





Prevalence of Toothache and Associated Factors: A Population-Based Study in Southeast Iran

Shahla Kakoei^a, Masoud Parirokh^a, Nouzar Nakhaee^b, Forogh Jamshidshirazi^a, Maryam Rad^a, Sina Kakooei^{a*}

<u>a</u> Oral and Dental Diseases Research center, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran; <u>b</u> Neurosciences Research Center, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

| ARTICLE INFO | ABSTRACT |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Article Type: | Introduction: This study was carried out to estimate toothache prevalence among adult |
| Original Article | residents in Kerman. Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional, population-based study |
| | was conducted among individuals aged over 18 years (n=1800). The relevant data on the |
| Received: 11 Jan 2013 | prevalence of toothache and associated factors were collected by interviewing the individuals |
| Revised: 08 May 2012 | in their homes and filling out a questionnaire designed by the examiners. Prevalence of |
| Accepted: 28 May 2013 | toothache and associated factors that patients recalled previous to their interview were |
| | analyzed by chi-square test and multivariate logistic regression analysis. Results: Nine |
| *Corresponding author: Sina | hundred ninety-one individuals (55.1%) reported toothache during the 6 months before the |
| Kakooei; Oral and Dental | interview. The participants who flossed daily, had regular dental visits, and had higher |
| Diseases Research center, | education showed a significantly lower prevalence of toothache (P <0.05), whereas regular |
| School of dentistry, Shafa Street, | tooth brushing and economic level of residency had no significant effect on the prevalence of |
| Jomhori Eslami Boulevard, | toothache. Individuals between the ages of 26 and 45 [odds ratio (OR)=2.0], with a family size |
| Postal code: 7618759554, | of more than 4 (OR=1.5), not using dental floss (OR=1.5), or having a mental or psychological |
| Kerman, Iran. | illness (OR=1.5) were more likely to have a history of toothache. Conclusion: High prevalence |
| <i>Tel</i> : +98-913 1976427 | of toothache (more than half) among residents of Kerman shows a serious and major public |
| <i>Fax</i> : +98-341 2118073 | health problem. Toothache prevalence in middle aged adults, lower education, bigger family |
| Email: s.kakooei@yahoo.com | size, lower dental hygiene habit and/or those having mental or psychological illness were more |
| | common in the city of Kerman. |
| | Keywords: Dental Health Services; Dental Hygiene; Education status; Socioeconomic status; |
| | Epidemiology; Iran; Pain; Prevalence; Toothache |

Introduction

oothache is the most common cause of oral pain [1]. Although fractured teeth and exposed dentin may produce dentin hypersensitivity and cause dental pain [2],untreated dental decay has been reported as the most important reason for toothache which can impact routine daily activities such as eating, studying, concentrating on delicate tasks, and so on [3-7].

Several investigations that studied the impact of dental and facial pain emphasized that tooth and mouth diseases directly influence the quality of life in a community [7, 8].

A wide range of toothache prevalence has been reported from 5% to 88% [9-11]. Dental pain has been confirmed as a public health problem [12]. A recent investigation of children and adolescents revealed that overall, about one-tenth of patients complaining of pain suffered from toothache [13].

Despite the increase in the number of dental schools and general dentists, as well as advanced educational programs during the past decade in Iran, the epidemiological profile of dental caries has not changed. It has been shown that a moderate-to-strong correlation exists between toothache prevalence and dental caries experience [14]. As toothache is the most common result of dental caries, we may conclude that most people who suffer from dental pain have had dental caries.

Data on the distribution and psychosocial effects of toothache should be considered when assessing the prevalence of dental pain among individuals in a community [9]. Studies have been conducted on community health and its trends to evaluate the effect of health care programs [15, 16].

| e I. Characteristics o | of the study s | ubjects (<i>n</i> =1 |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Variable | Number | Percent |
| Age | | |
| ≤25 | 513 | 28.5 |
| 26-45 | 874 | 48.6 |
| 46-65 | 377 | 20.9 |
| >65 | 25 | 1.4 |
| Missing | 11 | 0.6 |
| Gender | | |
| Male | 701 | 38.94 |
| Female | 1077 | 59.84 |
| Missing | 22 | 1.22 |
| Number of family | | |
| <4 | 1388 | 77.1 |
| ≥4 | 381 | 21.2 |
| Missing | 31 | 1.7 |
| Level of education | of biologica | l father |
| Illiterate/primary | 327 | 18.2 |
| Secondary school | 416 | 23.1 |
| Diploma | 592 | 32.9 |
| Academic | 449 | 24.9 |
| Missing | 16 | 0.9 |
| Level of education | of main per | son |
| Illiterate/primary | 209 | 11.6 |
| Secondary school | 421 | 23.4 |
| Diploma | 626 | 34.8 |
| Academic | 503 | 27.9 |
| Missing | 41 | 2.3 |
| Insurance coverag | | |
| Yes | 1458 | 81.0 |
| No | 335 | 18.6 |
| Smoking | | |
| Yes | 167 | 9.3 |
| No | 1444 | 80.2 |
| Missing | 189 | 10.5 |
| | | |

| Table 1. | Characteristics | of the study | subjects | (<i>n</i> =1800) |
|----------|-----------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|
|----------|-----------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|

Toothache has a deleterious impact on daily activities. In the United States alone, about 15 million working days are lost each year because of toothache [17].An investigation that evaluated the effect of oral health on people in the United Kingdom in 1998 reported that 51% of adult individuals were affected negatively by dental problems [18]. It can be assumed that because of the lack of previously published studies on the prevalence of toothache in Iran, little attention has been focused on this subject.

Based on our electronic search of Iranian (SID, Iranmedex) and PubMed electronic data banks using broad keywords up to 20 December 2012, the prevalence of toothache among the Iranian population was not reported. Therefore, we undertook to investigate the frequency and characteristics of toothache in a population-based study in the city of Kerman as the largest city in southeast of Iran.

Material and Methods

A cross-sectional, population-based study was conducted in the city of Kerman which lies at the center of the largest province in southeast Iran. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Kerman University of Medical Sciences (No. KA 88/217). The survey utilized a 2stage, random cluster sampling method. Samples include 1728 individuals based on the following parameters of toothache prevalence in developing countries: 10% [19], precision=2%, and design effect=2. At the end, 1850 questionnaires were prepared.

Kerman University of Medical Sciences is responsible for all health services in the city of Kerman, and it has health service centers in thirty three parts of the city. In this study, 10 health service sectors from health service center of Kerman University of Medical Sciences were randomly selected to include all economic levels of the population. The fieldwork was conducted by a team of 10 examiners who were trained, calibrated for the study and randomly checked by a professional supervisor and a dental student.

Streets were selected at random, proportional to the number of street blocks. In each sector, 92 houses or apartments located on different streets were randomly selected. In each home, 2 individuals over 18 years old having at least 1 tooth during the prior 6 months were randomly interviewed. If nobody was at home, a second attempt was made 1 day later, if not, 1 of the neighbors was randomly chosen and interviewed. For households having only 1 individual older than 18, one of the neighbors were invited to participate in the study. Those with a mental disability who could not adequately communicate with the interviewer were excluded.

All participants were interviewed by a structured questionnaire that was developed according to previously conducted studies. [11, 20]. The content validity of the questionnaire was deemed appropriate by an expert panel and literature review. The variables included gender, age, educational status, area of residency, insurance coverage, smoking habits, family size, regular brushing and flossing habits, mouth wash use, frequency of routine dental visits, presence of systemic disease, history of toothache during the 6 months preceding the interview, pain-initiating factors, type of pain (sharp, pulsing, dull, continuous, night pain, diffuse, localized), and medication used for the pain relief and pain intensity (weak, intermediate, severe, very severe).

The data were analyzed using the chi-square test and the multivariate logistic regression analysis. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

One-thousand eight hundred participants of 1850 responded to the examiners (a response rate of 97.3%).

Approximately 513 (28.5%) were \geq 25 years and 874 patients (48.6%) were aged between 26 to 45 years, and 20.9% of patients (377) were between 46 to 65 years. The rest were above 65 years old. The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Of the 1800 interviewees, 55.1% reported toothache during the preceding 6 months. No significant prevalence of toothache was found based on gender, insurance coverage,

| Prevalence of | ^r Toothache in F | <i>Kerman 125</i> |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|

| ble 2. History of too | selected varia | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------|---------|
| | History of t | oothache | |
| Variable | during past | six months | P-value |
| | Yes (%) | No (%) | |
| Age | | | < 0.001 |
| ≤25 | 242 (47.4) | 269 (52.6) | |
| 26-45 | 523 (60.4) | 343 (39.6) | |
| 46-65 | 215 (57.8) | 157 (42.2) | |
| >65 | 7 (33.3) | 14 (66.7) | |
| Gender | | | 0.684 |
| Male | 388(56.3) | 301 (43.7) | |
| Female | 592(55.3) | 478 (44.7) | |
| Family size | . , | . , | 0.003 |
| <6 | 738 (53.8) | 634 (46.2) | |
| ≥6 | 236 (62.4) | 142 (37.6) | |
| Education of father | | | 0.001 |
| lliterate/primary | 189 (58.7) | 133 (41.3) | |
| econdary school | 257 (63) | 151 (37) | |
| Diploma | 315 (53.4) | 257 (46.6) | |
| Cademic | 223 (50) | 223 (50) | |
| ducation of respo | | | 0.008 |
| lliterate/primary | 123 (59.7) | 83 (40.3) | |
| econdary school | 250 (60.7) | 162 (39.3) | |
| Piploma | 349 (56) | 274 (44) | |
| cademic | 250 (50.1) | 249 (49.9) | |
| isurance coverage | . , | 219 (19.9) | 0.602 |
| es | 804 (55.3) | 649 (44.7) | 0.002 |
| 0 | 185 (56.9) | 140 (43.1) | |
| conomic level | 105 (50.7) | 110 (13.1) | 0.457 |
| ow | 195 (54) | 166 (46) | 0.137 |
| ntermediate | 494 (55) | 404 (45) | |
| ligh | 302 (57.9) | 220 (42.1) | |
| moking | 562 (57.9) | 220 (42.1) | 0.062 |
| les | 103 (63.2) | 60 (36.8) | 0.002 |
| les | 796 (55.5) | 637 (44.5) | |
| Brushing and tooth | | 007 (11.0) | 0.150 |
| les | 923 (55.3) | 747 (44.7) | 0.150 |
| No | 62 (62.5) | 39 (37.5) | |
| Dental floss usage | () | | < 0.001 |
| Yes | 298 (48.7) | 314 (51.3) | |
| No | 682 (60) | 455 (40) | |
| Regular dental visit | | × -/ | < 0.001 |
| les | 577 (81.8) | 128 (18.2) | |
| No | 381 (40.2) | 567 (56.8) | |
| Nouthwash usage | () | () | 0.729 |
| es | 298 (56.3) | 231 (43.7) | |
| No | 683 (55.4) | 549 (44.6) | |
| sychological or m | | / | < 0.001 |
| es | 206 (65.2) | 110 (34.8) | |
| | | | |

Table 2. History of toothache during past six months according to

economic level (categorized by previous studies), mouth wash usage, or brushing habits (P>0.05). However, there was lower toothache prevalence in individuals living in families with fewer members, higher level of participants education, dental flossing habits, regular dental visits, and having no history of systemic or mental disorders (Table 2) (P<0.05). Furthermore, there was significantly lower toothache prevalence in individuals above age 65 (P<0.0001).

781 (53.9)

668 (46.1)

No

| Table 3. | Frequency of pain-related variables in patients with | th |
|----------|--|----|
| | toothache | |

| toothache | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|------|
| Variable | Ν | % |
| Pain intensity | | |
| Weak | 258 | 26 |
| Intermediate | 305 | 30.8 |
| Severe | 341 | 34.4 |
| Very severe | 79 | 8 |
| Missing | 8 | 0.8 |
| Initiating factor of pain | | |
| Sensitivity to cold | 147 | 14.8 |
| Sensitivity to heat | 111 | 11.2 |
| Sensitivity to sweet material | 206 | 20.8 |
| Sensitivity to pressure and chewing | 181 | 18.3 |
| Spontaneous | 474 | 47.8 |
| Type of pain | | |
| Sharpen | 292 | 29.5 |
| Pulsing | 95 | 9.6 |
| Dull | 140 | 14.1 |
| Continuous | 133 | 13.4 |
| Night pain | 128 | 12.9 |
| Generalized | 70 | 7.1 |
| Localized | 296 | 29.9 |
| Drug consumption | 777 | 78.4 |
| Analgesic | 580 | 58.5 |
| Antibiotics | 187 | 18.9 |
| Localized drug | 31 | 3.1 |
| Remedies | 70 | 7.1 |
| Anesthesia drug | 106 | 10.7 |
| Self- prescription | 567 | 57.2 |
| Dentist prescription | 218 | 22 |
| Seen dentist during pain | 738 | 74.5 |
| Treatment plan of dentist | | |
| Extraction of teeth | 314 | 31.7 |
| Restoration of teeth | 352 | 35.5 |
| Both of them | 52 | 5.2 |
| Others | 273 | 27.5 |
| | | |

The most prevalent type of pain intensity reported by respondents was 'severe' (34.4%) and the prevalence of sharp (29.5%) nd localized pain (29.9%) was more frequent compared with other types of pain perception (Table 3).

The most frequently reported pain in terms of initiating factors was spontaneous pain (47.8%). Of all individuals who reported toothache, 74.5% visited a dentist when they were in pain. The most common medication used was analgesics (58.5%), antibiotics (18.9%), and local anesthetics (10.7%).

Among those reporting toothache during the 6 months preceding the interview, 57.2% used medication without a dentist's prescription and 22% did so after visiting a dentist.

Using multivariate logistic regression, only 4 variables, including age group [odds ratio (OR)=2], family size (OR=1.5), dental floss usage (OR=1.5), and presence of systemic or mental disease (OR=1.5), showed significant association with the history of toothache during the prior 6 months (Table 4).

| Age ≤25 Ref. 26-45 2.0 1.5-2.6 <0.001 46-65 1.5 1.1-2.1 0.02 >65 0.60 0.2-1.9 0.39 Family size <4 Ref. ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of respondent Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.37 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of respondent Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.30 36 Smoking - - Yes | | | |
|---|--|--|----|
| 26-45 2.0 1.5-2.6 <0.001 | | | |
| Ite Ite Ite Ite Ite 46-65 1.5 1.1-2.1 0.02 >65 0.60 0.2-1.9 0.39 Family size ≥4 Ref. ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of resportent Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking - No Ref. <tr tr=""> Yes 1.1</tr> <tr><th>>65 0.60 0.2-1.9 0.39 Family size <4 Ref. ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of respo-tent Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking No Ref. Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. </th></tr> <tr><th>Family size <4 Ref. ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and tootherstructure Yes</th></tr> <tr><th><4</th> Ref. ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father 0.002 Education of father Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking No Ref. Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and tootherster </tr> | >65 0.60 0.2-1.9 0.39 Family size <4 Ref. ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of respo-tent Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking No Ref. Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | Family size <4 Ref. ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and tootherstructure Yes | <4 |
| | | | |
| >65 0.60 0.2-1.9 0.39 Family size <4 Ref. ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of respo-tent Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking No Ref. Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Family size <4 Ref. ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.3 0.41 Education of resport Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and tootherstructure Yes | | | |
| <4 | | | |
| ≥4 1.5 1.2-2.0 0.002 Education of father Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of respontent Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking No Ref. Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and tooth=uster | | | |
| Education of father III iterate / primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of resport IIIiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.3 0.41 Education of resport IIIiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage | | | |
| Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of resportent Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaster usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.7 0.34 Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of respondent Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Diploma 0.8 0.6-1.2 0.26 Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of respondent Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking V V V No Ref. Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Academic 0.8 0.6-1.3 0.41 Education of respondent Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Education of respontent Education of respontent Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and tootherster usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Illiterate/primary Ref. Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Secondary school 1.2 0.8-1.9 0.37 Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Diploma 1.3 0.8-2.0 0.30 Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Academic 1.2 0.8-2.0 0.36 Smoking Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Smoking No Ref. Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| No Ref. Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Yes 1.1 0.7-1.5 0.77 Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Brushing and toothpaste usage Yes Ref. | | | |
| Yes Ref | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| No 0.95 0.6-1.5 0.84 | | | |
| Dental floss usage | | | |
| Yes Ref | | | |
| No 1.5 1.2-1.8 0.001 | | | |
| Psychological or mental disease | | | |
| No Ref | | | |
| Yes 1.5 1.1-2.0 0.01 | | | |

 Table 4. Adjusted odds ratios for history of toothache among 1800 residents of Kerman

Discussion

This study examined the frequency and characteristics of toothache among residents of Kerman, Iran. In the study, 1800 individuals were interviewed, and a staggering 55.1% reported toothache during the preceding 6 months.

Before interpreting the results, we should bear in mind the limitations of a cross-sectional study: the possibility of recall bias should not be overlooked. Any association between the analyzed variables might be non-causal. Table 4 shows that we have used multivariate logistic regression which is included amongst advanced regression models.

Previous studies have investigated either orofacial pain or toothache individually [20-37]. This investigation focused only on toothache. The high percentage of toothache prevalence (55.1%) herein supports the importance of focusing on toothache since orofacial pain is considered a wider subject. Thus, in addition to toothache, other disorders such as temporomandibular joint disorders, migraine headache, neuralgia, oral ulcers, oral sores, burning mouth, pain in mastication of muscular origin, and muscular pain in the head and neck should also be considered independently [21-25]. However, these disorders may mimic toothache and

therefore the actual percentage of pain with dental origin might be less than what was reported by the respondents. Several factors may influence a dental pain report, such as socioeconomic factors, gender, age, and level of education [4, 20, 37]. In this study, people over 65 showed the lowest prevalence of toothache, whereas individuals between 25 and 65 showed the highest prevalence. No unique pattern of pain has been reported among different ages in previous investigations of toothache. In one study, 11.7% of children and adolescents experienced tooth pain during the preceding 6 months [13]. In contrast; another investigation reported that 63% suffered pain over a lifetime [31]. The major reason for lower prevalence of toothache in over 65's may be that they have less teeth remaining. Previous investigations have confirmed a higher prevalence of toothache in younger individuals [20, 34].

The results of the present study revealed that there is no difference in gender among individuals who experienced pain during the previous 6 months. There are debatable results regarding the gender and prevalence of toothache. Some previous investigations in many countries reported a higher number of females having experienced toothache during a certain period of time [20, 28]. In contrast, Pau *et al.* in a review of articles published during 1996-2001, detected no significant relation between gender and toothache[9].

Educational and social level may play an important role in toothache prevalence [10, 38], because better-educated people seem to take better care of their teeth, and the health of their family is more important to them than those with little or no education. The results of the present study showed that individuals with an academic educational level had a significantly lower experience of toothache compared with those who were illiterate or had only a primary education (P<0.008). This finding is in agreement with a similar study that has performed on the Australian indigenous communities on the prevalence of toothache [10].

Smoking has been linked to dental and oral health status [39]. Some investigators have reported a significant relation between smoking behavior [11, 30, 31, 33]. In the present study, there was a measurable, but not significant, relation (P=0.062) between smoking and toothache during the 6 months before the interview.

Similarly, we found that bigger family size had a significant effect on toothache prevalence (P<0.002, O=1.5); the bigger the family the more toothache experienced. Other investigators, however, have not addressed this issue.

Previous investigations have emphasized that welfare has a significant effect on toothache experience in a population [4, 9, 32, 34-37]. However, in our investigation, because the authors were not confident enough to get precise information regarding individuals' income, a different strategy was used by sending the interviewers to all economic levels of Kerman, with the finding of no significant difference between different income levels with respect to toothache (P>0.05). Other studies have shown that an unhealthy lifestyle may directly influence dental health [40, 41]. Wealthy sections of Kerman contain numerous fast-food facilities that serve soft drinks with each meal. Soft-drinks in Iran have shown that most of these beverages have a high acidic pH [42, 43]. The roles of acidic pH and high carbohydrate content of soft drinks on increasing the prevalence of tooth decay is well established [40, 41].

One of the possible causes of conflicting results in pain prevalence studies is asking the history of pain experience before the interview. Several studies have investigated lifetime prevalence [31], while many others have focused on pain prevalence during the prior 3 to 12 months before the interview [11, 20, 28]. We investigated pain experience during the preceding 6 months to the interview, which was considered to be the highest pain prevalence among studies covering the same preliminary period [11, 20]. It has been stated that the varying results found in toothache prevalence investigations may be due to methodological differences such as sample size and gender as well as the uniqueness of each community/culture [20].

Likewise, the prevalence of toothache might be influenced by referred pain of other than dental origin, which is a common finding in patients having no real dental problem seeking dental treatment [44]. Differential diagnosis should be performed by a skilled, well-trained dental practitioner doing comprehensive radiographic and clinical examinations. However, through the quality of pain questioned in the present study, we have shown that respondents having sharp pain that is spontaneous, localized, and pulsing were much more likely to have toothache than respondents who reported continuous burning pain. It was not possible to examine all respondents extensively; in any case, most previously performed investigations used the same self-reporting method for assessing the prevalence of toothache in their society [11, 20, 28, 30, 31, 34-37].

Most of the respondents who experienced pain (74.5%) had to visit a dentist for either advice or treatment (Table 3). Without clinically examining our participants, it was not possible to determine the etiology of their pain.

In this study, 206 out of 1800 (11.44%) individuals had psychological or mental disorders. There was significantly more toothache experience in these patients than in healthy individuals (P<0.01, OR=1.5). Our findings corroborated those of previous investigations [3, 45].

The improvement in life quality is a goal of all health care professionals, policy makers, and health care authorities. Because most oral diseases and their consequences interfere with daily life performance, it seems reasonable to obtain adequate data on both the prevalence of oral diseases and their impact on the daily activities of all individuals in a society [4]. Investigating the prevalence of toothache can give policymakers and health authorities the required data about a community and its health care needs.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the results of the present study showed that

more than half of the Kerman residents had a history of pain during the preceding 6 months. Age group, family size, dental floss usage, and systemic or mental disease were significantly correlated with pain experience.

Acknowledgement

This study was financially supported by the Research Committee of Kerman University of Medical Sciences.

Conflict of Interest: 'None declared'.

References

- Cohen LA, Bonito AJ, Akin DR, Manski RJ, Macek MD, Edwards RR, Cornelius LJ. Toothache pain: a comparison of visits to physicians, emergency departments and dentists. J Am Dent Assoc. 2008;139(9):1205-16.
- [2] Guzzi G. Medicine forgets dentistry. Lancet. 2005;366(9489): 894; author reply
- [3] Rahim-Williams B, Tomar S, Blanchard S, Riley JL, 3rd. Influences of adult-onset diabetes on orofacial pain and related health behaviors. J Public Health Dent. 2010;70(2):85-92.
- [4] Locker D, Grushka M. Response trends and nonresponse bias in a mail survey of oral and facial pain. J Public Health Dent. 1988;48(1):20-5.
- [5] Smith BH, Elliott AM, Chambers WA, Smith WC, Hannaford PC, Penny K. The impact of chronic pain in the community. Fam Pract. 2001;18(3):292-9.
- [6] Gherunpong S, Tsakos G, Sheiham A. The prevalence and severity of oral impacts on daily performances in Thai primary school children. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2004;2:57.
- [7] Luo Y, McMillan AS, Wong MC, Zheng J, Lam CL. Orofacial pain conditions and impact on quality of life in communitydwelling elderly people in Hong Kong. J Orofac Pain. 2007;21(1):63-71.
- [8] Sanders AE, Slade GD, Lim S, Reisine ST. Impact of oral disease on quality of life in the US and Australian populations. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2009;37(2):171-81.
- [9] Pau AK, Croucher R, Marcenes W. Prevalence estimates and associated factors for dental pain: a review. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2003;1(3):209-20.
- [10] Smith K, Kruger E, Dyson K, Tennant M. Oral health in rural and remote Western Australian indigenous communities: a two-year retrospective analysis of 999 people. Int Dent J. 2007;57(2):93-9.
- [11] Bastos JL, Gigante DP, Peres KG. Toothache prevalence and associated factors: a population based study in southern Brazil. Oral Dis. 2008;14(4):320-6.
- [12] Honkala E, Honkala S, Rimpela A, Rimpelä M. The trend and risk factors of perceived toothache among Finnish adolescents from 1977 to 1997. J Dent Res. 2001;80(9):1823-7.
- [13] Roth-Isigkeit A, Thyen U, Stöven H, Schwarzenberger J, Schmucker P. Pain among children and adolescents: restrictions

in daily living and triggering factors. Pediatrics. 2005;115(2):e152-e62.

- [14] Slade GD. Epidemiology of dental pain and dental caries among children and adolescents. Community dental health. 2001;18(4):219-27.
- [15] Traebert J, de Lacerda J, Fischer T, Jinbo Y. Dental caries and orofacial pain trends in 12-year-old school children between 1997 and 2003. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2005;3(4):243-8.
- [16] Dye BA, Tan S, Smith V, Lewis BG, Barker LK, Thornton-Evans G, Eke PI, Beltran-Aguilar ED, Horowitz AM, Li CH. Trends in oral health status: United States, 1988-1994 and 1999-2004. Vital Health Stat 11. 2007 (248):1-92.
- [17] Rossman LE. HG, Wolcott JF: Diagnosis and management of orofacial dental pain emergencies. In: Cohen S HK, editor. Pathways of the pulp. 9th Ed: Mosby Elsevier, St. Louis, MI, 2006: pp. 40-58.
- [18] Nuttall NM, Steele JG, Pine CM, White D, Pitts NB. The impact of oral health on people in the UK in 1998. Br Dent J. 2001;190(3):121-6.
- [19] Naing L, Winn T, Rusli B. Practical issues in calculating the sample size for prevalence studies. Archives of Orofacial Sciences. 2006;1(1):9-14.
- [20] Kuhnen M, Peres MA, Masiero AV, Peres KG. Toothache and associated factors in Brazilian adults: a cross-sectional population-based study. BMC Oral Health. 2009;9:7.
- [21] Lipton JA, Ship JA, Larach-Robinson D. Estimated prevalence and distribution of reported orofacial pain in the United States. J Am Dent Assoc. 1993;124(10):115-21.
- [22] Macfarlane TV, Blinkhorn AS, Davies RM, Kincey J, Worthington HV. Oro-facial pain in the community: prevalence and associated impact. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2002;30(1):52-60.
- [23] Chung JW, Kim JH, Kim HD, Kho HS, Kim YK, Chung SC. Chronic orofacial pain among Korean elders: prevalence, and impact using the graded chronic pain scale. Pain. 2004;112(1-2):164-70.
- [24] McMillan AS, Wong MC, Zheng J, Lam CL. Prevalence of orofacial pain and treatment seeking in Hong Kong Chinese. J Orofac Pain. 2006;20(3):218-25.
- [25] Goes PS, Watt R, Hardy RG, Sheiham A. The prevalence and severity of dental pain in 14-15 year old Brazilian schoolchildren. Community Dent Health. 2007;24(4):217-24.
- [26] Ratnayake N, Ekanayake L. Prevalence and impact of oral pain in 8-year-old children in Sri Lanka. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2005;15(2):105-12.
- [27] Riley 3rd J, Gibson E, Zsembik BA, Duncan RP, Gilbert GH, Heft MW. Acculturation and orofacial pain among Hispanic adults. J Pain. 2008;9(8):750-8.
- [28] Okunseri C, Hodges JS, Born D. Self-reported toothache experience in an adult population in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2005;3(2):119-25.
- [29] Heidari E, Dickinson C, Wilson R, Fiske J. Verifiable CPD paper: oral health of remand prisoners in HMP Brixton, London. Br Dent J. 2007;202(2):E1.

- [30] Lahti S, Sipilä K, Taanila A, Laitinen J. Oral pain and associated factors among adolescents in northern Finland. Int J Circumpolar Health. 2008;67:245-53.
- [31] Bastos JL, Peres MA, Peres KG, Araujo CL, Menezes AM. Toothache prevalence and associated factors: a life course study from birth to age 12 yr. Eur J Oral Sci. 2008;116(5):458-66.
- [32] Riley JL, 3rd, Tomar SL, Gilbert GH. Smoking and smokeless tobacco: increased risk for oral pain. J Pain. 2004;5(4):218-25.
- [33] Unell L, Soderfeldt B, Halling A, Birkhed D. Explanatory models for clinically determined and symptom-reported caries indicators in an adult population. Acta Odontol Scand. 1999;57(3):132-8.
- [34] Vargas CM, Macek MD, Marcus SE. Sociodemographic correlates of tooth pain among adults: United States, 1989. J Pain. 2000;85(1):87-92.
- [35] Bastos JL, Nomura LH, Peres MA. Dental pain, socioeconomic status, and dental caries in young male adults from southern Brazil. Cad Saude Publica. 2005;21(5):1416-23.
- [36] Aggarwal V, Macfarlane T, Macfarlane G. Why is pain more common amongst people living in areas of low socioeconomic status? A population-based cross-sectional study. Br Dent J. 2003;194(7):383-7.
- [37] Constante HM, Bastos JL, Peres KG, Peres MA. Sociodemographic and behavioural inequalities in the impact of dental pain among adults: a population-based study. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2012;40(6):498-506.
- [38] Du Y, Knopf H, Zhuang W, Ellert U. Pain perceived in a national community sample of German children and adolescents. Eur J Pain. 2011;15(6):649-57.
- [39] Neville BW DD, Allen CM, et al: Oral and maxillaofacial pathology. 2nd Ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co, 2002.
- [40] Shenkin JD, Heller KE, Warren JJ, Marshall TA. Soft drink consumption and caries risk in children and adolescents. Gen Dent. 2003;51(1):30-6.
- [41] health Aaopcos. Soft drinks in schools. Pediatrics. 2004;113(1 Pt 1):152-4.
- [42] Poureslami H AA. Investigating pH and titratable acidity in some Iranian soft drinks. J Shaheed Beheshti Dent Sch. 2006;24:313-9.
- [43] Fallahinejad Ghajari M, Nabavi Razavi S. The effect of pH on the erosive potential of Iranian and imported soft drinks using atomic absorption spectrophotometry. JIDA. 2006;18(4):27-33.
- [44] Seltzer S, KM H: Differntial diagnosis of odontalgia. In: Hargreaves KM, HE G, editors: Seltzer and Bender's dental pulp. 4th Edition: Quintessence Publishing Co, IL, 2002: pp. 449-68.
- [45] Bimstein E, Wilson J, Guelmann M, Primosch R. The relationship between oral and demographic characteristics of children with asthma. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2006;31(2):86-9.

Please cite this article as: Kakoei SH, Parirokh M, Nakhaee N, Jamshidshirazi F, Rad M, Kakooei S. Toothache Prevalence and Associated Factors: A Population-Based Study in Southeast Iran. Iran Endod J. 2013;8(3):125-8.