
October 1992

Supporting Content Literacy: How Can University Supervisors and Cooperating Teachers Assist Student Teachers (and themselves)?

Cathleen D. Rafferty

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/mrj>

Recommended Citation

Rafferty, Cathleen D. (1992) "Supporting Content Literacy: How Can University Supervisors and Cooperating Teachers Assist Student Teachers (and themselves)?," *Michigan Reading Journal*: Vol. 25 : Iss. 1 , Article 8.

Available at: <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/mrj/vol25/iss1/8>

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@GVSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Michigan Reading Journal by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks@GVSU. For more information, please contact scholarworks@gvsu.edu.

Supporting Content Literacy: How Can University Supervisors and Cooperating Teachers Assist Student Teachers (and themselves)?

Cover Page Footnote

The author wishes to acknowledge Central Michigan University Secondary Reading minor Verna Burdo for her assistance in compiling this information.



Supporting Content Literacy: How Can University Supervisors and Cooperating Teachers Assist Student Teachers (and themselves)?

by Cathleen D. Rafferty, Ph.D.

Is content reading a dead issue? Perhaps. Despite decades of discourse and research into content reading which has recently included: 1) the ability of such instruction to create positive attitudes toward the concept but not subsequent implementation (O'Rourke, 1980; Stieglitz, 1983; Christiansen, 1986; Ratekin et al., 1985), b) identification of common misconceptions about content reading (Stewart and O'Brien, 1989; O'Brien and Stewart, 1990), c) a call for a "broader rationale" for content reading (O'Brien, 1988), and a new definition of content literacy (McKenna and Robinson, 1990), resistance persists.

Does content literacy have to be a dead issue? Hopefully not. Several recent articles have proposed a re-aligned and expanded professional education sequence to help support development of content literacy teaching strategies and subsequent implementation (Sturtevant, 1991; Rafferty, 1990b, 1991). Changes outlined by these authors are likely to be ineffectual, however, particularly if the following personnel are themselves resistant to the role of content literacy in middle grades, high school, or university classrooms:

- 1) On-campus faculty - either in teacher education or in various subject matter disciplines - need to know, understand, embrace, model, and support various

content literacy techniques in their own courses.

- 2) Student teaching supervisors should facilitate implementation and further development of these techniques.
- 3) Cooperating classroom teachers must be knowledgeable and supportive of experimentation with content literacy teaching strategies.

The following checklist was originally designed to assist cooperating teachers and university supervisors to support student teachers' use of content area literacy/learning teaching techniques (CAL/LTS). It has been expanded and refined based upon input from a number of classroom teachers and university supervisors. It might also be useful to help various university faculty and classroom teachers who wish to expand their own knowledge of such strategies. In light of recent research that indicates that there is a relationship between cooperating teachers' knowledge of content reading strategies and student teachers' use of them (Sturtevant and Spor, 1990) and evidence that university supervisors may need assistance to upgrade their own understanding of these techniques (Rafferty, 1990a), such a resource seems both important and necessary.

The checklist and resource guide, however, are neither prescriptive nor completely comprehensive. In other

words, not all strategies listed are necessary during every lesson and certain strategies could be listed in more than one place. For example, an activity like brainstorming could occur at various points during an instructional sequence. It is listed under the category entitled, **BEFORE**, primarily because brainstorming has

been documented as a successful pre-reading or pre-instructional strategy. Furthermore, there are other strategies that could have been listed as well as additional texts that include these types of techniques. Limited space precludes a more exhaustive listing.

This next section is structured to complement and supplement the

Checklist of Content Area Literacy/Learning Teaching Strategies (CAL/LTS) to Support Reading, Writing, and Thinking for Learning in Content Area Classrooms

Student Teacher _____ Content Area _____
 Grade Level of Class: _____ Type of Class _____
 Date _____ Size of Class _____
 Nature of Lesson _____

Directions: Check the items exhibited or observed either in pre-planning or actual lesson. It is NOT expected that all items listed will or should be included in any single instructional sequence. Spaces for comments are provided beneath each section. The following key may be useful:

- + Successful attempt
- Attempted but needs improvement
- 0 Not evident, but would be an appropriate strategy
- X Not applicable to this lesson

The student teacher uses these activities during PRE-PLANNING for instruction.

| Relative Success | Teaching Strategy | Specific Examples |
|------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | determines difficulty or appropriateness of the material | Readability, Cloze, etc. |
| | uses pretests of prior knowledge | |
| | uses pretests of student attitudes or interests | |
| | incorporates supplementary materials to meet needs of all ability levels | |
| | other (please describe) | |

Comments related to **PREPLANNING** Phase:

The student teacher uses these activities to prepare the class BEFORE instruction:

| Relative Success | Teaching Strategy | Specific Examples |
|------------------|--|---|
| | uses advanced organizers or structured overviews as framework for the lesson | Analogies, K-W-L, Webbing, etc. |
| | activates students' prior knowledge | brainstorming, PRep, etc. |
| | helps students set purposes for reading | |
| | helps students make predictions or ask questions | DR-TA |
| | preteaches difficult vocabulary | |
| | teaches or reminds students to use a study system | SQ3R, PRNR(Q) |
| | reminds students of their responsibilities as learners | New definition of Reading/ Learning, Metcognition |
| | other (please describe) | |

Comments related to **BEFORE INSTRUCTION** Phase:

The student teacher uses these activities to focus and guide students' attention DURING instruction:

| Relative Success | Teaching Strategy | Specific Examples |
|------------------|--|--|
| | helps students be aware of their own reading/learning strategies and effectiveness | self-monitoring or metacognition |
| | uses teaching techniques to help students learn to focus on key concepts | Directed Reading Lesson, Directed Reading, Thinking Activity, etc. |
| | uses reading guides to help students know how to interact with expository text | Pattern Guides, Textbook Activity Guides, etc. |
| | uses a variety of textbook discussion strategies to provide for individual, small group, and whole class interaction | Inferential Strategy, Intra-Act, Radio Reading, ReQuest, K-W-L, Guided Reading Procedure, etc. |
| | provides cooperative learning opportunities | |
| | uses community resources | guest speakers, field trips, etc. |
| | other (please describe) | |

Comments related to **DURING INSTRUCTION** Phase:

The student teacher uses these activities to consolidate learning **AFTER** instruction:

| Relative Success | Teaching Strategy | Specific Examples |
|------------------|---|--|
| | models various notetaking strategies | semantic maps, webs, double-entry, etc. |
| | asks varied questions that involve interpretation, application, synthesis, evaluation, etc. rather than mere factual recall | higher order thinking skills |
| | provides adequate wait time for student response | |
| | helps students understand information at literal, interpretive, and applied levels | 3-Level Reading Guides, QARs (Question-Answer Relationships) |
| | distinguishes between and appropriately uses both recitation and discussion techniques | |
| | uses various Writing to Learn strategies | Journals, Learning Logs, Summarizing, Admit or Exit Slips |
| | reminds students to use SQ3R or similar strategy to review and practice newly learned information | New definition of Reading/Learning, metacognition |
| | other (please describe) | |

Comments related to **AFTER INSTRUCTION** Phase:

observational checklist. An alphabetical listing of twelve content reading textbooks from which references were selected is presented first. It is followed by an index that cross-references strategies from the checklist categories **PRE-PLANNING, BEFORE, DURING, and AFTER** instruction with page numbers from the twelve content reading textbooks. Textbooks are identified by the first author's last name.

CONTENT AREA

READING/LITERACY TEXTBOOK REFERENCES

- Brozo, W.G., and Simpson, M.L. (1991). **Readers, teachers, learners: Expanding literacy in secondary schools.** New York, NY: Merrill Publishing Company.
- Crawley, S.J, and Mountain, L.H. (1988). **Strategies for guiding content reading.** Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon, Inc.
- Dishner, E.K., Bean, T.W., Readence, J.E., and Moore, D.W. (1986). **Reading in the content areas, 2nd**

ed. Dubuque, IO: Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company.

Lapp, D., Flood, J., and Farnan, N. (1989). **Content area reading and learning: Instructional strategies.** Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Manzo, A.V., and Manzo, U.C. (1990). **Content area reading: A heuristic approach.** Columbus, OH: Merrill Publishing Company.

Moore, D.W., Moore, S.A., Cunningham, P.M., and Cunningham, J.W. (1986). **Developing readers and writers in the content areas.** New York, NY: Longman.

Readence, J.E., Bean, T.W., and Baldwin, R.S. (1985). **Content area reading: An integrated approach.** Dubuque, IO: Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company.

Richardson, J.S., and Morgan, R.F.

(1990). **Reading to learn in the content areas.** Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

Roe, B.D., Stoodt, B.D., and Burns, P.C. (1987). **Secondary school reading instruction: The content areas.** Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Company.

Standal, T.C., and Betza, R.E. (1990). **Content area reading: Teachers, texts, and students.** Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Tonjes, M.J., and Zintz, M.V. (1987). **Teaching reading, thinking, study skills in content classrooms.** Dubuque, IO: Wm. C. Brown Publishers.

Vacca, R.T., and Vacca, J.L. (1989). **Content area reading, 3rd ed.,** Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman and Company.

TEXTBOOK RESOURCE INDEX FOR BEFORE, DURING, AFTER STRATEGIES

| | BROZO | CRAWLEY | DISHNER | LAPP | MANZO | MOORE | READENCE | RICHARDSON | ROE | STANDAL | TONJES | VACCA |
|--------------|-------|---------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| PRE-PLANNING | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Readability | 16 | 17-28 | 49 117-128 | 8-10 34-42 | 57-66 84-87 | 163-64 | 41-43 | 22 73-84 | 204 386-395 | 11-12 29-38 | 58-72 | 9 49-51 |
| Cloze | | 32-35 | 285-86 | 37 352-54 | 44-45 60-65 | 29, 78 | 65-71 | 87-89 126-129 | 367-370 390-392 | 159-162 | 18-19 90-91 | 51-54 |
| Pretests | 62-88 | 44-48 | 129-168 | 164-67 258-269 | 76-77 129 | | 18-23 185-196 | 34-51 89-90 | 350 386-387 | 162-164 172 | 82-88 93, 94 | 42-43 |

| BEFORE INSTRUCTION | BROZO | CRAWLEY | DISHNER | LAPP | MANZO | MOORE | READENCE | RICHARDSON | ROE | STANDAL | TONJES | VACCA |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Advance Organizer | 25-29 | 87 | 110-111 | 261-269 | 106-109 | 64-65 | 23-29 | 134-145 | 49 | | 12-20 | 74 |
| Structured Overview | 32-33 | 128-130 | 224-233 | 273-278 | 148-150 | | 131-133 | | 56-57 | | 294-296 | 125-128 |
| Analogies/Other | 111-112 | | 289 | | 256-257 | | | | 408 | | | 133-137 |
| Anticipatory Sets | 129-137 | | | | 374 | | | | | | | |
| Webbing/Mapping | 33-36 | 149-157 | | 235 | 234-235 | 92, 97 | 106-109 | 209-213 | 121 | | 231 | 204-211 |
| | 105-108 | | | | 347-348 | 150-153 | | | | | | 311-313 |
| | 235-238 | | | | 408-409 | 263-264 | | | | | | |
| Prior Knowledge Activation | 100-105 | 126-143 | 148-155 | 226-228 | 136-146 | | 20-21 | 118-121 | 409 | | | 351 |
| | 108-110 | | 229 | 263-264 | | | 100-102 | 126-130 | | | | 137-138 |
| | | | 278-280 | 274-282 | | | | | | | | |
| Brainstorming | 97-98 | 205-206 | | 231 | 119 | 38-39 | 23 | 93 | 99-100 | 131-132 | 29 | 38 |
| | | | | 273-282 | | | | 265-268 | | | | 137-138 |
| | | | | | | | | 326, 357, 373 | | | | |
| PReP | 28-29 | 138-139 | | | 147 | 33 | 138-139 | 23, 126 | | 173 | | 137-138 |
| Setting Purpose | 98-105 | 140 | 251-257 | 11, 64 | 105, 146 | 27-28 | 157 | 286-288 | 98-102 | 76-80 | 255-257 | |
| | | | | | | 165 | | | | 88, 93 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 97-99 | | |
| Predictions and Questions | 40-45 | 135, 140 | 174-178 | 226 | 106, 143 | 11, 32 | 134-142 | 138, 257 | 101-102 | 96-100 | 181 | 142-148 |
| | | | 261 | 254-257 | 291-292 | 178-179 | | 287, 433 | 444-446 | | | |
| | | | | 315-319 | | | | | | | | |
| Preteaching Vocabulary | 127, 129 | 13, 54 | 55 | 214-224 | 155-163 | 48-81 | 96 | 352-353 | 28-29 | 66-67 | 137-138 | 70 |
| | 137-140 | | 172-208 | 363 | | 234-236 | | 362 | 39-43 | | | 297-302 |
| Study Systems | 36-40 | 173-175 | 57 | 11, 194 | 221-228 | 30-32 | 173-174 | 289-291 | 133-137 | 142-144 | 254-255 | 226-227 |
| | 45-54 | 185 | 295-299 | 228, 234 | 276-278 | | | | | | | 234 |
| | | | | 278 | 282-283 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 291-292 | | | | | | | | |
| Metacognition | 18-21 | 143-144 | 257-262 | 232-234 | 298-300 | 22-25 | 167-168 | 273-284 | 81-83 | | 176-186 | 220 |
| | 54-58 | 148 | | | | 43-44 | 173 | | 86-87 | | | |
| | 219-242 | | | | | 165 | | | 102-103 | | | |
| | 261-263 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| DURING INSTRUCTION | BROZO | CRAWLEY | DISHNER | LAPP | MANZO | MOORE | READENCE | RICHARDSON | ROE | STANDAL | TONJES | VACCA |
|---|-------------------------|---------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Self-Monitoring Reading/Learning Strategies | 228-230 240-242 | | 281-306 | 66-69 254-257 361 | 255, 276 298-300 | 9-15 | 168-169 | 276-277 291-292 | 11-12 371-372 | 50-51 98-99 | | 20-23 |
| Directed or Guided Reading | 155-160 235-238 | 149 | 285-288 | 322-324 358 | 35 126-128 140, 210 | | 173 | 295-296 299-300 327 | 409-418 | 98-99 | 309-311 | 67-80 |
| Directed Reading - Thinking Activity | 101 | 160-162 | 278-280 | 72-74 322-324 | 104-107 110-111 119, 126 128, 315 | 30 | 154-156 | 131 288-291 | 266-268 409-418 248-249 | 96-100 | 220, 286 292 | 67-80 100-107 |
| Reading Guides | 45-54 | 143-149 | 215-222 229-233 | 323 | 183-195 | 145 | | 201-202 208 249-250 410, 420 | 400-405 | 24-25 | 291 | 161-167 182, 185 190-195 |
| Discussion Strategies | 32-33 97-98 | 152 | 247-250 | 244-257 | 69-73 | | 151-152 | 324, 378 | 3,5,81,82 85-86 103 | 67-68 78-80 93-101 111, 116 165 172-173 | 300, 307 | 100 116-119 147-149 |
| Cooperative Learning | 43-45 129 228-230 | 227-236 | 312 316-318 | 74-80 330-345 | 112-118 227-229 255 | | 149 | 249 322-324 | 137 395-400 | 99-100 | 270-279 | 98-100 205 211-213 |

| AFTER INSTRUCTION | BROZO | CRAWLEY | DISHNER | LAPP | MANZO | MOORE | READENCE | RICHARDSON | ROE | STANDAL | TONJES | VACCA |
|--|--------------------|---------|------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| Modeling of Various Note-taking Strategies | 230-235 | 187-189 | 274-278 291 | 145-146 | 13, 99 278-282 323-326 | 146-148 | 147, 153 169-173 | 291-299 | 144-146 186 | 95, 142 148-151 174 | 220-230 | 227-242 304 |
| Levels and Types of Questions | 45-47 | | 56-57 289-290 | 323 | 186 276-278 282-283 | | 149-152 | 222 299-301 331, 433 | 109-120 228-229 404-405 | 75-88 95, 99 131 172-175 | 299, 307 | 163-177 |
| Recitation vs. Discussion | | | 246-251 | 324 | 210-213 275 | | 151 170-171 | 130-131 378-379 | 95-97 117-120 | 67-68 78-80 94-101 | 308 | 94-100 |
| Writing to Learn | 150-152 155-164 | 198-200 | 343-347 | 147-151 323 | | 114-129 | 137 174-175 | 434-446 | 120-121 | 105-116 121-133 | 201-204 | 256-295 |
| Comprehension Reinforcement | 167-168 | 153-159 | | | | | 175 | 373-385 | | 67-70 | | 301-321 315 |
| Test Preparation Strategies | | 191-196 | | | 286-293 | | 176 | 412-413 | | | | |

CONCLUDING REMARKS

It is my hope that this checklist and resource/reference index will be used in a variety of ways to promote increased implementation of appropriate content reading/literacy strategies and teaching techniques. Those interested in adapting, modifying, and using this resource are encouraged to contact me for collaborative dialogue as we continue to seek ways to ensure better teaching and learning in our schools and teacher preparation institutions!

REFERENCES

- Christiansen, M.A. (1986). How prospective secondary teachers feel about taking a required course in teaching reading: A survey report. *Journal of Reading, 29*, 428-429.
- McKenna, M.C., & Robinson, R.D. (1990). Content literacy: A definition and implications. *Journal of Reading, 34*, 184-186.
- O'Brien, D.G. (1988). Secondary preservice teachers' resistance to content reading instruction: A proposal for a broader rationale. In

- J.E. Readance and R.S. Baldwin (Eds.) **The Thirty-Seventh Yearbook of the National Reading Conference** (pp. 237-243), Chicago, IL: National Reading Conference.
- O'Brien, D.G. & Stewart, R.A. (1990). Preservice teachers' perspectives on why every teacher is not a teacher of reading: A qualitative analysis. **Journal of Reading Behavior**, *22*, 101-129.
- O'Rourke, W.J. (1980). Research on secondary teachers toward teaching reading in content classrooms. **Journal of Reading**, *23*, 337-339.
- Rafferty, C.D. (1990a). From preservice to staff development: Diffusing content reading resistance. Paper presented at the meeting of the National Reading Conference, Miami, FL.
- Rafferty, C.D. (1990b). Of paradoxes, corollaries, and paradigms: Defusing content reading resistance. **Journal of Reading Education**, *16*, 20-31.
- Rafferty, C.D. (1991). From preservice through staff development: Creating a professional development continuum to promote content area literacy. (Manuscript submitted for publication.)
- Ratekin, N., Simpson, M.L., Alvermann, D.E., & Dishner, E.K. (1985). Why teachers resist content reading instruction. **Journal of Reading**, *28*, 432-437.
- Stewart, R.A., & O'Brien, D.G. (1989). Resistance to content area reading: A focus on preservice teachers. **Journal of Reading**, *32*, 396-401.
- Stieglitz, E.L. (1983). Effects of a content area reading course on teacher attitudes and practices: A four year study. **Journal of Reading**, *26*, 690-696.
- Sturtevant, E.G. (1991). Caught in the middle: Preservice teachers in secondary content reading and writing courses. **Journal of Reading Education**, *16*, 44-52.
- Sturtevant, E.G., & Spor, M.W. (1990). Student teacher use of content reading strategies. In N.D. Padak, T.V. Rasinski, & J. Logan (Eds.), **Challenges in Reading**, the Yearbook of the College Reading Association, (pp. 25-30). Provo, UT.

DR. CATHLEEN D. RAFFERTY

is an Associate Professor of Secondary Education/Reading in the Department of Teacher Education and Professional Development at Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, Michigan.

The author wishes to acknowledge Central Michigan University Secondary Reading minor **VERNA BURDO** for her assistance in compiling this information.

Grow Professionally!
Join MRA!

**MRA Leads with
Purposes...
Activities...
People...
and YOU!**

**MICHIGAN READING ASSOCIATION
Membership Application**

NAME _____

MAILING ADDRESS _____ STATE _____

CITY _____ COUNTY _____ ZIP _____

SCHOOL DISTRICT _____

POSITION _____ LEVEL _____

IRA MEMBERS? Yes _____ No _____ MRA REGION _____

LOCAL COUNCIL MEMBER? Yes _____ No _____ NAME _____

Membership will be accepted at any time for twelve months.

Please check one:

Check one:

- | | | | |
|--|--|------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> REGULAR (2 years) | \$35.00 | NEW MEMBER | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> REGULAR | \$20.00 | RENEWAL | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> INSTITUTION | \$20.00 | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PARENT | \$7.50 (available to non-educators only) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> STUDENT | \$8.00 (full-time undergraduates only) | | |

College Attending _____ Check No. _____

Faculty Signature _____ Date _____

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM ALONG WITH YOUR REMITTANCE TO:

MEMBERSHIP, Michigan Reading Association, Box 7509, Grand Rapids, MI 49510.