### Bowling Green State University

## ScholarWorks@BGSU

Public and Allied Health Faculty Publications

Public and Allied Health

9-1-2016

# The Effect of Occupational Stress on Participant Success in a Worksite Weight Loss Program

Brittany Miller Bowling Green State University, bwynn@bgsu.edu

Courtney Klebe Bowling Green State University, cklebe@bgsu.edu

Mary-Jon Ludy Bowling Green State University, mludy@bgsu.edu

Robin M. Tucker Michigan State University, tucker98@msu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/publ\_allied\_health\_pub

#### **Repository Citation**

Miller, Brittany; Klebe, Courtney; Ludy, Mary-Jon; and Tucker, Robin M., "The Effect of Occupational Stress on Participant Success in a Worksite Weight Loss Program" (2016). *Public and Allied Health Faculty Publications*. 15.

https://scholarworks.bgsu.edu/publ\_allied\_health\_pub/15

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Public and Allied Health at ScholarWorks@BGSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Public and Allied Health Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@BGSU.

#### The Effect of Occupational Stress on Participant Success in a Worksite Weight Loss Program

Authors: Miller B, Klebe C, Ludy MJ, Tucker RM

- Brittany Miller <a href="https://www.bwynn@bgsu.edu">bwynn@bgsu.edu</a>
- Courtney Klebe <u>cklebe@bgsu.edu</u>
- Mary-Jon Ludy <u>mludy@bgsu.edu</u>
- Robin M Tucker <u>tucker98@msu.edu</u>

**Learning Objective:** The participant will be able to identify the role of supervisor support on employee success in a weight loss program.

**Background:** Occupational stress has been associated with body mass index and waist circumference, but the role of occupations stress on participant outcomes at a worksite wellness weight loss program has not been examined.

**Methods:** 16 university faculty and staff members (18.8% faculty, 50% staff, 12.5% administration, 18.8% other) participated in a 16-week weight loss program that consisted of 7 educational sessions on nutrition and physical activity. Participants had weight, height, body mass index (BMI), body fat percentage (BF%), blood pressure (BP), total cholesterol (TC), high density lipoprotein (HDL), triglycerides (TG), and blood glucose (BG) measured before and after the program. A validated job stress survey was completed by participants at the beginning of the program, at week 10, and at the conclusion of the program.

**Results:** Participants lost 4.3 kg  $\pm$  4.8. Significant improvements were noted in TG (33.3  $\pm$  55.8) and BF% (2.4  $\pm$  2.5). Supervisor support was found to be a significant predictor of weight loss, explaining 32.3% of the weight change variance. Co-worker and family support did not play a factor in weight lost during the program

**Conclusion:** Worksite weight loss programs may see improved effectiveness by incorporating strategies designed to reduce occupational stress. Special attention should be placed on improving the employee-supervisor relationship to enhance likelihood of weight loss success.

Funding Source: Bowling Green State University

#### The Effect of Occupational Stress on Participant Success in a Worksite Weight Loss Program Brittany Miller<sup>1</sup>, BS; Courtney Klebe<sup>1</sup>, MFN, RDN; Mary-Jon Ludy<sup>1</sup>, PhD, RDN, FAND; Robin M. Tucker<sup>2</sup>, PhD, RD **MICHIGAN STATE** <sup>1</sup>Department of Public and Allied Health, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH UNIVERSITY **Bowling Green State University** <sup>2</sup>Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI ABSTRACT **METHODS RESULTS & DISCUSSION (Cont.) METHODS** (Cont.) Background: Occupational stress has been associated with body **Participant Characteristics Educational Sessions** Predictors of Weight Change mass index and waist circumference, but the role of occupational stress on participant outcomes at a worksite wellness weight loss 1: Portion Control & Individualized Energy Recommendations program has not been examined. Sex 81.3% female 2: Low-Carb vs. Low-Fat. Which is Best? Methods: 16 University faculty and staff members (18.8% faculty, Race 86.7% white 50.0% staff, 12.5% administration, 18.8% other) participated in a 16-3: Eating on the Run: Fast Food Pitfalls 50.0% staff Occupation Type week weight loss program that consisted of 7 educational sessions 4: Role of Exercise in Weight Loss Plan on nutrition and physical activity. Participants had weight, height, body mass index (BMI), body fat percentage (BF%), blood pressure 5: But the Internet said .... Myths about Weight Loss **Participant Occupations** (BP), total cholesterol (TC), high density lipoprotein (HDL), 6: Stress Management and Mindful Eating cial triglycerides (TG), and blood glucose (BG) measured before and 7: Preventing Weight Loss Plateaus after the program. A validated job stress survey was completed by participants at the beginning of the program, at week 10, and at the 33.0% tenure track conclusion of the program. Results: Participants lost 4.3 kg ±4.8. Significant improvements 18.8% were noted in TG (33.3±55.8) and BF% (2.4±2.5). Supervisor Faculty support was found to be a significant predictor of weight loss, **RESULTS & DISCUSSION** Three forms of social support were evaluated to determine effects explaining 32.3% of the weight change variance. Co-worker and 12.5% Staff on weight loss; family, co-worker, and supervisor support. family support did not play a factor in weight lost during the program. o Baseline weight was the best predictor of weight change. Administration Conclusions: Worksite weight loss programs may see improved **Biochemical & Anthropometric Measures:** Supervisor support was the best predictor of baseline weight. effectiveness by incorporating strategies designed to reduce 50.0% Supervisor support was significantly better at predicting weight Pre- & Post-Program occupational stress. Special attention should be placed on Other change compared to the mean value of weight change. improving the employee-supervisor relationship to enhance Graduate Assistants Faculty Administrato While 32.3% weight change variance was explained by likelihood of weight loss success. Pre-Postsupervisor support, the addition of supervisor support did not significantly improve the ability to predict weight change. Program Program Total Cholesterol (mg/dL) 181.1±32.1 190.7±32.7 For every one point improvement in the supervisor support score, participants lost 1.4 kg. 47.8±11.8 54.5±15.9 High-Density Lipoprotein (mg/dL) INTRODUCTION Triglycerides (mg/dL) 142 25+90 3 109 0+70 1 **Overall Design** 77.69±21.3 90.0±10.4 CONCLUSIONS ood Glucose (mg/dL Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) 116.8±11.8 111.7±10.3 Occupational stress can contribute to obesity and increased iastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) 76.9±10.6 73.0±5.4 Email & Informational body mass index (BMI); consumption of energy dense, high This pilot study suggests that the employee-supervisor Recruiting Weight (kg) 84.7±21.5 80.4±17.5 Flyers Session fat, high carbohydrate foods; and decreased physical relationship should be considered in workplace weight loss 41.9±6.8 39.5±6.0 ody Fat (%) programs. A larger sample size will help to resolve remaining activity.1,2 questions Weeks 1 & 2: Labs & Job Stress ASA-24 Baseline Survey Diet Recal Anthropometr · While one session of the program addressed stress The role of occupational stress on participant outcomes at a management, this advice centered on how to manage worksite wellness weight loss program has not been Biweekly overeating while stressed. examined. Weeks 3-9 **Biochemical & Anthropometric Measures:** Educational Sessions · Future participants may benefit from programming that Mean Change Research Question: Does occupational stress affect weight discusses how to deal with difficult people, especially supervisors, in the work place. loss in individuals attempting to lose weight in a worksite Job Stress ASA-24 Week 10 Mean SD P-Value Survey Diet Recall wellness weight loss program? Change Total Cholesterol (mg/dL) ♦ 9.6 22.0 0.102 REFERENCES Hypothesis: Higher levels of occupational stress will have a Biweekly liah-Density Lipoprotein (ma/dl 4 6 8 0.003 Weeks 11-15 Educationa negative impact on weight loss. 33.3 55.8 0.031\* Trialycerides (ma/dL) 1 Kivimaki M, Head J, Ferrie JF, Shipley MJ, Brunner F, Vahtera J, Marmot MG 0.032\* ood Glucose (ma/dL **12.3** 20.8 Work stress, weight gain and weight loss: evidence for bidirectional effects on job Weeks 15 & Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg) 5.1 11.1 0.094 Labs 8 Job Stress ASA-24 strain on body mass index in the Whitehall II study. International Journal of 16: Post testing Anthropometrics Survey Diet Recall Obesity. 2016;30:982-987 Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHo + 3.9 7.6 0.065 2. Christaki E, Kokkinos A, Costarelli V, Alexopoulos EC, Chrousos GP, Darviri C. 0.003\* 4.3 4.7 Weight (kg) Stress management can facilitate weight loss in Greek overweight and obese 0.002\* ody Fat (% women: a pilot study. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2013;26(1):132-139. \*Validated NIOSH Generic Job Stress Questionnaire