at all sites, and never differed in direction. Rust severity also differed between generations in the cross $(\chi^2 = 656.98, P < 0.0001)$, with F₀ plants showing the least amount of rust and F1 plants the greatest (Supporting Information Fig. S1). Rust severity was negatively correlated with greenup date, biomass, flowering time, height and tiller count (Fig. S2). Field sites differed substantially in mean rust severity across years ($\chi^2 = 1.99 \times 10^{-5}$, P< 0.0001; Fig. S3), although this was confounded by different sampling periods across sites. Mean rust severity declined in almost every site each year (Fig. S3), decreasing by 19.75% in 2017 and an additional 30.74% in 2018. This change correlates with biomass increases of 85.64% in 2017 and an additional 46.89% in 2018. Our cross design allowed us to test the phenotypic effect of maternal cytoplasm, the difference between second-generation plants with an upland seed parent and those with a lowland seed parent. We compared rust scores between second-generation individuals with maternal cytoplasm from upland and lowland F₁ plants. There

was a significant cytoplasmic effect (W=1.72e9, P=0.0059), but rust scores were only 1.33% higher in plants with lowland (WBC) cytoplasm than plants with the upland (DAC) cytoplasm (Fig. S1).

We assayed the prevalence of various species of rust at the study sites in 2018 by sequencing ITS2 for subsampled isolates from each site. While we found evidence of three rust species infecting switchgrass, *Puccinia novopanici* was the predominant rust species at all field sites that we examined (Table 1). *P. graminicola* was present only in Brookings, SD (BRKG), and Mead, NE (LINC), while *P. cumminsii* was present in only one sample from Austin, TX (PKLE). Given the rarity of other *Puccinia* spp., we did not detect statistical differences between genotypes or sites in pathogen species composition, with the exception of Mead, NE (LINC), which was 35% *P. graminicola*.

Genomic architecture

Because we collected data on pathogens over several weeks at all sites, we were able to examine how the genomic architecture of resistance changed over a single season at each site by treating each time point as a distinct phenotype for QTL mapping. For simplicity throughout this paper, we refer to rust resistance as: Resistance = (1 - Severity) (Simms & Triplett, 1994). We found extensive variation between sites, but some patterns were shared across several sites. We identified OTLs for resistance on chromosomes 3N and 9N that were consistently associated with rust resistance, which we have named loci PUCCINIA RUST RESISTANT 1 (Prr1) and PUCCINIA RUST RESISTANT 2 (Prr2), respectively. The lowland allele (AP13) only conferred greater resistance for one of these loci (Prr2), relative to the upland allele (DAC). By contrast, the lowland allele (AP13) increased rust severity relative to the upland allele (DAC) on chromosome 3N (Prr1). Over the course of the field season, these QTLs showed effects for c. 40-50 d when mapped for single time-point measurements, with Prr1 becoming detectable c. 1 wk

after *Prr2* (Figs 4 and S5). Overall patterns were similar across years, although the collection of fewer time points in 2017 resulted in lower-resolution data.

To evaluate the genetic architecture of resistance over the entire field seasons, we also mapped QTLs to rust severity as a function-valued trait. Summing across all sites and years, we found 51 total significant QTLs (Fig. 5a; Table S1). These QTLs varied in PEV throughout the infection season, with a single QTL model explaining up to 24.2% of the variation in rust severity (Table S1). Many of these QTLs were at overlapping genomic positions, so overall we found 18 locations throughout the switchgrass genome that were associated with rust in at least one site. Just four of the 18 switchgrass chromosomes exhibited no QTLs at any position. Overall, we found the highest number of QTLs at the most northern site (KBSM), although there was no clear geographic pattern in QTL number. Additionally, there was variation between years, with the greatest number of QTLs in 2016 (24 QTLs), and fewer in 2017 and 2018 (15 and 12, respectively). When we combined QTLs across sites and years (Fig. 5c), Prr1 and Prr2 had the highest LOD scores in the north.

Given their strength and consistency across sites and years, we considered *Prr2* and *Prr1* as the most important QTLs. These large-effect QTLs differed greatly in their frequency of significant effect between northern sites and southern sites, showing significant effects in the northern four sites 77.2% (17/22) of the time over the 3 yr, but only 16.6% (4/24) of the time in the southern four sites. When the southern sites were pooled, we detected nine significant QTLs across the southern sites, but these were much weaker in effect (Fig. 5b).

Allelic effects of Prr1 and Prr2

We calculated the combined effects of *Prr1* and *Prr2* by examining only individuals containing either resistant or susceptible alleles at each locus. For instance, the AP13 allele increased resistance at *Prr1*, but the DAC6 allele increased resistance at *Prr2*, so the individuals with both of these alleles were designated

Site	Code	Sequences (n)	Puccinia species			
			P. cumminsii	P. graminicola	P. novopanici	
Brookings, SD ^a	BRKG	29	0	0.17	0.83	
Hickory Corners, MI	KBSM	21	0	0	1	
Mead, NE	LINC	26	0	0.35	0.65	
Manhattan, KS	MNHT	27	0	0	1	
Columbia, MO	CLMB	23	0	0	1	
Stillwater, OK ^a	STIL	13	0	0	1	
Overton, TX ^b	OVTN					
Temple, TX	TMPL	14	0	0	1	
Austin, TX	PKLE	12	0.08	0	0.92	
Kingsville, TX	KING	23	0	0	1	
Total		188	1 (1%)	14 (7%)	173 (92%)	

 Table 1
 Species of switchgrass rust detected by field sites, with values shown as the proportion of viable internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences that matched each species.

^aSTIL and BRKG were not used in quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping due to missing rust severity measurements. ^bWe were not able to obtain samples from OVTN for species identification.



Fig. 4 Time-series quantitative trait locus (QTL) effects for Columbia, MO, in 2016 (remaining sites and years in Supporting Information Fig. S5). Blue indicates that the lowland allele decreases switchgrass rust, while red indicates that the upland allele decreases rust. Color intensity is proportional to LOD score, or the strength of the QTL.

as having the 'resistant' combination. We compared these resistant plants to those that had susceptible alleles at both *Prr1* and *Prr2* to assess the combined effects of the QTLs.

The effects of *Prr1* and *Prr2* were clear in their impacts on rust severity. The combination of 'resistant' alleles across loci resulted in 21.35% lower rust scores across the season ($W=31\,808\,000$, P<0.0001; Fig. 5b; Table 2). In southern sites, there was no clear difference between the impact of 'resistant' and 'susceptible' alleles on rust (W=734, P=0.34). To establish whether *Prr2* and *Prr1* also impacted other important phenotypes, we conducted additional contrasts between the resistant and susceptible alleles. The resistance alleles were associated with 39.6% higher biomass (t=12.34, P<0.0001; Fig. 5), 17.36% greater tiller count (t=6.71, P<0.0001) and 5.99% greater height (t=7.49, P<0.0001), but had little effect on flowering time (t=1.94, P=0.052; Table 2).

Additionally, we performed a simple test for epistasis between large-effect loci. Because performing epistasis tests for all QTLs, phenotypes, sites and years would be computationally prohibitive, we tested one phenotype (peak rust) per site and year for the two large-effect loci only. We fit with a linear model (ANOVA) to test for epistasis among these two loci: $R = Prr1 + Prr2 + Prr1 \times Prr2 + e$, where *R* is rust severity, *Prr1*

and *Prr2* are the genotypes at those loci, and *e* is the residual error term. Epistasis is represented by a significant interaction term at a Bonferroni-corrected α of 0.00263 ($\alpha = 0.05/19$ tests). We used the functions *anova* and *lm* in the STATS package of R and tested for allele-specific effects using orthogonal contrasts (R Core Team, 2019).

We found strong evidence for an epistatic interaction between Prr1 and Prr2 (Fig. 6) that impacted both rust severity and overall biomass. For each site and year that both QTLs were present, the interaction was significant (Fig. 6; Table S2). The interaction was largest in Columbia, MO, in 2018, where the effect of both QTLs was strongest (F=29.7, P<0.0001; Fig. 6c,d). This effect was driven by the combination of upland and lowland alleles inducing rust susceptibility in the mapping population. Individuals with the DAC6 allele at Prr1 and the AP13 allele at Prr2 were on average 10.6 times more susceptible to rust damage (t=16.108, P<0.0001). In addition, the allele combinations that increase rust tend to also result in lower biomass (Fig. 6d). However, infrequently the direction of the rust epistatic interaction was reversed, but the biomass interaction was not (Fig. 6e,f). At the KBSM site in 2018, individuals with the DAC6 allele at Prr1 and the AP13 allele at Prr2 were on average 2.28 times more resistant to rust damage (t=9.560, P<0.0001). This pattern was

New Phytologist



Fig. 5 (a) Quantitative trait locus (QTLs) for all sites and years for switchgrass rust resistance. SLOD shows the mean log-odds score for function-valued traits, or the strength of the QTL. Horizontal lines show significance thresholds for each test, peaks above the threshold are shown as solid lines, and peaks below are shown as faded lines. Vertical gray bars highlight large-effect QTLs *Prr1* and *Prr2*. Asterisks show chromosomes that had at least one significant QTL and are colored by year. Site codes: KING: Kingsville, TX; PKLE: Austin, TX; TMPL: Temple, TX; OVTN: Overton, TX; CLMB: Columbia, MO; MNHT: Manhattan, KS; LINC: Mead, NE; KBSM: Hickory Corners, MI. (b) Combined SLOD plot showing SLOD scores summed over northern and southern sites. (c) The phenotypic effects of *Prr1* and *Prr2* on rust infection in the early summer season and total yearly biomass. Rust error bars indicate ± SE, biomass whiskers show range, and boxes show first and third quartiles. Asterisks denote a significant difference in means.

Table 2 Genotype-specific effects for the combination of *Prr1* and *Prr2* for switchgrass rust resistance, with bold values significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.

	Susceptible	Resistant	Δ(%)	Statistic	Р
Rust score	3.346	4.255	21.35	W = 31 808 000	<0.0001
Biomass (kg)	0.776	1.084	39.64	t = 12.341	< 0.0001
Flowering time (Julian day)	163.8	166.4	1.560	<i>t</i> = 1.9428	0.05213
Tiller count	158.7	186.3	17.36	t = 6.7063	<0.0001
Height (cm)	151.4	160.5	5.988	<i>t</i> = 7.4901	<0.0001

also observed to a lesser degree in KBSM in 2016 and TMPL in 2018.

Discussion

Overall, we found that there is a marked difference in the genetic architecture of rust resistance in the northern vs southern regions of the central USA. The two large-effect QTLs, *Prr1* and *Prr2*, consistently explained variation in rust severity in the north. By contrast, these large-effect QTLs rarely had significant effects in southern sites, indicating that the genetic architecture of rust resistance is highly dependent on environmental context. The effects of *Prr1* and *Prr2* were largely stable across all 3 yr of the study, indicating that they confer consistent, but region-specific,

resistance. *Prr1* and *Prr2* also co-localize with QTLs for biomass, tiller count, height and flowering time. In contrast to our prediction that the lowland grandparental alleles would provide the most rust resistance, we found that the upland allele at *Prr1* increases resistance. An epistatic interaction between upland (DAC) and lowland alleles (AP13) at *Prr1* and *Prr2* causes greatly increased rust susceptibility in most sites and years, but this interaction was reversed for some sites across different years of the study. We discuss the implications of these results below.

North-south difference in QTL expression

The large difference in the genetic architecture of resistance between southern and northern field sites suggests that variation 1704 Research



Fig. 6 Pattern of genotype-specific effects for focal switchgrass rust resistance quantitative trait loci (QTLs) on chromosomes 3N and 9N. (a) Four-way cross design. Two lowland genotypes, AP13 and WBC3, and two upland genotypes, DAC6 and WBC3, were crossed to create two F_1 populations. The F_1 populations were then crossed to create F_2 populations. (b) An example of phenotypic effects at a single QTL. At the 3N QTL, each individual in the F_2 population has one of four combinations of F_0 alleles, shown on the x-axis. The average effect of those genotypes on rust severity can be measured as a mean of the ratings for all F_2 individuals with that genotype at that locus. Error bars show \pm SE. (c–f) Epistatic interactions between specific genotypes at the 3N (*Prr1*) and 9N (*Prr2*) QTLs for both rust severity (red hues) and biomass (blue hues) at two different sites in 2018.

in climate, correlated with latitude, may directly impact the expression of resistance to switchgrass rust. We anticipated that variation across field sites in QTL effects could be caused by differences in rust species composition at each of these sites. However, we found an overwhelming predominance of one species, Puccinia novopanici, at all sites other than Mead, NE (LINC). While we were not able to sample species composition for all years of this study, the dominance of *P. novopanici* in the central USA is corroborated by previous reports on this system (Kenaley et al., 2018). The hypothesis that large-scale climatic variation accounts for QTL variation in switchgrass was also supported in another study that used these same mapping populations (Lowry et al., 2019). That study showed evidence that many QTLs for morphological and phenological traits, including several that colocalize with Prr1 and Prr2, exhibit GxE interactions across the north-south range of the experiment, and that overall climatic variation across the range explains the gradient (Lowry et al., 2019). Greater knowledge of the genes underlying these QTLs would improve our understanding of these interactions, but annotation in the switchgrass genome is incomplete as of the time of this publication. Eleven genes are in the 1.5 LOD-drop interval for Prr1, and 48 in the interval for Prr2, but none contain clear immune motifs such as leucine-rich repeat regions, or are known to be part of established immune defense pathways (list of genes in Table S3).

The north-south split implicates an abiotic pattern, but the means by which climate influences resistance remains undetermined. In other pathosystems, rust strain diversity and expression of resistance genes have been documented to cause variation in resistance. Pathogens often exhibit strain-specific avirulence genes that may or may not be recognized by plant R-genes (Jones & Dangl, 2006). Therefore, over geographic space, the distribution of pathogen genetic diversity can determine host resistance expression (Chappell & Rausher, 2016). Rust pathogens can be geographically constrained by their low freezing tolerance and the distribution of their alternative host (Kenaley et al., 2018). Therefore, if rust population diversity is higher in southern regions, as is true for wheat rusts in Asia (Ali et al., 2014), switchgrass resistance expression may involve more loci than in northern populations, resulting in a more complicated genetic architecture.

Alternatively, the mode of resistance itself may directly respond to the climate. In Arabidopsis, a change from $10-23^{\circ}$ C to $23-32^{\circ}$ C is sufficient to induce a change from the specific immune system (effector-triggered immunity) to the generalized immune system (pattern-triggered immunity; Cheng *et al.*, 2013). Wheat rust defense mechanisms have been specifically documented to show temperature-dependence (Fu *et al.*, 2009). The northern sites in our study were planted in either hardiness zone 5 or 6, while the southern sites were in either zone 8 or 9 (Daly *et al.*, 2012), suggesting that temperature may play a role. However, we cannot be certain that resistance mechanisms are directly impacted by temperature until we can isolate the genes responsible for resistance. Further work in this system should focus on determining the genetic distributions of *P. novopanici* populations to better understand whether resistance is strain-

specific, and to identify potential resistance genes underlying large-effect QTLs.

Allele-specific effects and epistatic interactions of *Prr2* and *Prr1*

We initially expected that resistance alleles would come exclusively from lowland grandparents, because lowland genotypes are more rust-resistant in all sites we planted. However, F_2 individuals with an upland DAC6 allele at *Prr2* were overall more resistant to rust than those with a lowland AP13 allele. This indicates that upland genotypes harbor resistance alleles that diverge from lowland resistance.

Furthermore, the presence of a negative epistatic interaction between Prr2 and Prr1 indicates that genes underlying these loci interact directly to impact rust resistance. Epistatic interactions are commonly found in studies of pathogen resistance in plants. For example, recent QTL studies of wheat stripe and leaf rust resistance identified multiple significant epistatic interactions (Singh et al., 2014; Vazquez et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2019). Despite the commonality of epistatic interactions among pathogen resistance loci, we were surprised by the degree to which the epistatic interaction was negatively synergistic, especially at sites such as CLMB in 2018. This negative epistasis between an upland allele at one locus and a lowland allele is similar to a Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibility (BDMI), an epistatic interaction that decreases fitness when independently evolving alleles are brought together through hybridization (reviewed by Fishman & Sweigart, 2018). Pathogen resistance genes have often been implicated in BDMIs, although negative epistasis of incompatible resistance loci generally causes an autoimmune response (reviewed by Bomblies & Weigel, 2007; Traw & Bergelson, 2010; see also Atanasov et al., 2018). However, in switchgrass, the incompatibility usually results in lower pathogen resistance, suggesting a different mechanism.

As discussed earlier, pathogen strain diversity can play an important role in determining the spatial distribution of host resistance (Chappell & Rausher, 2016). The switchgrass genotype-specificity of the epistatic interaction, as well as the reversal of the pattern in certain sites and years, indicates that strain-specificity may be involved. If overall resistance between lowland and upland ecotypes were explained by generalized differences in fungal resistance genes, we would expect that resistance mechanisms would segregate by ecotype. Instead, we see that particular F_0 alleles and allele combinations can have large impacts on rust severity. The large impact of the DAC6–AP13 epistatic interaction may indicate that these parental populations have evolved separate and mutually exclusive resistance mechanisms.

The rare reversal of the epistatic interaction from negatively to positively synergistic also is evidence of strain-specificity. In the epistatic interaction, F_2 individuals with the lowland AP13 allele at *Prr2* and the upland DAC6 allele at *Prr1* are typically more susceptible to the rust pathogen (Fig. 6c). This susceptibility is reversed in several sites and years, however, with the lowland AP13 allele at *Prr2* and the upland DAC6 allele at

New Phytologist

Prr1 causing resistance (Fig. 6e). While it is possible that this change was driven by climate, the sites and years for which the pattern was reversed were divergent in any major component of climate. It seems more likely that a different strain of rust was more prevalent for which the *Prr1–Prr2* interaction was able to provide resistance. Greater knowledge of the genes underlying these loci will be necessary to understand the nature of this strain-specificity.

The interaction of rust resistance interaction with other traits

Typically, resistance alleles were associated with higher biomass and other overall morphological traits. Prr2 and Prr1 OTLs colocalize with biomass and tiller count OTLs found in a previous study (Lowry et al., 2019), suggesting either close linkage or a pleiotropic effect (Fig. S6). That is, these loci may contain genes for resistance that are in close genetic linkage with genes that influence biomass, or resistance may directly increase biomass and tiller count by improving the health of the plant. One piece of evidence that favors close linkage over pleiotropy is that when the epistatic pattern is reversed for rust resistance, it is not reversed for biomass (Fig. 6d,f). This close linkage of phenotypically important traits indicates that these particular genomic regions may have been important in underlying the ecotypic divergence between lowland and upland switchgrass. Future work in this system should focus on determining the genes underlying the Prr1 and Prr2 QTLs to map the distribution of alternative alleles at these loci across the range of switchgrass.

We note also that rust ratings on average generally decreased over the course of this study (Fig. S3), which may have been due to an increase in resistance but is more likely to be due to the fact that older plants grow more quickly before disease onset, and therefore have a lower proportion of canopy infection. The high correlation between switchgrass traits and strong epistasis between major resistance loci indicate that future breeding efforts will be complicated.

Conclusion

Our results show the temporal and geographic variation in the genetic architecture of rust resistance in two locally adapted switchgrass ecotypes. Two large-effect loci explain both pathogen defense and morphological differences between ecotypes, but show a limited effectiveness in the south. We found little evidence for the possibility that this pattern is driven by pathogen species differences, as rust populations were dominated by a single species, *Puccinia novopanici*. This pattern raises important questions about the drivers of genetic architecture of pathogen resistance and underlines the importance of assaying pathogen resistance across both time and space to capture the inherent variability in the interplay of biotic and abiotic drivers of genetic change. Furthermore, the importance of an epistatic interaction in shaping variation in resistance shows the challenges of single-

gene models for pathogen resistance. Future work in this system will focus on measuring the genetic variation in the rust pathogen strains, and uncovering the genes underlying *Prr1* and *Prr2*.

Acknowledgements

We thank technicians L. Vormwald, M. Iceberg, N. Ryan, P. Duberney, L. Simon, J. Sanley, K. Barthel and M. Carey for their tireless work collecting data. S. Kenaley, with assistance from K. Myers, J. Starr and C. Wijewardana, was instrumental in analyzing rust species data. We thank each host university for assistance in maintaining field sites. The Lowry and Juenger labs, especially A. MacQueen and R. Heckman, contributed important comments and valuable discussions. This work was supported by the US Department of Energy (DE-SC0014156 to TEJ and DE-SC0017883 to DBL and GCB). National Science Foundation Plant Genome Research Program Awards (IOS-0922457 and IOS-1444533) to TEJ, and was supported in part by the Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental (DE-SC0018409 and DE-FC02-07ER64494). Research Pathogen material was shipped under APHIS permit P526-15-03302 for GCB. USDA is an Equal Employment Opportunity provider.

Author contributions

DBL, GCB and TEJ designed the research. AV, JB, TEJ, GCB, FBF, PAF, RBM, JL-R, FMR and DBL contributed to performance of the research. AV, JB, TEJ, GCB and DBL analyzed and interpreted data. AV, JB and GCB collected data. AV, DBL and TEJ wrote the manuscript.

ORCID

Gary C. Bergstrom b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9613-270X Jason Bonnette b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1835-8409 Philip A. Fay b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8291-6316 Felix B. Fritschi b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0825-6855 Thomas E. Juenger b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9550-9288 David B. Lowry b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8182-1059 Francis M. Rouquette Jr b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5526-9793

Acer VanWallendael D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3900-2818

References

- Aime MC, Matheny PB, Henk DA, Frieders EM, Nilsson RH, Piepenbring M, McLaughlin DJ, Szabo LJ, Begerow D, Sampaio JP et al. 2006. An overview of the higher level classification of Pucciniomycotina based on combined analyses of nuclear large and small subunit rDNA sequences. Mycologia 98: 896–905.
- Alexander HM, Bruns E, Schebor H, Malmstrom CM. 2017. Crop-associated virus infection in a native perennial grass: reduction in plant fitness and dynamic patterns of virus detection. *Journal of Ecology* **105**: 1021–1031.
- Ali S, Gladieux P, Leconte M, Gautier A, Justesen AF, Hovmøller MS, Enjalbert J, de Vallavieille-Pope C. 2014. Origin, migration routes and worldwide

population genetic structure of the wheat yellow rust pathogen *Puccinia* striiformis f. sp. tritici. *PLoS Pathogens* **10**: e1003903.

- Atanasov KE, Liu C, Erban A, Kopka J, Parker JE, Alcázar R. 2018. NLR mutations suppressing immune hybrid incompatibility and their effects on disease resistance. *Plant Physiology* 177: 1152–1169.
- Atkinson NJ, Urwin PE. 2012. The interaction of plant biotic and abiotic stresses: from genes to the field. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 63: 3523–3543.
- **Bergstrom CT, Lipsitch M, Levin BR. 2000.** Natural selection, infectious transfer and the existence conditions for bacterial plasmids. *Genetics* **155**: 1505–1519.
- Bever JD, Mangan SA, Alexander HM. 2015. Maintenance of plant species diversity by pathogens. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 46: 305–325.
- Bomblies K, Weigel D. 2007. Hybrid necrosis: autoimmunity as a potential gene-flow barrier in plant species. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 8: 382–393.
- Broman KW, Sen S. 2009. A guide to QTL mapping with R/qtl, vol. 46. Madison, WI, USA: Springer.
- Broman KW, Wu H, Sen Ś, Churchill GA. 2003. R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. *Bioinformatics* 19: 889–890.
- Busby PE, Newcombe G, Dirzo R, Whitham TG. 2014. Differentiating genetic and environmental drivers of plant–pathogen community interactions. *Journal of Ecology* **102**: 1300–1309.
- Casler MD. 2012. Switchgrass breeding, genetics, and genomics. In: Monti A, ed. Switchgrass. London, UK: Springer, 29–53.
- Chappell TM, Rausher MD. 2016. Evolution of host range in *Coleosporium ipomoeae*, a plant pathogen with multiple hosts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 113: 5346–5351.
- Chen XM. 2005. Epidemiology and control of stripe rust [*Puccinia* striiformis f. sp. tritici] on wheat. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 27: 314–337.
- Cheng C, Gao X, Feng B, Sheen J, Shan L, He P. 2013. Plant immune response to pathogens differs with changing temperatures. *Nature Communications* 4: 1–9.
- Colhoun J. 1973. Effects of environmental factors on plant disease. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 11: 343–364.
- Daly C, Widrlechner MP, Halbleib MD, Smith JI, Gibson WP. 2012. Development of a new USDA plant hardiness zone map for the United States. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* 51: 242–264.
- Demers JE, Liu M, Hambleton S, Castlebury LA. 2017. Rust fungi on Panicum. Mycologia 109: 1–17.
- Fishman L, Sweigart AL. 2018. When two rights make a wrong: the evolutionary genetics of plant hybrid incompatibilities. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 69: 707–731.
- Fournier-Level A, Korte A, Cooper MD, Nordborg M, Schmitt J, Wilczek AM. 2011. A map of local adaptation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science 334: 86–89.
- Fu D, Uauy C, Distelfeld A, Blechl A, Epstein L, Chen X, Sela H, Fahima T, Dubcovsky J. 2009. A kinase-START gene confers temperature-dependent resistance to wheat stripe rust. *Science* 323: 1357–1360.
- Giraud T, Koskella B, Laine A. 2017. Introduction: microbial local adaptation: insights from natural populations, genomics and experimental evolution. *Molecular Ecology* 26: 1703–1710.
- Gleason HA, Cronquist A. 1963. Manual of vascular plants of northeastern United States and adjacent Canada. Princeton, NJ, USA: Van Nostrand.
- He L, Wu W, Zinta G, Yang L, Wang D, Liu R, Zhang H, Zheng Z, Huang H, Zhang Q *et al.* 2018. A naturally occurring epiallele associates with leaf senescence and local climate adaptation in *Arabidopsis* accessions. *Nature Communications* 9: 1–11.
- Hereford J. 2009. A quantitative survey of local adaptation and fitness trade-offs. *American Naturalist* 173: 579–588.
- Huot B, Castroverde CD, Velásquez AC, Hubbard E, Pulman JA, Yao J, Childs KL, Tsuda K, Montgomery BL, He SY. 2017. Dual impact of elevated temperature on plant defence and bacterial virulence in *Arabidopsis. Nature Communications* 8: 1–12.
- Jeger MJ, Viljanen-Rollinson SLH. 2001. The use of the area under the diseaseprogress curve (AUDPC) to assess quantitative disease resistance in crop cultivars. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **102**: 32–40.

Jones JDG, Dangl JL. 2006. The plant immune system. *Nature* 444: 323–329. Kawecki TJ, Ebert D. 2004. Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecology Letters* 7: 1225–1241.

- Kenaley SC, Quan M, Aime MC, Bergstrom GC. 2018. New insight into the species diversity and life cycles of rust fungi (Pucciniales) affecting bioenergy switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*) in the Eastern and Central United States. *Mycological Progress* 17: 1251–1267.
- Kniskern JM, Rausher MD. 2006. Major-gene resistance to the rust pathogen *Coleosporium ipomoeae* is common in natural populations of *Ipomoea purpurea*. *New Phytologist* 171: 137–144.
- Kropp BR, Albee S, Flint KM, Zambino P, Szabo L, Thomson SV. 1995. Early detection of systemic rust infections of dyers woad (*Isatis tinctoria*) using the polymerase chain reaction. *Weed Science* 43: 467–472.
- Kwak IY, Moore CR, Spalding EP, Broman KW. 2014. A simple regressionbased method to map quantitative trait loci underlying function-valued phenotypes. *Genetics* 197: 1409–1416.
- Kwak I-Y, Moore CR, Spalding EP, Broman KW. 2016. Mapping quantitative trait loci underlying function-valued traits using functional principal component analysis and multi-trait mapping. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics 6: 79–86.
- Lee DK, Parrish AS, Voigt TB. 2014. Switchgrass and giant *Miscanthus* agronomy. In: Shastri Y, Hansen A, Rodríguez L, Ting KC, eds. *Engineering and science of biomass feedstock production and provision*. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 37–59.
- Leimu R, Fischer M. 2008. A meta-analysis of local adaptation in plants. *PLoS ONE* 3: e4010.
- Lesaffre E, Lawson AB. 2012. Bayesian biostatistics. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
- Li F, Upadhyaya NM, Sperschneider J, Matny O, Nguyen-Phuc H, Mago R, Raley C, Miller ME, Silverstein KA, Henningsen E *et al.* 2019. Emergence of the Ug99 lineage of the wheat stem rust pathogen through somatic hybridisation. *Nature Communications* 10: 1–15.
- Lovell JT, Shakirov EV, Schwartz S, Lowry DB, Aspinwall MJ, Taylor SH, Bonnette J, Palacio-Mejia JD, Hawkes CV, Fay PA *et al.* 2016. Promises and challenges of eco-physiological genomics in the field: tests of drought responses in switchgrass. *Plant Physiology* 172: 734–748.
- Lowry DB, Behrman KD, Grabowski P, Morris GP, Kiniry JR, Juenger TE. 2014. Adaptations between ecotypes and along environmental gradients in *Panicum virgatum. American Naturalist* 183: 682–692.
- Lowry DB, Lovell JT, Zhang L, Bonnette J, Fay PA, Mitchell RB, Lloyd-Reilley J, Boe AR, Wu Y, Rouquette FM *et al.* 2019. QTL × environment interactions underlie adaptive divergence in switchgrass across a large latitudinal gradient. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 116: 12933–1241.
- Mckay JK, Richards JH, Mitchell-Olds T. 2003. Genetics of drought adaptation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: I. Pleiotropy contributes to genetic correlations among ecological traits. *Molecular Ecology* 12: 1137–1151.
- McNeal FH, Konzak CF, Smith EP, Tate WS, Russell TS. 1971. A uniform system for recording and processing cereal research data. Washington, DC, USA: USDA-ARS.
- Milano ER, Lowry DB, Juenger TE. 2016. The genetic basis of upland/lowland ecotype divergence in switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*). G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics 6: 3561–3570.
- Morris GP, Grabowski PP, Borevitz JO. 2011. Genomic diversity in switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*): from the continental scale to a dune landscape. *Molecular Ecology* 20: 4938–4952.
- Mursinoff S, Tack AJM. 2017. Spatial variation in soil biota mediates plant adaptation to a foliar pathogen. *New Phytologist* 214: 644–654.
- Parrish DJ, Casler MD, Monti A. 2012. The evolution of switchgrass as an energy crop. In: Monti A, ed. Switchgrass. London, UK: Springer, 1–28.
- Peixoto MdeM, Sage RF. 2016. Improved experimental protocols to evaluate cold tolerance thresholds in *Miscanthus* and switchgrass rhizomes. *GCB Bioenergy* 8: 257–268.
- Penczykowski RM, Laine A, Koskella B. 2016. Understanding the ecology and evolution of host–parasite interactions across scales. *Evolutionary Applications* 9: 37–52.
- Price N, Moyers BT, Lopez L, Lasky JR, Monroe JG, Mullen JL, Oakley CG, Lin J, Ågren J, Schrider DR *et al.* 2018. Combining population genomics and fitness

QTLs to identify the genetics of local adaptation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **115**: 5028–5033.

- R Core Team. 2019. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. [WWW document] URL http://www.R-project.org/.
- Roelfs AP, Singh RP, Saari EE. 1992. Rust diseases of wheat: concepts and methods of disease management. Mexico, DF: CIMMYT, 44–47.

Shaw MW, Osborne TM. 2011. Geographic distribution of plant pathogens in response to climate change. *Plant Pathology* 60: 31–43.

Simms EL, Triplett J. 1994. Costs and benefits of plant responses to disease: resistance and tolerance. *Evolution* 48: 1973–1985.

Singh A, Knox RE, DePauw RM, Singh AK, Cuthbert RD, Campbell HL, Shorter S, Bhavani S. 2014. Stripe rust and leaf rust resistance QTL mapping, epistatic interactions, and co-localization with stem rust resistance loci in spring wheat evaluated over three continents. *TAG. Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 127: 2465–2477.

Singh RP, Hodson DP, Jin Y, Lagudah ES, Ayliffe MA, Bhavani S, Rouse MN, Pretorius ZA, Szabo LJ, Huerta-Espino J et al. 2015. Emergence and spread of new races of wheat stem rust fungus: continued threat to food security and prospects of genetic control. *Phytopathology* 105: 872–884.

Soltani A, MafiMoghaddam S, Oladzad-Abbasabadi A, Walter K, Kearns PJ, Vasquez-Guzman J, Mamidi S, Lee R, Shade AL, Jacobs JL *et al.* 2018. Genetic analysis of flooding tolerance in an Andean diversity panel of dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9: 1–15.

Thrall PH, Burdon JJ. 2002. Evolution of gene-for-gene systems in metapopulations: the effect of spatial scale of host and pathogen dispersal. *Plant Pathology* 51: 169–184.

Thrall PH, Laine AL, Ravensdale M, Nemri A, Dodds PN, Barrett LG, Burdon JJ. 2012. Rapid genetic change underpins antagonistic coevolution in a natural host-pathogen metapopulation. *Ecology Letters* 15: 425–435.

Tian D, Traw MB, Chen JQ, Kreitman M, Bergelson J. 2003. Fitness costs of R-gene-mediated resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* 423: 74–77.

Traw MB, Bergelson J. 2010. Plant immune system incompatibility and the distribution of enemies in natural hybrid zones. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 13: 466–471.

Uppalapati SR, Serba DD, Ishiga Y, Szabo LJ, Mittal S, Bhandari HS, Bouton JH, Mysore KS, Saha MC. 2013. Characterization of the rust fungus, *Puccinia emaculata*, and evaluation of genetic variability for rust resistance in switchgrass populations. *BioEnergy Research* 6: 458–468.

Vazquez MD, Zemetra R, Peterson CJ, Chen XM, Heesacker A, Mundt CC. 2015. Multi-location wheat stripe rust QTL analysis: genetic background and epistatic interactions. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 128: 1307–1318.

Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. New York, NY, USA: Springer.

Zeng Q, Wu J, Liu S, Huang S, Wang Q, Mu J, Yu S, Han D, Kang Z. 2019. A major QTL co-localized on chromosome 6BL and its epistatic interaction for enhanced wheat stripe rust resistance. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 132: 1409–1424.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1 Mean rust scores by generation and cross type.

Fig. S2 Correlations between mean rust score and morphological and phenological traits.

Fig. S3 Mean rust score by site and year.

Fig. S4 Rust progression curves for 2017 and 2018.

Fig. S5 Time-series QTLs for complete sites and years

Fig. S6 10% LOD-drop intervals for Prr1 and Prr2.

Table S1 Centimorgan positions, maximum SLOD scores andPVE for significant QTLs.

Table S2 ANOVA tests for epistatic interactions between 3Nand 9N QTLs.

Table S3 Genes in 10% LOD-drop interval for Prr1 and Prr2.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.

See also the Commentary on this article by Catalán & Vogel, **227**: 1587–1590.