Non-surgical Treatments of Esophageal Cancer

Danial Seifi Makrani¹, Hadi Hasanzadeh^{2,*}, Tayyeb Allahverdi Pourfallah³, Arash Ghasemi⁴, Majid Jadidi¹

¹Department of Medical Physics, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

ABSTRACT

Esophageal cancer is one of the most common malignancies with a growing occurrence. It presently ranks ninth among the most frequent cancers in the world and the sixth leading cause of death from cancer. For a variety of reasons, the mainstream of patients with esophageal cancer is actually not suitable for Esophagectomy. More than 50% have locally advanced unresectable or metastatic tumors at diagnosis. Other reasons which exclude Esophagectomy include old age, comorbidity or refusal by the patient. For more advanced stages of esophageal cancers, the basis of non-surgical treatment is chemotherapy (CT) or radiotherapy (RT), either alone or in combination as chemoradiotherapy (CRT). The purpose of this study is to summaries and judgmentally analyzes current non-surgical treatments. Although the best treatment for locally advanced esophageal cancer is still being debated, the use of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy has gained acceptance.

Keywords: Esophageal cancer; Radiation therapy; Neoadjuvant chemoradiation; Definitive chemoradiotherapy

INTRODUCTION

Esophageal cancer (EC) has been informed as the eighth most common cancer and the sixth most lethal cancer worldwide [1]. In 2012, 400,000 patients died from esophageal cancer globally [2]. In the United States, EC is in charge for more than 4% of annual cancerrelated deaths and an estimated 16,980 cases of esophageal cancer were diagnosed in 2014, with 15,590 deaths per year expected from the disease [3]. Institute of Public Health Research of Tehran University (IPHR) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) have publicized that North-eastern Iran is a very high occurrence region for esophageal cancer [4-7]. There are two histological types of esophageal cancer with different pathologic features: esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) and esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC). Squamous-cell carcinoma ascends from the epithelial cells that line the esophagus. Adenocarcinoma arises from glandular cells existing in the lower third of the esophagus, often where they have already converted to intestinal cell type (a condition known as Barrett's esophagus) [8]. Squamous-cell carcinoma is linked to lifestyle factors such as smoking and alcohol while the occurrence of AC has been expanding due to a dramatic rise in the percentage of overweight and obese people leading to increases in gastro esophageal reflux disease [9] and this histological type has greatly increased in the last decade in several European countries and in the United States [10]; Tobacco is a risk factor for both types [11].

Selection of treatment scheme depends mainly on the patient's performance status, stage or extent of the disease, histology, and position of the primary tumor. The main curative treatment modalities are surgery and concurrent radiotherapy and chemotherapy [12].

Managing of locally advanced esophageal tumors has moved from surgery alone to multimodality approaches [13]. Over the last 20

²Cancer Research Center, Department of Medical Physics, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

³Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

⁴ Department of Radiology and Radiation oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

^{*}Corresponding Author: email address: <u>Hasanzadeh.h@semums.ac.ir</u> (H. Hasanzadeh)

years, there has been a development in using combined chemotherapy with radiation therapy. For most of the patients suffering advanced illness, curative treatment left overs to be a challenge. To improve the deprived outcomes of locally advanced esophageal cancer, many treatment modalities have been attempted such as addition of adjuvant radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy, neoadjuvant radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy, and definitive chemoradiotherapy (CRT) with various radiation doses and techniques. Consequently multimodal therapies, including chemotherapy and radiotherapy were presented. This review summaries and judgmentally analyzes current non-surgical treatments.

Treatment of locally advanced esophageal cancer

Radiation Alone

Currently, radiotherapy is one of the chief, effective and relatively inoffensive curative modalities for esophageal carcinoma. It could be used for early stage and advanced diseases, and as a locally palliative treatment for metastatic disease. Radiotherapy is one of the main palliative approaches in patients with dysphagia [14]. It has been used definitively, both preoperatively and postoperatively. Definitive radiation results in poor local control and poor survival. Numerous studies looking at externalbeam radiotherapy treatment alone found significantly low 5-year survival rates ranging from 0 % to 21 % [15-18]. Earlam and Cunha-Melo reviewed 49 series with 8,489 patients treated with radiation alone and found the survival rates to be 18% at 1 year, 8% at 2 years, and 6% at 5 years [19]. Recent clinical trials have shown radiotherapy as a singular modality to be lower advantageous than chemoradiation. In conclusion, radiation alone should only be considered for palliative treatment and should not be considered for curative intent [20]. But, patients who are unfortunate surgical risks and are incapable to bear chemotherapy, occasionally will need to be managed with radiation therapy alone with a small but real chance of survival.

Principles of Radiation Therapy Planning

Although radiotherapy has a clear role in the management of esophageal carcinoma, the challenge is in delivering the radiation dose precisely to minimize normal tissue toxicity. Radiotherapy for carcinoma of the esophagus presents a particularly difficult treatment planning problem. The planning target volume (PTV) is central, close to the spinal cord, and is almost completely surrounded by lung, a radiosensitive organ with a relatively low radiation tolerance [21] and definitions of the primary site of esophageal cancer and metastatic lymph nodes are essential [22]. Patient positioning in the lying position is preferable in thoracic lesions and is likely to move the esophagus further from the spinal cord. If the tumor is in the distal third or the celiac nodes are histologically or clinically positive, the target volume is enlarged to include this nodal volume. Doses to the spinal cord, heart, lungs, liver, and kidneys must be kept within the tolerance limits to reduce morbidity [15]. Three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3DCRT) improves the dose distribution in lesions and thereby allows significant increase of target dose and decrease of organ at risk (OAR) doses. A wide range of doses has been used with radiotherapy alone and will be a function of the tumor location and the normal tissue tolerance.

Chemotherapy

The disappointment of surgery to medications in localized esophageal cancer is as a consequence of the great number of lymph node involvement and distant metastases before symptoms happen. Preoperative (neoadjuvant or induction) chemotherapy has been used in an attempt to decline tumor activity, increase resectability and improve disease-free and overall survival. Chemotherapy drugs come into bloodstream and spread in the body, so it is valuable for extended cancers. Depending on the stage of esophageal cancer. type and Fluorouracil, also called 5FU, Capecitabine, also called Xeloda (X), Cisplatin, or sometimes carboplatin is used. Polee et al [23] have evaluated a biweekly combination of cisplatin and paclitaxel in a phase II study, with hopeful results. Objective responses occurred in 59% of patients (total no. of 49), with median survival of 20 months and 32 months in patients who had disease responsive to chemotherapy; the 3-year survival rate was 32%.

The two almost the same trials were done; Allum WH [24] used 2 cycles of cisplatin and 5FU, and the Kelsen DP [25] used similar drugs but with 3 cycles. Allum WH experimental stated a positive outcome from pre-chemotherapy, but Kelsen DP reported that preoperative chemotherapy with a mixture of Cisplatin and fluorouracil did not improve overall survival between patients. However, in spite of the differing outcomes in those two trials, the most current prechemotherapy trials announce positive results [26, 27]. Data suggest that managing systemic chemotherapy prior to surgery for esophageal cancer increases survival compared to surgery alone [28, 29] and that the addition of simultaneous radiotherapy improves therapeutic yield, increases rates of pathologic complete response, decreases rates of local tumor recurrence and improves survival rate [30-32].

Definite Chemoradiotherapy

The combined use of radiation therapy and chemotherapy in cancer treatment is a rational and functional approach that has already established favorable for several malignancies. Local control of the primary tumor mass (which can often be achieved by high-dose radiation), combined with systemic chemotherapy to control metastatic disease, should provide effective means to contest such a highly complex disease [33]. Many chemotherapy drugs which enhance effects of radiation provide even more impetus to integrate both modalities. The Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 85-01 trial was a randomized controlled comparison of definitive radiotherapy alone (64 Gy), and definitive concurrent chemoradiation (50 Gy delivered concurrently with 5-fluorouracil [5-FU] and Cisplatin). A statistically significant benefit was noted for overall survival among patients receiving concurrent chemoradiation [34, 35]. This study, however, was done in the 1980s when staging did not require CT scanning, which might have led to difference between study

groups. A follow-up trial (RTOG 94-05) compared chemoradiotherapy regimens with radiation doses of 64.8 Gy or 50.4 Gy. The addition of chemotherapy increased the median survival from 8.9 to 12.5 months. The 2-, 5- and 8-vear overall survival was 38%, 27% and 22%, respectively in the combined therapy group; while the 2-year overall survival was only 10% in the patients given radiotherapy alone, and none survived 5 years [35]. On the basis of these results, 50.4 Gy is the standard dose used in the [1, 36]. It has confirmed chemoradiotherapy is superior to radiotherapy in localized carcinoma of the esophagus [37]. All of these studies report a greater average existence in the chemoradiation arm vs. radiation alone. Thus, definitive chemoradiation vs. radiation alone seems to offer better therapeutic result. Moreover, proofs have confirmed that long-term outcomes after definitive chemoradiotherapy for stage I-III esophageal cancer is comparable to that following Esophagectomy [38, 39].

Chan, et al [40] indicated that in 82 patients undergoing definitive CRT, 5-year overall and disease-free survival was 25% and 23% versus 23% and 21% in 81 patients receiving surgery alone; no statistical difference was originate between the two groups. To put it briefly, definitive chemoradiotherapy is an upright substitute plan for patients with esophageal cancer who are not appropriate for surgical resection.

Adjuvant Chemoradiotherapy

Adjuvant chemoradiotherapy is part of a multimodality treatment method so as to develop existence results after surgery in esophageal cancer. From the INT 0116 study [41], adjuvant chemoradiotherapy was established as the standard treatment in patients with node-positive AC of the gastroesophageal junction(GEJ). This trial casually allocated 556 patients resected GEJ or stomach AC following surgery to either observation or adjuvant therapy with 4 monthly cycles of bolus 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and leucovorin combined with radiation to 45 Gy in 25 fractions. With a median follow-up period of 5 years, the 3-year survival rate was 50% in the CRT group vs. 41% in the surgery alone group

(P=0.005). A Chinese study of stage II and III SCC of the esophagus was piloted where patients were randomly divided into three groups; surgery alone, preoperative chemoradiation and postoperative chemoradiation and OS was significantly better in patients treated with postoperative and preoperative chemoradiation compared to surgery alone [42]. However, a randomized trial of Tachibana et al failed to show a continued existence advantage of chemoradiotherapy compared adjuvant adjuvant chemotherapy [43]. Based on the beyond trials, the patients probably benefit from postoperative chemoradiation will have either positive lymph nodes or positive margins.

Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation

Neoadjuvant methodologies purpose enhance existence level by downstaging the tumor and annihilate micro metastatic disease at prompt stage. A neoadjuvant CRT method to the administration of esophageal cancer has several benefits over postoperative treatment. Patients will endure treatment better preoperatively, as subject to the extended they are not physiological recovery post-Esophagectomy. Several randomized trials determine the benefits of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy [31, 42, 44, The earliest trials of preoperative chemoradiation were based on the success of treating anal carcinoma. Franklin et al [46] treated 30 patients with 30 Gy/3 weeks concurrent with 5-FU (days 1-4 and 29-32) and Mitomycin C (day 1) followed by surgery (day 49-64). Postoperatively, 20 Gy was delivered to patients with residual disease. Follow-up revealed that four of the six histologically negative disease-free patients were alive for 95 to 190 weeks. One of the patients who refused surgery after radiation and chemotherapy was alive at 4 years. In Walsh TN et al study [47], patients received either surgery alone or two neoadjuvant chemotherapy courses of (Cisplatin/5-FU) with concurrent RT 40 Gy, 2.67 Gy per fraction. A statistically significant survival benefit was noted for chemoradiation group with a median survival of 16 months vs. 11 months based on the intent to

treat analysis and 32 months vs. 11 months based on an analysis of actual treatment received. Three-year survival was also statistically significant favoring the chemoradiation arm (32% vs 6%, p=0.01). In Dutch CROSS trial [48], 366 patients were randomized to neoadjuvant CRT with 5 weekly cycles of paclitaxel (50 mg/m2) and carboplatin (AUC 2) combined with concurrent radiotherapy (41.4 Gy in 23 fractions) or surgery alone. Both the median survival and overall survival was higher in the CRT group (49.4 versus 24.0 months, P= 0.003).

Meta-analyses also propose the valuable effects of neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. Urschel [49] and Fiorica [50] distinctly analyzed 6 identical randomized controlled trials comparing preoperative radiochemotherapy plus surgery with surgery alone for esophageal cancer. They found that a complete pathological response to chemoradiotherapy occurred in 21% of patients. Compared with surgery alone, neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy significantly improved 3-year survival and reduced locoregional cancer recurrence (p = 0.016).

RESULTS

Numerous tactics have been attempted over the last couple of decades to treat patients with carcinoma of the esophagus in order to decrease the local tumor burden and treatment of micrometastatic disease. In table 1 several metaanalyses have been showed to determine the survival benefit of these treatments for esophageal cancer. These contain several combinations of radiation therapy, surgery, and chemotherapy. Chemoradiation has shown expectant results and seems to be superior to radiation therapy as the sole modality. Radiation therapy offers important palliation in patients with inoperable disease and is particularly beneficial in such a setting. There is a requirement for registering patients in sufficient numbers in prospective clinical trials that will allow clinicians to be able to describe the ideal sequencing and actual necessity of each individual module of mutual-modality therapy.

Table 1. Randomized trials on esophageal cancer therapy

Study	Treatment Modality	Chemotherapy Regimen	Radiotherapy Regimen	No. of Patient	Median Survival (months)	3-yr Overall Surviva 1 (%)	5-yr Overall Survival (%)	Definitive/ Palliative treatment
Schlag [51]	Chemotherap y + Surgery	3 x Cis/5FU	1	46	10	n/s	n/s	Definitive
Boonstra et al [52]	Chemotherap y + Surgery	2 x Cis/etoposide	1	169	16	17	n/s	Definitive
Allum et al [53]	Chemotherap y + Surgery	2 x Cis/5FU	-	802	17	n/s	23	Definitive
Maipang et al [54]	Chemotherap y + Surgery	2 x Cis/vinblastine bleomycin	-	46	17	36	n/s	Definitive
RTOG 8501[35]	Radiotherapy alone	-	64 Gy/6.4 wks	60	9.3	n/s	0	Palliative
Jun et al [55]	Radiotherapy alone	-	70 Gy/35 fractions	110	n/s	24	17	Definitive
Walsh [47]	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiati on	Cisplatin/5-FU	40 Gy/3 wks	58	16	32	n/s	Definitive
vanHage n [48]	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiati on	Carboplatin/pac litaxel	41.4 Gy/4.5 wks	175	49.4	n/s	47	Definitive
Mariette[56]	Neoadjuvant Chemoradiati on	Cisplatin/5-FU	45 Gy/5 wks	97	32	n/s	48.6	Definitive

Abbreviations: 5FU=5 fluorouracil, Cis=Cisplatin, n/s=not significant, wks=weeks

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, patients with esophageal cancer who do not experience Esophagectomy will generally benefit from CRT.

The data that support the use of radiation alone for curative intent are limited and definitive chemoradiation is a respectable strategy for the treatment of locally advanced unresectable

REFERENCES

- 1. Pennathur A, Gibson MK, Jobe BA, Luketich JD. Oesophageal carcinoma. Lancet. 2013; 381 (9864): 400-12.
- 2. Bernard W Stewart CPW. World cancer report 2014: IARC Press, International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2014. Numb.
- 3. Cancer Facts & Figures 2014 [Internet]. American Cancer Society.
- 4. Iran J, Group IS. Esophageal cancer studies in the Caspian littoral of Iran: results of population studies: a prodrome. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1977; 54 1127-38.

esophageal cancer, but local control leftovers a problem, and it is necessary to explore potential ways of improving local control.

Neoadjuvant chemoradiation provides a significant benefit over surgery alone for esophageal cancer and it remains the standard non-surgical treatment for more advanced stages of esophageal cancers.

- 5. Kmet J, Mahboubi E. Esophageal cancer in the Caspian littoral of Iran: initial studies. Science. 1972; 175 (4024): 846-53.
- 6. Mahboubi E, Kmet J, Cook P, Day N, Ghadirian P, Salmasizadeh S. Oesophageal cancer studies in the Caspian Littoral of Iran: the Caspian cancer registry. Br J Cancer. 1973; 28 (3): 197.
- 7. Hormozdiari H, Day N, Aramesh B, Mahboubi E. Dietary factors and esophageal cancer in the Caspian Littoral of Iran. Cancer Res. 1975; 35 (11-2): 3493-8.
- 8. Kelsen DP, Daly JM, Kern SE, Levin B, Tepper JE. Gastrointestinal oncology: principles

- and practice: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2002. Numb.
- 9. Wu AH, Wan P, Bernstein L. A multiethnic population-based study of smoking, alcohol and body size and risk of adenocarcinomas of the stomach and esophagus (United States). Cancer Causes Control. 2001; 12 (8): 721-32.
- 10. Pera M, Manterola C, Vidal O, Grande L. Epidemiology of esophageal adenocarcinoma. J Surg Oncol. 2005; 92 (3): 151-9.
- 11. Schoppmann SF. Surgical controversies in esophageal cancer. Memo. 2014; 7 (1): 30-3.
- 12. Mohler JL. The 2010 NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology on prostate cancer. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2010; 8 (2): 145-.
- 13. Chen Y. The Role of Radiation in Multimodality Therapy for Esophageal Cancer. Int J TT. 2013; 2 (1): 18-25.
- 14. Prasad NV, Karthigeyan M, Vikram K, Parthasarathy R, Reddy K. Palliative Radiotherapy in Esophageal Cancer. Indian J Surg Oncol. 2013; 1-5.
- 15. Raman N, Small W. The role of radiation therapy in the management of esophageal cancer. Cancer Control. 1999; 6 53-63.
- 16. Sykes AJ, Burt PA, Slevin NJ, Stout R, Marrs JE. Radical radiotherapy for carcinoma of the oesophagus: an effective alternative to surgery. Radiother Oncol. 1998; 48 (1): 15-21.
- 17. Newaishy G, Read G, Duncan W, Kerr G. Results of radical radiotherapy of squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus. Clin Radiol. 1982; 33 (3): 347-52.
- 18. Okawa T, Kita M, Tanaka M, Ikeda M. Results of radiotherapy for inoperable locally advanced esophageal cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1989; 17 (1): 49-54.
- 19. Earlam R, Cunha-Melo J. Oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma: II. A critical review of radiotherapy. Br J Surg. 1980; 67 (7): 457-61.
- 20. Shridhar R, Imani-Shikhabadi R, Davis B, Streeter OA, Thomas Jr CR. Curative Treatment of Esophageal Cancer; An Evidenced Based Review. J Gastrointest Cancer. 2013; 44 (4): 375-84.
- 21. Nutting CM, Bedford JL, Cosgrove VP, Tait DM, Dearnaley DP, Webb S. A comparison of conformal and intensity-modulated techniques

- for oesophageal radiotherapy. Radiother Oncol. 2001; 61 (2): 157-63.
- 22. Takahashi T, Nishimura K, Yamano T. Role and Progression of Radiotherapy for Locally Advanced Esophageal Cancer. J Gastroint Dig Syst S. 2013; 1 2.
- 23. Polee M, Tilanus H, Eskens F, Hoekstra R, Van der Burg M, Siersema P, et al. Phase II study of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel and cisplatin given every 2 weeks for patients with a resectable squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus. Br J Cancer. 2003; 14 (8): 1253-7.
- 24. Allum WH, Stenning SP, Bancewicz J, Clark PI, Langley RE. Long-term results of a randomized trial of surgery with or without preoperative chemotherapy in esophageal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27 (30): 5062-7.
- 25. Kelsen DP, Ginsberg R, Pajak TF, Sheahan DG, Gunderson L, Mortimer J, et al. Chemotherapy followed by surgery compared with surgery alone for localized esophageal cancer. N Engl J Med. 1998; 339 (27): 1979-84.
- 26. Ychou M, Boige V, Pignon JP, Conroy T, Bouche O, Lebreton G, et al. Perioperative chemotherapy compared with surgery alone for resectable gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma: an FNCLCC and FFCD multicenter phase III trial. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29 (13): 1715-21.
- 27. Cunningham D, Allum WH, Stenning SP, Thompson JN, Van de Velde CJ, Nicolson M, et al. Perioperative chemotherapy versus surgery alone for resectable gastroesophageal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355 (1): 11-20.
- 28. Working MRCOC. Surgical resection with or without preoperative chemotherapy in oesophageal cancer: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002; 359 (9319): 1727-33.
- 29. Cunningham D, Allum WH, Stenning SP, Thompson JN, Van de Velde CJ, Nicolson M, et al. Perioperative chemotherapy versus surgery alone for resectable gastroesophageal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355 (1): 11-20.
- 30. Urba SG, Orringer MB, Turrisi A, Iannettoni M, Forastiere A, Strawderman M. Randomized trial of preoperative chemoradiation versus surgery alone in patients with locoregional esophageal carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2001; 19 (2): 305-13.

- 31. Burmeister BH, Smithers BM, Gebski V, Fitzgerald L, Simes RJ, Devitt P, et al. Surgery alone versus chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery for resectable cancer of the oesophagus: a randomised controlled phase III trial. Lancet Oncol. 2005; 6 (9): 659-68.
- 32. Tepper J, Krasna MJ, Niedzwiecki D, Hollis D, Reed CE, Goldberg R, et al. Phase III trial of trimodality therapy with cisplatin, fluorouracil, radiotherapy, and surgery compared with surgery alone for esophageal cancer: CALGB 9781. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26 (7): 1086-92.
- 33. Herscher LL, Cook JA, Pacelli R, Pass H, Russo A, Mitchell J. Principles of chemoradiation: theoretical and practical considerations. Oncology (Williston Park). 1999; 13 (10 Suppl 5): 11-22.
- 34. Herskovic A, Martz K, Al-Sarraf M, Leichman L, Brindle J, Vaitkevicius V, et al. Combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy compared with radiotherapy alone in patients with cancer of the esophagus. N Engl J Med. 1992; 326 (24): 1593-8.
- 35. Cooper JS, Guo MD, Herskovic A, Macdonald JS, Martenson Jr JA, Al-Sarraf M, et al. Chemoradiotherapy of locally advanced esophageal cancer: long-term follow-up of a prospective randomized trial (RTOG 85-01). Jama. 1999; 281 (17): 1623-7.
- 36. Ajani JA, Winter K, Komaki R, Kelsen DP, Minsky BD, Liao Z, et al. Phase II randomized trial of two nonoperative regimens of induction chemotherapy followed by chemoradiation in patients with localized carcinoma of the esophagus: RTOG 0113. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26 (28): 4551-6.
- 37. Rebecca WO, Richard MA. Combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy (without surgery) compared with radiotherapy alone in localized carcinoma of the esophagus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003; (1469-493X (Electronic)).
- 38. Wu PC, Posner MC. The role of surgery in the management of oesophageal cancer. Lancet Oncol. 2003; 4 (8): 481-8.
- 39. Suntharalingam M, editor Definitive chemoradiation in the management of locally advanced esophageal cancer. Semin Radiat Oncol; 2007: Elsevier.

- 40. Chan A, Wong A. Is combined chemotherapy and radiation therapy equally effective as surgical resection in localized esophageal carcinoma? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1999; 45 (2): 265-70.
- 41. Macdonald JS, Smalley SR, Benedetti J, Hundahl SA, Estes NC, Stemmermann GN, et al. Chemoradiotherapy after surgery compared with surgery alone for adenocarcinoma of the stomach or gastroesophageal junction. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345 (10): 725-30.
- 42. Lv J, Cao X-F, Zhu B, Ji L, Tao L, Wang D-D. Long-term efficacy of perioperative chemoradiotherapy on esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol. 2010; 16 (13): 1649.
- 43. Tachibana M, Yoshimura H, Kinugasa S, Shibakita M, Dhar D, Ueda S, et al. Postoperative chemotherapy vs. chemoradiotherapy for thoracic esophageal cancer: a prospective randomized clinical trial. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2003; 29 (7): 580-7.
- 44. Lee J-L, Park S, Kim S-B, Jung H-Y, Lee G, Kim J-H, et al. A single institutional phase III trial of preoperative chemotherapy with hyperfractionation radiotherapy plus surgery versus surgery alone for resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Ann Oncol. 2004; 15 (6): 947-54.
- 45. Gaast A, Van Hagen P, Hulshof M, Richel D, van Berge Henegouwen M, Nieuwenhuijzen G, et al., editors. Effect of preoperative concurrent chemoradiotherapy on survival of patients with resectable esophageal or esophagogastric junction cancer: Results from a multicenter randomized phase III study. Proc ASCO; 2010.
- 46. Franklin R, Steiger Z, Vaishampayan G, Asfaw I, Rosenberg J, Loh J, et al. Combined modality therapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer. 1983; 51 (6): 1062-71.
- 47. Walsh TN, Noonan N, Hollywood D, Kelly A, Keeling N, Hennessy TP. A comparison of multimodal therapy and surgery for esophageal adenocarcinoma. N Engl J Med. 1996; 335 (7): 462-7.
- 48. Van Hagen P, Hulshof M, Van Lanschot J, Steyerberg E, Henegouwen MvB, Wijnhoven B, et al. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for esophageal or junctional cancer. N Engl J Med.

- 2012; 366 (22): 2074-84.
- 49. Urschel JD, Vasan H. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials that compared neoadjuvant chemoradiation and surgery to surgery alone for resectable esophageal cancer. Am J Surg. 2003; 185 (6): 538-43.
- 50. Fiorica F, Di Bona D, Schepis F, Licata A, Shahied L, Venturi A, et al. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gut. 2004; 53 (7): 925-30.
- 51. Schlag PM. Randomized trial of preoperative chemotherapy for squamous cell cancer of the esophagus. Arch Surg. 1992; 127 (12): 1446-50.
- 52. Boonstra JJ, Kok TC, Wijnhoven BP, van Heijl M, van Berge Henegouwen MI, ten Kate FJ, et al. Chemotherapy followed by surgery versus surgery alone in patients with resectable oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma: long-term results of a randomized controlled trial. BMC cancer. 2011; 11 (1): 181.

- 53. Allum WH, Stenning SP, Bancewicz J, Clark PI, Langley RE. Long-term results of a randomized trial of surgery with or without preoperative chemotherapy in esophageal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27 (30): 5062-7.
- 54. Maipang T, Vasinanukorn P, Petpichetchian C, Chamroonkul S, Geater A, Chansawwaang S, et al. Induction chemotherapy in the treatment of patients with carcinoma of the esophagus. j SJ Surg Oncol. 1994; 56 (3): 191-7.
- 55. Wan J, Gao S, Guo B. Study of radiation dosage in esophageal carcinoma. Chin Med J. 1992; 105 (2): 132-4.
- 56. Mariette C, Dahan L, Mornex F, Maillard E, Thomas P-A, Meunier B, et al. Surgery alone versus chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery for stage I and II esophageal cancer: final analysis of randomized controlled phase III trial FFCD 9901. J Clin Oncol. 2014; 32 (23): 2416-22.