# Study of proteome pattern of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain UTPF68 in interaction with *Trichoderma atroviride* strain P1 and tomato

Masoumeh Faraji<sup>1,\*</sup>, Masoud Ahmadzadeh<sup>1</sup>, Keivan Behboudi<sup>1</sup>, Seyed Mahmoud Okhovvat<sup>1</sup>, Michelina Ruocco<sup>2</sup>, Matteo Lorito<sup>2</sup>, Mostafa Rezaei-Tavirani<sup>4</sup>, Hakimeh Zali<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Protection, Pardise of Agricultural and Natural resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Arboriculture, Botany and Plant Pathology, University of Federico II, Naples, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Students Research Committee, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Proteomeics Research Center, Faculty of Paramedical Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of medical sciences, Tehran, Iran

\*Corresponding author: Email address: mfaraji@ut.ac.ir (Masoumeh Faraji)

#### Abstract

Saprophitic *Pseudomonas* species are root-colonizing bacteria that can improve plant health. Efficient exploitation of these bacteria in agriculture requires knowledge of traits that enhance ecological performance in the rhizosphere. Some Pseudomonas fluorescens strains present biocontrol properties, protecting the roots of some plant species against plant pathogens. These bacteria induce systemic resistance in the host plant, so it can better resist attack by a true pathogen. The bacteria outcompete other (pathogenic) soil microbes, e.g., by siderophores, giving a competitive advantage at scavenging for iron. The bacteria produce compounds antagonistic to other soil microbes, such as phenazine - type antibiotics or hydrogen cyanide. In this study the changes in the protein profile of *P. fluorescens* strain UTPF68, involved in the multiple interactions between plant (tomato) and an antagonistic agent (Trichoderma atroviride strain P1) investigated. Twodimensional electrophoresis was used to analyze separately collected proteins from each one, two or three partner interactions. The results about differential produced spots in *Pseudomonas* proteome in each collation, showed that 18 differential spots became visible as new, 16 spots were absent, 17 spots were upregulated and 1 spot was down-regulated, when Tomato-Pseudomonas (TP) condition was compared with control Pseudomonas alone (P). Also more than 84 differential spots were accumulated in proteome of Pseudomonas due to the presence of Trichoderma, as new, absent, increased and decreased spots. By comparison of conditions revealed 2 protein spots that detected by MS, have newly expressed in present of Plant and Trichoderma. These proteins corresponded to arginine deiminase of P. putida GB-1 and Chaperonin GroEL protein of *P. putida* S16 that their expressions associated to stress condition. The results indicated that the presence of Plant and Trichoderma induces major changes in the protein profile of Pseudomonas.

**Keywords:** 2-DE; Interaction; Antagonist; *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain UTPF68; *Trichoderma atroviride* strain P1

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The use of traditional methods to protect crops from disease have been largely based on the use of chemical pesticides. But chemical methods are not cost effective in the long run because they damage the environment, leave harmful residues, pollute the atmosphere and can lead to the development of resistant strains among the target organisms with repeated use [22].

One of the most promising means to reduce doses of chemicals is by the use of biocontrol agents (BCAs) in the control of plant pathogens [6]. BCAs are available as commercial products such Agrobacterium, Streptomyces, Bacillus, as Pseudomonas and fungal genera such as Gliocladium, Trichoderma, Amplomyces, Candida and Coniothyrium [2]. These agents have developed the ability to interact simultaneously both with themselves and plants. These microorganisms have separated by biocontrol mechanisms and distinct regulation of key biocontrol genes. Pseudomonas spp. produce different secondary metabolites with antimicrobial activity, including hydrogen cyanide and metalchelating (siderophores). Also produce salicylic acid and the polyketide antimicrobial compound, pyoluteorin [2]. *Trichoderma* biocontrol activity is distinctively due to mycoparasitism facilitated by the production of cell wall-degrading enzymes (CWDE) [9]. The CWDEs act synergistically to advance mycoparasitism of phytopathogenic fungi. The most important are the ECH42 endochitinase encoded by *ech42* and an *N*-acetyl- $\beta$ -d-glucosaminidase encoded by *nag1* [4].

The use of bacterial and fungal strain mixtures is a promising way to improve the efficacy of biocontrol treatments. Recent studies suggested to *Pseudomonas* and *Trichoderma* strains in using of biocontrol agents. The introduction of two or more antagonists in a strain mixture has been proposed as an approach to improve the level and reliability of biocontrol treatments across an expanded broader range of environments [18].

Our aim in this research was to determine impact of one of the most studied biocontrol organisms, P. fluorescens, on the changes of proteome profile. To achieve this goal the three way interaction plant-Trichodermabetween Pseudomonas investigated by using proteomeic technique. This technique clearly represents an effective tool to analyze such biocontrol processes. By using of this method, we studied the interactions of current biocontrol agent P. fluorescens strain UTPf68 with a host plant and T. atroviride strain P1, in order to identify and analyze differentially produced proteins by the three players. A method has been developed and optimized to obtain 2-D gel maps of separately collected proteins from each partner, as well as all the possible combinations of the components. In each experiment was assessed changes in the proteome profile of Also, differently P. fluorescens UTPF68. produced proteins were obtained from the analysis of 2-D gel containing the proteins of the antagonists during the interaction with tomato plant.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antagonistic compatibility test

In vitro compatibility test between *P. fluorescens* strain UTPF68 and *T. atroviride* strain P1 was conducted in order to determine whether they can be used in combination. Dual culture plate method described by seddiqui and Shaukat [27] was employed. Accordingly, an overnight culture of *P. fluorescens* which was grown in King's broth was streaked on one side of the plate (9 cm diameter) containing Kings' B agar (KBA). The other side of the plate was inoculated with 1 cm disc of *T. atroviride* (9 days old). The plates were incubated at 25 °C and zone of inhibition (if any) was measured. The test was performed in triplicates.

# Growth and interaction conditions for proteomeics experiments

Tomato seeds (*Lycopersicon sculentum*) were sterilized for 1 min with a 1% hypochlorite solution, rinsed in sterile water and placed in water agar (WA) plates 15 cm in diameter which covered with a sterile cellophane membrane (CM).

*P. fluorescens* strain UTPF68 were cultivated at 27°C on King's B agar which were covered with a sterile CM. After 16-24 h of incubation the CM with the bacterial cells was transferred on top of the WA plate containing the plant tissue.

A spore suspension of *T. atroviride* strain P1 (ATCC74058) obtained from a colony grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) was used to inoculate CM-covered PDA plates (100  $\mu$ l of a 5×10<sup>5</sup> spores /ml suspension). After 4 days of incubation at 25°<sup>c,</sup> the CM with the antagonist mycelia was transferred on top of the WA plate containing the 2 weeks seedling plant tissue.

The CM was used to separate the antagonists between themselves and from the tomato plant allowed separation and transfer fungal mycelia and bacterial cells, but still permitted micro- and macro-molecules diffusion [15].

The plates hosting three-player (plant-fungal antagonist- bacterial antagonist) and two players (plant – bacterial antagonist) interactions and the relative control (bacterial antagonist alone) were maintained at room temperature for 3 days and then the plant/antagonists samples were separately collected for protein extraction.

## Protein extraction from plant tissue and fungi mycelia

The protein extraction protocol described by Jacobs et al. 2001 was applied with some

modifications for plant tissue and fungal mycelia [14]. Approximately 1 g of fungal mycelium (wet weight) from the antagonist, or plant tissue (leaves and roots) were suspended in 10 ml of a cold (-20°C) acetone solution [20% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and 0.2% dithiothreitol (DTT)] and ground with an ultraturrax (T25basic, IKA Labortechnik, Germany) by keeping the tube in an ice bath. Samples were maintained at -20°C for at least 3h allowing protein precipitation, and then centrifuged at 30,000g for 20 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed three times with cold (-20°C) acetone solution containing 0.2% DTT. Then resuspended in a rehydration buffer [9M urea, 2% 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)-dimethyl-ammonio], 1propane sulfonate (CHAPS), 1% DTT, 10 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)], vortexed and kept on an orbital shaker for 2h to obtain complete protein solubilization. Samples were centrifuged at 30,000g for 60 min at 20°C and the supernatants were recovered. Protein concentration was determined by a Bradford Dc protein assay (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, USA) and samples were stored at -80°C.

### Protein extraction from bacterial cells

Free cells of P. fluorescens strain UTPF68 harvested from top of the CM by adding 15 ml phosphate buffer enriched with 1g/L glucose (PBG) pH 7.9. Then centrifuged at 8000g for 20 min. The pellet was washed with PBG again and resuspended in 20 ml of isoelectrofocusing (IEF) buffer of the following composition (8M urea, 65mM CHAPS, 10mM DTT and 0.8% (w/v) carrier ampholytes (pH 4-7, SIGMA). Cells in IEF buffer were maintained in -80°C for at least 1h. Then cells in IEF buffer were disrupted by thermal shock (from -24°C to 20°C) followed by ultrasonication at 4°C (30W, 15pulses of 2s separated by 2s breaks). Protein extracts were centrifuged at 10,000g for 20 min to eliminate cell debris. The protein content of the supernatant was evaluated by using the Bio-Rad protein assay. Supernatants were stored at -24°C.

#### Two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE):

Isoelecric focusing (IEF) was conducted by using 7 cm immobilized-pH-gradient (IPG) strips (Bio-Rad) (with a pH range from 3 to 10 for plant and fungi and 4 to 7 for bacteria) rehydrated in a solution of 9 M urea, 2% CHAPS, 1% DTT, 2% carrier ampholyte and 10 mM PMSF proteinase inhibitor (SIGMA). Two hundred microliters of the total protein solution (equivalent to 200 µg) were loaded into the focusing tray and absorbed into the gel strip (1h passively at room temperature and 12h actively with a 50 V current applied). IEF was carried out by a PROTEAN IEF CELL system (Bio- Rad). IPG strips were focused up to a total of 14 kv for 3h and until 19kv were reached). The strips were equilibrated by placing them in a solution of 6M urea, 0.05M Tris/HCL pH 8.8, 20% glycerol, 2% SDS, 2%DTT for 10 min, and then in 6M urea, 0.05M Tris/HCL pH8.8. 20% glycerol, 2% SDS. 2.5% iodoacetamide for 10 min more. IPG strips were finally loaded on a 15% polyacrylamide gel in a Mini-Protean 3 Cell (Bio-Rad) and run with a constant current of 150 V for 75 min in 1X Trisglycine-SDS (TGS) buffer (Bio-Rad). After equilibration, strips were located onto 8-16% polyacrylamide gradient gels for SDS-PAGE in a Protean plus Dodeca Cell (Bio-Rad) which was run at 10°C, with a constant current of 200 v for about 8h. Gels were stained for at least 3h with SimplyBlue G-250 SafeStain (Invitrogen, California, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each protein extract was run on triplicate gels for 7 cm strips. Gel images were acquired by a GS-800 Imaging Densitometer (Bio-Rad) [19].

#### **Bioinformatics analysis**

2DE gels were scanned and gels were analyzed by non linear progenesis same spot software to compare gels together and compare the spots in one statement in gels and get the density of same spot in each of gel.

# In-gel digestion, mass spectrometry and in silico analysis

Some differential spots, such as those produced by P. fluorescens in the interaction with Tomato plants and T. atroviride were subjected to MALDI-TOF/TOF analysis by using a Proteomeics Analyzer 4700 (Applied Biosystems) that was calibrated prior to each experiment. The samples were desalted and concentrated using ZipTips C18 (Millipore, Bedford, MA, U.S.A.), as described by Marra et al. (2006) [19]. The acquired MS/MS data were submitted to the National Center Biotechnology Information nonredundant (NCBInr) database for protein identification by using the GPS Explorer Software with integrated Mascot search engine.

### RESULTS

Data on compatibility showed that both P. fluorescens and T. atroviride were compatible to each other in dual culture. Either of microorganisms formed no inhibition zone in any of the plates. The proteome of P. fluorescens grown alone (P) used as a control specimen for comparisons with two-way and three-way interactions. In figure 1 show 2-DE gel of P. fluorescens in condition P(a), TP (b) and TTP (c). Two experiments group gels were analyzed by using non linear progenesis same spot software. 18 differential spots produced new, 16 spots were absent, 17 were up-regulated and 8 was down-regulated when TP condition was compared with control P (Table\_1). These results indicated that the presence of Plant (tomato) induces major changes in proteome of the Pseudomonas while the Pseudomonas is interacting with the tomato plant (Table 1).

More than 39 differential spots were noted as absent, increased or decreased new. if Trichoderma was exposed to the plant and Pseudomonas together (Table 1). When TTP was compared to the TP, 14 spots appeared was produced new, 39 spots were absent, 6 spots were up-regulated and 29 spots were down-regulated, indicating that the presence of Trichoderma induces important variations in the protein of interacting Pseudomonas with the plant (Table 1). A statistical analysis by progenesis same spot software obtained protein clustering that divides protein profile of each group to subdivisions of same expression of proteins. In Fig. 1, illustrate protein dendrogram of P condition compared to TP condition. In fig. 1a, exist dendrogram, indicate lower protein expression in P than TP. While in fig. 2b, dendrogram release higher protein expression in P than TP. Fig. 2a, illustrate protein dendrogram of TP compared to TTP with lower protein expression in TP, and in fig. 3b, dendrogram shows the higher protein expression in TP than TTP.

Other results from progenesis same spot software were shown of different comparable protein spots exist in each group, that details of some spots were presented in figures 4 and 5. Fig. 4a, shows differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition P which were lower than condition TP. Spots 192, 204, 369, 364, 306, 284 and 155, were absent in the control (P) and were induced by the presence of tomato. Fig. 4b, shows differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition P which were more than condition TP. Spots 350, 349 and 133 were present in the control and were absent by the presence of tomato. Fig.5a. shows differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition TP which were lower than condition TTP. For example, in comparison to the control (TP), spots 397 and 244 showed increased intensity when Trichoderma exposed to the interaction. Fig. 5b. Shows differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition TP which were more than condition TTP. Spots 409, 404, 261 and 408 showed particularly high decrease in intensity when Trichoderma entered in the interaction.

About 10 of the most strongly modified P. fluorescens protein spots (examples shown in Fig. 6) were further characterized by MALDI-TOF MS followed by in silico analysis, but only two identification cases are reported here (Table 2). These include an arginine deiminase and a chaperonin GroEL proteins. These proteins in the interaction between Tomato and Pseudomonas (TP) were expressed significantly higher upregulated comparison with Tomatoin Trichoderma-Pseudomonas (TTP) interactions, while they are not produced in the control specimen (Pseudomonas alone), as indicated by the increased spot intensities (Fig 6).



Figure 1a. 2-DE gel of *P. fluorescens* in condition P



Figure 1b. 2-DE gel of P. fluorescens in condition TP



Figure 1c. 2-DE gel of P. fluorescens in condition TTP

**Table1.** Comparison of differential spots produced in *P. fluorescens* proteome in each gel. Vs P (condition A)

Spot	Increased <sup>c</sup>	Decreased <sup>c</sup>	$ON^b$	OFF <sup>b</sup>	
condition B:					
<b>TP</b> 17		8	18	16	
	•				

#### Vs TP (condition A)

Spot	Increased <sup>c</sup>	Decreased <sup>c</sup>	$ON^b$	OFF <sup>b</sup>	
condition B:					
ТТР	6	29	14	39	

<sup>b</sup>Number of spots present in the first condition compared to the second (ON) or vice versa (OFF)

Number of spots whose intensity in the first condition increased or decreased at least twofold compared to the second one.









spot number	Pseudomonas alone (P)	eudomonas alone (P) Tomato+Pseudomonas (TP)		Pseudomonas alone (P)	Tomato+ <i>Pseudomonas</i> (TP)	
192			204			
364			369			
356			361	-03		
306	•		284	.0		
290	0		155			

**Figure 4a**. Differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition P were lower than condition TP

Spot Number	Pseudomonas alone (P) Tomato+Pseudomonas (TP)		Spot Number	Pseudomonas alone (P)	Tomato+ <i>Pseudomonas</i> (TP)
358		0 D	350		
349	-		347		o Ú
366			173		
109			133		

**Figure 4b**. Differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition P were more than condition TP

Spot	Tomato+Pseudomonas	Tomato+Trichoderma+	Spot	Tomato+Pseudomonas(TP)	Tomato+Trichoderma+	
number	(TP)	Pseudomonas (TTP)	number		Pseudomonas (TTP)	
229	8-14		456			
166			474			
397			244			

Figure 5a. Differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition TP were lower than condition TTP



Figure 5b.Differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition TP were more than condition TTP



Fig 6a. Differentially level of Spot 395 in *Pseudomonas* proteome: condition 1 *Pseudomonas* alone (no interaction), condition 2 Tomato+ *Pseudomonas*, condition3 Tomato+ *Trichoderma*+ *Pseudomonas* 



Fig 6b. Differentially level of Spot 195 in *Pseudomonas* proteome: condition 1: *Pseudomonas* alone (no interaction), condition 2: Tomato+ *Pseudomonas*, condition3: Tomato+ *Trichoderma*+ *Pseudomonas* 

<b>Table 2.</b> Homologies of differential proteins in the <i>P. fluorescens</i> UTPF68 proteome during interaction with tomato and <i>T</i> .
utroviride. MW (KDa): molecular weight and PI: isoelectric point of defferential proteins determined experimentally (from gels) and
theoretically (from in silico analysis)

Spot number	MW(KDa)/PI	Protein name (source)	Accession number	No. of mass values searched	No. of mass values matched	Sequence coverage(%)	Calculated PI value	Score
395	46	Arginine deiminase (Pseudomonas putida GB-1)	gi 167032015	56	18	36	5.66	127
195	56	Chaperonin GroEL (Pseudomonas putida S16)	Gi1339489217	336	49	66	5.00	114

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we conducted compatibility tests between *T. atroviride* and *P. fluorescens* to understand their compatibility to each others before studying their interaction by proteomeics technique. Data on compatibility showed that both *P. fluorescens* and *T. atroviride* were compatible to each other in dual culture. Either of the organisms formed no inhibition zone in any of the plates. Similar observations have been reported previously also. For example *T. viride*, *T. harzianum* and *P. fluorescens* were reported to be compatible when these were applied together [25]. Lutz et al. showed that the compatibility of antagonists applied as strain mixtures is not only dependant on compatible utilization of nutrients, minerals or space but can also be influenced by molecular signaling between the individual

antagonists. In addition the benefit of enhancing the biocontrol mechanisms of individual strains in the mixture, there is potential for synergistic activity [18]. Fogliano et al. reported that *Pseudomonas* lipodepsipeptides primed pathogen cells such that CWDE penetration and activity was increased [9].

We used tomato plants and two antagonistic agents (T. atroviride and P. fluorescens) to analyze the changes in the proteome of the P. fluorescens caused by three player interaction. The use of cellophane membranes permitted both the exchange of compounds and thus a chemical interaction in situ, as well as the separated extraction and recover the individual proteomes. Placing of such type of membranes between fungal spores, bacterial cells and seed surface did not affect the improvement of seed germination. Kulling et al. reported that the cellophane membrane allowed the diffusion of proteins up to 90 KDa, as noted in confrontation assays between T. atroviride strain P1 and Rhizoctonia solani [15]. Figure1 show the 2DE gels of *P. fluorescens* in 3 conditions. During the interaction between Pseudomonas and tomato versus control specimen (Pseudomonas alone) induced more new protein spots (18 spots) and intensity level of 17 spots were increased. As expected these data indicated that the presence of plant induced important variations in the antagonist proteome, while it is interacting with tomato plant, which maybe is related to increase expression of Pseudomonas genes which are involved in a direct way to promote the growth of plants such nitrogen fixation, increasing the availability of iron and phosphorus from the soil or their capture and delivery of these minerals to the plant form in which it has used, and the synthesis of plant hormones that stimulate the proliferation of plant cells. Also some molecules, which are found to be small oligosaccharides consisting of two monomers with or without an amino acid residue, act as inducers of genes associated with biocontrol, and in some cases also function as elicitors of plant defense mechanisms [12,31]. Antagonistic microorganisms can interact with the plant through the processes of colonization, increased bioavailability of nutrients, production of useful substances in plant metabolism, induction of resistance mechanisms (ISR) and activation of the hypersensitive response ( HR).

interaction During three way (Tomato-Trichoderma-Pseudomonas), important changes in the proteome of P. fluorescens, as compared to double player (Pseudomonas- Tomato) conditions were observed. In particular, the presence of fungal antagonist strongly modified the protein pattern of the bacterial antagonist during plant interaction. 39 spots which were absent in three condition, were ways present in the Pseudomonas- tomato condition (Table 1). (29 decreased spots, 6 increased spots). According to figures 2 and 3, in the profile of 2-D gels, we compared the changes of protein expression associated with increased or decreased expression in two conditions of P - TP and TP - TTP. Statistically, gene expression differences, were compared by using progenesis same spot software, and proteins with same expression were clustered in separate groups. Clustering based on expression, indicated the presence of the proteins that can be attached to each other, biologically. Thus, simultaneously increased expression of multiple proteins, must be compensated by decreased expression or absence of expression of other proteins. In fact, this is an establishment mechanism of cell homeostasis that silencing of a process lead to replacing new process and start to expression of new proteins. As depicted in fig. 4a, different intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition P which were lower than condition TP. Spots 192, 204, 369, 364, 306, 284 and 155, were absent in the control (P) and were induced by the presence of tomato plant. Fig. 4b, shows different intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition P which were more expressed than condition TP. Spots 350, 349 and 133 were present in the control and were absent from the presence of tomato. In other condition as shows in fig. 5a. differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots were lower than condition TTP. Spots 397 and 244 showed increased intensity when Trichoderma exposed to the interaction. Fig. 5b. Shows differential intensity levels of 2-D gel spots produced in condition TP which were more than condition TTP. Spots 409, 404, 261 and 408 showed particularly high decrease in intensity when Trichoderma entered in the interaction.

As expected Trichoderma induced different sets of Pseudomonas proteins and clearly changed the expression pattern of Pseudomonas genes which may be related to increased synergistic control. There are several reports of biocontrol strain combinations that had more efficacy than single strain treatments against a variety of plant diseases. A study at the molecular level showed that when bacterial and fungal biocontrol agents were combined in a strain mixture, there were positive effects on the expression of key biocontrol genes in both antagonists [18]. They found that addition of T. atroviride P1 culture filtrates to grow cultures of P. fluoescens enhanced *phlA* expression transiently during growth. Shirzad et al. have analyzed the effects of two biocontrol agents, T. atroviride and *P*. fluoescens on the expression of their key biocontrol genes. They showed that when coinoculated with T. atroviride, phlD-positive strains of *P. fluorescens* produced significantly higher levels of DAPG antibiotic [26]. In conclusion, the system, which needs more than one partner to act at the same time, indicated that a more integrated approach is necessary to profound a knowledge about the biology of biocontrol agents. In changes were shown of P. fluorescens UTPF68 proteome during the complex three-way and two-way interactions investigated and two of the most interesting differential spots were analyzed by PMF. These were selected by comparing proteomes obtained from three-way (TTP), two-way (TP) and no interaction conditions (P). We identified spot 395 as a protein similar to arginine deiminase from Pseudomonas proteome during a three-way and two-way conditions, with either tomato plant or T. atroviride and didn't find it in the control (Table 2, Fig 6a). This protein corresponded to an arginine deiminase of P. putida GB-1 and has a catalytic activity that catalyzes the degradation of arginine to citruline and ammonia.

Neubauer et al. (1996) and Pantel et al. (1998) have showed that in the absence of oxygen, *Staphylococcus aureus* can grow by fermentation of glucose or by using an altenative terminal electron acceptor such as nitrate. When neither glucose nor nitrate is available, arginine can serve as the sole energy source for growth [23, 24]. The main bacterial arginine catabolic pathway is the

control

arginine deiminase (ADI) pathway, encoded by the arc operon [8]. ADI operons have been described for a wide variety of bacteria, including mycoplasma, Pseudomonas spp. and Bacillus spp. [3]. In Streptococcus rattus, the ADI pathway functions as a key defense mechanism against acidification [7]. Adaptation of many facultative anaerobic and some obligate aerobic bacteria to oxygen limitation is mediated by a family of transcriptional regulators related to the FNR (fumarate and nitrate reductase regulation) protein of Escherichia coli [28,30]. In Pseudomonas aeruginosa and other Pseudomonas species belonging to rRNA homology group I, the FNR homolog ANR (anaerobic regulation of arginine deiminase and nitrate reductase pathways) is a major regulator of genes involved in anaerobic metabolism, e.g., the genes required for anaerobic respiration [1,32] and the *arcDABC* (anaerobic arginine catabolism) operon of P. aeruginosa [10,11,33]. When oxygen levels decrease, the ANR protein binds to a conserved sequence, the ANR box, located about 40 bp upstream of the transcription initiation site and thereby activates transcription, as shown for the arcDABC operon [10, 11, 17, 20]. In our study, we can portray a new expression of arginine deiminase in the three way interaction. Interacting tomato plant and T. atroviride with Pseudomonas, probably causes oxygen-limiting condition in comparison with one way interaction (Pseudomonas alone). Blumer and Haas showed that P. fluorescens CHA0 produces hydrogen cyanide (HCN), a secondary metabolite that substantially contributes to this strain's biocontrol ability. Cyanogenesis is induced by oxygen-limiting conditions. In P. fluorescens, the anaerobic regulator ANR and the required for the maximal expression of the HCN biosynthetic genes hcnABC [5]. We also identified a homologue of a 56KDa heat shock protein (HSP) (spot 195, Table 2) whose intensity strongly increased in the presence of

both tomato plant and T. atroviride (Fig 6b). This

protein has a conserved domain DnaJ typically

linked to environmental stresses [16,21] and

probably associated with defence responses in

Pseudomonas. This spot did not produced in the

corresponded to a Chaperonin GroEL protein of

P. putida S16. Chaperonins and typically bacterial

alone).

Spot

195

(Pseudomonas

chaperonin (GroEL) are generally responsible for preventing damage to proteins in response to high levels of stress and for the transportation and refolding of newly synthesized proteins [29]. At this study, expression of chaperonin GroEL occurred while Pseudomonas was in interacton with tomato or T. atroviride (TP or TTP). Lake of chaperonin production in the control treatment, shows that when tomato or T. atroviride interacting with P. flourescens, induces new protein spots in P. flourescens proteome. These produced proteins, need chaperonin new molecules for refolding and transfering from cytoplasm and for preventing damage under stress conditions. Since, presence of tomato plant and Trichoderma in the interaction with Pseudomonas are as potential stresses, therefor Pseudomonas need defensive responses against them. In the control treatment (Pseudomonas alone) is not exist potential stress, which does not induce the production of chaperonins. In sum up, a proteomic approach indicated that differential proteins are involved in multiple players which normally are present in nature between plant and biocontrol agents and they extensively can induce important variations in the proteome of eachothers.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by the University of Tehran Iran and University of Federico II Naples, Italy. We gratefully acknowledge cooperation of Iran Proteomeics Research Center, Faculty of Paramedical Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of medical sciences, Tehran, Iran.

### REFERENCES

1. Arai H, Kodama T, Igarashi Y. Cascade regulation of the two CRP/FNR-related transcriptional regulators (ANR and DNR) and the denitrification enzymes in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Mol Microbiol. 1997; 25:1141–1148. 2. Baker B, Zambryski P, Staskawicz B, Dinesh

S. Signalling in plant-microbe interactions: Science. 1997;276:726-733.

3. Barcelona-Andres B, Marina A, Rubio V. Gene structure, organization, expression, and potential regulatory mechanisms of arginine catabolism in Enterococcus faecalis: J. Bacteriol. 2002;184:6289–6300. 4. Benítez T, Rincón AM, Limón MC, Codón AC. Biocontrol mechanisms of *Trichoderma* strains: Int. Microbiology. 2004;7:249-260.

5. Blumer C, Haas D. Iron regulation of the *hcn*ABC genes encoding hydrogen cyanide synthase depends on the anaerobic regulator ANR rather than on the global activator GacA in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0: Microbiol. 2000;146:2417-2424.

6. Chet I, Inbar J. Biological control of fungal pathogens: Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology. 1994;48:37-43

7. Cotter PD, Hill C. Surviving the acid test: responses of grampositive bacteria to low pH: Microbiol. Molecular: Biology. Rev. 2003;67:429–453.

8. Cunin R, Glansdorff N, Pierard A, Stalon V. Biosynthesis and metabolism of arginine in bacteria: Microbiol. Rev. 1986;50:314–352.

9. Fogliano V, Ballio A, Gallo M, Woo S, Scala F, Lorito M. *Pseudomonas* lipodepsipeptides and fungal cell wall-degrading enzymes act synergistically in biocontrol. Mol: Plant-Microbe Interact. 2002;15:323-333.

10. Galimand M, Gamper M, Zimmermann A, Haas D. Positive FNR-like control of anaerobic arginine degradation and nitrate respiration in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: J Bacteriol. 1991;173: 1598–1606.

11. Gamper M, Zimmermann A, Haas D. Anaerobic regulation of transcription initiation in the *arcDABC* operon of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: J Bacteriol. 1991;173:4742–4750.

12. Harman GE, Howell CR, Viterbo A, Chet I, Lorito M. *Trichoderma* species - Opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts: Nature Review Microbiology. 2004a;2:43-56.

13. Harman GE, Lorito M, Lynch JM. Uses of *Trichoderma* spp. to alleviate or remediate soil and water pollution: Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 2004b;56:313-330.

14. Jacobs DI, van Rijssen MS, van der Heijden R, Verpoorte R. Sequential solubilization of proteins precipitated with trichloroacetic acid in acetone from cultured *Catharanthus roseus* cell yields 52% more spots after two-dimensional electrophoresis: Proteomeics. 2001;1:1345-1350.

15. Kulling C, Mach RL, Lorito M, Kubicek CP. Enzyme diffusion from *Trichoderma* 

atroviride (= T. harzianum P1) to Rhizoctonia solani is a prerequisite for triggering of *Trichoderma* ech42 gene expression before mycoparasitic contact: Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2000;66(5):2232-2234.

16. Lindquist S. The heat-shock response: Annu Rev Biochem. 1986; 55:1151–1191.

17. Lu CD, Winteler H, Abdelal A, Haas D. The ArgR regulatory protein, a helper to the anaerobic regulator ANR during transcriptional activation of the *arcD* promoter in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: J Bacteriol. 1999;181:2459–2464.

18. Lutz MP, Wenger S, Maurhofer M, Défago G, Duffy B. Signaling between bacterial and fungal biocontrol agents in a strain mixture: FEMS Microbiology Ecology. 2004;48:447-455.

19. Marra R, Ambrosino P, Carbone V, Vinale F, Woo SL, Ruocco M, Ciliento R, Lanzuise S, Ferraioli S, Soriente I, Gigante S, Turra D, Fogliano V, Scala F, Lorito M. Study of threeway interaction between *Trichoderma atroviride*, plant and fungal pathogens by using a proteomeic approach: Current Genetic. 2006;50:307-321.

20. Mercenier A, Simon JP, Vander Wauven C, Haas D, Stalon V. Regulation of enzyme synthesis in the arginine deiminase pathway of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: J. Bacteriol. 1980;144:159–163.

21. Morimoto RI, Tissierers A, Georgopoulos C. The biology of heat shock proteins and molecular chaperones. In: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press (eds), Cold Spring Harbor, New York. 1994.

22. Naseby DC, Pascual JA, Lynch JM. Effect of biocontrol strains of *Trichoderma* on plant growth, *Pythium ultimum* population, soil microbial communities and soil enzyme activities: Journal of Applied Microbiology. 2000;88:161-169.

23. Neubauer H, Gotz F. Physiology and interaction of nitrate and nitrite reduction in *Staphylococcus carnosus*: J. Bacteriol. 1996;178: 2005–2009.

24. Pantel I, Lindgren PE, Neubauer H, Gotz F. Identification and characterization of the

*Staphylococcus carnosus* nitrate reductase operon: Mol. Gen. Genet. 1998;259:105–114.

25. Rini CR, Sulochana KK. Usefulness of *Trichoderma* and *Pseudomonas* against *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium Oxysporum* infecting tomato: Journal of tropical Agriculture. 2007;45:21-28.

26. Shirzad A, Pazhouhandeh M, Ahmadabadi M, Behboudi K. Analyzis of cross-talk between *Trichoderma* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*: Italian Journal of plant pathology. 2012; 94: 2

27. Siddiqui ZA, Shakeel U. Biocontrol of wilt disease complex of pigeon pea by isolates of *Pseudomonas*: African Journal of plant science. 2009; 3: 1-12.

28. Spiro S. The FNR family of transcriptional regulators. Antonie Leeuwenhoek: 1994;66:23–36.

29. Tang YC, Chang HC, Roeben A, Wischnewski D, Wischnewski N, Kerner MJ, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. Structural features of the GroEL-GroES nano-cage required for rapid folding of encapsulated protein: Cell. 2006;125:903–914.

30. Unden G, Schirawski J. The oxygenresponsive transcriptional regulator FNR of *Escherichia coli*: the search for signals and reactions: Mol Microbiol. 1997; 25: 205–210.

31. Woo SL, Scala F, Rocco M, Lorito M. The molecular biology of the interactions between *Trichoderma* spp., phytopathogenic fungi, and plants: Phytopathology. 2006; 96(2):181-185.

32. Ye RW, Haas D, Ka JO, Krishnapillai V, Zimmermann A, Baird C, Tiedje JM. Anaerobic activation of the entire denitrification pathway in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* requires Anr, an analog of Fnr: J Bacteriol. 1995;177:3606–3609.

33. Zimmermann A, Reimmann C, Galimand M, Haas D. Anaerobic growth and cyanide synthesis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* depend on *anr*, a regulatory gene homologous with *fnr* of *Escherichia coli*: Mol Microbiol. 1991;5:1483–1490.