Ion release and pH of a new endodontic cement, MTA and Portland cement

Sara Amini Ghazvini¹ DDS, MS, Maryam Abdo Tabrizi² DDS, MS, Farzad Kobarfard³ PhD, Alireza Akbarzadeh Baghban⁴ PhD, and Saeed Asgary^{5*} DDS, MS

- 1. Researcher, Dental Research Center, Dental School, Shahid Beheshti Medical University, Tehran, Iran.
- 2. Assistant Professor of Operative Dentistry, Dental School, Shahid Beheshti Medical University, Tehran, Iran.
- 3. Professor of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti Medical University, Tehran, Iran.
- 4. Assistant Professor of Biostatistics, Paramedical School, Shahid Beheshti Medical University, Tehran, Iran.
- 5. Professor of Endodontics, Iranian Center for Endodontic Research, Dental Research Center, Dental School, Shahid Beheshti Medical University, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

Introduction: This *in vitro* study measured and compared pH and phosphate and calcium ions release of a new endodontic material (CEM cement), mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA), and Portland cement (PC) using UV-visible technique, atomic absorption spectrophotometry methods, and pH meter, respectively.

Materials and Methods: Each material was placed in a plastic tube (n=10) and immersed in a glass flask containing deionized water. Half of the samples were tested for determining pH and released ions after 1h, 3h, 24h, 48h, 7d and 28d. Remaining samples (n=5), were evaluated after 28d. Data was analyzed using one way ANOVA and Tukey tests.

Results: Results indicated that all materials were highly alkaline and released calcium and low concentration of phosphate ions in all the time intervals. CEM cement released considerably higher concentration of phosphate during the first hour (P<0.05).

Conclusion: This novel endodontic cement promoted alkaline pH in a similar manner to MTA and released calcium and phosphate. These conditions can stimulate the calcification process and explain the basic physico-chemical mechanisms of hard tissue regeneration of CEM cement. [Iranian Endodontic Journal 2009;4(2):74-8]

Keywords: Calcium, CEM cement, Ion release, MTA, NEC, New material, pH, Phosphate, Portland cement.

Received September 2008; accepted March 2009

*Correspondence: Dr. Saeed Asgary, Iranian Center for Endodontic Research, Shahid Beheshti Dental School, Evin, Tehran 19834, Iran. E-mail: saasgary@yahoo.com

Introduction

Mineral trioxide aggregate's (MTA) chief ingredient is Portland cement (PC) (1). MTA has been recommended for vital pulp therapy, root-end filling, apexification, and perforation repairs (2). Research studies have demonstrated comparable physical, chemical and biological properties for gray and white MTA with regular and white PC (3-7).

Recently, a new endodontic cement (NEC) in the name of calcium enriched mixture (CEM) cement with a different chemical composition from MTA (8) but the same clinical applications has been developed (9-10). CEM cement is composed of different calcium compounds i.e. calcium hydroxide, calcium

oxide, calcium phosphate, calcium sulfate, calcium silicate, and calcium carbonate (9). Although *in vitro* sealing ability (9,11) and *in vivo* vital pulp therapies of CEM cement and MTA revealed similar results (10,12), CEM cement offers some benefits over MTA such as improved handling, shorter setting time, more flow and less film thickness (8), ability to form hydroxyapatite in normal saline solution (13), as well as an estimated lower cost.

Research suggests that the high pH and released calcium and phosphorus ions are required for a material to stimulate mineralization in the process of hard tissue healing (14). The excellent biocompatibility of MTA, hydroxyapatite and other calcium-

containing materials may contribute to their ability to release calcium ions which react with phosphate ions of body tissue fluid, resulting in hard tissue formation. Sarkar et al. reported that MTA in synthetic tissue fluid produced precipitates with similar composition and structure to hydroxyapatite (15). Furthermore, increasing pH levels contributed to antibacterial activity; a critical factor in the formation of a mineralized tissue barrier (16). Despite the importance of phosphate ion presence in hydroxyapatite formation (15), literature review has not revealed research that discussed phosphate ion release from MTA or PC. The calcium ions released and the pH of MTA has investigated, however no comparisons to PC have been made (17-18).

Moreover, in some previous studies (17-18) the early setting time; the most important period for ion release and structure formation (19), has been ignored. Also hard tissues formation requires over 4 weeks; and the hydration reaction of PC is a continuous process requiring longer periods of evaluation (20).

Therefore, the aim of this *in vitro* study was to measure and compare the pH and calcium and phosphate ion release of CEM cement with those of ProRoot MTA and PC, both periodically and cumulatively during 28 days.

Materials and Methods

The evaluated materials in this *in vitro* study were ProRoot MTA (Tooth-colored, Dentsply, Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, Ok, USA), CEM cement and PC (Abiek cement, Qazvin, Iran). MTA, CEM cement and PC were mixed with the solutions provided by the manufacturer, inventor, and deionized water, respectively. The cements were inserted into plastic tubes 10 mm long and 1.5 mm in diameter which were prewashed with 5% nitric acid to prevent interference with phosphate ion and alkaline metals. The tubes were weighed before and after filling.

A total of 10 samples were chosen for each material. Five empty plastic tubes were used as the negative control. Each specimen was immediately immersed in a flask containing 10 mL of deionized distilled water; sealed and stored at 37°C and a relative humidity of >90%. Evaluations were performed at periods of 1h,

3h, 24h, 48h, 7 days and 28 days after immersion. Following each measurement, half the specimens (n=5) were removed carefully and placed in another flask with an equal amount of fresh deionized water. Remaining samples (n=5) stayed sealed in the bath for 28 days.

Calcium ion analysis

An atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer model 1100B, Phoenix, Arizona, USA) with a flame mode was used to measure calcium ion release under the following operating conditions; 1) lamp: calcium, 2) fuel: acetylene and 3) oxidant: air.

Standard solutions containing calcium concentrations of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 ppm were used to create a standard calibration curve. One hundred μL of each sample was diluted with 900 μL of deionized distilled water. Twenty μL of 5% lanthanum chloride was added to eliminate interference; solutions were then injected into atomic absorption specrophotometer. The results were calculated for the above samples with a $\times 10$ correction factor.

Phosphate ion analysis

UV-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu 160A UV-visible, Kyoto, Japan) was adjusted at wavelength of 650 nm to evaluate the phosphate ion released.

Phosphate ion concentration was determined using a photometric method. In brief, a standard curve was constructed using standard phosphate concentrations of 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 ppm in deionized water with UV absorption of 0.01, 0.027, 0.051, 0.072, 0.096 and 0.126, respectively. Twenty microliters of acidic solution of ammonium heptamolibdate was added to 5 mL of the sample in a test tube. After adding two drops of stannous chloride in glycerin as a reducing agent, the mixture was shaken. Molibdo-phosphoric acid forms in the presence of phosphate, a compound which can be reduced to a blue complex. Readings were taken 10±1 minutes after mixing.

pH analysis

For measurement of pH a Metrohm 744 pH meter (Metrohm Ltd, Herisau, Switzerland), calibrated with buffer solutions at pH 4.0 and 7.0, at 29°C was used.

Table 1. Analysis of calcium ion release values (Mean±SD) recorded at different time intervals

Time Material	1h	3h	24 h	48 h	7 d	28 d
WMTA	^a 35.2 ^{5*} 9(2.29)	^a 9.80 ¹ (1.93)	^a 14.40 ¹² (6.65)	^a 19.20 ²³ (2.16)	^a 25.00 ³⁴ (5.67)	^a 30.20 ⁴⁵ (2.78)
CEM cement	^a 35.40 ² (23.2)	b31.20 ² (3.96)	b33.60 ² (5.41)	^b 6.40 ¹ (4.50)	^a 28.40 ² (5.32)	^a 38.20 ² (10.0)
PC	a23.00(6.24)	^c 24.80(4.21)	b29.60(6.27)	^c 28.20(3.56)	a29.20(3.97)	^a 31.80(7.53)

^{*} Values followed by different numbers (1-5) demonstrate statistically significant differences for calcium ion release within a material at different times; different letters (a, b, c) show statistically significant differences for calcium ion release in study groups at a particular time.

Table 2- Analysis of Phosphate ion release values (Mean±SD) recorded at different time intervals

Time Material	1h	3h	24 h	48 h	7 d	28 d
WMTA	a0.211*(0.08)	$^{a}0.49^{1}(0.31)$	$^{a}0.16^{1}(0.11)$	^a 0.29 ¹ (0.12)	a0.33 ¹ (0.18)	a0.29 ¹ (0.24)
CEM cement	$^{\text{b}}0.73^{2}(0.50)$	a0.47 ¹² (0.26)	a0.26 ¹² (0.12)	^b 0.11 ¹ (0.05)	a0.17 ¹ (0.08)	^a 0.16 ¹ (0.05)
PC	$^{a}0.10^{1}(0.03)$	a0.17 ¹² (0.03)	a0.23 ¹²³ (0.08)	ab0.26 ²³ (0.09)	a0.34 ³ (0.12)	^a 0.10 ¹ (0.02)

^{*} Values followed by different numbers (1-3) demonstrate statistically significant differences for phosphate ion release within a material at different times; different letters (a, b, c) show statistically significant differences for phosphate ion release in study groups at a particular time.

Table 3- Analysis of pH values (Mean±SD) recorded at different time intervals

Time Material	1h	3h	24 h	48 h	7 d	28 d
WMTA	a10.61 ^{12*} (0.63)	^a 9.63 ³ (0.30)	a10.28 ¹² (0.08)	a10.09 ²³ (0.28)	^a 10.59 ¹² (0.18)	^a 10.80 ¹ (0.08)
CEM cement	^a 10.71 ¹ (0.24)	a10.02 ³ (0.24)	a10.41 ¹² (0.14)	^a 10.16 ²³ (0.11)	^a 10.43 ¹² (0.15)	^b 10.65 ¹ (0.05)
PC	a10.00 ¹ (0.56)	a9.71 ¹ (0.18)	^b 9.92 ¹ (0.26)	^a 9.47 ¹ (0.76)	^a 9.89 ¹ (0.75)	^b 10.54 ¹ (0.10)

^{*} Values followed by different numbers (1-3) demonstrate statistically significant differences for pH within a material at different times; different letters (a, b) show statistically significant differences for pH in study groups at a particular time.

Statistical analysis

Type I error was assumed as α =0.05. The results from the dependent variable i.e. pH, calcium and phosphate release at the various time intervals were analyzed using one-way and two-way ANOVA. Tukey test was performed for multiple comparisons. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the materials' cumulative data.

Results

Negative controls displayed a neutral pH and lacked ion released. Tables 1, 2, and 3 present mean values of calcium and phosphate ion released and pH in tested cements, respectively. There is significant difference in concentration of calcium ion released from MTA (P<0.001) and CEM cement (P<0.01) at the various time intervals. PC did not show a significant difference. CEM cement demonstrated the highest calcium ion release in the first 24 hour of immersion with the minimal changes. Also when considering calcium ion release, a

constant balance is presumably quickly reached between PC and the aqueous media.

All samples released low concentrations of phosphorous; CEM cement however, produced significantly more phosphate ions than the others during the first hour (P<0.05) (Table 2). MTA, CEM cement, and PC generated an alkaline pH of 9.47-10.80 (Table 3). The cements did not show significant difference in pH at the various time intervals except for 24h and 28 days. Contrary to MTA and CEM cement (P<0.001), PC produced relatively constant pH throughout the experiment. The highest pH was observed after 1 hour and 28 days in all groups. In the cumulative analysis, after 28 days of study, the materials showed no significant difference in either pH or calcium and phosphate ion release.

Discussion

The close proximity of media to the oral tissue fluid (containing calcium and/or phosphate) maybe a source of calcium phosphate

nucleation (13); hence deionized distilled water was chosen as the storage media.

It is widely known that MTA originates from Portland cement. PC mainly consists of tricalcium silicate (C3S), dicalcium silicate (C2S), tricalcium aluminate, and tetracalcium aluminoferrite, and when mixed with water, generates calcium hydroxide (CH) which ionizes and releases calcium and hydroxyl ions (20). The complicated hydration reaction of PC mainly consists of C3S and C2S compounds:

$$\begin{split} &2[3CaO.SiO_2] + 7H_2O {\longrightarrow} 3CaO.2SiO_2.4H_2O + 3Ca(OH)_2 \\ &2[2CaO.SiO_2] + 5H_2O {\longrightarrow} 3CaO.2SiO_2.4H_2O + Ca(OH)_2 \end{split}$$

While C3S sets much faster, C2S provides physical strength after one week (20). As demonstrated above production of CH in the hydration reaction of C3S is more than C2S. The rise in pH and the calcium ion released are two major consequences of CH ionization (21); this may explain the higher pH and calcium ion released during the initial 1h period, concurring with Popovic's results (22).

After 28 days pH remains high indicating continual reaction and setting of tested material concurring with other studies (23).

Calcium hydroxide, a main by-product of PC, MTA, and CEM cement, has a pH of 12.5 (8,22,24). The antibacterial characteristic of CH is attributed to the release of hydroxyl ions (25). While a pH greater than 9 may reversibly or irreversibly inactivate bacterial cellular membrane enzymes resulting in a loss of biological activity (26), a pH greater than 11.5 is inhibitory for majority of bacteria specially Enterococcus faecalis (16). Although all tested materials showed pH more than 9, a recent study revealed that antibacterial activity of CEM cement is comparable with CH and significantly greater than MTA (27). It has been hypothesized that CEM cement contains greater potent antibacterial inhibitors than

An alkaline environment is a key factor that assists in the healing of pulp tissue and mineralization (28). Our results demonstrated that MTA, CEM cement and PC all produced an alkaline pH of ~9.5-11, agreeing with a previous study (8); however, Torabinejad *et al.* reported values higher than 12.0 for MTA (24). Their pH measurements were dissimilar; pH was directly measured from the cement mass

using electrodes rather than immersion samples in deionized distilled water. Our technique has the advantage of allowing measurements at periods longer than the working time; therefore representing the cement's ability to increase pH. As high pH accelerates hydroxyapatite formation (14,29) and decreases calcium phosphate solubility (18), the favorable results of *in vivo* studies for hard tissue formation becomes evident (6,7,10,12).

Interestingly the cements released phosphate ions in low concentrations. Except for CEM cement at the first hour, concentration of phosphate ions released from cements was not statistically different amongst Previous studies showed trace amounts of phosphorus in MTA and PC compositions (4,30,31), however, a recent study showed that CEM cement contains significantly higher concentration of phosphorus than MTA (8). CEM cement had the ability of hydroxyapatite formation in normal saline solution from the indigenous sources (13). Therefore, despite the presence of high concentration of phosphorous in CEM cement, it seems reasonable to suspect that the presence of low concentration of phosphate ions in CEM cement media is probably due to its reaction with released calcium ion to form hydroxyapatite in the first hour.

Conclusion

Within the limitations of this *in vitro* study, the results suggest that tested materials released large amounts of calcium ion, small amounts of phosphate ions, and promoted an alkaline pH, explaining CEM cement, MTA and PC's favorable biocompatibility.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Miss Zohre Soleimani for her assistance in the laboratory Mr. Hamid Ahmadi for his thoughtful review.

References

- 1. Torabinejad M, White DJ. Tooth filing material and use. US Patent Number, 5, 769, 638; May 1995.
- **2.** Torabinejad M. Clinical applications of mineral trioxide aggregate. Alpha Omegan 2004;97:23-31.
- **3.** Funteas UR, Wallace JA, Fochtman EW. A comparative analysis of Mineral Trioxide Aggregate

- and Portland cement. Aust Endod J 2003;29:43-4.
- **4.** Asgary S, Parirokh M, Eghbal MJ, Brink F. A comparative study of white mineral trioxide aggregate and white Portland cements using X-ray microanalysis. Aust Endod J 2004;30:89-92.
- **5.** Dammaschke T, Gerth HU, Züchner H, Schäfer E. Chemical and physical surface and bulk material characterization of white ProRoot MTA and two Portland Cements. Dent Mater 2005;21:731-8.
- **6.** Saidon J, He J, Zhu Q, Spangberg LS. Cell and tissue reaction to mineral trioxide and Portland cement. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2003;95:483-9.
- 7. Menezes R, Bramante CM, Letra A, Carvalho VG, Garcia RB. Histologic evaluation of pulpotomies in dog using two types of mineral trioxide aggregate and regular and white Portland cement as wound dressings. Oral surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2004;98:376-9.
- **8.** Asgary S, Shahabi S, Jafarzadeh T, Amini S, Kheirieh S. The properties of a new endodontic material. J Endod 2008;34:990-3.
- **9.** Asgary S, Eghbal MJ, Parirokh M. Sealing ability of a novel endodontic cement as a root-end filling material. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2008 1;87:706-9.
- **10.** Asgary S, Eghbal MJ, Parirokh M, Ghanavati F, and Rahimi H. A comparative study of histological response towards different pulp capping materials and a novel experimental cement. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;106:609-14.
- **11.** Asgary S, Eghbal MJ, Parirokh M, Torabzadeh H. Sealing ability of three commercial mineral trioxide aggregates and an experimental root-end filling material. Iranian Endodontic Journal 2006;3:101-5.
- **12.** Asgary S, Parirokh M, Eghbal MJ, Ghoddusi J. SEM evaluation of pulp reaction to different pulp capping materials in dog's teeth. Iranian Endodontic Journal 2006;4:117-22.
- **13.** Asgary S, Eghbal MJ, Parirokh M, Ghoddusi J. Effect of two storage solutions on surface topography of two root-end fillings. Aust Endod J (In press)
- **14.** Lazić S. Microcrystalline hydroxyapatite formation from alkaline solutions. J Cryst Growth. 1995;147:147-154.
- **15.** Sarkar NK, Caicedo R, Ritwik P, Moiseyeva R, Kawashima I. Physicochemical basis of the biologic properties of mineral trioxide aggregate. J Endod 2005;31:97-100.
- **16.** McHugh CP, Zhang P, Michalek S, Eleazer PD. PH required to kill Enterococcus faecalis in vitro. J Endod 2004;30:218-9.

- **17.** Santos AD, Moraes JCS, Araújo EB, Yukimitu K, Valério Filho WV. Physico-chemical properties of MTA and a novel experimental cement. Int Endod J 2005;38:443-7.
- **18.** Durate MA, Demarchi AC, Yamashita JC, Kuga MC, Fraga Sde C. PH and calcium ion release of 2 root-end filling materials. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2003;95:345-7.
- **19.** Cella F, Cerulli T, Salvioni D, Stella S. Morphological variation of cement paste microstructure due to the use of admixtures. Proceedings of the 23th international conference on cement microscopy. 2001;117-36.
- **20.** Skalny J. Material science of concrete VII. 7th Ed. The American ceramic society. 2005. pp:101-2.
- **21.** Duarte MA, Martins CS, de Oliveira Cardoso Demarchi AC, de Godoy LF, Kuga MC, Yamashita JC. Calcium and hydroxide release from different pulp-capping materials. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;104:e66-9.
- **22.** Popovics S. Strength and related properties of concrete: a quantitative approach. 1st Edition. John Wiley & Sons Inc. 1998 pp. 173.
- **23.** Gancedo-Caravia L, Garcia-Barbero E. Influence of humidity and setting time on the pushout strength of mineral trioxide aggregate obturations. J Endod 2006;32:894-6.
- **24.** Torabinejad M, Hong CU, McDonald F, Pitt Ford TR. Physical and chemical properties of a new root-end filling material. J Endod 1995;21:349-53.
- **25.** Estrala C, Pesce HF. Chemical analysis of the liberation of calcium and hydroxyl ions from calcium hydroxide paste in the connective tissue in the dog-part1. Braz Dent J 1996;7:41-6.
- **26.** Estrela C, Sydney GB, Bammann LL, Felippe Junior O. Mechanism of action of calcium and hydroxyl ions of calcium hydroxide on tissue and bacteria. Braz Dent J 1995;6:85-90.
- **27.** Asgary S, Akbari Kamrani F, Taheri S. Evaluation of antimicrobial effect of mineral trioxide aggregate, calcium hydroxide, and CEM cement. Iranian Endodontic Journal 2007;3:105-9.
- **28.** Tamburić SD, Vuleta GM, Ognjanović JM. In vitro release of calcium and hydroxyl ion from 2 types of calcium hydroxide preparation. Int Endod J 1993;26:125-30.
- **29.** Mayer JL, Weatherall CC. Amorphous to crystalline calcium phosphate phase transformation at elevated pH. J Colloid Interf Sci. 1982;89:257-67.
- **30.** Asgary S, Parirokh M, Eghbal MJ, Brink F. Chemical differences between white and gray mineral trioxide aggregate. J Endod 2005;31:101-3.
- **31.** Ramachandran VS. Concrete Admixture Handbook. 2nd Edition. Noyes Publications; 1995.