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Photograph of hillsice near Limespur where the BeltCambrian arkose poblem was discovered. A fold involving Belt and Cambrian arkoses oceurs on this hillside in the area covered by sage brush.

# STUDY AND CORRELATION OF BELT <br> $A N D$ CAMBRIAN ARXOSES NEAR <br> LIMESPUR, MOMIANA. 

## INTRODUCTION

In the vicinity of Limespur, Montans, a siding along the Northern Pacific Railroad near Whitehall, Montana, occurs a characteristic type of arkose wherein many small red mineral grains sre distributed throughout the rock mass. It is in this respect that this arkose differs from other arkoses in the surrounding region, the others being more uniforaly gray and green. All arkose has in earlier studies been considered pre-Cambrian (Belt) in age. It is now known that "red-ipecked" arkose and arkosic linestones occur in a Caubrian formation (Wolsey) associated with fossil forms (Trilobites). The purpose of this stuay, which was undertaken to fulfill requirements for undergraduate thesis work at the Montana School of Mines, is to attempt to establish the relationships of these arkoses, and explain the presence of an arkose similar to pre-Cambrian arkose within a Cambrien for ation.

While the pre-Cambrian series in Montana has been studied extensively by Dr . C. H. Clapp and others, very little attention has been fiven to the arkosic suries near Limespur. The author has been able to find only two references concerning this series. No reference at all has been found concernIng the Cambrian arkose with which this paper deals. The area imediately

1. Tansley, T., Schafer, P. A., and Hunt, L. H., "A Goologic Recomaisance of the Tobacco Root Mountains", Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Memoir \#9. Peele, A. C., U.S.G.S. Atlas 24, Three Forks Folio, 1896.
surrounding Limespur has been mapped twice. The first mapping was done by A. C. Peele in the service of the U.S.G.S. in 1896. The second mapping was done by members of the senior class at the Montana School of Mines in September 1937, at which time the Cambrian arkose first came to light.


## Pig. 1 Index may of Montana showins leatien of area mider comsideration.

Limespur, Montana, lies in R2W, T1N, S19. More generally, it is about 14 miles east of Whitehall, Montana, on U.S. highway No. 10. The area is easily accessible. The Northern Pacific Railroad, and also U. S. highvay No. 10 along which there is regular bus service, pass through Limespur, and two roads from the highway to Morrison Cave (Lewis and Clark National Monument) bring the greater part of the arkose outcrop within easy reach.

The area surrounding Limespur is high and semi-arid with a maximum relief of about 2000 feet. The Jefferson River, North and South Boulder Creeks, and a network of intermittent streams form the drainage system for the region. Annual precipitation is from 10 to 15 inches, and the annual temperature
range from $90^{\circ}$ F. to $-30^{\circ}$ F. Vegetation is scarce, the only growth being such as sage brush, bunch grass, scrub pine, cottonwood and box-elder trees.

The writer wishes to acknowledge, with greates appreciation, the many valuable suggestions of Dr. E. S. Perry both in the field work and in the preparation of this report, and siso for help in laboratory studies, to Dr. L. L. Sloss for his advice and help in the study of fossils, and to Dr. Geo. A. Seager for his aid and many suggestions in the petrologic studies. Geo. A. Johnston, an undergraduate at the Montana School of Wines, measured the sections shown in the text at a time at which the author was unable to do so, and wes the source of many valuable suggestions in his eiscussions of the problem with the author.

## GENERAL GBOLOGY OF THE AREA

The rocks exposed in the vicinity of Limespur represent an almost coilplete geologic section. With the exception of the Ordivician and Sillurian periods, rocks of every period sre present. The oldest exposure in the area is that of Archaen gneisses. These sre unconformably overlain by the Algonkian Belt series. Another unconformity separates the Belt series from middle and uper Cmbrian formations and these are in turn separsted from Devonian formations by on unconformity which cuts out the Ordivician and Sillurian rocks. Upwards from the Devonian there is a quite complete section of sedimentary rocks interrupted only in the upper Cretaceous by lava flows. Extensive deposits of Bozeman Lke Beds (Tertiary) are found in the area.

From the standpoint of geologic structure, the area is quite interesting. Major and minor faulting together with considerable folding has greatly disturbed the strata and has introduced numerous complications. There are two major faults in the area each with a vertical displacement of approximateIy 10,000 feet. In addition to these and probably closely connected with


GEOLOGIC MAP OF VCINITY OF LIMESPUR, MONTANA
them are numerous smaller faults. Considerable folding has taken place and has in spots so complicated the structure that mapping is most dificult. The geologic history of the area is comparatively simple. Archean rocks after deposition were severly metamorphosed and peneplaned. The Belt series was deposited on this peneplane and then folded and faulted slightly but remained unmetamorphosed. These were then subjected ta a very long period of erosion. During the Paleozoic there were many minor oscillations of the surface. This condition continued until late $u$ er Cretaceous at which time there was a gener 1 elevation and deformation of the region. The only activity since has been the deposition of lake bed deposits, terrace grevels, and alluvium.

## OCCURRHMCE OF TEF ARYOSS

The goographic occurrence of the Belt arkose in the vicinity of Limespur is shown on the map, (Plate 2). Near Limespur, the formation owes its appearance to normal faulting with displacements as high as 10,000 feet. Farther northmard and also to the east, the Belt is in normal contect with Flathead uartaite. Almost everywhere that the Belt arkose occurs in the vicinity of Limespur, it forms steep bills. Sharp gullies cut by interaittent streams are numerous in this form tion. In the northeast corner of the area shom on the map, (Plate 2), the arkose levels slightly to form a rather flat upland.

One mile east of Limespur on U. S. highway No. 10 there is a fold involving Belt and Cambrian formations. It is at this spot that the problem with which this paper desis was discovered. (Plate 1). Here, the Belt and Cambrian arkoses are found together. Belt arkose occupies the center of the fold and grades outwerd into Molsey arkose, shale, and limestone.


The Meagher linestone flanks the fold on both sides. (Plate 3).

## STRATIGRAPHIC POSTTION OF THE ARKOOSS

In the noraal geologic section for the area in which Limespur is situated, the Belt series underlies midile Cambrian forations the lowest member of which is the Flathead quartzite. The Wolsey shale lies immediatoly above the Flathead quartzite and is considered transitional between the Flathead quartzite and the Meagher limestone. Uncer normal conditions the Flatheed guartzite and Wolsey shale always occur together. The normal section measured in South Boulder Canyon, a few miles south of Limespur, is shown in Plate 4.

Examination of the map, Plate 5, shows the great areal extent of the Flathead quartzite. The formation is quite uniform in thicknes and its deposition was apparently unbroken over the southwestern part of Montana, where it forms the besal member of the Cambrian. This norasl condition is upset in the forementioned fold involving Belt and Cambrian arkoses. Here, the Flathead quartzite is not present in its normel position between these two formations. Furthermore, there is no apparent line of demarcation between the two srkoses.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ARKOEES

Lithology
Belt Arkose
The exposure of the Belt series in the vicinity of Limespur differs lithologically from the typical section in the northwestern part of the State. While the section has not been measured, it is estimated to have a thickness of about 10,000 feet. The upper half consists of a very fine grained rock which has the appearence of shale but which, according to


Tansley, Schafer, and Hart ${ }^{1}$, proves to be essentially an arkose upon mieroscopic examination. The lower half is an arkose ranging in grain size from sandy to conglomeritic, and consists of grains and pebbles of crystalline limestone, uartsite, gneiss, and pegmatite. The mass seems unsorted as a whole but bedding is distinguishable in most places. The pebbles of the more conglomeritic part may be one foot in diameter. Certain phases greatly resemble mud flows. (Plate 6). This exposure of Belt arkose is believed to be a shore-line deposit especially since it thins abruptly eastward and is absent 15 miles to the east.

This erkose was first cescribed by A. C. Poele ${ }^{2}$ thus: "--. coarse sandstones and conglomerates, whose arkosic character is evident. They are of somber hue, dark green and steel gray colors predouinating." For the greater part of the exposure this description is correct. However, there are large exposures of arkose wich are decidedly red. It is interesting and pertinent to note that, while in the valley bottons the arkose is of a decided green color, it grades on up to red at the tops of the hills. Also, boulders in the valley bottoms which are red on the outside, show green on a fresh fracture snd actual weathering rims can be seen.

## Cambrion Arkose

The Cambrian Arkose would probably be more properly referred to as a calcareous arkosic sandstone. It is made up essentially of quartz and felspar and is typically reddish in color. Becding is very distinct, especialIy so bec use of the fact that it is interbedded with shele and limestone. Some of the arkose beds are decidedly limey, and it is in these beds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
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GEOLOGIC MAP SHOWING DISTRIBUTION OF FLATHEAD QUARTZITE NEAR LIMESPUR (AFTER U.S.G.S. FOLIO 24)
trilobite fragments are found. The trilobite zones themselves differ. Some are only slightly litaey and contain only a few fos ils, hile others a almost a coquina of trilobite fragments scattered through with grains of celcite, quartz, and feldspar. Apparently the arkose is a uite resistant rock because it forms prominent " 11 s up the side of the hill on which it was found. The following sections of Wolsey were measured ${ }^{1}$ on the fold involving Belt and Wolsey formations:
(1) Section measured across Wolsey on east side of fold measuring outward from contact with Belt arkose.

Green micaceous shale............................... 7 feet
Loosely consolidated brick-red arkose........ 5 "
Limey brownish-green shale....................... $5^{\text {" }}$
Li ey arkose (ri ple marked)....................... 6 n
Basic igneous dike.................................... 2.5 feet
Limestone and coarse arkose...................... 4 "
Basic igneous dike................................... 0.5 "
Limestone and coarse arkose....................... 4 "
Thin-bedded arkose and green shele........... 5 "
Thin-bedided arkose and limestone................ 2 "
Green micaceous shale............................... 106 *
(2) Section messured across Wolsey on west side of fold measuring outward from contact with Belt arkose.

Fine grained red arkose................................. 88 feet
Thin-bedded limestone with red specks........ 8 "
Thin-bedded green shale nd liestone........ 14 "
${ }^{1}$ Section measured by Geo. A. Johnston, Montana School of Mines, M rch, 1938.


R2ata 6
Outcrep of Belt skicose on rosi cut. This particular outcrop plainly showe structure reaembling mud flows.

# Limestone with thin bands of shale................. 20 feet <br> Green micaceous shale <br> (covered with limestone talus).......... ? 

## Potrograny

Thin-section stuiles of the two portions of the Belt arkose were made of the fine grained zones for obvious ressons. All of the Cabrian arkose was sufficiently finc grined for thin-section study.

## Green Belt Arkose

Petrographic study of the green portion of the Belt arkose showed the following percentages of minerals: chlorite, $70 \%$; uartz, $15 \%$; minor percentages of microcline-microperthite, plagioclase, hornblend, and fragments of feldspsthic sandstones. All greins were ancular to sub-engular, and the chlorite, which was present more or less pseudomorphically, showed great tendency to fill interstices. The chlorite is probably present after hornblend because it is present in hornblend pseudomorphs, and because a few hornblend grains in the rock show partial alteration to chlorite. (See Plate 9A).

## Red Belt Arkose

The red portion of the Belt arkose is composed almost entirely of quartz and feldspar. Scattered grains of chlorite partiy altered to limonite are present. (Plate 9B). Differentiation amone the feldspars was difficult due to the fact that most grains of these minerals were soaked with an iron stein--probably limonite or hem tite. However, microcline-microperthite, and plagioclase were recognized. Grains were for the most part sub-angular.

## Cambrian Arkose

The grains in this arkose are quartz, microcline-microperthite, and


Plate 7
Outsrop of Cambrian arkose on hillside. Soft shale beds on both sides leave this resistent sricose weather eut prominomtiy in the form of a vertical wail. Bedđing in vertical.
plagioclase. As in the case of the red Belt arkose, the feldspars are soaked with an iron stain of limonite or bematite. (Plate 9 C ). The stain appears to permeate the rock through cracks and along grain boudaries. Scattered grains of chlorite partly eltered to limonite are present. In those beds which are limey, the erains lie in a matrix of crystalline calcite which may comprice os high as 80 per cent of the rock.

## PALEONTOLOGY

## Relationships of the Fauns

The fauna found in the Cambrian arkose occurs in the limey beas. These beds range from a few inches to several feet in thickness and are interbedded with shale, limestone, and pure arkose. The limestone is present as a matrix and comprises from 15 to 80 per cent of the rock. In places, the fauna is so abundant as to almost form a coguina.

The fossils consists of fragments of trilobites cefinitely Gambrian in character. The absence of any distinguishable eyes, the distinctly threelobed carapace, and the absence of surface ornamentation and excess development of spines all point towards the age of the trilobites as being $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ambrian. }}$ Furthermore, one species bears a very striking resemblence to Kootenia quadriceps, a middle Cambrian form from Utah. Consequently, while there has as yet been no positive icentification of the species found, the general character of the trilobites and their resemblance to known middle Cambrion species leads the author to believe that the fauna is of middle Cambrian age. Furthermore, the stratigraphic position of these strata points rather convincingly to a Cambrian age.

The 置olsey shale near Three Forks, Montana, is an abundantly fossiliferous zone. The forms found in this shale, however, do not compare favorably with the fauna in question. This part of the Folsey shale contains Ogygopsis,

Bothyuriscus, *icromitra, Eodiscus, Agnostus, and primitive brachiopods. None of these foras are recognized in the Cambrian arkose near Limespur. Comparison with the Burgess shale of British Columbia is equally as futile. Forms such as Agnostus, Obolus, Bathyuriscus, Ogygopsis, and Neolenus occur in this shale. With the possible exception of Neolenus, there is no coincidence. Most favorable comparison of the Cambrian arkose fauna is made with Pauna from near Ute Peak, Wasatch Range, Utah.

Descriptions
Neolenus
Plate 10A
Known only from a single free cheek and genal spine. Doubtfully referred to this genus.

Kootenia
Plate 10B
Only specimen is a single pygidium. Small and ellipsoidal, with high axial lobe, marked pleural grooves, and six spines on each side. Compares favorably in appearance with Kootenia quadriceps ${ }^{1}$.

## Idahoia

Plate 10C
Broad frontal limb. No glabellar or occipital furrows. Dorsal furrow well marked and transerse in front of glabella which tapers slightly. Probably an occipital spine.

1 Hall and Whitfield, U.S.G.S. 40th Parallel Survey, Vol. 4, p 240.

## RELATIONSEIP OF THE ARKOSES

In lithologic studies there is one outstending feature which helps in the correlation of the arkoses, namely, that the green portion of the Belt arkose grades always upward into the red portion. In the valley bottoms the green arkose is exposed, whereas on the hilltops the exposure is simost exclusively of the red arkose. In zones which are clearly transitionsl between green and red arkose, boulders of apparently red arkose will, on fresh break, show green interiors. This is a true weathering phenomenon and gives one good reason to believe that the red arkose is the weathered product of the green arkose. There sre no good lithologic correlations which can be made between Belt and Cambrian arkoses.

In the petrographic examinations, thin-sections of four types of arkose, namely, green Beltariose, red Belt arkose, Cambrian arkose, and limey Cambrian arkose, were studied. All of these types showed an abundance of quertz, considerable nicrocline-microperthite, and scattered grains of plagioclase feldspar. Grain size shows a decrease of from $1 / 25^{\prime \prime}$ to $1 / 50^{\prime \prime}$ in the Belt arkose, to $1 / 50$ to $1 / 100 n$ in the $C$ ambrian arkose. No noticeable difference was noted in the angularity of the grains. Since sll of these factors are more or less constent, it remained for the ferromagnesium miner is in the rocks to supply the means of correlation,

In the green Belt arkose, the ferro-magnesium minerals consist of chlorite and hornblend. Very little fresh hornblend was found. The chlorite in places showed pseudomorphs after hornblend, but was present for the most part as irregular masses. The ferromagnesium minerals in the red Belt arkose are limonite and chlorite. The chlorite showed definite roplacement by limonite, and the limonite showed a tendency to permeate the rock by following grain boundaries and then to apparently completely soak the feldspar minerals present. Limonite is the ferro-magnesium mineral in the Combrian arkose. Here it is present in exactly the same form as in the red Belt arkose.

The following are the conclusions drawn from petrographic studies: Hornblend was present in the rock from which the Belt arkose was derived. This, upon deposition of the arkose, was altered to chlorite. When the Belt arkose was exposed to superficial conditions, the chlorite started to alter to limonite. Given sufficient time it would become completely altered. The Cambrian arkose was derived from Belt arkose under conditions such that complete alteration of the chlorite to limonite had taken place before the consolidation of the rock.

## ORTGIN OP THE CAIBRIAN ARKOSE

In a discussion of the origin of the Cambrian arkose, there are two chief points to be considered: (1) The Flathead quartzite, while rresent at the base of the Cambrian in all other exposures in the area, is missing between the Belt and Molsey arkoses; (2) Petrographic studies lead to believe that the Cambrisn arkose was derived directly froil the Belt arkose. These consicierations condense the problem of orlgin of the Cambrian arkose to an explanation of conditions which would cut out the Flathead guartaite over a small area, and which would deposit arkose derived from Belt arkose in what were normally shale and linestone depositing conditions.

The Flathead quartzite was laid don on a very flat peneplane of Belt. This fact is quite evident when the great areal extent and uniform thickness of the formation are considered. The problem of the local absence of the Flathead quartzite is open to two possible explanations: (1) That the formation was deposited and later eroded away bef re deposition of the Wolsey; (2) That the formation was never deposited in the area under consideration. If the Flathead quartzite was deposited, and uplift must have taken place in order that it be eroded away, since there is no sharp break between the Flathead and Wolsey and consequently no recession of the sea at that time.

This uplift could be the result of folding or faulting. This would allow the stripping away of the Flathead and the deposition of Wolsey directly on Belt. This view is open to the following arguants: (1) The time of the deposition of midde Cambrian formations, was not a time of even local disturbances, as witnessed by the conformity and regular occurrence together of the Flathead and Wolsey formations wherever exposed; (2) The Wolsey is commonly recognized as being transitional between the Flathesd below and the Meagher above; (3) There are not enough quartzite fragments in the Wolsey shale where they would necessarily have to be if the Flathead was eroded amay, because the deposition in the area was continuous. Furthermore, it may not be considered that the Flathead was broken down to grain size, thus giving the quartz grains in the Wolsey arkose, because while the quartz grains of the Flathead are for the most part rounded, those of the Wolsey arkose are angular to sub-enguler.

In considering the second possible explsnation for the absence of the Flathead, namely, non-deposition, we must assume an upstanding topographic feature on the belt peneplane something of the nature of an island. This feature might be an erosional reanant, a fold, or an upfaulted block. The exact nature is not essentisl to the problem. This feature would locally cut out the deposition of the Flathead and allow the deposition of Wolsey directly on Belt. There are two main arguments against this view: (1) An upstanding erosional feature would be unlikely on such a complete peneplane; (2) Local folding or faulting, such as required here, has not been observed on the Belt peneplane prior to the deposition of the Flathead at any other point in the area.

Once the absence of the Flathead has been explained, the problem of origin becomes simplified. A small island of Belt arkose protruded above a sea which was depositing shales with bands of limestone. The Belt arkose

was weathered by the action of the ea, and detritus of the weathered product interfingered with the shales and limestones, sone being deposited simultaneously, thus producing the shaly and limey arkose beds which occur in the formation. (See plate 8). It may be due to this condition that the Belt and Wolsey appear to grede into each other.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In the vicinity of Limespur, Montana, arkose members are found in both Belt and Cambrian formations. The Belt arkose consists of two apparently different types, one red, and one green. The Cambrian arkose is interbedded and intermingled with fossiliferous shale and limestone beds. The Flathead formation, which is normally present between Belt and Wolsey, is missing in one swall area. In this area, where the only occurrence of Cambrian arkose is found, the Belt and Cambrian arkoses appear to grade into one another and are involved in a fold.

The suthor has reached the following conclusions; (1) That the red arkose is the result of the weathering of the green arkose since recent exposure; (2) That the Cambrian arkose was dertved from the Belt arkose, being doposited as red arisose; (3) That an erosional feature on the Belt peneplane stapped the deposition of Flathead quartaite over a small area and was responsible for the exposure of Belt over Flathead therefore producing an arkose derived from Belt in the Wolsey formation.

## EXPLANATTON OF PLATE IX

A: Photomicrograph of thin section of green Belt arkose showing hornblend crystals partly replaced by chlorite.

B: Photomicrograph of thin-section of Red Belt arkose showing grain of microcline-microperthite (note twinning) and iron stained feldspar.

C: Photomicrograyh of thin-section of Cambrion arkose showing grain of microcline-microperthite and iron st ined feldspar.

PLATE 9
Photomicrograph of Arkose near Limespur (x55)

(A) Belt arkose (crossed nicols)

(B) Belt arkose (crossed nicols)

(C) Combrian Arkose

Photographs of Trilobites Found near Limespur

(A) Neolenus

(B) Kootenai

(c) Idahoia

