The Jackson Laboratory

The Mouseion at the JAXlibrary

Faculty Research 2021

Faculty Research

12-2021

Pursuit of precision medicine: Systems biology approaches in Alzheimer's disease mouse models.

Brianna Gurdon

Catherine Kaczorowski

Follow this and additional works at: https://mouseion.jax.org/stfb2021

Part of the Life Sciences Commons, and the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons

FISEVIER



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Neurobiology of Disease

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynbdi

Pursuit of precision medicine: Systems biology approaches in Alzheimer's disease mouse models



Brianna Gurdon^{a,b,*}, Catherine Kaczorowski^{a,b}

^a The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME 04609, USA

^b The University of Maine Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Orono, ME 04469, USA

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T		
Keywords: Alzheimer's disease Imaging Systems biology Resilience Precision medicine	Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a complex disease that is mediated by numerous factors and manifests in various forms. A systems biology approach to studying AD involves analyses of various body systems, biological scales, environmental elements, and clinical outcomes to understand the genotype to phenotype relationship that potentially drives AD development. Currently, there are many research investigations probing how modifiable and nonmodifiable factors impact AD symptom presentation. This review specifically focuses on how imaging modalities can be integrated into systems biology approaches using model mouse populations to link brain level functional and structural changes to disease onset and progression. Combining imaging and omics data promotes the classification of AD into subtypes and paves the way for precision medicine solutions to prevent and treat AD.		

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is a multifaceted neurodegenerative disease that currently has no cure or clinically effective treatments. AD is the most common form of dementia, the 7th leading cause of death globally, and the 6th leading cause in the USA, with more than 6.2 million Americans living with this disease (The Top 10 Causes of Death, 2020). This frequency is estimated to further increase in the US by 2050; however, these estimations may not accurately reflect disease prevalence as many cases likely go undetected due to diagnostic challenges that arise from the highly variable presentation of the disease (Taylor et al., 2017). The lack of consensus about disease manifestation and its typical progression emphasizes the need for improved predictive diagnostic factors. Additionally, the field would benefit from collectively taking a more holistic approach to studying this disease as a series of interacting biological systems and factors rather than examining each involved system in isolation.

The aim of this review is to describe studies that have aided in the understanding and classification of AD using systems biology approaches that exploit imaging methods. We summarize the various factors that influence AD progression, the variable presentation of AD among individuals, and how the field of AD research is evolving to take more systems-level approaches. We focus on studies that successfully link the components of systems biology to clinical outcomes, specifically using imaging data as an intermediate to analyze disease state.

1.1. Types of AD

AD is a debilitating disease that causes progressive decline in cognitive and motor function that significantly reduces one's quality of life. A definitive diagnosis can only be determined by the postmortem detection of amyloid-beta (A β) plaques and tau neurofibrillary tangles (NFT). While these two hallmarks of AD correlate with disease progression, their presence is not completely predictive of AD development as their prevalence varies based on type of AD. Plaque and tangle pathology only account for about 41% of variation in cognitive decline between individuals with AD (2020 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures, 2020; Boyle et al., 2013).

Traditionally, AD cases are initially classified by genetic inheritance pattern and the age at onset (AAO) of disease symptoms. Thereafter, AD progression is characterized on a continuum based on the extent of cognitive decline and pathological load(s) (Braak et al., 2006; Braak and Braak, 1991; Markesbery, 1997). The two broad categories of AD are early onset AD (EOAD) and sporadic late onset AD (LOAD). EOAD can be subdivided to reflect cases that result from mendelian or nonmendelian inheritance of casual mutations. Mendelian, or familial, AD (FAD), is characterized by the inheritance of highly penetrant, autosomal dominant causal mutations in the genes *APP*, *PSEN1*, and *PSEN2* (Mendez,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2021.105558

Received 31 May 2021; Received in revised form 5 November 2021; Accepted 8 November 2021 Available online 10 November 2021 0969-9961/© 2021 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME 04609, USA. *E-mail address:* brianna.gurdon@jax.org (B. Gurdon).

2017; Tanzi, 2012). These mutations only account for a small percentage of FAD cases, and variation in age of onset and severity of symptoms exists among individuals, suggesting that additional genetic and environmental factors modify disease pathogenesis and clinical manifestation (Ryman et al., 2014). Nonmendelian, or non-familial, EOAD is classified by the aggressive onset of cognitive symptoms before the age of 65; however, individuals with this form of AD develop symptoms sporadically and have inconsistent inheritance patterns (Joshi et al., 2012; Reitz et al., 2020).

LOAD is the most common form of AD, occurring in individuals 65 years and older, with highly variable presentation of symptoms, which also vary in severity. Age is the greatest risk factor for LOAD, but research suggests that there are also additional causal genetic and environmental factors. According to twin and family studies, LOAD is approximately 58% to 79% heritable and gene variants in APOE and TREM2 are established LOAD risk factors (Belloy et al., 2019; Corder et al., 1993; Gatz et al., 2006; Pedersen et al., 2004; Räihä et al., 1996; Roses, 1996; Strittmatter et al., 1993a; Strittmatter et al., 1993b). To date, more than 30 genetic risk variants and susceptibility loci have been identified by genome-wide association studies (GWAS) or phenomewide association studies (PheWAS), including CLU, BIN1, ABCA7, and SORL1 (Andrews et al., 2020; Backman et al., 2021; Bellenguez et al., 2020; Kunkle et al., 2019; Lambert et al., 2013; Pimenova et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2016b; Wightman et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2019). Individually, each AD-associated locus or gene variant has a relatively small effect on the likelihood of developing AD. AD risk increases with each genetic variant inherited, which overall has an additive effect on AD severity; this is referred to as polygenic risk. The compilation of genes identified using GWAS allows for the assignment of polygenetic risk scores which can aid in predicting risk or disease progression; however, additional factors such as sex and environment also need to be taken into consideration to gain a comprehensive understanding of the disease and how it manifests in individuals (Dunn et al., 2019).

Recent studies have begun to reprioritize GWAS hits by integrating multiscale data collected from relevant brain regions of interest (e.g. hippocampus) to generate network-based functional prediction methods and gene-related imaging biomarkers (e.g. brain atrophy) (Elliott et al., 2018; Knutson et al., 2020; Meng et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2010; Wachinger et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2017). Additionally, GWAS methods and imaging data have been aggregated to identify loci associated with image-derived phenotypes (Cruchaga et al., 2013; Elsheikh et al., 2020; Furney et al., 2011; Grasby et al., 2020; Hofer et al., 2020; Li et al., 2017; Matoba and Stein, 2021; Meda et al., 2012; Nativio et al., 2020; Ramanan et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2021). Ultimately, this method of combining omics and imaging data to link changes in gene expression, the biological pathways associated with those genes, and functional and structural changes in the brain, may allow researchers to further assess both EOAD and LOAD and potentially narrow down these disease classifications in subtypes.

1.2. Sex differences

Females have a higher prevalence of AD and experience more severe cognitive and noncognitive symptoms than men (2019 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures, 2019). Previously, this unequal distribution of cases was attributed to the longer average lifespan of females, but in recent years more specific evidence linking sex and AD progression has been identified (Mielke et al., 2014). Among those with FAD, global amyloid load and greater tau deposition in the frontal, inferior parietal, and temporal lobes was higher in females (Groh et al., 2020; Oveisgharan et al., 2018). Interestingly, sex differences in AD development varies based on pathology load. Both males and females with low pathology load have similar risks of developing AD, whereas in individuals with moderate to high levels of pathology, disease risk is greater in females (Barnes et al., 2005). Females diagnosed with AD also experience a faster progression of hippocampal atrophy compared to males

(Ardekani et al., 2016). With increased numbers of study participants to enhance statistical power, as well as computational resources and large collaborative research teams, sex-stratified GWAS have led to identification of sex-specific genetic factors that drive pathology and AD progression (Deming et al., 2018; Nazarian et al., 2019; Prokopenko et al., 2020). Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) mapping was performed on putative sex-specific GWAS loci to identify candidate genes that were associated with a range of AD markers for each sex. Using this method, a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of the candidate locus MAPT was positively associated with NFT specifically in males (Dumitrescu et al., 2019). Until recently, sex was typically controlled or adjusted for as a demographic factor in most human studies, but as experiments continue to highlight the importance of sex-specific differences in AD risk and development, it is apparent that sex needs to be more thoroughly studied in a controlled manner, while taking environmental exposures into consideration, via longitudinal investigations.

1.3. Environmental control

The relationship between AD and environmental factors has increasingly become a research topic of interest as correlations and comorbidities between AD and modifiable behaviors have been uncovered. Strikingly, recent meta-analyses have found that up to 40% of dementia and AD cases may be attributed to controllable environmental factors throughout a person's life (Barnes and Yaffe, 2011; Livingston et al., 2020; Livingston et al., 2017). Links between the interrelated health factors or AD "exposomes" including diet, exercise, chronic stress, other environmental exposures, and AD development have been acknowledged (Biessels et al., 2006; Cui et al., 2018; De la Rosa et al., 2020; Finch and Kulminski, 2019; McGrattan et al., 2019; Wild, 2012; Yang and Song, 2013). Environmental considerations also include investigating epigenetics and gene by environment (GxE) interactions by implementing GWAS to better understand genetic regulators of environmental effects and provide novel insights and targets for precision medicine solutions (Dhana et al., 2020; Eid et al., 2019; Hohman and Kaczorowski, 2020). The list of modifiable environmental factors that potentially impact AD progression continues to increase as research techniques and technology evolve to better survey large populations. Each of these factors and many others play a synergistic role and likely interact with genes to modify expression resulting in a certain phenotype. These factors and their effects are conditional in their role in AD development and progression (Chouliaras et al., 2010). For instance, aspects of weight control have been subjected to evaluation as certain diets and exercise regimes have proven to be beneficial to long term health and reduced disease incidence in later life. Reduced weight is often seen as a biomarker for AD that can occur even a decade before the onset of cognitive symptoms (Barrett-Connor et al., 1996; Buchman et al., 2005; Gillette-Guyonnet et al., 2000; Johnson et al., 2006; Wolf-Klein et al., 1992). When relating body mass index (BMI) and polygenetic risk scores calculated using all SNPs from a recent AD GWAS in humans, lower BMI and higher polygenic risk score significantly predicted conversion to AD (Moody et al., 2021). Conversely, early and mid-life increased weight and obesity, including that linked to high-fat/ high sugar Western diet consumption is associated with increased risk of AD and dementia (Naderali et al., 2009; Profenno et al., 2010; Tabassum et al., 2020). Overall, studying environmental effects on AD in human populations is extremely challenging due to lack of experimental control and wide amount of environmental variation humans are exposed to. This is further exacerbated because most studies rely on participant selfreporting and these results are often inaccurate and inconsistent (Cherbuin and Anstey, 2012; Otaegui-Arrazola et al., 2014; Rueda et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2014; Yusufov et al., 2017). When these inconsistencies are paired with the overwhelming amount of genetic diversity among humans, attempts to elucidate GxE interactions that influence AD are experimentally difficult.

1.4. Opportunity to complete longitudinal studies

While sex and environmental factors contribute to the development and progression of AD, age is the greatest non-modifiable risk factor and the primary driver of developing AD. Disease risk dramatically increases after 60 years of age, but AD is not a normal aspect of aging, and not all individuals that exhibit hallmark AD pathology or symptoms develop AD (Hebert et al., 2013; Sonnen et al., 2011; Toepper, 2017). The definition of AD stages has evolved and become more dynamic as researchers have determined that disease development varies between individuals. Initially, the stages of AD were defined at the autopsy of individuals that showed clinical signs of AD, like severe memory impairment, in life. Postmortem analysis of AD stages were ultimately based on the regional distribution, type, and density of brain pathology (Braak and Braak, 1991). Recently, preclinical and presymptomatic stages of AD were identified based on pathology in the post-mortem analysis of brains of cognitively unimpaired people. This suggests that disease onset can be defined differently depending on the evaluation of brain pathology versus clinical symptoms (Dubois et al., 2016; Hubbard et al., 1990; Sandberg et al., 2001; Villemagne et al., 2011). The discovery that AD-related changes in the brain and pathology accumulation can begin potentially decades before the onset of clinical symptoms revealed potential confounds in previous AD cross-sectional studies that only analyzed individuals with MCI and AD versus "cognitively healthy control" subjects, as their control groups could have included presymptomatic individuals with AD pathology (Aisen et al., 2017; Bennett et al., 2006; Driscoll and Troncoso, 2011; O'Brien et al., 2009; Price et al., 2009). In addition to identifying asymptomatic and prodromal phases of AD, recognition of hallmark AD pathology in cognitively intact individuals has also led to the classification of resilience and susceptibility to AD-related decline (Aiello Bowles et al., 2019; Driscoll and Troncoso, 2011; Dumitrescu et al., 2020; Hampel et al., 2019a; Hohman et al., 2016; Negash et al., 2013; Neuner et al., 2017b; Stern et al., 2020; Walker and Herskowitz, 2020). Longitudinal efforts to identify biomarkers and endophenotypes that allow for refined stage assessment are more crucial than ever as preclinical stages at which hallmark symptoms are not detectable may be an opportune period to engage in disease slowing or prevention measures. Additionally, understanding what factors shield resilient individuals versus those that cause others to be severely susceptible to AD development may provide key insight for treatment advancement (Seto et al., 2021). Longitudinal studies allow for the evaluation of AD as a continuum, but most of these studies only follow up with patients for an average of 1-2.5 years with limited repeated measures (Lawrence et al., 2017). Furthermore, only a few longitudinal human studies and designated aging cohorts such as the Religious Order Study, Mount Sinai Brain Bank study, or Rush Memory and Aging Project have the capacity to comprehensively assess disease progression (Bennett et al., 2018; De Jager et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). Current human biomarkers measured longitudinally lack the sensitivity to identify early disease stages and disease subtypes (Cummings, 2019). Ultimately, there is a need for model systems to better investigate the early stages of AD, AD causation, and to take a higher resolution look at changes in brain structure that occur with age and disease progression, especially during the stage when AD is clinically silent, and no overt symptoms are detected.

1.5. The call for mouse models of AD

Mouse models of AD offer the opportunity to study the disease longitudinally and in a more controlled manner to gain a better understanding how it manifests and progresses in humans. Mouse models are particularly advantageous because they can provide replicable genomes in controlled environments across relatively short lifespans, which can be implemented to address gaps in human research. Although many models are pathology-centric, there are currently over 205 existing AD mouse models that vary in their presentation of plaques, tangles, neuronal loss, gliosis, and synaptic dysfunction (Research Models: Alzheimer's Disease, 2021). These models mostly consist of transgenic, knock-in (KI), or out (KO) modifications of single genes or a combination of genes associated with human AD, including *APP*, *PSEN1/2*, *APOE*, *Trem2*, *BACE1*, *BACE2*, *MAPT* and other GWAS-identified genes on various background strains (Drummond and Wisniewski, 2017). These models display AD-related phenotypes that can be accurately assessed and associated with disease progression (Götz et al., 2018; Granic et al., 2010; Keene et al., 2016; Romberg et al., 2013).

Recapitulating human AD (particularly LOAD) in mouse models has proven difficult; therefore, choosing the appropriate AD model mouse population is crucial since many models selectively display different aspects of the disease and mouse findings have not translated well to humans (Cao et al., 2018; Cummings et al., 2014; Franco and Cedazo-Minguez, 2014; Jankowsky and Zheng, 2017; King, 2018). A reason for this lack of translatability is that most traditional mouse models of AD are made using genetically identical mice and lack the genetic diversity present in humans (Moore et al., 2020; Onos et al., 2016). While traditional mouse models, which were needed, timely, and useful for their era, were a great starting point for using model systems to study AD, it is now apparent that they are not the most translationally relevant models available and that genetic diversity is crucial for both the development of models and AD mouse research moving forward.

1.6. Translatable mouse models

To combat the limitations of traditional mouse models, mouse models with diverse genetic backgrounds have recently been generated and utilized to study AD in a more translational manner (Neff, 2019; Neuner et al., 2019a; Neuner et al., 2017a; Neuner et al., 2020; O'Connell et al., 2019; Onos et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2021). For example, genetic diversity can be added to standard AD mouse models with the incorporation of BXD recombinant inbred strains. The BXD panel is the product of independent advanced intercrosses between C57BL/6 J (B6) and DBA/2 J (D2) progenitor strains (Peirce et al., 2004). Application of the BXD panel is conducive to systems biology approaches, as the panel has a genetically defined diverse background that can be easily manipulated in a reproducible manner. The BXD family segregates at over five million common genetic variants and more than 140 strains are currently available (Wang et al., 2016b). These additional BXD strains offer greater mapping power and the ability to refine mapping precision (Ashbrook et al., 2021). The BXD population has been highly characterized in a variety of studies, creating a wealth of phenotyping and omics data (Studies Involving BXD RI Panel, 2021). The BXD population was demonstrated to be a valuable resource for creating the first mouse model that better recapitulates the complex heterogeneity of genetic, molecular and cognitive features of human cognitive aging and AD (Neuner et al., 2016; Neuner et al., 2019a; Neuner et al., 2017a). The AD-BXD population was generated by crossing the commonly used B6-5XFAD AD mouse model with strains from the BXD panel. The AD-BXD panel offers all of the advantages of the BXD population in an AD mouse model, and, importantly, this model mouse panel exhibits a range in age at onset and variation in AD symptom severity that is comparable to LOAD in human populations (Neuner et al., 2019b; Neuner et al., 2017a; Ryman et al., 2014). This panel also exhibits a high degree of genetic and transcriptomic overlap with human LOAD (Heuer et al., 2020; Lambert et al., 2013; Neuner et al., 2019a; Neuner et al., 2017a; Wan et al., 2020). Ultimately, genetically diverse panels like the AD-BXD that recapitulate multiple facets of AD offer the scientific community a more applicable model system to study the genetic mechanisms that modify the onset and progression of AD across a population.

1.7. Use of systems biology to better understand the complexity of AD

Following the advent of the amyloid beta cascade hypothesis as a

A. Components of Systems Biology



Fig. 1. Analyzing the Interacting Components of System Biology in the Study of Alzheimer's Disease (A) The study of systems biology and the discovery of genotype to phenotype relationships involves the interaction of multiple levels: 1. biological systems, 2. environmental perturbations, 3. biological scales, and 4. clinical traits. (B) Because AD is a complex disease, modeling of biological networks is required to test and discover the relationship between factors and mechanisms. Combinations of single or multiple factors from each biological and environmental scale (A:1–4) should be included in models to determine the correlation between data types and resulting clinical outcomes. (B) shows a hypothetical model of how the factors from (A) could interact. Each factor can impact others with varying weights of influence indicated by the width of the arrows. (Created with BioRender.com).

proposed cause of AD, numerous clinical trials targeted the reduction and prevention of amyloid plaques in an attempt to lessen the symptoms and progression of AD (Hardy and Selkoe, 2002; Hardy and Higgins, 1992; Lemere and Masliah, 2010; Reitz, 2012; Schneider et al., 2014). None of these trials successfully alleviated pathology progression, neurodegeneration, or major long-term symptoms, therefore forcing the research community to acknowledge the immense complexity of AD (2020 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures, 2020; Cao et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2017; Langley, 2014). Since this realization, AD researchers more commonly utilize systems biology approaches to better understand interactions between various systems in the human body and how they impact, and are impacted by, AD (Alberghina and Colangelo, 2006; Castrillo et al., 2018; Lista et al., 2016; Rosario et al., 2020). The fact that we observe similar disease phenotypes despite differences in genetic modulators (ex: between FAD and LOAD) suggests that the different causes of disease are not unrelated but are rather likely due to dysregulation of similar biological networks. Systems biology is a field of study built on the organization of sub fields responsible for complex behaviors and outcomes, including identifying the links between genes and behavior according to the net interactions of varying components (Liu, 2005). Modern systems biology involves interdisciplinary, datadriven approaches with a greater focus on untangling complex interactions between genetic, epigenetic, physiological, and environmental factors at multiple system levels within an organism. Recent advancements in biotechnology have made this approach more feasible and enable genome-wide and multi-omics studies to be conducted with multiple disease-mediated factors (Heuer et al., 2020; Lam et al., 2020). Systems biology puts a greater emphasis on connection, integration and modularity of genes and pathways rather than single causal gene predictions. This approach is crucial for the study of complex diseases like AD because their cures require multifaceted treatments tested in diverse and translatable models. Development of such a treatment requires the implementation and integration of transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, genomics, epigenomics, lipidomics, and/or microbiomics to gain a wholistic understanding of complex systems across representative populations (Fig. 1) (Ahn et al., 2006; Ehrenberg et al.,

Table 1

Imaging modality	Brain measurement	Measured changes with relation to AD	Citations
F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET	 FDG compound uptake from neurons in the brain is analogous to glucose uptake. Glucose is the main energy substrate of the brain Glucose uptake and brain metabolism is related to neuronal and synaptic function 	 Glucose hypometabolism is commonly observed in the parietotemporal association cortices, posterior cingulate cortex, and the precuneus bilateral temporoparietal. Hypometabolism highly correlates with the pathological diagnosis of AD 	(Brown et al., 2014; De Santi et al., 2001; Foster et al., 1983; Foster et al., 2007; Hoffman et al., 2000; Marcus et al., 2014; Mosconi, 2005; Silverman et al., 2001)
Amyloid PET	- Tracer uptake used to identify $A\beta$ plaque accumulation	 Identify the presence, location, and spread of amyloid throughout the brain Higher tracer retention found in the frontal cortex parietal cortex, corpus striatum, temporal, and occipital cortex Not conclusive as a diagnostic factor since amyloid accumulation can greatly vary among those with and without AD 	(Agdeppa et al., 2001; Cselényi et al., 2012; Kudo et al., 2007; Landau et al., 2014; Ossenkoppele et al., 2012; Rinne et al., 2012; Rowe et al., 2008; Verhoeff et al., 2004; Wong et al., 2010)
Tau PET	 <i>In vivo</i> tracers used to identify tau deposition Tracers bind to aggregated intracellular and extracellular neurofibrillary tangles 	 Tracer retention is higher in those with AD Accumulation commonly found to spread from inner regions like the medial temporal lobe outward towards the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, and fusiform gyrus and lastly into the neocortex indicative of disease progression Tracer binding is negatively correlated with cornitive performance 	(Brier et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2016; Jack Jr. et al., 2018; Leuzy et al., 2019; Maass et al., 2017; Mueller et al., 2020; Scholl et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016a)
Synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2A (SV2A) PET	 SV2A is ubiquitously expressed in essentially all presynaptic vesicles Imaging of radioligand tracers to detect SV2A Detection is used as a biomarker for synaptic density 	 Reduced tracer uptake indicates synapse loss in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex, as well as the parahippocampal cortex, amygdala, lateral temporal cortex, prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex (PCC)/precuneus, lateral parietal cortex, and pericentral cortex Negative relationship between global amyloid deposition and SV2A binding Positive correlation of hippocampal SV2A binding associated with episodic memory 	(Bastin et al., 2020; Cai et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2018b; Finnema et al., 2018; Mecca et al., 2020; O'Dell et al., 2021)
Translocator Protein-18 kDa (TSPO) PET	 TSPO is a transmembrane domain protein expressed on the outer mitochondrial membrane of microglia Tracer binding is a measure of microglial density and neuroinflammation TPSO expression is upregulated in activated microglia and astrocytes 	 Increased TSPO binding is found in regions with high pathology including the hippocampus, striatum, lateral temporal, parietal, anterior cingulate cortex, and medial prefrontal cortex Increased TSPO tracer binding is associated with greater glial activation and cognitive impairment 	(Edison et al., 2008; Kreisl et al., 2016; Kreisl et al., 2013; Lagarde et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2016; Tournier et al., 2020; Yasuno et al., 2008)
Structural MRI	 Measurement of gray and white matter integrity Regional volumes assess macrostructural atrophy Identify patterns of neurodegeneration 	 Increased atrophy associated with greater risk of converting to Alzheimer's disease (AD) in individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) Hippocampal and medial temporal lobe volume are related to cognitive score as diagnostic factors 	(Cardenas et al., 2011; Hua et al., 2008; Plant et al., 2010; Ridha et al., 2008; Spulber et al., 2013; Vemuri and Jack, 2010)
Functional MRI- resting state or tasks dependent	 Synaptic activity evaluated by changed in blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) MR signal Measurement of functional integrity of brain networks Regional functional connectivity can be assessed at rest or a during relevant cognitive tasks 	 Detection of brain regions associated with memory task performance and how connections change with age and disease status Hypoactivity is noted in some areas while hyperactivity is seen in others; hyperactivity might represent a compensatory mechanism in the setting of early AD pathology Hyperactivity in regions such as the hippocampus or medial temporal lobe are viewed as a biomarker for conversion from MCI to AD 	(Bookheimer et al., 2000; Dickerson and Sperling, 2008; Logothetis et al., 2001; Machulda et al., 2003; Ogawa et al., 1990; Rombouts et al., 2000; Sperling et al., 2010; Vemuri et al., 2012)
Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)	 Derive voxel-based measures of the movement of water molecules in the brain to assess tracts and predict structural connectivity Measurement of white matter integrity and microstructural damage Common measures: fractional anisotropy (FA): degree of directionality of water diffusion, mean diffusivity (MD): mean water diffusion rate, axial diffusivity (AxD): rate of water diffusion along the longitudinal axis, radial diffusivity (RD): rate of diffusion along the perpendicular axis, free water (FW) FA and MD provide information about changes to barriers to diffusion Increased AxD is associated with axonal 	 AD is associated with reduced white matter integrity (low FA, high MD, AxD, and RD) Increased free water measure is associated with AD-related neuroinflammation Decreased FA and increased RD is commonly seen in white matter structures (corpus cal- losum, anterior commissure, fimbria, and in- ternal capsule) 	(Alexander et al., 2007; Colon-Perez et al., 2019; Febo et al., 2020; Harrison et al., 2020; Mayo et al., 2019; Ofori et al., 2019; Ouyang et al., 2015; Sahara et al., 2014)

to demyelination

2003; Hiesinger and Hassan, 2005; Kirschner, 2005; Kitano, 2002b; Liu, 2005; Weston and Hood, 2004). Ultimately, the harmonization of multiple data types across various body systems will provide a better method of surveying the many components involved in the development and progression of AD.

Expanding beyond correlational analyses, systems biology has also benefitted from the advent of causal inference methods in establishing links between genotype and phenotypes, and all systems in between (Haas et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2020). Adopting this approach to studying AD, national and international human and mouse focused consortia were launched to integrate data types to gain a better understanding of the brain and the changes that occur in response to onset of AD. Initiatives by The Alzheimer's Association, Human Brain Project, and Foundation for the National Institutes of Health Biomarkers Consortium have all taken steps to implement systems biology approaches to studying AD. The National Institute on Aging's AD Translational Research also established programs including Accelerating Medicines Partnership- AD (AMP-AD), Molecular Mechanisms of the Vascular Etiology of Alzheimer's Disease (M2OVE-AD), Translational Center for Model Development and Evaluation for Late Onset Alzheimer's Disease (MODEL-AD), Cognitive Resilience to Alzheimer's Disease (Resilience-AD), and Neuropsychiatric Symptoms in Alzheimer's Disease (Psych-AD), which are dedicated to uncovering the multifaceted roots of AD. Furthermore, there are initiatives dedicated to including specific methods such as the Alzheimer's Disease.

2. AD diagnosis: from the lab to the clinic

2.1. Imaging modalities to assess AD

With advancements in technology, imaging modalities have recently become a highly effective method for identifying and monitoring ageand AD-related structural and functional changes in the brain. Modern forms of microscopy implemented in mouse models allows for better spatial and temporal resolution images than ever before. Cross sectional whole 2D and 3D brain mapping at different disease stages and ages can now be used to identify regional vulnerability to pathology or changes in specific cell types, especially in deeper brain regions difficult to access in vivo (Chen et al., 2018a; Gail Canter et al., 2019; Lichtenegger et al., 2018; Munoz-Castaneda et al., 2021; Whitesell et al., 2019). These techniques can also be applied in transgenic mice or those injected with specific tracers, such as those used to label active neurons during a memory task, to establish connections between regional activation and behavior (Roy et al., 2019; Vetere et al., 2017). While the application of imaging modalities can be readily applied in mouse models, currently these methods have been most thoroughly investigated in humans.

Improvements in in vivo imaging resolution and accessibility now allow for human AD diagnoses prior to pathology and atrophy detection at death. The most commonly utilized methods include the minimallyinvasive magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET), which give researchers and medical teams a better look at the active brain to then make a diagnosis and assess disease state (Marcus et al., 2014; Márquez and Yassa, 2019; Scheltens, 2009; Smith, 2002). Various imaging approaches (outlined in Table 1) allow for the detection of neural connectivity deficits, the presence and progression of pathology, tissue atrophy, and even metabolic measures. These measures can then aid in the discovery of brain regions vulnerable to specific measures collected during each imaging processes (Johnson et al., 2012; Pini et al., 2016; Reiman and Jagust, 2012; Teipel et al., 2015; Young et al., 2020). Since many features of AD can be detected non-invasively, imaging can be readily used to increases the accuracy of clinical assessments and monitored longitudinally (Jack et al., 2013; Jack Jr. et al., 2010; Jagust et al., 2006; Karow et al., 2010; Oishi et al., 2011; Ota et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2011). Identification of predictive AD biomarkers and patterns in structural and functional changes can be used as endophenotypes to relate to other aspects of systems biology to address the

Table 2

Tools for multi-omics integration, viewing, and analysis.Can Table 2 and 3 be widen and displayed as one data frame like Table 1 is formatted in the PDF? Each table is currently split in the proof.

Tools to view and/or	Purpose of tool	Platform	Citation
analyze multi-omics datasets			
Multi-Omics Factor Analysis (MOFA)	 Statistical method for integrating multiple modalities of omics data in an unsupervised fashion MOFA disentangles to what extent each factor is unique to a single data modality or is manifested in multiple modalities 	Python (mofapy2) and R (MOFA2)	(Argelaguet et al., 2018)
MixOmics	Classify or discriminate sample groups, to identify the most discriminant subset of biological features, and to predict the class of new samples	R Package	(Rohart et al., 2017b)
MixOmics: Multivariate INTegrative method (MINT)	 Integrates independent data sets while simultaneously, accounting for unwanted study variation, classifying samples, and identifying key discriminant variables 	R package	(Rohart et al., 2017a)
MIXOMICS: Data Integration Analysis for Biomarker discovery using Latent cOmponents (DIABLO)	 A multi-omics method that simultaneously identifies key omics variables (mRNA, miRNA, CpGs, pro- teins, metabolites etc.) during the integration process and discrimi- nates phenotypic groups DIABLO maximizes the common or correlated information between multiple omics datasets 	к раскаде	(Singn et al., 2019)
Similarity network fusion (SNF)	 Similarity network fusion (SNF) constructs networks of samples for each available data type and then efficiently fusing these into one network that represents the full spectrum of underlying data Uses similarity networks of samples as a basis for integration 	R and Matlab Code	(Wang et al., 2014)
Paintomics	 Integrative visualization of multiple omic datasets 	Web-based	(Hernandez- de-Diego et al., 2018)
30mics	 onto KEGG pathways Visualize and rapidly integrate multiple human inter- or intra- transcriptomic, prote- omic, and metab- olomic data by combining correlation networking, coex- pression, phenotyping, 	Web-based	(Kuo et al., 2013)

Purpose of tool

to three omics datasets. Through the primary exploration of a dataset, the inference of biological networks and the extraction of multi-omics associated features, the application provides a readyto-use analysis pipeline to interactively explore sources of variability and variables of interest in a given biological dataset, as well as associations between multiomics features in multi-scale studies

Explores omics-

specific learning and

tion learning for effective multi-omics data classification Supervised multiomics integrative method that utilizes graph convolution networks for omics

cross-omics correla-

data learning to

prediction on new samples

expression network

analysis, deep learning

techniques, feature se-

lection, Cox proportional hazard model. integrative analysis, and module-level

enrichment analysis

omics clustering

Can be applied to

without performing

perform integrative

providing a unified, web-based interface

for obtaining genomic

omics analyses by

partial datasets

data imputation

· Enables users to

altogether • Algorithm for multi-

· Fuses the gene co-

perform effective class

Python

Package

Python

Package

R Package

Web-based

(Wang et al.,

2021)

(Huang

et al., 2019)

(Rappoport

and Shamir,

2019)

(Boekel

et al., 2015)

Table 2 (continued) Tools to view and/or

analyze multi-omics datasets

IIVF

MiBiOmics

			Table 2 (continued)		
urpose of tool	Platform	Citation	Tools to view and/or analyze multi-omics datasets	Purpose	
pathway enrichment, and GO (Gene Ontology) enrichment analysis methods Quantifies the amount of joint variation between data types, reduces the dimensionality of the data, and provides new directions for the visual exploration of joint and individual structure	R Package	(Lock et al., 2013)	Argonaut	data a comp analy • Code- creati intera hostir • Carrie statist the da reseat can et visual popul	
Enables the	Web-based	(Zoppi et al.,		modif	
exploration, integration analysis		2021)		strear	
and visualization of up			Alzheimer's Disease	 Provi 	
			11		

Multi-Omics Graph cOnvolutional NETworks (MOGONET)

Survival Analysis Learning with Multi-Omics Neural Networks (SALMON)

NEMO (NEighborhood based Multi-Omics clustering)

Galaxy

Neurobiology of Disease 161 (2021) 105558

Tools to view and/or analyze multi-omics datasets	Purpose of tool	Platform	Citation
Argonaut	 data and applying computational tools to analyze the data Code-free platform for creating customizable, interactive data- hosting websites Carries out real-time statistical analyses of the data. Collaborating researchers worldwide can explore the results, visualized through popular plots, and modify them to streamline data interpretation 	Web-based	(Brademan et al., 2020)
Alzheimer's Disease Alternative Splicing-Viewer (ADAS)	Provides researchers with the ability to comprehensively investigate and visualize multi-omics data from multiple brain regions of AD patients	Web-based	(Han et al., 2021)
Genome-wide Positioning Systems platform for Alzheimer's Drug Discovery (AlzGPS)	 A comprehensive systems biology tool to enable searching, visualizing, and analyzing multi-omics, various types of het- erogeneous biological networks, and clinical databases for target identification and development of effec- tive prevention and treatment for AD. 	Web-based	(Zhou et al. 2021)
iological mechanist ging and omics da euroimaging-omics enetic, biological, a evelop methods for evelopment with e	ms driving AD progress ta collected with syste t, is an emerging field and phenotypic clusters t detecting, treating, or early intervention (Har	sion. This int ems biology dedicated to s which can t possibly pre- npel et al., 2	egration of i approaches, characteriz hen be used venting dise 2021; Mrcc

niardi et al., 2015). Many neuroimaging-omics studies employ machine learning frameworks to multi-modal data to predict potential AD risk in MCI and pre-symptomatic patients (Basaia et al., 2019; Khanna et al., 2018; Scelsi et al., 2018). Neuroimaging-omics has the potential to untangle genetic mutations, gene expression patterns, and protein-protein interactions and determine how they are linked to large-scale structural and functional network deficits and disease manifestation.

2.2. Technology's contribution to enhancing the imaging field and AD experiments

Disease characterization and identification of AD biomarkers with imaging analyses have significantly progressed due with advances in modern technology. Methods to accommodate the large datasets required to power systems biology experiments are being streamlined to reduce the significant subjectivity and time commitment previously required to obtain and interpret results from imaging studies. Using automated pipelines that incorporate standard brain atlases, like the Allen Brain Atlas Common Coordinate Frame, comprehensive connectivity maps are being developed to better understand mammalian brain circuitry (Denk et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2020). The Mouse Brain

Architecture Project, Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas project, and Mouse Connectome Project each have taken on the challenge of systematically mapping the spatial profiles and the connectivity of neuronal populations throughout the brain (Bohland et al., 2009; Furth et al., 2018; Helmstaedter and Mitra, 2012; Mitra, 2014; Oh et al., 2014; Osten and Margrie, 2013). Through these investigations and others, a myriad of image analysis pipelines been created to investigate links between regional brain activity, gene expression, and behavior (Feng et al., 2015; Freeman et al., 2014; Ji et al., 2014; Ng et al., 2009; Renier et al., 2016). Computational biologists are also creating these workflows to increase reproducibility, make machine learning and automated imaging processing methods more accessible to biologists, and allow highthroughput processing across the brain. Semi-automatic registration methods with all parameters shared with the scientific community encourage non-experts to analyze high resolution MRI, DTI, histology, and two-photon tomography results (Anderson et al., 2019; Budin et al., 2013; Esteban et al., 2019; Furth et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020; Niedworok et al., 2016; Pagani et al., 2016; Pallast et al., 2019; von Chamier et al., 2021; Winnubst et al., 2019; Yates et al., 2019). The recent combination of neuroimaging, computer-aided diagnosis techniques, and machine learning methods (e.g. linear discriminant, logistic regression, random forest, and neural networks analyses) have allowed researchers and clinicians alike to establish data-driven AD classification standards (Basheera and Sai Ram, 2019; Dimitriadis et al., 2018; Leandrou et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019; Wen et al., 2020). Integrating multi-omics data is also increasingly more feasible and approachable for biologists with the development and improvement of omics technologies aimed at aiding the combination and analysis of multiple data types (outlined in Table 2). The availability and application of current tools have also been thoroughly reviewed (Adil et al., 2021; Graw et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2017; Krassowski et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2020; Misra et al., 2018; Nicora et al., 2020; Subramanian et al., 2020; Worheide et al., 2021). One noted drawback of many of the mentioned tools in these reviews is that they do not necessarily have the capacity to incorporate imaging or behavioral phenotyping data in addition to the varying omics data.

Moreover, systematic machine-learning assisted approaches to imaging are no longer exclusively restricted to post-processing analyses but also to assist in experimental parameter design to enhance microscopy techniques and output. This field of "smart microscopy" integrates feedback from the microscope to adjust computer assisted imaging algorithms to optimize sample coverage, extend the field of view, or improve spatial resolution and signal strength (Durand et al., 2018; He and Huisken, 2020; Mahecic et al., 2020; Royer et al., 2016). Researchers are now equipped with the ability to map regional behaviorinduced brain activity, which can prove to be highly valuable in determining which regions, cell types, and circuits are most heavily affected by AD and in response to certain tasks assessing clinical symptoms.

3. Incorporating imaging outcomes in mouse systems biology studies to predict cognitive outcomes and AD progression

Imaging approaches have proven to be an invaluable resource to the field of AD research in terms of assessing changes in neuroanatomy and neural connections; however, attempts to link imaging measures and multi-omics data to phenotypic disruptions associated with AD in model systems remain scarce. Furthermore, many of these studies are not sufficiently powered with the number of mice needed for the application of systems biology approaches. Although current approaches for assessing the brain using imaging methods do not allow for the discovery of the mechanisms and interacting relationships driving these changes, efforts to link imaging measures to cognitive outcomes are underway. Imaging modalities used to visually assess disease progression provides researchers with an intermediate to model the relationship between structure and function in model mice.

Depending on the study of interest, imaging data is independently compared to or directly correlated with cognitive functioning within cohorts of model mice to assess functional or connectivity properties in relation to level of AD-related decline. MRI studies using a variety of model mouse lines have described changes in regional neuronal activity, differences in volume, and structural integrity to identify vulnerable brain regions. For example, manganese enhanced MRI (MEMRI) can be used to map complex brain circuits involved in spatial memory. Decrease in MEMRI signal after Morris water maze (MWM) testing corroborates the reduced neuronal activity in memory circuits typically seen in old AD mice (Badea et al., 2019). Similarly, identification of regional atrophy in relation to behavioral outcomes can also be explored. Among several types of AD model populations, atrophy at the whole brain level, as well as memory associated areas like the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, amygdala, and temporal association cortex has been observed in mice with reduced spatial memory (Liang et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2016). Depending on the mouse line used, these changes in brain structure and connectivity can be observed as early as 2 months of age, preceding the onset of amyloid deposition and severe cognitive decline (Badea et al., 2010; Falangola et al., 2007). Studies that validate changes in MRI volume with histology provide further evidence that MRI outcomes that identify regional atrophy correspond to reductions in neuron counts and poor spatial memory performance (Badea et al., 2019). However, currently there is a lack of consensus regarding regional atrophy or enlargement in mice and how this difference relates to reduced cognitive performance on memory tasks and certain ages (Badhwar et al., 2013; Maheswaran et al., 2009).

Brain metabolism has also been related to cognitive performance using fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET. Correlation analyses have showed that hippocampal standardized uptake values were significantly correlated with MWM parameters at the symptomatic-AD stage (Li et al., 2016). Aged Tg4-42 transgenic animals with compromised spatial memory also display neuron loss, regional volume decreases, and hypometabolism - as measured by reduced tracer uptake, in the hippocampus, forebrain, hypothalamus, amygdala and midbrain (Bouter et al., 2018). Likewise, neuroinflammatory response supported by histology shows significant effects of age and genotype on translocator protein (TPSO) tracer uptake in the hippocampus and cortex exist in $APP_{swe} \times PS1_{\Delta e9}$ transgenic mice, but their working memory performance greatly varied with age (Chaney et al., 2018). Serial PET measures of TSPO and amyloid with terminal spatial memory assessment in PS2APP model mice, followed by immunohistochemical analyses of microglia, amyloid, and synaptic density revealed that high microglial activation at the onset of amyloidosis (8 m of age) predicts better cognitive performance in PS2APP mice at follow-up 5 months later (13 m of age), when amyloid pathology is extensive. Highest TSPO PET signal was found in areas associated with spatial learning and negatively correlated with Iba1 immunostaining (Focke et al., 2019). Conducting multi-modal analyses help in defining a more precise relationship between cognitive outcomes and morphological changes of the brain. Moreover, in vivo 2-photon calcium imaging evaluation of APP23xPS45 mice has shown neuronal hyperactivity near A^β plaques. With this information, a correlation was observed between the formation of amyloid plaques, the appearance of hyperactive neurons, and the age-related impairment of the spatial learning capability (Busche et al., 2008). Further studies investigating neuronal hyperactivity revealed that the function of hippocampal neurons is altered long before that of cortical neurons (Busche et al., 2012).

Moving beyond comparisons between imaging measures and behavior, the field is turning towards probing neuroimaging-omics interactions in mice (Liu and Liu, 2011). For example, genetic mapping of phenotypes derived from imaging data using the BXD panel revealed significant quantitative trait loci associated with traits such as hippocampal volume (Ashbrook et al., 2014). Cellular and pathology loads calculated using brain-wide immunohistochemistry can also be integrated with bulk RNA sequencing data to evaluate associations between regional cell counts, gene expression, and biological, molecular, or cellular pathways (Gurdon et al., 2020). Moreover, spatial



Fig. 2. Utility of animal models and systems biology approaches for precision medicine solutions (A) Using a translationally relevant and diverse model mouse population, (B) a vast amount of longitudinal imaging and omics data can and has been collected to develop predictive networks and (C) identify drivers of resilience. (D) These identified modulators can guide the classification of AD subtypes. Subtypes reflect a pattern or prevalence of the collected imaging and omics endophenotypes measures. Single or groups of mouse strains can then be classified into these subtypes based on the display of similar traits, and if available, compared to established human subtypes. Mice sorted into these subtypes can then directly enter the precision medicine discovery drug cycle. (E) We recently tested AD-BXD strains against established human AD subtypes to define human relevant subtypes from hippocampal RNAseq data. (F) Mouse subtypes that appropriately align with human subtypes will then proceed through the pipeline. In vitro models that recapitulate the cellular and molecular profiles of each subtype can be create and implemented to conduct compound screens. Measures of neurodegeneration, synapse number and type, axonal degeneration, and neuron excitability can be quantified to assess the result of each compound on the model system. (G) The efficacy of a select compound's ability to alter disease course in mice of certain subtypes can then be assessed in vivo with cognitive phenotyping. Overall outcomes of this pipeline will enable precision medicine solutions to be identified per disease subtype and then potentially applied in clinical trials for humans or to refine the selection of mouse strains in future discovery trials. (Created with BioR ender.com).

transcriptomics offers the ability to survey regional transcriptional changes in mice. Using this method, molecular changes occurring in cells in the vicinity of amyloid plaques can be investigated by characterizing gene co-expression networks that appeared to be highly responsive to $A\beta$ deposition. The plaque-induced genes (PIGs) are a response in multiple cell types across the brain and are implicated to involve the complement system, oxidative stress, lysosomes, and inflammation, all of which are more prominent in the later phases of the disease (Chen et al., 2020). Furthermore, regions vulnerable to ADrelated changes can be honed in on to uncover molecular targets, such as those that may contribute to early hippocampal synaptic deficits and olfactory dysfunction in AD mice (Navarro et al., 2020). Ultimately, these studies and many more incorporate select aspects of systems biology and imaging methods to tie together genotype and phenotype; however, many studies that employ imaging techniques are lacking complementary omics and behavioral data to achieve a comprehensive analysis of brain changes with AD.

4. Refining AD diagnosis with the establishment of subtypes

There is a novel opportunity to more optimally segregate AD into subtypes by combining *in vivo* depictions of AD progression (collected via imaging) with omics data. By refining AD diagnoses beyond typical, resilient, or susceptible, researchers will be able to better understand the heterogeneity of AD symptoms, manifestation, and causal influences, which will be crucial for executing precision medicine approaches and developing successful treatments for AD.

Noticing that not all human AD cases neatly follow Braak staging, researchers have begun to classify subtypes of AD based on the detection of regional pathology in conjunction with clinical data. Early approaches to tackle this discrepancy evaluated cases that had severe Braak scores and subdivided them by NFT density and location. Three

postmortem classifications of typical, hippocampal sparing, or limbic predominant AD were derived and further characterized in terms of prevalence in the experimental population, age demographics, and rate of cognitive decline exhibited within each subtype (Murray et al., 2011). Building on this concept, tau-PET in combination with demographic data, clinical outcome measures, and APOE e4 frequency was used to refine these subtypes into pathology driven region-specific subtypes prior to death (Armstrong and Wood, 1994; Charil et al., 2019; Ossenkoppele et al., 2020; Vogel et al., 2021; Whitwell et al., 2018). Recent approaches that further evaluated these subtypes found that there is largely a consensus between subtyping based on tau-PET and regional atrophy measured using structural MRI methods (Kolanko and Malhotra, 2018; Park et al., 2017; Ten Kate et al., 2018). Differential patterns of brain atrophy revealed general and reproducible subtypes of AD, including typical, limbic-predominant, hippocampal-sparing, mild atrophy, and no atrophy (Byun et al., 2015; Ferreira et al., 2017; Karkkainen et al., 2020; Whitwell et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2016). FDG-PET has been implemented as an additional measure correlated with regional atrophy to enhance subtype specificity (Huang et al., 2017; Levin et al., 2021). Such studies link individuals with hippocampal sparing AD, greater global hypometabolism, and reduced executive functioning (Risacher et al., 2017). Importantly, while these classifications are beneficial for appreciating the heterogeneity of AD, there are methodological inconsistencies, and unbiased, multi-modal approaches are needed to better explore disease mechanism (Mohanty et al., 2020).

With the capacity to combine imaging data with genetic information, unique subtypes rooted in clinical phenotypes, omics, and known regions vulnerable to dysregulation can be classified. Understanding subtypes of AD can allow researchers to develop targeted treatments and clinicians to better predict disease course in patients. By clustering differentially expressed genes and conducting weighted network analyses, tau-mediated neurodegeneration, amyloid- β neuroinflammation,

Table 3

Citation

usc.edu/

http://adni.loni.

https://www.niaga

https://www.alz.

washington.edu/

ds.org/

able 3			Table 3 (continued)	
Data montal	Dental description	Citation	Data portal	Portal description
Data portal	Portal description	Citation	Alzheimer's disease	from 16 studies, 10 brain
OpenNeuro	 A free and open platform for validating and sharing Brain Imaging Data Structure (BID)S-compliant MRI, PET, MEG, EEG, and iEEG data 	https://openneuro. org/	(scREAD) Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI)	 ADNI researchers collect, validate and utilize data, including MRI and PET images, genetics, cognitive
Enhancing Neuro Imaging Genetics Through Meta- Analysis (ENIGMA)	Consortium to bring together researchers in imaging genomics to understand brain structure, function, and disease, based on brain imaging and genetic data	http://enigma.ini. usc.edu/		tests, CSF and blood biomarkers as predictors of the disease. Study resources and data from AD patients, mild cognitive impairment subjects, and elderly controls in the North
Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE)	A comprehensive list of functional elements in the human genome, including elements that act at the protein and RNA levels, and regulatory elements that	https://www.enco deproject.org/	The National Institute on Aging Genetics of Alzheimer's Disease Data Storage Site	 American ADNI study are available NIAGADS provides qualified investigators with access to a national genetics data repository pertaining to late-
Synapse by Sage	 control cells and circumstances in which a gene is active A collaborative, open-source 	https://www.syn		 This site ensures that genotypic data for the study of AD and related dementias (ADD) are homonized and
Bionetworks	research platform that al- lows teams to share omics, imaging, and phenotypic data, track analyses, and	apse.org/	National Alzheimer's	 (ADKD) are narmonized and shared with the research community at large Established by the National
	collaborate The AD Knowledge Portal, Brain Somatic Mosaicism Network Portal, Cancer Complexity Knowledge Portal, dHealth Digital Health Knowledge Portal, Neurofibromatosis Portal, and Psychencode Knowledge Portal is powered by Smanse		Coordinating Center (NACC)	Institute on Aging/NIH to facilitate collaborative research by collecting data from 29 NIA-funded Alz- heimer's Disease Centers. NACC includes approxi- mately 25,000 subjects, roughly equal parts cogni- tively normal, MCI and demented
Accelerating Medicines Partnership Program for Alzheimer's Disease (AMP-AD) Knowledge Portal	 Collection of multi-omics data, methods, and results generated within the network are distributed under FAIR Distribution of data principles from: Accel- erating Medicines Partner- ship in Alzheimer's Disease (AMP-AD), Molecular Mechanisms of the Vascular Etiology of AD (M2OVE-AD) program, Resilience-AD program, (3) the Psych-AD program, Translational Cen- ter for Model Development and Evaluation for Late Orget 4D (MODEL AD) 	https://adknowle dgeportal.synapse. org/	Global Alzheimer's Association Interactive Network (GAAIN)	 Includes imaging, CSF, and genomic data at autopsy and cross-sectional timepoints A big-data community for cohort discovery and data exploration that promotes data sharing among a feder- ated, global network of data partners who are studying AD and other dementias. It is a collaborative project that provides researchers with access to clinical, genetic, and imaging data on Alzheimer's disease from hundreds of thousands of subject narticinants
AGORA	 Hosts evidence for whether or not genes are associated with AD. Agora also contains a list of close to 100 nascent drug targets for AD that were nominated by AD researchers 	https://sagebio networks.org/too ls_resources/agora/	The Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center (RADC) Research Resource Sharing Hub	 Site for non-RADC investigators to navigate the complex data and biospecimens available for sharing Provides assistance in identifying data and biospecimens that you can use to support your own
Alabeimente D'anna an t	 Albeited with NIT-ted Accel- erating Medicines Partnership Alzheimer's Disease (AMP- AD) Target Discovery and Pre- clinical Validation Project 	https://www.sla	Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study (ADRCS)	 Develop and execute innovative clinical trials focused on interventions that may prevent dalay or
Aizneimer's Disease and Healthy Aging Data Portal	 Provides access to national and state level CDC data on a range of key indicators of health and well-being for older adults 	nttps://www.cdc. gov/aging/agingda ta/		 treat the expression of AD and related dementias Committed to sharing resources and tools
Single-cell RNA-Seq database for	 A single-cell RNA-Seq data- base for Alzheimer's Disease scREAD covers 73 datasets 	https://bmbls.bmi. osumc.edu/scread/		including data, biospecimens, trial designs, outcome and analysis

IA-funded Alz-Disease Centers. ual parts cogninaging, CSF, and ata at autopsy and

> http://www.gaain. org/

https://www.radc. rush.edu/

https://www.adcs. org/

measures

and synaptic signaling subtypes were developed (Neff et al., 2021). These subtypes are well represented in complementary mouse models, including the AD-BXD mouse panel (Philip et al., 2021, unpublished personal communication). Since these subtypes were identified as independent of age and disease severity, there is potential for supplemental data types to be incorporated to promote the identification of predictive factors that can then be tested longitudinally. More specially, studying *in vivo* functional neuroimaging outcomes in combination with other systems biology approaches in transgenic mice may yield important insights regarding the mechanisms that underlie the development of different AD subtypes (Fig. 2).

Precision medicine is driven by the application of high-throughput systems biology, powerful computational and statistical modeling tools, and the integration of asymptomatic, preclinical, and clinical datasets to identify and connect novel causal mechanisms of AD (Castrillo et al., 2018; Hampel et al., 2016; Hampel et al., 2018). The resulting subtypes and networks identified foster precise early preclinical detection, effective prevention, and personalized disease modifying treatments (Collins and Varmus, 2015; Hampel et al., 2019b; Uddin et al., 2019). To attain this level of understanding of AD mechanism and manifestation, large-scale model organism experiments that survey translatable AD biomarkers need to be performed (Fig. 2).

5. Future directions of systems biology and use of imaging modalities to evaluate AD progression

Systems biology has the potential to change how AD is defined and translated from bench to bedside. Human studies have demonstrated that identifying structural and functional relationships using *in vivo* imaging data combined with clinical outcomes has increased our understanding of disease outcomes. Expanding and building upon these studies using model mouse populations will allow researchers better control and the ability to manipulate mechanistic networks across various scales of biology and environmental exposures. Applying systems biology approaches to large reproducible cohorts will be a crucial step toward identifying predictive AD biomarkers, establishing predictive models, and creating precision medicine solutions.

While the benefits of these approaches are clear, there are current challenges to applying imaging modalities and systems biology. Taking a systems biology approach to investigating precision medicine solutions to AD necessitates large sample sizes. Current human studies require hundreds to thousands of individuals to map genetic risk loci or correlate biomarkers with clinical outcomes (Ard and Edland, 2011; Brookmeyer and Abdalla, 2019; Ederer et al., 1993; Grill et al., 2013). To achieve more confident results from genetic mapping, the same is also needed in mouse studies. Large sample sizes are essential to pursue multimodal analyses of varying biological systems and scales. Monetary and time investments can also greatly influence the feasibility to complete these studies, but a well powered study in an appropriate model has the potential to significantly contribute to the understanding and mechanisms of AD. Moreover, applying imaging modalities to large systems biology datasets requires a significant amount of data processing, which presents the opportunity to introduce user bias. To combat this, the field is pushing towards standardization of imaging acquisition and processing methods (Mueller et al., 2005; Whitesell et al., 2019). Even when using identical mouse models and similar imaging approaches, independent labs can achieve varying results for measures like rate of amyloid plaque accumulation or regional levels of atrophy (Kolinger et al., 2021; Mannheim et al., 2018; Morbelli and Bauckneht, 2018; Osborne et al., 2017). This lack of consistency makes it difficult to combine and compare results, and for these reasons, all processing parameters should be disclosed to promote reproducibility (Eisenstein, 2020). The creation of centralized data portals and collaborative efforts have promoted this type of synchronicity (Hodes and Buckholtz, 2016; Kitano, 2002a). These sites provide researchers a platform to collaborate, contribute their own data, or to analyze data from other groups

(Table 3). Furthermore, another area the AD imaging field can improve upon is in the analysis of subjective regions of interest (Simpson et al., 2021). Manual stereology or delineation is based on the user's experience and anatomical knowledge. Even the scope and use of machine learning and artificial intelligence guided methods is biased by users as they set training parameters. Use of more automated pipelines and standard brain atlases aid in reducing the subjectivism of quantifying and reporting region specific measures (Bjerke et al., 2018; Boline et al., 2008; Hawrylycz et al., 2011; Hjornevik et al., 2007; Johnson et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2020). High throughput studies including many regions of interest or brain-wide approaches can give a more comprehensive large-scale look at the changes that occur with disease status.

6. Conclusion

Integrating multiple imaging modalities with the various omics of systems biology permits a thorough investigation of AD and its interacting components across various biological systems and scales. The need to incorporate many imaging and omics methods to study individual humans or mouse strains pays tribute to the complexity of this disease. Modelling AD in mice offers the advantage of parsing out the interacting components underlying AD manifestation in a controlled fashion. Evaluating a wide variety of strains, samples, and methods within translationally relevant mouse panels enables researchers to untangle the mechanisms perturbed in the different subtypes of AD. AD progression is viewed as a spectrum, but patterns of interacting levels of systems biology (e.g. genomic, transcriptomic, or metabolomics relationship to neural connectivity) are evident and are the foundation for classifying mouse models and human individuals into AD subtypes. Categorizing individuals' disease subtypes paves the way towards fully understanding the complexity of AD, how it manifests differently among individuals, and eventually, precision medicine solutions.

Declaration of Competing Interest

None.

Acknowledgments

This study is part of the National Institute on Aging Resilience-AD program and is supported through the NIA grant award R01AG057914 to Catherine Kaczorowski. The authors would also like to thank Dr. Kristen O'Connell, Dr. Niran Hadad, Dr. Amy Dunn, Dr. Maria Telpoukhovskaia, and Dr. Jillian King for their thoughtful review and editing.

References

- 2019 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures, 2019. Alzheimers Dement. 15 (3), 321–387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2019.01.010.
- 2020 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures, 2020. Alzheimers Dement. 16 (3), 391–460. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12068.
- Adil, A., Kumar, V., Jan, A.T., Asger, M., 2021. Single-cell transcriptomics: current methods and challenges in data acquisition and analysis. Front. Neurosci. 15, 591122 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.591122.
- Agdeppa, E.D., Kepe, V., Liu, J., Flores-Torres, S., Satyamurthy, N., Petric, A., Cole, G.M., Small, G.W., Huang, S.-C., Barrio, J.R., 2001. Binding characteristics of radiofluorinated 6-Dialkylamino-2-Naphthylethylidene derivatives as positron emission tomography imaging probes for β-Amyloid plaques in Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurosci. 21 (24), RC189. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-24i0004.2001.
- Ahn, A.C., Tewari, M., Poon, C.-S., Phillips, R.S., 2006. The limits of reductionism in medicine: could systems biology offer an alternative? PLoS Med. 3 (6), e208 https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0030208.
- Aiello Bowles, E.J., Crane, P.K., Walker, R.L., Chubak, J., LaCroix, A.Z., Anderson, M.L., Rosenberg, D., Keene, C.D., Larson, E.B., 2019. Cognitive resilience to Alzheimer's disease pathology in the human brain. J. Alzheimers Dis. 68 (3), 1071–1083. https:// doi.org/10.3233/JAD-180942.
- Aisen, P.S., Cummings, J., Jack, C.R., Morris, J.C., Sperling, R., Frölich, L., Jones, R.W., Dowsett, S.A., Matthews, B.R., Raskin, J., Scheltens, P., Dubois, B., 2017. On the path to 2025: understanding the Alzheimer's disease continuum. Alzheimers Res. Ther. 9 (1), 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-017-0283-5.

Alberghina, L., Colangelo, A.M., 2006. The modular systems biology approach to investigate the control of apoptosis in Alzheimer's disease neurodegeneration. BMC Neurosci. 7 (Suppl. 1), S2. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-7-S1-S2.

- Alexander, A.L., Lee, J.E., Lazar, M., Field, A.S., 2007. Diffusion tensor imaging of the brain. Neurotherapeutics 4 (3), 316–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. nurt.2007.05.011.
- Anderson, R.J., Cook, J.J., Delpratt, N., Nouls, J.C., Gu, B., McNamara, J.O., Avants, B.B., Johnson, G.A., Badea, A., 2019. Small animal multivariate brain analysis (SAMBA) – a high throughput pipeline with a validation framework. Neuroinformatics 17 (3), 451–472. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12021-018-9410-0.
- Andrews, S.J., Fulton-Howard, B., Goate, A., 2020. Interpretation of risk loci from genome-wide association studies of Alzheimer's disease. Lancet Neurol. 19 (4), 326–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30435-1.
- Ard, M.C., Edland, S.D., 2011. Power calculations for clinical trials in Alzheimer's disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 26 (Suppl. 3), 369–377. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2011-0062.
- Ardekani, B.A., Convit, A., Bachman, A.H., 2016. Analysis of the MIRIAD data shows sex differences in hippocampal atrophy progression. J. Alzheimer's Disease JAD 50 (3), 847–857. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-150780.
- Argelaguet, R., Velten, B., Arnol, D., Dietrich, S., Zenz, T., Marioni, J.C., Buettner, F., Huber, W., Stegle, O., 2018. Multi-omics factor analysis-a framework for unsupervised integration of multi-omics data sets. Mol. Syst. Biol. 14 (6), e8124 https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20178124.
- Armstrong, R.A., Wood, L., 1994. The identification of pathological subtypes of Alzheimer's disease using cluster analysis. Acta Neuropathol. 88 (1), 60–66. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF00294360.
- Ashbrook, D.G., Williams, R.W., Lu, L., Stein, J.L., Hibar, D.P., Nichols, T.E., Medland, S. E., Thompson, P.M., Hager, R., 2014. Joint genetic analysis of hippocampal size in mouse and human identifies a novel gene linked to neurodegenerative disease. BMC Genomics 15 (1), 850. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-850.
- Ashbrook, D.G., Arends, D., Prins, P., Mulligan, M.K., Roy, S., Williams, E.G., Lutz, C.M., Valenzuela, A., Bohl, C.J., Ingels, J.F., McCarty, M.S., Centeno, A.G., Hager, R., Auwerx, J., Lu, L., Williams, R.W., 2021. A platform for experimental precision medicine: the extended BXD mouse family. Cell Syst. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cels.2020.12.002. S2405471220305032.
- Backman, J.D., Li, A.H., Marcketta, A., Sun, D., Mbatchou, J., Kessler, M.D., Benner, C., Liu, D., Locke, A.E., Balasubramanian, S., Yadav, A., Banerjee, N., Gillies, C., Damask, A., Liu, S., Bai, X., Hawes, A., Maxwell, E., Gurski, L., Watanabe, K., Kosmicki, J.A., Rajagopal, V., Mighty, J., Regeneron Genetics, C., Discovehr, Jones, M., Mitnaul, L., Stahl, E., Coppola, G., Jorgenson, E., Habegger, L., Salerno, W.J., Shuldiner, A.R., Lotta, L.A., Overton, J.D., Cantor, M.N., Reid, J.G., Yancopoulos, G., Kang, H.M., Marchini, J., Baras, A., Abecasis, G.R., Ferreira, M.A., 2021. Exome sequencing and analysis of 454,787 UK Biobank participants. Nature. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04103-z.
- Badea, A., Johnson, G.A., Jankowsky, J.L., 2010. Remote sites of structural atrophy predict later amyloid formation in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. NeuroImage 50 (2), 416–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.070.
- Badea, A., Delpratt, N.A., Anderson, R.J., Dibb, R., Qi, Y., Wei, H., Liu, C., Wetsel, W.C., Avants, B.B., Colton, C., 2019. Multivariate MR biomarkers better predict cognitive dysfunction in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. Magn. Reson. Imaging 60, 52–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2019.03.022.
- Badhwar, A., Lerch, J.P., Hamel, E., Sled, J.G., 2013. Impaired structural correlates of memory in Alzheimer's disease mice. Neuroimage Clin. 3, 290–300. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.nicl.2013.08.017.
- Barnes, D.E., Yaffe, K., 2011. The projected effect of risk factor reduction on Alzheimer's disease prevalence. Lancet Neurol. 10 (9), 819–828. https://doi.org/10.1016/ \$1474-4422(11)70072-2.
- Barnes, L.L., Wilson, R.S., Bienias, J.L., Schneider, J.A., Evans, D.A., Bennett, D.A., 2005. Sex differences in the clinical manifestations of Alzheimer disease pathology. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 62 (6), 685–691. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.685.
- Barrett-Connor, E., Edelstein, S.L., Corey-Bloom, J., Wiederholt, W.C., 1996. Weight loss precedes dementia in community-dwelling older adults. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 44 (10), 1147–1152. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1996.tb01362.x.
- Basaia, S., Agosta, F., Wagner, L., Canu, E., Magnani, G., Santangelo, R., Filippi, M., Neuroimaging, Alzheimer's Disease, I., 2019. Automated classification of Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment using a single MRI and deep neural networks. Neuroimage Clin. 21, 101645 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. nicl.2018.101645.
- Basheera, S., Sai Ram, M.S., 2019. Convolution neural network-based Alzheimer's disease classification using hybrid enhanced independent component analysis based segmented gray matter of T2 weighted magnetic resonance imaging with clinical valuation. Alzheimers Dement (N Y) 5, 974–986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. trci.2019.10.001.
- Bastin, C., Bahri, M.A., Meyer, F., Manard, M., Delhaye, E., Plenevaux, A., Becker, G., Seret, A., Mella, C., Giacomelli, F., Degueldre, C., Balteau, E., Luxen, A., Salmon, E., 2020. In vivo imaging of synaptic loss in Alzheimer's disease with [18F]UCB-H positron emission tomography. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 47 (2), 390–402. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-019-04461-x.
- Bellenguez, C., Küçükali, F., Jansen, I., Andrade, V., Moreno-Grau, S., Amin, N., Naj, A. C., Grenier-Boley, B., Campos-Martin, R., Holmans, P.A., Boland, A., Kleineidam, L., Damotte, V., Van Der Lee, S.J., Kuulasmaa, T., Yang, Q., De Rojas, I., Bis, J.C., Yaqub, A., Prokic, I., Costa, M.R., Chapuis, J., Ahmad, S., Giedraitis, V., Boada, M., Aarsland, D., García-González, P., Abdelnour, C., Alarcón-Martín, E., Alegret, M., Alvarez, I., Álvarez, V., Armstrong, N.J., Tsolaki, A., Antúnez, C., Appollonio, I., Arcaro, M., Archetti, S., Pastor, A.A., Arosio, B., Athanasiu, L., Bailly, H., Banaj, N., Baquero, M., Belén Pastor, A., Benussi, L., Berr, C., Besse, C., Bessi, V., Binetti, G.,

Bizzarro, A., Alcolea, D., Blesa, R., Borroni, B., Boschi, S., Bossù, P., Bråthen, G., Bresner, C., Brookes, K.J., Brusco, L.I., Bûrger, K., Bullido, M.J., Burholt, V., Bush, W. S., Calero, M., Dufouil, C., Carracedo, Á., Cecchetti, R., Cervera-Carles, L. Charbonnier, C., Chillotti, C., Brodaty, H., Ciccone, S., Claassen, J.A.H.R., Clark, C., Conti, E., Corma-Gómez, A., Costantini, E., Custodero, C., Daian, D., Dalmasso, M.C., Daniele, A., Dardiotis, E., Dartigues, J.-F., De Deyn, P.P., De Paiva Lopes, K., De Witte, L.D., Debette, S., Deckert, J., Del Ser, T., Denning, N., Destefano, A. Dichgans, M., Diehl-Schmid, J., Diez-Fairen, M., Rossi, P.D., Djurovic, S., Duron, E., Düzel, E., Engelborghs, S., Escott-Price, V., Espinosa, A., Buiza-Rueda, D., Ewers, M., Tagliavini, F., Nielsen, S.F., Farotti, L., Fenoglio, C., Fernández-Fuertes, M., Hardy, J., Ferrari, R., Ferreira, C.B., Ferri, E., Fin, B., Fischer, P., Fladby, T., Fließbach, K., Fortea, J., Fostinelli, S., Fox, N.C., Franco-Macías, E., Frank-García, A., Froelich, L., Galimberti, D., García-Alberca, J.M., Garcia-Madrona, S., García Ribas, G., Chene, G., Ghidoni, R., Giegling, I., Giaccone, G., Goldhardt, O., González-Pérez, A., Graff, C., Grande, G., Green, E., Grimmer, T., Grünblatt, E., Guetta-Baranes, T., Haapasalo, A., Hadjigeorgiou, G., Haines, J.L., Hamilton-Nelson, K.L., Hampel, H., Hanon, O., Hartmann, A.M., Hausner, L., Harwood, J., Heilmann-Heimbach, S., Helisalmi, S., Heneka, M.T., Hernández, I., Herrmann, M.J., Hoffmann, P., Holmes, C., Holstege, H., Vilas, R.H., Hulsman, M., Humphrey, J., Biessels, G.J., Johansson, C., Kehoe, P.G., Kilander, L., Ståhlbom, A.K., Kivipelto, M., Koivisto, A., Kornhuber, J., Kosmidis, M.H., Kuksa, P.P., Kunkle, B.W., Lage, C., Laukka, E.J., Lauria, A., Lee, C.-Y., Lehtisalo, J., Satizabal, C.L., Lerch, O., Lleó, A., Lopez, R., Lopez, O., De Munain, A.L., Love, S., Löwemark, M., Luckcuck, L., Macías, J., Macleod, C.A., Maier, W., Mangialasche, F., Spallazzi, M., Marquié, M., Marshall, R., Martin, E.R., Martín Montes, A., Rodríguez, C.M., Masullo, C., Mayeux, R., Mead, S., Mecocci, P., Medina, M., Meggy, A., Mendoza, S., Menéndez-González, M., Mir, P., Periñán, M.T., Mol, M., Molina-Porcel, L., Montrreal, L., Morelli, L., Moreno, F., Morgan, K., Nöthen, M.M., Muchnik, C., Nacmias, B., Ngandu, T., Nicolas, G., Nordestgaard, B.G., Olaso, R., Orellana, A., Orsini, M., Ortega, G., Padovani, A., Caffarra, P., Papenberg, G., Parnetti, L., Pasquier, F., Pastor, P., Pérez-Cordón, A., Pérez-Tur, J., Pericard, P., Peters, O., Pijnenburg, Y.A. L., Pineda, J.A., Piñol-Ripoll, G., Pisanu, C., Polak, T., Popp, J., Posthuma, D., Priller, J., Puerta, R., Quenez, O., Quintela, I., Thomassen, J.Q., Rábano, A., Rainero, I., Ramakers, I., Real, L.M., Reinders, M.J.T., Riedel-Heller, S., Riederer, P., Rodriguez-Rodriguez, E., Rongve, A., Allende, I.R., Rosende-Roca, M., Royo, J.L., Rubino, E., Rujescu, D., Sáez, M.E., Sakka, P., Saltvedt, I., Sanabria, Á., Sánchez-Arjona, M.B., Sanchez-Garcia, F., Mehrabian, S., Sánchez-Juan, P., Sánchez-Valle, R., Sando, S.B., Scamosci, M., Scarmeas, N., Scarpini, E., Scheltens, P., Scherbaum, N., Scherer, M., Schmid, M., Schneider, A., Schott, J.M., Selbæk, G., Sha, J., Shadrin, A. A., Skrobot, O., Snijders, G.J.L., Soininen, H., Solfrizzi, V., Solomon, A., Sorbi, S., Sotolongo-Grau, O., Spalletta, G., Spottke, A., Squassina, A., Tartari, J.P., Tárraga, L., Tesí, N., Thalamuthu, A., Tegos, T., Traykov, L., Tremolizzo, L., Tybjærg-Hansen, A., Uitterlinden, A., Ullgren, A., Ulstein, I., Valero, S., Van Broeckhoven, C., Van Der Lugt, A., Van Dongen, J., Van Rooij, J., Van Swieten, J., Vandenberghe, R., Verhey, F., Vidal, J.-S., Vogelgsang, J., Vyhnalek, M., Wagner, M., Wallon, D., Wang, L.-S., Wang, R., Weinhold, L., Wiltfang, J., Windle, G., Woods, B., Yannakoulia, M., Zhao, Y., Zulaica, M., Serrano-Rios, M., Seripa, D., Stordal, E., Farrer, L.A., Psaty, B.M., Ghanbari, M., Raj, T., Sachdev, P., Mather, K., Jessen, F., Ikram, M.A., De Mendonça, A., Hort, J., Tsolaki, M., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Amouyel, P., Williams, J., Frikke-Schmidt, R., Clarimon, J., Deleuze, J.-F., Rossi, G., Seshadri, S., Andreassen, O.A., Ingelsson, M., Hiltunen, M., Sleegers, K., Schellenberg, G.D., Van Duijn, C.M., Sims, R., Van Der Flier, W.M., Ruiz, A., Ramirez, A., Lambert, J.-C., 2020. New Insights on the Genetic Etiology of Alzheimer's and Related Dementia. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory

- Belloy, M.E., Napolioni, V., Greicius, M.D., 2019. A quarter century of APOE and Alzheimer's disease: progress to date and the path forward. Neuron 101 (5), 820–838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.01.056.
- Bennett, D.A., Schneider, J.A., Arvanitakis, Z., Kelly, J.F., Aggarwal, N.T., Shah, R.C., Wilson, R.S., 2006. Neuropathology of older persons without cognitive impairment from two community-based studies. Neurology 66 (12), 1837. https://doi.org/ 10.1212/01.wnl.0000219668.47116.e6.
- Bennett, D.A., Buchman, A.S., Boyle, P.A., Barnes, L.L., Wilson, R.S., Schneider, J.A., 2018. Religious orders study and rush memory and aging project. J. Alzheimer's Disease JAD 64 (Suppl. 1), S161–S189. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-179939.
- Biessels, G.J., Staekenborg, S., Brunner, E., Brayne, C., Scheltens, P., 2006. Risk of dementia in diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Lancet Neurol. 5 (1), 64–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(05)70284-2.
- Bjerke, I.E., Øvsthus, M., Andersson, K.A., Blixhavn, C.H., Kleven, H., Yates, S.C., Puchades, M.A., Bjaalie, J.G., Leergaard, T.B., 2018. Navigating the murine brain: toward best practices for determining and documenting neuroanatomical locations in experimental studies. Front. Neuroanat. 12, 82. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnana.2018.00082.
- Boekel, J., Chilton, J.M., Cooke, I.R., Horvatovich, P.L., Jagtap, P.D., Kall, L., Lehtio, J., Lukasse, P., Moerland, P.D., Griffin, T.J., 2015. Multi-omic data analysis using galaxy. Nat. Biotechnol. 33 (2), 137–139. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3134.
- Bohland, J.W., Wu, C., Barbas, H., Bokil, H., Bota, M., Breiter, H.C., Cline, H.T., Doyle, J. C., Freed, P.J., Greenspan, R.J., Haber, S.N., Hawrylycz, M., Herrera, D.G., Hilgetag, C.C., Huang, Z.J., Jones, A., Jones, E.G., Karten, H.J., Kleinfeld, D., Kotter, R., Lester, H.A., Lin, J.M., Mensh, B.D., Mikula, S., Panksepp, J., Price, J.L., Safdieh, J., Saper, C.B., Schiff, N.D., Schmahmann, J.D., Stillman, B.W., Svoboda, K., Swanson, L.W., Toga, A.W., Van Essen, D.C., Watson, J.D., Mitra, P.P., 2009. A proposal for a coordinated effort for the determination of brainwide neuroanatomical connectivity in model organisms at a mesoscopic scale. PLoS Comput. Biol. 5 (3), e1000334 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000334.
- Boline, J., Lee, E.F., Toga, A.W., 2008. Digital atlases as a framework for data sharing. Front. Neurosci. 2 (1), 100–106. https://doi.org/10.3389/neuro.01.012.2008.

Bookheimer, S.Y., Strojwas, M.H., Cohen, M.S., Saunders, A.M., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Mazziotta, J.C., Small, G.W., 2000. Patterns of brain activation in people at risk for Alzheimer's disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 343 (7), 450–456. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJM200008173430701.

Bouter, C., Henniges, P., Franke, T.N., Irwin, C., Sahlmann, C.O., Sichler, M.E., Beindorff, N., Bayer, T.A., Bouter, Y., 2018. (18)F-FDG-PET detects drastic changes in brain metabolism in the Tg4-42 model of Alzheimer's disease. Front. Aging Neurosci. 10, 425. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2018.00425.

Boyle, P.A., Wilson, R.S., Yu, L., Barr, A.M., Honer, W.G., Schneider, J.A., Bennett, D.A., 2013. Much of late life cognitive decline is not due to common neurodegenerative pathologies. Ann. Neurol. 74 (3), 478–489. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.23964.Braak, H., Braak, E., 1991. Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes.

Acta Neuropathol. 82 (4), 239–259. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00308809.

Braak, H., Alafuzoff, I., Arzberger, T., Kretzschmar, H., Del Tredici, K., 2006. Staging of Alzheimer disease-associated neurofibrillary pathology using paraffin sections and immunocytochemistry. Acta Neuropathol. 112 (4), 389–404. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00401-006-0127-z.

Brademan, D.R., Miller, I.J., Kwiecien, N.W., Pagliarini, D.J., Westphall, M.S., Coon, J.J., Shishkova, E., 2020. Argonaut: A web platform for collaborative multi-omic data visualization and exploration. Patterns (N Y) 1 (7). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. patter.2020.100122.

Brier, M.R., Gordon, B., Friedrichsen, K., Mccarthy, J., Stern, A., Christensen, J., Owen, C., Aldea, P., Su, Y., Hassenstab, J., Cairns, N.J., Holtzman, D.M., Fagan, A. M., Morris, J.C., Benzinger, T.L.S., Ances, B.M., 2016. Tau and Aβ imaging, CSF measures, and cognition in Alzheimer's disease. Sci. Transl. Med. 8 (338) https:// doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf2362, 338ra366-338ra366.

Brookmeyer, R., Abdalla, N., 2019. Design and sample size considerations for Alzheimer's disease prevention trials using multistate models. Clin. Trials 16 (2), 111–119. https://doi.org/10.1177/1740774518816323.

Brown, R.K.J., Bohnen, N.I., Wong, K.K., Minoshima, S., Frey, K.A., 2014. Brain PET in suspected dementia: patterns of altered FDG metabolism. RadioGraphics 34 (3), 684–701. https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.343135065.

- Buchman, A.S., Wilson, R.S., Bienias, J.L., Shah, R.C., Evans, D.A., Bennett, D.A., 2005. Change in body mass index and risk of incident Alzheimer disease. Neurology 65 (6), 892–897. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000176061.33817.90.
- Budin, F., Hoogstoel, M., Reynolds, P., Grauer, M., O'Leary-Moore, S.K., Oguz, I., 2013. Fully automated rodent brain MR image processing pipeline on a Midas server: from acquired images to region-based statistics. Front. Neuroinform. 7, 15. https://doi. org/10.3389/fninf.2013.00015.

Busche, M.A., Eichhoff, G., Adelsberger, H., Abramowski, D., Wiederhold, K.-H., Haass, C., Staufenbiel, M., Konnerth, A., Garaschuk, O., 2008. Clusters of hyperactive neurons near amyloid plaques in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Science 321 (5896), 1686–1689. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1162844.

Busche, M.A., Chen, X., Henning, H.A., Reichwald, J., Staufenbiel, M., Sakmann, B., Konnerth, A., 2012. Critical role of soluble amyloid-β for early hippocampal hyperactivity in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109 (22), 8740–8745. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1206171109.

Byun, N.S., Kim, S.E., Park, J., Yi, D., Choe, Y.M., Sohn, B.K., Choi, H.J., Baek, H., Han, J. Y., Woo, J.I., Lee, D.Y., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2015. Heterogeneity of regional brain atrophy patterns associated with distinct progression rates in Alzheimer's disease. PLoS One 10 (11), e0142756. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0142756.

Cai, Z., Li, S., Matuskey, D., Nabulsi, N., Huang, Y., 2019. PET imaging of synaptic density: a new tool for investigation of neuropsychiatric diseases. Neurosci. Lett. 691, 44–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2018.07.038.

Cao, J., Hou, J., Ping, J., Cai, D., 2018. Advances in developing novel therapeutic strategies for Alzheimer's disease. Mol. Neurodegener. 13 (1), 64. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13024-018-0299-8.

Cardenas, V.A., Chao, L.L., Studholme, C., Yaffe, K., Miller, B.L., Madison, C., Buckley, S. T., Mungas, D., Schuff, N., Weiner, M.W., 2011. Brain atrophy associated with baseline and longitudinal measures of cognition. Neurobiol. Aging 32 (4), 572–580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2009.04.011.

Castrillo, J.I., Lista, S., Hampel, H., Ritchie, C.W., 2018. Systems biology methods for Alzheimer's disease research toward molecular signatures, subtypes, and stages and precision medicine: application in cohort studies and trials. Methods Mol. Biol. 1750, 31–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7704-8_3.

Chaney, A., Bauer, M., Bochicchio, D., Smigova, A., Kassiou, M., Davies, K.E., Williams, S.R., Boutin, H., 2018. Longitudinal investigation of neuroinflammation and metabolite profiles in the APPswe xPS1Deltae9 transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurochem. 144 (3), 318–335. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jnc.14251.

Charil, A., Shcherbinin, S., Southekal, S., Devous, M.D., Mintun, M., Murray, M.E., Miller, B.B., Schwarz, A.J., 2019. Tau subtypes of Alzheimer's disease determined in vivo using flortaucipir PET imaging. J. Alzheimers Dis. 71 (3), 1037–1048. https:// doi.org/10.3233/JAD-190264.

Chen, C., Liang, Z., Zhou, B., Li, X., Lui, C., Ip, N.Y., Qu, J.Y., 2018a. In vivo near-infrared two-photon imaging of amyloid plaques in deep brain of Alzheimer's disease mouse model. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 9 (12), 3128–3136. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acschemneuro.8b00306.

Chen, G.-F., Xu, T.-H., Yan, Y., Zhou, Y.-R., Jiang, Y., Melcher, K., Xu, H.E., 2017. Amyloid beta: structure, biology and structure-based therapeutic development. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 38 (9), 1205–1235. https://doi.org/10.1038/aps.2017.28.

Chen, M.K., Mecca, A.P., Naganawa, M., Finnema, S.J., Toyonaga, T., Lin, S.F., Najafzadeh, S., Ropchan, J., Lu, Y., McDonald, J.W., Michalak, H.R., Nabulsi, N.B., Arnsten, A.F.T., Huang, Y., Carson, R.E., van Dyck, C.H., 2018b. Assessing Synaptic Density in Alzheimer Disease With Synaptic Vesicle Glycoprotein 2A Positron Emission Tomographic Imaging. JAMA Neurol. 75 (10), 1215–1224. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2018.1836.

- Chen, W.-T., Lu, Å., Craessaerts, K., Pavie, B., Sala Frigerio, C., Corthout, N., Qian, X., Laláková, J., Kühnemund, M., Voytyuk, I., Wolfs, L., Mancuso, R., Salta, E., Balusu, S., Snellinx, A., Munck, S., Jurek, A., Fernandez Navarro, J., Saido, T.C., Huitinga, I., Lundeberg, J., Fiers, M., De Strooper, B., 2020. Spatial transcriptomics and in situ sequencing to study Alzheimer's disease. Cell 182 (4), 976–991.e919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.038.
- Cherbuin, N., Anstey, K.J., 2012. The Mediterranean diet is not related to cognitive change in a large prospective investigation: the PATH Through Life study. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 20 (7), 635–639. https://doi.org/10.1097/ JGP.0b013e31823032a9.

Cho, H., Choi, J.Y., Hwang, M.S., Lee, J.H., Kim, Y.J., Lee, H.M., Lyoo, C.H., Ryu, Y.H., Lee, M.S., 2016. Tau PET in Alzheimer disease and mild cognitive impairment. Neurology 87 (4), 375–383. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000002892.

- Chouliaras, L., Sierksma, A.S., Kenis, G., Prickaerts, J., Lemmens, M.A., Brasnjevic, I., van Donkelaar, E.L., Martinez-Martinez, P., Losen, M., De Baets, M.H., Kholod, N., van Leeuwen, F., Hof, P.R., van Os, J., Steinbusch, H.W., van den Hove, D.L., Rutten, B. P., 2010. Gene-environment interaction research and transgenic mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. Int. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2010 https://doi.org/10.4061/2010/ 859101.
- Collins, F.S., Varmus, H., 2015. A new initiative on precision medicine. N. Engl. J. Med. 372 (9), 793–795. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1500523.

Colon-Perez, L.M., Ibanez, K.R., Suarez, M., Torroella, K., Acuna, K., Ofori, E., Levites, Y., Vaillancourt, D.E., Golde, T.E., Chakrabarty, P., Febo, M., 2019. Neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging reveals white matter and hippocampal microstructure changes produced by Interleukin-6 in the TgCRND8 mouse model of amyloidosis. NeuroImage 202, 116138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2019.116138.

Corder, E.H., Saunders, A.M., Strittmatter, W.J., Schmechel, D.E., Gaskell, P.C., Small, G. W., Roses, A.D., Haines, J.L., Pericak-Vance, M.A., 1993. Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. Science 261 (5123), 921–923. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.8346443.

Cruchaga, C., Kauwe, J.S., Harari, O., Jin, S.C., Cai, Y., Karch, C.M., Benitez, B.A., Jeng, A.T., Skorupa, T., Carrell, D., Bertelsen, S., Bailey, M., McKean, D., Shulman, J. M., De Jager, P.L., Chibnik, L., Bennett, D.A., Arnold, S.E., Harold, D., Sims, R., Gerrish, A., Williams, J., Van Deerlin, V.M., Lee, V.M., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J. Q., Haines, J.L., Mayeux, R., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Farrer, L.A., Schellenberg, G.D., Peskind, E.R., Galasko, D., Fagan, A.M., Holtzman, D.M., Morris, J.C., Consortium, G. Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, Alzheimer Disease Genetic, C. Goate, A.M., 2013. GWAS of cerebrospinal fluid tau levels identifies risk variants for Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 78 (2), 256–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.026.

Cselényi, Z., Jönhagen, M.E., Forsberg, A., Halldin, C., Julin, P., Schou, M., Johnström, P., Varnäs, K., Svensson, S., Farde, L., 2012. Clinical validation of 18F-AZD4694, an amyloid-β-specific PET radioligand. J. Nucl. Med. 53 (3), 415–424. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.111.094029.

Cui, M.Y., Lin, Y., Sheng, J.Y., Zhang, X., Cui, R.J., 2018. Exercise intervention associated with cognitive improvement in Alzheimer's disease. Neural Plasticity 2018, 9234105. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9234105.

Cummings, J., 2019. The role of biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease drug development. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1118, 29–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05542-4_2.

Cummings, J.L., Morstorf, T., Zhong, K., 2014. Alzheimer's disease drug-development pipeline: few candidates, frequent failures. Alzheimers Res. Ther. 6 (4), 37. https:// doi.org/10.1186/alzrt269.

De Jager, P.L., Ma, Y., McCabe, C., Xu, J., Vardarajan, B.N., Felsky, D., Klein, H.-U., White, C.C., Peters, M.A., Lodgson, B., Nejad, P., Tang, A., Mangravite, L.M., Yu, L., Gaiteri, C., Mostafavi, S., Schneider, J.A., Bennett, D.A., 2018. A multi-omic atlas of the human frontal cortex for aging and Alzheimer's disease research. Sci. Data 5 (1), 180142. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.142.

De la Rosa, A., Olaso-Gonzalez, G., Arc-Chagnaud, C., Millan, F., Salvador-Pascual, A., García-Lucerga, C., Blasco-Lafarga, C., Garcia-Dominguez, E., Carretero, A., Correas, A.G., Viña, J., Gomez-Cabrera, M.C., 2020. Physical exercise in the prevention and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. J. Sport Health Sci. 9 (5), 394–404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2020.01.004.

De Santi, S., de Leon, M.J., Rusinek, H., Convit, A., Tarshish, C.Y., Roche, A., Tsui, W.H., Kandil, E., Boppana, M., Daisley, K., Wang, G.J., Schlyer, D., Fowler, J., 2001. Hippocampal formation glucose metabolism and volume losses in MCI and AD. Neurobiol. Aging 22 (4), 529–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0197-4580(01)00230-5

Deming, Y., Dumitrescu, L., Barnes, L.L., Thambisetty, M., Kunkle, B., Gifford, K.A., Bush, W.S., Chibnik, L.B., Mukherjee, S., De Jager, P.L., Kukull, W., Huentelman, M., Crane, P.K., Resnick, S.M., Keene, C.D., Montine, T.J., Schellenberg, G.D., Haines, J. L., Zetterberg, H., Blennow, K., Larson, E.B., Johnson, S.C., Albert, M., Moghekar, A., Del Aguila, J.L., Fernandez, M.V., Budde, J., Hassenstab, J., Fagan, A.M., Riemenschneider, M., Petersen, R.C., Minthon, L., Chao, M.J., Van Deerlin, V.M., Lee, V.M.-Y., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Peskind, E.R., Li, G., Davis, L.K., Sealock, J.M., Cox, N.J., ADNI, ADGC, Goate, A.M., Bennett, D.A., Schneider, J.A., Jefferson, A.L., Cruchaga, C., Hohman, T.J., 2018. Sex-specific genetic predictors of Alzheimer's disease biomarkers. Acta Neuropathol. 136 (6), 857–872. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00401-018-1881-4.

Denk, W., Briggman, K.L., Helmstaedter, M., 2012. Structural neurobiology: missing link to a mechanistic understanding of neural computation. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 13 (5), 351–358. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3169.

Dhana, K., Evans, D.A., Rajan, K.B., Bennett, D.A., Morris, M.C., 2020. Healthy lifestyle and the risk of Alzheimer dementia: findings from 2 longitudinal studies. Neurology 95 (4), e374–e383. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000009816.

- Dickerson, B.C., Sperling, R.A., 2008. Functional abnormalities of the medial temporal lobe memory system in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: insights from functional MRI studies. Neuropsychologia 46 (6), 1624–1635. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.11.030.
- Dimitriadis, S.I., Liparas, D., Neuroimaging, Alzheimer's Disease, I., 2018. How random is the random forest? Random forest algorithm on the service of structural imaging biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: from Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (ADNI) database. Neural Regen. Res. 13 (6), 962–970. https://doi.org/ 10.4103/1673-5374.233433.
- Driscoll, I., Troncoso, J., 2011. Asymptomatic Alzheimer's disease: a prodrome or a state of resilience? Curr. Alzheimer Res. 8 (4), 330–335. https://doi.org/10.2174/ 156720511795745348.
- Drummond, E., Wisniewski, T., 2017. Alzheimer's disease: experimental models and reality. Acta Neuropathol. 133 (2), 155–175. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-016-1662-x.
- Dubois, B., Hampel, H., Feldman, H.H., Scheltens, P., Aisen, P., Andrieu, S., Bakardjian, H., Benali, H., Bertram, L., Blennow, K., Broich, K., Cavedo, E., Crutch, S., Dartigues, J.-F., Duyckaerts, C., Epelbaum, S., Frisoni, G.B., Gauthier, S., Genthon, R., Gouw, A.A., Habert, M.-O., Holtzman, D.M., Kivipelto, M., Lista, S., Molinuevo, J.-L., O'Bryant, S.E., Rabinovici, G.D., Rowe, C., Salloway, S., Schneider, L.S., Sperling, R., Teichmann, M., Carrillo, M.C., Cummings, J., Jack, C. R., 2016. Preclinical Alzheimer's disease: definition, natural history, and diagnostic criteria. Alzheimer's Dement. J. Alzheimer's Assoc. 12 (3), 292–323. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.02.002.
- Dumitrescu, L., Barnes, L.L., Thambisetty, M., Beecham, G., Kunkle, B., Bush, W.S., Gifford, K.A., Chibnik, L.B., Mukherjee, S., De Jager, P.L., Kukull, W., Crane, P.K., Resnick, S.M., Keene, C.D., Montine, T.J., Schellenberg, G.D., Deming, Y., Chao, M. J., Huentelman, M., Martin, E.R., Hamilton-Nelson, K., Shaw, L.M., Trojanowski, J. Q., Peskind, E.R., Cruchaga, C., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Goate, A.M., Cox, N.J., Haines, J.L., Zetterberg, H., Blennow, K., Larson, E.B., Johnson, S.C., Albert, M., Initiative, F. T. A. S. D. G. C. A. T. A. S. D. N, Bennett, D.A., Schneider, J.A., Jefferson, A.L., Hohman, T.J., 2019. Sex differences in the genetic predictors of Alzheimer's pathology. Brain 142 (9), 2581–2589. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/ awz206.
- Dumitrescu, L., Mahoney, E.R., Mukherjee, S., Lee, M.L., Bush, W.S., Engelman, C.D., Lu, Q., Fardo, D.W., Trittschuh, E.H., Mez, J., Kaczorowski, C., Hernandez Saucedo, H., Widaman, K.F., Buckley, R., Properzi, M., Mormino, E., Yang, H.S., Harrison, T., Hedden, T., Nho, K., Andrews, S.J., Tommet, D., Hadad, N., Sanders, R. E., Ruderfer, D.M., Gifford, K.A., Moore, A.M., Cambronero, F., Zhong, X., Raghavan, N.S., Vardarajan, B., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium, A. S. T, Pericak-Vance, M.A., Farrer, L.A., Wang, L.S., Cruchaga, C., Schellenberg, G., Cox, N.J., Haines, J.L., Keene, C.D., Saykin, A.J., Larson, E.B., Sperling, R.A., Mayeux, R., Bennett, D.A., Schneider, J.A., Crane, P.K., Jefferson, A.L., Hohman, T.J., 2020. Genetic variants and functional pathways associated with resilience to Alzheimer's disease. Brain 143 (8), 2561–2575. https:// doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa209.
- Dunn, A.R., O'Connell, K.M.S., Kaczorowski, C.C., 2019. Gene-by-environment interactions in Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 103, 73–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.06.018.
- Durand, A., Wiesner, T., Gardner, M.A., Robitaille, L.E., Bilodeau, A., Gagne, C., De Koninck, P., Lavoie-Cardinal, F., 2018. A machine learning approach for online automated optimization of super-resolution optical microscopy. Nat. Commun. 9 (1), 5247. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07668-y.
- 5247. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07668-y. Ederer, F., Church, T.R., Mandel, J.S., 1993. Sample sizes for prevention trials have been too small. Am. J. Epidemiol. 137 (7), 787–796. https://doi.org/10.1093/ oxfordjournals.aje.a116739.
- Edison, P., Archer, H.A., Gerhard, A., Hinz, R., Pavese, N., Turkheimer, F.E., Hammers, A., Tai, Y.F., Fox, N., Kennedy, A., Rossor, M., Brooks, D.J., 2008. Microglia, amyloid, and cognition in Alzheimer's disease: An [11C](R)PK11195-PET and [11C]PIB-PET study. Neurobiol. Dis. 32 (3), 412–419. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.nbd.2008.08.001.
- Ehrenberg, M., Elf, J., Aurell, E., Sandberg, R., Tegner, J., 2003. Systems biology is taking off. Genome Res. 13 (11), 2377–2380. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1763203.
- Eid, A., Mhatre, I., Richardson, J.R., 2019. Gene-environment interactions in Alzheimer's disease: a potential path to precision medicine. Pharmacol. Ther. 199, 173–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2019.03.005.
- Eisenstein, M., 2020. Smart solutions for automated imaging. Nat. Methods 17 (11), 1075–1079. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-00988-2.
- Elliott, L.T., Sharp, K., Alfaro-Almagro, F., Shi, S., Miller, K.L., Douaud, G., Marchini, J., Smith, S.M., 2018. Genome-wide association studies of brain imaging phenotypes in UK Biobank. Nature 562 (7726), 210–216. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0571-7.
- Elsheikh, S.S.M., Chimusa, E.R., Mulder, N.J., Crimi, A., 2020. Genome-wide association study of brain connectivity changes for Alzheimer's disease. Sci. Rep. 10 (1), 1433. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58291-1.
- Esteban, O., Markiewicz, C.J., Blair, R.W., Moodie, C.A., Isik, A.I., Erramuzpe, A., Kent, J. D., Goncalves, M., DuPre, E., Snyder, M., Oya, H., Ghosh, S.S., Wright, J., Durnez, J., Poldrack, R.A., Gorgolewski, K.J., 2019. fMRIPrep: a robust preprocessing pipeline for functional MRI. Nat. Methods 16 (1), 111–116. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0235-4.
- Falangola, M.F., Dyakin, V.V., Lee, S.P., Bogart, A., Babb, J.S., Duff, K., Nixon, R., Helpern, J.A., 2007. Quantitative MRI reveals aging-associated T2 changes in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. NMR Biomed. 20 (3), 343–351. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/nbm.1163.
- Febo, M., Perez, P.D., Ceballos-Diaz, C., Colon-Perez, L.M., Zeng, H., Ofori, E., Golde, T. E., Vaillancourt, D.E., Chakrabarty, P., 2020. Diffusion magnetic resonance imaging-

derived free water detects neurodegenerative pattern induced by interferon-gamma. Brain Struct. Funct. 225 (1), 427–439. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-019-02017-

- Feng, D., Lau, C., Ng, L., Li, Y., Kuan, L., Sunkin, S.M., Dang, C., Hawrylycz, M., 2015. Exploration and visualization of connectivity in the adult mouse brain. Methods 73, 90–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.01.009.
- Ferreira, D., Verhagen, C., Hernandez-Cabrera, J.A., Cavallin, L., Guo, C.J., Ekman, U., Muehlboeck, J.S., Simmons, A., Barroso, J., Wahlund, L.O., Westman, E., 2017. Distinct subtypes of Alzheimer's disease based on patterns of brain atrophy: longitudinal trajectories and clinical applications. Sci. Rep. 7, 46263. https://doi. org/10.1038/srep46263.
- Finch, C.E., Kulminski, A.M., 2019. The Alzheimer's disease exposome. Alzheimers Dement. 15 (9), 1123–1132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2019.06.3914.
- Finnema, S.J., Nabulsi, N.B., Mercier, J., Lin, S.F., Chen, M.K., Matuskey, D., Gallezot, J. D., Henry, S., Hannestad, J., Huang, Y., Carson, R.E., 2018. Kinetic evaluation and test-retest reproducibility of [(11)C]UCB-J, a novel radioligand for positron emission tomography imaging of synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2A in humans. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 38 (11), 2041–2052. https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X17724947.
- Focke, C., Blume, T., Zott, B., Shi, Y., Deussing, M., Peters, F., Schmidt, C., Kleinberger, G., Lindner, S., Gildehaus, F.J., Beyer, L., von Ungern-Sternberg, B., Bartenstein, P., Ozmen, L., Baumann, K., Dorostkar, M.M., Haass, C., Adelsberger, H., Herms, J., Rominger, A., Brendel, M., 2019. Early and longitudinal microglial activation but not amyloid accumulation predicts cognitive outcome in PS2APP mice. J. Nucl. Med. 60 (4), 548–554. https://doi.org/10.2967/ jnumed.118.217703.
- Foster, N.L., Chase, T.N., Fedio, P., Patronas, N.J., Brooks, R.A., Di Chiro, G., 1983. Alzheimer's disease: focal cortical changes shown by positron emission tomography. Neurology 33 (8), 961–965. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.33.8.961.
- Foster, N.L., Heidebrink, J.L., Clark, C.M., Jagust, W.J., Arnold, S.E., Barbas, N.R., DeCarli, C.S., Turner, R.S., Koeppe, R.A., Higdon, R., Minoshima, S., 2007. FDG-PET improves accuracy in distinguishing frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. Brain: A J. Neurol. 130 (Pt 10), 2616–2635. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/ awm177.
- Franco, R., Cedazo-Minguez, A., 2014. Successful therapies for Alzheimer's disease: why so many in animal models and none in humans? Front. Pharmacol. 5 https://doi. org/10.3389/fphar.2014.00146.
- Freeman, J., Vladimirov, N., Kawashima, T., Mu, Y., Sofroniew, N.J., Bennett, D.V., Rosen, J., Yang, C.T., Looger, I.L., Ahrens, M.B., 2014. Mapping brain activity at scale with cluster computing. Nat. Methods 11 (9), 941–950. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nmeth.3041.
- Furney, S.J., Simmons, A., Breen, G., Pedroso, I., Lunnon, K., Proitsi, P., Hodges, A., Powell, J., Wahlund, L.O., Kloszewska, I., Mecocci, P., Soininen, H., Tsolaki, M., Vellas, B., Spenger, C., Lathrop, M., Shen, L., Kim, S., Saykin, A.J., Weiner, M.W., Lovestone, S., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, AddNeuroMed, C, 2011. Genome-wide association with MRI atrophy measures as a quantitative trait locus for Alzheimer's disease. Mol. Psychiatry 16 (11), 1130–1138. https://doi.org/10.1038/ mp.2010.123.
- Furth, D., Vaissiere, T., Tzortzi, O., Xuan, Y., Martin, A., Lazaridis, I., Spigolon, G., Fisone, G., Tomer, R., Deisseroth, K., Carlen, M., Miller, C.A., Rumbaugh, G., Meletis, K., 2018. An interactive framework for whole-brain maps at cellular resolution. Nat. Neurosci. 21 (1), 139–149. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0027-7.
- Gail Canter, R., Huang, W.C., Choi, H., Wang, J., Ashley Watson, L., Yao, C.G., Abdurrob, F., Bousleiman, S.M., Young, J.Z., Bennett, D.A., Delalle, I., Chung, K., Tsai, L.H., 2019. 3D mapping reveals network-specific amyloid progression and subcortical susceptibility in mice. Commun. Biol. 2, 360. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s42003-019-0599-8.
- Gatz, M., Reynolds, C.A., Fratiglioni, L., Johansson, B., Mortimer, J.A., Berg, S., Fiske, A., Pedersen, N.L., 2006. Role of genes and environments for explaining Alzheimer disease. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 63 (2), 168. https://doi.org/10.1001/ archpsyc.63.2.168.
- Gillette-Guyonnet, S., Nourhashemi, F., Andrieu, S., de Glisezinski, I., Ousset, P.J., Riviere, D., Albarede, J.L., Vellas, B., 2000. Weight loss in Alzheimer disease. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 71 (2), 637S–642S. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/71.2.637s.
- Götz, J., Bodea, L.-G., Goedert, M., 2018. Rodent models for Alzheimer disease. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 19 (10), 583–598. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-018-0054-8.
- Granic, I., Masman, M.F., Luiten, P.G., Eisel, U.L., 2010. Braak staging in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. Am. J. Pathol. 177 (4), 1603–1605. https://doi.org/10.2353/ ajpath.2010.100656.
- Grasby, K.L., Jahanshad, N., Painter, J.N., Colodro-Conde, L., Bralten, J., Hibar, D.P., Lind, P.A., Pizzagalli, F., Ching, C.R.K., McMahon, M.A.B., Shatokhina, N., Zsembik, L.C.P., Thomopoulos, S.I., Zhu, A.H., Strike, L.T., Agartz, I., Alhusaini, S., Almeida, M.A.A., Alnaes, D., Amlien, I.K., Andersson, M., Ard, T., Armstrong, N.J., Ashley-Koch, A., Atkins, J.R., Bernard, M., Brouwer, R.M., Buimer, E.E.L., Bulow, R., Burger, C., Cannon, D.M., Chakravarty, M., Chen, Q., Cheung, J.W., Couvy-Duchesne, B., Dale, A.M., Dalvie, S., de Araujo, T.K., de Zubicaray, G.I., de Zwarte, S. M.C., den Braber, A., Doan, N.T., Dohm, K., Ehrlich, S., Engelbrecht, H.R., Erk, S., Fan, C.C., Fedko, I.O., Foley, S.F., Ford, J.M., Fukunaga, M., Garrett, M.E., Ge, T., Giddaluru, S., Goldman, A.L., Green, M.J., Groenewold, N.A., Grotegerd, D., Gurholt, T.P., Gutman, B.A., Hansell, N.K., Harris, M.A., Harrison, M.B., Haswell, C. C., Hauser, M., Herms, S., Heslenfeld, D.J., Ho, N.F., Hoehn, D., Hoffmann, P., Holleran, L., Hoogman, M., Hottenga, J.J., Ikeda, M., Janowitz, D., Jansen, I.E. Jia, T., Jockwitz, C., Kanai, R., Karama, S., Kasperaviciute, D., Kaufmann, T., Kelly, S., Kikuchi, M., Klein, M., Knapp, M., Knodt, A.R., Kramer, B., Lam, M., Lancaster, T.M., Lee, P.H., Lett, T.A., Lewis, L.B., Lopes-Cendes, I., Luciano, M., Macciardi, F., Marquand, A.F., Mathias, S.R., Melzer, T.R., Milaneschi, Y., Mirza-

Schreiber, N., Moreira, J.C.V., Muhleisen, T.W., Muller-Myhsok, B., Najt, P., Nakahara, S., Nho, K., Olde Loohuis, L.M., Orfanos, D.P., Pearson, J.F., Pitcher, T.L., Putz, B., Quide, Y., Ragothaman, A., Rashid, F.M., Reay, W.R., Redlich, R., Reinbold, C.S., Repple, J., Richard, G., Riedel, B.C., Risacher, S.L., Rocha, C.S., Mota, N.R., Salminen, L., Saremi, A., Saykin, A.J., Schlag, F., Schmaal, L., Schofield, P.R., Secolin, R., Shapland, C.Y., Shen, L., Shin, J., Shumskaya, E., Sonderby, I.E., Sprooten, E., Tansey, K.E., Teumer, A., Thalamuthu, A., Tordesillas-Gutierrez, D., Turner, J.A., Uhlmann, A., Vallerga, C.L., van der Meer, D., van Donkelaar, M.M.J., van Eijk, L., van Erp, T.G.M., van Haren, N.E.M., van Rooij, D., van Tol, M.J., Veldink, J.H., Verhoef, E., Walton, E., Wang, M., Wang, Y., Wardlaw, J.M., Wen, W., Westlye, L.T., Whelan, C.D., Witt, S.H., Wittfeld, K., Wolf, C., Wolfers, T., Wu, J.Q., Yasuda, C.L., Zaremba, D., Zhang, Z., Zwiers, M.P., Artiges, E., Assareh, A.A., Ayesa-Arriola, R., Belger, A., Brandt, C.L., Brown, G.G., Cichon, S., Curran, J.E., Davies, G.E., Degenhardt, F., Dennis, M.F., Dietsche, B., Djurovic, S., Doherty, C.P., Espiritu, R., Garijo, D., Gil, Y., Gowland, P.A., Green, R. C., Hausler, A.N., Heindel, W., Ho, B.C., Hoffmann, W.U., Holsboer, F., Homuth, G., Hosten, N., Jack Jr., C.R., Jang, M., Jansen, A., Kimbrel, N.A., Kolskar, K., Koops, S., Krug, A., Lim, K.O., Luykx, J.J., Mathalon, D.H., Mather, K.A., Mattay, V.S., Matthews, S., Mayoral Van Son, J., McEwen, S.C., Melle, I., Morris, D.W., Mueller, B. A., Nauck, M., Nordvik, J.E., Nothen, M.M., O'Leary, D.S., Opel, N., Martinot, M.P., Pike, G.B., Preda, A., Quinlan, E.B., Rasser, P.E., Ratnakar, V., Reppermund, S., Steen, V.M., Tooney, P.A., Torres, F.R., Veltman, D.J., Voyvodic, J.T., Whelan, R., White, T., Yamamori, H., Adams, H.H.H., Bis, J.C., Debette, S., Decarli, C., Fornage, M., Gudnason, V., Hofer, E., Ikram, M.A., Launer, L., Longstreth, W.T., Lopez, O.L., Mazoyer, B., Mosley, T.H., Roshchupkin, G.V., Satizabal, C.L., Schmidt, R., Seshadri, S., Yang, Q., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I., Consortium, C., Consortium, E., Consortium, I., Consortium, S.Y.S., Parkinson's Progression Markers, I., Alvim, M.K.M., Ames, D., Anderson, T.J., Andreassen, O.A., Arias-Vasquez, A., Bastin, M.E., Baune, B.T., Beckham, J.C., Blangero, J., Boomsma, D.I., Brodaty, H., Brunner, H.G., Buckner, R.L., Buitelaar, J.K., Bustillo, J. R., Cahn, W., Cairns, M.J., Calhoun, V., Carr, V.J., Caseras, X., Caspers, S., Cavalleri, G.L., Cendes, F., Corvin, A., Crespo-Facorro, B., Dalrymple-Alford, J.C., Dannlowski, U., de Geus, E.J.C., Deary, I.J., Delanty, N., Depondt, C., Desrivieres, S., Donohoe, G., Espeseth, T., Fernandez, G., Fisher, S.E., Flor, H., Forstner, A.J., Francks, C., Franke, B., Glahn, D.C., Gollub, R.L., Grabe, H.J., Gruber, O., Haberg, A. K., Hariri, A.R., Hartman, C.A., Hashimoto, R., Heinz, A., Henskens, F.A., Hillegers, M.H.J., Hoekstra, P.J., Holmes, A.J., Hong, L.E., Hopkins, W.D., Hulshoff Pol, H.E., Jernigan, T.L., Jonsson, E.G., Kahn, R.S., Kennedy, M.A., Kircher, T.T.J., Kochunov, P., Kwok, J.B.J., Le Hellard, S., Loughland, C.M., Martin, N.G., Martinot, J.L., McDonald, C., McMahon, K.L., Meyer-Lindenberg, A., Michie, P.T., Morey, R.A., Mowry, B., Nyberg, L., Oosterlaan, J., Ophoff, R.A., Pantelis, C. Paus, T., Pausova, Z., Penninx, B., Polderman, T.J.C., Posthuma, D., Rietschel, M., Roffman, J.L., Rowland, L.M., Sachdev, P.S., Samann, P.G., Schall, U., Schumann, G., Scott, R.J., Sim, K., Sisodiya, S.M., Smoller, J.W., Sommer, I.E., St Pourcain, B., Stein, D.J., Toga, A.W., Trollor, J.N., Van der Wee, N.J.A., van t'Ent, D., Volzke, H., Walter, H., Weber, B., Weinberger, D.R., Wright, M.J., Zhou, J., Stein, J.L., Thompson, P.M., Medland, S.E., Enhancing NeuroImaging Genetics through Meta-Analysis Consortium -Genetics Working, G, 2020. The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. Science 367 (6484). https://doi.org/10.1126/science. aav6690

- Graw, S., Chappell, K., Washam, C.L., Gies, A., Bird, J., Robeson 2nd, M.S., Byrum, S.D., 2021. Multi-omics data integration considerations and study design for biological systems and disease. Mol. Omics 17 (2), 170–185. https://doi.org/10.1039/ d0mo00041h.
- Grill, J.D., Di, L., Lu, P.H., Lee, C., Ringman, J., Apostolova, L.G., Chow, N., Kohannim, O., Cummings, J.L., Thompson, P.M., Elashoff, D., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2013. Estimating sample sizes for predementia Alzheimer's trials based on the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Neurobiol. Aging 34 (1), 62–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2012.03.006.
- Groh, J.R., Stage, E., Logan, P.E., Iaccarino, L., Joie, R., Aisen, P.S., Eloyan, A., Fagan, A. M., Foroud, T.M., Gatsonis, C., Jack, C.R., Kramer, J.H., Koeppe, R.A., Saykin, A.J., Toga, A.W., Vemuri, P., Day, G.S., Graff-Radford, N.R., Honig, L.S., Jones, D.T., Masdeu, J.C., Mendez, M.F., Onyike, C.U., Rogalski, E.J., Carrillo, M.C., Dickerson, B.C., Apostolova, L.G., 2020. Sex-associated differences in pathology burden in early-onset Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 16 (S5) https://doi. org/10.1002/alz.046532.
- Gurdon, B., Hadad, N., Telpoukhovskaia, M., Yates, S., Amedjkouh Puchades, M., Bjaalie, J., Kaczorowski, C., 2020. Brain-wide spatial analysis to identify regionspecific changesin cell composition associated with resilience to Alzheimer's disease in the AD-BXD mouse population. Alzheimers Dement. 16 https://doi.org/10.1002/ alz.047613.
- Haas, M., Stephenson, D., Romero, K., Gordon, M.F., Zach, N., Geerts, H., Brain Health Modeling, I, 2016. Big data to smart data in Alzheimer's disease: Real-world examples of advanced modeling and simulation. Alzheimers Dement. 12 (9), 1022–1030. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.05.005.
- Hampel, H., O'Bryant, S.E., Castrillo, J.I., Ritchie, C., Rojkova, K., Broich, K., Benda, N., Nistico, R., Frank, R.A., Dubois, B., Escott-Price, V., Lista, S., 2016. Precision medicine - the golden gate for detection, treatment and prevention of Alzheimer's disease. J. Prev. Alzheimers Dis. 3 (4), 243–259. https://doi.org/10.14283/ jpad.2016.112.
- Hampel, H., Toschi, N., Babiloni, C., Baldacci, F., Black, K.L., Bokde, A.L.W., Bun, R.S., Cacciola, F., Cavedo, E., Chiesa, P.A., Colliot, O., Coman, C.M., Dubois, B., Duggento, A., Durrleman, S., Ferretti, M.T., George, N., Genthon, R., Habert, M.O., Herholz, K., Koronyo, Y., Koronyo-Hamaoui, M., Lamari, F., Langevin, T., Lehericy, S., Lorenceau, J., Neri, C., Nistico, R., Nyasse-Messene, F., Ritchie, C., Rossi, S., Santarnecchi, E., Sporns, O., Verdooner, S.R., Vergallo, A., Villain, N.,

Younesi, E., Garaci, F., Lista, S., Alzheimer Precision Medicine, I, 2018. Revolution of Alzheimer precision neurology. Passageway of systems biology and neurophysiology. J. Alzheimers Dis. 64 (s1), S47–S105. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-179932.

- Hampel, H., Lista, S., Neri, C., Vergallo, A., 2019a. Time for the systems-level integration of aging: resilience enhancing strategies to prevent Alzheimer's disease. Prog. Neurobiol. 181, 101662 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2019.101662.
- Hampel, H., Vergallo, A., Perry, G., Lista, S., Alzheimer Precision Medicine, J. 2019b. The Alzheimer precision medicine initiative. J. Alzheimers Dis. 68 (1), 1–24. https://doi. org/10.3233/JAD-181121.
- Hampel, H., Nistico, R., Seyfried, N.T., Levey, A.I., Modeste, E., Lemercier, P., Baldacci, F., Toschi, N., Garaci, F., Perry, G., Emanuele, E., Valenzuela, P.L., Lucia, A., Urbani, A., Sancesario, G.M., Mapstone, M., Corbo, M., Vergallo, A., Lista, S., Alzheimer Precision Medicine, I, 2021. Omics sciences for systems biology in Alzheimer's disease: state-of-the-art of the evidence. Ageing Res. Rev. 69, 101346 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2021.101346.
- Han, S., Shin, J., Jung, H., Ryu, J., Minassie, H., Nho, K., Koh, I., Lee, Y., 2021. ADASviewer: web-based application for integrative analysis of multi-omics data in Alzheimer's disease. NPJ Syst. Biol. Appl. 7 (1), 18. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41540-021-00177-7.
- Hardy, J., Selkoe, D.J., 2002. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: progress and problems on the road to therapeutics. Science 297 (5580), 353–356. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.1072994.

Hardy, J.A., Higgins, G.A., 1992. Alzheimer's disease: the amyloid cascade hypothesis. Science 256 (5054), 184–186.

- Harrison, J.R., Bhatia, S., Tan, Z.X., Mirza-Davies, A., Benkert, H., Tax, C.M.W., Jones, D. K., 2020. Imaging Alzheimer's genetic risk using diffusion MRI: a systematic review. Neuroimage Clin. 27, 102359 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102359.
- Hawrylycz, M., Baldock, R.A., Burger, A., Hashikawa, T., Johnson, G.A., Martone, M., Ng, L., Lau, C., Larson, S.D., Nissanov, J., Puelles, L., Ruffins, S., Verbeek, F., Zaslavsky, I., Boline, J., 2011. Digital atlasing and standardization in the mouse brain. PLoS Comput. Biol. 7 (2), e1001065 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pcbi.1001065.
- He, J., Huisken, J., 2020. Image quality guided smart rotation improves coverage in microscopy. Nat. Commun. 11 (1), 150. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13821-y.
- Hebert, L.E., Weuve, J., Scherr, P.A., Evans, D.A., 2013. Alzheimer disease in the United States (2010-2050) estimated using the 2010 census. Neurology 80 (19), 1778–1783. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828726f5.
- Helmstaedter, M., Mitra, P.P., 2012. Computational methods and challenges for largescale circuit mapping. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 22 (1), 162–169. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.conb.2011.11.010.
- Hernandez-de-Diego, R., Tarazona, S., Martinez-Mira, C., Balzano-Nogueira, L., Furio-Tari, P., Pappas Jr., G.J., Conesa, A., 2018. PaintOmics 3: a web resource for the pathway analysis and visualization of multi-omics data. Nucleic Acids Res. 46 (W1), W503–W509. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky466.
- Heuer, S.E., Neuner, S.M., Hadad, N., O'Connell, K.M.S., Williams, R.W., Philip, V.M., Gaiteri, C., Kaczorowski, C.C., 2020. Identifying the molecular systems that influence cognitive resilience to Alzheimer's disease in genetically diverse mice. Learn. Mem. 27 (9), 355–371. https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.051839.120.
- Hiesinger, P.R., Hassan, B.A., 2005. Genetics in the age of systems biology. Cell 123 (7), 1173–1174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.12.006.
 Hjornevik, T., Leergaard, T.B., Darine, D., Moldestad, O., Dale, A.M., Willoch, F.,
- Hjornevik, T., Leergaard, T.B., Darine, D., Moldestad, O., Dale, A.M., Willoch, F., Bjaalie, J.G., 2007. Three-dimensional atlas system for mouse and rat brain imaging data. Front. Neuroinform. 1, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/neuro.11.004.2007.
- Hodes, R.J., Buckholtz, N., 2016. Accelerating medicines partnership: Alzheimer's disease (AMP-AD) knowledge portal aids Alzheimer's drug discovery through open data sharing. Expert Opin. Ther. Targets 20 (4), 389–391. https://doi.org/10.1517/ 14728222.2016.1135132.
- Hofer, E., Roshchupkin, G.V., Adams, H.H.H., Knol, M.J., Lin, H., Li, S., Zare, H., Ahmad, S., Armstrong, N.J., Satizabal, C.L., Bernard, M., Bis, J.C., Gillespie, N.A., Luciano, M., Mishra, A., Scholz, M., Teumer, A., Xia, R., Jian, X., Mosley, T.H., Saba, Y., Pirpamer, L., Seiler, S., Becker, J.T., Carmichael, O., Rotter, J.I., Psaty, B. M., Lopez, O.L., Amin, N., van der Lee, S.J., Yang, Q., Himali, J.J., Maillard, P., Beiser, A.S., DeCarli, C., Karama, S., Lewis, L., Harris, M., Bastin, M.E., Deary, I.J., Veronica Witte, A., Beyer, F., Loeffler, M., Mather, K.A., Schofield, P.R., Thalamuthu, A., Kwok, J.B., Wright, M.J., Ames, D., Trollor, J., Jiang, J., Brodaty, H., Wen, W., Vernooij, M.W., Hofman, A., Uitterlinden, A.G., Niessen, W.J., Wittfeld, K., Bulow, R., Volker, U., Pausova, Z., Bruce Pike, G., Maingault, S., Crivello, F., Tzourio, C., Amouyel, P., Mazoyer, B., Neale, M.C., Franz, C.E., Lyons, M.J., Panizzon, M.S., Andreassen, O.A., Dale, A.M., Logue, M., Grasby, K.L., Jahanshad, N., Painter, J.N., Colodro-Conde, L., Bralten, J., Hibar, D.P., Lind, P.A., Pizzagalli, F., Stein, J.L., Thompson, P.M., Medland, S.E., Consortium, E., Sachdev, P.S., Kremen, W.S., Wardlaw, J.M., Villringer, A., van Duijn, C.M., Grabe, H.J., Longstreth Jr., W.T., Fornage, M., Paus, T., Debette, S., Ikram, M.A., Schmidt, H., Schmidt, R., Seshadri, S., 2020. Genetic correlations and genome-wide associations of cortical structure in general population samples of 22,824 adults. Nat. Commun. 11 (1), 4796. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18367-y.
- Hoffman, J.M., Welsh-Bohmer, K.A., Hanson, M., Crain, B., Hulette, C., Earl, N., Coleman, R.E., 2000. FDG PET imaging in patients with pathologically verified dementia. J. Nucl. Med. 41 (11), 1920–1928.
- Hohman, T.J., Kaczorowski, C.C., 2020. Modifiable lifestyle factors in Alzheimer disease: an opportunity to transform the therapeutic landscape through transdisciplinary collaboration. JAMA Neurol. 77 (10), 1207–1209. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamaneurol.2020.1114.

- Hohman, T.J., McLaren, D.G., Mormino, E.C., Gifford, K.A., Libon, D.J., Jefferson, A.L., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2016. Asymptomatic Alzheimer disease: Defining resilience. Neurology 87 (23), 2443–2450. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.000000000003397.
- Hua, X., Leow, A.D., Parikshak, N., Lee, S., Chiang, M.-C., Toga, A.W., Jack, C.R., Weiner, M.W., Thompson, P.M., 2008. Tensor-based morphometry as a neuroimaging biomarker for Alzheimer's disease: an MRI study of 676 AD, MCI, and normal subjects. NeuroImage 43 (3), 458–469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2008.07.013.
- Huang, S., Chaudhary, K., Garmire, L.X., 2017. More is better: recent progress in multiomics data integration methods. Front. Genet. 8, 84. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fgene.2017.00084.
- Huang, Z., Zhan, X., Xiang, S., Johnson, T.S., Helm, B., Yu, C.Y., Zhang, J., Salama, P., Rizkalla, M., Han, Z., Huang, K., 2019. SALMON: survival analysis learning with multi-omics neural networks on breast cancer. Front. Genet. 10, 166. https://doi. org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00166.
- Hubbard, B.M., Fentonm, G.W., Anderson, J.M., 1990. A quantitative histological study of early clinical and preclinical Alzheimer's disease. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. 16 (2), 111–121. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2990.1990.tb00940.x.
- Jack, C.R., Knopman, D.S., Jagust, W.J., Petersen, R.C., Weiner, M.W., Aisen, P.S., Shaw, L.M., Vemuri, P., Wiste, H.J., Weigand, S.D., Lesnick, T.G., Pankratz, V.S., Donohue, M.C., Trojanowski, J.Q., 2013. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. Lancet Neurol. 12 (2), 207–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70291-0.
- Jack Jr., C.R., Knopman, D.S., Jagust, W.J., Shaw, L.M., Aisen, P.S., Weiner, M.W., Petersen, R.C., Trojanowski, J.Q., 2010. Hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers of the Alzheimer's pathological cascade. Lancet Neurol. 9 (1), 119–128. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70299-6.
- Jack Jr., C.R., Wiste, H.J., Schwarz, C.G., Lowe, V.J., Senjem, M.L., Vemuri, P., Weigand, S.D., Therneau, T.M., Knopman, D.S., Gunter, J.L., Jones, D.T., Graff-Radford, J., Kantarci, K., Roberts, R.O., Mielke, M.M., Machulda, M.M., Petersen, R. C., 2018. Longitudinal tau PET in ageing and Alzheimer's disease. Brain 141 (5), 1517–1528. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awv059.
- Jagust, W., Gitcho, A., Sun, F., Kuczynski, B., Mungas, D., Haan, M., 2006. Brain imaging evidence of preclinical Alzheimer's disease in normal aging. Ann. Neurol. 59 (4), 673–681. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.20799.
- Jankowsky, J.L., Zheng, H., 2017. Practical considerations for choosing a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Mol. Neurodegener. 12 (1), 89. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13024-017-0231-7.
- Ji, S., Fakhry, A., Deng, H., 2014. Integrative analysis of the connectivity and gene expression atlases in the mouse brain. NeuroImage 84, 245–253. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.08.049.
- Johnson, D.K., Wilkins, C.H., Morris, J.C., 2006. Accelerated weight loss may precede diagnosis in Alzheimer disease. Arch. Neurol. 63 (9), 1312–1317. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/archneur.63.9.1312.
- Johnson, G.A., Badea, A., Brandenburg, J., Cofer, G., Fubara, B., Liu, S., Nissanov, J., 2010. Waxholm space: an image-based reference for coordinating mouse brain research. NeuroImage 53 (2), 365–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2010.06.067.
- Johnson, K.A., Fox, N.C., Sperling, R.A., Klunk, W.E., 2012. Brain imaging in Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Med. 2 (4) https://doi.org/10.1101/ cshperspect.a006213.
- Joshi, A., Ringman, J.M., Lee, A.S., Juarez, K.O., Mendez, M.F., 2012. Comparison of clinical characteristics between familial and non-familial early onset Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurol. 259 (10), 2182–2188. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-012-6481-v.
- Karkkainen, M., Prakash, M., Zare, M., Tohka, J., For the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2020. Structural brain imaging phenotypes of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) found by hierarchical clustering. Int. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2020, 2142854. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2142854.
- Karow, D.S., McEvoy, L.K., Fennema-Notestine, C., Hagler Jr., D.J., Jennings, R.G., Brewer, J.B., Hoh, C.K., Dale, A.M., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2010. Relative capability of MR imaging and FDG PET to depict changes associated with prodromal and early Alzheimer disease. Radiology 256 (3), 932–942. https://doi. org/10.1148/radiol.10091402.
- Keene, C.D., Darvas, M., Kraemer, B., Liggitt, D., Sigurdson, C., Ladiges, W., 2016. Neuropathological assessment and validation of mouse models for Alzheimer's disease: applying NIA-AA guidelines. Pathobiol. Aging Age Relat. Dis. 6, 32397. https://doi.org/10.3402/pba.v6.32397.
- Khanna, S., Domingo-Fernandez, D., Iyappan, A., Emon, M.A., Hofmann-Apitius, M., Frohlich, H., 2018. Using multi-scale genetic, neuroimaging and clinical data for predicting Alzheimer's disease and reconstruction of relevant biological mechanisms. Sci. Rep. 8 (1), 11173. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29433-3.
- (7715), S13-S15. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-05722-9.
- Kirschner, M.W., 2005. The meaning of systems biology. Cell 121 (4), 503–504. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.05.005.
- Kitano, H., 2002a. Computational systems biology. Nature 420 (6912), 206–210. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature01254.
- Kitano, H., 2002b. Systems biology: a brief overview. Science 295 (5560), 1662–1664. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1069492.
- Knutson, K.A., Deng, Y., Pan, W., 2020. Implicating causal brain imaging endophenotypes in Alzheimer's disease using multivariable IWAS and GWAS summary data. NeuroImage 223, 117347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2020.117347.

- Kolanko, M.A., Malhotra, P.A., 2018. Exploring Alzheimer's disease subtypes at the prodromal stage. Brain 141 (12), 3285–3287. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/ awy282.
- Kolinger, G.D., Vallez Garcia, D., Willemsen, A.T.M., Reesink, F.E., de Jong, B.M., Dierckx, R., De Deyn, P.P., Boellaard, R., 2021. Amyloid burden quantification depends on PET and MR image processing methodology. PLoS One 16 (3), e0248122. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248122.
- Krassowski, M., Das, V., Sahu, S.K., Misra, B.B., 2020. State of the field in multi-omics research: from computational needs to data mining and sharing. Front. Genet. 11, 610798 https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.610798.
- Kreisl, W.C., Lyoo, C.H., McGwier, M., Snow, J., Jenko, K.J., Kimura, N., Corona, W., Morse, C.L., Zoghbi, S.S., Pike, V.W., McMahon, F.J., Turner, R.S., Innis, R.B., Biomarkers Consortium, P. E. T. R. P. T, 2013. In vivo radioligand binding to translocator protein correlates with severity of Alzheimer's disease. Brain 136 (Pt 7), 2228–2238. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt145.
- Kreisl, W.C., Lyoo, C.H., Liow, J.S., Wei, M., Snow, J., Page, E., Jenko, K.J., Morse, C.L., Zoghbi, S.S., Pike, V.W., Turner, R.S., Innis, R.B., 2016. (11)C-PBR28 binding to translocator protein increases with progression of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 44, 53–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.04.011.
- Kudo, Y., Okamura, N., Furumoto, S., Tashiro, M., Furukawa, K., Maruyama, M., Itoh, M., Iwata, R., Yanai, K., Arai, H., 2007. 2-(2-[2-Dimethylaminothiazol-5-yl]ethenyl)-6-(2-[fluoro]ethoxy)benzoxazole: a novel PET agent for in vivo detection of dense amyloid plaques in Alzheimer's disease patients. J. Nucl. Med. 48 (4), 553–561. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.106.037556.
- Kunkle, B.W., Grenier-Boley, B., Sims, R., Bis, J.C., Damotte, V., Naj, A.C., Boland, A., Vronskaya, M., van der Lee, S.J., Amlie-Wolf, A., Bellenguez, C., Frizatti, A., Chouraki, V., Martin, E.R., Sleegers, K., Badarinarayan, N., Jakobsdottir, J., Hamilton-Nelson, K.L., Moreno-Grau, S., Olaso, R., Raybould, R., Chen, Y., Kuzma, A.B., Hiltunen, M., Morgan, T., Ahmad, S., Vardarajan, B.N., Epelbaum, J., Hoffmann, P., Boada, M., Beecham, G.W., Garnier, J.G., Harold, D., Fitzpatrick, A.L., Valladares, O., Moutet, M.L., Gerrish, A., Smith, A.V., Qu, L., Bacq, D., Denning, N., Jian, X., Zhao, Y., Del Zompo, M., Fox, N.C., Choi, S.H., Mateo, I., Hughes, J.T. Adams, H.H., Malamon, J., Sanchez-Garcia, F., Patel, Y., Brody, J.A., Dombroski, B. A., Naranjo, M.C.D., Daniilidou, M., Eiriksdottir, G., Mukherjee, S., Wallon, D., Uphill, J., Aspelund, T., Cantwell, L.B., Garzia, F., Galimberti, D., Hofer, E., Butkiewicz, M., Fin, B., Scarpini, E., Sarnowski, C., Bush, W.S., Meslage, S., Kornhuber, J., White, C.C., Song, Y., Barber, R.C., Engelborghs, S., Sordon, S., Voijnovic, D., Adams, P.M., Vandenberghe, R., Mayhaus, M., Cupples, L.A., Albert, M.S., De Deyn, P.P., Gu, W., Himali, J.J., Beekly, D., Squassina, A., Hartmann, A.M., Orellana, A., Blacker, D., Rodriguez-Rodriguez, E., Lovestone, S., Garcia, M.E., Doody, R.S., Munoz-Fernadez, C., Sussams, R., Lin, H., Fairchild, T.J., Benito, Y.A., Holmes, C., Karamujic-Comic, H., Frosch, M.P., Thonberg, H., Maier, W., Roshchupkin, G., Ghetti, B., Giedraitis, V., Kawalia, A., Li, S., Huebinger, R.M., Kilander, L., Moebus, S., Hernandez, I., Kamboh, M.I., Brundin, R., Turton, J., Yang, Q., Katz, M.J., Concari, L., Lord, J., Beiser, A.S., Keene, C.D., Helisalmi, S., Kloszewska, I., Kukull, W.A., Koivisto, A.M., Lynch, A., Tarraga, L., Larson, E.B., Haapasalo, A., Lawlor, B., Mosley, T.H., Cruchaga, C., Graff, C., Gwilliam, R., Fornage, M., Goate, A.M., Sanchez-Juan, P., Kehoe, P.G., Amin, N., Ertekin-Taner, N., Berr, C., Debette, S., Love, S., Launer, L.J., Younkin, S.G., Dartigues, J.F., Corcoran, C., Ikram, M.A., Dickson, D.W., Nicolas, G., Campion, D., Tschanz, J., Schmidt, H., Hakonarson, H., Clarimon, J., Munger, R., Schmidt, R., Farrer, L.A., Van Broeckhoven, C., De Stefano, A.L., Jones, L., Haines, J.L., Deleuze, J.F., Owen, M.J., Gudnason, V., Mayeux, R., Escott-Price, V., Psaty, B.M., Ramirez, A., Wang, L.S., Ruiz, A., van Duijn, C.M., Holmans, P.A., Seshadri, S., Williams, J., Amouyel, P., Schellenberg, G.D., Lambert, J.C., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Alzheimer Disease Genetics, C, European Alzheimer's Disease, I., Cohorts for, H. Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology, C., Genetic, Environmental Risk in Ad/ Defining Genetic, P., & Environmental Risk for Alzheimer's Disease, C. 2019. Genetic meta-analysis of diagnosed Alzheimer's disease identifies new risk loci and implicates Abeta, tau, immunity and lipid processing. Nat. Genet. 51 (3), 414-430. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0358-2
- Kuo, T.C., Tian, T.F., Tseng, Y.J., 2013. 30mics: a web-based systems biology tool for analysis, integration and visualization of human transcriptomic, proteomic and metabolomic data. BMC Syst. Biol. 7, 64. https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-7-64.
- Lagarde, J., Sarazin, M., Bottlaender, M., 2018. In vivo PET imaging of neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. J. Neural Transm. (Vienna) 125 (5), 847–867. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-017-1731-x.
- Lam, S., Bayraktar, A., Zhang, C., Turkez, H., Nielsen, J., Boren, J., Shoaie, S., Uhlen, M., Mardinoglu, A., 2020. A systems biology approach for studying neurodegenerative diseases. Drug Discov. Today 25 (7), 1146–1159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. drudis.2020.05.010.

Lambert, J.-C., Ibrahim-Verbaas, C.A., Harold, D., Naj, A.C., Sims, R., Bellenguez, C., Jun, G., Destefano, A.L., Bis, J.C., Beecham, G.W., Grenier-Boley, B., Russo, G., Thornton-Wells, T.A., Jones, N., Smith, A.V., Chouraki, V., Thomas, C., Ikram, M.A., Zelenika, D., Vardarajan, B.N., Kamatani, Y., Lin, C.-F., Gerrish, A., Schmidt, H., Kunkle, B., Dunstan, M.L., Ruiz, A., Bihoreau, M.-T., Choi, S.-H., Reitz, C., Pasquier, F., Hollingworth, P., Ramirez, A., Hanon, O., Fitzpatrick, A.L., Buxbaum, J. D., Campion, D., Crane, P.K., Baldwin, C., Becker, T., Gudnason, V., Cruchaga, C., Craig, D., Amin, N., Berr, C., Lopez, O.L., De Jager, P.L., Deramecourt, V., Johnston, J.A., Evans, D., Lovestone, S., Letenneur, L., Morón, F.J., Rubinsztein, D. C., Eiriksdottir, G., Sleegers, K., Goate, A.M., Fiévet, N., Huentelman, M.J., Gill, M., Brown, K., Kamboh, M.I., Keller, L., Barberger-Gateau, P., McGuinness, B., Larson, E. B., Green, R., Myers, A.J., Dufouil, C., Todd, S., Wallon, D., Love, S., Rogaeva, E., Gallacher, J., St George-Hyslop, P., Clarimon, J., Lleo, A., Bayer, A., Isuang, D.W., Yu, L., Tsolaki, M., Bossù, P., Spalletta, G., Proitsi, P., Collinge, J., Sorbi, S., Sanchez-Garcia, F., Fox, N.C., Hardy, J., Naranjo, M.C.D., Bosco, P., Clarke, R., Brayne, C.,

Galimberti, D., Mancuso, M., Matthews, F., Moebus, S., Mecocci, P., Del Zompo, M., Maier, W., Hampel, H., Pilotto, A., Bullido, M., Panza, F., Caffarra, P., Nacmias, B., Gilbert, J.R., Mayhaus, M., Lannfelt, L., Hakonarson, H., Pichler, S., Carrasquillo, M. M., Ingelsson, M., Beekly, D., Alvarez, V., Zou, F., Valladares, O., Younkin, S.G., Coto, E., Hamilton-Nelson, K.L., Gu, W., Razquin, C., Pastor, P., Mateo, I., Owen, M. J., Faber, K.M., Jonsson, P.V., Combarros, O., O'Donovan, M.C., Cantwell, L.B., Soininen, H., Blacker, D., Mead, S., Mosley, T.H., Bennett, D.A., Harris, T.B., Fratiglioni, L., Holmes, C., de Bruijn, R.F.A.G., Passmore, P., Montine, T.J., Bettens, K., Rotter, J.I., Brice, A., Morgan, K., Foroud, T.M., Kukull, W.A., Hannequin, D., Powell, J.F., Nalls, M.A., Ritchie, K., Lunetta, K.L., Kauwe, J.S.K., Boerwinkle, E., Riemenschneider, M., Boada, M., Hiltunen, M., Martin, E.R., Schmidt, R., Rujescu, D., Wang, L.-S., Dartigues, J.-F., Mayeux, R., Tzourio, C., Hofman, A., Nöthen, M.M., Graff, C., Psaty, B.M., Jones, L., Haines, J.L., Holmans, P. A., Lathrop, M., Pericak-Vance, M.A., Launer, L.J., Farrer, L.A., van Duijn, C.M., Van Broeckhoven, C., Moskvina, V., Seshadri, S., Williams, J., Schellenberg, G.D., Amouyel, P., 2013. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nat. Genet. 45 (12), 1452-1458. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ng.2802.

- Landau, S.M., Thomas, B.A., Thurfjell, L., Schmidt, M., Margolin, R., Mintun, M., Pontecorvo, M., Baker, S.L., Jagust, W.J., 2014. Amyloid PET imaging in Alzheimer's disease: a comparison of three radiotracers. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 41 (7), 1398–1407. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-014-2753-3.
- Langley, G.R., 2014. Considering a new paradigm for Alzheimer's disease research. Drug Discov. Today 19 (8), 1114–1124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2014.03.013.
- Lawrence, E., Vegvari, C., Ower, A., Hadjichrysanthou, C., De Wolf, F., Anderson, R.M., 2017. A systematic review of longitudinal studies which measure Alzheimer's disease biomarkers. J. Alzheimers Dis. 59 (4), 1359–1379. https://doi.org/10.3233/ JAD-170261.
- Leandrou, S., Petroudi, S., Kyriacou, P.A., Reyes-Aldasoro, C.C., Pattichis, C.S., 2018. Quantitative MRI brain studies in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: a methodological review. IEEE Rev. Biomed. Eng. 11, 97–111. https://doi. org/10.1109/RBME.2018.2796598.
- Lemere, C.A., Masliah, E., 2010. Can Alzheimer disease be prevented by amyloid-β immunotherapy? Nat. Rev. Neurol. 6 (2), 108–119. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrneurol.2009.219.
- Leuzy, A., Chiotis, K., Lemoine, L., Gillberg, P.G., Almkvist, O., Rodriguez-Vieitez, E., Nordberg, A., 2019. Tau PET imaging in neurodegenerative tauopathies-still a challenge. Mol. Psychiatry 24 (8), 1112–1134. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-018-0342-8.
- Levin, F., Ferreira, D., Lange, C., Dyrba, M., Westman, E., Buchert, R., Teipel, S.J., Grothe, M.J., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I., 2021. Data-driven FDG-PET subtypes of Alzheimer's disease-related neurodegeneration. Alzheimers Res Ther 13 (1), 49. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-021-00785-9.
- Li, J.Q., Wang, H.F., Zhu, X.C., Sun, F.R., Tan, M.S., Tan, C.C., Jiang, T., Tan, L., Yu, J.T., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2017. GWAS-linked loci and neuroimaging measures in Alzheimer's disease. Mol. Neurobiol. 54 (1), 146–153. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s12035-015-9669-1.
- Li, X.Y., Men, W.W., Zhu, H., Lei, J.F., Zuo, F.X., Wang, Z.J., Zhu, Z.H., Bao, X.J., Wang, R.Z., 2016. Age- and brain region-specific changes of glucose metabolic disorder, learning, and memory dysfunction in early Alzheimer's disease assessed in APP/PSI transgenic mice using (18)F-FDG-PET. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 17 (10) https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms17101707.
- Liang, S., Huang, J., Liu, W., Jin, H., Li, L., Zhang, X., Nie, B., Lin, R., Tao, J., Zhao, S., Shan, B., Chen, L., 2017. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy analysis of neurochemical changes in the atrophic hippocampus of APP/PS1 transgenic mice. Behav. Brain Res. 335, 26–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2017.08.005.
- Lichtenegger, A., Muck, M., Eugui, P., Harper, D.J., Augustin, M., Leskovar, K., Hitzenberger, C.K., Woehrer, A., Baumann, B., 2018. Assessment of pathological features in Alzheimer's disease brain tissue with a large field-of-view visible-light optical coherence microscope. Neurophotonics 5 (3), 035002. https://doi.org/ 10.1117/1 NPb 5.3.035002
- Lista, S., Khachaturian, Z.S., Rujescu, D., Garaci, F., Dubois, B., Hampel, H., 2016. Application of systems theory in longitudinal studies on the origin and progression of Alzheimer's disease. Methods Mol. Biol. 1303, 49–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2627-5 2.
- Liu, E.T., 2005. Systems biology, integrative biology, predictive biology. Cell 121 (4), 505–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.04.021.
- Liu, P.K., Liu, C.H., 2011. Gene targeting MRI: nucleic acid-based imaging and applications. Methods Mol. Biol. 711, 363–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61737-992-5 18.
- Liu, Y., Li, Z., Ge, Q., Lin, N., Xiong, M., 2019. Deep feature selection and causal analysis of Alzheimer's disease. Front. Neurosci. 13, 1198. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnins.2019.01198.
- Liu, Y., Unsal, H.S., Tao, Y., Zhang, N., 2020. Automatic brain extraction for rodent MRI images. Neuroinformatics 18 (3), 395–406. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12021-020-09453-z.
- Livingston, G., Sommerlad, A., Orgeta, V., Costafreda, S.G., Huntley, J., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Cooper, C., Fox, N., Gitlin, L. N., Howard, R., Kales, H.C., Larson, E.B., Ritchie, K., Rockwood, K., Sampson, E.L., Samus, Q., Schneider, L.S., Selbæk, G., Teri, L., Mukadam, N., 2017. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. Lancet 390 (10113), 2673–2734. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31363-6.
- Livingston, G., Huntley, J., Sommerlad, A., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Brayne, C., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Cooper, C., Costafreda, S.G., Dias, A., Fox, N., Gitlin, L.N., Howard, R., Kales, H.C., Kivimäki, M., Larson, E.B., Ogunniyi, A., Orgeta, V., Ritchie, K., Rockwood, K., Sampson, E.L., Samus, Q., Schneider, L.S.,

Selbæk, G., Teri, L., Mukadam, N., 2020. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. Lancet 396 (10248), 413–446. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30367-6.

- Lock, E.F., Hoadley, K.A., Marron, J.S., Nobel, A.B., 2013. Joint and individual variation explained (jive) for integrated analysis of multiple data types. Ann. Appl. Stat. 7 (1), 523–542. https://doi.org/10.1214/12-AOAS597.
- Logothetis, N.K., Pauls, J., Augath, M., Trinath, T., Oeltermann, A., 2001. Neurophysiological investigation of the basis of the fMRI signal. Nature 412 (6843), 150–157. https://doi.org/10.1038/35084005.
- Ma, Y., Klein, H.U., De Jager, P.L., 2020. Considerations for integrative multi-omic approaches to explore Alzheimer's disease mechanisms. Brain Pathol. 30 (5), 984–991. https://doi.org/10.1111/bpa.12878.
- Maass, A., Landau, S., Baker, S.L., Horng, A., Lockhart, S.N., La Joie, R., Rabinovici, G.D., Jagust, W.J., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2017. Comparison of multiple tau-PET measures as biomarkers in aging and Alzheimer's disease. NeuroImage 157, 448–463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.05.058.
- Machulda, M.M., Ward, H.A., Borowski, B., Gunter, J.L., Cha, R.H., O'Brien, P.C., Petersen, R.C., Boeve, B.F., Knopman, D., Tang-Wai, D.F., Ivnik, R.J., Smith, G.E., Tangalos, E.G., Jack, C.R., 2003. Comparison of memory fMRI response among normal, MCI, and Alzheimer's patients. Neurology 61 (4), 500–506. https://doi.org/ 10.1212/01.wnl.0000079052.01016.78.
- Mahecic, D., Gambarotto, D., Douglass, K.M., Fortun, D., Banterle, N., Ibrahim, K.A., Le Guennec, M., Gonczy, P., Hamel, V., Guichard, P., Manley, S., 2020. Homogeneous multifocal excitation for high-throughput super-resolution imaging. Nat. Methods 17 (7), 726–733. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0859-z.
- Maheswaran, S., Barjat, H., Rueckert, D., Bate, S.T., Howlett, D.R., Tilling, L., Smart, S.C., Pohlmann, A., Richardson, J.C., Hartkens, T., Hill, D.L., Upton, N., Hajnal, J.V., James, M.F., 2009. Longitudinal regional brain volume changes quantified in normal aging and Alzheimer's APP x PS1 mice using MRI. Brain Res. 1270, 19–32. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2009.02.045.
- Mannheim, J.G., Kara, F., Doorduin, J., Fuchs, K., Reischl, G., Liang, S., Verhoye, M., Gremse, F., Mezzanotte, L., Huisman, M.C., 2018. Standardization of small animal imaging-current status and future prospects. Mol. Imaging Biol. 20 (5), 716–731. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11307-017-1126-2.
- Marcus, C., Mena, E., Subramaniam, R.M., 2014. Brain PET in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Clin. Nucl. Med. 39 (10), e413–e426. https://doi.org/10.1097/ RLU.00000000000547.
- Markesbery, W.R., 1997. Neuropathological criteria for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 18 (4 Suppl), S13–S19. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0197-4580(97)00064-x.
- Márquez, F., Yassa, M.A., 2019. Neuroimaging biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. Mol. Neurodegener. 14 (1), 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-019-0325-5.

Matoba, N., Stein, J.L., 2021. From base pair to brain. Nat. Neurosci. 24 (5), 619–621. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00852-2.

- Mayo, C.D., Garcia-Barrera, M.A., Mazerolle, E.L., Ritchie, L.J., Fisk, J.D., Gawryluk, J. R., 2019. Relationship between DTI metrics and cognitive function in Alzheimer's disease. Front. Aging Neurosci. 10 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2018.00436.
- McGrattan, A.M., McGuinness, B., McKinley, M.C., Kee, F., Passmore, P., Woodside, J.V., McEvoy, C.T., 2019. Diet and inflammation in cognitive ageing and Alzheimer's disease. Curr. Nutr. Rep. 8 (2), 53–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13668-019-0271-4.
- Mecca, A.P., Chen, M.K., O'Dell, R.S., Naganawa, M., Toyonaga, T., Godek, T.A., Harris, J.E., Bartlett, H.H., Zhao, W., Nabulsi, N.B., Wyk, B.C.V., Varma, P., Arnsten, A.F.T., Huang, Y., Carson, R.E., van Dyck, C.H., 2020. In vivo measurement of widespread synaptic loss in Alzheimer's disease with SV2A PET. Alzheimers Dement. 16 (7), 974–982. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12097.
- Meda, S.A., Narayanan, B., Liu, J., Perrone-Bizzozero, N.I., Stevens, M.C., Calhoun, V.D., Glahn, D.C., Shen, L., Risacher, S.L., Saykin, A.J., Pearlson, G.D., 2012. A large scale multivariate parallel ICA method reveals novel imaging-genetic relationships for Alzheimer's disease in the ADNI cohort. NeuroImage 60 (3), 1608–1621. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.12.076.
- Mendez, M.F., 2017. Early-onset Alzheimer disease. Neurol. Clin. 35 (2), 263–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2017.01.005.
- Meng, X., Li, J., Zhang, Q., Chen, F., Bian, C., Yao, X., Yan, J., Xu, Z., Risacher, S.L., Saykin, A.J., Liang, H., Shen, L., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2020. Multivariate genome wide association and network analysis of subcortical imaging phenotypes in Alzheimer's disease. BMC Genomics 21 (Suppl. 11), 896. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12864-020-07282-7.
- Mielke, M.M., Vemuri, P., Rocca, W.A., 2014. Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: assessing sex and gender differences. Clin. Epidemiol. 6, 37–48. https://doi. org/10.2147/CLEP.S37929.
- Mirzaei, N., Tang, S.P., Ashworth, S., Coello, C., Plisson, C., Passchier, J., Selvaraj, V., Tyacke, R.J., Nutt, D.J., Sastre, M., 2016. In vivo imaging of microglial activation by positron emission tomography with [(11)C]PBR28 in the 5XFAD model of Alzheimer's disease. Glia 64 (6), 993–1006. https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.22978.
- Misra, B.B., Langefeld, C.D., Olivier, M., Cox, L.A., 2018. Integrated omics: tools, advances, and future approaches. J. Mol. Endocrinol. https://doi.org/10.1530/JME-18-0055.
- Mitra, P.P., 2014. The circuit architecture of whole brains at the mesoscopic scale. Neuron 83 (6), 1273–1283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.08.055.
- Mohanty, R., Martensson, G., Poulakis, K., Muehlboeck, J.S., Rodriguez-Vieitez, E., Chiotis, K., Grothe, M.J., Nordberg, A., Ferreira, D., Westman, E., 2020. Comparison of subtyping methods for neuroimaging studies in Alzheimer's disease: a call for harmonization. Brain Commun. 2 (2), fcaa192. https://doi.org/10.1093/ braincomms/fcaa192.
- Moody, J.N., Valerio, K.E., Hasselbach, M., Prieto, S., Logue, M., Hayes, S.M., Hayes, J.P., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2021. Body mass index and polygenic risk for

Alzheimer's disease predict conversion to Alzheimer's disease. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glab117.

- Moore, S.J., Murphy, G.G., Cazares, V.A., 2020. Turning strains into strengths for understanding psychiatric disorders. Mol. Psychiatry 25 (12), 3164–3177. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41380-020-0772-y.
- Morbelli, S., Bauckneht, M., 2018. Amyloid PET imaging: standardization and integration with other Alzheimer's disease biomarkers. Methods Mol. Biol. 1750, 203–212. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7704-8_13.
- Mosconi, L., 2005. Brain glucose metabolism in the early and specific diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. FDG-PET studies in MCI and AD. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 32 (4), 486–510. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-005-1762-7. Mroczek, M., Desouky, A., Sirry, W., 2021. Imaging transcriptomics in
- Mroczek, M., Desouky, A., Sirry, W., 2021. Intaging transcriptomics in neurodegenerative diseases. J. Neuroimaging 31 (2), 244–250. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/jon.12827.
- Mueller, A., Bullich, S., Barret, O., Madonia, J., Berndt, M., Papin, C., Perrotin, A., Koglin, N., Kroth, H., Pfeifer, A., Tamagnan, G., Seibyl, J.P., Marek, K., De Santi, S., Dinkelborg, L.M., Stephens, A.W., 2020. Tau PET imaging with (18)F-12-620 in patients with Alzheimer disease and healthy controls: a first-in-humans study. J. Nucl. Med. 61 (6), 911–919. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.119.236224.
- Mueller, S.G., Weiner, M.W., Thal, L.J., Petersen, R.C., Jack, C., Jagust, W., Trojanowski, J.Q., Toga, A.W., Beckett, L., 2005. The Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative. Neuroimaging Clin. N. Am. 15 (4), 869–877 xi-xii. htt ps://doi.org/10.1016/j.nic.2005.09.008.
- Munoz-Castaneda, R., Zingg, B., Matho, K.S., Chen, X., Wang, Q., Foster, N.N., Li, A., Narasimhan, A., Hirokawa, K.E., Huo, B., Bannerjee, S., Korobkova, L., Park, C.S., Park, Y.G., Bienkowski, M.S., Chon, U., Wheeler, D.W., Li, X., Wang, Y., Naeemi, M., Xie, P., Liu, L., Kelly, K., An, X., Attili, S.M., Bowman, I., Bludova, A., Cetin, A., Ding, L., Drewes, R., D'Orazi, F., Elowsky, C., Fischer, S., Galbavy, W., Gao, L., Gillis, J., Groblewski, P.A., Gou, L., Hahn, J.D., Hatfield, J.T., Hintiryan, H., Huang, J.J., Kondo, H., Kuang, X., Lesnar, P., Li, X., Li, Y., Lin, M., Lo, D., Mizrachi, J., Mok, S., Nicovich, P.R., Palaniswamy, R., Palmer, J., Qi, X., Shen, E., Sun, Y.C., Tao, H.W., Wakemen, W., Wang, Y., Yao, S., Yuan, J., Zhan, H., Zhu, M., Ng, L., Zhang, L.I., Lim, B.K., Hawrylycz, M., Gong, H., Gee, J.C., Kim, Y., Chung, K., Yang, X.W., Peng, H., Luo, Q., Mitra, P.P., Zador, A.M., Zeng, H., Ascoli, G.A., Josh Huang, Z., Osten, P., Harris, J.A., Dong, H.W., 2021. Cellular anatomy of the mouse primary motor cortex. Nature 598 (7879), 159–166. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-021-03970-w.
- Murray, M.E., Graff-Radford, N.R., Ross, O.A., Petersen, R.C., Duara, R., Dickson, D.W., 2011. Neuropathologically defined subtypes of Alzheimer's disease with distinct clinical characteristics: a retrospective study. Lancet Neurol. 10 (9), 785–796. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70156-9.
- Naderali, E.K., Ratcliffe, S.H., Dale, M.C., 2009. Review: obesity and Alzheimer's disease: a link between body weight and cognitive function in old age. Am. J. Alzheimer's Disease Other Dementiasr 24 (6), 445–449. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1533317509348208.
- Nativio, R., Lan, Y., Donahue, G., Sidoli, S., Berson, A., Srinivasan, A.R., Shcherbakova, O., Amlie-Wolf, A., Nie, J., Cui, X., He, C., Wang, L.S., Garcia, B.A., Trojanowski, J.Q., Bonini, N.M., Berger, S.L., 2020. An integrated multi-omics approach identifies epigenetic alterations associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat. Genet. 52 (10), 1024–1035. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0696-0.
- Navarro, J.F., Croteau, D.L., Jurek, A., Andrusivova, Z., Yang, B., Wang, Y., Ogedegbe, B., Riaz, T., Stoen, M., Desler, C., Rasmussen, L.J., Tonjum, T., Galas, M.C., Lundeberg, J., Bohr, V.A., 2020. Spatial transcriptomics reveals genes associated with dysregulated mitochondrial functions and stress signaling in alzheimer disease. iScience 23 (10), 101556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101556.
- Nazarian, A., Yashin, A.I., Kulminski, A.M., 2019. Genome-wide analysis of genetic predisposition to Alzheimer's disease and related sex disparities. Alzheimers Res. Ther. 11 (1), 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-018-0458-8.
- Neff, E.P., 2019. Animal models of Alzheimer's disease embrace diversity. Lab Anim (NY) 48 (9), 255–259. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41684-019-0377-8.
- Neff, R.A., Wang, M., Vatansever, S., Guo, L., Ming, C., Wang, Q., Wang, E., Horgusluoglu-Moloch, E., Song, W.-M., Li, A., Castranio, E.L., Tcw, J., Ho, L., Goate, A., Fossati, V., Noggle, S., Gandy, S., Ehrlich, M.E., Katsel, P., Schadt, E., Cai, D., Brennand, K.J., Haroutunian, V., Zhang, B., 2021. Molecular subtyping of Alzheimer's disease using RNA sequencing data reveals novel mechanisms and targets. Sci. Adv. 7 (2). eabb5398. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb5398.
- targets. Sci. Adv. 7 (2), eabb5398. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb5398.
 Negash, S., Xie, S., Davatzikos, C., Clark, C.M., Trojanowski, J.Q., Shaw, L.M., Wolk, D. A., Arnold, S.E., 2013. Cognitive and functional resilience despite molecular evidence of Alzheimer's disease pathology. Alzheimers Dement. 9 (3), e89–e95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2012.01.009.
- Neuner, S.M., Garfinkel, B.P., Wilmott, L.A., Ignatowska-Jankowska, B.M., Citri, A., Orly, J., Lu, L., Overall, R.W., Mulligan, M.K., Kempermann, G., Williams, R.W., O'Connell, K.M., Kaczorowski, C.C., 2016. Systems genetics identifies Hp1bp3 as a novel modulator of cognitive aging. Neurobiol. Aging 46, 58–67. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.06.008.
- Neuner, S.M., Hohman, T.J., Richholt, R., Bennett, D.A., Schneider, J.A., De Jager, P.L., Huentelman, M.J., O'Connell, K.M.S., Kaczorowski, C.C., 2017a. Systems genetics identifies modifiers of Alzheimer's disease risk and resilience. bioRxiv. https://doi. org/10.1101/225714.
- Neuner, S.M., Wilmott, L.A., Hoffmann, B.R., Mozhui, K., Kaczorowski, C.C., 2017b. Hippocampal proteomics defines pathways associated with memory decline and resilience in normal aging and Alzheimer's disease mouse models. Behav. Brain Res. 322 (Pt B), 288–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2016.06.002.
- Neuner, S.M., Heuer, S.E., Huentelman, M.J., O'Connell, K.M.S., Kaczorowski, C.C., 2019a. Harnessing genetic complexity to enhance translatability of Alzheimer's

disease mouse models: a path toward precision medicine. Neuron 101 (3), 399–411 e395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.11.040.

- Neuner, S.M., Heuer, S.E., Zhang, J.G., Philip, V.M., Kaczorowski, C.C., 2019b. Identification of pre-symptomatic gene signatures that predict resilience to cognitive decline in the genetically diverse AD-BXD model. Front. Genet. 10, 35. https://doi. org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00035.
- Neuner, S.M., Tcw, J., Goate, A.M., 2020. Genetic architecture of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Dis. 143, 104976 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2020.104976.
- Ng, L., Bernard, A., Lau, C., Overly, C.C., Dong, H.W., Kuan, C., Pathak, S., Sunkin, S.M., Dang, C., Bohland, J.W., Bokil, H., Mitra, P.P., Puelles, L., Hohmann, J., Anderson, D. J., Lein, E.S., Jones, A.R., Hawrylycz, M., 2009. An anatomic gene expression atlas of the adult mouse brain. Nat. Neurosci. 12 (3), 356–362. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nn.2281.
- Nicora, G., Vitali, F., Dagliati, A., Geifman, N., Bellazzi, R., 2020. Integrated multi-omics analyses in oncology: a review of machine learning methods and tools. Front. Oncol. 10, 1030. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.01030.
- Niedworok, C.J., Brown, A.P., Jorge Cardoso, M., Osten, P., Ourselin, S., Modat, M., Margrie, T.W., 2016. aMAP is a validated pipeline for registration and segmentation of high-resolution mouse brain data. Nat. Commun. 7, 11879. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ncomms11879.
- O'Brien, R.J., Resnick, S.M., Zonderman, A.B., Ferrucci, L., Crain, B.J., Pletnikova, O., Rudow, G., Iacono, D., Riudavets, M.A., Driscoll, I., Price, D.L., Martin, L.J., Troncoso, J.C., 2009. Neuropathologic studies of the baltimore longitudinal study of aging (BLSA). J. Alzheimers Dis. 18 (3), 665–675. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2009-1179.
- O'Connell, K.M.S., Ouellette, A.R., Neuner, S.M., Dunn, A.R., Kaczorowski, C.C., 2019. Genetic background modifies CNS-mediated sensorimotor decline in the AD-BXD mouse model of genetic diversity in Alzheimer's disease. Genes Brain Behav. 18 (8), e12603 https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12603.
- O'Dell, R.S., Mecca, A.P., Chen, M.K., Naganawa, M., Toyonaga, T., Lu, Y., Godek, T.A., Harris, J.E., Bartlett, H.H., Banks, E.R., Kominek, V.L., Zhao, W., Nabulsi, N.B., Ropchan, J., Ye, Y., Vander Wyk, B.C., Huang, Y., Arnsten, A.F.T., Carson, R.E., van Dyck, C.H., 2021. Association of Abeta deposition and regional synaptic density in early Alzheimer's disease: a PET imaging study with [(11)C]UCB-J. Alzheimers Res. Ther. 13 (1), 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-020-00742-y.
- Ofori, E., DeKosky, S.T., Febo, M., Colon-Perez, L., Chakrabarty, P., Duara, R., Adjouadi, M., Golde, T.E., Vaillancourt, D.E., 2019. Free-water imaging of the hippocampus is a sensitive marker of Alzheimer's disease. NeuroImage: Clin. 24, 101985 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101985.
- Ogawa, S., Lee, T.M., Nayak, A.S., Glynn, P., 1990. Oxygenation-sensitive contrast in magnetic resonance image of rodent brain at high magnetic fields. Magn. Reson. Med. 14 (1), 68–78. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910140108.
- Oh, S.W., Harris, J.A., Ng, L., Winslow, B., Cain, N., Mihalas, S., Wang, Q., Lau, C., Kuan, L., Henry, A.M., Mortrud, M.T., Ouellette, B., Nguyen, T.N., Sorensen, S.A., Slaughterbeck, C.R., Wakeman, W., Li, Y., Feng, D., Ho, A., Nicholas, E., Hirokawa, K.E., Bohn, P., Joines, K.M., Peng, H., Hawrylycz, M.J., Phillips, J.W., Hohmann, J.G., Wohnoutka, P., Gerfen, C.R., Koch, C., Bernard, A., Dang, C., Jones, A.R., Zeng, H., 2014. A mesoscale connectome of the mouse brain. Nature 508 (7495), 207–214. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13186.
- Oishi, K., Akhter, K., Mielke, M., Ceritoglu, C., Zhang, J., Jiang, H., Li, X., Younes, L., Miller, M.I., van Zijl, P.C., Albert, M., Lyketsos, C.G., Mori, S., 2011. Multi-modal MRI analysis with disease-specific spatial filtering: initial testing to predict mild cognitive impairment patients who convert to Alzheimer's disease. Front. Neurol. 2, 54. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2011.00054.
- Onos, K.D., Sukoff Rizzo, S.J., Howell, G.R., Sasner, M., 2016. Toward more predictive genetic mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res. Bull. 122, 1–11. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2015.12.003.
- Onos, K.D., Uyar, A., Keezer, K.J., Jackson, H.M., Preuss, C., Acklin, C.J., O'Rourke, R., Buchanan, R., Cossette, T.L., Sukoff Rizzo, S.J., Soto, I., Carter, G.W., Howell, G.R., 2019. Enhancing face validity of mouse models of Alzheimer's disease with natural genetic variation. PLoS Genet. 15 (5), e1008155 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pgen.1008155.
- Osborne, D.R., Kuntner, C., Berr, S., Stout, D., 2017. Guidance for efficient small animal imaging quality control. Mol. Imaging Biol. 19 (4), 485–498. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11307-016-1012-3.
- Ossenkoppele, R., Tolboom, N., Foster-Dingley, J.C., Adriaanse, S.F., Boellaard, R., Yaqub, M., Windhorst, A.D., Barkhof, F., Lammertsma, A.A., Scheltens, P., van der Flier, W.M., van Berckel, B.N., 2012. Longitudinal imaging of Alzheimer pathology using [11C]PIB, [18F]FDDNP and [18F]FDG PET. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 39 (6), 990–1000. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-012-2102-3.
- Ossenkoppele, R., Lyoo, C.H., Sudre, C.H., van Westen, D., Cho, H., Ryu, Y.H., Choi, J.Y., Smith, R., Strandberg, O., Palmqvist, S., Westman, E., Tsai, R., Kramer, J., Boxer, A. L., Gorno-Tempini, M.L., La Joie, R., Miller, B.L., Rabinovici, G.D., Hansson, O., 2020. Distinct tau PET patterns in atrophy-defined subtypes of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 16 (2), 335–344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2019.08.201.
- Osten, P., Margrie, T.W., 2013. Mapping brain circuitry with a light microscope. Nat. Methods 10 (6), 515–523. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2477.
- Ota, K., Oishi, N., Ito, K., Fukuyama, H., Group, S.J.S., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2015. Effects of imaging modalities, brain atlases and feature selection on prediction of Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurosci. Methods 256, 168–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2015.08.020.
- Otaegui-Arrazola, A., Amiano, P., Elbusto, A., Urdaneta, E., Martínez-Lage, P., 2014. Diet, cognition, and Alzheimer's disease: food for thought. Eur. J. Nutr. 53 (1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-013-0561-3.
- Ouyang, X., Chen, K., Yao, L., Wu, X., Zhang, J., Li, K., Jin, Z., Guo, X., 2015. Independent component analysis-based identification of covariance patterns of

microstructural white matter damage in Alzheimer's disease. PLoS One 10 (3), e0119714. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119714.

- Oveisgharan, S., Arvanitakis, Z., Yu, L., Farfel, J., Schneider, J.A., Bennett, D.A., 2018. Sex differences in Alzheimer's disease and common neuropathologies of aging. Acta Neuropathol. 136 (6), 887–900. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-018-1920-1.
- Pagani, M., Damiano, M., Galbusera, A., Tsaftaris, S.A., Gozzi, A., 2016. Semi-automated registration-based anatomical labelling, voxel based morphometry and cortical thickness mapping of the mouse brain. J. Neurosci. Methods 267, 62–73. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2016.04.007.
- Pallast, N., Diedenhofen, M., Blaschke, S., Wieters, F., Wiedermann, D., Hoehn, M., Fink, G.R., Aswendt, M., 2019. Processing pipeline for atlas-based imaging data analysis of structural and functional mouse brain MRI (AIDAmri). Front. Neuroinform. 13, 42. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2019.00042.
- Park, J.Y., Na, H.K., Kim, S., Kim, H., Kim, H.J., Seo, S.W., Na, D.L., Han, C.E., Seong, J. K., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2017. Robust Identification of Alzheimer's Disease subtypes based on cortical atrophy patterns. Sci. Rep. 7, 43270. https://doi. org/10.1038/srep43270.
- Pedersen, N.L., Gatz, M., Berg, S., Johansson, B., 2004. How heritable is Alzheimer's disease late in life? Findings from Swedish twins. Ann. Neurol. 55 (2), 180–185. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.10999.
- Peirce, J.L., Lu, L., Gu, J., Silver, L.M., Williams, R.W., 2004. A new set of BXD recombinant inbred lines from advanced intercross populations in mice. BMC Genet. 5, 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-5-7.
- Pimenova, A.A., Raj, T., Goate, A.M., 2018. Untangling genetic risk for Alzheimer's disease. Biol. Psychiatry 83 (4), 300–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biopsych.2017.05.014.
- Pini, L., Pievani, M., Bocchetta, M., Altomare, D., Bosco, P., Cavedo, E., Galluzzi, S., Marizzoni, M., Frisoni, G.B., 2016. Brain atrophy in Alzheimer's disease and aging. Ageing Res. Rev. 30, 25–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2016.01.002.
- Plant, C., Teipel, S.J., Oswald, A., Böhm, C., Meindl, T., Mourao-Miranda, J., Bokde, A. W., Hampel, H., Ewers, M., 2010. Automated detection of brain atrophy patterns based on MRI for the prediction of Alzheimer's disease. NeuroImage 50 (1), 162–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.11.046.
- Price, J.L., McKeel Jr., D.W., Buckles, V.D., Roe, C.M., Xiong, C., Grundman, M., Hansen, L.A., Petersen, R.C., Parisi, J.E., Dickson, D.W., Smith, C.D., Davis, D.G., Schmitt, F.A., Markesbery, W.R., Kaye, J., Kurlan, R., Hulette, C., Kurland, B.F., Higdon, R., Kukull, W., Morris, J.C., 2009. Neuropathology of nondemented aging: presumptive evidence for preclinical Alzheimer disease. Neurobiol. Aging 30 (7), 1026–1036. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2009.04.002.
- Profenno, L.A., Porsteinsson, A.P., Faraone, S.V., 2010. Meta-analysis of Alzheimer's disease risk with obesity, diabetes, and related disorders. Biol. Psychiatry 67 (6), 505–512. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.02.013.
- Prokopenko, D., Hecker, J., Kirchner, R., Chapman, B.A., Hoffman, O., Mullin, K., Hide, W., Bertram, L., Laird, N., DeMeo, D.L., Lange, C., Tanzi, R.E., 2020. Identification of novel Alzheimer's disease loci using sex-specific family-based association analysis of whole-genome sequence data. Sci. Rep. 10 (1), 5029. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61883-6.
- Räihä, I., Rajala, T., Sourander, L., Kaprio, J., Koskenvuo, M., 1996. Alzheimer's disease in finnish twins. Lancet 347 (9001), 573–578. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736 (96)91272-6.
- Ramanan, V.K., Risacher, S.L., Nho, K., Kim, S., Shen, L., McDonald, B.C., Yoder, K.K., Hutchins, G.D., West, J.D., Tallman, E.F., Gao, S., Foroud, T.M., Farlow, M.R., De Jager, P.L., Bennett, D.A., Aisen, P.S., Petersen, R.C., Jack Jr., C.R., Toga, A.W., Green, R.C., Jagust, W.J., Weiner, M.W., Saykin, A.J., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2015. GWAS of longitudinal amyloid accumulation on 18F-florbetapir PET in Alzheimer's disease implicates microglial activation gene IL1RAP. Brain 138 (Pt 10), 3076–3088. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awv231.
- Rappoport, N., Shamir, R., 2019. NEMO: cancer subtyping by integration of partial multiomic data. Bioinformatics 35 (18), 3348–3356. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btz058.
- Reiman, E.M., Jagust, W.J., 2012. Brain imaging in the study of Alzheimer's disease. NeuroImage 61 (2), 505–516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.075.
- Reitz, C., 2012. Alzheimer's disease and the amyloid cascade hypothesis: a critical review. Int. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2012, e369808 https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/ 369808.
- Reitz, C., Rogaeva, E., Beecham, G.W., 2020. Late-onset vs nonmendelian early-onset Alzheimer disease. Neurol. Genet. 6 (5) https://doi.org/10.1212/ NXG.00000000000512.
- Renier, N., Adams, E.L., Kirst, C., Wu, Z., Azevedo, R., Kohl, J., Autry, A.E., Kadiri, L., Umadevi Venkataraju, K., Zhou, Y., Wang, V.X., Tang, C.Y., Olsen, O., Dulac, C., Osten, P., Tessier-Lavigne, M., 2016. Mapping of brain activity by automated volume analysis of immediate early genes. Cell 165 (7), 1789–1802. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.007.
- Research Models: Alzheimer's Disease, 2021. Retrieved May 28 from. https://www.alzforum.org/research-models/alzheimers-disease.
- Richiardi, J., Altmann, A., Milazzo, A.C., Chang, C., Chakravarty, M.M., Banaschewski, T., Barker, G.J., Bokde, A.L., Bromberg, U., Buchel, C., Conrod, P., Fauth-Buhler, M., Flor, H., Frouin, V., Gallinat, J., Garavan, H., Gowland, P., Heinz, A., Lemaitre, H., Mann, K.F., Martinot, J.L., Nees, F., Paus, T., Pausova, Z., Rietschel, M., Robbins, T.W., Smolka, M.N., Spanagel, R., Strohle, A., Schumann, G., Hawrylycz, M., Poline, J.B., Greicius, M.D., Consortium, I, 2015. BRAIN NETWORKS. Correlated gene expression supports synchronous activity in brain networks. Science 348 (6240), 1241–1244. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1255905.
- Ridha, B.H., Anderson, V.M., Barnes, J., Boyes, R.G., Price, S.L., Rossor, M.N., Whitwell, J.L., Jenkins, L., Black, R.S., Grundman, M., Fox, N.C., 2008. Volumetric

MRI and cognitive measures in Alzheimer disease: comparison of markers of progression. J. Neurol. 255 (4), 567–574. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-008-0750-9.

- Rinne, J.O., Wong, D.F., Wolk, D.A., Leinonen, V., Arnold, S.E., Buckley, C., Smith, A., McLain, R., Sherwin, P.F., Farrar, G., Kailajärvi, M., Grachev, I.D., 2012. [(18)F] Flutemetamol PET imaging and cortical biopsy histopathology for fibrillar amyloid β detection in living subjects with normal pressure hydrocephalus: pooled analysis of four studies. Acta Neuropathol. 124 (6), 833–845. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-012-1051-z.
- Risacher, S.L., Anderson, W.H., Charil, A., Castelluccio, P.F., Shcherbinin, S., Saykin, A. J., Schwarz, A.J., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2017. Alzheimer disease brain atrophy subtypes are associated with cognition and rate of decline. Neurology 89 (21), 2176–2186. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000004670.
- Rohart, F., Eslami, A., Matigian, N., Bougeard, S., Le Cao, K.A., 2017a. MINT: a multivariate integrative method to identify reproducible molecular signatures across independent experiments and platforms. BMC Bioinform. 18 (1), 128. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12859-017-1553-8.
- Rohart, F., Gautier, B., Singh, A., Le Cao, K.A., 2017b. mixOmics: an R package for 'omics feature selection and multiple data integration. PLoS Comput. Biol. 13 (11), e1005752 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005752.
- Romberg, C., Bussey, T.J., Saksida, L.M., 2013. Paying more attention to attention: towards more comprehensive cognitive translation using mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res. Bull. 92, 49–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. brainresbull.2012.02.007.
- Rombouts, S.A., Barkhof, F., Veltman, D.J., Machielsen, W.C., Witter, M.P., Bierlaagh, M. A., Lazeron, R.H., Valk, J., Scheltens, P., 2000. Functional MR imaging in Alzheimer's disease during memory encoding. AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol. 21 (10), 1869–1875.
- Rosario, D., Boren, J., Uhlen, M., Proctor, G., Aarsland, D., Mardinoglu, A., Shoaie, S., 2020. Systems biology approaches to understand the host-microbiome interactions in neurodegenerative diseases. Front. Neurosci. 14, 716. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnins.2020.00716.
- Roses, A.D., 1996. Apolipoprotein E alleles as risk factors in Alzheimer's disease. Annu. Rev. Med. 47 (1), 387–400. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.med.47.1.387.
- Rowe, C.C., Ackerman, U., Browne, W., Mulligan, R., Pike, K.L., O'Keefe, G., Tochon-Danguy, H., Chan, G., Berlangieri, S.U., Jones, G., Dickinson-Rowe, K.L., Kung, H.P., Zhang, W., Kung, M.P., Skovronsky, D., Dyrks, T., Holl, G., Krause, S., Friebe, M., Lehman, L., Lindemann, S., Dinkelborg, L.M., Masters, C.L., Villemagne, V.L., 2008. Imaging of amyloid beta in Alzheimer's disease with 18F-BAY94-9172, a novel PET tracer: proof of mechanism. Lancet Neurol. 7 (2), 129–135. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S1474-4422(08)70001-2.
- Roy, D.S., Park, Y.-G., Ogawa, S.K., Cho, J.H., Choi, H., Kamensky, L., Martin, J., Chung, K., Tonegawa, S., 2019. Brain-wide mapping of contextual fear memory engram ensembles supports the dispersed engram complex hypothesis. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/668483.
- Royer, L.A., Lemon, W.C., Chhetri, R.K., Wan, Y., Coleman, M., Myers, E.W., Keller, P.J., 2016. Adaptive light-sheet microscopy for long-term, high-resolution imaging in living organisms. Nat. Biotechnol. 34 (12), 1267–1278. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nbt.3708.
- Rueda, A.D., Lau, K.M., Saito, N., Harvey, D., Risacher, S.L., Aisen, P.S., Petersen, R.C., Saykin, A.J., Farias, S.T., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2015. Self-rated and informant-rated everyday function in comparison to objective markers of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement. 11 (9), 1080–1089. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.09.002.
- Ryman, D.C., Acosta-Baena, N., Aisen, P.S., Bird, T., Danek, A., Fox, N.C., Goate, A., Frommelt, P., Ghetti, B., Langbaum, J.B.S., Lopera, F., Martins, R., Masters, C.L., Mayeux, R.P., McDade, E., Moreno, S., Reiman, E.M., Ringman, J.M., Salloway, S., Schofield, P.R., Sperling, R., Tariot, P.N., Xiong, C., Morris, J.C., Bateman, R.J., Network, A. T. D. I. A, 2014. Symptom onset in autosomal dominant Alzheimer disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurology 83 (3), 253–260. https:// doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000596.
- Sahara, N., Perez, P.D., Lin, W.L., Dickson, D.W., Ren, Y., Zeng, H., Lewis, J., Febo, M., 2014. Age-related decline in white matter integrity in a mouse model of tauopathy: an in vivo diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging study. Neurobiol. Aging 35 (6), 1364–1374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.12.009.
- (6), 1364–1374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.12.009.
 Sandberg, G., Stewart, W., Smialek, J., Troncoso, J.C., 2001. The prevalence of the neuropathological lesions of Alzheimer's disease is independent of race and gender. Neurobiol. Aging 22 (2), 169–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0197-4580(00)00236-0
- Scelsi, M.A., Khan, R.R., Lorenzi, M., Christopher, L., Greicius, M.D., Schott, J.M., Ourselin, S., Altmann, A., 2018. Genetic study of multimodal imaging Alzheimer's disease progression score implicates novel loci. Brain: A J. Neurol. 141 (7), 2167–2180. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy141.
- Scheltens, P., 2009. Imaging in Alzheimer's disease. Dialogues Clin. Neurosci. 11 (2), 191–199. https://doi.org/10.31887/DCNS.2009.11.2/pscheltens.
- Schneider, L.S., Mangialasche, F., Andreasen, N., Feldman, H., Giacobini, E., Jones, R., Mantua, V., Mecocci, P., Pani, L., Winblad, B., Kivipelto, M., 2014. Clinical trials and late-stage drug development for Alzheimer's disease: an appraisal from 1984 to 2014. J. Intern. Med. 275 (3), 251–283. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12191.
- Scholl, M., Maass, A., Mattsson, N., Ashton, N.J., Blennow, K., Zetterberg, H., Jagust, W., 2019. Biomarkers for tau pathology. Mol. Cell. Neurosci. 97, 18–33. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.mcn.2018.12.001.
- Seto, M., Weiner, R.L., Dumitrescu, L., Hohman, T.J., 2021. Protective genes and pathways in Alzheimer's disease: moving towards precision interventions. Mol. Neurodegener. 16 (1), 29. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-021-00452-5.

Shen, X., Ma, S., Vemuri, P., Simon, G., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2020. Challenges and opportunities with causal discovery algorithms: application to Alzheimer's pathophysiology. Sci. Rep. 10 (1), 2975. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-59669-x.

Silverman, D.H., Small, G.W., Chang, C.Y., Lu, C.S., Kung De Aburto, M.A., Chen, W., Czernin, J., Rapoport, S.I., Pietrini, P., Alexander, G.E., Schapiro, M.B., Jagust, W.J., Hoffman, J.M., Welsh-Bohmer, K.A., Alavi, A., Clark, C.M., Salmon, E., de Leon, M. J., Mielke, R., Cummings, J.L., Kowell, A.P., Gambhir, S.S., Hoh, C.K., Phelps, M.E., 2001. Positron emission tomography in evaluation of dementia: Regional brain metabolism and long-term outcome. JAMA 286 (17), 2120–2127. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jama.286.17.2120.

Simpson, S., Chen, Y., Wellmeyer, E., Smith, L.C., Aragon Montes, B., George, O., Kimbrough, A., 2021. The hidden brain: uncovering previously overlooked brain regions by employing novel preclinical unbiased network approaches. Front. Syst. Neurosci. 15, 595507 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2021.595507.

Singh, A., Shannon, C.P., Gautier, B., Rohart, F., Vacher, M., Tebbutt, S.J., Le Cao, K.A., 2019. DIABLO: an integrative approach for identifying key molecular drivers from multi-omics assays. Bioinformatics 35 (17), 3055–3062. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/bty1054.

Singh, B., Parsaik, A.K., Mielke, M.M., Erwin, P.J., Knopman, D.S., Petersen, R.C., Roberts, R.O., 2014. Association of mediterranean diet with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Alzheimers Dis. 39 (2), 271–282. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-130830.

Smith, A.D., 2002. Imaging the progression of Alzheimer pathology through the brain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99 (7), 4135–4137. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.082107399.

Smith, S.M., Douaud, G., Chen, W., Hanayik, T., Alfaro-Almagro, F., Sharp, K., Elliott, L. T., 2021. An expanded set of genome-wide association studies of brain imaging phenotypes in UK Biobank. Nat. Neurosci. 24 (5), 737–745. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41593-021-00826-4.

Sonnen, J.A., Santa Cruz, K., Hemmy, L.S., Woltjer, R., Leverenz, J.B., Montine, K.S., Jack, C.R., Kaye, J., Lim, K., Larson, E.B., White, L., Montine, T.J., 2011. Ecology of the aging human brain. Arch. Neurol. 68 (8), 1049–1056. https://doi.org/10.1001/ archneurol.2011.157.

Sperling, R.A., Dickerson, B.C., Pihlajamaki, M., Vannini, P., Laviolette, P.S., Vitolo, O. V., Hedden, T., Becker, J.A., Rentz, D.M., Selkoe, D.J., Johnson, K.A., 2010. Functional alterations in memory networks in early Alzheimer's disease. NeuroMolecular Med. 12 (1), 27–43. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12017-009-8109-7.

Spulber, G., Simmons, A., Muehlboeck, J.-S., Mecocci, P., Vellas, B., Tsolaki, M., Kłoszewska, I., Soininen, H., Spenger, C., Lovestone, S., Wahlund, L.-O., Westman, E., 2013. An MRI-based index to measure the severity of Alzheimer's disease-like structural pattern in subjects with mild cognitive impairment. J. Intern. Med. 273 (4), 396–409. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.12028.

Stern, Y., Arenaza-Urquijo, E.M., Bartres-Faz, D., Belleville, S., Cantilon, M., Chetelat, G., Ewers, M., Franzmeier, N., Kempermann, G., Kremen, W.S., Okonkwo, O., Scarmeas, N., Soldan, A., Udeh-Momoh, C., Valenzuela, M., Vemuri, P., Vuoksimaa, E., The Reserve, R., Protective Factors, P. I. A. E. D, Conceptual Frameworks, W, 2020. Whitepaper: defining and investigating cognitive reserve, brain reserve, and brain maintenance. Alzheimers Dement. 16 (9), 1305–1311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.07.219.

Strittmatter, W.J., Saunders, A.M., Schmechel, D., Pericak-Vance, M., Enghild, J., Salvesen, G.S., Roses, A.D., 1993a. Apolipoprotein E: high-avidity binding to betaamyloid and increased frequency of type 4 allele in late-onset familial Alzheimer disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90 (5), 1977–1981. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.90.5.1977.

Strittmatter, W.J., Weisgraber, K.H., Huang, D.Y., Dong, L.M., Salvesen, G.S., Pericak-Vance, M., Schmechel, D., Saunders, A.M., Goldgaber, D., Roses, A.D., 1993b. Binding of human apolipoprotein E to synthetic amyloid beta peptide: isoformspecific effects and implications for late-onset Alzheimer disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90 (17), 8098–8102. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.90.17.8098.

Studies Involving BXD RI Panel, 2021. Retrieved May 28 from. https://phenome.jax.org/panels/BXD.

Subramanian, I., Verma, S., Kumar, S., Jere, A., Anamika, K., 2020. Multi-omics data integration, interpretation, and its application. Bioinform. Biol. Insights 14. https:// doi.org/10.1177/1177932219899051, 1177932219899051.

Tabassum, S., Misrani, A., Yang, L., 2020. Exploiting common aspects of obesity and Alzheimer's disease. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 14 https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnhum.2020.602360.

Tang, X., Wu, D., Gu, L.-H., Nie, B.-B., Qi, X.-Y., Wang, Y.-J., Wu, F.-F., Li, X.-L., Bai, F., Chen, X.-C., Xu, L., Ren, Q.-G., Zhang, Z.-J., 2016. Spatial learning and memory impairments are associated with increased neuronal activity in 5XFAD mouse as measured by manganese-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. Oncotarget 7 (36), 57556–57570. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.11353.

Tanzi, R.E., 2012. The genetics of Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Med. 2 (10) https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a006296.

Taylor, C.A., Greenlund, S.F., McGuire, L.C., Lu, H., Croft, J.B., 2017. Deaths from Alzheimer's Disease — United States, 1999–2014. Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 66 (20), 6. Teipel, S., Drzezga, A., Grothe, M.J., Barthel, H., Chetelat, G., Schuff, N., Skudlarski, P., Cavedo, E., Frisoni, G.B., Hoffmann, W., Thyrian, J.R., Fox, C., Minoshima, S., Sabri, O., Fellgiebel, A., 2015. Multimodal imaging in Alzheimer's disease: validity and usefulness for early detection. Lancet Neurol. 14 (10), 1037–1053. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00093-9.

Ten Kate, M., Dicks, E., Visser, P.J., van der Flier, W.M., Teunissen, C.E., Barkhof, F., Scheltens, P., Tijms, B.M., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2018. Atrophy subtypes in prodromal Alzheimer's disease are associated with cognitive decline. Brain 141 (12), 3443–3456. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy264.

The Top 10 Causes of Death, 2020. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/deta il/the-top-10-causes-of-death.

Toepper, M., 2017. Dissociating normal aging from Alzheimer's disease: a view from cognitive neuroscience. J. Alzheimers Dis. 57 (2), 331–352. https://doi.org/ 10.3233/JAD-161099.

Tournier, B.B., Tsartsalis, S., Ceyzériat, K., Garibotto, V., Millet, P., 2020. In vivo TSPO signal and neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. Cells 9 (9), 1941. https://doi. org/10.3390/cells9091941.

Uddin, M., Wang, Y., Woodbury-Smith, M., 2019. Artificial intelligence for precision medicine in neurodevelopmental disorders. NPJ Digit. Med. 2, 112. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41746-019-0191-0.

Vemuri, P., Jack, C.R., 2010. Role of structural MRI in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res. Ther. 2 (4), 23. https://doi.org/10.1186/alzrt47.

Vemuri, P., Jones, D.T., Jack Jr., C.R., 2012. Resting state functional MRI in Alzheimer's Disease. Alzheimers Res. Ther. 4 (1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/alzrt100.

Verhoeff, N.P.L.G., Wilson, A.A., Takeshita, S., Trop, L., Hussey, D., Singh, K., Kung, H.F., Kung, M.-P., Houle, S., 2004. In-vivo imaging of Alzheimer disease beta-amyloid with [11C]SB-13 PET. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 12 (6), 584–595. https://doi.org/ 10.1176/appi.ajgp.12.6.584.

Vetere, G., Kenney, J.W., Tran, L.M., Xia, F., Steadman, P.E., Parkinson, J., Josselyn, S.A., Frankland, P.W., 2017. Chemogenetic interrogation of a brain-wide fear memory network in mice. Neuron 94 (2), 363–374 e364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2017.03.037.

Villemagne, V.L., Pike, K.E., Chetelat, G., Ellis, K.A., Mulligan, R.S., Bourgeat, P., Ackermann, U., Jones, G., Szoeke, C., Salvado, O., Martins, R., O'Keefe, G., Mathis, C.A., Klunk, W.E., Ames, D., Masters, C.L., Rowe, C.C., 2011. Longitudinal assessment of Abeta and cognition in aging and Alzheimer disease. Ann. Neurol. 69 (1), 181–192. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.22248.

Vogel, J.W., Young, A.L., Oxtoby, N.P., Smith, R., Ossenkoppele, R., Strandberg, O.T., La Joie, R., Aksman, L.M., Grothe, M.J., Iturria-Medina, Y., Pontecorvo, M.J., Devous, M.D., Rabinovici, G.D., Alexander, D.C., Lyoo, C.H., Evans, A.C., Hansson, O., 2021. Four distinct trajectories of tau deposition identified in Alzheimer's disease. Nat. Med. 1-11 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01309-6.

von Chamier, L., Laine, R.F., Jukkala, J., Spahn, C., Krentzel, D., Nehme, E., Lerche, M., Hernandez-Perez, S., Mattila, P.K., Karinou, E., Holden, S., Solak, A.C., Krull, A., Buchholz, T.O., Jones, M.L., Royer, L.A., Leterrier, C., Shechtman, Y., Jug, F., Heilemann, M., Jacquemet, G., Henriques, R., 2021. Democratising deep learning for microscopy with ZeroCostDL4Mic. Nat. Commun. 12 (1), 2276. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41467-021-22518-0.

Wachinger, C., Nho, K., Saykin, A.J., Reuter, M., Rieckmann, A., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2018. A longitudinal imaging genetics study of neuroanatomical asymmetry in Alzheimer's disease. Biol. Psychiatry 84 (7), 522–530. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.04.017.

Walker, C.K., Herskowitz, J.H., 2020. Dendritic spines: mediators of cognitive resilience in aging and Alzheimer's disease. Neuroscientist. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1073858420945964, 1073858420945964.

Wan, Y.W., Al-Ouran, R., Mangleburg, C.G., Perumal, T.M., Lee, T.V., Allison, K., Swarup, V., Funk, C.C., Gaiteri, C., Allen, M., Wang, M., Neuner, S.M., Kaczorowski, C.C., Philip, V.M., Howell, G.R., Martini-Stoica, H., Jeneg, H., Mei, H., Zhong, X., Kim, J.W., Dawson, V.L., Dawson, T.M., Pao, P.C., Tsai, L.H., Haure-Mirande, J.V., Ehrlich, M.E., Chakrabarty, P., Levites, Y., Wang, X., Dammer, E.B., Srivastava, G., Mukherjee, S., Sieberts, S.K., Omberg, L., Dang, K.D., Eddy, J.A., Snyder, P., Chae, Y., Amberkar, S., Wei, W., Hide, W., Preuss, C., Ergun, A., Ebert, P. J., Airey, D.C., Mostafavi, S., Yu, L., Klein, H.U., Accelerating Medicines Partnership-Alzheimer's Disease, C, Carter, G.W., Collier, D.A., Golde, T.E., Levey, A.I., Bennett, D.A., Estrada, K., Townsend, T.M., Zhang, B., Schadt, E., De Jager, P.L., Price, N.D., Ertekin-Taner, N., Liu, Z., Shulman, J.M., Mangravite, L.M., Logsdon, B. A., 2020. Meta-Analysis of the Alzheimer's Disease Human Brain Transcriptome and Functional Dissection in Mouse Models. Cell Rep. 32 (2), 107908 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107908.

Wang, B., Mezlini, A.M., Demir, F., Fiume, M., Tu, Z., Brudno, M., Haibe-Kains, B., Goldenberg, A., 2014. Similarity network fusion for aggregating data types on a genomic scale. Nat. Methods 11 (3), 333–337. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nmeth.2810.

Wang, L., Benzinger, T.L., Su, Y., Christensen, J., Friedrichsen, K., Aldea, P., McConathy, J., Cairns, N.J., Fagan, A.M., Morris, J.C., Ances, B.M., 2016a. Evaluation of tau imaging in staging Alzheimer disease and revealing interactions between beta-amyloid and tauopathy. JAMA Neurol. 73 (9), 1070–1077. https://doi. org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.2078.

Wang, M., Beckmann, N.D., Roussos, P., Wang, E., Zhou, X., Wang, Q., Ming, C., Neff, R., Ma, W., Fullard, J.F., Hauberg, M.E., Bendl, J., Peters, M.A., Logsdon, B., Wang, P., Mahajan, M., Mangravite, L.M., Dammer, E.B., Duong, D.M., Lah, J.J., Seyfried, N.T., Levey, A.I., Buxbaum, J.D., Ehrlich, M., Gandy, S., Katsel, P., Haroutunian, V., Schadt, E., Zhang, B., 2018. The Mount Sinai cohort of large-scale genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic data in Alzheimer's disease. Sci. Data 5, 180185. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.185.

- Wang, Q., Ding, S.L., Li, Y., Royall, J., Feng, D., Lesnar, P., Graddis, N., Naeemi, M., Facer, B., Ho, A., Dolbeare, T., Blanchard, B., Dee, N., Wakeman, W., Hirokawa, K.E., Szafer, A., Sunkin, S.M., Oh, S.W., Bernard, A., Phillips, J.W., Hawrylycz, M., Koch, C., Zeng, H., Harris, J.A., Ng, L., 2020. The allen mouse brain common coordinate framework: a 3D reference atlas. Cell 181 (4), 936–953 e920. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.007.
- Wang, T., Shao, W., Huang, Z., Tang, H., Zhang, J., Ding, Z., Huang, K., 2021. MOGONET integrates multi-omics data using graph convolutional networks allowing patient classification and biomarker identification. Nat. Commun. 12 (1), 3445. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41467-021-23774-w.
- Wang, X., Pandey, A.K., Mulligan, M.K., Williams, E.G., Mozhui, K., Li, Z., Jovaisaite, V., Quarles, L.D., Xiao, Z., Huang, J., Capra, J.A., Chen, Z., Taylor, W.L., Bastarache, L., Niu, X., Pollard, K.S., Ciobanu, D.C., Reznik, A.O., Tishkov, A.V., Zhulin, I.B., Peng, J., Nelson, S.F., Denny, J.C., Auwerx, J., Lu, L., Williams, R.W., 2016b. Joint mouse-human phenome-wide association to test gene function and disease risk. Nat. Commun. 7 (1), 10464. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10464.
- Wen, J., Thibeau-Sutre, E., Diaz-Melo, M., Samper-Gonzalez, J., Routier, A., Bottani, S., Dormont, D., Durrleman, S., Burgos, N., Colliot, O., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, Australian Imaging, B, Lifestyle Flagship Study of, A, 2020. Convolutional neural networks for classification of Alzheimer's disease: overview and reproducible evaluation. Med. Image Anal. 63, 101694 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.media.2020.101694.
- Weston, A.D., Hood, L., 2004. Systems biology, proteomics, and the future of health care: toward predictive, preventative, and personalized medicine. J. Proteome Res. 3 (2), 179–196. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr0499693.
- Whitesell, J.D., Buckley, A.R., Knox, J.E., Kuan, L., Graddis, N., Pelos, A., Mukora, A., Wakeman, W., Bohn, P., Ho, A., Hirokawa, K.E., Harris, J.A., 2019. Whole brain imaging reveals distinct spatial patterns of amyloid beta deposition in three mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. J. Comp. Neurol. 527 (13), 2122–2145. https://doi. org/10.1002/cne.24555.
- Whitwell, J.L., Dickson, D.W., Murray, M.E., Weigand, S.D., Tosakulwong, N., Senjem, M.L., Knopman, D.S., Boeve, B.F., Parisi, J.E., Petersen, R.C., Jack, C.R., Josephs, K.A., 2012. Neuroimaging correlates of pathologically defined subtypes of Alzheimer's disease: a case-control study. Lancet Neurol. 11 (10), 868–877. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70200-4.
- Whitwell, J.L., Graff-Radford, J., Tosakulwong, N., Weigand, S.D., Machulda, M., Senjem, M.L., Schwarz, C.G., Spychalla, A.J., Jones, D.T., Drubach, D.A., Knopman, D.S., Boeve, B.F., Ertekin-Taner, N., Petersen, R.C., Lowe, V.J., Jack Jr., C. R., Josephs, K.A., 2018. [(18) F]AV-1451 clustering of entorhinal and cortical uptake in Alzheimer's disease. Ann. Neurol. 83 (2), 248–257. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ana.25142.
- Wightman, D.P., Jansen, I.E., Savage, J.E., Shadrin, A.A., Bahrami, S., Holland, D., Rongve, A., Borte, S., Winsvold, B.S., Drange, O.K., Martinsen, A.E., Skogholt, A.H., Willer, C., Brathen, G., Bosnes, I., Nielsen, J.B., Fritsche, L.G., Thomas, L.F., Pedersen, L.M., Gabrielsen, M.E., Johnsen, M.B., Meisingset, T.W., Zhou, W., Proitsi, P., Hodges, A., Dobson, R., Velayudhan, L., Me Research, T, Sealock, J.M., Davis, L.K., Pedersen, N.L., Reynolds, C.A., Karlsson, I.K., Magnusson, S., Stefansson, H., Thordardottir, S., Jonsson, P.V., Snaedal, J., Zettergren, A., Skoog, I., Kern, S., Waern, M., Zetterberg, H., Blennow, K., Stordal, E., Hveem, K., Zwart, J.A., Athanasiu, L., Selnes, P., Saltvedt, I., Sando, S.B., Ulstein, I., Djurovic, S., Fladby, T., Aarsland, D., Selbaek, G., Ripke, S., Stefansson, K., Andreassen, O.A., Posthuma, D., 2021. A genome-wide association study with 1,126,563 individuals identifies new risk loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nat. Genet. 53 (9), 1276–1282. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41588-021-00921-z.
- Wild, C.P., 2012. The exposome: from concept to utility. Int. J. Epidemiol. 41 (1), 24–32. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyr236.
- Winnubst, J., Bas, E., Ferreira, T.A., Wu, Z., Economo, M.N., Edson, P., Arthur, B.J., Bruns, C., Rokicki, K., Schauder, D., Olbris, D.J., Murphy, S.D., Ackerman, D.G., Arshadi, C., Baldwin, P., Blake, R., Elsayed, A., Hasan, M., Ramirez, D., Dos Santos, B., Weldon, M., Zafar, A., Dudman, J.T., Gerfen, C.R., Hantman, A.W., Korff, W., Sternson, S.M., Spruston, N., Svoboda, K., Chandrashekar, J., 2019.

Reconstruction of 1,000 projection neurons reveals new cell types and organization of long-range connectivity in the mouse brain. Cell 179 (1), 268–281 e213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.07.042.

- Wolf-Klein, G.P., Silverstone, F.A., Levy, A.P., 1992. Nutritional patterns and weight change in Alzheimer patients. Int. Psychogeriatr. 4 (1), 103–118. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/s1041610292000930.
- Wong, D.F., Rosenberg, P.B., Zhou, Y., Kumar, A., Raymont, V., Ravert, H.T., Dannals, R. F., Nandi, A., Brašić, J.R., Ye, W., Hilton, J., Lyketsos, C., Kung, H.F., Joshi, A.D., Skovronsky, D.M., Pontecorvo, M.J., 2010. In vivo imaging of amyloid deposition in Alzheimer's disease using the novel radioligand [18F]AV-45 (Florbetapir F 18). J. Nucl. Med. 51 (6), 913–920. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.109.069088.
- Worheide, M.A., Krumsiek, J., Kastenmuller, G., Arnold, M., 2021. Multi-omics integration in biomedical research - a metabolomics-centric review. Anal. Chim. Acta 1141, 144–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2020.10.038.
- Xu, Z., Wu, C., Pan, W., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2017. Imaging-wide association study: Integrating imaging endophenotypes in GWAS. NeuroImage 159, 159–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.036.
- Yang, H.S., Onos, K.D., Choi, K., Keezer, K.J., Skelly, D.A., Carter, G.W., Howell, G.R., 2021. Natural genetic variation determines microglia heterogeneity in wild-derived mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. Cell Rep. 34 (6), 108739 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108739.
- Yang, Y., Song, W., 2013. Molecular links between Alzheimer's disease and diabetes mellitus. Neuroscience 250, 140–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroscience.2013.07.009.
- Yasuno, F., Ota, M., Kosaka, J., Ito, H., Higuchi, M., Doronbekov, T.K., Nozaki, S., Fujimura, Y., Koeda, M., Asada, T., Suhara, T., 2008. Increased binding of peripheral benzodiazepine receptor in Alzheimer's disease measured by positron emission tomography with [11C]DAA1106. Biol. Psychiatry 64 (10), 835–841. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.04.021.
- Yates, S.C., Groeneboom, N.E., Coello, C., Lichtenthaler, S.F., Kuhn, P.H., Demuth, H.U., Hartlage-Rubsamen, M., Rossner, S., Leergaard, T., Kreshuk, A., Puchades, M.A., Bjaalie, J.G., 2019. QUINT: workflow for quantification and spatial analysis of features in histological images from rodent brain. Front. Neuroinform. 13, 75. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2019.00075.
- Young, P.N.E., Estarellas, M., Coomans, E., Srikrishna, M., Beaumont, H., Maass, A., Venkataraman, A.V., Lissaman, R., Jiménez, D., Betts, M.J., McGlinchey, E., Berron, D., O'Connor, A., Fox, N.C., Pereira, J.B., Jagust, W., Carter, S.F., Paterson, R.W., Schöll, M., 2020. Imaging biomarkers in neurodegeneration: current and future practices. Alzheimers Res. Ther. 12 (1), 49. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13195-020-00612-7.
- Yusufov, M., Weyandt, L.L., Piryatinsky, I., 2017. Alzheimer's disease and diet: a systematic review. Int. J. Neurosci. 127 (2), 161–175. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 00207454.2016.1155572.
- Zhang, D., Wang, Y., Zhou, L., Yuan, H., Shen, D., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2011. Multimodal classification of Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. NeuroImage 55 (3), 856–867. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2011.01.008.
- Zhang, X., Mormino, E.C., Sun, N., Sperling, R.A., Sabuncu, M.R., Yeo, B.T., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I, 2016. Bayesian model reveals latent atrophy factors with dissociable cognitive trajectories in Alzheimer's disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113 (42), E6535–E6544. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611073113.
- Zhao, T., Hu, Y., Zang, T., Wang, Y., 2019. Integrate GWAS, eQTL, and mQTL data to identify Alzheimer's disease-related genes. Front. Genet. 10 https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fgene.2019.01021.
- Zhou, Y., Fang, J., Bekris, L.M., Kim, Y.H., Pieper, A.A., Leverenz, J.B., Cummings, J., Cheng, F., 2021. AlzGPS: a genome-wide positioning systems platform to catalyze multi-omics for Alzheimer's drug discovery. Alzheimers Res. Ther. 13 (1), 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-020-00760-w.
- Zoppi, J., Guillaume, J.F., Neunlist, M., Chaffron, S., 2021. MiBiOmics: an interactive web application for multi-omics data exploration and integration. BMC Bioinform. 22 (1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-020-03921-8.