

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION in cooperation with ILLINOIS STATE NATURAL HISTORY SURVEY . . . February, 1945

CONTENTS

PLAN OF THE TESTS455
WEATHER CONDITIONS456
INSECT PESTS457
DISEASE DAMAGE
MEASURING PERFORMANCE
TABLES SHOWING RESULTS OF TESTS
Northern Illinois: Mt. Morris463
Corn Borer Damage: Mt. Morris and Milford464
Northern Illinois: Mt. Morris Summary465
West North-Central Illinois: Galesburg
East North-Central Illinois: Milford468-469
South-Central Illinois: Sullivan470
Southern Corn Rootworm: Sullivan471
South-Central Illinois: Sullivan Summary472
Southern Illinois: Alhambra473-474
Extreme Southern Illinois: Dixon Springs, Bottomland475-476
Extreme Southern Illinois: Dixon Springs, Upland477
SOIL ADAPTATION TEST477
INTERPRETING RESULTS479
SUMMARY
PEDIGREES OF HYBRIDS482
CONTRIBUTORS OF SEED,
INDEX TO ENTRIES

Acknowledgment is due the following farm advisers for their collaboration in these tests: D. E. WARREN, Ogle county; A. R. KEMP, Knox county; H. D. VAN METRE, Iroquois county; and P. M. KROWS, Moultrie county.

Urbana, Illinois

February, 1945

PACE

Publications in the Bulletin series report the results of investigations made or sponsored by the Experiment Station

ILLINOIS HYBRID CORN TESTS 1944

By G. H. DUNGAN, J. H. BIGGER, A. L. LANG, BENJAMIN KOEHLER, and OREN BOLIN¹

NINETY-SIX PERCENT of the corn acreage in Illinois in 1944 was planted with hybrid seed. The average yield for the state was estimated to be 45 bushels an acre despite the fact that yields in some areas were seriously cut by too little rainfall.² Such a state average under the growing conditions of 1944 is evidence of the adaptability and drouth-resistance of hybrid corn.

PLAN OF THE TESTS

Number of hybrids and their source. Two hundred thirty-seven hybrids were grown on seven Illinois test fields in 1944. Thirty-four companies and individuals, including the Kansas as well as the Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station, furnished the seed for the tests.

Seventy-two hybrids were tested at the Mt. Morris, Galesburg, and Milford fields; 60 at Sullivan and Alhambra; 60 on the bottomland field at the Dixon Springs Experiment Station, and 14 on the upland field.

Most of the seed for planting the test fields was taken directly from the warehouses of the producers entering the corn. In a few instances producers delivered small quantities to the Experiment Station. Seed of Illinois and United States hybrids in commercial production was obtained from the Illinois Crop Improvement Association. Seed of Kansas hybrids and Illinois hybrids not in commercial production was supplied by the respective Experiment Stations.

Most of the hybrids selected for testing are extensively grown. Some experimental hybrids were included because they had shown promise for commercial production in preliminary tests. A few hybrids were put in the tests mainly to meet the field-performance requirement for certification.

⁴G. H. DUNGAN, Chief in Crop Production, A. L. LANG, Associate Chief in Soil Experiment Fields, BENJAMIN KOEHLER, Chief in Crop Pathology, OREN BOLIN, Assistant Chief in Plant Genetics, Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station; J. H. BIGGER, Associate Entomologist, Illinois State Natural History Survey.

²Estimates for the acreage of hybrid corn and the average yield for the state were furnished by the ILLINOIS COOPERATIVE CROP REPORTING SERVICE, Illinois State Department of Agriculture cooperating with the U. S. Department of Agriculture.

Field	County and loca- b	im- er Date of planted	Date	Average acre-yield		Average mois- ture in	Average erect
rield		of planted ries	harvested		Sound		plants
Mt. Morris	Ogle (N)	2 May 29, 30	Nov. 9, 10	bu. 89.1	bu. 88.8	perct. 23.1	<i>perct.</i> 97.8
Galesburg	Knox (WNC) 7	2 May 20	Nov. 8	91.2	88.9	18.1	99.3
Milford	lroquois (ENC) 7	2 June 5	Nov. 14	88.0	87.2	21.2	90.7
Sullivan	Moultrie (SC) 6	0 May 18	Oct. 24	91.6	90.7	16.5	76.8
Alhambra	Madison (S) 6	0 May 16	Oct. 10, 11	32.9	32.6	13.9	69.8
Robbs (Dixon Sp.)	Pope (Ex. S) Bottomland 6 Upland 1		Nov. 2 Nov. 1	48.5 22.0	47.4 21.0	20.4 18.3	100.0 99.6

Table 1.—GENERAL INFORMATION: Illinois Cooperative Hybrid Corn Tests, 1944

COOPERATORS: EARL KUMP, Ogle county; EARL and WEBSTER GEHRING, Knox county; CROW'S HYBRID CORN COMPANY, Iroquois county; R. B. VANDEVEER, Farm Manager, Illinois Masonic Home Farm, Moultrie county. The Alhambra field in Madison county is conducted by the Illinois Station. The Pope county field at Robbs is part of the Dixon Springs Experiment Station.

Soil characteristics of fields. The test fields were medium to high in productivity, and each represents a soil type common to the region where it is located. Care was taken to have each field as uniform as possible in soil type, productivity, and drainage. The field on the bottomland at the Dixon Springs Experiment Station at Robbs was the most variable in productivity, and the Alhambra field contained a number of "slick spots."

Tests were conducted on the same farms as in 1943, but in different fields on these farms. The approximate location of the test fields is shown on the map on the front cover. General information on soil characteristics and soil management is given in Table 2.

Method of planting. All test plots were planted by hand on land prepared in the regular way for corn. Each plot consisted of 2 rows 10 hills long, except on the bottomland field at Dixon Springs, where the plots were all 2 rows wide and 8 hills long. Three kernels were dropped in each hill except on both fields at Dixon Springs where only 2 kernels were planted. Six plots of each entry arranged in controlled random order were planted on all fields, and data from all plots were included in the results. The only correction for imperfect stand was an adjustment for missing hills.

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Wet weather delayed corn planting beyond the usual date in most sections of the state and especially in the extreme southern and eastern areas. Good stands were obtained on all the test fields.

Deficiency of moisture during July and August was a severe handi-

		managem		
Soil type	Lime require- ment	Available pho s phor us	Available potassium	Previous crops and soil management
		Northern: I	Mt. Morris	
Tama silt loam	tons 3	Low	Low	Small grain 1942; clover hay and pas- ture 1943; moderate application of manure for corn.
	We	st north-cent	ral: Galesbu	ırg
Muscatine silt loam	. 3	Medium	Medium	Corn 1936, 1937; oats 1938; clover 1939; corn 1940, 1941; oats-rape hog pasture 1942; clover 1943. Rock phosphate applied 1924; lime- stone applied 1941; manure applied ahead of first-year corn.
	E	ast north-cen	tral: Milfor	d
Milford clay loam	0	High	Medium	Alfalfa meadow 1942, 1943; rock phosphate applied for alfalfa.
		South-centra	l: Sullivan	
Flanagan silt loam	2	High	Medium	Oats 1940; alfalfa 1940-1943 (fall- plowed); corn 1944.
		Southern:	Alhambra	
Putnam silt loam	None	High	Low	Oats (sweet clover) 1941; soybeans 1942; wheat (sweet clover 1943).
I	Extreme	southern: Ro	bbs (Dixon	Springs)
Upland field: Ava silt loam		Low	Low	Soybeans 1941; winter grain 1942; sweet and red clover 1943. Lime- stone and phosphate applied 1940.
Bottomland field: Bonnie silt loam		Low	Low '	Corn harvested for silage 1943, winter rye pasture plowed down for corn, no soil treatment.

Table 2.—TESTING FIELDS: Soil Characteristics and Management Practices

R. S. SMITH, Chief in Soil Physics and Soil Survey, has approved the soil type designation, uniformity, and physical characteristics of the above fields.

cap to the crop in all sections of the state except the northern. It was most critical in the southern areas. The low average yields at Alhambra and on the Dixon Springs field at Robbs, as shown in Table 1, reflect the effect of the moisture shortage. Corn on the upland field at the Dixon Springs Experiment Station was almost a failure.

INSECT PESTS

Chinch bugs. The insect that caused the greatest damage to corn in Illinois in 1944—about 5 million dollars' worth—was the chinch bug, *Blissus leucopterus* (Say).

In the test field at Alhambra the damage was somewhat obscured by drouth damage. In late summer, however, it was possible to get some measure of the destruction caused by this insect and correlate it later with the test weights of the grain. The hybrids with the highest test weights (*Table 15, page 473*) had been least hurt by chinch bugs. Some idea of the relative ability of the different hybrids to withstand chinch bug attack may be obtained by studying these test weights.

Southern corn rootworm. A great deal of lodging in cornfields in the northern half of the state was caused by the southern corn rootworm, *Diabrotica duodecimpunctata* (F.), in 1944; but Sullivan, in Moultrie county, was the only test field attacked. Altho the lodging on this field was not as severe as it was in many farmers' fields, it was heavy enough so that satisfactory records of damage could be taken at harvest time. As shown in Table 13, page 471, 4.3 to 45.7 percent of the plants lodged 30 degrees or more. Comparatively few hybrids, however, developed the more severe lodging.¹

European corn borer. A moderate increase in the abundance of the European corn borer, *Pyrausta nubilalis* (Hbn.), took place in 1944. The increase was most marked in the northern part of the state north of a line drawn from about the middle of Vermilion county to Mercer county. This line is of course only approximate but it is as accurate as can be estimated at this time.

Appreciable amounts of breakage due to borer attack were found in the test fields at Milford in Iroquois county and at Mt. Morris in Ogle county (*Table 6, page 464*). Records were made of all plants broken over below the ear at harvest time when the break was at the point of visible borer attack.

None of the hybrids in these tests showed outstanding resistance to the corn borer. At Milford 4.7 to 19.3 percent of the plants were broken below the ear. The average for the field was 10.2 percent. Since a difference of 5.5 between percentages is necessary for the difference to mean anything, one has to go to the 41st entry, for example, before finding one that is significantly less good in this respect than the first.

Borer breakage at the Mt. Morris field was considerably less than at Milford, ranging from 1.3 to 9.5 percent and averaging 4.6 percent. On this field a difference of 4.1 between percentages is necessary for significance. This means that one has to go down to the 48th entry in Table 6 before finding one that can be said to be less good in this respect than the first entry.

With heavier infestations, which may develop, differences between hybrids may become more apparent.

Corn earworm. Injury from the corn earworm, *Heliothis ar*migera (Hbn.), occurred at Dixon Springs, in Pope county, on both

¹The method of taking records and computing the resistance ratings are standard and are described in Bulletin 500 of this Station, which reports the 1943 hybrid corn tests.

the upland and the bottomland fields. Every ear except those on the long-husked hybrids was fed upon by earworms, and Fusarium rot was prominent on the injured kernels. Practically all the rot damage to the corn on the Dixon Springs test fields was caused by fungi that entered the kernels thru wounds inflicted by earworms.

Grasshoppers. Damage by grasshoppers (*Locustidae*) was moderate at Alhambra. It was not heavy enough to bring out differences between the hybrids.

DISEASE DAMAGE¹

No very serious damage to corn from disease occurred in any large area of Illinois in 1944. Moderate losses from various diseases nevertheless added up to a sizable damage in total.

Seedling diseases. Benefits obtained from seed treatments are believed to be due entirely to the effectiveness of such treatments in reducing damage from seedling diseases. Damage from the numerous organisms that cause these diseases is greatest when the seed germinates in cold, wet soil, especially in cold soil.

In tests on the University south farm at Urbana in 1944, significant increases in yield were obtained by treating the seed. The seed was

¹Estimates of losses are based, for the most part, on comparison of separate observations made by G. H. BOEWE, Illinois State Natural History Survey; J. S. TIDD, Federal Emergency Plant Disease Survey, and BENJAMIN KOEHLER, Department of Agronomy, University of Illinois.

Rank bas			Total ac	re-yield			Erect
on yield from trea seed		in yield · from treatment	Treated	Un- treated	 corn in shelled sample 	ture in grain at harvest	plants
		bu.	bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	perct.
1	Illinois Hybrid 2059(W)	1.8	105.3	103.5	3.37	18.5	87
2	Illinois Hybrid 201	4.1	103.6	99.5	5.07	17.2	91
3	Illinois Hybrid 273-1	4.0	102.3	98.3	4.35	17.1	93
4	U. S. Hybrid 13	1.3	100.3	99.0	6.56	17.7	93
5	Illinois Hybrid 1173	3.7	99.8	96.1	6.07	17.7	96
6	Illinois Hybrid 972-A1	4.0	99.5	95.5	5.32	17.4	88
7	Illinois Hybrid 21	1.7	99.2	97.5	5.14	17.0	95
8	Illinois Hybrid 206	3.6	98.8	95.2	6.51	17.7	91
9	Illinois Hybrid 246	1.5	98.3	96.8	5.42	17.9	79
10	Illinois Hybrid 804	4.3	98.0	93.7	6.19	18.3	86
11	Illinois Hybrid 1182-1	3.6	96.2	92.6	5.35	17.6	96
12	U. S. Hybrid 35	4.7	96.2	91.5	3.72	17.2	91
13	Illinois Hybrid 200	3.7	96.0	92.3	4.81	18.3	93
14	Illinois Hybrid 960	1.5	95.8	94.3	3.39	17.4	89
15	Illinois Hybrid 784	3.7	91.7	88.0	7.72	19.0	80
16	Illinois Hybrid 448	2.7	90.5	87.8	6.30	19.5	89
17	Illinois Hybrid 751	2.8	88.1	85.3	4.72	16.9	90
18	Illinois Hybrid 101	4.4	84.6	80.2	4.11	16.7	79
	Difference needed for significance.		4.9	4.9	1.68		

Table 3.—RESPONSE TO SEED TREATMENT: Arasan Applied at Rate of One Ounce per Bushel of Seed, Urbana, 1944

*For pedigrees see Table 4. There were eight replicated plots of each hybrid.

1945]

planted on May 13, which is within the generally recommended planting time, and Arasan was used at the rate of 1 ounce per bushel of seed.

In this test (*Table 3*) increases ranged from 1.3 to 4.7 bushels following seed treatment, and all but three of the increases were significant. The average increase was 3.2 bushels an acre.

Different hybrids responded somewhat differently to seed treatment. In previous tests, however, seed of the same hybrid from different sources also responded differently. This is to be expected since the conditions under which seed is produced influences the extent of seed infection, seed-coat injuries, and the physical and chemical nature of the seed.

Root rots. Loss from root rot on field corn in Illinois was estimated as 2 percent in 1944. This was somewhat less than in 1943.

Diplodia stalk rot. Premature dying of scattered plants occurred in many fields in south-central Illinois by September 1, and in many areas farther north at a little later date. Examination of fields in 36 representative counties in October showed about 45 percent of the plants infected with Diplodia stalk rot. Loss in yield was estimated at 3.5 percent.

Stewart's disease. This disease was moderately prevalent in the leaves of dent corn thruout most of south-central Illinois, but for the most part damage was light.

Helminthosporium leaf blight. This disease was practically absent in 1944, tho it had attracted considerable attention in 1942. Dry conditions during the summer appear to keep it in check.

Smut. Loss from smut was less than normal-about .7 percent.

Ear rots. All types of ear rot together damaged about 5.1 percent of the kernels in the 1944 corn crop. Diplodia damaged about 3 percent, twice as much as in 1943. Damage from *Fusarium moniliforme* was about 1.3 percent, the same as in 1943. Other types averaged about .8 percent.

In a test at Urbana (*Table 4*) various hybrids showed highly significant differences in rot damage. Differences in physiological or chemical nature of the kernels, in husk coverage, and in angle at which the ear is borne—whether it points upward or is declined downward—are known to cause differences in a hybrid's reaction to ear-rot infection. Illinois 2059(W), which in Table 4 ranks first in freedom from rot damage, also ranked first in good husk coverage, and 60 percent of the ears were declined downward on October 5. This hybrid also ranked first in yield (*Table 3*).

Hybrids may rank differently in different seasons in their susceptibility to ear rot because the different kinds of rots vary in importance from year to year, and also because hybrids respond differently to different seasonal conditions. In this test, for example, Illinois 784 ranked significantly below Illinois 201, whereas in some previous tests it ranked higher.

Rank	Entry ^a	Pedigree of entry	Rot damage
			perct.
1	Illinois Hybrid 2059(W)	$(Ky27 \times CI. 61) (33-16 \times K6) \dots$	3.37
2	Illinois Hybrid 960	$(R4 \times Hy) (701 \times L317)$	3.39
3	U. S. Hybrid 35	$(WF9 \times 38-11)$ $(R4 \times Hy)$	3.72
4	Illinois Hybrid 101	$(WF9 \times M14) (CC7 \times 187-2)$	4.11
- 5	Illinois Hybrid 273-1	$(WF9 \times 38-11) (187-2 \times O7) \dots$	4.35
6	Illinois Hybrid 751	$(A \times 90) (WF9 \times Hy)$	4.72
7	Illinois Hybrid 200	$(WF9 \times 38-11) (K4 \times L317) \dots \dots$	4.81
8	Illinois Hybrid 201	$(WF9 \times 38-11) (187-2 \times L317) \dots$	5.07
9	Illinois Hybrid 21	$(WF9 \times 38-11) (Hy \times 187-2)$	5.14
10	Illinois Hybrid 972-A1	$(WF9 \times O7)$ $(Hy \times L317)$	5.32
11	Illinois Hybrid 1182-1	$(WF9 \times 38-11) (187-2 \times RR98)$	
12	Illinois Hybrid 246	$(WF9 \times Hy) (187-2 \times L317) \dots$	
13	Illinois Hybrid 1173	$(WF9 \times Hy) (RR98 \times 187-2) \dots$	
14	Illinois Hybrid 804	$(5120 \times 38-11) (K4 \times L317) \dots$	
15	Illinois Hybrid 448	$(38-11 \times \text{Kys}) (\text{K4} \times \text{L317}) \dots$	
16	Illinois Hybrid 206	$(WF9 \times 38-11) (5120 \times L317) \dots \dots$	
17	U. S. Hybrid 13	$(Hy \times L317)$ (WF9 \times 38-11)	
18	Illinois Hybrid 784	$(Hy \times 5120) (K4 \times L317) \dots$	7.72
	Difference needed for significance		1.68

 Table 4.—DAMAGE FROM KERNEL ROT: Figures Are Based on Examination of Shelled Corn, Urbana, 1944

^aThere were eight 40-hill plots of each hybrid. All the ears of each plot were shelled and a representative sample taken with a special sampling device.

This is the first time the relative susceptibility of some of these hybrids to rot damage has been accurately measured. It had been previously established, however, in a four-year test that Illinois 960 was significantly less susceptible to rot than Illinois 201, Illinois 784, and U.S. 13.

MEASURING PERFORMANCE

The entries in the 1944 test are listed in the tables in the order of their total yields. Two or more entries having the same total yield are given the same rating, but the one having the higher yield of sound corn is placed first. Those having the same total yield and sound yield are placed in order by percentage of erect plants.

Erect plants. The percentage of erect plants in each entry on each field was estimated at the time of harvest. The ratings for erect plants show how the percentage of erect plants for each hybrid compared with the percentage of erect plants on the field as a whole. (Each rating is obtained by dividing the percentage of erect plants for that hybrid by the percentage of erect plants on the field as a whole and multiplying by 100.)

Lodging may have been due to rootworm damage, weak or rotted roots, corn borer damage, or weak stalks. Stalks broken above the ear were not considered lodged.

Yield of grain. To determine shelling percentage, all the ears from one replicate of each entry were shelled. From this shelled corn one sample was taken to determine the percentage of moisture at harvest and another to determine the percentage of damaged kernels.¹ The percentage of damaged corn was determined according to the federal grain standards.

The total acre-yield was calculated as shelled corn containing 15.5 percent moisture, the upper limit allowable in No. 2 corn. The yield of sound corn was computed by deducting the amount of damaged corn from the total yield.

The rating of any hybrid for sound yield is the ratio, expressed as percentage, of the yield of sound corn from that hybrid to the average yield of sound corn from all the hybrids on the field.

Height of ear. Notes on comparative ear height were taken at harvest time. Each plot of each entry was placed in one of the five following categories: low, mid-low (midway between low and medium), medium, mid-high (midway between medium and high), and high. Beginning with low and continuing progressively to high, these terms were assigned numerical values from 1 to 5 to permit the averaging of the plots.

Significance of yield differences. Too much confidence must not be placed in the particular ranking of a hybrid in the following tables, for chance has played a part in determining its position. Unaccountable variability in the soil and conditions on the field will cause differences in yield that are not inherent in the hybrids themselves.

The part played by chance in the 1944 tests has been calculated for total yield by the mathematical procedure known as "analysis of variance." At the bottom of each table is stated the approximate difference there must be between any two entries in order for them to show a true inherent difference. Unless two hybrids differ by at least this amount, there is no assurance that one hybrid is inherently higher yielding than the other.

¹For the Alhambra and Sullivan fields the moisture determinations were made with a Tag-Heppenstall moisture meter. Those for all the other fields were made with a Steinlite moisture tester.

Readers are urged to note the difference necessary for significance, as shown for each test field, and to keep that difference constantly in mind in all comparisons of hybrids on that field.

TABLE 5.-NORTHERN ILLINOIS: Mt. Morris, 1944

			e-yield	Damage corn in	d Mois- ture in	Erect -	Ratin	g for—	Compara- tive
Rar		Total	Sound	- shelled	grain at harvest		Erect plants	Sound yield	height of ear
	Illinois Hybrid 269	bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	
1	Illinois Hybrid 269	99.3	99.3	0	22.5	100.0	102.2	111.8	Medium
2 3	Pfister Hybrid 4897	98.1	98.1	0	20.4	97.7	99.9	110.5	Medium
3 4	Pioneer Hybrid 340	98.1	97.9 97.4	$^{2}_{.2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.3\\ 22.3 \end{array}$	97.2 97.3	99.4 99.5	$110.2 \\ 109.7$	M-high Medium
5	DeKalb Hybrid 458	97.3	$97.4 \\ 97.2$. 1	22.5	97.3 98.7	100.9	109.5	Medium
-6	Illinois Hybrid 1091A	97.2	94.9	2.4	22.5 23.2	$98.8 \\ 98.3$	$101.0 \\ 100.5$	$106.9 \\ 108.4$	Medium
8	Frey Hybrid 425	96.8	$96.3 \\ 94.3$.7 2.6	23.2	95.5	99.9	108.4	M-low Medium
9	DeKalb Hybrid 615	95.2	95.0	. 3	22.8	97.5	99.7	107.0	Medium
10 11	Nichols Hybrid 54	94.0	$94.2 \\ 94.0$. 4 . 1	$21.4 \\ 23.2$	96.8 98.2	$99.0 \\ 100.4$	106.1 105.9	Medium Medium
12	Pioneer Hybrid 341	94.3	94.0		23.2	98.8	101.0	105.9	Medium
13	Illinois Hybrid 751	93.6	93.4	.3 .2 .2 .4	22.9 21.9	99.3	101.5	105.2	Medium
14 15	Funk Hybrid G-30	93.5	93.3 93.0	. 2	23.6	98.8 98.3	101.0 100.5	$105.1 \\ 104.7$	Medium Medium
15	Sieben Hybrid S-440	93.4	92.9	.5	26.8	98.8	101.0	104.6	Medium
17	Funk Hybrid G-114	92.6	92.3	. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 23.7\\ 22.8 \end{array}$	98.0 98.8	100.2 101.0	$103.9 \\ 103.5$	Medium Medium
18 19	Producers' Hybrid 1010	91.9	$\begin{array}{c} 91.9\\91.7\end{array}$.5 .3 .7 .2 .8 .2 0	23.3	96.5	98.7	103.3	Medium
19	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-138	91.9	91.2	.8	22.2	94.0	96.1	102.7	Medium
21	DeKalb Hybrid 609	91.8	91.6 91.6	.2	$\begin{array}{c} 25.2\\ 24.4 \end{array}$	96.8 96.0	99.0 98.2	103.2 103.2	Medium M-high
22 22	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 360	91.6	91.4	. 2	23.5	99.2	101.4	102.9	Medium
24 24	DeKalb Hybrid 450	91.4	91.2 91.0	• . 2	23.9 22.2	98.2	$100.4 \\ 98.9$	$102.7 \\ 102.5$	Medium
24 24	Holmes Utility Hybrid 29	91.4	90.9	.4 .6	24.3	96.7 99.3	101.5	102.3	Medium Medium
27	DeKalb Hybrid 422	91.3	91.3	0	22.2	97.2	99.4	102.8	Medium
27 29	Funk Hybrid G-38.	91.3	91.2 90.6	.1 .6	$\begin{array}{c} 23.2\\ 21.6 \end{array}$	96.3 98.5	98.5 100.7	$102.7 \\ 102.0$	Medium Medium
30	National Hybrid 114	90.9	90.1	.9	21.0	99.2	100.7	102.0	Medium
31	Pfister Hybrid 366	90.8	90.5	. 3	23.3	96.0	98.2	101.9	Medium
32 33	Blackhawk Hybrid 98A	90.4	90.3 90.3	$.1 \\ 0$	23.3 21.1	97.8 99.7	$100.0 \\ 101.9$	101.7 101.7	Medium Medium
34	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F.D.4	89.9	89.7	$\frac{2}{7}$	21.5	94.0	96.1	101.0	Medium
35	Funk Hybrid G-42.	89.8	89.2		20.6	97.8	100.0	100.5	Medium
36 37	Moews Hybrid 15	89.4	89.3 89.0	.1	$19.7 \\ 22.0$	98.2 96.8	$100.4 \\ 99.0$	$100.6 \\ 100.2$	Medium Medium
37	Funk Hybrid G-29	89.1	88.5	$\begin{array}{c} \cdot 1 \\ \cdot 7 \end{array}$	27.3	96.3	98.5	99.7	M-low
39 40	Nichols Hybrid 202A	88.9	88.8 88.6	. 1 . 1	$23.3 \\ 24.2$	99.7 98.0	101.9	100.0 99.8	Medium Medium
40	Wisconsin Hybrid 645	88.7	88.5	. 2	22.6	98.3	100.5	99.7	Medium
40	Holmes Utility Hybrid 39	88.7	88.3	. 1 . 2	27.7	99.2	101.4	99.4	M-high
43 44	Phster Hybrid 274	88.0	$\frac{88.4}{87.9}$.2	23.5 24.3	98.3 99.8	$100.5 \\ 102.0$	$99.5 \\ 99.0$	Medium Medium
44	Lowe Hybrid 14	87.9	87.2	.8	23.2	96.8	99.0	98.2	Medium
	Frey Hybrid 410.	87.6	87.6	0	21.9	98.5	100.7	98.6	Medium
$\frac{46}{48}$	DeKalb Hybrid 410	87.5	87.5 87.3	.1	22.0 23.1	98.3 98.0	100.5 100.2	98.5 98.3	Medium M-low
49	Funk Hybrid G-12	87.3	87.1	· 2 · 2	21.8	96.3	98.5	98.1	Medium
49 51	Morgan Hybrid M 105	87.3	85.3 86.9	2.3	21.7 20.8	98.8 97.2	101.0 99.4	96.1 97.9	Medium Medium
51	Funk Hybrid G-16.	86.7	86.6	. 1	23.2	96.3	99.4	97.5	Medium
52	Pfister Hybrid 274 Pfister Hybrid 276 Lowe Hybrid 14 Frey Hybrid 140 Pioneer Hybrid 353A DeKalb Hybrid 410 Funk Hybrid 6-12 Morgan Hybrid 322 Morgan Hybrid M-105 Funk Hybrid G-16 Stiegelmeier Hybrid 379 lowealth Hybrid AF11 Blackhawk Hybrid 111 Ferris Hybrid F-11	86.7	86.5	. 4	24.1	98.8	101.0	97.4	Medium
54 55	Blackhawk Hybrid 111	85.8	85.2 85.4	.7 .2	21.8 22.1	$94.0 \\ 98.0$	96.1 100.2	95.9 96.2	Medium Medium
56	Blackhawk Hybrid 111. Ferris Hybrid F-11. Nichols Hybrid 0-25. Ullinois Hybrid 00-25. Illinois Hybrid 101. Producers' Hybrid 909. Producers' Hybrid 909. Producers' Hybrid 900. Doubet Hybrid 280. Sieben Hybrid 280. Sieben Hybrid 280. Crow Hybrid 3450. Crow Hybrid 3450. Crow Hybrid 3460. Moews Hybrid 14. Hoosier Crost Hybrid 405. Lowe Hybrid 15. Producers' Hybrid 1015. Hoosier Crost Hybrid 1015. Hoosier Crost Hybrid 112A. Average of all entries.	85.5	85.5	0	22.8	97.7	99.9	96.3	Medium
57	Nichols Hybrid Victory	85.4	85.4	0	24.3	98.0	100.2	96.2	Medium
58 59	Illinois Hybrid 101	84.9	85.1 84.7	· 2 · 2 · 7	$25.1 \\ 22.9$	98.2 98.5	100.4 100.7	95.8 95.4	Medium Medium
60	Producers' Hybrid 909	84.7	84.1	.7	26.0	98.8	101.0	94.7	Al-high
61	Producers' Hybrid 1000	84.2	83.9 83.9	.4 .2	24.8	96.8	99.0 98.5	94.5 94.5	Medium
62 63	Pfister Hybrid 280.	83.9	83.9	. 1	24.2 23.9	96.3 99.3	98.5	94.5 94.4	Medium Medium
64	Sieben Hybrid S450	83.7	83.6	. 1	21.8	97.0	99.2	94.1	Medium
65 66	Vicews Hybrid 14	82.8	82.6 81.4	. 2 . 2 . 2	23.6 25.8	$97.2 \\ 98.8$	99.3 101.0	$93.0 \\ 91.7$	Medium
67	Crow Hybrid 514(W)	81.1	80.9	. 2	25.8	98.5	100.7	91.7 91.1	Medium M-high
68	DeKalb Hybrid 404A	80.7	80.5	. 2	24.3	95.5	97.6	90.7	Medium
69 70	Lowe Hybrid 15	79.0	$\frac{78.9}{78.4}$	$.1 \\ 0$	$24.9 \\ 22.2$	98.5 96.3	100.7 98.5	88.9 88.3	M-low Medium
70 71 72	Producers' Hybrid 1015	75.4	74.8	.8	20.9	98.3	100.5	84.2	Medium
72	Hoosier Crost Hybrid I12A	72.3	71.9	.5	20.3	97.8	100.0	81.0	Medium
	Average of all entries	89.1	88.8	.+	23.1	97.8			

A difference of less than 6.3 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

[February,

Table 6.-CORN BORER DAMAGE: Mt. Morris and Milford, 1944*

	Rank		ants broken below ear ^a	Rank	Entry	Plants broken below ear*
		Mt	Morris, N	orther	n Illinois	
			perct.			perct.
	1	Producers' Hybrid 1015		37	Producers' Hybrid 1020	
	2			37	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 379	4.6
	3	Nichols Hybrid N-75. Pfister Hybrid 280. Blackhawk Hybrid 98A. Doubet Hybrid D-25. DeKalb Hybrid 450. Illinois Hybrid 269. Illinois Hybrid 751. Nichols Hybrid 330. Crow Hybrid 332. Illinois Hybrid 1180 Wisconsin Hybrid 645. Nichols Victory Hybrid 4180.	. 1.6	39	Crow Hybrid 514 (W) Holmes Utility Hybrid 39 Funk Hybrid G-38	4.7
	4	Blackhawk Hybrid 98A	. 1.8	39	Holmes Utility Hybrid 39	4.7
)	4	Doubet Hybrid D-25	. 1.8	41	Funk Hybrid G-38	4.8
	6	DeKalb Hybrid 450	. 1.9	41	Sieben Hybrid S450	4.8
	7	Illinois Hybrid 209	· 2.1 · 2.2 · 2.2	41	Stepel Hybrid 3430 Funk Hybrid G-16 Morgan Hybrid M105 Sieben Hybrid S440 Funk Hybrid G-12 Lowe Hybrid 14 Holmes Utility Hybrid 49 Pioneer Hybrid 322 Frev Hybrid 325	4.8
)	8 8	Nichola Hybrid 54	. 4.4	44	Morgon Hybrid M105	4.9
	8	Pioneer Hybrid 330	. 2.2	46	Sieben Hybrid S440	5.0
	11	Crow Hybrid 432	2.7	47	Funk Hybrid G-12	5.2
	12	Illinois Hybrid 1180	. 2.8	48	Lowe Hybrid 14	5.6
	13	Wisconsin Hybrid 645	. 2.9 .	49	Holmes Utility Hybrid 49	5.7
, t	13	Nichols Victory Hybrid	. 2.9	49	Pioneer Hybrid 322	5.7
9	13	Holmes Utility Hybrid 29	. 2.9	51		
<u>ب</u>	16	Producers Hybrid 909	. 3.0	52 53	Doubet Hybrid D-1	5.9 6.0
significant.	16 18	Wiscolishi Yuyulu 043 Nichols Victory Hybrid Holmes Utility Hybrid 29 Producers' Hybrid 909 Pister Hybrid 4897 Pioneer Hybrid 353A	. 3.0 . 3.3	54	Ferris Hybrid F-11 Funk Hybrid G-42 Iowealth Hybrid AF11	6.1
50	18	Pioneer Hybrid 353	. 3.3	54	Iowealth Hybrid AF11	6.1
	20	Pioneer Hybrid 353 Nichols Hybrid 202A	. 3.6	56	$HURK HVDrid U_{2}/9$	0./
	20	Moews Hybrid 14 Hoosier Crost Hybrid 405	. 3.6	57		
not	20	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 405	. 3.6	58	Sieben Hybrid S-350	6.4
L	23	Moews Hybrid 15.	. 3.7	59	Sieben Hybrid 5-350. Holmes Utility Hybrid 96. Lowe Hybrid 15. Nichols Hybrid N-400. DeKalb Hybrid 458.	6.5
	23 25	Pioneer Hybrid 341 National Hybrid 114	. 3.7 . 3.8	59 61	Lowe Hybrid 15	6.5 6.6
	26	Hoosier Crost Hybrid FD4	. 3.9	62	DeKalb Hybrid 458	6.7
	27	Pfister Hybrid 260	4 0	63	DeKalb Hybrid 410	6.8
	28	Funk Hybrid G-114	. 4.1	63	DeKalb Hybrid 410 Pioneer Hybrid 340	6.8
	28	DeKalb Hybrid 609	. 4.1	65		
	30	Funk Hybrid G-114 DeKalb Hybrid 609 Funk Hybrid G-30 Pfister Hybrid 274	. 4.2	65	Crow Hybrid 360. Pfister Hybrid 366. Producers' Hybrid 1010 Hoosier Crost Hybrid 112A. Hoosier Crost Hybrid 112A.	6.9
	30	Pfister Hybrid 274	. 4.2	65	Pfister Hybrid 366	6.9
	30	Frey Hybrid 410 Illinois Hybrid 101 Illinois Hybrid 1091A	. 4.2	68	Producers' Hybrid 1010	···· 7.1
	33 34	Illinois Hybrid 1001 A	. 4.3 . 4.4	68 70	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-138	8.0
	34	Farmcraft Hybrid 42	. 4.4	71		
	36	Farmcraft Hybrid 42 Blackhawk Hybrid 111	. 4.5	72	DeKalb Hybrid 404A	9.5
					Average of all entries	4.6
		Mil	ford, North	-Centra	al Illinois	
3	1	Pfister Hybrid 1897	. 4.7	29	Lowe Hybrid 560	8.9
	2	Producers' Hybrid 1040 Crow Hybrid 608	5.2	29	Holmes Utility Hybrid 29	8.9
	2 3	Crow Hybrid 608	. 5.4		Stiegelmeier Hybrid 360	9.0
	4	Pfister Hybrid 280	. 5.5	31	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 360 Funk Hybrid G-37. Crow Hybrid 633 Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-168. Pioneer Hybrid 300 DeKalb Hybrid 336 Doubet Hybrid 800A. Doubet Hybrid 800A. Doubet Hybrid 00-47. Holmes Utility Hybrid 96. Crow Hybrid 607(W). Miller Hybrid 26. Illinois Hybrid 21. Frey Hybrid 644.	9.0
	5	Morton Hybrid M-380	. 5.7	33	Crow Hybrid 633	9.3
.¥۰	6	Crow Hybrid 607	. 6.3	34 35	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-108.	9.4
ai	6 6	Millor Hybrid 1050(W)	. 6.3 . 6.3	36	Pioneer Hybrid 336	9.7
,2 ,	ğ	Moews Hybrid 550	. 6.8	37	DeKalb Hybrid 800A	9.9
Ξ.	9 9	Pfister Hybrid 260	. 6.8	37	Doubet Hybrid D-47	9.9
50	11	Seeber Hybrid 11A	. 6.9	37	Holmes Utility Hybrid 96	9.9
significant	12	Pfister Hybrid 280. Morton Hybrid M-380. Crow Hybrid 607. Stiegelmeier Hybrid 379. Miller Hybrid 550 Pfister Hybrid 550 Pfister Hybrid 260. Seeber Hybrid 260. Funk Hybrid 6-71 Illinois Hybrid 1091A. Stiegelmeier Hybrid 380. Pfister Hybrid 3897.	. 7.0	40	Crow Hybrid 607(W)	10.0
	13	Illinois Hybrid 1091A	. 7.4	r 41	Miller Hybrid 26	10.2
not	13	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 380	. 7.4 . 7.5	42	Illinois Hybrid 21	10.4
	15 16	Physier Hybrid 4817	. 7.7	43	Frey Hybrid 644 DeKalb Hybrid 628A	10.5
IS.	17	Illinois Hybrid 972-1	. 8.0	45	Dekalo Hydrid 028A	10.5
	17	Pfister Hybrid 360	. 8.0	45	Pioneer Hybrid 332 Frey Hybrid 645	10.7
e	17	Pfister Hybrid 360 Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-166 DeKalb Hybrid 840	. 8.0	40	Holmes Utility Hybrid 39	10.9
JL	20	DeKalb Hybrid 840	. 8.1	48	DeKalb Hybrid 817A	11.0
gures	21	Pioneer Hybrid 304 Ferris Hybrid F-31	. 8.2	49	U. S. Hybrid 35	
Ę	22 22			50	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840	11.4
	$\frac{22}{24}$	Frey Hybrid 692 DeKalb Hybrid 847	. 8.5	51	Funk Hybrid G-94	11.5
	25	Pfister Hybrid 380	. 8.6	52	Funk Hybrid G-94 Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-169.	11.6
	25	Kelley Hybrid K-99	. 8.6	53	Producers' Hybrid 1030	11.7
	27	Doubet Hybrid D-42	. 8.7	53	Farmcraft Hybrid 89	11.7
	27	Prey Hybrid 847 DeKalb Hybrid 847 Pfister Hybrid 380 Kelley Hybrid K-99 Doubet Hybrid D-42. Producers' Hybrid 909	. 8.7	55	Lowe Hybrid 520	11.8

*Includes only those plants broken below the ear at point of damage by the borer (*Pryausia nubilalis* (Hbn.)).

(Table is concluded on next page)

A difference of less than 4.1 in percentage figures is

A difference of less than 5.5 in percentage

2

Rank	Entry	Plants broken below ears	Rank	Entry	Plants broker below ear ^a
		Milford—	-conclu	ıded	
		perct.			perct.
56	Farmcraft Hybrid 47	12.3	65	DeKalb Hybrid 816	14.5
57	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 668	12.4	65	U. S. Hybrid 13	14.5
57	Null Hybrid N-54	12.4	67	Iowealth Hybrid 25	14.8
59	Kelley Hybrid K-374	12.5	68	Funk Hybrid G-86	15.8
60	DeKalb Hybrid 720(W)	12.8	69	Funk Hybrid G-169	16.2
61	Illinois Hybrid 201	13.1	69	Sibley Hybrid 753B-1	17.8
62	National Hybrid 125	13.4	71	Miller Hybrid 201	
63	Funk Hybrid G-53	13.5	72	Producers' Hybrid 777	
64	Pioneer Hybrid 313D	13.6		Average of all entries	

Table 6.—Corn Borer Damage—concluded

(See opposite page for statement of significance.)

Table 7.—NORTHERN ILLINOIS: Mt. Morris Summary, 1943 and 1944

		Acre	e-yield	Damageo	i Mois- ture in	Erect		g fo r —	Compara- tive
Rai	nk Entry	Total		- shelled				Sound vield	height of ear
		bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perci.	
1	Nichols Hybrid 5A	94.3	93.8	.5	24.3	97.7	100.5	110.5	Medium
2	Funk Hybrid G-30	92.4	91.2	1.3	26.1	98.2	101.0	107.4	Medium
3	Pfister Hybrid 4897	91.6	91.5	.1	22.4	97.3	100.1	107.8	Medium
4	DeKalb Hybrid 458		90.0	.2	23.5	97.8	100.6	106.0	M-low
5	Pfister Hybrid 366		89.8	.3	24.1	94.2	96.9	105.8	M-high
6	Illinois Hybrid 751		89.2	.2	24.9	99.0	101.9	105.1	Medium
7	DeKalb Hybrid 615	88.9	88.5	.6	23.4	96.3	99.1	104.2	Medium
- 8	Funk Hybrid G-114	88.7	88.4	.4	25.9	96.3	99.1	104.1	Medium
10	Farmcraft Hybrid 42		86.9 87.3	1.2	25.7	·97.5 98.2	100.3 101.0	102.4	Medium Medium
10	Pioneer Hybrid 341	81.0	81.3	.4	24.0	98.2	101.0	102.8	Medium
11	Pfister Hybrid 260		87.3	0	24.9	96.9	99.7	102.8	Medium
11	Producers' Hybrid 1010	87.3	86.9	.5	25.0	96.4	99.2	102.4	Medium
13	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-138		86.2	1.2	23.5	93.8	96.5	101.5	Medium
14	DeKalb Hybrid 422		86.7	.3	24.5	96.0	98.8	102.1	Medium
15	Pioneer Hybrid 330		85.9	.6	24.1	97.9	100.7	101.2	Medium
16	Pfister Hybrid 274	86.1	85.9	.3	23.5	97.0	99.8	101.2	Medium
17 18	Pioneer Hybrid 340	80.0	85.7	.4	23.8	97.7	100.5	100.9	Medium
19	Producers' Hybrid 909 Illinois Hybrid 1180		84.6 85.1	1.0	$27.3 \\ 23.0$	$\frac{98.1}{97.8}$	100.9	99.6 100.2	M-high M-low
19	Crow Hybrid 360	85 3	84.9	.5	25.0	97.8	98.3	100.2	M-high
*		00.0	01.7	.5	20.1	20.0	20.0	100.0	141-111811
21	Pioneer Hybrid 322	85.2	84.0	1.4	22.3	98.0	100.8	98.9	Medium
22	Illinois Hybrid 101		84.9	.3	23.7	96.3	99.1	100.0	Medium
23	DeKalb Hybrid 450		84.6	.5	25.2	97.8	100.6	99.6	M-low
24	Nichols Hybrid 202A		84.7	.2	23.3	98.5	101.3	99.8	M-low
25	Nichols Hybrid Victory		84.4	.1	25.1	98.6	101.4	99.4	Medium
26 27	Funk Hybrid G-29 Pfister Hybrid 280	02.0	$\begin{array}{c} 83.3\\ 83.4\end{array}$.7 .5	27.7 26.5	96.9 97.7	99.7 100.5	98.1	M-low Medium
28	DeKalb Hybrid 404A	83.5	83.2	.3	20.5 24.6	95.8	98.6	98.2 98.0	Medium
29	Doubet Hybrid D-1	83 4	83.2	.2	24.8	97.3	100.1	98.0	Medium
30	Producers' Hybrid 1020	83.2	83.1	.2	23.0	98.3	101.1	97.9	M-low
30	DeKalb Hybrid 410	83.2	82.8	.5	22.8	97.1	99.9	97.5	M-low
32	Pioneer Hybrid 353A	82.9	82.8	.2	22.1	97.3	100.1	97.5	Medium
33 34	Funk Hybrid G-16	82.1	82.3	.5	24.4	96.9	99.7	96.9	M-low
35	Crow Hybrid 432 Moews Hybrid 14	82 1	81.3 81.8	1.2	$25.4 \\ 26.4$	$96.6 \\ 98.7$	99.4 101.8	95.8 96.3	Medium M-low
36	Lowe Hybrid 14	82 1	81.5	.7	20.4	97.0	99.8	96.0	Medium
37	Moews Hybrid 15	81 8	81.7	.2	22.2	96.6	99.8 99.4	96.2	Medium
38	Doubet Hybrid D-25	81.6	80.1	1.9	27.7	98.5	101.3	94.3	Medium
39	Crow Hybrid 514(W)	78.1	77.6	.7	22.5	96.2	99.0	91.4	M-high
40	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 405	77.4	77.2	.2	25.7	97.5	100.3	90.9	M-low
41	Lowe Hybrid 15	77.1	76.9	.4	24.0	97.0	99.8	90.6	M-low
	Average of all entries	85.3	84.9	.524	24.5	97.2			

A difference of less than 5.7 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

Table 8.--WEST NORTH-CENTRAL ILLINOIS: Galesburg, 1944

				Damageo				g for—	Compara-
Dee	- Entry	Acre	e-yield	corn in	ture in			Cound	tive
Rai	ık · Entry -	Total	Sound	- shelled sample	grain at harvest	plants	plants	Sound yield	height of ear
		TOtal	Sound	sample	natvest		plants	yielu	01 ea1
		bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	
1	Stewart Hybrid S-11 Pioneer Hybrid 304 Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-170 Stiegelmeier Hybrid 102 Usalware Utility, Wribrid 06	101.2	100.1	1.1	17.4	98.8	99.5	112.6	M-high
2	Pioneer Hybrid 304	100.1	96.5	3.6	19.7	98.3	99.0	108.5	Medium
3	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-170	99.6	96.0	3.6	17.6	99.7	100.4	108.0	Medium
$\frac{4}{5}$	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 102	98.1	97.7 95.4	2.7	$17.7 \\ 18.0$	99.7 98.3	$100.4 \\ 99.0$	$109.9 \\ 107.3$	Medium M-high
6	U.S. Hybrid 13	97.7	97.4	.3	19.4	100.0	100.7	107.5	M-high
7	DeKalb Hybrid 800A	97.2	96.9	.3	18.0	99.3	100.0	109.0	Medium
8	Producers' Hybrid 1040	97.0	95.9	1.1	17.3	99.2	99.9	107.9	Medium
9	U. S. Hybrid 44	96.8	92.3 93.7	4.7	18.0	99.3	100.0	103.8	Medium
10 11	Morgan Hyprid M-540	90.0	90.9	3.0 5.7	$19.2 \\ 17.7$	$\begin{array}{c}100.0\\100.0\end{array}$	$100.7 \\ 100.7$	$\frac{105.4}{102.2}$	M-high Medium
12	Funk Hybrid G-169	96.3	94.8	1.6	17.6	99.7	100.4	106.6	Medium
13	Frey Hybrid 692	96.0	94.1	2.0	18.3	98.3	99.0	105.8	Medium
14	DeKalb Hybrid 816	95.8	93.4	2.5	17.9	100.0	100.7	105.1	M-high
15	Holmes Utility Hybrid 29	95.3	$94.2 \\ 92.3$	$\frac{1.2}{3.0}$	$18.5 \\ 17.9$	99.3 97.7	$100.0 \\ 98.4$	$106.0 \\ 103.8$	Medium Medium
16 17	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-170 Stiegelmeier Hybrid 102 Holmes Utility Hybrid 96 U. S. Hybrid 13 Producers' Hybrid 10-40 U. S. Hybrid 41 Morgan Hybrid N-546 Morton Hybrid N-12. Funk Hybrid 6-169 Frey Hybrid 692 DeKalb Hybrid 816. Holmes Utility Hybrid 29 Frunk Hybrid 67 DeKalb Hybrid 827. Pinster Hybrid 827. Pister Hybrid 5897 Farmcraft Hybrid 47. Doubet Hybrid 672 Frey Hybrid 645 Holmes Utility Hybrid 39 Appl Hybrid 313D DeKalb Hybrid 313D DeKalb Hybrid 694 Illinois Hybrid 847 Pioneer Hybrid 313D DeKalb Hybrid 694 Pioneer Hybrid 694 Pioneer Hybrid 847 Us Hybrid 847 US Hybrid 847	93.2	92.3	2.4	19.3	99.2	99.9	103.8	Medium
18	Funk Hybrid G-71	94.6	94.0	.6	16.7	100.0	100.7	105.7	Medium
19	DeKalb Hybrid 827	94.5	92.8	1.8	17.6	99.7	100.3	104.4	Medium
20	Pfister Hybrid 5897	94.3	93.8	.5	17.5	100.0	100.7	105.5	Medium
20 22	Parmeratt Hybrid 4/	94.3	89.5 85.1	5.1 9.4	$18.0 \\ 17.5$	$\frac{98.0}{99.7}$	98.7 100.4	100.7 95.7	Medium Medium
$\frac{22}{23}$	Frey Hybrid 645	93.9	93.5	.3	19.0	99.7	100.4	105.2	Medium
	Holmes Utility Hybrid 39	93.6	92.3	1.4	19.2	100.0	100.7	103.8	Medium
2 <u>4</u> 25	Appl Hybrid A-336	93.4	90.8	2.8	17.7	99.7	100.4	102.1	M-high
26 27	Pioneer Hybrid 313D	93.3	93.0	. 3	19.8	97.2	97.9	104.6	Medium
27 27	DeKalb Hybrid 628A	93.2	92.6 88.4	.6	$ 18.2 \\ 18.3 $	98.8 100.0	99.5 100.7	$104.2 \\ 99.4$	Medium M-low
29	DeKalb Hybrid 847	93.2	86.7	5.2 6.7	17.8	100.0	100.7	99.4	Medium
30	U. S. Hybrid 35	92.7	92.6	• .1	17.8	99.7	100.4	104.2	Medium
30	Doubet Hybrid D-42	92.7	90.1	2.8	18.5	100.0	100.7	101.3	Medium
30	U.S. Hybrid 35 Doubet Hybrid D-42. Pioneer Hybrid 334. DeKalb Hybrid 817A. Illinois Hybrid 246. Producers' Hybrid FCXX. Froy Hybrid 641	92.7	89.6	3.3	18.3	99.3	100.0	100.8	Medium
33	DeKalb Hybrid 817A	92.4	87.6	5.2	19.0	99.7	100.4	98.5 100.1	Medium Medium
- 34 35	Producers' Hubrid ECXY	92.3	89.0 90.7	3.6 1.6	$ 18.1 \\ 17.5 $	100.0 98.0	$100.7 \\ 98.7$	100.1	M-high Medium
36	Frev Hybrid 644	92.1	87.0	5.5	19.3	99.7	100.4	97.9	M-high
37	Frog Hybrid 644. Funk Hybrid 6-53. Stiegelmeier Hybrid 380. Null Hybrid N-16. Ferris Hybrid F-14. Funk Hybrid G-32. Moews Hybrid 523.	91.8	90.5	1.4	18.1	97.7	98.4	101.8	Medium
38	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 380	.91.7	87.9	4.1	17.9	100.0	100.7	98.9	M-low
39	Null Hybrid N-16	91.1	89.6 87.3	1.7	$17.8 \\ 17.9$	99.7 98.3	100.4 99.0	100.8 98.2	Medium Medium
$\frac{40}{41}$	Ferns Hybrid G-32	90.8	88.3	3.8 2.5	18.3	99.7	100.4	98.2 99.3	Medium
42	Moews Hybrid 523	90.5	86.8	4.1	18.0	99.2	99.9	97.6	Medium
43	Illinois Hybrid 22. National Hybrid 125. Producers' Hybrid 1000. Illinois Hybrid 201. Hoosier Crost Hybrid 668. Poeter Hybrid 807.	90.4	87.5	3.2	18.3	99.7	100.4	98.4	Medium
44	National Hybrid 125	90.2	89.0	1.3	18.3	100.0	100.7	100.1	Medium
44	Producers' Hybrid 1000	90.2	85.4 89.9	5.3	$ 18.2 \\ 17.2 $	$\begin{array}{c}100.0\\100.0\end{array}$	$100.7 \\ 100.7$	96.1 101.1	Medium Medium
$\frac{46}{46}$	Honorier Crost Hybrid 668	90.0	88.2	2.0	17.6	100.0	100.7	99.2	Medium
48	Pfister Hybrid 1897.	89.8	88.8	1.1	18.0	99.3	100.0	99.9	Medium
49			87.9	2.0	19.1	98.0	98.7	98.9	Medium
50	Crow Hybrid 633 Kelly Hybrid 633 Nelly Hybrid K-374 Pioneer Hybrid 307 Lowe Hybrid 520 Pfister Hybrid 380 Funk Hybrid 380	89.5	84.5	5.6	18.6	99.5	100.2	95.1	Medium
51	Kelly Hybrid K-374	89.4	84.4 87.3	5.6 1.8	17.0	99.3 99.3	$\begin{array}{c} 100.0\\ 100.0 \end{array}$	$94.9 \\ 98.2$	Medium Medium
52 53	Lowe Hybrid 520	88.8	87.5	1.8	$16.8 \\ 18.7$	99.3	99.0	98.2 98.5	Medium
-54	Pfister Hybrid 380	88.6	87.2	1.6	18.9	100.0	100.7	98.1	M-low
55	Funk Hybrid G-37 Stiggelmeier Hybrid 379 Iowealth Hybrid 25 Crow Hybrid 607(W) Pfister Hybrid 4897 Pioneer Hybrid 339 Stiggelmeier Hybrid 360 DeKalb Hybrid 680	88.2	87.8	. 4	17.7	100.0	100.7	98.8	Medium
56	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 379	87.8	83.9	4.4	18.0	98.3	99.0	94.4	Medium
57	Iowealth Hybrid 25	87.5	85.4	2.4	17.9	100.0	$100.7 \\ 98.7$	96.1	Medium M high
58 59	Pfister Hybrid 4897	86.4	$\frac{84.9}{86.0}$	2.2	$19.6 \\ 17.6$	98.0 100.0	98.7	95.5 96.7	M-high Medium
59	Pioneer Hybrid 339	86.4	85.1	1.5	17.5	100.0	100.7	95.7	Medium
59	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 360	86.4	82.8	4.2	16.3	98.0	98.7	93.1	Medium
62	DeKalb Hybrid 680	86.3	82.8	4.1	19.7	98.5	99.2	93.1	M-low
63	Moews Hybrid 550	86.0	84.8	1.4	17.2	97.2	97.9	95.4	Medium
64 65	Formeraft Hybrid 42	81 0	85.3 78.0	.6 8.1	$ 18.9 \\ 17.9 $	99.3 99.8	100.0 100.5	96.0 87.7	Medium M-low
65 66	Morgan Hybrid 680. Morgan Hybrid 680. Morgan Hybrid 150. Farmeraft Hybrid 42. Pioneer Hybrid 333.	84.4	80.4	4.7	17.9	99.8	100.3	90.4	Medium
67	Pfister Hybrid 280	84.2	82.4	2.1	18.8	99.7	100.4	92.7	Medium
68	Producers' Hybrid 1030	83.2	82.9	.4	19.6	99.2 99.7	99.9	93.3	Medium
69 70	Kelly Hybrid K-42	82.9	81.2	2.0	15.6	99.7	100.4	91.3	M-low Modium
70 71	National Hybrid 118	80.8	81.1 80.2	.5 .8	$17.2 \\ 16.6$	99.7 99.0	$100.4 \\ 99.7$	91.2 90.2	Medium Medium
72	Producers' Hybrid 280 Producers' Hybrid 1030	77.5	75.6	2.5	19.0	100.0	100.7	85.0	Medium
	Average of all entries	91.2	88.9	2.6	18.1	99.3			

A difference of less than 7.7 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

	Calciburg Summary, 1945 and 1944										
		Acre	e-yield	Damage	1 Mois- ture in	Frect .		g for—	Compara- tive		
Rai	ik Entry		yieiu		grain at			Sound	height		
		Total	Sound	sample	harvest		plants	yield	of ear		
		bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.			
1	DeKalb Hybrid 800A		105.2	2.9	20.1	97.2	101.0	104.7	Medium		
2	Morgan Hybrid M-546		106.4	2.0	20.7	98.7	102.6	105.9	M-high		
3	Producers' Hybrid 1040		107.2	1.0	19.8	95.3	99.1	106.7	M-high		
4	Pfister Hybrid 5897		106.8	.4	19.0	96.0	99.8	106.3	Medium		
5 6	U. S. Hybrid 13 Funk Hybrid G-169		106.5 105.5	.6 1.3	$21.4 \\ 19.9$	$\frac{98.3}{97.4}$	$102.2 \\ 101.2$	$106.0 \\ 105.0$	M-high M-high		
7	Crow Hybrid 633.		103.1	3.6	19.5	95.3	99.1	102.6	Medium		
8	DeKalb Hybrid 827		103.1	2.7	20.2	96.5	100.3	102.6	M-high		
9	Illinois Hybrid 246		102.9	2.8	20.3	96.4	100.2	102.4	M-high		
10	Producers' Hybrid 1000	105.7	103.2	2.8	20.3	98.5	102.4	102.7	M-high		
11	DeKalb Hybrid 816		103.2	2.3	20.3	97.5	101.4	102.7	M-high		
12	Holmes Utility Hybrid 29		103.9	1.2	20.1	98.0	101.9	103.4	Medium		
13	DeKalb Hybrid 628A		103.4	1.5	20.3	94.9	98.6	102.9	M-high		
13 15	Pioneer Hybrid 334	105.0	$103.0 \\ 102.9$	$2.1 \\ 2.0$	$\frac{19.2}{20.5}$	96.0 96.9	99.8 100.7	$102.5 \\ 102.4$	Medium Medium		
16	DeKalb Hybrid 817A		101.2	3.6	20.3	98.9	102.8	100.7	Medium		
17	Funk Hybrid G-32	104.6	102.5	2.1	19.7	97.9	101.8	102.0	Medium		
17	Appl Hybrid A-336	104.6	101.3	3.1	20.4	96.6	100.4	100.8	M-high		
19	National Hybrid 125	104.5	103.6	1.0	19.8	96.9	100.7	103.1	Medium		
20	Crow Hybrid 607	103.9	100.5	3.2	21.2	94.0	97.7	100.0	M-high		
21	Doubet Hybrid D-72		98.6	5.1	19.6	96.4	100.2	98.1	Medium		
22	Farmcraft Hybrid 47		98.6	4.7	19.2	92.0	95.6	98.1	Medium		
$\frac{23}{24}$	Funk Hybrid G-37 Illinois Hybrid 21		102.3	$^{.7}_{2.0}$	$19.1 \\ 19.8$	$99.2 \\ 98.2$	$103.1 \\ 102.1$	$101.8 \\ 100.6$	Medium Medium		
25	DeKalb Hybrid 680		100.6	2.5	21.2	93.6	97.3	100.1	M-low		
26	Pioneer Hybrid 339		100.0	2.0	19.3	98.3	102.2	99.5	Medium		
27	Null Hybrid N-16	101.7	100.6	1.1	20.1	98.3	102.2	100.1	M-high		
27	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 380	101.7	98.9	2.8	19.8	94.3	98.0	98.4	M-low		
29 30	Producers' Hybrid FCXX Pfister Hybrid 380		$100.1 \\ 100.0$	$1.4 \\ 1.3$	20.7 20.3	$96.4 \\ 97.8$	100.2	99.6 99.5	M-high M-low		
30	r lister Hybrid 300	101.5	100.0	1.5	20.3	91.0	101.7	99.5	141-10 W		
31	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 668		98.9	2.2	20.3	98.3	102.2	98.4	Medium		
32	Illinois Hybrid 201		100.8	.2	20.1	97.1	100.9	100.3	M-high		
$\frac{33}{34}$	Pfister Hybrid 1897 Iowealth Hybrid 25		99.3 98.9	1.0 1.4	19.2 20.1	$96.5 \\ 96.4$	100.3 100.2	$\frac{98.8}{98.4}$	Medium Medium		
35	Moews Hybrid 523	99.9	97.5	2.6	19.5	95.9	99.7	97.0	M-high		
36	Morgan Hybrid M-52	99.6	99.1	.5	19.8	92.9	96.6	98.6	Medium		
37	Lowe Hybrid 520	98.8	96.3	2.4	21.3	95.7	99.5	95.8	Medium		
38	Farmcraft Hybrid 42	98.7	95.0	4.3	19.8	99.2	103.1	94.5	M-low		
39 40	Pfister Hybrid 360	$98.5 \\ 98.3$	$96.9 \\ 95.4$	$\frac{1.7}{3.0}$	$19.8 \\ 19.5$	92.3 90.9	$95.9 \\ 94.5$	$96.4 \\ 94.9$	Medium Medium		
40				0.0							
41	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 360	98.0	95.9	2.4	19.3	88.8	91.9	95.4	Medium		
42	Moews Hybrid 550	97.9	96.5	1.5	19.1	94.6	98.3	96.0	Medium		
$\frac{43}{44}$	Producers' Hybrid 1030 Pioneer Hybrid 333	96.7 95.3	95.9 92.8	.8 2.9	21.0 19.9	95.5 98.1	99.3 102.0	95.4 92.3	Medium Medium		
45	Lowe Hybrid 560	91.1	89.0	2.9	20.5	96.3	102.0	88.5	Medium		
	Average of all entries	102.6	100,5	2.1	20.0	96.2					

Table 9.—WEST NORTH-CENTRAL ILLINOIS: Galesburg Summary, 1943 and 1944

A difference of less than 4.4 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

Table 10.—EAST NORTH-CENTRAL ILLINOIS: Milford, 1944

		1.000	uiold	Damaged Mois-		Erect		g for	
Rai	ak Entry	Total	e-yield Sound	corn in - shelled sample		plants	Erect plants	Sound yield	tive height of ear
		bu.				houst			, or ear
	D 17 11 11 1 1 0 00		bu.	percl.	percl.	percl.	perct.	percl.	26.11
1	DeKalb Hybrid 840. Miller Hybrid 201. Ferris Hybrid F-31. Holmes Utility Hybrid 39. Froducers' Hybrid 1030. Frey Hybrid 602. Seeber Hybrid 11A. Funk Hybrid G-53. Funk Hybrid G-54. DeKalb Hybrid 800A DeKalb Hybrid 628A Ullinois Hybrid 621.	104.3	103.7	.6	20.0	91.5	100.9	118.9	Medium
3	Korrie Hybrid F 31	07.8	100.6 96.5	1.8	21.0 18.5	86.2 95.5	95.0 105.3	$115.4 \\ 110.7$	Medium Medium
3	Holmes Utility Hybrid 30	97.6	96.7	1.3 .9	22.4	94.3	103.3	110.9	Medium
4	Producers' Hybrid 1030	97.6	95.0	2.7	20.9	93.3	102.9	108.9	Medium
6	Frey Hybrid 692	96.2	95.4	.8	21.0	87.2	96.1	109.4	Medium
7	Seeber Hybrid 11A	94.8	93.9	. 9	21.4	96.3	106.2	107.7	M-high
8	Funk Hybrid G-53	94.6	92.5	2.2	19.9	85.8	94.6	106.1	Medium
9	Funk Hybrid G-94	94.5	93.8	.7	22.8	92.5	102.0	107.6	M-high
.9	DeKalb Hybrid 800A	94.5	92.7	$1.9 \\ 1.3$	20.8 20.6	93.5 92.2	103.1	$106.3 \\ 106.8$	M-high
11 12	Illinois Hybrid 201	04.5	93.1 93.3	.9	20.8	87.7	101.7 96.7	107.0	Medium M-high
13	Pioneer Hybrid 304	93.8	93.2	.6	21.6	92.8	102.3	106.9	Medium
14	Holmes Utility Hybrid 29	93.5	92.4	1.2	20.6	95.8	105.6	106.0	Medium
15	Pfister Hybrid 380	93.2	92.6	.6	21.2	90.3	99.6	106.2	Medium
16	Pfister Hybrid 5897	93.0	92.5	. 5	20.4	92.0	101.4	106.1	Medium
17	Funk Hybrid G-71	92.9	- 92.7	.2	19.8	93.8	103.4	106.3	Medium
18	Funk Hybrid G-169.	92.8	92.2	. /	20.1	85.8	94.6	105.7	M-high
19	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840	92.7	92.1	.6	21.3	94.3	104.0	105.6	M-high
20 21	Biogen Unbrid 200	92.4	$90.5 \\ 91.9$	2.1	$\begin{array}{c} 20.1 \\ 22.2 \end{array}$	88.0° 93.3	97.0 102.9	$103.8 \\ 105.4$	Medium Medium
22	From Hybrid 644	92.5	90.8	1.4	22.4	91.5	102.9	104.1	M-high
23	Illinois Hybrid 972-1	91.7	91.1	.6	20.9	93.8	103.4	104.5	M-high
24	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 360	91.2	90.7	.6	18.9	89.2	98.3	104.0	Medium
25	Producers' Hybrid 1040	91.0	90.4	. 7	21.4	94.7	104.4	103.7	Medium
26	Crow Hybrid 607	90.7	90.4	. 3	22.1	88.3	97.4	103.7	Medium
27	Funk Hybrid G-37	90.5	88.9	1.8	20.6	92.5	102.0	101.9	Medium
28	Morton Hybrid M-380	90.2	89.7	.6	21.4	93.3	102.9	102.9	Medium
29	U. S. Hybrid 13.	89.8	87.9	2.1	21.8	87.0	95.9	100.8	M-high
30 31	Holmos Utility Hybrid 06	80.5	$89.5 \\ 89.0$.2 .6	$\begin{array}{c} 21.3\\ 20.1 \end{array}$	$\frac{88.5}{84.2}$	97.6 92.8	$102.6 \\ 102.1$	Medium M-high
32	Funk Hybrid G-86	80 3	88.0	1.4	20.3	88.3	97.4	102.1	Medium
33	Farmcraft Hybrid 89	88.9	88.4	.6	20.3	93.5	103.1	101.4	Medium
33	Kelly Hybrid K-374	88.9	87.3	1.8	19.3	88.3	97.4	100.1	Medium
35	Null Hybrid N-54	88.8	88.1	.8	21.6	94.5	104.2	101.0	M-high
36	Pfister Hybrid 4817	88.6	88.1	.6	20.3	94.2	103.9	101.0	Medium
37	Doubet Hybrid D-42	88.5	88.3	.2	21.3	93.3	102.9	101.3	Medium
38	DeKalb Hybrid 816	88.2	86.9	1.5	23.1	90.3	99.6	99.7	M-high
39 40	Pieneer Hybrid 226	1.66	85.1 87.6	3.4 .5	$\begin{array}{c} 21.7\\ 20.5 \end{array}$	$92.2 \\ 92.2$	$101.7 \\ 101.7$	$97.6 \\ 100.5$	Medium Medium
40	Vational Hybrid 125	88.0	87.6	.5	19.5	87.2	96.1	100.5	Medium
42	DeKalb Hybrid 847	87.6	86.8	.9	21.7	90.7	100.0	99.5	Medium
43	Doubet Hybrid D-47	87.2	86.5	.8	22.5	91.5	100.9	99.2	Medium
44	Pioneer Hybrid 332	87.0	86.6	.5	22.7	$\begin{array}{c} 94.2\\90.7\end{array}$	103.9	99.3	M-high
44	Illinois Hybrid 1091A	87.0	86.5	.6	20.4	90.7	100.0	99.2	Medium
44	Crow Hybrid 633	87.0	85.1	2.2	22.5	90.5	99.8	97.6	Medium
47	Pioneer Hybrid 313D	86.9	86.1	.9	22.4	90.2	99.4	98.7	Medium
48	DeKalb Hyprid 81/A	80.8	85.9	1.0	$21.7 \\ 22.5$	90.8 95.0	100.1	98.5 98.2	Medium
48 50	Funk Hybrid G-94.DeKalb Hybrid G-94.DeKalb Hybrid 60ADeKalb Hybrid 62AIllinois Hybrid 201.Pioneer Hybrid 304Holmes Utility Hybrid 29.Pfister Hybrid 5807Funk Hybrid G-71.Funk Hybrid G-169.Hoosier Crost Hybrid 380.Pioneer Hybrid 380.Pioneer Hybrid 380.Pioneer Hybrid 440.Stiegelmeier Hybrid 380.Pioneer Hybrid 644.Illinois Hybrid 972-1.Stiegelmeier Hybrid 360.Producers' Hybrid 1040.Crow Hybrid 607Funk Hybrid 6-37.Morton Hybrid M-380.U. S. Hybrid 10-40.Crow Hybrid 645.Holmes Utility Hybrid 96.Funk Hybrid 645.Holmes Utility Hybrid 96.Funk Hybrid 645.Pioneer Hybrid 530.Vu S. Hybrid 10-47.Pioneer Hybrid 541.Null Hybrid N-54Pister Hybrid 21.Pister Hybrid 316.Illinois Hybrid 125.DeKalb Hybrid 316.Illinois Hybrid 332.Pioneer Hybrid 332.Pioneer Hybrid 333.Pioneer Hybrid 335.Kelly Hybrid 47.U. S. Hybrid 350.Pister Hybrid 360.Pister Hybrid 360.	86.7	85.6 85.5	$1.4 \\ 1.4$	22.5	95.0	$104.7 \\ 105.0$	98.2 98.1	Medium Medium
51	Farmcraft Hybrid 47	85.5	85.0	.6	20.9	89.5	98.7	97.5	Medium
52	U. S. Hybrid 35	85.1	84.2	1.0	20.6	90.0	99.2	96.6	Medium
53	Kelly Hybrid K-99	84.4	84.1	. 4	20.1	94.2	103.9	96.4	M-high
54	Pfister Hybrid 280	84.3	83.8	.6	20.5	89.3	98.5	96.1	Medium
55	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 668	84.1	83.8	. 3	24.2	93.2	102.8	96.1	Medium
56	Producers' Hybrid 909	83.7	82.0	2.0	20.6	88.3	97.4	94.0	Medium
57	Sibley Hybrid 753B-1	82.5	81.7	1.0	21.6	89.8	99.0	93.7	Medium
58 59	Hospier Crost Hybrid E 160	02. 4 91.6	82.2 81.4	.3	$\begin{array}{c} 21.8\\ 21.0 \end{array}$	88.3 90.2	$97.4 \\ 99.4$	94.3 93.3	Medium Medium
60	Pfister Hybrid 260	81 3	80.5	1.0	19.8	91.3	100.7	92.3	Medium
60	Moews Hybrid 550	81.3	80.0	1.6	20.4	92.2	101.7	91.7	Medium
62	Lowe Hybrid 520	81.0	80.2	1.0	22.7	92.0	101.4	92.0	Medium
63	Iowealth Hybrid 25	80.5	80.3 79.7	. 3	22.0	85.8	94.6	92.1	Medium
64	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 379	80.2	79.7	. 6	22.0	91.7	101.1	91.4	Medium
65	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-168	80.1	79.8	. 4	21.3	93.3	102.9	91.5	Medium
66	Miller Hybrid 26	79.2	77.9	1.6	24.8	90.2	99.4	89.3	Medium
67	Producers' Hybrid 777	77.0	77.2	.5	20.3	85.8	94.6	88.5	Medium
68 69	Lowe Hybrid 560	77.1	76.8 75.1	.4 .4	$22.8 \\ 20.5$	$95.3 \\ 87.8$	105.1 96.8	88.1 86.1	High Medium
70	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-166	73 0	72.8	1.5	20.5	95.0	104.7	83.5	Medium
70 71	DeKalb Hybrid 720(W)	73.7	73.3	.6	23.3	65.8	72.5	84.1	M-high
72	Moews Hybrid 550. Lowe Hybrid 520. Iowealth Hybrid 25. Stiegelmeier Hybrid 379. Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-168. Miller Hybrid 26. Producers' Hybrid 777. Miller Hybrid 1050(W). Lowe Hybrid 500. Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-166. DeKalb Hybrid 720(W). Crow Hybrid 607(W). Average of all entries.	70.6	69.7	1.3	23.2	86.2	95.0	79.9	Medium
	Average of all entries	88.0	87.2	1.0	21.2	90.7			

A difference of less than 6.9 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

1		Acre	e-yield	Damage	d Mois- ture in	Frect		g for—	Compara- tive
an	k Entry		Sound	- shelled	grain at harvest			Sound yield	height of ear
		bu.				haved			
	E		bu.	perct.	perct.	perci.	perct.	perci.	
1	DeKalb Hybrid 840	93.1	91.9	1.4	19.9	92.4	100.1	110.3	Medium
23	Producers' Hybrid 1030 Holmes Utility Hybrid 39	90.5	88.9 89.6	1.7	$20.5 \\ 21.1$	94.3 95.2	$102.2 \\ 103.1$	$106.7 \\ 107.6$	Medium Medium
4	Funk Hybrid G-94	90.2 80 5	88.7	.9	20.9	93.1	100.9	107.0	M-high
	Null Hybrid N-54		88.3	1.3	20.6	93.8	101.6	106.0	M-high
5	DeKalb Hybrid 800A		88.1	1.5	19.9	93.2	101.0	105.8	M-high
7	Seeber Hybrid 11A		88.1	1.1	20.1	95.4	103.4	105.8	M-high
8	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 380	88.9	87.1	2.1	20.2	91.2	98.8	104.6	M-low
	Funk Hybrid G-169		87.8	.9	19.5	88.5	95.9	105.4	M-high
10	DeKalb Hybrid 816	88.0	87.2	.9	21.4	90.8	98.4	104.7	M-high
11	Pfister Hybrid 380	87.9	87.5	.5	20.4	93.6	101.4	105.0	M-low
	DeKalb Hybrid 628A		86.9	1.1	21.3	94.6	102.5	104.0	M-high
	Illinois Hybrid 201		86.8	1.0	19.8	90.9	98.5	104.2	M-high
	Pfister Hybrid 4817		86.5	.5	19.8	93.6	101.4	103.8	Medium
	Producers' Hybrid 1040		86.2 85.5	.8	$21.2 \\ 19.4$	95.4	103.4	103.5	Medium
16 17	Farmcraft Hybrid 89	86.6	85.5	$1.4 \\ .7$	19.4	92.3 95.3	100.0 103.3	102.6 103.2	Medium M-low
18	Pfister Hybrid 5897 Illinois Hybrid 972-1 ^a	85 5	84.8	.8	20.3	94.7	102.6	103.2	Medium
	Miller Hybrid 201		83.7	2.0	20.6	91.8	99.5	101.3	Medium
	Funk Hybrid G-37		83.5	2.4	19.6	93.1	100.9	100.2	Medium
21	Crow Hybrid 607	85 2	84.5	.9	20.7	90.3	97.8	101.4	Medium
	Illinois Hybrid 21		82.8	2.6	21.0	92.2	99.9	99.4	Medium
	Doubet Hybrid D-47		83.7	1.2	20.9	92.8	100.5	100.5	Medium
	National Hybrid 125		84.2	.4	19.7	91.7	99.3	101.1	Medium
	DeKalb Hybrid 817A		83.1	1.6	20.5	92.7	100.4	99.8	Medium
	Pioneer Hybrid 332		83.0	1.7	23.0	92.4	100.1	99.6	M-high
	Pioneer Hybrid 300		83.7	.8	22.3	94.7	102.6	100.5	Medium
	Pioneer Hybrid 336		83.3	.7	19.4	92.5	100.2	100.0	Medium
	Pfister Hybrid 280		82.5	1.6	20.1	92.3	100.0	99.0	M-low
30	Crow Hybrid 633	83.0	82.4	1.4	21.3	92.4	100.1	98.9	Medium
	Stiegelmeier Hybrid 360		83.0	.6	19.1	88.9	96.3	99.6	Medium
	U. S. Hybrid 13		81.9	1.9	21.2	89.8	97.3	98.3	Medium
	U. S. Hybrid 35		82.3	.9	20.7	92.3	100.0	98.8	Medium
	DeKalb Hybrid 847		81.2	2.1	21.2	91.4	99.0	97.5	Medium
	Pioneer Hybrid 313D.		$\frac{81.1}{79.9}$.8 1.8	21.7 20.0	91.9	99.6 100.3	$97.4 \\ 95.9$	Medium Medium
	Sibley Hybrid 753B-1 ^b Crow Hybrid 608		79.9	1.8	20.0	$92.6 \\ 94.2$	100.3	95.6	Medium
38	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 668	70 0	78.7	1.6	22.1	93.0	100.8	94.5	Medium
39	Pfister Hybrid 260	79.6	79.1	.7	19.4	93.0	100.8	95.0	M-low
	Lowe Hybrid 520		78.9	1.0	21.2	94.5	102.0	94.7	Medium
41	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-169	79.3	78.9	.5	19.7	.92.3	100.0	94.7	Medium
	Jowealth Hybrid 25		78.5	.3	20.6	89.7	97.2	94.2	M-high
	Farmcraft Hybrid 47		76.4	2.5	19.6	92.6	100.3	91.7	M-low
	Lowe Hybrid 560		76.3	. 7	20.0	91.4	99.0	91.6	Medium
45	Pfister Hybrid 360	75.8	75.7	.2	20.4	91.5	99.1	90.9	M-low
46	DeKalb Hybrid 720(W)	74.7	74.4	.5	22.6	78.3	84.8	89.3	M-high
17	Miller Hybrid 1050(W)	74.4	72.8	2.3	22.0	94.3	102.2	87.4	High
	Average of all entries	84.3	83.3	1.2	20.6	92.3			

Table 11.—EAST NORTH-CENTRAL ILLINOIS: Milford Summary, 1943 and 1944

^aThis entry in the 1943 tests was Illinois Hybrid 972. ^bThis entry in the 1943 tests was Sibley Hybrid 753B.

A difference of less than 5.5 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

				Damageo	d Mois-		Ratin	g for—	Compara-
D	The second se	Acro	e-yield		ture in			C 1	tive
Rai	nk Entry	Total	Sound		grain at harvest	plants	plants	Sound yield	height of ear
								Jicia	
		bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	
1	Funk Hybrid G-80.	.105.3	104.2	1.0	20.7	85.8	111.7	114.9	M-high
$\frac{2}{3}$	Miller Hybrid 1050(W)	103.3	$104.7 \\ 102.9$.4 .4	$19.8 \\ 18.9$	82.5 73.3	$107.4 \\ 95.4$	$115.4 \\ 113.5$	M-high High
4	Funk Hybrid G-515(W)	.101.3	100.6	.7	19.5	58.3	75.9	110.9	High
5	Appl Hybrid A-128	. 99.8	99.7	.1	17.3	82.2	107.0	109.9	M-high
6 7	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 941(W)	. 99.0	$97.4 \\ 98.8$	1.6 .1	$\frac{18.5}{16.6}$	$64.2 \\ 75.8$	83.6 98.7	$107.4 \\ 108.9$	M-high Medium
7	Morgan Hybrid M-546	. 98.9	98.6	.3	15.5	82.5	107.4	108.7	Medium
9	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 917(W)	. 98.7	98.5	. 2	18.4	56.7	73.8	108.6	M-high
10	Funk Hybrid G-80. Funk Hybrid G-137. Miller Hybrid 1050(W). Funk Hybrid G-515(W). Appl Hybrid A-128. Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 941(W) Producers' Hybrid 1050. Morgan Hybrid M-546. Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 917(W). Funk Hybrid G-104.	. 97.2	97.0	.2	15.2	85.8	111.7	106.9	Medium
11			95.5	1.1	17.3	77.2	100.5	105.3	Medium
12	Crow Hybrid 607. Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 901(W) Illinois Hybrid 200.	, 96.3	96.2	.1	18.1	66.7	86.8	106.1	M-high
13	Illinois Hybrid 200	96.0	94.6	1.5	17.3	77.2 66.7 71.7 76.7	$93.4 \\ 99.9$	104.3	Medium
14 15	Funk Hybrid G-01	95.0	$94.8 \\ 94.4$.8 .8	15.8 15.5	77.5	100.9	$104.5 \\ 104.1$	Medium M-low
16	Illinois Hybrid 201	. 95.1	94.6	.5	14.6	80.8	105.2	104.3	Medium
17	Pioneer Hybrid 304	94.9	94.2	. /	17.0	80.0	104.2	103.9	M-low
18 19	Illinois Hybrid 972-1	. 94.6	$92.0 \\ 93.8$	2.8	$14.7 \\ 16.5$	83.3 80.8	108.5 105.2	$101.4 \\ 103.4$	M-low Medium
19	Illinois Hybrid 200. Appl Hybrid A-336 Funk Hybrid G-94. Illinois Hybrid 201. Pioneer Hybrid 304. Illinois Hybrid 972-1 Funk Hybrid 972-1 Hoosier Crost Hybrid 505(W).	. 93.8	91.5	2.4	17.5	68.3	88.9	100.9	M-high
21 21	U. S. Hybrid 13. Pioneer Hybrid 332 Producers Hybrid 1040. Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 831	. 93.7	92.8 91.2	$\begin{array}{c}1.3\\2.7\end{array}$	$\frac{15.1}{17.0}$	83.3 76.7	$108.5 \\ 99.9$	$102.3 \\ 100.6$	Medium Medium
23	Producers' Hybrid 1040	. 93.3	93.3	2.7	14.8	87.5	113.9	102.9	Medium
24	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 831	. 92.3	92.2	.1	14.9	73.3	95.4	101.7	Medium
25	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840	. 92.1	91.9	.2	16.7	80.8	105.2	101.3	Medium
$\frac{26}{27}$	Stiegelmeier Hydrid 102	. 92.0	$91.8 \\ 90.8$.2 .8	$14.6 \\ 17.0$	$\frac{82.5}{74.2}$	$107.4 \\ 96.6$	$101.2 \\ 100.1$	M-low M-high
28	Pfister Hybrid 1897	. 91.0	89.3	1.9	15.3	90.3	117.6	98.5	Medium
29	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840 Stiegelmeier Hybrid 102 Illinois Hybrid 247. Pfister Hybrid 1897 DeKalb Hybrid 835. Hoosier Crost Hybrid 707(W)	. 90.9	90.5	.4	15.1	86.7	112.9	99.8	M-low
29	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 707(W)	. 90.9	90.4	. 5	18.4	69.2	90.1	99.7	M-high
31	Lowe Hybrid 840	. 90.7	90.7	0	18.2	80.0	104.2	100.0	Medium
31	Lowe Hybrid 840 Funk Hybrid G-169	. 90.7	90.3	.4	14.8	82.5	107.4	99.6	Medium
$\frac{33}{34}$	Null Hybrid N-77 Pfister Hybrid 1823	. 90.4	88.0 88.9	2.7	$17.0 \\ 17.3$	$\frac{56.7}{63.3}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73.8\\ 82.4 \end{array}$	97.0 98.0	M-high Medium
35	DeKalb Hybrid 816	. 90.1	89.8	$1.6 \\ .3$	17.3	81.3	105.9	99.0	Medium
36	Illinois Hybrid 246	. 90.0	89.5	.6	16.2	74.2	96.6	98.7	Medium
37	Illinois Hybrid 246 Farmcraft Hybrid 81 Illinois Hybrid 501 (Ponder)	. 89.7	89.3	.4	14.4	81.7	106.4	98.5	M-low
38 39	DeKalb Hybrid 888	89.5	87.6 85.5	$2.1 \\ 4.2$	$16.8 \\ 18.1$	$\frac{74.2}{58.3}$	96.6 75,9	$96.6 \\ 94.3$	Medium Medium
40	DeKalb Hybrid 888 Producers' Hybrid 1000	. 88.9	88.7	.2	14.1	81.7	106.4	97.8	Medium
			07 (70.0	102.1	06.6	3.5
41 42	Crow Hybrid 805	. 88.8 88.7	$\frac{87.6}{88.0}$	1.4	15.5 15.8	79.2 88.0	$103.1 \\ 114.6$	96.6 97.0	Medium Medium
43	Pfister Hybrid 164. Lowe Hybrid 855(W)	. 88.2	87.8	. 4	19.6	63.3	82.4	96.8	M-high
43	Iowealth Hybrid 29A	. 88.2	87.7	.6	16.0	85.8	111.7	96.7	Medium
$\frac{45}{46}$	Illinois Hybrid 21	- XX D	85.2 87.6	3.2	$16.8 \\ 14.9$	92.5	$120.4 \\ 113.9$	93.9 96.6	Medium M-low
40	DeKalb Hybrid 922(W)	. 87.9	86.3	. 6	18.9	87.5 77.5	100.9	95.1	M-high
48	Pioneer Hybrid 313D	. 86.6	86.4	.2	16.2	67.0	87.2	95.3	Medium
49	Crow Hybrid 608 DeKalb Hybrid 922(W) Pioneer Hybrid 313D Hoosier Crost Hybrid 746	. 86.5	86.1	.5	16.0	86.7	112.9	94.9	Medium
50	National Hybrid 129	, 80.4	84.4	2.3	19.8	63.3	82.4	93.1	Medium
51	Farmcraft Hybrid 88		85.8	. 3	15.8	70.8	92.2	94.6	Medium
52	Pioneer Hybrid 336		85.1	.9	14.1	75.8	98.7	93.8	Medium
53 54	Pfister Hybrid 160	. 85.4	$\frac{85.1}{81.9}$.8 4.1	$16.4 \\ 16.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 62.5 \\ 77.2 \end{array}$	81.4 100.5	93.8 90.3	Medium Medium
55	Illinois Hybrid 126. Hoosier Crost Hybrid 668 Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-169	. 84.3	84.0	.3	15.3	81.7	106.4	92.6	Medium
56	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-169	. 84.2	83.9	.4	13.7	82.5	107.4	92.5	Medium
57 58	Pfister Hybrid 360A Pioneer Hybrid 300	84.1	83.9 80.2	$^{2}_{2.4}$	$14.6 \\ 16.2$	80.0 75.0	$104.2 \\ 97.7$	$92.5 \\ 88.4$	Low Medium
50 59	Miller Hybrid 26	. 79.6	79.4	2.4	13.9	83.3	108.5	87.5	M-low
60	Miller Hybrid 26. DeKalb Hybrid 919(W)	. 78.9	78.5	.5	18.2	77.2	100.5	86.5	Medium
	Average of all entries		90.7	.9	16.5	76.8			
	strenge of all clithes		50.1	. 9	10.5	10.0			

Table 12.—SOUTH-CENTRAL ILLINOIS: Sullivan, 1944

A difference of less than 7.9 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

Rank	Entry	Plants leaning 30 degrees or more	Plants leaning more than 45 degrees	Resistance rating compared with average ^b
		perct.	perct.	
1	Illinois Hybrid 21	. 4.3	.4	519
2	Crow Hybrid 608 Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-169	. 5.1	.7	409
$\frac{3}{4}$	Pfister Hybrid 164	. 6.8	0.4	397 375
5	Miller Hybrid 26	. 8.0	.4	338
6	Miller Hybrid 26. Funk Hybrid G-137. DeKalb Hybrid 816.	7.1	1.3	276
7	DeKalb Hybrid 816	9.2	1.1	237
8	DeKalb Hybrid 835 Morgan Hybrid M-546	8.7	1.4	233
10	Morgan Hybrid M-546 Funk Hybrid G-80	. 9.9	$1.1 \\ .3$	221 218
10	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 746	. 11.0	.7	218
12	Producers' Hybrid 1000	. 10.4	1.1	214
13	Producers' Hybrid 1040	. 10.8	1.0	211
14	Funk Hybrid G-104	. 10.9	1.1	205
15 16	Crow Hybrid 805 Farmcraft Hybrid 81	. 10.5	$1.5 \\ 0$	195 190
17	Illinois (Ponder) Hybrid 501	15.2	ŏ	178
18	Funk Hybrid G-96	. 13.6	1.4	165
18	Funk Hybrid G-96 Illinois Hybrid 201	. 14.9	. 7	165
20	Funk Hybrid G-94	. 14.6	1.0	163
21 22	Appl Hybrid A-128 Illinois Hybrid 126	. 15.9 . 14.0	.4 1.7	161 155
	U S Hybrid 13	. 15.6	1.1	155
24	U. S. Hybrid 13 Stiegelmeier Hybrid 102	. 13.3	2.8	142
25	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 831	. 15.7	1.7	141
26	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 668	. 15.1	2.1	139
27	Producers' Hybrid 1050	. 15.5	2.0	138
27 27	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840 Funk Hybrid G-169	. 15.3	$2.1 \\ 2.4$	138 138
30	Pfister Hybrid 1897.	. 17.7	1.1	135
31	Pioneer Hybrid 304	. 15.2	3.5	122
32	Crow Hybrid 607	. 20.2	1.1	121
32	Iowealth Hybrid 29A	. 16.8	2.8	121
34	Illinois Hybrid 972-1 DeKalb Hybrid 922(W) Lever Hybrid 922(W)	. 16.7 . 23.9	3.3	115
35 36	DeKalb Hydrid 922(W)	. 23.9	.7 5.0	106 105
37	Lowe Hybrid 840 Hoosier Crost Hybrid 505(W) Appl Hybrid A-336	25.0	1.4	97
38	Appl Hybrid A-336	. 18.1	4.9	96
39	Pioneer Hybrid 300	. 19.3	4.6	94
40	Pioneer Hybrid 332	. 22.2	3.5	92
41 42	Illinois Hybrid 246.	. 24.9 . 24.6	$\frac{4.2}{4.6}$	81 80
42	Pioneer Hybrid 336 Illinois Hybrid 247		4.8	79
44	Pfister Hybrid 1823	. 24.8	5.4	76
45	Funk Hybrid G-515(W)	. 31.2	3.2	72
40	Farmcraft Hybrid 88	. 34.2	2.9	68
47	Miller Hybrid 1050(W)	. 37.6	1.8	66
40	Null Hybrid N-77 DeKalo Hybrid 919(W)	34 0	$6.5 \\ 4.8$	64 61
50	Pfister Hybrid 160	. 37.0	4.2	59
51	Pfister Hybrid 160 Pfister Hybrid 360A Hoosier Crost Hybrid 707(W). DeKalb Hybrid 888	. 38.9	4.3	57
52	Hoosier Črost Hybrid 707(W)	. 40.1	3.9	56
53	DeKalb Hybrid 888	. 38.0	5.5	55
54	Illinois Hybrid 200. Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 941(W) Pioneer Hybrid 313D.	. 38.7	5.9 6.9	53
54 54	Pioneer Hybrid 313D	. 37.0 . 31.8	0.9	53 53
54 57	Lowe Hybrid 855(W)	. 51.8	6.2	43
58	National Hybrid 129	. 47.2	8.7	42
59	National Hybrid 129 Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 901(W) Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 917(W)	. 55.1	5.8	40
59	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 917(W)	. 45.7	10.5	40
	Average of all entries	. 21.1	2.9	100

Table 13.—SOUTHERN CORN ROOTWORM: Sullivan, South-Central Illinois, Extent to which stalks resisted lodging caused by the feeding of this insect^a

^aDiabrotica duodecimpunctata (F.) ^bHigh rating indicates better standing ability.

In percentage of plants leaning 30 degrees or more, a difference of less than 17.9 between any two entries is not significant.

		1.5.	Juna						
		Aor	e-yield	Damageo	1 Mois- ture in	Front		g for—	Compara-
Ran	k Entry	Acr	e-yielu		grain at			Sound	height
Ram	n Ditty	Total	Sound		harvest	planco	plants	yield	of ear
		bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	
1	Funk Hybrid G-137		99.8	.4	18.6	84.8	97.6	112.5	High
2 3	Funk Hybrid G-80		98.2	.7	20.4	90.6	104.3	110.7	M-high
3	Appl Hybrid A-128		95.2 95.1	.1	18.2 19.1	89.1 75.6	102.5 87.0	107.3	M-high
	Funk Hybrid G-104		93.9	.3	16.9	92.7	106.7	107.2 105.9	High Medium
5	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 941(W)		93.1	1.1	19.8	80.8	93.0	105.0	M-high
7	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 831	93.7	92.9	.8	16.5	84.3	97.0	104.7	Medium
8	Miller Hybrid 1050(W)	92.8	92.5	.3	19.5	84.3	97.0	104.3	M-high
9	Illinois Hybrid 200	. 92.7	91.6	1.2	18.6	83.9	96.5	103.3	M-high
10	Appl Hybrid A-336	92.5	90.2	2.5	17.9	86.8	99.9	101.7	Medium
11	Funk Hybrid G-94	92.2	91.6	.6	16.8	86.9	100.0	103.3	Medium
12 12	U. S. Hybrid 13.		$91.4 \\ 91.4$.8	$\frac{16.6}{16.0}$	90.6 88.7	104.3 102.1	$103.0 \\ 103.0$	Medium Medium
14	DeKalb Hybrid 835		91.3	.5	16.4	93.2	107.2	102.9	M-low
15	Producers' Hybrid 1040		91.5	.2	17.2	93.3	107.4	103.2	Medium
16	Producers' Hybrid 1000		91.2	.3	16.0	89.7	103.2	102.8	Medium
	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 901(W)		90.9	. 2	19.3	81.5	93.8	102.5	M-high
18	Null Hybrid N-77		89.4	1.6	17.3	77.3	89.0	100.8	Medium
	Crow Hybrid 607		89.5 88.7	.8	18.9 16.9	$84.6 \\ 94.7$	97.4 109.0	100.9 100.0	Medium Medium
20	Pfister Hybrid 1897		00.1	1.6	10.9	94.7	109.0	100.0	Medium
21	Pioneer Hybrid 332		88.7	1.4	18.8	87.8	101.0	100.0	Medium
	DeKalb Hybrid 816		89.6	.3	18.1	88.5	101.8	101.0	Medium
23 24	Pfister Hybrid 160 Iowealth Hybrid 29A	89.2	88.5 88.5	.8 .5	$16.7 \\ 18.2$	$79.4 \\ 92.2$	91.4 106.1	99.8 99.8	Medium M-high
	Pfister Hybrid 164		88.3	.7	17.1	92.2	106.6	99.8	Medium
	Crow Hybrid 805		87.9	1.0	16.8	88.3	101.6	99.1	Medium
27	Farmcraft Hybrid 81	88.7	88.3	. 5	15.9	89.0	102.4	99.5	M-low
27	Illinois Hybrid 247	88.7	88.1	.7	18.3	84.7	97.5	99.3	M-high
	Funk Hybrid G-169		87.6	1.0	17.2	88.6	102.0	98.8	Medium
30	DeKalb Hybrid 888	00.2	86.0	2.5	19.6	75.8	87.2	97.0	M-high
	Lowe Hybrid 840		87.8	.4	18.9	88.2	101.5	99.0	Medium
	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840		87.3 86.0	.3	17.7 17.5	89.5 84.2	$103.0 \\ 96.9$	$98.4 \\ 97.0$	Medium Medium
	Farmcraft Hybrid 88 Crow Hybrid 608		86.4	1.3	17.5	84.2 91.6	105.4	97.4	Medium
	Pioneer Hybrid 336		86.2	.6	16.5	86.1	99.1	97.2	Medium
	Illinois Hybrid 21		84.9	1.8	18.5	94.8	109.1	95.7	Medium
37	Miller Hybrid 26 Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-169	86.1	85.9	.3	16.0	90.4	104.5	96.8	Medium
38	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-169	85.9	85.6	. 3	16.0	89.4	102.9	96.5	M-low
	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 505(W)		84.4	1.7	17.3	77.8	89.5	95.2	Medium
40	Pioneer Hybrid 313D	85.7	85.3	.5	16.5	82.1	94.5	96.2	M-low
	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 668		84.8	.2	16.6	89.5	103.0	95.6	M-low
	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 746		84.5	.4	17.1	91.9	105.8	95.2	Medium
	Pioneer Hybrid 300		82.8	1.9	19.2	86.8	99.9	93.3	Medium
	Illinois Hybrid 126 DeKalb Hybrid 922(W)		80.5 80.3	2.8	$17.0 \\ 20.0$	85.0 84.3	97.8 97.0	90.8 90.5	Medium M-high
	DeKalb Hybrid 919(W)		78.1	.5	18.7	86.8	99.9	88.0	Medium
	Average of all entries	89.5	88.7	.83	17.7	86.9			

Table 14.—SOUTH-CENTRAL ILLINOIS: Sullivan Summary, 1943 and 1944

A difference of less than 5.1 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

Table 15.—SOUTHERN ILLINOIS: Alhambra, 1944

		Aore	-yield	Damage	d Mois- ture in	Erect	Rating	g fo r —	Test -weight	Compara-
Rai	nk Entry	Acre		- shelled	grain at	plants	Erect	Sound	per	tive height
		Total	Sound	sample	harvest		plants	yield	bushel	of ear
		bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	percl.	perct.	perct.	lb.	
1	Illinois Hybrid 200	. 47.7	46.9	1.6	13.4	63.3	90.7	143.9	58.0	M-low
2	Illinois Hybrid 1243	. 46.5	$\begin{array}{r} 46.1 \\ 44.6 \end{array}$.9	16.2	51.6 55.8	73.9 79.9	141.4 136.8	$\frac{58.3}{58.4}$	Medium
4	Pfeifer Hybrid A-140-1 Kansas Hybrid 2234(W)	. 43.1	44.0	$1.2 \\ 1.0$	12.1 17.5	52.5	75.2	130.8	58.0	Medium Medium
5	U. S. Hybrid 13	. 42.4	42.2	.4	13.1	80.8	115.8	129.4	57.9	Medium
67	U.S. Hybrid 13. Miller Hybrid 13. Funk Hybrid 050(W). Funk Hybrid 6-80. Illinois Hybrid 206. Kansas Hybrid 1583.	.42.0	40.5	3.6	13.9	75.0 77.5	$107.4 \\ 111.0$	124.2	56.8	M-high
8	Illinois Hybrid 206	. 39.7	$\begin{array}{c} 39.1\\ 37.8 \end{array}$	1.5 1.0	$13.7 \\ 13.4$	70.0	100.3	119.9 116.0	58.1 59.4	Medium M-low
9	Kansas Hybrid 1583	. 38.1	37.4	1.8	16.8	50.8	72.8	114.7	56.7	Medium
10	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 917(W)		37.8	.4	17.0	60.0	86.0	116.0	58.4	M-high
								110.0	30.4	M-mgn
11	Kansas Hybrid 2275(W)		37.6	.4	14.4	58.3	83.5	115.3	58.1	Medium
12 13	Pfeifer Hybrid A-243 Illinois Hybrid 804	26 2	$37.0 \\ 36.2$.2 .4	15.8 13.5	$55.0 \\ 50.0$	$\frac{78.8}{71.6}$	$113.5 \\ 111.0$	$\frac{58.0}{58.0}$	Medium Medium
14	Pioneer Hybrid 304	. 36.1	36.1	. Ô	13.1	90.8	130.0	110.7	55.7	M-low
14	DeKalb Hybrid 888	. 36.1	36.0	.4	14.7	76.6	109.7	110.4	58.9	Medium
16 17	Crow Hybrid 607	35.9	35.8 34.0	$\begin{array}{c} .2\\ 4.6\end{array}$	$16.5 \\ 14.2$	49.1 73.3	70.3 105.0	109.8 104.3	57.9 57.9	Medium M-low
18	Kansas Hybrid 1585	. 35.5	35.3	.7	15:4	55.8	79.9	108.3	56.7	Medium
19	Pioneer Hybrid 304 DeKalb Hybrid 888. Illinois Hybrid 888. Crow Hybrid 607. Kansas Hybrid 1585. Funk Hybrid 1585. Hoosier Crost Hybrid 1005.	. 35.2	35.1	.4	13.4	60.8	87.1	107.7	56.3	Medium
20	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 1005.	. 34.8	34.6	. 6	17.2	32.5	46.6	106.1	58.3	M-low
21	Illinois Hybrid 2059(W)	. 34.5	34.4	.4	14.4	78.3	112.1	105.5	54.7	Medium
22 22	Illinois Hybrid 448 Lowe Hybrid 840	. 34.4	34.2 34.1	.6 .8	$15.7 \\ 12.8$	52.5 82.5	75.2 118.2	104.9 104.6	$57.7 \\ 54.3$	Medium Medium
$\frac{2}{24}$	Illinois Hybrid 201	. 34.2	34.1	.3	12.6	89.1	127.6	104.6	55.0	M-low
24	Lowe Hybrid 855(W)	. 34.2	34.0	. 5	16.0	62.5	89.5	104.3	56.3	Medium
26 27	Funk Hybrid G-125 Iowealth Hybrid 29A	. 34.0	33.9 33.5	.4 .6	$\begin{array}{c} 12.8 \\ 12.2 \end{array}$	$ 60.0 \\ 77.5 $	$\begin{array}{c} 86.0 \\ 111.0 \end{array}$	$104.0 \\ 102.8$	59.4 56.7	M-high M-low
28	Embro Hybrid 1001	. 33.5	33.2	.9	16.5	59.1	84.7	101.8	56.3	Medium
29	Embro Hybrid 1001 Pfister Hybrid 1823	. 33.0	32.9	.4	12.8	80.0	114.6	100.9	57.1	Medium
30	Hoosier Crost Hybrid F-169		32.5	. 7	12.8	85.8	122.9	99.7	55.6	M-low
31	Illinois Hybrid 784 Illinois Hybrid 713 Funk Hybrid 796 DeKalb Hybrid 922(W) DeKalb Hybrid 919(W) Pioneer Hybrid 336. DeKalb Hybrid 816 Stiggelmeier Hybrid 1313	. 32.5	32.4	.4	15.6	55.8	79.9	99.4	58.2	Medium
32 33	Illinois Hybrid 713	$. \frac{32.4}{32.2}$	$32.3 \\ 32.1$.4	$\begin{array}{c}13.3\\13.7\end{array}$	$74.1 \\ 69.1$	$106.2 \\ 99.0$	$99.1 \\ 98.5$	56.0 58.2	M-low M-low
34	DeKalb Hybrid 922(W)	. 31.7	31.4	.9	13.7	71.6	102.6	96.3	55.6	Medium
35	DeKalb Hybrid 919(W)	. 31.2	30.9	1.1	14.3	80.0	114.6	94.8	52.1	M-low
36 37	Pioneer Hybrid 336	. 30.9	30.8 30.7	.4 .2	12.8 13.8	75.8 86.3	108.6 123.6	94.5 94.2	$57.3 \\ 56.9$	Medium M-low
38			30.5	.2	13.7	81.6	116.9	93.6	53.4	M-low
38	Pioneer Hybrid 332 Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840	. 30.6	30.3	. 9	14.7	81.6	116.9	92.9	56.3	Medium
40	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840	. 30.0	29.9	.4	13.0	85.0	121.8	91.7	54.0	M-Iow
41	Pfister Hybrid 610(W)	. 29.9	29.6	1.0	13.1	60.0	86.0	90.8	55.9	M-high
$\frac{42}{42}$	Pfister Hybrid 7892 Farmcraft Hybrid 88	. 29.6	$29.6 \\ 29.4$.1 .7	$12.8 \\ 13.7$	83.3 81.6	119.3 116.9	90.8 90.2	$57.2 \\ 56.3$	M-low M-low
42	Farmcraft Hybrid 88 Pioneer Hybrid 313D Illinois Hybrid 2077(W) Embro Hybrid 1020 Pioneer Hybrid 300	. 29.4	29.4	.8	13.7	65.0	93.1	89.6	52.8	M-low
45	Illinois Hybrid 2077(W)	. 29.3	29.2	.3	13.7	65.8	94.3	89.6	58.3	Medium
46 47	Embro Hybrid 1020 Pioneer Hybrid 300	. 29.2	$29.2 \\ 28.9$.1 .1	$14.4 \\ 11.3$	78.3 85.8	$112.2 \\ 122.9$	89.6 88.7	$54.3 \\ 54.7$	Low Medium
48	Henley-Whisnand									
40	Hybrid 901(W)	. 28.7	27.6	3.8	14.1	70.0	100.3	84.7	57.0	Medium
49 50	Crow Hybrid 805 Pfister Hybrid 160	28.3	28.2 26.7	.4 .6	$12.0 \\ 12.6$	77.5 70.0	111.0 100.3	86.5 81.9	$55.4 \\ 55.4$	M-low M-low
51 52	Funk Hybrid G-94 Pfister Hybrid 164	26.3	$\frac{26.7}{26.2}$.5 .2	$13.7 \\ 13.2$	$76.6 \\ 71.6$	$109.7 \\ 102.6$	81.9 80.4	55.1 57.5	M-low M-low
52	National Hybrid 134	26.3	26.2	.4	14.1	71.3	102.0	80.4	56.7	M-low
54	Pfister Hybrid 164 National Hybrid 134 Farmcraft Hybrid 133(W)	. 26.2	25.8	1.4	17.3	75.8	108.6	79.1	55.7	Medium
54 56	Illinois Hybrid 126 Hoosier Crost Hybrid 746	. 20.2	25.8 25.9	1.6 .2	$14.9 \\ 14.4$	68.3 68.3	97.8 97.8	$79.1 \\ 79.4$	55.7 55.7	M-low Low
57	Pfister Hybrid 1897	. 25.6	25.6	. 1	12.5	79.1	113.3	78.5	56.3	M-low
58	Pfister Hybrid 612(W)	. 24.0	23.9	.3	13.9	80.8	115.8	73.3	56.5	Medium
59 60	Funk Hybrid G-708 Funk Hybrid G-706	22.1	22.1 20.4	0	$16.5 \\ 15.1$	$66.4 \\ 63.3$	95.1 90.7	67.8 62.6	56.7 59.6	M-high M-high
							20.1			in mgn
	Average of all entries	. 32.9	32.6	.7	13.9	09.8	• • • •		56.7	

A difference of less than 9.1 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

Table 16.-SOUTHERN ILLINOIS: Alhambra Summary, 1943 and 1944

		Acre	-vield	Damageo corn in	l'Mois- ture in	Erect -	Rating for—		Compara- tive
Ran			Sound	- shelled	grain at harvest			Sound yield	height of ear
		bu.	bu.	perct.	perci.	perct.	perct.	perct.	
1	Illinois Hybrid 1243	50.7	50.4	. 6	16.7	73.9	91.6	129.6	Medium
2	Illinois Hybrid 200		49.7	1.0	13.9	77.3	95.8	127.8	M-low
3	Kansas Hybrid 2275(W)		48.9	. 3	15.6	69.8	86.5	125.7	Medium
4	Kansas Hybrid 2234(W)		46.0	.6	17.9	70.4	87.2	118.3	Medium
5	Funk Hybrid G-80.		45.7	1.0	16.8	88.3	109.4	117.5	Medium
67	Kansas Hybrid 1583 Illinois Hybrid 784		$\begin{array}{r} 44.6 \\ 43.7 \end{array}$	1.1	$19.9 \\ 18.1$	$73.7 \\ 73.7$	91.3 91.3	$114.7 \\ 112.3$	Medium Medium
8	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 917(W)		43.3	.5	17.3	71.3	88.4	111.3	M-high
	U. S. Hybrid 13.		42.5	.5	13.2	87.5	108.4	109.3	Medium
10	Illinois Hybrid 804		42.5	.3	15.4	71.5	88.6	109.3	Medium
10	Illinois Hybrid 877		42.5	. 2	16.8	67.6	83.8	109.3	Medium
12	Funk Hybrid G-125		42.1	.3	15.0	78.8	97.6	108.2	M-high
13	DeKalb Hybrid 922(W).,		$40.9 \\ 40.1$	$.5 \\ 2.1$	$15.0 \\ 15.9$	$76.3 \\ 79.0$	$94.5 \\ 97.9$	105.1 103.1	Medium M-high
14 15	Miller Hybrid 1050(W) Crow Hybrid 607		39.9	$\frac{2.1}{2.4}$	15.9	85.7	106.1	102.6	M-low
16	DeKalb Hybrid 888.		40.4	2.4	14.7	84.6	104.8	102.0	Medium
17	Pfister Hybrid 1823		40.3	.5	13.7	88.8	110.0	103.6	Medium
	Illinois Hybrid 2059(W)		39.5	. 4	16.0	81.7	101.2	101.5	Medium
19	Kansas Hybrid 1585		39.2	.5	17.2	75.4	93.4	100.8	Medium
20	Crow Hybrid 895	39.3	39.2	.3	13.2	87.9	108.9	100.8	M-low
	Illinois Hybrid 713		38.9	.4	14.8	85.6	106.1	100.0	M-low
22	Iowealth Hybrid 29A		38.4	. 5	13.5	80.3	99.5	98.7	M-low
	Funk Hybrid G-527(W)		$\frac{38.4}{37.6}$.2	$15.7 \\ 14.3$	72.5 92.3	89.8	98.7 96.7	Medium M-low
24 25	DeKalb Hybrid 816 Illinois Hybrid 201		36.6	. 3	14.3	92.3	$114.4 \\ 114.1$	96.7	M-low
	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840		36.3	.6	14.5	92.0	114.0	93.3	M-low
	Farmcraft Hybrid 133(W)		35.8	.8	16.5	72.9	90.3	92.0	Medium
	Lowe Hybrid 840		35.5	. 5	13.7	87.1	107.9	91.3	Medium
28	DeKalb Hybrid 919(W)	35.7	35.5	. 7	16.3	85.4	105.8	91.3	M-low
30	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 901 (W)	35.1	34.5	2.2	16.8	78.0	96.7	88.7	Medium
31	Pioneer Hybrid 332		34.8	.6	16.0	87.5	108.4	89.5	Medium
32	Farmcraft Hybrid 88.		34.0	1.0	14.2	85.3	105.7	87.4	M-low
	Illinois Hybrid 2077(W) Pfister Hybrid 1897		$34.0 \\ 33.8$.4	$14.4 \\ 13.3$	74.4	92.2 107.9	$87.4 \\ 86.9$	Medium M-low
35 35	Pioneer Hybrid 336		33.1	. 2	13.3	85.4	107.9	85.1	Medium
	Illinois Hybrid 126		32.1	1.2	15.2	77.9	96.5	82.5	M-low
37	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 746	32.2	32.1	. 3	15.0	82.3	89.6	82.5	Low
38	Pioneer Hybrid 300	32.1	32.0	. 2	13.2	90.8	112.5	82.3	Medium
	Pioneer Hybrid 313D		31.3	.6	14.8	80.6	99.9	80.5	M-low
40	Pfister Hybrid 164	29.8	29.6	.5	14.7	85.3	105.7	76.1	M-low
	Average of all entries	39.1	38.9	. 65	15.3	80.7			

_		Acre	-yield	Damage corn in	d Mois- ture in	Erect	Rating for	Compara- tive
Rar	nk Entry -	Total	Sound	- shelled	grain at harvest	plants	sound yield	height of ear
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Illinois Hybrid 2120(W) Funk Hybrid G-711. Illinois Hybrid 2119(W) Hoosier Crost Hybrid 707(W). Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 905(W) Kansas Hybrid 2275(W). Farmcraft Hybrid 133(W). Illinois Hybrid 2077(W) Illinois Hybrid 2077(W). Illinois Hybrid 2059(W)	59.2 58.2 58.1 58.0	<i>bu.</i> 63.7 62.3 58.1 57.9 57.8 54.7 56.6 56.9 55.0 56.3	<i>perct.</i> 1.4 1.6 1.9 .6 .5 5.7 1.0 .4 3.5 .8	<i>perct.</i> 18.6 27.0 19.5 19.8 20.8 19.5 22.7 21.0 19.3 18.5		<i>perct.</i> 134.4 131.4 122.6 122.2 121.9 115.4 119.4 120.0 116.0 118.8	M-high M-high M-high M-high M-high M-high M-high M-high M-high M-high
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Illinois Hybrid 200-1. Illinois Hybrid 126. Lowe Hybrid 855 (W). Illinois Hybrid 713. Illinois Hybrid 448. Miller Hybrid 1050 (W). Pioneer Hybrid 304. Pioneer Hybrid 313D. Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 917 (W). Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 834.	56.3 56.0 55.3	55.254.954.954.753.452.952.252.751.849.4	2.02.0.81.01.01.8.41.44.4	$\begin{array}{c} 20.1 \\ 18.5 \\ 20.3 \\ 20.6 \\ 19.2 \\ 20.0 \\ 21.0 \\ 23.7 \\ 20.6 \\ 20.9 \end{array}$	NT ERECT	$\begin{array}{c} 116.5\\ 115.8\\ 115.8\\ 115.4\\ 112.7\\ 111.6\\ 110.1\\ 111.2\\ 109.3\\ 104.2 \end{array}$	M-high Medium M-high Medium M-high Medium Medium M-high M-high
21 22 22 25 26 27 28 28 30	Illinois Hybrid 1239 Illinois Hybrid 2019B(W) Funk Hybrid 804. Kansas Hybrid 804. DeKalb Hybrid 888. Funk Hybrid 6-125 Kansas Hybrid 1583. Pioneer Hybrid 300 Pioneer Hybrid 336	51.0	$\begin{array}{r} 49.0\\ 50.6\\ 50.6\\ 47.9\\ 50.5\\ 49.8\\ 48.5\\ 48.3\\ 47.4\\ 46.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4.5 \\ .7 \\ .8 \\ 6.0 \\ .8 \\ .8 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 3.5 \\ 4.4 \end{array}$	$19.3 \\ 21.4 \\ 23.5 \\ 17.7 \\ 20.8 \\ 19.9 \\ 18.6 \\ 23.1 \\ 20.0 \\ 16.5 \\ 19.5 \\ 10.5 \\ $	CALLY 100-PERCENT	$103.4 \\ 106.8 \\ 106.8 \\ 101.1 \\ 106.5 \\ 105.1 \\ 102.3 \\ 101.9 \\ 100.0 \\ 98.3$	M-high Medium M-high M-high M-high M-high M-high M-high Medium
31 33 33 35 36 37 38 39 40	Illinois Hybrid 1233 Kansas Hybrid 2234(W). Pioneer Hybrid 332. Illinois Hybrid 1238B Funk Hybrid 728B Embro Hybrid 1001. Illinois Hybrid 200. DeKalb Hybrid 922(W). Kelly Hybrid 922(W). Lowe Hybrid 840.	$ 48.2 \\ 48.2 \\ 48.1 \\ 48.1 $	$\begin{array}{r} 47.0\\ 47.0\\ 46.1\\ 45.6\\ 47.4\\ 46.6\\ 45.1\\ 46.1\\ 43.4\\ 44.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5\\ 2.8\\ 4.2\\ 5.1\\ 1.0\\ 1.8\\ 4.6\\ 1.0\\ 6.8\\ 3.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.9\\ 22.1\\ 19.8\\ 20.1\\ 21.6\\ 22.2\\ 21.7\\ 20.5\\ 17.8\\ 19.0\\ \end{array}$	ES WERE PRACTICALLY	$\begin{array}{c} 99.2\\ 99.2\\ 97.3\\ 96.2\\ 100.0\\ 98.3\\ 95.1\\ 97.3\\ 91.6\\ 94.1 \end{array}$	Medium M-high Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium
41 42 42 44 44 46 47 48 49 50	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 746 Embro Hybrid 1020 Jowealth Hybrid 25A. U. S. Hybrid 13. Illinois Hybrid 784. Pfeifer Hybrid 784. Farmcrait Hybrid 88. Illinois Hybrid 1233-1. Illinois Hybrid 201. Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840	15 0	$\begin{array}{r} 43.1\\ 43.7\\ 43.7\\ 43.3\\ 43.0\\ 42.2\\ 41.6\\ 42.0\\ 41.4\\ 39.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.0 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.8 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.6 \\ 3.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.8 \\ 5.9 \end{array}$	$17.6 \\ 19.2 \\ 19.3 \\ 19.2 \\ 21.2 \\ 20.5 \\ 18.7 \\ 21.6 \\ 18.3 \\ 18.4$	ALL ENTRIES	$\begin{array}{c} 90.9\\ 92.2\\ 92.2\\ 91.4\\ 90.7\\ 89.0\\ 87.8\\ 88.6\\ 87.3\\ 82.5\end{array}$	Medium Medium Medium M-high Medium Medium Medium Medium
51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	Funk Hybrid G-527(W). Illinois Hybrid 877. Pfeifer Hybrid A-243 Kelly Hybrid X-374. Illinois Hybrid 1257. Miller Hybrid 26. DeKalb Hybrid 816. DeKalb Hybrid 919(W). Funk Hybrid G-706.	40.6	40.1 40.2 38.7 37.5 37.7 37.2 35.7 35.1 29.7 28.7	$1.8 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.9 \\ 4.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 2.0 \\ 5.9 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.8 \\ .3$	22.1 21.0 22.2 17.6 18.5 23.2 19.1 19.8 28.9 22.2		84.6 84.8 81.6 79.1 79.5 78.5 75.3 74.1 62.7 60.5	Medium M-high M-high Medium Medium Medium Medium M-high M-high
	Average of all entries		47.4	2.4	20.4			

Table 17.—EXTREME SOUTHERN ILLINOIS: Dixon Springs Bottomland, 1944

A difference of less than 9.1 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

		Acre	e-vield	Damage	1 Mois- ture in	Erect		g for—	Compara-
Ran	k Entry			- shelled	grain at			Sound	height
		Total	Sound	sample	harvest		plants	yield	of ear
		bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	
1	Funk Hybrid G-711	66.6	65.6	1.8	28.9	97.5	100.0	129.4	M-high
2	Kansas Hybrid 2275(W)		60.2	3.2	21.9	94.2	96.6	118.7	M-high
3	Illinois Hybrid 2119(W)		60.5	2.5	22.3	98.3	100.8	119.3	M-high
4	Illinois Hybrid 2120(W)		59.9	1.2	23.1	97.9	100.4	118.1	M-high
5	Illinois Hybrid 2077(W) Hoosier Crost Hybrid 707(W)		$\frac{58.1}{57.8}$	$1.0 \\ 1.3$	$22.6 \\ 22.7$	$96.3 \\ 98.3$	98.8 100.8	$114.6 \\ 114.0$	Medium Medium
7	Farmcraft Hybrid 133(W)	58.5	57.8	1.2	23.1	97.5	100.8	114.0	M-high
8	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 917(W)		57.5	1.4	23.5	97.5	100.0	113.4	M-high
ğ.	Illinois Hybrid 2059(W)	57.1	55.6	2.6	20.9	96.7	99.2	109.7	Medium
10	Kansas Hybrid 1583		54.5	2.7	25.1	97.5	100.0	107.5	M-high
11	Kansas Hybrid 2234(W)		54.2	2.3	24.6	98.8	101.3	106.9	M-high
12	Illinois Hybrid 2019B(W)		53.8	1.1	22.6	98.3	100.8	106.0	Medium
13	Kansas Hybrid 1585		53.3	1.6	23.9	99.6	102.2	105.1	M-high
13 15	Pioneer Hybrid 332		$52.4 \\ 53.1$	$\frac{3.4}{1.9}$	20.6 20.0	98.8	101.3	103.4	Medium M-low
15	Illinois Hybrid 126 Illinois Hybrid 1239		52.1	3.5	20.0	$97.1 \\ 98.8$	99.6 101.3	$104.7 \\ 102.8$	Medium
7	Illinois Hybrid 713		53.0	1.4	21.9	97.1	99.6	102.8	Medium
8	Illinois Hybrid 877		52.6	1.1	22.4	93.8	96.2	103.7	M-high
	Miller Hybrid 1050(W)		52.3	1.2	22.8	97.9	100.4	103.2	Medium
19	Funk Hybrid G-135		52.1	1.6	25.9	98.3	100.8	102.8	M-high
21	Illinois Hybrid 804		50.5	3.9	20.3	98.8	101.3	99.6	Medium
22	Illinois Hybrid 1238B		49.6	4.0	21.8	97.1	99.6	97.8	Medium
23 24	DeKalb Hybrid 888 Funk Hybrid G-90	51.5	50.9 50.6	1.2	$22.1 \\ 23.6$	99.2	101.7	$100.4 \\ 99.8$	Medium Medium
24 25	Funk Hybrid G-125	51.4	50.0	1.4	23.0	96.3 99.2	98.8 101.7	99.8	M-high
26	DeKalb Hybrid 922(W)		49.7	1.7	20.8	97.1	99.6	98.0	Medium
27	lowealth Hybrid 25A		49.0	2.2	22.6	99.2	101.7	96.6	M-high
28	Pioneer Hybrid 300	49.5	47.5	4.1	19.9	97.5	100.0	93.7	M-low
29	Funk Hybrid G-527(W)		47.9	1.7	23.6	92.1	94.5	94.5	M-high
30	Farmcraft Hybrid 88	48.3	46.9	3.1	20.7	94.2	96.6	92.5	Medium
31	Illinois Hybrid 200		46.1	3.5	21.3	97.5	100.0	90.9	Medium
32	Pioneer Hybrid 313D	47.6	47.2	1.1	22.3	97.8	100.3	93.1	M-low
33 34	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 840		$45.4 \\ 45.4$	4.5	$20.6 \\ 21.6$	98.8	101.3	89.5 89.5	M-low Medium
35	Illinois Hybrid 1233 Illinois Hybrid 784		45.4	$3.1 \\ 2.1$	21.0	98.8 96.3	101.3 98.8	89.5	Medium
36	DeKalb Hybrid 816		43.5	$\frac{2}{4}.0$	18.5	97.9	100.4	85.8	Medium
37	Lowe Hybrid 840		44.0	2.2	22.7	98.3	100.8	86.8	M-low
38	U. S. Hybrid 13	44.8	44.0	1.9	20.0	97.5	100.0	86.8	M-low
39	Pioneer Hybrid 336	44.2	42.7	3.3	18.7	99.2	101.7	84.2	M-low
	Hoosier Crost Hybrid 746	43.4	41.6	4.1	19.0	97.9	100.4	82.1	M-low
11	Miller Hybrid 26	40.1	39.0	2.7	21.8	95.0	97.4	76.9	M-low
42	DeKalb Hybrid 919(W)	35.8	35.1	1.7	21.1	97.9	100.4	69.2	M-low
	Average of all entries	51.8	50.7	2.3	22.1	97.5			

Table 18.—EXTREME SOUTHERN ILLINOIS: Dixon Springs Bottomland, Summary for 1943 and 1944

A difference of less than 8.3 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

		Acre	e-yield	Damage	d Mois- ture in			g for—	Compara- tive
Ran	ik Entry	Acte	e-yield		d grain at				height
		Total	Sound	sample	harvest		plants	yield	of ear
5		bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct.	
1	Kansas Hybrid 2275(W)	30.0	28.9	3.6	19.0	98.3	98.7	137.6	Medium
2	Illinois Hybrid 200-1		28.8	3.6	16.7	100.0	100.4	137.1	Medium
3	Pioneer Hybrid 332		28.3	5.0	19.1	100.0	100.4	134.8	Medium
4	Kansas Hybrid 2234(W)	26.0	25.8	.9	19.5	100.0	100.4	122.9	M-high
5	Illinois Hybrid 2059(W)	25.9	24.8	4.1	16.4	100.0	100.4	118.1	M-high
6	Illinois Hybrid 1233-1	23.6	22.7	3.8	17.7	100.0	100.4	108.1	Medium
7	Illinois Hybrid 200	21.6	20.6	4.8	17.5	100.0	100.4	98.1	M-high
8	Illinois Hybrid 2119(W)	20.9	19.8	5.2	16.0	98.3	98.7	94.3	M-high
9	Henley-Whisnand Hybrid 917(W)		19.5	1.7	17.3	100.0	100.4	92.9	M-high
10	Illinois Hybrid 877	19.6	18.9	3.4	18.1	100.0	100.4	90.0	Medium
11	Illinois Hybrid 2077(W)	18.2	16.9	7.2	17.5	98.3	98.7	80.5	Medium
12	Kansas Hybrid 1585	16.9	15.8	6.3	19.8	100.0	100.4	75.2	Medium
13	Kansas Hybrid 1583	16.0	15.1	.5.4	18.4	100.0	100.4	71.9	M-high
14	Funk Hybrid G-711	8.9	8.5	4.8	23.5	100.0	100.4	40.5	M-high
	Average of all entries	22.0	21.0	4.3	18.3	99.6			

Table 19.—EXTREME SOUTHERN ILLINOIS: Dixon Springs Upland, 1944

A difference of less than 9.1 bushels between total yields of any two entries in this table is not significant.

SOIL ADAPTATION TEST

The same nine double-cross hybrids that were tested at Urbana on soils of different productive levels in 1943 were tested again in 1944 in the same way (*Table 20*).

Soils. The two areas used for the tests are on the Agronomy south farm and differ in productivity as a result of long-continued use of different cropping systems. In the Southwest rotation a high state of productivity has been maintained by a systematic rotation of corn, oats, clover hay, and wheat with a red-clover catch crop. The South-Central area has been depleted of fertility by a rotation of corn, corn, and soybeans. Both fields have received manure and phosphate. The predominating soil type on both fields is Sidell silt loam.

Season. Heavy spring rains delayed planting in 1944 as in 1943. The highly productive plot was planted on May 27, the less productive plot on June 7. Conditions after planting were generally favorable althorainfall was below average thru July and August. Harvesting was delayed until the latter part of November.

1944 results. On the more productive field the average yield was 109.8 bushels an acre; on the less productive field it was only 54.8 bushels, just half as much (*Table 20*). The high yield is 9 bushels above and the low yield 10 bushels below comparable 1943 yields.

Contrary to previous tests, these nine hybrids in 1944 ranked the same on both fields. Illinois 972-1 and 246 were at the top, as they were on the more productive plots in 1943. The three less adapted hybrids, Illinois 784, 751, and 101, were the three low-ranking entries on both fields. Illinois 784 is

[February,

		Acre	-vield	Damaged corn in	Mois- ture in	Erect	Rating for-	
Rank	Entry			- shelled	grain at	plants	Erect	Soun
		Total	Sound	sample	harvest		plants	yield
	HIGHLY PRODUCTI rolling ph	VE SOI	L: Mos 0, South	tly Sidell west rota	Silt Loa tion)	m sligh	tly	
		bu.	bu.	percl.	perct.	perct.	perct.	perct
1 1	Illinois Hybrid 972-1	. 115.9	114.6	1.1	18.2	79.7	100	105
	Illinois Hybrid 246		114.6	. 6	19.5	78.2	98	105
	Illinois Hybrid 21		112.6	1.3	18.7	87.6	110	103
4 U	U. S. Hybrid 13	. 112.9	111.9	.9	18.9	76.9	96	103
	Illinois Hybrid 201		109.3	1.7	18.4	76.0	95	100
5 1	Illinois Hybrid 206	. 109.8	108.8	.9	18.6	76.8	96	100
71	Ilinois Hybrid 784	. 105.6	105.1	. 5	21.1	63.2	79	97
3 1	Illinois Hybrid 751	. 102.2	101.7	.5	19.0	93.0	117	93
) 1	Illinois Hybrid 101	. 100.8	100.4	.5	16.7	86.3	108	92
	Average		108.8	.9	18.8	79.7		
	A difference of less					ius or		
	MEDIUM PRODUCTI rolling phas	he above	entries L: Mos	is not sig tly Sidell	nificant. Silt Loa		tly	
T	any two of t MEDIUM PR O DUCTI rolling phas	he above IVE SOI se (S700,	entries L: Mos South-C	is not sig tly Sidell central ro	Silt Loa tation)	m sligh		109
	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCT rolling phas	he above IVE SOI se (S700, 58.9	entries L: Mos South-C 58.5	is not sig tly Sidell entral ro .7	Silt Loa tation)	m sligh	89	
1	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCTI rolling phas Illinois Hybrid 972-1 Ilinois Hybrid 246	he above VE SOI se (S700, 58.9 58.4	entries L: Mos South-C 58.5 57.9	is not sig tly Sidell entral ro .7 .9	Silt Loa tation) 19.9 21.1	00.4 55.8	89 82	107
	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCT) rolling phas Ilinois Hybrid 972-1 Ilinois Hybrid 246 Ilinois Hybrid 21.	he above IVE SOI se (S700, 58.9 58.4 58.1	entries L: Mos South-C 58.5 57.9 57.4	is not sig tly Sidell central ro .7 .9 1.2	Silt Loa tation) 19.9 21.1 20.6	60.4 55.8 78.1	89	107
	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCTI rolling phas Ilinois Hybrid 972-1. Ilinois Hybrid 246 Ilinois Hybrid 21. J. S. Hybrid 13.	he above VE SOI se (S700, 58.9 58.4 58.1 57.8	entries L: Mos South-C 58.5 57.9 57.4 56.2	is not sig tly Sidell central ro .7 .9 1.2 2.7	nificant. Silt Loa tation) 19.9 21.1 20.6 20.9	00.4 60.4 55.8 78.1 72.3	89 82 115	107 106 104
	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCT) rolling phas Ilinois Hybrid 972-1. Ilinois Hybrid 246 Ilinois Hybrid 21. J. S. Hybrid 13. Ilinois Hybrid 21.	he above IVE SOI se (S700, 58.4 58.4 57.8 57.1	entries L: Mos South-C 58.5 57.9 57.4 56.2 56.3	tly Sidell entral ro .7 .9 1.2 2.7 1.4	nificant. Silt Loa tation) 19.9 21.1 20.6 20.9 19.8	60.4 55.8 78.1	89 82 115 107	107 106 104 104
	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCT rolling phas Ilinois Hybrid 972-1. Ilinois Hybrid 246. Ilinois Hybrid 21. J. S. Hybrid 13. Ilinois Hybrid 201. Ilinois Hybrid 206.	he above IVE SOI se (S700, 58.4 58.1 57.8 57.1 57.1	entries L: Mos South-C 58.5 57.9 57.4 56.2	tly Sidell central ro .7 .9 1.2 2.7 1.4 .8	nificant. Silt Loa tation) 19.9 21.1 20.6 20.9	60.4 55.8 78.1 72.3 66.7	89 82 115 107 98	107 106 104 104 104
	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCTI rolling phas Ilinois Hybrid 972-1. Ilinois Hybrid 246 Ilinois Hybrid 21. J. S. Hybrid 13. Ilinois Hybrid 201. Ilinois Hybrid 206. Ilinois Hybrid 206.	he above VE SOI se (S700, 58.9 58.4 57.8 57.8 57.1 57.1 50.9	entries L: Mos South-C 58.5 57.9 57.4 56.2 56.3 56.6	tly Sidell entral ro .7 .9 1.2 2.7 1.4	nificant. Silt Loa tation) 19.9 21.1 20.6 20.9 19.8 20.9	60.4 55.8 78.1 72.3 66.7 76.6	89 82 115 107 98 113	107 106 104 104 105 94
	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCT) rolling phas illinois Hybrid 972-1 illinois Hybrid 246 illinois Hybrid 21 U. S. Hybrid 13 illinois Hybrid 201 illinois Hybrid 206 illinois Hybrid 784 illinois Hybrid 781	he above VE SOI (VE SOI) 58.9 58.4 57.8 57.1 57.1 57.1 57.1 50.9 50.9	entries L: Mos South-C 58.5 57.9 57.4 56.2 56.3 56.6 50.6	is not sig tly Sidell entral ro .7 .9 1.2 2.7 1.4 .8 .5	nificant. Silt Loa tation) 19.9 21.1 20.6 20.9 19.8 20.9 22.1	60.4 55.8 78.1 72.3 66.7 76.6 57.9	89 82 115 107 98 113 85	108 107 106 104 104 104 94 92 81
	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCTI rolling phas Ilinois Hybrid 972-1. Ilinois Hybrid 246 Ilinois Hybrid 21. J. S. Hybrid 13. Ilinois Hybrid 201. Ilinois Hybrid 206. Ilinois Hybrid 206.	he above VE SOI (VE SOI) (VE SOI) (S700, (S7	entries L: Mos South-C 58.5 57.9 57.4 56.2 56.3 56.6 50.6 50.0	is not sig tly Sidell central ro .7 .9 1.2 2.7 1.4 .8 .5 1.7	nificant. Silt Loatation) 19.9 21.1 20.6 20.9 19.8 20.9 22.1 20.0	60.4 55.8 78.1 72.3 66.7 76.6 57.9 77.4	89 82 115 107 98 113 85 114	107 106 104 104 105 94 92 81
	any two of t MEDIUM PRODUCT rolling phas llinois Hybrid 972-1. llinois Hybrid 246. llinois Hybrid 201. linois Hybrid 201. linois Hybrid 206. llinois Hybrid 751. llinois Hybrid 751. linois Hybrid 751.	he above VE SOI (VE SOI) (ve (\$700, 58.4 58.4 57.8 57.1 57.1 57.1 50.9 50.9 44.5 54.8 than 4.6	entries South-C 58.5 57.9 57.4 56.2 56.3 56.6 50.6 50.6 50.0 43.8 54.1 bushels	is not sig tly Sidell entral ro .7 .9 1.2 2.7 1.4 .8 .5 1.7 1.6 1.3 between	nificant. Silt Loat tation) 19.9 21.1 20.6 20.9 19.8 20.9 22.1 20.0 19.7 20.5 total yiel	60.4 55.8 78.1 72.3 66.7 76.6 57.9 77.4 64.9 67.8	89 82 115 107 98 113 85 114 96	107 106 104 104 105 94 92

Table 20.-SOIL ADAPTATION TEST: Central Illinois, Urbana

very late-maturing for the central region of Illinois. Illinois 751 and 101 are much too early for the region of this test.

Between the six top-ranking adapted hybrids on the less productive plots there was a maximum difference of only 1.9 bushels an acre. On the more productive field the range was 6.1 bushels. There is thus very little reason, so far as yields are concerned, for choosing one of these hybrids over another. Differences in the percentage of erect plants are, however, very striking. In both tests Illinois 21 ranked highest in erect plants. Illinois 246 ranked relatively high on the better soil but went to the bottom of the list on the less productive field. On the more productive field 79.7 percent of the plants were erect; on the poorer field only 67.8 percent were erect. Thus physical factors other than yield need to be considered when judging of the adaptability of a hybrid to its environment.

Damage in the Southwest rotation area was due mostly to stalk breakage caused by the corn borer. In the South-Central area damage was due to lodging caused by rootworm injury.

The average of two years' results are given in Table 21.

Rank	Entry -	Acre	-yield	Damaged corn in shelled	Mois- ture in grain a
Nalik	Entry	Total	Sound	sample	harvest
	HIGHLY PRODUCTIVE SOIL: phase, and Flanagan Silt L				
		bu.	bu.	perct.	perct.
1 IU	linois Hybrid 972-1	111.2	109.9	.9	17.0
2 11	linois Hybrid 246	110.2	109.7	. 5	18.7
3 11	inois Hybrid 21	108.5	107.4	1.0	18.4
4 U.	S. Hybrid 13	108.3	107.5	1.8	18.4
5 III	linois Hybrid 201	108.1	106.7	1.3	18.5
6 I11	inois Hybrid 206	105.8	105.0	.7	17.8
7 III	linois Hybrid 784	104.2	103.6	. 7	21.4
	linois Hybrid 751	96.7	96.1	.4	18.2
	inois Hybrid 101	94.6	94.2	.5	16.1
	any two of the above en MEDIUM PRODUCTIVE SO rolling phase (South	L: Sidell	Silt Loam,	slightly	
1 11	ingia Unibaid 21	63.0	62.3	1.1	18.2
1 III 2 III	linois Hybrid 21 linois Hybrid 972-1	63.0 62.9	62.3	1.1	18.2
	linois Hybrid 201	62.1	61.3	1.3	17.4
4 111	linois Hybrid 206	61.1	60.6	.9	18.7
5 11	linois Hybrid 246	60.9	60.4	.8	18.6
6 U	S. Hybrid 13	60.1	62.4	2.0	18.9
7 11	linois Hybrid 784	59.9	59.5	.6	20.6
8 11	linois Hybrid 751	56.1	55.6	1.1	17.5
	linois Hybrid 101	49.2	48.1	1.2	17.1
	A difference of less than 4.0 bu any two of the above en			lds of	

Table 21.—TWO-YEAR AVERAGE SOIL ADAPTATION TESTS: Central Illinois, Urbana

INTERPRETING RESULTS

A two-year test of any crop is of course a better basis for judging of its merit than a single year's record. For about two-thirds of the hybrids in the 1944 tests two-year summaries are given consolidating 1943 and 1944 results. Should a hybrid prove superior thru two years on more than one field, it may be considered not only high yielding but also wide in adaptation.

Yield of grain, while used as a basis for rating the hybrids in these tests, is not the only characteristic to consider when appraising a hybrid. Days required to reach maturity, resistance to ear rots, and ability to stay erect until harvest are also important. Even tho some of the fields in these tests were planted late and the grain, therefore, was not fully dry when harvested, the relative moisture content of one hybrid when compared with the others gives a good measure of its maturity.

The height at which the ear is borne on the stalk determines a hybrid's suitability for hand husking and also affects lodging resistance. This characteristic is influenced greatly by genetic constitution, soil fertility, and seasonal conditions. Very few dropped ears were found in the 1944 test fields—so few that the records were not considered worth publishing.

SUMMARY

A total of 237 corn hybrids were tested on seven fields in Illinois in 1944. Nine of these hybrids were included in an additional test to determine their response to soils of two different levels of productivity. Eighteen hybrids were tested for their response to seed treatment and their resistance to ear rot. Wet weather delayed corn planting, yet good stands were obtained on all the test fields. The results of these tests were briefly as follows:

1. The field having the highest average yield, 91.6 bushels an acre, was the one at Sullivan in Moultrie county in south-central Illinois. The average acre-yields of the other test fields were: Galesburg, 91.2 bushels; Mt. Morris, 89.1 bushels; Milford, 88.0 bushels; Dixon Springs, bottomland, 48.5 bushels; Alhambra, 32.9 bushels; and Dixon Springs, upland, 22.0 bushels. The average yield of corn for all seven fields was 66.2 bushels an acre, which contrasts with 45 bushels as the average for the state as a whole. (The locations of these fields are shown in Table 1, page 456, and in the map on the front cover.)

2. The general level of yields on all the fields, considering the conditions of the test, clearly indicates that most commercial seedsmen are producing high-yielding hybrid seed corn.

3. The few white hybrids tested in northern and north-central Illinois did not yield well in comparison with the yellow hybrids; but in south-central, southern, and extreme southern Illinois a number of them appeared to be very well adapted.

4. Chinch bugs did more damage to corn than any other insect in 1944. Damage on the Alhambra field is reflected in the lighter test weights of some of the hybrids.

5. For the Sullivan field in Moultrie county in south-central Illinois, records were made of lodging that resulted from the feeding of the corn rootworm. From 4.3 to 45.7 percent of the plants lodged 30 degrees or more from this cause, tho comparatively few hybrids developed the more severe lodging.

6. Stalk-breaking caused by infestation with the European corn borer was recorded for the Mt. Morris field in Ogle county in northern Illinois and for the Milford field in Iroquois county in east northcentral Illinois. Appreciable amounts of lodging due to borer attack were found on both fields, but there was considerably less lodging at Mt. Morris.

7. Corn earworm feeding was severe on the Robbs field at Dixon Springs in Pope county in the extreme southern part of Illinois. The comparatively high percentage of damaged corn on this field was due 1945]

to ear rot fungi which invaded the kernels that had been injured by earworms.

8. Losses from diseases were, in general, about average in 1944. No one disease was especially outstanding.

9. Seed of 18 hybrids treated with Arasan gave yields 3.2 bushels an acre above the average of untreated seed. Damage from kernel rot in these same hybrids ranged from 3.37 to 7.72 percent.

10. The same nine double-cross hybrids tested on soils of two different levels of productivity in 1943 were tested again under similar conditions in 1944. The average yield of the hybrids on the soil of high productivity was 109.8 bushels an acre, while it was only 54.8 bushels on the soil of medium productivity. Contrary to previous tests, all nine hybrids ranked, on the basis of total yield, in the same order on both fields. There was very little difference between the yields of the six adapted hybrids on either field. The three hybrids less well adapted to central Illinois—namely, Illinois 784, 751, and 101—were the three low-yielding hybrids on both fields.

The two-year averages of the results on these two fields show for Illinois 246 a significant difference in relative ranking on the two fields. This hybrid yielded relatively high on the highly productive soil and comparatively low on the medium productive soil.

BULLETIN No. 509

[February,

PEDIGREES OF HYBRIDS

Following is a partial list of Experiment Station and U. S. hybrids. The performance of those that are starred is shown in this bulletin.

*III. 21 (WF9 \times 38-11) (Hy \times 187-2)	Ill. 863	$(R4 \times Hy) (K4 \times L317)$
III. 23 $(A \times Tr) (R4 \times Hy)$		$(R4 \times Pr)(K4 \times L317)$
III. 29 $(A \times 90)$ $(R4 \times Hy)$		$(R4 \times 38-11) (K4 \times L317)$
III. 53 (WF9 \times M14) (Pr \times I205)		$(CC5 \times CC7)$ (R4 × WF9)
III. 99 \dots (CC5 \times CC7) (WF9 \times CC1)		
		$(WF9 \times Hy) (R4 \times L317)$
*III. 101 (WF9 \times M14) (CC7 \times 187-2)		$(R4 \times Hy) (701 \times L317)$
Ill. $105(38-11 \times \text{Kys})(G \times L317)$		$(WF9 \times Hy) (O7 \times L317)$
*Ill. 126 (WF9 × 38-11) (Tr × L317)		$(WF9 \times M14) (Hy \times 187-2)$
III. $139(WF9 \times 38-11)$ (R4 × L317)	*Ill. 1173	$(WF9 \times Hy) (RR98 \times 187-2)$
III. 172 $(R4 \times Hy) (A \times 540)$	*Ill. 1180	$(WF9 \times M14) (CC10 \times CC24)$
*III. 200 (WF9 × 38-11) (K4 × L317)	Ill. 1183B	$(WF9 \times M14)$ $(R2 \times CC10)$
*III. 200-1 (WF9 × 38-11) (K4 × L317E)		$(WF9 \times CC10)(CC7 \times 187-2)$
*III. 201 (WF9 × 38-11) (187-2 × L317)		$(WF9 \times R2) (CC7 \times 187-2)$
*III. 206 (WF9 \times 38-11) (5120 \times L317)		$(WF9 \times 38-11) (K179 \times K180)$
III. $212(WF9 \times 38-11)(4-8 \times 187-2)$	*[1] 1233	$(WF9 \times 38-11) (940 \times L317)$
III. 212 ($CC5 \times CC7$) ($WF9 \times Hy$)	*111 1222 1	$(WF9 \times 38-11) (940 \times L317)$ $(WF9 \times 38-11) (940 \times L317E)$
III. 227 (WF9 \times 38-11) (Hy \times Tr)	III. 1234	$(WF9 \times CC10) (R2 \times 187-2)$
III. 237 (WF9 \times K4) (Kys \times 38-11)	111. 1230,	$(WF9 \times M14)$ $(CC10 \times 187-2)$
*III. 246 (WF9 \times Hy) (187-2 \times L317)		$(38-11 \times 940)$ (WF9 × G)
*III. $247 \dots (187 - 2 \times 38 - 11) (Hy \times L317)$		$(K166 \times L317) (297 \times 38-11)$
III. 249 (R4 \times L317) (187-2 \times 701)		$(WF9 \times M14) (R2 \times 187-2)$
III, 253 (WF9 \times 38-11) (R4 \times 187-2)	Ill. 1242	$(WF9 \times CC10)$ $(R2 \times CC35)$
Ill. 254 (WF9 × 187-2) (R4 × Hy)	*III. 1243	$(297 \times 38-11)$ (K180 × K4)
III. 255 (WF9 × 38-11) (159L1 × 187-2)		$(WF9 \times M14)(R2 \times L317)$
Ill. 257-1. $(H_V \times 187-2)$ (O7 × L317)		$(WF9 \times CC10)$ $(R2 \times L317)$
Ill. $262(WF9 \times M14)(187-2 \times L317)$		$(WF9 \times M14)$ (CC35 \times Y82)
*III. 269(CC10 \times CC24) (WF9 \times Hy)	*111 1255	$(WF9 \times 38-11) (557 \times L317)$
III. $273 \dots$ (WF9 \times 38-11) (187-2 \times 701)		$(WF9 \times M14)$ (R2 × CC35)
*III. 273-1 (WF9 \times 38-11) (187-2 \times O7)		$(CI.24 \times CI.61)$ (33-16 × Ky27
Ill. 274-1. (WF9 \times Hy) (187-2 \times O7)		$(K6 \times 33-16)$ (CI.43 × CI.61)
III. 279 (WF9 \times M14) (CC24 \times 187-2)		$(CI.43 \times K6) (Ky27 \times CI.61)$
III. 281, (WF9 × A) ($\dot{R}2 \times 187-2$)		$(33-16 \times B103) (K6 \times CI.61)$
Ill. 285 (WF9 \times 38-11) (Hy \times 540)	Ill. 2018(W)	$(Ky27 \times R30)$ (CI.43 \times CI.61)
Ill. 288 (WF9 \times Hy) (K4 \times 38-11)	*Ill. 2019A(W)	$(Ky27 \times CI.61) (R30 \times 33-16)$
Ill, $300(WF9 \times R4)(Pr \times I205)$	III. 2020(W)	$(Ky27 \times R30) (K6 \times CI.61)$
Ill. $308 \dots (WF9 \times M14) (4-8 \times 187-2)$		$(Ky27 \times CI.24)$ (K6 \times 33-16)
Ill. 319 (WF9 \times M14) (A \times 90)		$(33-16 \times K6)$ (Ky27 × CI.43)
III. 350 (WF9 × R4) (187-2 × L317)	*[1], 2059(W)	$(Ky27 \times CI.61)$ (33-16 × K6)
Ill. 351 (WF9 × $38-11$) (R4 × Hy)		$(33-16 \times CI.61)$ (Ky27 × CI.43
Ill. $371 \dots (A \times L) (WF9 \times Hy)$		$(CI.43 \times 33-16)$ (Ky27 × R30)
III. $374(R4 \times Hy)(187-2 \times L317)$		$(Ky27 \times CI.61)$ $(H21 \times 33-16)$
III. $437(WF9 \times Hy)(K4 \times L317)$		
*III. $448 \dots (38-11 \times \text{Kys}) (\text{K4} \times \text{L317})$		$(Ky27 \times CI.61) (33-16 \times K64)$
$\begin{array}{c} 111.440 \dots (30-11 \times \text{KyS}) (\text{K4} \times \text{L317}) \\ 111.500 \dots (1000000000000000000000000000000000$		$(Ky27 \times CI.61) (K6 \times K64)$
III. 500-1. (WF9 \times 38-11) (O7 \times L317)	111.2159(W)	$(Ky27 \times CI.61)$ (H21 × K64)
*III. 501 (WF9 \times 38-11) (Hy \times 5120)	111.2162(W)	$(4Co63 \times 4Co82)$ (R47 × R49)
III. 507 $(A \times 90)$ (WF9 \times R4)		$(33-16 \times Ky27)$ (H21 × K64)
Ill. 565 (38-11 \times G) (K4 \times L317)		$(K6 \times K64) (33-16 \times CI.61)$
Ill. 710 $(R4 \times Hy) (Tr \times L317)$	*Kans, 1583	$(Kys \times 201C) (K4 \times 38-11)$
*Ill. 713 (WF9 \times 38-11) (G \times L317)	*Kans. 1585	$(K155 \times 201C)$ $(K4 \times 38-11)$
Ill. 716A. (WF9 \times 38-11) (Hy \times L317)	*Kans. 2234(W)	$(K41 \times K55) (K63 \times K64)$
*Ill. 751 $(A \times 90)$ (WF9 \times Hy)	*Kans. 2275(W)	$(K55 \times K64) (Ky27 \times 38-11)^{-1}$
III. 772 $(R4 \times Hy) (159 \times L317)$	*Wis, 645	$(CC5 \times CC7)$ $(CC1 \times WF9)$
*Ill. 784 (Hy \times 5120) (K4 \times L317)	*U.S. 13	$(Hy \times L317)$ (WF9 \times 38-11)
*Ill. $804 \dots (5120 \times 38-11) (K4 \times L317)$	*U.S. 35	$(WF9 \times 38-11)$ (R4 \times Hy)
Ill. $805 \dots (187-2 \times 38-11) (K4 \times L317)$		$(4-8 \times 187-2)$ (Hy × 540)
(10/-2 × 00-11) (111 × L017)	0.0. 11	(1-0 × 10/-2) (11y × 040)

*Kans. 2275(W) is designated as a white hybrid altho one inbred in its pedigree is yellow.

CONTRIBUTORS OF SEED

A = = 1 TT = h = i d =	Charles A Appl & Son	St. Innah
Disal Long Hack and	Charles A. Appl & Son.	Dele
Blacknawk riybrids	Blackhawk Coop. Hybrid Corn Assn	TOIO M'16 1
	Crow Hybrid Corn Co	
DeKalb Hybrids	DeKalb Agricultural Assn	DeKalb
Doubet Hybrids	E. W. Doubet	Hanna City
Embro Hybrids	Ed. F. Mangelsdorf & Brother	St. Louis, Mo.
Farmcraft Hybrids	Farmcraft Seed Co	Oxford, Ind.
Ferris Hybrids	Ferris Hybrids	Princeton
Frey Hybrids	Frey Hybrid Corn Co	.Gilman
Funk Hybrids	Funk Brothers Seed Co	Bloomington
Henley-Whisnand Hybrids	Myron Whisnand	. Arcola
Holmes Utility Hybrids	.C. W. & Z. M. Holmes	. Edelstein
Hoosier-Crost Hybrids	.Edw. J. Funk & Sons	. Kentland, Ind.
Illinois Hybrids	Ill. Agr. Exp. Sta	. Urbana
	Ill. Crop Improvement Assn. ^a	. Urbana
Iowealth Hybrids	Michael-Leonard Seed Co	Normal
Kansas Hybrids	Kansas Agr. Exp. Sta	Manhattan, Kan.
Kelly Hybrids	Kelly Seed Co	San Jose
Lowe Hybrids	L. L. Lowe	Aroma Park
	B. A. Miller & Son	
Moews Hybrids	B. E. Moews	Granville
Morgan Hybrids	Morgan Brothers	Galva
Morton Hybrids	Roy A. Morton & Son	Bowen
National Hybrids	National Hybrid Corn Co	Hudson
Nichols Hybrids	Nichols Brothers	Hebron
Null Hybrids	Null Seed Farms	Colchester
Pfeifer Hybrids	George L. Pfeifer	Arcola
Pfister Hybrids	Pfister Assoc. Growers	Fl Paso
Pioneer Hybrids	Pioneer Hi-Bred Corn Co.	Princeton
Producers' Hybrids	Producers' Crop Imp. Assn	Piper City
Socher Hubrid	Seeber Brothers	Champaign
	Sibley Farms	
Sieben Hybrida	Sibley Fallis	Concess P 1
Stewart Unbrid	Sieben Hybrids	Drincovillo D 1
Stewart Hyprid	Frank S. Stewart.	Namel
U S Hathaida	H. L. Stiegelmeier	. Normai
U. S. Hybrids	Ill. Crop Improvement Assn.ª	Urbana
Wisconsin Hybrid	Ill. Crop Improvement Assn. ^a	Urbana

^aSeed supplied by the Association was obtained from samples of the hybrids submitted in 1943 for the laboratory test required for certification.

INDEX TO ENTRIES

	Hybrid Table	1	Hybrid	Table
	Appl A-128		DeKalb 888, 919(W)	
	Appl A-336			12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
	Blackhawk 98A, 1115, 6			
	Crow 360, 432, 514(W)5, 6, 7	1	Doubet D-47	
	Crow 6076, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16		Doubet D-72	
	Crow 607(W)		Embro 1001, 1020	
	Crow 633			
	Crow 805			
1				
	DeKalb 404A, 410, 422, 450, 458, 6155, 6, 7			
	DeKalb 6095, 6			12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
	DeKalb 628A			
	DeKalb 6808, 9			
	DeKalb 720(W)		Ferris F-11	
	DeKalb 800Å, 817A6, 8, 9, 10, 11 DeKalb 816		Ferris F-14	
	DeKalb 816 *		Ferris F-31	
	6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18		Frey 410, 425	
	DeKalb 827			
	DeKalb 835 12, 13, 14			
	DeKalb 8406, 10, 11		Funk G-16, G-29, G-	-305, 6, 7
	DeKalb 847	1		

H			

Hybrid		Table
Hybrid Funk G-37. Funk G-38, G-42. Funk G-53, G-71. Funk G-80. Funk G-90. Funk G-94. Funk G-94. Funk G-104. Funk G-144. Funk G-125. Funk G-135. Funk G-137. Funk G-137. Funk G-139. Funk G-169. Funk G-815(W). Funk G-706, G-708. Funk G-701. Funk G-7	689	10 11
Funk G-38, G-42		10, 11 F
Funk G-53, G-71	6	, 8, 10 I
Funk G-8012,	13, 14,	15, 16 5, 8, 10 L
Funk G-90		17. 18 Î
Funk G-94	12, 13,	14, 15 L
Funk G-96	12,	13, 15 L
Funk G-104	12,	13, 14 N
Funk G-125	15 16	17.18 N
Funk G-135		17, 18 N
Funk G-137		13, 14
Funk G-169 $0, 8, 9, 10,$	11, 12,	13, 14 M 12, 13 M
Funk $G-515(W)$	15. 16.	17, 18 N
Funk G-706, G-708		15, 17
Pullk G-/11	17,	18, 19 N
Henley-Whisnand 831 Henley-Whisnand 834, 905 (W) Henley-Whisnand 901 (W)12,	12,	13, 14 N
Henley-Whisnand 834, 905(W)		
Henley-Whisnand 901(W)12,	13, 14,	17 N 15, 16 N
Henley-Whisnand 901(W)12, Henley-Whisnand 917(W)12, 13, 14, 15,	16 17	18 10
Henley-Whisnand 941(W)		13, 14 N
Holmes 29	.5, 6, 8	, 9, 10 N
Holmes 39	5, 6, 8,	10,11
Holmes 49	56	8 10 N
Hoosier Crost FD4, 112A		
Hoosier Crost F-138		5, 6, 7 N
Hoosier Crost F-166, F168		6, 10
Hoosier Crost $F-1696, 10, 11,$	12, 13,	14, 15 8 F
Hoosier Crost 405	· · · · · · · ·	5.6.7 F
Hoosier Crost 505(W)	12,	13, 14 F
Hoosier Crost 6686, 8, 9, 10,	11, 12,	13, 14 13, 14 17, 18
Hoosier Crost 746 12 13 14	12, 13,	17, 18 F
Hoosier Crost 8406. 10, 12, 13, 14,	15. 16.	17.18 F
Hoosier Crost 1005		15 F
Henley-Whisnand $901(W)$ 12 , Henley-Whisnand $911(W)$ 12 , 13, 14, 15, Henley-Whisnand $941(W)$ Holmes 29 Holmes 39 Hoosier Crost FD4, 112A Hoosier Crost F-138 Hoosier Crost F-138 Hoosier Crost F-166, F168 Hoosier Crost F-169 Hoosier Crost F-170 Hoosier Crost F-170 Hoosier Crost F-170 Hoosier Crost 645 Hoosier Crost 656 Hoosier Crost 656 Hoosier Crost $707(W)$ Hoosier Crost $707(W)$ Hoosier Crost $707(W)$ Hoosier Crost $707(W)$ Hoosier Crost $707(W)$ Hoosier Crost 703 Illinois 21 3 , 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, Illinois 101 3 , 4, Illinois 102 3 , 4, 12, 13, 14, 15, Illinois 20 3 , 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 11, 14, 15, 11, 12, 11, 12, 11, 13, 14, 15, 11, 10, 120, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15, 11, 10, 120, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15, 11, 10, 120, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15, 11, 11, 12, 11, 12, 11, 12, 11, 12, 11, 13, 14, 15, 11, 10, 120, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15, 11, 10, 120, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15, 11, 10, 120, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15, 11, 12, 12	13. 14.	20, 21 F
Illinois 101	5, 6, 7,	20,21 F
Illinois 12612, 13, 14,	15, 16,	17, 18 F
Illinois 200	10, 17,	18, 19 P 17, 19 P
Illinois 201	12.	F
Hinois 200-1. Illinois 200-1. Illinois 2013, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 14, 15, 14, 15, 14, 15, 14, 15, 14, 15, 13, 14, 15, 13, 14, 15, 14, 15, 14, 15, 14, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15	16, 17,	20, 21 F
Illinois 206	, 4, 15,	20, 21 F
Illinois 240	12, 13, 12,	20, 21 F
Illinois 269		
Illinois 273-1		
Illinois 448		15, 17 12, 13
Illinois 713	15. 16.	17, 18 F
Illinois 7513, 4,	5, 6, 7,	20, 21 F
Illinois 784	17, 18,	20, 21 F
Illinois 784 (Pieiler)	15 16	17 P 17, 18 P
Illinois 877	16, 17,	18, 19 F
Illinois 960		
Illinois 972-13, 4, 6, 10, 11,	12, 13,	20, 21 F
Illinois 1091-A		, 8, 10 F
Illinois 1180.	 	5, 6, 7 F
Illinois 1233		17, 18
Illinois 1233-1		17, 19 S 17, 18 S
Illinois 1230-D.		17.18 S
Illinois 273-1. Illinois 71. Illinois 71. Illinois 71. Illinois 75. Illinois 804. Illinois 807. Illinois 960. Illinois 972-1 Illinois 1091-A. Illinois 1173, 1182-1. Illinois 1233. Illinois 1233. Illinois 1234-B. Illinois 1243. Illinois 1257. Illinois 2019B(W). Illinois 2050(W). 2.4		5, 6, 7 F 17, 18 17, 19 S 17, 18 S 17, 18 S 17, 18 S 15, 16 S
Illinois 1257		17 S
Illinois 2019B(W)	15 17	17, 18 S 18, 19 S
Illinois 2077(W)	16, 17,	18, 19 S
Illinois 2119(W)		18, 19 S
Illinois 2120(W)		17,18 S
lowealth 25	680	10, 11 U
Iowealth 25A		18, 19 S 17, 18 S 5, 6 10, 11 U 17, 18
Illinois 1243 Illinois 1257 Illinois 2019B(W) Illinois 2059(W) Jairois 2077(W) Jairois 2077(W) Jonealth AF11 Jowealth AF11 Jowealth 25A Jowealth 25A Jowealth 25A	13, 14,	15, 16 U
Kansas 1583, 1585, 2234(W).		
2275(W)15,	16, 17,	18, 19 V

Hybrid	Table
Kelly K-42. Kelly K-99 6. Kelly K-374	10, 17 10, 17
Lowe 14, 15 Lowe 520, 560	
Miller 26	10, 11
Miller 1050(W)6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, Moews 51, 15 Moews 523. Moews 550	17, 18
Morgan M-52 Morgan M-105	8, 9, 10 8, 9 5, 6 .12, 13
Morton M-380	6, 10
National 114. National 118. National 125. Mational 129. National 134. Nichols 5A, 202A, Victory. Nichols N-75, N-400. Null N-16. Null N-54. .6 Null N-77. .12	8
National 134. Nichols 5A, 202A, Victory. Nichols N-75, N-400.	.12, 13 15 .5, 6, 7 5, 6
Null N-16. Null N-54. Null N-77.	
	.15,17 14,15 15,16 10,11
Pister 260	.5, 6, 7 , 10, 11 , 10, 11
Pfister 360A Pfister 610(W), 612(W), 7892. Pfister 1823	.12, 13 15 .15, 16 .15, 16
Pfister 4897	10, 11 6, 7, 8 10, 11
Pfister 260 5, 6, 7 Pfister 274, 366 5, 6, 7, 8 Pfister 280 5, 6, 7, 8 Pfister 360, 380 6, 8, 9 Pfister 1823 12, 13, 14 Pfister 1897 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 14 Pfister 4897 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 Pfister 5897 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 Pfister 300 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 Pioneer 307 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 Pioneer 907	17, 18 15, 17 8
313D6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, Pioneer 322, 330, 340, 341, 353A Pioneer 3326, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17	17, 18 5, 6, 7 , 18, 19 8, 9 17, 18
Pioneer 333, 334. Pioneer 3366, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, Pioneer 339. Pioneer 353.	17, 18
Producers 777 Producers 909	6, 10 5, 7, 10 13, 14
Producers 1010, 1020, Producers 1015, 1020, Producers 1015, 1020, Producers 1030, 1020, Producers 1030, 1020,	.5, 6, 7 5, 6 .10, 11 .13, 14
	.12, 13
Seeber 11A	10, 11 10, 11 $\dots 5, 6$ 12, 13
Stewart S-11 Stiegelmeier 102 Stiegelmeier 360 Stiegelmeier 379 Stiegelmeier 379 <td>10, 11 5, 8, 10 10, 11</td>	10, 11 5, 8, 10 10, 11
	8
$\begin{array}{c} U. \ S. \ 13 \ldots \ldots 3, \ 4, \ 6, \ 8, \ 9, \ 10, \ 11, \ 12, \\ 13, \ 14, \ 15, \ 16, \ 17, \ 18, \\ U. \ S. \ 35 \ldots 3, \ 4, \ 6, \ 8, \\ U. \ S. \ 44 \ldots 3, \ 4, \ 6, \ 8, \end{array}$	20, 21 10, 11
	5,6

11,050-2-45-29544