



**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**CORRELATION OF RON95 AND RON97  
GASOLINE PROPERTIES FROM VARIOUS BRANDS  
TOWARDS ENGINE PERFORMANCE AND EMISSION**

**Aizuddin Fahmi bin Mohd Riduan**

**Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering**

**2020**

**CORRELATION OF RON95 AND RON97 GASOLINE PROPERTIES FROM  
VARIOUS BRANDS TOWARDS ENGINE PERFORMANCE AND EMISSION**

**AIZUDDIN FAHMI BIN MOHD RIDUAN**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science  
in Mechanical Engineering**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2020**

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis entitled “Correlation of RON95 and RON97 Gasoline Properties from Various Brands towards Engine Performance and Emission” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : AIZUDDIN FAHMI BIN MOHD RIDUAN

Date : .....

## **APPROVAL**

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering.

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : PROF. DR. NOREFFENDY BIN TAMALDIN

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

To both of my lovely wonderful parents and also my late grandmother.

May her soul rest in peace.

## ABSTRACT

Effects of gasoline variations from different brands on vehicle performance have always been a debate among users and currently the facts remains inconclusive. Some claimed using a higher octane or particular fuel brand could affect overall engine behaviour, and when they do publically spread their own gathered findings, results collected were mostly done unscientifically. To overcome these problems, three objectives were planned for this research. Firstly, gasoline properties differences between Research Octane Number 95 (RON95) and RON97 from commonly available fuel brands in Malaysia were determined. Then, the examined gasoline properties were correlated towards engine performance and emissions through engine dynamometer testing. Lastly, influence magnitude of using different fuel brands and RONs towards overall engine behaviour were analysed. Research methodology was divided into Part A (Gasoline Properties Investigation) and Part B (Engine Testing Using Different Fuel Grades). In Part A, gasoline density, specific energy, and chemical formula group were determined utilizing a hydrometer, oxygen bomb calorimeter, and Fourier transform infrared spectrometer respectively. For Part B, an engine dynamometer and gas analyser were used to measure engine overall outcomes. Results gathered were torque, power, relative knock index, brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC), engine efficiency, carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>). Gasoline density and specific energy results among each fuel samples demonstrated marginal differences, with biggest variation did not even exceeded 6%. Gasolines chemical content had presented all RON97 fuels possessed two additional chemical formula group which were polyetheramine and ethyl acetate. Every engine power and torque figures displayed minor variations of less than 4%. Nevertheless, relative knock index, BSFC, and engine efficiency did exhibited larger differences as certain cases depicted more than 10% deviation. Emission outputs were more substantially varied than all engine performance results, with CO and NO<sub>x</sub> shown variations of up to 20%. However, CO<sub>2</sub> outcomes differed between tested fuels by just less than 4%. Gasoline specific energy was proven having direct connection towards engine torque and power. Fuel energy density was illustrated having an overall effect on engine knock. BSFC were deduced to be possibly influenced by gasoline energy content, whilst at the same time had significant effect on engine efficiency. Ethyl acetate presence in RON97 fuels was established directly correlated towards gas emissions, where it help produced lower CO and higher CO<sub>2</sub> results than all RON95 gasolines. NO<sub>x</sub> emission was postulated to be linked with engine power since it could lead towards greater in-cylinder temperatures at higher outputs. Most engine outputs were better performed with RON97 fuels. However, with not even exceeding 2% engine performance improvements based on averaged results trend lines, employing a higher octane gasoline cannot be recommended since it is 15% pricier than RON95. Consumers can use collected finding as reference when comparing between fuels and no longer need to speculate because entire investigation was done in a controlled environment. Outcomes from this study could become a baseline for gasoline manufacturers in improving their product quality, of which would greatly benefit the consumer as well.

## ABSTRAK

*Kesan menggunakan variasi petrol daripada jenama berbeza terhadap prestasi kenderaan selalu menjadi perbincangan dalam kalangan pengguna dan sehingga kini masih lagi tidak mencapai kesimpulan akhir. Ada yang menyatakan penggunaan oktana tinggi atau jenama tertentu boleh memberi kesan kepada keseluruhan prestasi enjin. Walaupun ada juga yang melakukan kaji selidik sendiri, hasil terkumpul selalunya tidak saintifik. Oleh itu, tiga objektif telah ditetapkan bagi mengatasi masalah ini. Pertamanya ialah mencari perbezaan sifat-sifat petrol antara nombor oktana penyelidikan 95 (RON95) dan RON97 daripada semua jenama yang biasa dijual di Malaysia. Sifat-sifat petrol dikaji kemudiannya dikaitkan dengan data prestasi enjin serta gas-gas terhasil yang dikumpul melalui ujian dinamometer enjin. Akhir sekali ialah menganalisis pengaruh setiap penggunaan petrol daripada jenama dan RON berbeza terhadap keseluruhan prestasi enjin. Kajian dibahagikan kepada Bahagian A (Mengkaji Sifat-Sifat Petrol), dan Bahagian B (Menguji Enjin Dengan Penggunaan Gred Petrol Berbeza). Dalam Bahagian A, ketumpatan, kandungan tenaga, dan komposisi kimia petrol ditentukan dengan hidrometer, bom oksigen kalorimeter, dan spektrometer inframerah Fourier. Untuk Bahagian B, dinamometer enjin dan penganalisis gas digunakan untuk mengukur hasil keseluruhan prestasi enjin. Data terkumpul dalam bahagian ini adalah tork, kuasa, indeks relatif ketukan, penggunaan petrol berkadar kuasa (BSFC), kecekapan enjin, karbon monoksida (CO), karbon dioksida (CO<sub>2</sub>), dan nitrogen oksida (NO<sub>x</sub>). Ketumpatan dan kandungan tenaga setiap petrol menunjukkan variasi kecil di mana perbezaan antara sampel tidak melebihi 6%. Eksperimen terhadap komposisi petrol didapati semua RON97 sahaja mengandungi dua kandungan kimia tambahan iaitu polieter amina dan etil asetat. Setiap data tork dan kuasa janaan enjin menunjukkan variasi kurang daripada 4%. Hasil indeks relatif ketukan, BSFC, dan kecekapan enjin pula menunjukkan variasi lebih ketara di mana ada perbezaan mencapai lebih daripada 10%. Variasi data CO dan NO<sub>x</sub> merupakan paling tinggi sehingga ada melebihi 20%, sementara perbezaan gas CO<sub>2</sub> hanya kurang daripada 4%. Kandungan tenaga dibuktikan mempunyai korelasi kepada tork dan kuasa enjin. Ketumpatan tenaga didapati mempunyai kaitan dengan hasil ketukan enjin. Data BSFC pula ditunjukkan berkemungkinan dipengaruhi oleh kandungan tenaga, dan pada masa yang sama memberikan kesan ketara terhadap kecekapan enjin. Etil asetat yang terdapat dalam RON97 menunjukkan korelasi langsung kepada gas-gas terhasil, di mana ia dapat mengurangkan CO dan mengoksidakan lebih tinggi CO<sub>2</sub> daripada semua RON95. Kuasa enjin diramal mempunyai hubungan dengan penghasilan NO<sub>x</sub> kerana suhu silinder selalunya dikaitkan oleh janaan kuasa enjin. Walaupun enjin berfungsi lebih baik apabila diuji dengan RON97, peningkatan prestasi kurang daripada 2% dianggap tidak wajar dengan harganya yang 15% lebih mahal berbanding RON95. Pengguna boleh menggunakan kajian ini sebagai rujukan bandingan antara petrol dan tidak lagi perlu membuat sebarang spekulasi kerana kajian ini telah dilakukan dalam keadaan persekitaran terkawal. Syarikat pengeluar minyak pula boleh merujuk hasil penyelidikan dan menjadikan data-data terkumpul sebagai penanda aras untuk meningkatkan kualiti pengeluaran mereka. Ini secara tidak langsung juga dapat memberikan impak positif kepada pengguna-pengguna.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to first of all express my biggest gratitude towards my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Noreffendy bin Tamaldin from Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for giving me his indispensable guiding principles, wisdom, care, and especially undying support throughout my entire postgraduate studies as he is one of the very few people who believed in me on pursuing this research. Without him, I would never had completed my studies and also this overall dissertation as how I intended it to be.

I likewise want to give my sincere acknowledgement towards my co-supervisor, Dr. Ahmad Kamal bin Mat Yamin for his upmost dedication towards providing best suitable testing laboratory to all students, particularly with his efforts on setting up the automotive dynamometer testing centre at UTeM Mechanical Engineering Laboratory Complex which had provided more than half of all results in this thesis.

Further recognitions are also needed to be given towards Dr. Mohd Haizal bin Mohd Husin for aiding me during the chemical sections of investigation, senior lab assistant Ms. Rusni binti Hasan and assistant engineer Mr. Bahatlar bin Zaid (Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering) for giving assistance during fuel properties experimentations, as well as assistant engineers Mr. Nor Izwan bin Junoh and Mr. Habirafidi bin Ramly for helping throughout engine dynamometer testing.

My most heartfelt thanks to those that stuck by me during the course of my postgraduate studies, especially my lovely mother, caring father, siblings, as well as my closest friends whom never gave up on me when I was at my lowest moments. Thank you again for those that always know how to cheer me up and keep in touch with me during my Master's degree period.

Extended acknowledgements worth mentioning towards both of these grants which were RAGS/1/2014/TK06/FKM/B00071 and PJP/2017/FKM-CARE/S01564 for supporting this overall investigation by providing enough funding in terms of allowances, payments for papers, and also research materials and supplies.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>APPROVAL</b>	
<b>DEDICATION</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research purpose	3
1.3 Problem statements	4
1.4 Objectives	6
1.5 Scope of study	6
1.6 Thesis outline	7
1.7 Summary	8
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Definition of octane ratings	9
2.3 Public perception about RON differences	11
2.3.1 Gasoline colour intensity affecting fuel quality	11
2.3.2 Unscientific comparisons	12
2.3.3 Sensible experimentation	14
2.4 Fuel properties	15
2.4.1 Gasoline density	15
2.4.2 Gasoline specific energy	15
2.4.3 Gasoline chemical formula group	16
2.5 Engine performance and emissions	17
2.5.1 Engine outputs with relation to torque	17
2.5.2 Engine knocking measurement	17
2.5.3 Engine outputs with relation to brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC)	19
2.5.4 Exhaust emissions	20
2.6 Applied experimental standards	21
2.6.1 ASTM D1298 – 12B (gasoline density)	21
2.6.2 ASTM D4809 – 13 (gasoline specific energy)	22
2.6.3 ASTM D5845 – 01 and ASTM D6277 – 07 (gasoline chemical formula group)	22
2.6.4 SAE R-344 (engine dynamometer testing)	23
2.7 Relevant fuel studies in spark ignition (SI) engines	24

2.7.1	Research on fuel additives	24
2.7.2	Research on fuel blending	26
2.7.3	Research on octane comparison	29
2.8	Summary	30
<b>3.</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	Part A: Gasoline properties investigation	31
3.2.1	Gasoline density	33
3.2.2	Gasoline specific energy	36
3.2.3	Gasoline chemical formula group	42
3.3	Part B: Engine testing using different fuel grades	47
3.3.1	Engine dynamometer	49
3.3.2	Engine dynamometer experimental procedures	55
3.4	Summary	61
<b>4.</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>62</b>
4.1	Introduction	62
4.2	Results from gasoline properties investigation	63
4.2.1	Gasoline density	64
4.2.2	Gasoline specific energy	67
4.2.3	Gasoline chemical formula group	71
4.3	Analysis and correlations of engine testing results	82
4.3.1	Torque results	84
4.3.1.1	Torque (RON95)	84
4.3.1.2	Torque (RON97)	87
4.3.1.3	Torque (RON97 against RON95)	90
4.3.2	Power results	92
4.3.2.1	Power (RON95)	92
4.3.2.2	Power (RON97)	95
4.3.2.3	Power (RON97 against RON95)	98
4.3.3	Relative knock index results	100
4.3.3.1	Relative knock index (RON95)	100
4.3.3.2	Relative knock index (RON97)	103
4.3.3.3	Relative knock index (RON97 against RON95)	106
4.3.4	Brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) results	108
4.3.4.1	BSFC (RON95)	108
4.3.4.2	BSFC (RON97)	111
4.3.4.3	BSFC (RON97 against RON95)	114
4.3.5	Engine efficiency results	116
4.3.5.1	Engine efficiency (RON95)	116
4.3.5.2	Engine efficiency (RON97)	119
4.3.5.3	Engine efficiency (RON97 against RON95)	122
4.3.6	Carbon monoxide (CO) results	124
4.3.6.1	Carbon monoxide (RON95)	124
4.3.6.2	Carbon monoxide (RON97)	126
4.3.6.3	Carbon monoxide (RON97 against RON95)	128
4.3.7	Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) results	130
4.3.7.1	Carbon dioxide (RON95)	130
4.3.7.2	Carbon dioxide (RON97)	132

4.3.7.3 Carbon dioxide (RON97 against RON95)	134
4.3.8 Nitrogen oxides (NOx) results	136
4.3.8.1 Nitrogen oxides (RON95)	136
4.3.8.2 Nitrogen oxides (RON97)	139
4.3.8.3 Nitrogen oxides (RON97 against RON95)	142
4.4 Engine dynamometer results trend lines	144
4.5 Summary	153
<b>5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>156</b>
5.1 Conclusion	156
5.2 Research contributions	158
5.3 Recommendations for future study	159
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>189</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.1	IKA C 200 oxygen bomb calorimeter technical specifications	37
3.2	PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 FTIR spectrometer technical specifications	43
3.3	DYNomite liquid-cooled eddy-current absorber technical specifications	52
3.4	Mitsubishi 4G92-MIVEC DOHC engine technical specifications	54
3.5	EMS Model 5002 gas analyser technical specifications	57
3.6	DYNomite data acquisition computer technical specifications	59
4.1	Fuel brands symbol and colour designations	63
4.2	RON95 fuel brands density test results	64
4.3	RON97 fuel brands density test results	65
4.4	Fuel density comparison table	66
4.5	RON95 fuel brands specific energy test results	67
4.6	RON97 fuel brands specific energy test results	68
4.7	Specific energy comparison table	69
4.8	Spectra analysis table of all tested gasoline	75
4.9	Spectra analysis table of RON97 gasoline brands	79
4.10	Additional colour and symbol designations for correlation analysis	83
4.11	Engine torque output using RON95 gasolines	85
4.12	Engine torque output using RON97 gasolines	88
4.13	Overall engine torque output comparison table	90

4.14	Engine power output using RON95 gasolines	93
4.15	Engine power output using RON97 gasolines	96
4.16	Overall engine power output comparison table	98
4.17	Engine relative knock index output using RON95 gasolines	101
4.18	Engine relative knock index output using RON97 gasolines	104
4.19	Overall engine relative knock index output comparison table	106
4.20	Engine BSFC output using RON95 gasolines	109
4.21	Engine BSFC output using RON97 gasolines	112
4.22	Overall engine BSFC output comparison table	114
4.23	Engine efficiency using RON95 gasolines	117
4.24	Engine efficiency using RON97 gasolines	120
4.25	Overall engine efficiency comparison table	122
4.26	Engine CO emission output using RON95 gasolines	125
4.27	Engine CO emission output using RON97 gasolines	127
4.28	Overall engine CO emission output comparison table	128
4.29	Engine CO <sub>2</sub> emission output using RON95 gasolines	131
4.30	Engine CO <sub>2</sub> emission output using RON97 gasolines	133
4.31	Overall engine CO <sub>2</sub> emission output comparison table	134
4.32	Engine NO <sub>x</sub> emission output using RON95 gasolines	137
4.33	Engine NO <sub>x</sub> emission output using RON97 gasolines	140
4.34	Overall engine NO <sub>x</sub> emission output comparison table	142
4.35	Best outcomes of fuel properties among brands and between RONs	153
4.36	Best outcomes of engine outputs among brands and between RONs	154

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1.1	Logos of oil and gas companies in Malaysia	2
2.1	Blog post about fuel colour explanation (Sze, 2015)	12
2.2	Blog post about fuel comparison (Shah, 2015)	13
2.3	Blog post about fuel comparison (Lye, 2017)	13
2.4	Blog posts about fuel comparison (Surendra, 2017a and 2017b)	14
3.1	BROOKFIELD DV-II+ Pro viscometer	32
3.2	Setaflash Series 3 Plus flash point tester	32
3.3	RON95 (left) and RON97 (right) gasolines in glass containers	33
3.4	RON95 gasoline density determination	34
3.5	RON97 gasoline density determination	34
3.6	Gasoline density experimentation flow chart	35
3.7	IKA C 200 oxygen bomb calorimeter	36
3.8	Weighed fuel sample	38
3.9	Decomposition vessel schematic diagram	39
3.10	Decomposition vessel with ignition contact attached	40
3.11	Gasoline specific energy experimentation flow chart	41
3.12	PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 FTIR spectrometer	43
3.13	ATR accessory working mechanism	44
3.14	MIRacle ATR accessory attached with FTIR spectrometer	45

3.15	TOMOS micropipette	45
3.16	Example of spectral difference between two RONs	46
3.17	Gasoline chemical formula group experimentation flow chart	47
3.18	Chassis dynamometer	48
3.19	Engine dynamometer schematic diagram	50
3.20	DYNOMite engine dynamometer full setup with test engine	51
3.21	DYNOMite liquid-cooled eddy-current absorber	51
3.22	Test engine exhaust configuration	52
3.23	Knock sensor placement at engine block	53
3.24	Test engine coupled to engine dynamometer	53
3.25	Draining and filling using external fuel pump	55
3.26	Water tower reservoir pipe lines towards dynamometer heat exchanger	56
3.27	EMS Model 5002 gas analyser	57
3.28	DYNO-MAX “Pro” software console	58
3.29	Data acquisition for engine dynamometer	59
3.30	Engine dynamometer experimentation flow chart	60
4.1(a)	Overlay view of all RON95 fuel brands ATR-FTIR spectra results	71
4.1(b)	Overlay view of all RON97 fuel brands ATR-FTIR spectra results	71
4.1(c)	Stack view of all RON95 fuel brands ATR-FTIR spectra results	72
4.1(d)	Stack view of all RON97 fuel brands ATR-FTIR spectra results	73
4.1(e)	Overlay view of ATR-FTIR spectra results between two RONs (Brand A)	77
4.1(f)	Overlay view of ATR-FTIR spectra results between two RONs (Brand B)	78

4.1(g)	Overlay view of ATR-FTIR spectra results between two RONs (Brand C)	78
4.1(h)	Overlay view of ATR-FTIR spectra results between two RONs (Brand D)	78
4.1(i)	Overlay view of ATR-FTIR spectra results between two RONs (Brand E)	79
4.2(a)	Torque comparison of all RON95 fuel brands (full range)	84
4.2(b)	Specific energy correlated to torque (RON95)	86
4.2(c)	Torque comparison of all RON97 fuel brands (full range)	87
4.2(d)	Specific energy correlated to torque (RON97)	89
4.2(e)	Specific energy correlated to torque (Between RONs)	91
4.3(a)	Power comparison of all RON95 fuel brands (full range)	92
4.3(b)	Specific energy correlated to power (RON95)	94
4.3(c)	Power comparison of all RON97 fuel brands (full range)	95
4.3(d)	Specific energy correlated to power (RON97)	97
4.3(e)	Specific energy correlated to power (Between RONs)	99
4.4(a)	Relative knock index comparison of all RON95 fuel brands (full range)	100
4.4(b)	Density and specific energy correlated to engine knock (RON95)	102
4.4(c)	Relative knock index comparison of all RON97 fuel brands (full range)	103
4.4(d)	Density and specific energy correlated to engine knock (RON97)	105
4.4(e)	Density and specific energy correlated to engine knock (Between RONs)	107
4.5(a)	BSFC comparison of all RON95 fuel brands (full range)	108
4.5(b)	Specific energy correlated to BSFC (RON95)	110
4.5(c)	BSFC comparison of all RON97 fuel brands (full range)	111



4.5(d)	Specific energy correlated to BSFC (RON97)	113
4.5(e)	Specific energy correlated to BSFC (Between RONs)	115
4.6(a)	Engine efficiency comparison of all RON95 fuel brands (full range)	116
4.6(b)	Specific energy and BSFC correlated to engine efficiency (RON95)	118
4.6(c)	Engine efficiency comparison of all RON97 fuel brands (full range)	119
4.6(d)	Specific energy and BSFC correlated to engine efficiency (RON97)	121
4.6(e)	Specific energy and BSFC correlated to engine efficiency (Between RONs)	123
4.7(a)	CO emission comparison of all RON95 fuel brands (full range)	124
4.7(b)	CO emission comparison of all RON97 fuel brands (full range)	126
4.8(a)	CO <sub>2</sub> emission comparison of all RON95 fuel brands (full range)	130
4.8(b)	CO <sub>2</sub> emission comparison of all RON97 fuel brands (full range)	132
4.9(a)	NO <sub>x</sub> emission comparison of all RON95 fuel brands (full range)	136
4.9(b)	Engine power correlated to overall NO <sub>x</sub> (RON95)	138
4.9(c)	NO <sub>x</sub> emission comparison of all RON97 fuel brands (full range)	139
4.9(d)	Engine power correlated to overall NO <sub>x</sub> (RON97)	141
4.9(e)	Engine power correlated to overall NO <sub>x</sub> (Between RONs)	143
4.10(a)	Trend lines of RON95 and RON97 torque results	144
4.10(b)	Trend lines of RON95 and RON97 power results	145
4.10(c)	Trend lines of RON95 and RON97 relative knock index results	146
4.10(d)	Trend lines of RON95 and RON97 BSFC results	147
4.10(e)	Trend lines of RON95 and RON97 engine efficiency results	148
4.10(f)	Trend lines of RON95 and RON97 CO emission results	149
4.10(g)	Trend lines of RON95 and RON97 CO <sub>2</sub> emission results	150
4.10(h)	Trend lines of RON95 and RON97 NO <sub>x</sub> emission results	151

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	ASTM Standard D1298 – 12b	189
B	ASTM Standard D4809 – 13	197
C	ASTM Standard D5845 – 01	207
D	ASTM Standard D6277 – 07	212
E	Social Media Posts about Fuel Comparisons	222
F	Gasoline Density of RON95 and RON97 Fuel Brands	224
G	Specific Energy of RON95 Fuel Brands	225
H	Specific Energy of RON97 Fuel Brands	226
I	European Standard BS EN 228:2012	227
J	Table of Characteristic IR Absorption	228
K	Torque Comparisons between RONs from All Brands	229
L	Power Comparisons between RONs from All Brands	232
M	Engine Knock Comparisons between RONs from All Brands	235
N	BSFC Comparisons between RONs from All Brands	238
O	Engine Efficiency Comparisons between RONs from All Brands	241
P	CO Emission Comparisons between RONs from All Brands	244
Q	CO <sub>2</sub> Emission Comparisons between RONs from All Brands	247
R	NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Comparisons between RONs from All Brands	250
S	Data of Fuel Price Fluctuations in Malaysia by KPDNHEP	253

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABDC	-	After bottom dead centre
AIST	-	Advance Industrial Science and Technology
AKI	-	Anti-Knock Index
ATDC	-	After top dead centre
ATR-FTIR	-	Attenuate total reflectance – Fourier transform infrared
BBDC	-	Before bottom dead centre
BHP	-	BHPetrol
BP	-	British Petroleum
BSFC	-	Brake specific fuel consumption
BTDC	-	Before top dead centre
CO	-	Carbon monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	-	Carbon dioxide
CR	-	Compression ratio
DAQ	-	Data acquisition
EPA	-	Environmental Protection Agency
FTIR	-	Fourier transform infrared
HC	-	Hydrocarbon
MON	-	Motor Octane Number
NA	-	Naturally aspirated
NEDC	-	New European Driving Cycle

NIST	-	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NO <sub>x</sub>	-	Nitrogen oxide
NVH	-	Noise-vibrations-and harshness
O <sub>2</sub>	-	Oxygen
Petronas	-	Petroliam Nasional Berhad
PON	-	Pump Octane Number
RON	-	Research Octane Number
RdON	-	Road Octane Number
RSC	-	Royal Society of Chemistry
SI	-	Spark ignition
ZnSe	-	Zinc Selenide

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Riduan, A.F.M., Tamaldin, N., Yamin, A.K.M., and Sudrajat, A., 2019. Engine Performance Comparison between Various RON97 Gasoline Brands Available in Malaysian Market. *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 13 (2(2)), In Press.

Riduan, A.F.M., Tamaldin, N., Sudrajat, A., and Ahmad, F., 2018. Review on Active Suspension System. In: Purwanggono, B., and Fudholi, D.H., *Proceedings of the International Cooperation for Education about Standardization Conference - Joint International Conference with 5<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on Industrial and System Engineering and World Standard Cooperation Academic Day*, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 3 – 5 July 2018, SHS Web of Conferences.

Riduan, A.F.M., Tamaldin, N., and Yamin, A.K.M., 2016. Engine Performance Testing Using Variable RON95 Fuel Brands Available in Malaysia. In: Ghani, S.A.C., Hamzah, W.A.W., and Alias, A., *Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Automotive Innovation and Green Vehicle*, Cyberjaya, Malaysia, 2 – 3 August 2016, MATEC Web of Conferences.

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

In Malaysia, there are various oil and gas companies that produce and sell gasoline nationwide. Such examples which can be mentioned are BHPetrol (BHP), Caltex, Petron, Petroliam Nasional Berhad (Petronas), and Shell. All these companies have their own distinctive heritage and history in the country with some have more than centennial worth of experience when looked upon each individual organization profile.

Boustead Holdings, a main shareowner of BHP Petroleum Division, introduced BHP retail stations in 2005 through Boustead Petroleum Marketing Sdn. Bhd. after inheriting from British Petroleum (BP) which withdrew Malaysian fuel sector after its investments pursuing gasoline retail market was lacklustre. Caltex on the other hand, is a global energy company under Chevron Corporation that has been established in Malaysia since 1936. Petron meanwhile started business across Malaysia after its complete acquisition investment over ExxonMobil downstream businesses around first half of 2012. Petronas being the only fully Malaysian owned multinational integrated oil and gas corporation, debuted itself since 1974 and was ranked at number 125 among other highly billion dollar profitable companies in Fortune's coveted 2016 Global 500 list. Shell also boast a long history where the company dates back to more than 125 years with its first commercial activity initiated through a small kerosene venture. Described fuel brands official emblems are displayed in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1: Logos of oil and gas companies in Malaysia

With different backgrounds and practices belonging to each establishment profile, there are some similarities between one and another when all are placed in the gasoline retail market sector. One major resemblance from all institutions business model is that their every filling stations irrespective of organizations sell at least two gasoline octane ratings, namely RON95 and RON97. There are a select few companies which offers more than just two fuel grades. For example, Shell has its V-Power Racing Euro 4M gasoline (octane rating higher than RON97) and Petron which develops and sell RON100 fuel conveniently referred to as Blaze 100 Euro 4M. Another similar connection among all these companies is equal fuel pricing for each gasoline octane rating regardless of what brand it is from. This was done using a managed float system which replaced Malaysian fuel subsidies entirely since it took effect on 1<sup>st</sup> December 2014.

Besides similarities of all corporations fuel retail market working management, there are also key distinct differences that needs to be stated between them. For instances are the gasoline formulation variation and manufacturing process from respective corresponding company. Each fuel producers has its own methods in ensuring their gasoline products achieve regulatory RON95 and RON97 standards by implementing additives of different

blueprints. BHP uses German additives that are codename Infiniti Advance2x; Caltex fuels are instilled with Techron® technology; Petron implemented a gas saving booster which is identified as Blaze in all their gasoline productions; Petronas applies fuel economy formulation with Advance Energy Formula for both RON95 and RON97 under surname called PRIMAX; and Shell employed DYNAFLEX for its three sets of fuels.

## **1.2 Research purpose**

Because of several gasoline brands availability to choose from in Malaysia, they were absolutely bound for comparisons by consumers. Although most road users use gasoline on a day-to-day basis, they are still uninformed when considering which brand is regarded best or even filling right fuel octane rating for their vehicles. There are even social media posts circulating online about discussing differences in fuel octane numbers and gasoline brands without using any legitimate scientific knowledge.

Since so much misconceptions passing amongst Malaysian people, it is then a duty of a researcher to formally or informally educate people on this matter through solid and definitive results from controlled lab experiments. Controlled lab experiments are important because it can remove external unwanted factors that can affect overall test outcomes. By focusing main components such as comparing different fuel brands and octane rating in a same engine configuration with proper controls over surrounding elements, a more clearly defined output can be conjured up for putting these claims and arguments to rest.

Another important key issue needed addressing is determining gasoline qualities itself which can affect overall engine performance and emissions. So far, the general public can only distinguish gasoline they use by brand, octane number, and price. With merely those information being available, misleading facts and false accusations when comparing between brands and fuel grades are becoming a common theme among Malaysians. Hence,