IRIS AperTO

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

New phases for analytical scale extraction from plants: Current and future trends

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1798336 since 2021-08-28T15:40:29Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.trac.2021.116288

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

14 December 2021

New phases for micro-scale extractions from plants: current and future trends

Giulia Mastellone[§], Arianna Marengo[§], Barbara Sgorbini, Patrizia Rubiolo, Cecilia Cagliero*

[1]Dipartimento di Scienza e Tecnologia del Farmaco, Università degli Studi di Torino, I-10125, Turin, Italy

Abstract

Analyses in the plant field have to cover a wide range of topics, starting from metabolomics to the quali-quantitative determination of a single or a class of metabolites, often dealing with very complex matrices. The development of an appropriate sample preparation method is fundamental to obtain complete information, because downstream analyses will detect only the metabolites previously extracted. The choice of the proper extraction phase is therefore of utmost importance and the most critical parameter to be considered. Although most of the studies on plants still mainly adopt traditional extraction techniques, a wide number of new phases with improved features in terms of tunability, selectivity and, most important, sustainability have been developed in the past decades and applied to the study of natural products. This review provides an overview of the new extraction phases developed and adopted for the study of plant endogenous and exogenous metabolites.

---

§ Both authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding author: cecilia.cagliero@unito.it, Via Pietro Giuria, 9, I-10125, Torino, Italy, Tel: +39-011-6707133

Keywords: extraction phases, natural products, ionic liquids, deep eutectic solvents, natural sorbents, molecular imprinted polymers, metal organic frameworks, carbon-based materials, hybrid materials, green analytical chemistry

Abbreviations: **ABS**: aqueous biphasic system, **Bio-IL:** bio-renewable IL, **CD**: cyclodextrin, **ChCl**: choline chloride , **CNT**: carbon nanotube, **COF**: covalent-organic framework, **DES**: deep eutectic solvent, **DI**: direct immersion, **DLLME**: dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction,

FT-IR: Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, **GO**: graphene oxide, **rGO**: reduced graphene oxide, **HBA**: hydrogen bond acceptor, **HBD**: hydrogen bond donor, **IL**: ionic liquid, **HS**: headspace, **IL-HIM**: ionic liquid−hybrid molecularly imprinted material, **ILMHDE**: IL-mediated microwave-assisted hydro distillation liquid-liquid extraction, **ILUMASDE**: ionic liquid-based ultrasound/microwave-assisted simultaneous distillation extraction, **MAE**: microwave assisted extraction, **MCE**: mechanochemical extraction, **MNP**: magnetic nanoparticle, **MIL**: magnetic ionic liquid, **MIP**: molecular imprinted polymer, **MOF**: metal organic framework, **MSPD**: matrix solid phase dispersion **MWCNT**: multiwalled carbon nanotube, **NADES**: natural deep eutectic solvent, **PANI**: polyaniline, **PCB**: polychlorobiphenyl, **PDMS**: polydimethylsiloxane, **PIL**: polymeric ionic liquid, **PPy**: polypyrrole, **PSA**: primary-secondary amine, **PVC**: polyvinyl chloride, **QuEChERS:** Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged, and Safe, **UAE**: ultrasound assisted extraction, **SLE**: solid-liquid extraction, **SPME**: solid phase microextraction, **SPE**: solid phase extraction, **dSPE**: dispersive SPE , **µ-dSPE**: miniaturized version of dispersive SPE , **m-SPE**: magnetic solid phase extraction, **ToF**: time of flight , **TRIL**: temperature-responsive hydrophobic ionic liquid, **UCTS**: upper critical solution temperature, **UHPLC**: ultra-high performance liquid chromatography.

1. Introduction

Natural product analyses must cover a wide range of topics, starting from the study of plant metabolomes to the quality control and determination of endogenous and exogenous compounds. In both cases, sample preparation plays a fundamental role. In fact, all plant metabolites should be extracted in metabolomics studies. However, a universal extraction phase or technique is practically impossible to find because of the complexity of plant systems and the chemical diversity of metabolites [1]. On the other hand, the determination of single or multiple metabolites, which are often present at trace levels in plant materials, is required in quality control analyses. The analytical challenge here is the development of methods that can detect target compound(s) at low limits, as often required by regulations, meaning that proper enrichment is required [2].

The development of appropriate sample preparation methods in the plant field faces particular challenges as the complexity of plant biological systems can affect the reliable determination of target analytes. In fact, the metabolome of a plant comprises a high variety of compounds, ranging from different classes of primary metabolites (sugars, proteins, fats and nucleic acids) to a very wide range of specialized metabolites (such as terpenoids, alkaloids, phenolic compounds and others). Another issue in plant analysis is the presence of chlorophylls and other pigments; these molecules are present in high amounts in the photosynthetic parts of the plant, but poorly compatible with downstream analyses, and with HPLC systems in particular, because of their strong interaction with commonly used reverse stationary phases. At the same time, it is important to remember that plant cells are protected by thick and robust lignocellulosic walls that should be disrupted if the efficient extraction of intracellular metabolites is to be achieved.

A number of mechanical means, including ultrasound and microwaves, can be adopted to increase the efficiency of metabolite extraction. However, the choice of the appropriate extraction phase is of utmost importance, and is the most critical parameter

78 to be considered. The extraction phase should either cover the widest possible array of
79 metabolites in metabolomics studies, or increase the selectivity and the enrichment of
80 target analytes in studies dealing with the determination of specific compounds.

81 In this sense, most studies on plants still principally adopt traditional extraction
82 techniques, including liquid-liquid extraction and Soxhlet extraction, that entail the high
83 consumption of toxic organic solvents. However, the use of miniaturizing techniques and,
84 in particular, of new classes of more sustainable extraction phases is gaining ground as
85 they meet the criteria of Green Analytical Chemistry [3]. Furthermore, these techniques
86 can be tailored to increase extraction selectivity towards specific compounds [4,5].

87 This review aims to provide an overview of the extraction phases that have been
88 developed and adopted, over the last three years (from 2017 to 2020), for the study of
89 endogenous and exogenous plant metabolites. A critical evaluation of the main features
90 of each phase will be provided as well as some ideas for new applications in the plant
91 field (see also figure 1 and table 1).

92 The first part of this review deals with the evaluation of new green extraction
93 solvents (ionic liquids and deep eutectic solvents) in the liquid-phase extraction of natural
94 products, while the second part is devoted to the evaluation of innovative solid sorbents
95 for the clean-up and pre-concentration of plant extracts. The potential, limits and future
96 trends of each extraction phase will be highlighted in both cases.

97

98 **2. Liquid extraction phases**

99 The choice of extraction solvent is of utmost importance when dealing with the
100 extraction of plant metabolites, and the search for new green solvents has become one of
101 the most significant subjects in the sample preparation field. In this respect, ionic liquids
102 (ILs) have received a great deal of attention as they may be able to replace organic
103 solvents in the extraction of natural products. Moreover, deep eutectic solvents (DESs)

104 are also gaining position in the field of plant sample preparation. In fact, these “green”
105 solvents can be combined with a range of extraction techniques, such as ultrasound
106 assisted extraction (UAE) and microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), and can be
107 exploited in liquid-phase microextractions.

108 In this section, the potential of using ILs and DESs as liquid extraction phases in
109 the plant field will be presented and critically discussed together with their possible
110 weaknesses. Figure 1b provides a representation of the structure of the liquid extraction
111 phases that have been investigated, while table 2 reports a number of representative
112 applications.

113

114 **2.1 Ionic Liquids**

115 Ionic liquids (ILs) are an interesting class of molten salts whose physicochemical
116 properties have inspired the rapid development of new applications in analytical
117 chemistry and, in particular, in the sample-preparation field [6]. They are organic salts
118 that possess melting points at or below 100 °C. In most cases, they are composed of an
119 organic cation and an organic or inorganic anion, and are characterized by high thermal
120 stability, low vapor pressure and varying viscosity, conductivity and miscibility in
121 different solvents.

122 One of the main features of ILs, compared to traditional organic solvents, is their
123 ability to incorporate functional groups, within the IL structure, that selectively interact
124 with specific analytes or a class of analytes. The chemical structure of ILs (type of
125 functional groups and/or anion/cation combination) can be tailored to provide various
126 solvation interactions (such as hydrogen bonding, electrostatic, hydrophobic and π–π
127 interactions) and capabilities with both polar and apolar compounds, thus giving ILs with
128 very different properties in terms of water solubility and solution behavior [6,7]. In

addition, since they present negligible vapor pressure at room temperature, they prevent solvent loss to the atmosphere and decrease the environmental footprint, thus making them a sustainable alternative to organic solvents.

Many studies have also demonstrated the ability of ionic liquids to dissolve cellulose and other cell-wall components (such as hemicellulose and lignin), via the decrystallization of the cellulose portion of lignocellulosic biomass, and simultaneously disruption of the lignin and hemicellulose network [8,9]. In fact, the anions of ionic liquids can form strong hydrogen bonds with the hydroxyl groups of cellulose, and thus eliminate their intramolecular hydrogen bonds and disrupt cell walls, causing cell lysis. This ability can be exploited for the pre-treatment of plant material before its extraction, for instance before or during hydrodistillation, at laboratory scale, to increase essential oil yield [10,11].

The above-mentioned properties have allowed ILs to find many applications as solvents in extraction and separation processes for bioactive compounds, either in simple solid-liquid extractions (SLE) or in microwave-assisted (MA) and ultrasound-assisted (UA) extractions [5,12]. In particular, because of their high polarity and high thermal stability, ILs are heated rapidly and uniformly under microwave irradiation being considered as excellent microwave absorbers. They can also be used in UAE, in particular for extracting thermolabile compounds in short times and at room temperature [5].

Because of their versatility, ILs can be adopted in liquid-phase extractions of natural products under different extraction modes. They can be used as surfactants above their critical micellar concentration, as reported by Mastellone *et al*. in a study that exploited 1-hexadecyl-3-butyl imidazolium bromide as IL surfactant for the extraction of phenolic compounds from *Vitis vinifera* L. leaves [13], and in another work by Moučková *et al*. that evaluated a set of structurally different IL-based surfactants for the flavonoid profile

154 determination of *Mangifera* spp. and *Passiflora* spp. leaves [14]. They can also form
155 aqueous biphasic systems (ABS) when the aqueous IL solution is mixed with inorganic
156 salts that promote phase separation and increase analyte(s) enrichment. This approach has
157 been adopted, for instance, for the extraction of eight ginsenosides from the flower buds
158 of *Panax ginseng* C.A.Mey; in this study, the extraction of the analytes has been carried
159 out by aqueous IL-based UAE and it has been followed by the separation of the IL phase
160 with an ABS, using NaH_2PO_4, and by the direct analysis by HPLC-UV [15]. Finally,
161 dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction (DLLME) can be used when dealing with liquid
162 samples, such as herbal teas. In this case, hydrophobic ILs are dispersed in the water
163 solution with the aid of a dispersive solvent and the IL-phase is recovered and analyzed.
164 In the IL-DLLME procedure, ultrasound energy can be applied to accelerate the mass
165 transfer process and to improve the extraction efficiency. In addition, the solubility of
166 target analytes in ILs can be optimized by changing the temperature. An ultrasonic-
167 assisted temperature-controlled IL-DLLME approach has recently been coupled to
168 HPLC-UV and applied for the determination of pyrethroid residues in herbal teas [16].

169 ILs can also incorporate a paramagnetic element into their structure, either in the
170 cation or in the anion giving rise to the magnetic ionic liquids (MILs), a sub-class of ILs
171 whose application in sample preparation is rapidly increasing [6,7]. MILs have found
172 some applications in the plant field, in particular in the extraction of sinomenine from
173 *Sinomenium acutum* (Thunb.) Rehder & E.H.Wilson [17] and in the extraction, adopting
174 an ABS approach, of berberine from the rhizome of *Coptis* spp [18]. They also have been
175 used for the isolation of DNA from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. and other plants
176 [19]. These compounds have great potential for the extraction of endogenous and
177 exogenous metabolites from vegetable matrices because they combine all of the features
178 of ILs with the ability to exploit their paramagnetic characteristics that allow them to be

179 easily separated using an external magnetic field, in a very rapid process that avoids
180 centrifugation and filtration steps. MILs therefore deserve to be taken into more serious
181 consideration when the extraction phase for the sample preparation of plant matrices is
182 selected.

183 ILs can also be incorporated in solid phase platforms, either alone (as polymeric
184 ionic liquids, PILs) or in combination with other sorbents to form composites. PILs are
185 polyelectrolytes obtained through the polymerization of IL monomers. PILs not only
186 retain the main features of ILs, but also present the inherent properties of polymers with
187 enhanced mechanical stability and conductivity properties. Thus, they are the best option
188 to exploit the outstanding properties of ILs in sorbent-based microextraction strategies.
189 For instance, they can be used in solid phase microextraction (SPME) as sorbent coatings
190 directly bonded and crosslinked to rugged supports. PIL-based SPME fibers can be
191 applied in both direct immersion (DI) and headspace (HS) extraction modes and can be
192 coupled to both HPLC and GC analysis. For instance, PIL coatings have found use in the
193 determination of acrylamide in brewed coffee and coffee powder by DI-SPME [20,21]
194 and in the profiling of the volatile compounds from *Echinacea* spp. flowers by HS-SPME
195 [22], in both cases coupled to GC-MS analysis.

196 In addition, a number of IL-based composites have been developed to exploit the
197 unique properties of ILs in sorbent-based strategies, such as in solid-phase extraction
198 (SPE) [23]. In the plant field, for instance, a bionic multi-tentacled ionic liquid-modified
199 silica gel has been synthesized and used as a selective adsorbent in the separation of tea
200 polyphenols from green tea [24]. Ionic liquids and β-cyclodextrin functionalized
201 magnetic graphene oxide materials have been synthesized for the extraction of plant
202 growth regulators in vegetable samples that were pre-extracted with 1% acetic acid in
203 acetonitrile [25]. ILs have also been widely employed in combination with natural

sorbents, molecular imprinted polymers (MIPs) and carbon-based materials; these composites will be better described in section 3.

Thanks to the tunability of their structure and, consequently, of their properties, ILs can be applied to both metabolomics studies and the selective extraction of specific endogenous and exogenous compounds. When dealing with metabolomics studies, ILs can be exploited for the simultaneous determination of volatile and non-volatile components [26-28]. Different approaches for the determination of these classes of compounds in plant matrices have recently been developed. In the first case, an efficient IL-mediated microwave-assisted hydrodistillation has been concatenated with liquid-liquid extraction (ILMHDE) for the distillation of essential oil and the simultaneous extraction of a set of non-volatile flavonoids (astragalin, quercetin, luteolin, kaempferol and apigenin) from the inflorescences of *Helichrysum arenarium* (L.) Moench. An IL solution is added to the plant material and submitted to microwave-assisted hydrodistillation, and the hydrosol is then collected in two separation columns, the first of which recovers the volatile components, while the second collects the non-volatile compounds after the addition of an organic solvent. [27]. ILs can also be used in combination with enzyme digestion, as clearly shown in a study in which a solution of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide and a mixture of cellulase and pectinase have been used as pretreatment before the simultaneous extraction, through a modified hydrodistillation concatenated Soxhlet extraction apparatus, of procyanidins and essential oil from the pinecones of *Pinus koraiensis* Siebold & Zucc. [28]. Finally, an ionic liquid-based ultrasound/microwave-assisted simultaneous distillation extraction (ILUMASDE) approach can be used. Again, an IL-water solution is added to the plant material and submitted to UAE and/or MAE but, in this case, the non-volatile components are directly determined in the solvent solution, while the volatile components are collected after the

condensation of the vapor phase. This approach has been successfully applied to the determination of the flavonoids and volatile components in sea buckthorn (*Elaeagnus rhamnoides* (L) A. Nelson) leaves, and has provided a drastic reduction in extraction times, higher analyte recovery and similar volatile composition, compared to conventional hydrodistillation. [26]. The ability to extract hydrophilic and hydrophobic non-volatile compounds at the same time is also very interesting. For instance, an ionic-liquid-based ultrasonic-assisted extraction has been used to simultaneously extract gingerols and polysaccharides from ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) with higher yields and reduced extraction times for both classes of compound [29]. The use of temperature-responsive hydrophobic ionic liquids (TRILs) for the simultaneous extraction of hydrophilic and hydrophobic compounds, followed by their separation and pre-concentration at lower temperatures, is another remarkable alternative. In fact, TRILs are soluble in water above a certain temperature (the upper critical solution temperature, UCST) and immiscible below the UCST. As illustrated in figure 2b, the extraction process is carried out at high temperature, exploiting the different polarities of the two solvents, and then the *in-situ* separation and pre-concentration of the target compounds is achieved by reducing the temperature below the UCST, meaning that the extraction solvent will switch from being single-phase to two-phase. This approach has been developed specifically for the extraction of bioactive compounds from medicinal plants, and has been applied to *Chrysanthemum* spp., as a case study [30].

On the other hand, the structure of ILs can be tuned for the selective recovery of plant metabolites belonging to specific chemical classes As previously mentioned, a cholinium-based MIL has been exploited for the selective extraction of berberine from the rhizome of *Coptis* spp [18] but numerous other examples can be found in literature, as reported in a recent review by Xiao et al [31]. Furthermore, ILs can be exploited for

254 the selective extraction and pre-concentration of toxic exogenous compounds. As already
255 reported, an IL-based SPME and an IL-based *in-situ* DLLME method have been
256 developed for the extraction of acrylamide from coffee [20,21,32] and an IL-based
257 DLLME approach has been adopted for the selective extraction and determination of
258 pyrethroid residues in herbal teas [16].

259 Despite the undoubted advantages of IL-based extractions, these molecules also
260 have some limits. One drawback is the difficulty in recovering extracted compounds
261 because of the negligible vapor pressure of ILs. This limit mostly affects their use in the
262 isolation of natural compounds, and less their analytical application. However, the poor
263 volatility of ILs also means that they are not directly compatible with LC-MS analyses or
264 the direct injection into a GC system; as a result, a back-extraction of the analytes from
265 the IL phase is required before their analysis, when these techniques are adopted. The
266 difficulty in recycling and recovering ILs on an industrial scale is also a critical issue.

267 In addition, although they were originally considered to be green chemicals, some
268 concerns about the toxicity and potential environmental impact of ILs has started to arise
269 in recent years. In fact, most of them are obtained from fossil sources and show poor
270 degradability. In this respect, the current trend is to design ILs to be more degradable and
271 less toxic. In particular, ILs with fluorine-free anions and with more biodegradable
272 cations (e.g. pyridinium or guanidinium) have been developed, in part thanks to the fact
273 that shorter IL side chains in any of the moieties give less toxic ILs. More eco-friendly
274 guanidinium-based ILs have been applied, for instance, in the above-mentioned
275 extraction of flavonoids from *Mangifera* spp. and *Passiflora* spp. leaves [14]. These
276 derivatives, although more environmentally sustainable, still require a large amount of
277 non-renewable solvents in their synthesis, meaning that the use of anionic or cationic
278 counterparts that are fully or partly derived from natural compounds would therefore be

an ideal and sustainable approach to the development of a new generation of bio-renewable ILs. (Bio-ILs) [33]. For instance, matrinium-based ionic liquids, derived from the alkaloid matrine, isolated from *Sophora flavescens* Aiton, have optimal physicochemical properties and low cytotoxicity [34]. Unfortunately, these Bio-ILs have rarely been applied in sample preparation and no applications can be found in the plant field. Future advancements in the development of bio-renewable ionic liquids are therefore expected and their application in the extraction of plant matrices should be explored.

2.2. Deep eutectic solvents (DES)

Deep eutectic solvents are systems that are formed by a hydrogen-bond acceptor (HBA) and a hydrogen-bond donor (HBD). As the term "eutectic" suggests, they are characterized by a lower melting point than the individual components. They are usually associated with ILs because they have similar physicochemical properties, including low vapor pressure, low flammability and thermal stability. However, DES can be prepared by incorporating ionic or neutral species, unlike ILs (see paragraph 2.1). Moreover, DESs overcome some of the limits of ILs due to their easy preparation, the low cost of their synthetic raw materials, as well as their lower toxicity and higher biodegradability [35–38]. Since their introduction by Abbot and colleagues in 2003 [39], they have gained popularity in the extraction field thanks to the extraction capacities they present, which are related to H-bonding interactions, polarity and viscosity. The large number of HBAs and HBDs that are available for the preparation of DESs allows many combinations of eutectic mixtures to be easily obtained with different types of extraction performance and characteristics that are suitable for a range of matrices.

In this sense, DESs have been widely explored as valid alternatives to organic solvents for the extraction of bioactive compounds from different plant materials, with a focus on non-volatile endogenous compounds [40]. Of the various components that can be used to obtain a DES, HBAs and HBDs of natural origin, such as amines, sugars, carboxylic acids and polyalcohols, are an attractive source for the preparation of biodegradable and biocompatible DES. These natural deep eutectic solvents (NADES) have found promising applications in the plant field, according to current trends towards the use of green solvents [36,38,41–45]. The solubility of most natural compounds in NADES, which is due to multiple types of interactions including hydrogen bonding, dispersion forces and electrostatic interactions [35], can be explained by a hypothesis developed by Choi *et al.* [46] who proposed that NADES are naturally present in plant cells and act as a third liquid phase in living organisms. In fact, they observed that some primary metabolites (sugars, organic acids and bases, amino acids) naturally occur in similarly high molar ratios in the plant cells, thus probably explaining the multistep biosynthesis of non-water-soluble compounds. In addition, several studies have demonstrated the ability of DESs to cleave lignin-carbohydrate complexes by disrupting covalent linkages and hydrogen bonding, thus affecting the structure of the cell wall and accelerating the release of the compounds [35].

The heating and stirring method is the most common of the procedures employed to prepare DES for the analysis of plants. It normally requires the mixing of two or three components under magnetic stirring, and keeping the temperature at 40-90°C until a homogeneous liquid is produced. [36,38,41–44,47]. When preparing a DES, it is important that hydrogen bonds are formed between the two components (HBA and HBD), and an FT-IR spectrum of the mixture can therefore be a useful tool to evaluate their correct formation [42,47]. Moreover, the DES instability over time can lead to the formation of crystals in the mixture, and a visual inspection is a simple way to evaluate

329 whether the correct procedure and HBA/HBD ratio were used to obtain a stable
330 preparation. For instance, Kaltsa *et al.* [44] have examined several lactic-acid-based DESs
331 for the presence of crystals, at regular intervals over 6 weeks. A range of different
332 physicochemical properties were observed according to the HBA and HBD chosen, and
333 the ratio used. For example, the widely used HBA choline chloride (ChCl) can be
334 combined with polyols (e.g. ethylene glycol, glycerol…), carboxylic acids, amides,
335 alcohols, sugars and amino acids to give mixtures with different polarities and viscosities
336 [36,38,42,43]. As a result, the higher viscosity of sugar-based DES (e.g Choline chloride-
337 D-glucose) led to a lower extraction capacity for flavonoid glycosides and aglycones from
338 the Chinese herbal medicine Platycladi Cacumen (*Platycladus orientalis* (L.) Franco),
339 compared to other DESs [43]. Viscosity is a key point when dealing with DES because it
340 can affect the mass transfer of analytes during extraction. The main ways of reducing
341 viscosity include higher extraction temperatures, which may result in the degradation of
342 the target compounds if not properly controlled, and the addition of water [43]. The
343 presence of water clearly affects the polarity of the solvent. Consequently, it is not
344 surprising that glycosides are more influenced by water content than aglycones, even
345 though the extraction of the more hydrophilic glycosides does not improve with higher
346 percentages of water. In fact, the correlation between analyte solubility and water content
347 is not linear, and the careful optimization of this parameter is fundamental to achieve
348 good performance, also considering that the presence of water may also interfere with the
349 formation of the hydrogen bonding network [35,41].
350 Ultrasounds [28,30,33,35,36,38,39] or microwaves [36] are often employed during
351 an extraction to enhance the transfer of analytes from the vegetal matrix to the eutectic
352 solvent, resulting in a greener approach due to the decrease of extraction time and energy
353 consumption [5]. However, prolonged or excessive heating are generally not
354 recommended as they can lead to the degradation of thermally unstable analytes, and also

of some HBDs and HBAs [35]. Yao *et al.* have compared the performance of heat-stirring, microwave and ultrasound extraction in the analysis of phenol compounds from *Pyrola asarifolia* subsp. *incarnata* (DC.) Haber & Hir. Takah*,* and microwave-assisted extraction led to better results thanks to its fast and focused heating [36].

A further aspect in the use of DES, that meets the Green Chemistry principles, is the absence of harmful organic solvents, both in the synthesis of DES and during the extraction process, unlike other sorbent-assisted extractions (e.g MWCNTs, MOFs…). This is a key point in the plant field. As previously mentioned, the complex composition of vegetal materials, including the presence of pigments (e.g. chlorophyll), usually means that a pre-treatment process is needed to eliminate these interferences, and large quantities of harmful organic solvents, such as MeOH, EtOH, acetone and hexane, are consumed. On the contrary, the common procedure with DES involves a solid-liquid extraction of the dried plant at 25-70°C in hydrophilic media. The percentage of water added to the eutectic mixture prevent the transfer of chlorophyll and other apolar interferences from the plant material to the extract [36,43,44,47,49]. However, it is important to highlight that a certain volume of solvent is normally used to dilute the sample before the analysis, this step is necessary to decrease the viscosity of the final extract in order to prevent damage to the chromatographic system [38,41,43,47,49]. Nevertheless, as discussed for ILs, the direct injection of the DESs in the chromatographic system when LC is coupled to MS is not possible because of their low volatility. The recovery of the target analytes from the eutectic mixture is therefore mandatory for LC-MS analyses. This latter involves the use of macroporous resins [41,43,50] or antisolvents that disrupt the hydrogen bonding between the two components of the DES [35,40]. In this sense, Fu *et al.* [42] performed a purification step by solid phase extraction (SPE) using a DES-modified adsorbent and a ChCl-based DES for the elution of polyphenols from palm bark samples (*Trachycarpus fortunei* (Hook.) H.Wendl), obtaining an enrichment of the analytes

without using organic solvents and expensive SPE phases. Moreover, the same volume of DES and water was eluted through the SPE column, achieving a proper dilution of the final extract.

As previously mentioned, DESs have found many applications in the plant field, mainly because of their ability to extract a very wide range of compounds. In particular, they have been extensively applied for the extraction of phenolic compounds that have been shown to dissolve better in DESs than in lipids or water [51]. Aside from phenolic compounds, other plant specialized metabolites have been extracted using DES. In particular, Duan *et al.* [38] have thoroughly investigated the behavior of several DES species in the extraction of various bioactive compounds, including alkaloids, saponins and anthraquinones. For the alkaloids, carboxylic acid-based DES (e.g. betaine laevulinic acid) resulted to be a more efficient solvent, than MeOH, less suitable for extracting partially ionized compounds. On the other hand, acid-based DESs are not suitable for the extraction of saponins, and better results were obtained when using dimethylurea or methylurea as HBD. Finally, anthraquinones were poorly extracted by the majority of the hydrophilic DES as a result of the low polarity of these compounds. In general, the study demonstrated that sugar- and alcohol-based DESs had a lower extraction capacity than MeOH towards low-polarity analytes. In this respect, hydrophobic DESs can be used to extend the application of these solvents to the analysis of poorly polar compounds as the properties of DESs can be tailored as a function of HBA and HBD structure. For instance, a HBA consisting of methyl trioctyl ammonium chloride combined with 1-propanol in a 1:4 ratio has been successfully applied for the extraction of artemisin, which is an apolar terpenoid used in malaria treatment, from *Artemisia annua* L. [52]. A new generation of hydrophobic NADES has also recently been developed by mixing menthol with different HBDs [53]. The combination of menthol and 1-propanol has been used for the isolation of taxanes from *Taxus wallichiana* var. *chinensis* (Pilg.) Florin (syn. *Taxus chinensis*

(Pilg.) Rehder)needles, and was found to be more efficient than conventional solvents, such as MeOH or EtOH [47]. Despite the apolar nature of taxanes, the DES with 80% water content showed better extraction efficiency than a common hydroalcoholic solvent. It could also boast of less solvent consumption, confirming that the presence of water plays a critical role when using DES. The same HBA has been combined with different carboxylic acids in an efficient extraction of four cannabinoids from *Cannabis sativa* L. inflorescences. [48]. It is interesting to highlight that the extraction yield was not only affected by the viscosity of the mixture, but also by the different alkyl chain lengths of the HBD used, which may have improved interactions with more lipophilic compounds. NADESs (together with ILs) have also been investigated in the extraction of ecdysteroids, and, in particular, 20-hydroxyecdysone, from spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) and several advantages were found, including higher selectivity, simplicity, efficiency, and rapidity, as well as the recovery and much lower consumption of organic solvents, in comparison with conventional methods [54]. In addition, it has been shown that DESs are also able to extract volatile compounds. In fact, the headspace SPME of the DES extracts of peppermint leaves and tobacco powder shows a richer volatile profile than that of conventional methods [55,56].

Very interestingly, DESs are also able to preserve the bioactivity of the compounds. Several studies have demonstrated the ability of DESs to stabilize the antioxidant capacity of natural products [35], as shown, for instance, for the choline chloride-based extract of phenolic compounds from *Rosmarinus officinalis* L., which provided higher antioxidant capacity and lower degradation kinetics than the corresponding ethanolic extract [57]. This feature opens the perspective of the direct use of NADES extracts in the health field. In fact, NADESs composed by HBAs and HBDs that are commonly introduced in our daily diet (such as sugars, amino acids or citric acids) can likely be directly used for food and pharmaceutical purposes [41]. However, current studies rarely investigate the

433 bioactivity, biotoxicity and metabolism of these derivatives. In this sense, Zhao *et al* [58]
434 have tested the biocompatibility and biodegradability of a series of DESs that were used
435 for the extraction of rutin from *Styphnolobium japonicum* (L.) Schott. Bacterial growth
436 inhibition was higher for organic acid-based DES than for alcohol- or sugar-based DES,
437 although the antimicrobial effects of all of the tested DESs were lower than those of some
438 conventional ILs. In addition, the biodegradability level of the organic acid-based DES
439 was the lowest, although its value was still within acceptable limits.

440 The outstanding features of this innovative class of solvents have led to increasing
441 interest in a number of applications, in particular in the plant field. The psychochemical
442 characteristics and environmentally friendly profile of DES perfectly match the principles
443 of Green Analytical Chemistry, including the replacement of harmful organic solvents,
444 and the natural origin of the majority of the HBAs and HBDs available. At the same time,
445 however, it is important to highlight that there is a need to overcome some drawbacks in
446 their use. These disadvantages are mainly related to their viscosity, which can negatively
447 affect extraction time (up to 2 hours), extraction capacity and compatibility with the main
448 analytical platforms, if not properly controlled. These future challenges may provide the
449 basis for new perspectives in the use of DESs.

450

451 **3. Solid sorbents**

452 A wide range of new sorbents has recently been developed for sample preparation.
453 Several of them have been applied in the plant field, in particular for the clean-up and
454 pre-concentration of specific analytes from water and organic extracts. In general, they
455 show good potential in terms of efficiency and sustainability. However, it should be noted
456 that plant matrices are commonly solids, as are the sorbents, meaning that previous
457 sample extraction has to be carried out to allow a solid phase extraction with the sorbents.
458 In most cases, it is performed with organic solvents, and this decreases the sustainability

459 of the whole process. However, greener alternatives are available in the form of matrix
460 solid-phase dispersion extraction (MSPD), and via pre-extraction with the green solvents
461 described above.

462 In this section, the potential of the main classes of solid sorbents that have been
463 exploited in the extraction of natural products will be discussed, together with their main
464 limits and future trends. Figure 1b reports an illustration of the structures of the
465 investigated sorbents and table 2 reports some representative applications.

466

467 **3.1. Natural sorbents**

468 Natural sorbents are certainly the greenest alternative to conventional materials in
469 extraction procedures, and they comply with the increasing concern about environmental
470 sustainability and safety. Apart from their low ecological impact, these sorbents are
471 cheap, compared with other extraction phases, and easily available from natural sources.
472 They include sorbents with a range of characteristics, but the common principle is the
473 exploitation of natural products as raw materials for the preparation of sustainable and
474 efficient extraction phases. Over the years, many natural sorbents have been demonstrated
475 to possess good extraction capacities together with suitable characteristics for extraction,
476 such as mechanical strength, gelling properties and tunability [59–61].

477 According to literature, two main classes have been explored as sorbent phases in
478 plant extraction: i) biopolymers; and ii) food by-products. The first are isolated from
479 living organisms and consist of monomeric units (e.g. nucleotides, monosaccharides,
480 amino acids) that are covalently bonded to form a polymeric chain. Polysaccharides have
481 undergone the most widespread explorations in the extraction of endogenous and
482 exogenous compounds from plants. Chitosan and cellulose have been used in different
483 formats, including as dispersants [62–64], SPE cartridges [65,66], nanoparticles [67,68],
484 and fibers [69], thanks to their versatility. Unlike cellulose, which is abundant in the walls

485 of vegetal cells, chitosan is mainly derived from the skeletons of marine animals, as a
486 deacylated form of chitin. Both materials present surfaces that are rich in hydrophilic
487 groups, which can easily form hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions with target
488 analytes [59]. Sporopollenin, a major component of the external walls of pollen grains, is
489 another interesting biopolymer. It has gained attention as a sorbent material for its
490 impressive resistance to high temperatures and chemical treatments. However, it has been
491 less thoroughly explored than other [61]. Its adsorption capacity comes from the presence
492 of several different functional structures on its surface, including hydroxylic groups and
493 saturated and unsaturated aliphatic chains with aromatics. In this regard, Qian Lu and
494 colleagues have tested pollen grains as SPE sorbents for the determination of plant growth
495 regulators and *trans* resveratrol in different vegetal samples, and have reported that this
496 biopolymer presents excellent separation and purification properties [70,71].

497 The use of food by-products as sorbent phases in the extraction of vegetable
498 matrices has been documented in the literature, and some interesting applications have
499 been proposed [72–74]. The valorization of biomass that is normally discharged as food
500 waste is an added value for this type of sorbent in view of the circular economy paradigm.
501 For example, Jiang *et al.* have used crab shells from a local restaurant as the starting
502 materials for a chitosan-rich powder that has been used for the isolation of anthraquinones
503 from *Senna* spp. (syn. *Cassia*) [72]. Food by-products can also be used to obtain carbon-
504 based materials, and thus avoid the use of fossil-fuel based precursors. In two different
505 works, sorbents that were obtained from fruit peels have been able to extract pesticides
506 from vegetal complex samples. Hierarchical porous carbon from banana peel presents a
507 large surface that is rich in graphitized pores, and these pores are capable of selective
508 interactions with the aromatic groups of carbamate pesticides [73]. In a second work,
509 magnetic nanoparticles with a carbon structure have been prepared from the hydrothermal

carbonization of pomelo peel, and the FT-IR spectra showed that the surface was rich in oxygen-containing groups [74].

A further point that must be taken into account when attempting to develop a greener extraction approach, is to consider all the step of the procedure, and not only one aspect, such as the sorbent. Thus, the decrease in consumption of toxic solvents and energy, the production of waste and the reusability of the sorbent should all be considered. In this sense, the elimination of use of toxic solvents, which are usually necessary to desorb the analytes, is a true challenge when the extraction phase is a solid sorbent. However, as mentioned before, microextraction techniques can help to reduce solvent consumption or green solvents (e.g. ILs) can be employed as adequate substitutes [72]. An evaluation of the reusability of a sorbent is also an important factor in reducing analysis costs and waste production. In general, many of these natural sorbents can be re-used several times [69,70,74]. However, Li *et al*. [68] have observed that their cellulose-MIP microspheres showed reduced adsorption capacity, by more than 10%, after five cycles of extraction. This may have been due to a loss in adsorption cavitation during the adsorption-desorption process. On the other hand, the performance of graphene oxide functionalized cotton fibers was found to be almost unaltered after 50 extraction procedures, and the regeneration process only entailed sonication in a MeOH/ACN solution for 5 minutes [69].

The use of natural sorbent as such should be preferable in order to develop green methods, simplify the process and reduce the number of tedious synthetic steps that are normally required when numerous phases are involved. In many examples, natural materials exhibit excellent extraction capacities thanks to their specific functional groups and porous structures, which allow proper interactions with the target analytes without further functionalization [62–64,66,70,73]. On the other hand, the functionalization and/or combination of different sorption mechanisms can enhance extraction

performance, and a number of works have developed hybrid phases starting from natural sorbents. For example, the incorporation of magnetic particles into a sorbent material is a well-known method with which to simplify extraction procedures. In this way, the sorbent can be easily collected from the plant sample with a magnet, and thus further separation steps are avoided [67,68,74,75]. Some interesting applications have combined solid-phase extraction with IL-based extraction for a range of different purposes. The liquid phase can act as a green solvent for the elution of analytes from a natural sorbent [72] or in a pre-extraction step, followed by extraction with the sorbent [67]. In this latter case, the comparison with an organic solvent extraction and the only ionic-liquid extraction without sorbent enrichment, clearly showed that the IL-chitosan combination had a higher capacity for the extraction of natural pigments from the rhizomes of *Curcuma longa L*.

Various extraction techniques have been developed for the isolation of compounds from plant samples, and the versatility of these natural materials can grant significant advantages. Several SPE cartridges have been prepared with chitosan [65], pollen [70] cotton [69] and treated food by-products [73], with these being simply packed into a cartridge and the compounds of interest eluted with a suitable organic solvent. Matrix solid-phase dispersion (MSPD) is an extraction method in which a sample is directly ground and mixed with a solid-phase sorbent and the analytes are subsequently isolated, via adsorption onto a SPE cartridge, and eluted with a certain volume of solvent. One of the main advantages of MSPD is its ability to directly extract solid materials, which is particularly important for plant samples that normally require pre-treatment before extraction. As shown in figure 2e, cellulose and chitosan can act as optimal dispersants and sorbents in MSPD, and have been successfully employed for the extraction of phenols [63] triterpenoid acids [62], anthraquinones [72] and caffeoylquinic acid derivatives [64] from various vegetal samples. Er *et al*. [75] and Xu *et al*. [67] have coupled natural

562 sorbents with a miniaturized version of magnetic dispersive SPE (m-μ-dSPE) to develop
563 a truly sustainable procedure. The method only required a small amount of chitosan
564 nanoparticles, which were dispersed into the liquid sample, and a few microliters of
565 organic solvent to elute the compounds from the sorbent.

566 These natural materials have been designed to improve the environmental
567 friendliness of extraction approaches, but also to enhance the performance of analytical
568 procedures. Comparisons with common approaches have shown similar or better results
569 in terms of sample and solvent amount, extraction time, LOD and RSD in many examples
570 [62,66,67,72–74].

571

572 **3.2. Molecular imprinted polymers (MIPs)**

573 Molecular imprinted polymers (MIPs) are a peculiar group of extraction materials
574 with unique "mimic molecular recognition" properties. Interestingly, an interaction site
575 with high affinity for a chosen template is formed during the synthesis of this polymeric
576 matrix. The high selectivity of MIPs is therefore based on the geometry of this cavity and
577 on the presence of specific functional groups that are complementary to the target analyte
578 [76,77].

579 Regarding extraction in the plant field, MIPs are arising as a valid approach when
580 the goal is to isolate target compounds from the rich phyto-complexes that are typical in
581 plants. Indeed, most extraction phases lack high selectivity for a specific analyte or class
582 of compounds, meaning that pretreatment and/or purification steps for analyte enrichment
583 are usually necessary before extraction. The isolation of exogenous compounds (e.g.
584 antibiotics, pollutants and toxins) from food or environmental samples is one of the most
585 highly developed applications of MIPs in analytical chemistry [77–79]. The use of MIPs
586 in plant analyses follows a different trend, that is the extraction of endogenous bioactive

compounds, including molecules with widely differing chemical structures, thanks to the possibility of obtaining tailor-made MIPs [80–86].

When a new material is prepared, an accurate characterization of its morphology (e.g. using scanning electron microscopy, IR spectra) is necessary to verify that the synthesis has occurred correctly. This is especially true with MIPs, since the synthetic protocol involves several reagents and steps. In particular, a monomer interacts with the template (i.e. molecule with the same or similar chemical features as the target analytes) to form the specific cavity that characterizes every MIP. An initiator induces the polymerase chain reaction, while the porogen assures the formation of pores that facilitate interaction with the target analyte during extraction. Finally, a cross-linker ensures the formation of a rigid structure, which must be preserved after the removal of the template, at the end of the polymerization process. Normally, MIPs with different composition/ratio for each component are prepared to find the optimal procedure. The choice of the reagents to use (especially the monomer) clearly depends on the template, and therefore on the target compound to be analyzed. For example, coumarins were easily able to form hydrogen-bonding and ionic interactions with carboxylic groups when methacrylic acid was tested as a functional monomer [80]. Also, the correct selection of the porogen solvent seems to play a key role in the recognition ability of MIPs, and can directly influence their morphology. In this respect, chloroform, acetonitrile and toluene have been documented to be the most effective [80,82,83]. Moreover, many polymerization techniques have been developed over the years, including emulsion, precipitation and bulk polymerization, which is the most commonly used [76,78,79]. According to *Gao* et al., the precipitation method is the most suitable for the synthesis of spherical nano/microparticles and has been successfully applied in the preparation of nanosized-MIPs, for the extraction of tiliroside from *Edgeworthia gardneri* (Wall.) Meisn [82]. Ionic liquids can be used as porogenic solvents when preparing MIPs as they form discrete

613 polar and non-polar microenvironments that lead to an enhanced interaction between the
614 template and functional monomer, and limit nonspecific binding. In addition, ILs have
615 been shown to accelerate polymerization and improve the effect of imprinting. This
616 strategy has been applied for the isolation of corilagin, a bioactive ellagitannin, from a
617 *Phyllanthus urinaria* L. water extract: corilagin-MIP monoliths were prepared using 4-
618 vinylpyridine as a functional monomer, and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate as the cross-
619 linking monomer, using a mixture of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazoliumtetrafluoroborate
620 (ionic liquid) and N,N-dimethylformamide-dimethyl sulfoxide as a porogen [87]. Ionic
621 liquids can also be used in combination with MIPs to form hybrid materials that combine
622 the selectivity of MIPs with the ion-exchanging and electrostatic-attraction ability of ionic
623 liquids. An IL−hybrid molecularly imprinted material (IL-HIM) has, in fact, been used as
624 a sorbent for the solid-phase extraction of two plant-growth regulators, namely 6-
625 benzyladenine (6-BA) and 4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid (4-CPA) from bean sprouts,
626 coupled to HPLC analysis. The hybrid material is suitable for the simultaneous
627 determination of the two target analytes in bean sprouts, but accurate selectivity and
628 competitive experiments showed that the adsorption of 6-BA onto IL−HIM is based on
629 selective imprinted recognition, whereas the adsorption of 4-CPA is mainly dependent on
630 ion-exchange interactions [88].

631 While MIPs have mostly been associated with SPE, the primary goal in their use is
632 currently the incorporation of miniaturized extraction techniques to improve the accuracy
633 and precision of the method and, at the same time, decrease sample and sorbent
634 consumption [89]. However, the combination of MIPs and microextraction methods in
635 the plant field has yet to be thoroughly investigated. Some SPME coatings have recently
636 been developed and successfully used for the enrichment of low concentration bioactive
637 compounds, such as auxins [83] and for the rapid detection of the potentially toxic
638 pyrrolizidine alkaloids [86]. In the first example, a pre-treated silica fiber was inserted

639 into a prepolymer solution of the MIP, and the glass tube was transferred to an oven at
640 60°C for 8 hours for the polymerization step. It was reported that the porogen affected the
641 thickness of the coating, and therefore the adsorption capacity. In particular, the authors
642 observed that the repetition of the polymerization cycle on the same fiber for up to five
643 times assured the maximum extraction rate by increasing the binding sites on the fiber.
644 Moreover, the MIP coating had good chemical stability, which was demonstrated by
645 immersing the fiber in different organic solvents for a couple of hours. A different
646 approach was employed for the preparation of the pyrrolizidine alkaloid-MIP, where the
647 quarts fiber was first treated with the template and the monomer, while the crosslinker
648 was added subsequently. In this case, monocrotaline was chosen as the dummy template
649 to simulate the alkaloid structure as the standard materials for these latter structures are
650 quite expensive. Most importantly, if the template is not properly eliminated it can be
651 released during extraction, causing false positive results in the sample. For this reason,
652 the use of the target analyte as template should be carefully handled. Both of the SPME-
653 MIP applications showed high selectivity for the selected analytes and better sensitivity,
654 in terms of LOD, than conventional extraction techniques.

655 A further application for MIPs as electrochemical sensors, which find some
656 applications in plant analysis, is worth mentioning. Again, several procedures have been
657 used to prepare the MIP-functionalized electrodes. Alipour *et al.* have prepared a sensor
658 that is based on magnetic MIPs for the determination of rosmarinic acid from four plant
659 extracts (*Salvia officinalis* L.*, Zataria multiflora* Boiss.*, Mentha longifolia* (L.) L.*,* and
660 *Rosmarinus officinalis* L.). The magnetic MIP has been mixed with graphene powder to
661 obtain a carbon electrode. The magnetic component improves the kinetic rate of electron
662 transfer, while the MIP increase the selectivity of the system for the target analyte. The
663 plant material has been treated with different organic solvents before the analysis and the
664 voltammograms has been recorded at 0.0-0.35 V [84]. Yang and colleagues used the same

principle to prepare a portable and cheap sensor for the rapid determination of gallic acid in edible plants. In this case, MIP-based sensor has been placed in a PVC tube and insert in an aqueous solution of the sample, as represented in figure 2d [85]. pH dependency was investigated in both examples as it has an influence on the electrochemical behavior of the molecules. Indeed, very acidic pH values reduced the carboxylic ionization of gallic acid, while the upper pH range led to the degradation of the compound.

Apart from selectivity, versatility is one of the main advantages of MIPs. Their characteristics, including durability over a wide range of pH values and temperatures, make them suitable for innovative applications as extraction phases. A recent review by Arabi *et al.* gives a comprehensive overview of the new trends in MIP-based SPE, including nano-scale MIPs, composite MIPs, hollow porous MIPs and many others [78]. Again, the application of these novelties in plant field have been less frequently explored compared to other application fields. However, progress on this is being made. The preparation of surface-imprinting MIPs that are based on boron nitride and deep eutectic solvents for the isolation of flavonoids, and that give a high specific surface area of extraction is an interesting example [90].

Although MIPs show outstanding features, there are still some critical points. Current and future effort will undoubtedly be directed towards the improvement of these aspects. In particular, the synthesis of MIPs requires many steps and reagents, including high amounts of toxic solvents to remove the template. The incorporation of green materials/solvents should be considered as a means to avoid the large-scale consumption of hazardous substances. The synthesis of water-compatible MIPs is another hot topic, because the presence of water can negatively affect non-covalent binding with the analyte, decreasing interactions with it [77]. However, some water-compatible MIPs, that can be directly used in aqueous media, have been developed. These phases are particularly important in the plant field as vegetal matrices are normally pre-treated with polar

691 solvents to give hydrophilic extracts. Finally, the high selectivity of MIPs can be
692 unfavorable when the goal is to isolate a class of compounds and not a single analyte.
693 Again, this is particularly true for plant extraction, in which specialized metabolites are
694 numerous and heterogeneous. Moreover, compounds from the same chemical class can
695 present quite different functional groups in their structure, and molecules with low
696 similarity to the template may have some difficulties interacting with MIP cavities. To
697 solve these problems, Sun *et al.* have developed an off-line two-dimensional MIP-SPE
698 for the extraction of ellagitannins from pomegranate [81]. In particular, ellagic acid and
699 punicalagin MIP SPE columns were prepared and used as the first and second dimensions,
700 respectively. Different fractions were collected after the elution to study the distribution
701 of the analytes as a function of the purification procedure. Careful optimization of each
702 elution step and elution solvent is needed to obtain good enrichment for each ellagitannin.
703 Moreover, the use of the ellagic tannin MIP as the first dimension is important to improve
704 the capacity and the recovery of the analytes, while using the punicalagin MIP column as
705 the second step allows the non-specific adsorption of the ellagic acid step to be overcome,
706 and good selectivity to be achieved.
707 Although the "molecular key and lock" theory that is behind the functioning of MIP
708 materials is somewhat dated [91], these extraction phases can be considered valuable and
709 promising tools in the extraction of natural products, especially when coupled with
710 innovative approaches such as miniaturization, green chemistry and automation.
711
712
713 **3.3. Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs)**
714 Metallic materials have also found applications in microextraction in the plant field.
715 They have been used because of their high thermal, mechanical and chemical stability,
716 and feasible functionalization. Of the various nanoparticles to have been developed, those

made from transition metals (Fe, Co and Ni), and from their oxides, and, in particular, magnetite (Fe_3O_4), are the most commonly used [92]. Given their superparamagnetic properties, which permit their easy and quick isolation when applying an external magnetic field, they have emerged as useful tools for the development of magnetic solid-phase extraction (m-SPE) protocols that avoid centrifugation and separation steps, as previously mentioned.

Moreover, these magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) are often modified to give composite materials with a magnetic core and a functional shell that can provide more active sites for adsorption, while protecting the magnetic core. These composite materials are most commonly used for the extraction of plant samples. For instance, cellulose MNPs have been developed for the extraction and determination of polychlorobiphenyls (PCB) in juice samples [93] and magnetic β-cyclodextrin (CD) modified graphene oxide nanoparticles (Fe_3O_4@SiO_2/GO/β-CD) have been applied as a sorbent for m-SPE of plant growth regulators from vegetable methanolic extracts [94,95]. MNPs that were functionalized with tetraethyl orthosilicate, 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate, polymethacrylate and combinations of the three have very recently been successfully adopted for the determination of brominated flame retardants in red fruit samples (strawberries, blueberries, and raspberries) using GC-MS and QuEChERS extraction. The MNPs were used for the clean-up of the extracts, and proved to have excellent adsorption ability for pigment matrices and other co-extracts, and to be very easy to recover simply using an external magnet [96].

Very interestingly, MNPs that were coated with an amino-terminated supramolecular cucurbit-[6]-uril pseudorotaxane motif have been developed for the extraction of polar compounds and, in particular, salvianolic acids. The investigated MNPs showed improved adsorption capacity towards the target analytes, compared to the non-functionalized NPs, while the combination of electrostatic interactions, van der

743 Waals interactions, hydrophilic interactions and hydrogen bonding was thought to be the
744 driving force behind the interaction between the analytes and sorbent. In addition, the
745 developed MNPs provided good recyclability and stability. These qualities were
746 exploited when the sorbent material was successfully adopted for the determination of
747 four salvianolic acids from *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge root water extracts, showing
748 excellent recovery and quantification performance [97].

749 Most of the magnetic composite nanoparticles applied in the plant field are
750 composed by magnetic nanoparticles coated with metal organic frameworks (MOFs) that
751 are used because of their large surface areas, high porosity, uniform pore sizes and
752 structural diversity. These composite sorbents will be thoroughly described in the
753 following section.

754

755 **3.4 Reticular materials**

756 Reticular materials are another important group of novel solid sorbents. They are
757 large crystalline frameworks made up of building blocks linked by either covalent or
758 coordination bonds, depending on the type of reticular material. These materials are
759 characterized by impressive porosity, good chemical and thermal stability, and by the
760 possibility of post-synthetic modification, which makes them suitable sorbents for
761 microextractions. The large variety in building blocks means that it is possible to prepare
762 innumerable reticular materials with different selectivities. The most common types of
763 reticular materials are metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and covalent-organic
764 frameworks (COFs), which are mainly differentiated by the organic or inorganic nature
765 of their building blocks. COFs only include organic molecules with non-metallic light
766 elements (C, H, O, N, B and Si), which are then linked by covalent bonds that are ordered
767 in two- or three-dimensional networks, while MOFs are made up of metal ions or metallic
768 clusters and organic linkers as building blocks, connected by strong coordination bonds

769 [98]. Of the two classes of reticular materials, MOFs have been more widely applied in
770 the plant field.

771 Mainly used for the extraction of contaminants from plant extracts [99,100], MOFs
772 have also shown great potential as sorbents in combination with microextraction methods
773 to extract active compounds from plants and plant products. However, their applications
774 in this field are still quite limited as only a few studies on this possible use can be found.
775 For example, a copper-based MOF has been adopted for the selective isolation of
776 chamazulene, a compound with antiphlogistic effects, from a chamomile hexane extract.
777 The driving force behind this selectivity is probably the chemical structure of the target
778 compound, which is different from the other components in the extract, as chamazulene
779 is the only aromatic compound [101]. A zeolitic imidazolate framework has also been
780 investigated for use as a model MOF for the selective extraction of 3,4-dihydroxy-8,9-
781 methylenepterocarpan (an antioxidant flavonoid derivative) from an *Caragana jubata*
782 (Pall.) Poir ethanolic extract. In this case, an adsorption mechanism study has shown that
783 the target compound is adsorbed onto the MOF surface, and that the *o*-phenolic hydroxyl
784 group plays a significant role in the selective adsorption of the investigated flavonoid
785 [102].

786 As can be seen in the above-cited examples, one of the main advantages of the use
787 of MOFs in solid-phase extractions is the ability to selectively extract specific analytes
788 and increase their enrichment. However, it should be noted that the raw plant material has
789 to be submitted to analyte pre-extraction. This step is usually carried out with organic
790 solvents, thus decreasing the sustainability of the extraction method. In addition, a back-
791 extraction with another solvent (usually in low amounts) is necessary to release the target
792 analytes from the MOF for downstream analytical determinations. In fact, attention must
793 be paid to the fact that analytes are strongly adsorbed by MOFs, meaning that their
794 desorption must be carefully optimized.

795 MOFs can be used in MSPD extractions as a very interesting alternative that can
796 avoid analyte pre-extraction. As previously mentioned, MSPD has the significant
797 advantages of lower organic-solvent consumption and cost, and of showing, at the same
798 time, high extraction efficiency and selectivity. Furthermore, the subsequent purification
799 procedure is very simple and short. MSPD can be used for the extraction and clean-up of
800 solid, semi-solid and highly viscous samples, thus making it suitable for extractions from
801 plant matrices. It is followed by the elution of the extracted analytes with a small amount
802 of a suitable solvent. For instance, a zirconium-based MOF (MOF-808) has been applied
803 for the MSPD extraction of ginsenosides from *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer. In detail, the
804 ginseng leaves were directly ground with MOF-808 and the mixture was packed between
805 two Teflon frits in a glass cartridge. Only two hundred microliters of methanol were used
806 for the elution and the collected filtrate was analyzed using UHPLC-ToF-MS. This
807 protocol gave higher extraction efficiency, simpler operation, a cleaner extract and lower
808 organic-reagent consumption than a traditional extraction [103].
809 Besides the use of MOFs alone, the current trend is their combination with other
810 materials to obtain (nano)composites. As previously mentioned, MOFs are very
811 commonly combined with magnetic nanoparticles to increase the magnetic susceptibility.
812 The combination of magnetic Fe_2O_4 nanoparticles with a zinc-based metal organic
813 framework (MOF-5) has been successfully applied for the extraction of colchicine, a
814 bioactive alkaloid, from autumn and spring *Colchicium* spp. root ethanolic extracts.
815 Extraction was combined with ultrasound sonication that provided an incremental mass
816 transfer and the sorbent was easily separated from the sample matrix by an external
817 magnetic field. Colchicine sorption occurs by combination of various mechanisms such
818 as ion exchange, hydrogen bonding, electrostatic, π-π, and dipole-ion interaction. In
819 particular, ionic bonding between the negatively charged functional groups of colchicine
820 and the positively charged centers of both Zn^{2+} in MOF and Fe^{3+} in Fe_2O_4 may be the

821 main reason behind the fast and high colchicine adsorption on this hybrid phase [104].
822 SiO_2@Fe_3O_4 magnetic nanoparticles have been anchored onto an aluminum-based MOF
823 (MIL53) and used for the extraction of aflatoxin B1 from several herbal teas via magnetic
824 SPE coupled with spectrofluorimetric determination (see figure 2c) [105]. Furthermore,
825 SiO_2@Fe_3O_4 magnetic nanoparticles have also been grafted onto a titanium-based MOF
826 for the extraction of caffeic acid from methanolic/water extracts and coupled to HPLC-
827 UV analysis [106]. Magnetic metal-organic-framework nanoparticles can also be adopted
828 to speed-up the mechanochemical extraction (MCE) of analytes from plant samples. MCE
829 is a room-temperature process that disrupts biomolecular lipid layers and cell walls using
830 a multi-directional, mechanical in-liquid collision between the sample and specialized
831 ceramic beads to achieve the complete release of target compounds, while preserving
832 their chemical integrity, into the surrounding solvents. This technique can be combined
833 with m-SPE with Fe_3O_4@MOF, meaning that the extraction of the analytes and their
834 enrichment can be performed in one single step with the very fast removal of the
835 extraction phase using a simple magnet. This approach has been successfully applied in
836 the determination of organochlorine pesticides in tea leaves, but also shows great
837 potential for other applications in the plant field [107].

838 Combining MOF with silica nanoparticles is another interesting possibility. As an
839 example, a chromium-based MOF (MIL-101), combined with polyaniline (PANI), which
840 was used as a conducting polymer, has been doped with silica nanoparticles and used for
841 the efficient solid-phase extraction of thymol and carvacrol from *Mentha longifolia* (L.)
842 L. plant extracts and a honey sample [108].

843 In addition, MOFs can be coated onto different carrier substrates. For instance, a
844 zirconium-based MOF has been coated onto cotton fibers thanks to modifications to the
845 cellulosic surface of the cotton substrate with carboxyl groups, which serve as active sites
846 for the growing Zr-MOF. The sorbent, packed into a pipette tip, has been successfully

used for the extraction of phenoxy herbicides from cucumber-water extracts [109]. MOFs have also been coated onto polymeric monolithic columns and adopted for the on-line SPE and determination of ursolic acid from several ethanolic plant extracts [110,111] and of aristolochic acid from methanolic plant extracts [112].

MOFs have also recently been grafted onto SPME fibers [113], as a means to couple the simplicity and performance of the technique with the previously mentioned outstanding properties of these materials. However, their use in the plant field has not yet been widely explored, but future applications are expected.

3.5. Carbon-based materials

Carbon-based materials also present exceptional physical and chemical properties, such as versatility, large surface areas with delocalized π-electrons, and easily modifiable surfaces. They constitute a group of different hexagonal structures of sp^2 hybridized carbon atoms. A single layer of these materials is called graphene. This carbon allotrope, together with graphene oxide (GO), and reduced graphene oxide (rGO), are the most representative two-dimensional forms. The difference between them lies in the presence of oxygen functional groups on their surface and their water solubility, with graphene and rGO that are non-polar and hydrophobic materials with high affinity towards carbon ring structures, while GO is hydrophilic. The three-dimensional forms of graphene are single or multiple wall carbon nanotubes (CNTs). CNTs share the same exceptional properties as graphene and its derivatives, but with a few differences as the inner walls of these materials are blocked by steric hindrance [114].

Both two and three-dimensional carbon-based materials have been applied in the plant field although graphene and GO are rarely used alone because they are prone to form aggregates. Ionic liquids can be adopted to prevent the aggregation of graphene, increasing, at the same time, the ability of π-π interactions, ionic exchange, electrostatic

873 interactions and hydrogen bonding between the sorbent and the analytes. An IL-
874 functionalized graphene adsorbent has, in fact, been developed for the pipette-tip solid-
875 phase extraction of auxins from soybean sprouts [115].

876 However, graphene and, even more, GO are mainly applied to modify other sorbent
877 materials to form composites [69,116,117]. For instance, GO has been added to a
878 composite made of sporopollenin (see paragraph 3.1) and Fe_3O_4 MNPs to increase the
879 adsorption capacity and selectivity towards polar compound of the sorbent material. The
880 composite has been then adopted to the determination of polar organophosphorus
881 pesticides in several vegetables that had been pre-treated with water and methanol [116].

882 Due to their high hydrophobicity, MWCNTs can be successfully applied for the
883 clean-up of plant extract. In fact, they can provide very high chlorophyll removal and a
884 decrease in the matrix effect, therefore making them very useful in HPLC analyses, in
885 particular when coupled to mass spectrometry [118]. For instance, MWCNTs have been
886 successfully applied to remove interferences from vegetables submitted to the
887 QuEChERS procedure for the determination of exogenous compounds as paraben
888 residues. MWCNTs provided up to a 94% reduction in chlorophyll and lower matrix
889 effects compared to the commonly used primary-secondary amine (PSA) sorbent thus
890 making the extracts fully compatible with HPLC–MS/MS analyses [119].

891 MWCNTs can also be combined with other adsorbent materials to form MWCNT
892 composites that have become promising sorbent materials for SPE in recent years. In
893 particular, combinations with magnetic nanoparticles increase the number of nanotube
894 active sites, and provide excellent adsorption capacity. Fe_3O_4/MWCNT composites have
895 been used as SPE sorbents for simultaneous determination by UHPLC-MS/MS of
896 zearalenone and other mycotoxins in the acetonitrile/water extracts of *Salvia miltiorrhiza*
897 Bunge roots and ryzhome [120] and for the extraction of a set of 11 chiral pesticides from
898 several plant extracts [121]. MWCNTs can also be grafted with β-cyclodextrins. In this

899 case, the cyclodextrins increase the absorption capacity of the composite thanks to their
900 ability to form host–guest inclusion complexes with the target compounds in the inner
901 cavities of their cone-shaped structures. For instance, multiwalled carbon nanotube-β-
902 cyclodextrin composites have been incorporated into a poly(butyl methacrylate-ethylene
903 dimethacrylate) monolith for the online extraction of psoralen and isopsoralen from a
904 methanolic *Cullen corylifolium* (L.) Medik. (syn. *Psoralea corylifolia* L.) fruit extract
905 [122].

906 MWCNTs composites incorporated in SPME fibers show a great potential also for
907 *in-vivo* analyses. A very interesting application has been reported in a study in which a
908 multiwalled carbon nanotubes/polyaniline-polypyrrole@polydimethylsiloxane
909 (MWCNTs/PANI-PPy@PDMS) fiber has been prepared by electrodeposition strategy
910 followed by polymer surface modification. The fiber was then used for the *in-vivo*
911 extraction of pesticides from garlic by direct contact SPME, as represented in figure 2a
912 [123].

913

914 **4. Concluding remarks**

915 Although new extraction phases are rarely originally developed for the extraction
916 of plants and plant products, these samples can represent a good assessment for the
917 efficiency of the new materials because of the complexity of natural matrices and the
918 chemical variety of natural compounds.

919 Most of the studies that deal with the analytical characterization of natural products,
920 in particular for metabolomics purposes, still adopt traditional extraction techniques and
921 solvents. However, it is possible to note, from a literature survey, that there is a wide
922 range of new phases that can cover all the range of topics dealing with plant analysis and
923 increasing, at the same time, the sustainability and the selectivity of the extraction
924 process.

New liquid phases, such as ionic liquids and deep eutectic solvents, can be directly used for the extraction of analytes from solid plant materials. These green solvents are significantly more sustainable than organic solvents at a microscale level and, at the same time, have the tremendous advantage that they can be tailored to the extraction of the classes and compounds of interest, thus decreasing the number of interfering components. In this respect, deep eutectic solvents and, in particular, natural deep eutectic solvents have found a huge number of applications in the extraction of natural samples and have the potential to replace traditional solvents, at least partly.

Furthermore, new solid sorbents also show great potential in the plant field. They can be used for the clean-up of complex samples as well as to increase enrichment and selectivity towards specific compounds. In this respect, the use of natural sorbents is a more sustainable approach for natural-product extraction, and it is expected that new biocompatible and efficient sorbents will be developed in the near future. The use of molecular imprinted polymers is still the most common approach to increase the extraction selectivity. Although this method cannot be considered "new", the current trend is to combine these compounds with other extraction phases to produce composites that improve extraction efficiency and selectivity. In general, the merging of different classes of sorbents to produce hybrid materials is the current trend in analytical sample preparation, and the development of new hybrid phases has just started. These hybrid phases merit thorough investigation in the future, in particular for plant applications.

Acknowledgments

The work was financially supported by the 'Ricerca Locale' (Ex60%2019) project of the University of Turin, Turin (Italy). This article is based upon work from the Sample Preparation Study Group and Network supported by the Division of Analytical Chemistry of the European Chemical Society.

951

952 **References**

953 [1] M.Y. Mushtaq, Y.H. Choi, R. Verpoorte, E.G. Wilson, Extraction for metabolomics:
954 Access to the metabolome, Phytochem. Anal. 25 (2014) 291–306.
955 https://doi.org/10.1002/pca.2505.

956 [2] E.V.S. Maciel, A.L. de Toffoli, E.S. Neto, C.E.D. Nazario, F.M. Lanças, New materials
957 in sample preparation: Recent advances and future trends, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem.
958 119 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2019.115633.

959 [3] A. Gałuszka, Z. Migaszewski, J. Namieśnik, The 12 principles of green analytical
960 chemistry and the SIGNIFICANCE mnemonic of green analytical practices, TrAC -
961 Trends Anal. Chem. 50 (2013) 78–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2013.04.010.

962 [4] M. Ramos, A. Jiménez, M.C. Garrigós, Il-based advanced techniques for the extraction
963 of value-added compounds from natural sources and food by-products, TrAC - Trends
964 Anal. Chem. 119 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2019.07.027.

965 [5] F. Chemat, M. Abert-Vian, A.S. Fabiano-Tixier, J. Strube, L. Uhlenbrock, V. Gunjevic,
966 G. Cravotto, Green extraction of natural products. Origins, current status, and future
967 challenges, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 118 (2019) 248–263.
968 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2019.05.037.

969 [6] K.D. Clark, M.N. Emaus, M. Varona, A.N. Bowers, J.L. Anderson, Ionic liquids:
970 solvents and sorbents in sample preparation, J. Sep. Sci. 41 (2018) 209–235.
971 https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700864.

972 [7] M.J. Trujillo-Rodríguez, H. Nan, M. Varona, M.N. Emaus, I.D. Souza, J.L. Anderson,
973 Advances of Ionic Liquids in Analytical Chemistry, Anal. Chem. 91 (2019) 505–531.
974 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04710.

975 [8] R.P. Swatloski, S.K. Spear, J.D. Holbrey, R.D. Rogers, Dissolution of cellose with ionic
976 liquids, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 4974–4975. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja025790m.

977 [9] A. Brandt, J. Gräsvik, J.P. Hallett, T. Welton, Deconstruction of lignocellulosic biomass
978 with ionic liquids, Green Chem. 15 (2013) 550–583. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2gc36364j.
979 [10] G. Flamini, B. Melai, L. Pistelli, C. Chiappe, How to make a green product greener: Use
980 of ionic liquids as additives during essential oil hydrodistillation, RSC Adv. 5 (2015)
981 69894–69898. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ra12649e.
982 [11] D. Lanari, M.C. Marcotullio, A. Neri, A design of experiment approach for ionic liquid-
983 based extraction of toxic components-minimized essential oil from Myristica fragrans
984 houtt, Molecules. 23 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23112817.
985 [12] S.P.M. Ventura, F.A. E Silva, M. V. Quental, D. Mondal, M.G. Freire, J.A.P. Coutinho,
986 Ionic-Liquid-Mediated Extraction and Separation Processes for Bioactive Compounds:
987 Past, Present, and Future Trends, Chem. Rev. 117 (2017) 6984–7052.
988 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00550.
989 [13] G. Mastellone, I. Pacheco-Fernández, P. Rubiolo, V. Pino, C. Cagliero, Sustainable
990 Micro-Scale Extraction of Bioactive Phenolic Compounds from Vitis vinifera Leaves
991 with Ionic Liquid-Based Surfactants, Molecules. 25 (2020).
992 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25133072.
993 [14] K. Moučková, I. Pacheco-Fernández, J.H. Ayala, P. Bajerová, V. Pino, Evaluation of
994 structurally different ionic liquid-based surfactants in a green microwave-assisted
995 extraction for the flavonoids profile determination of mangifera sp. And passiflora sp.
996 leaves from canary Islands, Molecules. 25 (2020) 1–17.
997 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25204734.
998 [15] Q. Liang, J. Zhang, X. Su, Q. Meng, J. Dou, Extraction and separation of eight
999 ginsenosides from flower buds of Panax ginseng using aqueous ionic liquid-based
1000 ultrasonic-assisted extraction coupled with an aqueous biphasic system, Molecules. 24
1001 (2019) 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24040778.
1002 [16] R. Zhang, Z. Tan, J. Zhao, Y. Wen, S. Fan, C. Liu, Determination of pyrethroid residues

in herbal tea using temperature-controlled ionic liquid dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction by high performance liquid chromatography, Sci. Rep. 10 (2020) 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61755-z.

[17] Q. Li, S. Wu, C. Wang, Y. Yi, W. Zhou, H. Wang, F. Li, Z. Tan, Ultrasonic-assisted extraction of sinomenine from Sinomenium acutum using magnetic ionic liquids coupled with further purification by reversed micellar extraction, Process Biochem. 58 (2017) 282–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2017.04.030.

[18] L.R. Nie, H. Song, A. Yohannes, S. Liang, S. Yao, Extraction in cholinium-based magnetic ionic liquid aqueous two-phase system for the determination of berberine hydrochloride in: Rhizoma coptidis, RSC Adv. 8 (2018) 25201–25209. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ra01745j.

[19] A. Marengo, C. Cagliero, B. Sgorbini, J.L. Anderson, M.N. Emaus, C. Bicchi, C.M. Bertea, P. Rubiolo, Development of an innovative and sustainable one-step method for rapid plant DNA isolation for targeted PCR using magnetic ionic liquids, Plant Methods. 15 (2019) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-019-0408-x.

[20] C. Cagliero, T.D. Ho, C. Zhang, C. Bicchi, J.L. Anderson, Determination of acrylamide in brewed coffee and coffee powder using polymeric ionic liquid-based sorbent coatings in solid-phase microextraction coupled to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1449 (2016) 2–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.04.034.

[21] C. Cagliero, H. Nan, C. Bicchi, J.L. Anderson, Matrix-compatible sorbent coatings based on structurally-tuned polymeric ionic liquids for the determination of acrylamide in brewed coffee and coffee powder using solid-phase microextraction, J. Chromatogr. A. 1459 (2016) 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.06.075.

[22] M. Kaya, M. Merdivan, P. Tashakkori, P. Erdem, J.L. Anderson, Analysis of Echinacea flower volatile constituents by HS-SPME-GC/MS using laboratory-prepared and commercial SPME fibers, J. Essent. Oil Res. 31 (2019) 91–98.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2018.1545707.

[23] A. Gutiérrez-Serpa, P.I. Napolitano-Tabares, J. Šulc, I. Pacheco-Fernández, V. Pino, Role of ionic liquids in composites in analytical sample preparation, Separations. 7 (2020) 1–32. https://doi.org/10.3390/separations7030037.

[24] W. Zhang, X. Feng, Y. Alula, S. Yao, Bionic multi-tentacled ionic liquid-modified silica gel for adsorption and separation of polyphenols from green tea (Camellia sinensis) leaves, Food Chem. 230 (2017) 637–648. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.03.054.

[25] S. Cao, J. Chen, G. Lai, C. Xi, X. Li, L. Zhang, G. Wang, Z. Chen, A high efficient adsorbent for plant growth regulators based on ionic liquid and β-cyclodextrin functionalized magnetic graphene oxide, Talanta. 194 (2019) 14–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.10.013.

[26] C. Li, J. Zhang, C. Zhao, L. Yang, W. Zhao, H. Jiang, X. Ren, W. Su, Y. Li, J. Guan, Separation of the main flavonoids and essential oil from seabuckthorn leaves by ultrasonic/microwave-assisted simultaneous distillation extraction, R. Soc. Open Sci. 5 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.180133.

[27] X. Liu, X. Jing, G. Li, A process to acquire essential oil by distillation concatenated liquid-liquid extraction and flavonoids by solid-liquid extraction simultaneously from Helichrysum arenarium (L.) Moench inflorescences under ionic liquid-microwave mediated, Sep. Purif. Technol. 209 (2019) 164–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.07.028.

[28] K. Hou, M. Bao, L. Wang, H. Zhang, L. Yang, H. Zhao, Z. Wang, Aqueous enzymatic pretreatment ionic liquid–lithium salt based microwave–assisted extraction of essential oil and procyanidins from pinecones of Pinus koraiensis, J. Clean. Prod. 236 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.07.056.

[29] X. Kou, Y. Ke, X. Wang, M.R.T. Rahman, Y. Xie, S. Chen, H. Wang, Simultaneous

extraction of hydrophobic and hydrophilic bioactive compounds from ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe), Food Chem. 257 (2018) 223–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.02.125.

[30] T. Wang, Q. Wang, P. Li, H. Yang, Temperature-responsive ionic liquids to set up a method for the simultaneous extraction and: In situ preconcentration of hydrophilic and lipophilic compounds from medicinal plant matrices, Green Chem. 21 (2019) 4133–4142. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9gc00995g.

[31] J. Xiao, G. Chen, N. Li, Ionic liquid solutions as a green tool for the extraction and isolation of natural products, Molecules. 23 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23071765.

[32] C. Zhang, C. Cagliero, S.A. Pierson, J.L. Anderson, Rapid and sensitive analysis of polychlorinated biphenyls and acrylamide in food samples using ionic liquid-based in situ dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction coupled to headspace gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1481 (2017) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.12.013.

[33] J. Hulsbosch, D.E. De Vos, K. Binnemans, R. Ameloot, Biobased Ionic Liquids: Solvents for a Green Processing Industry?, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 4 (2016) 2917–2931. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b00553.

[34] Z. Wang, J. Zhang, B. Lu, Y. Li, Y. Liang, J. Yuan, M. Zhao, B. Wang, C. Mai, J. Zhang, Novel bio-renewable matrinium-based ionic liquids derived from Chinese herb medicine: Synthesis, physicochemical properties and biological activity, J. Mol. Liq. 296 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2019.111822.

[35] M.Q. Farooq, N.M. Abbasi, J.L. Anderson, Deep eutectic solvents in separations: Methods of preparation, polarity, and applications in extractions and capillary electrochromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1633 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2020.461613.

[36] X.H. Yao, D.Y. Zhang, M.H. Duan, Q. Cui, W.J. Xu, M. Luo, C.Y. Li, Y.G. Zu, Y.J. Fu,

Preparation and determination of phenolic compounds from Pyrola incarnata Fisch. with a green polyols based-deep eutectic solvent, Sep. Purif. Technol. 149 (2015) 116–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2015.03.037.

[37] E.L. Smith, A.P. Abbott, K.S. Ryder, Deep Eutectic Solvents (DESs) and Their Applications, Chem. Rev. 114 (2014) 11060–11082. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300162p.

[38] L. Duan, L.L. Dou, L. Guo, P. Li, E.H. Liu, Comprehensive Evaluation of Deep Eutectic Solvents in Extraction of Bioactive Natural Products, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 4 (2016) 2405–2411. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b00091.

[39] A.P. Abbott, G. Capper, D.L. Davies, R.K. Rasheed, V. Tambyrajah, Novel solvent properties of choline chloride/urea mixtures, Chem. Commun. (2003) 70–71. https://doi.org/10.1039/b210714g.

[40] J. Huang, X. Guo, T. Xu, L. Fan, X. Zhou, S. Wu, Ionic deep eutectic solvents for the extraction and separation of natural products, J. Chromatogr. A. 1598 (2019) 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2019.03.046.

[41] W.W. Oomen, P. Begines, N.R. Mustafa, E.G. Wilson, R. Verpoorte, Y.H. Choi, Natural Deep Eutectic Solvent Extraction of Flavonoids of Scutellaria baicalensis as a Replacement for Conventional Organic Solvents, Molecules. 25 (2020) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25030617.

[42] N. Fu, R. Lv, Z. Guo, Y. Guo, X. You, B. Tang, D. Han, H. Yan, K.H. Row, Environmentally friendly and non-polluting solvent pretreatment of palm samples for polyphenol analysis using choline chloride deep eutectic solvents, J. Chromatogr. A. 1492 (2017) 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.02.036.

[43] B. Zhuang, L.L. Dou, P. Li, E.H. Liu, Deep eutectic solvents as green media for extraction of flavonoid glycosides and aglycones from Platycladi Cacumen, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 134 (2017) 214–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2016.11.049.

[44] O. Kaltsa, A. Lakka, S. Grigorakis, I. Karageorgou, G. Batra, E. Bozinou, S. Lalas, D.P.

1107 Makris, A green extraction process for polyphenols from elderberry (Sambucus nigra)
1108 flowers using deep eutectic solvent and ultrasound-assisted pretreatment, Molecules. 25
1109 (2020) 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25040921.
1110 [45] W. Ma, K.H. Row, Solid-phase extraction of catechins from green tea with deep eutectic
1111 solvent immobilized magnetic molybdenum disulfide molecularly imprinted polymer,
1112 Molecules. 25 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25020280.
1113 [46] Y.H. Choi, J. van Spronsen, Y. Dai, M. Verberne, F. Hollmann, I.W.C.E. Arends, G.J.
1114 Witkamp, R. Verpoorte, Are natural deep eutectic solvents the missing link in
1115 understanding cellular metabolism and physiology?, Plant Physiol. 156 (2011) 1701–
1116 1705. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.178426.
1117 [47] X.H. Fan, Y.H. Chang, L.T. Wang, Y.W. Zhu, M.Z. Dong, M.J. Lv, J.Y. An, Q. Yang,
1118 J. Jiao, D. Meng, Y.J. Fu, A simple and efficient sample preparation for taxanes in Taxus
1119 chinensis needles with natural menthol-based aqueous deep eutectic solvent, J. Sep. Sci.
1120 43 (2020) 1339–1347. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201900754.
1121 [48] T. Křížek, M. Bursová, R. Horsley, M. Kuchař, P. Tůma, R. Čabala, T. Hložek, Menthol-
1122 based hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents: Towards greener and efficient extraction of
1123 phytocannabinoids, J. Clean. Prod. 193 (2018) 391–396.
1124 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.080.
1125 [49] C. Cai, W. Yu, C. Wang, L. Liu, F. Li, Z. Tan, Green extraction of cannabidiol from
1126 industrial hemp (Cannabis sativa L.) using deep eutectic solvents coupled with further
1127 enrichment and recovery by macroporous resin, J. Mol. Liq. 287 (2019).
1128 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2019.110957.
1129 [50] J. Sang, B. Li, Y.Y. Huang, Q. Ma, K. Liu, C.Q. Li, Deep eutectic solvent-based
1130 extraction coupled with green two-dimensional HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS for the
1131 determination of anthocyanins from: Lycium ruthenicum Murr. fruit, Anal. Methods. 10
1132 (2018) 1247–1257. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ay00101d.

1133 [51] M. Ruesgas-Ramón, M.C. Figueroa-Espinoza, E. Durand, Application of Deep Eutectic
1134 Solvents (DES) for Phenolic Compounds Extraction: Overview, Challenges, and
1135 Opportunities, J. Agric. Food Chem. 65 (2017) 3591–3601.
1136 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01054.
1137 [52] J. Cao, M. Yang, F. Cao, J. Wang, E. Su, Well-Designed Hydrophobic Deep Eutectic
1138 Solvents As Green and Efficient Media for the Extraction of Artemisinin from Artemisia
1139 annua Leaves, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 5 (2017) 3270–3278.
1140 https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b03092.
1141 [53] B.D. Ribeiro, C. Florindo, L.C. Iff, M.A.Z. Coelho, I.M. Marrucho, Menthol-based
1142 eutectic mixtures: Hydrophobic low viscosity solvents, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 3
1143 (2015) 2469–2477. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b00532.
1144 [54] S. Bajkacz, K. Rusin, A. Wolny, J. Adamek, K. Erfurt, A. Chrobok, Highly efficient
1145 extraction procedures based on natural deep eutectic solvents or ionic liquids for
1146 determination of 20-hydroxyecdysone in spinach, Molecules. 25 (2020) 1–14.
1147 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25204736.
1148 [55] K.M. Jeong, Y. Jin, D.E. Yoo, S.Y. Han, E.M. Kim, J. Lee, One-step sample preparation
1149 for convenient examination of volatile monoterpenes and phenolic compounds in
1150 peppermint leaves using deep eutectic solvents, Food Chem. 251 (2018) 69–76.
1151 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.079.
1152 [56] J. Nie, G. Yu, Z. Song, X. Wang, Z. Li, Y. She, M. Lee, Microwave-assisted deep
1153 eutectic solvent extraction coupled with headspace solid-phase microextraction followed
1154 by GC-MS for the analysis of volatile compounds from tobacco, Anal. Methods. 9 (2017)
1155 856–863. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ay03076a.
1156 [57] J.B. Barbieri, C. Goltz, F.B. Cavalheiro, A.T. Toci, L. Igarashi-Mafra, M.R. Mafra,
1157 Corrigendum to “Deep eutectic solvents applied in the extraction and stabilization of
1158 rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis L.) phenolic compounds” [Ind. Crops Prod. 144 (2020)

112049] (Industrial Crops & Products (2020) 144, (S0926669019310593), (10.1016/j.in, Ind. Crops Prod. 154 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112722.

[58] B.Y. Zhao, P. Xu, F.X. Yang, H. Wu, M.H. Zong, W.Y. Lou, Biocompatible Deep Eutectic Solvents Based on Choline Chloride: Characterization and Application to the Extraction of Rutin from Sophora japonica, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 3 (2015) 2746–2755. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b00619.

[59] I. Pacheco-Fernández, D.W. Allgaier-Díaz, G. Mastellone, C. Cagliero, D.D. Díaz, V. Pino, Biopolymers in sorbent-based microextraction methods, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 125 (2020) 115839. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2020.115839.

[60] N.H. Godage, E. Gionfriddo, Use of natural sorbents as alternative and green extractive materials: A critical review, Anal. Chim. Acta. 1125 (2020) 187–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2020.05.045.

[61] G. Mafra, M.T. García-Valverde, J. Millán-Santiago, E. Carasek, R. Lucena, S. Cárdenas, Returning to nature for the design of sorptive phases in solid-phase microextraction, Separations. 7 (2020) 1–22. https://doi.org/10.3390/separations7010002.

[62] J. Cao, L.Q. Peng, J.J. Xu, Microcrystalline cellulose based matrix solid phase dispersion microextration for isomeric triterpenoid acids in loquat leaves by ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography and quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1472 (2016) 16–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.10.034.

[63] L.Q. Peng, Q. Li, Y. xu Chang, M. An, R. Yang, Z. Tan, J. Hao, J. Cao, J.J. Xu, S.S. Hu, Determination of natural phenols in olive fruits by chitosan assisted matrix solid-phase dispersion microextraction and ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography with quadrupole time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1456 (2016) 68–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.06.011.

[64] W. Wu, W. Qian, H. Hao, Y. kang, Y. Wang, Y. Deng, C. Ni, J. Huang, W. Weng,

Determination of caffeoylquinic acid derivatives in Azolla imbricata by chitosan-based matrix solid-phase dispersion coupled with HPLC–PDA, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 163 (2019) 197–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2018.10.008.

[65] H. Shu, Y.H. Ge, X.Y. Xu, P.Q. Guo, Z.M. Luo, W. Du, C. Chang, R.L. Liu, Q. Fu, Hybrid-type carbon microcoil-chitosan composite for selective extraction of aristolochic acid I from Aristolochiaceae medicinal plants, J. Chromatogr. A. 1561 (2018) 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.05.037.

[66] E.M.C. de Matos, L.C. Ribeiro, O.D. Prestes, J.A.G. da Silva, B.S. de Farias, L.A. d. A. Pinto, R. Zanella, Multiclass Method for the Determination of Pesticide Residues in Oat Using Modified QuEChERS with Alternative Sorbent and Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry, Food Anal. Methods. 12 (2019) 2835–2844. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-019-01641-1.

[67] J.J. Xu, Q. Li, J. Cao, E. Warner, M. An, Z. Tan, S.L. Wang, L.Q. Peng, X.G. Liu, Extraction and enrichment of natural pigments from solid samples using ionic liquids and chitosan nanoparticles, J. Chromatogr. A. 1463 (2016) 32–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.08.012.

[68] Z. Li, S. Lu, J. Jin, T. Wang, Preparation of a new cellulose magnetic molecularly imprinted polymer micro-spheres to extract and analyze the indole-3-acetic acid in plant tissues, J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 1092 (2018) 343–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2018.06.023.

[69] Q. Zeng, Y. Ruan, L. Sun, F. Du, L. Guo, Z. Cheng, G. Ruan, J. Li, Development of Graphene Oxide Functionalized Cotton Fiber Based Solid Phase Extraction Combined with Liquid Chromatography-Fluorescence Detection for Determination of Trace Auxins in Plant Samples, Chromatographia. 81 (2018) 861–869. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3518-0.

[70] Q. Lu, Q. Zhao, Q.W. Yu, Y.Q. Feng, Use of Pollen Solid-Phase Extraction for the

Determination of trans-Resveratrol in Peanut Oils, J. Agric. Food Chem. 63 (2015) 4771–4776. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf505938w.

[71] Q. Lu, J.H. Wu, Q.W. Yu, Y.Q. Feng, Using pollen grains as novel hydrophilic solid-phase extraction sorbents for the simultaneous determination of 16 plant growth regulators, J. Chromatogr. A. 1367 (2014) 39–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2014.09.071.

[72] L. Jiang, J. Wang, H. Zhang, C. Liu, Y. Tang, C. Chu, New vortex-synchronized matrix solid-phase dispersion method for simultaneous determination of four anthraquinones in Cassiae semen, Molecules. 24 (2019) 6–13. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24071312.

[73] M. Li, C. Jiao, X. Yang, C. Wang, Q. Wu, Z. Wang, Solid phase extraction of carbamate pesticides with banana peel derived hierarchical porous carbon prior to high performance liquid chromatography, Anal. Methods. 9 (2017) 593–599. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ay02678h.

[74] K. Ren, W. Zhang, S. Cao, G. Wang, Z. Zhou, Carbon-based fe3o4 nanocomposites derived from waste pomelo peels for magnetic solid-phase extraction of 11 triazole fungicides in fruit samples, Nanomaterials. 8 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/nano8050302.

[75] E. Öztürk Er, E. Maltepe, S. Bakirdere, A novel analytical method for the determination of cadmium in sorrel and rocket plants at ultratrace levels: Magnetic chitosan hydrogels based solid phase microextraction-slotted quartz tube-flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry, Microchem. J. 143 (2018) 393–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2018.08.019.

[76] M. Singh, S. Singh, S.P. Singh, S.S. Patel, Recent advancement of carbon nanomaterials engrained molecular imprinted polymer for environmental matrix, Trends Environ. Anal. Chem. 27 (2020) e00092. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teac.2020.e00092.

[77] N. Tarannum, S. Khatoon, B.B. Dzantiev, Perspective and application of molecular

imprinting approach for antibiotic detection in food and environmental samples: A critical review, Food Control. 118 (2020) 107381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107381.

[78] M. Arabi, A. Ostovan, A.R. Bagheri, X. Guo, L. Wang, J. Li, X. Wang, B. Li, L. Chen, Strategies of molecular imprinting-based solid-phase extraction prior to chromatographic analysis, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem. 128 (2020) 115923. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2020.115923.

[79] M.I. Malik, H. Shaikh, G. Mustafa, M.I. Bhanger, Recent Applications of Molecularly Imprinted Polymers in Analytical Chemistry, Sep. Purif. Rev. 48 (2019) 179–219. https://doi.org/10.1080/15422119.2018.1457541.

[80] A. Machyňáková, K. Hroboňová, Synthesis and Evaluation of Molecularly Imprinted Polymers as Sorbents for Selective Extraction of Coumarins, Chromatographia. 80 (2017) 1015–1024. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-017-3325-z.

[81] G. Sun, Y. Liu, H. Ahat, A. Shen, X. Liang, X. Xue, Y. Luo, J. Yang, Z.S. Liu, H.A. Aisa, “Two-dimensional” molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction coupled with crystallization and high performance liquid chromatography for fast semi-preparative purification of tannins from pomegranate husk extract, J. Chromatogr. A. 1505 (2017) 35–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.05.033.

[82] D. Gao, Q.F. Fu, L.J. Wang, D.D. Wang, K.L. Zhang, F.Q. Yang, Z.N. Xia, Molecularly imprinted polymers for the selective extraction of tiliroside from the flowers of Edgeworthia gardneri (wall.) Meisn, J. Sep. Sci. 40 (2017) 2629–2637. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700240.

[83] Q. Zhang, L. Fan, Q. Lu, X. Yu, M. Liang, J. Nie, N. Li, L. Zhang, Preparation and application of molecularly imprinted polymer solid-phase microextraction fiber for the selective analysis of auxins in tobacco, J. Sep. Sci. 42 (2019) 2687–2695. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201900265.

[84] S. Alipour, P.A. Azar, S.W. Husain, H.R. Rajabi, Determination of Rosmarinic acid in plant extracts using a modified sensor based on magnetic imprinted polymeric nanostructures, Sensors Actuators, B Chem. 323 (2020) 128668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2020.128668.

[85] T. Yang, Q. Zhang, T. Chen, W. Wu, X. Tang, G. Wang, J. Feng, W. Zhang, Facile potentiometric sensing of gallic acid in edible plants based on molecularly imprinted polymer, J. Food Sci. 85 (2020) 2622–2628. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.15346.

[86] Z. Luo, G. Chen, X. Li, L. Wang, H. Shu, X. Cui, C. Chang, A. Zeng, Q. Fu, Molecularly imprinted polymer solid-phase microextraction coupled with ultra high performance liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry for rapid analysis of pyrrolizidine alkaloids in herbal medicine, J. Sep. Sci. 42 (2019) 3352–3362. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201900665.

[87] C. Wang, X.J. Li, J. Yang, Y.X. Zhao, Z.S. Liu, H.A. Aisa, Preparation of ionic liquid-mediated imprinted monolith for selective capture and purification of corilagin, J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 1041–1042 (2017) 98–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2016.12.023.

[88] Y. Han, C. Yang, Y. Zhou, D. Han, H. Yan, Ionic Liquid-Hybrid Molecularly Imprinted Material-Filter Solid-Phase Extraction Coupled with HPLC for Determination of 6-Benzyladenine and 4-Chlorophenoxyacetic Acid in Bean Sprouts, J. Agric. Food Chem. 65 (2017) 1750–1757. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b03922.

[89] E. Turiel, A. Martín-Esteban, Molecularly imprinted polymers-based microextraction techniques, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem. 118 (2019) 574–586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2019.06.016.

[90] X. Li, Y. Dai, K.H. Row, Preparation of two-dimensional magnetic molecularly imprinted polymers based on boron nitride and a deep eutectic solvent for the selective recognition of flavonoids, Analyst. 144 (2019) 1777–1788.

https://doi.org/10.1039/c8an02258e.

[91] E. Fischer, Einfluss der Configuration auf die Wirkung der Enzyme, Chem. Ber. 27 (1894) 2985-299, https://doi.org/10.1002/cber.18940270364

[92] D. Xiao, T. Lu, R. Zeng, Y. Bi, Preparation and highlighted applications of magnetic microparticles and nanoparticles : a review on recent advances, Microchim. Acta (2016) 2655–2675. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-016-1928-y.

[93] F. Abujaber, F.J.G. Bernardo, R.C.R. Martín-doimeadios, Talanta Magnetic cellulose nanoparticles as sorbents for stir bar-sorptive dispersive microextraction of polychlorinated biphenyls in juice samples, Talanta 201 (2019) 266–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.04.005

[94] J. Chen, S. Cao, M. Zhu, C. Xi, L. Zhang, X. Li, G. Wang, Y. Zhou, Z. Chen, Fabrication of a high selectivity magnetic solid phase extraction adsorbent based on β-cyclodextrin and application for recognition of plant growth regulators, J. Chromatogr. A. 1547 (2018) 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.03.004.

[95] J.Y. Chen, S.R. Cao, C.X. Xi, Y. Chen, X.L. Li, L. Zhang, G.M. Wang, Y.L. Chen, Z.Q. Chen, A novel magnetic β-cyclodextrin modified graphene oxide adsorbent with high recognition capability for 5 plant growth regulators, Food Chem. 239 (2018) 911–919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.07.013.

[96] V. Cruz Fernandes, M. Freitas, J.G. Pacheco, V. Fernandes Domingues, C. Delerue-Matos, Evaluation of the QuEChERS and magnetic micro dispersive solid-phase extraction of brominated flame retardants in red fruits with determination by GC/MS, Food Chem. 309 (2020) 125572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125572.

[97] Q. Zhang, D.D. Zhou, J.W. Zhang, D. Gao, F.Q. Yang, H. Chen, Z.N. Xia, Amino-terminated supramolecular cucurbit [6] uril pseudorotaxane complexes immobilized on magnetite@silica nanoparticles: A highly efficient sorbent for salvianolic acids, Talanta. 195 (2019) 354–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.11.086.

[98] A. Gutiérrez-Serpa, I. Pacheco-Fernández, J. Pasán, V. Pino, Metal–organic frameworks as key materials for solid-phase microextraction devices—a review, Separations. 6 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/separations6040047.

[99] H. Duo, Y. Wang, L. Wang X. Lu, X. Liang, Zirconium(IV)-based metal–organic frameworks (UiO-67) as solid-phase extraction adsorbent for the extraction of phenoxyacetic acid herbicides from vegetables, J. Sep. Sci. (2018). https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201800784

[100] T. Si, L.Liu, X. Liang, H. Duo, L. Wang, S. Wang, Solid-phase extraction of phenoxyacetic acid herbicides in complex samples with a zirconium(IV)-based metal–organic framework, J. Sep. Sci. (2019).https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201900243

[101] R.M. Abdelhameed, H. Abdel-Gawad, M. Taha, B. Hegazi, Separation of bioactive chamazulene from chamomile extract using metal-organic framework, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 146 (2017) 126–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2017.08.020.

[102] Y.Y. Cui, C.X. Yang, X.D. Yang, X.P. Yan, Zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 for selective extraction of a highly active anti-oxidant flavonoid from Caragana Jubata, J. Chromatogr. A. 1544 (2018) 8–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.02.046.

[103] R. Zhang, N. Xu, Y. Wang, X. Liu, S. Wang, J. Cao, Metal–organic framework assisted matrix solid-phase dispersion microextraction of saponins using response surface methodology, Electrophoresis. 41 (2020) 1354–1363. https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.202000042.

[104] S. Bahrani, M. Ghaedi, K. Dashtian, A. Ostovan, M.J.K. Mansoorkhani, A. Salehi, MOF-5(Zn)-Fe2O4 nanocomposite based magnetic solid-phase microextraction followed by HPLC-UV for efficient enrichment of colchicine in root of colchicium extracts and plasma samples, J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 1067 (2017) 45–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2017.09.044.

[105] Z. Durmus, B. Zengin Kurt, I. Gazioğlu, E. Sevgi, C. Kizilarslan Hancer,

Spectrofluorimetric Determination of Aflatoxin B1 in Winter Herbal Teas via Magnetic Solid Phase Extraction Method by using Metal–Organic Framework (MOF) Hybrid Structures Anchored with Magnetic Nanoparticles, Appl. Organomet. Chem. 34 (2020) 2–11. https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.5375.

[106] Z. Moradi, E. Alipanahpour Dil, A. Asfaram, Dispersive micro-solid phase extraction based on Fe3O4@SiO2@Ti-MOF as a magnetic nanocomposite sorbent for the trace analysis of caffeic acid in the medical extracts of plants and water samples prior to HPLC-UV analysis, Analyst. 144 (2019) 4351–4361. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9an00120d.

[107] Y. Zhou, J. Zhu, J. Yang, Y. Lv, Y. Zhu, W. Bi, X. Yang, D.D.Y. Chen, Magnetic nanoparticles speed up mechanochemical solid phase extraction with enhanced enrichment capability for organochlorines in plants, Anal. Chim. Acta. 1066 (2019) 49–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2019.03.049.

[108] A. Ghiasvand, S. Koonani, F. Yazdankhah, S. Farhadi, A comparison study on a sulfonated graphene-polyaniline nanocomposite coated fiber for analysis of nicotine in solid samples through the traditional and vacuum-assisted HS-SPME, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 149 (2018) 271–277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2017.11.020.

[109] Y. Su, S. Wang, N. Zhang, P. Cui, Y. Gao, T. Bao, Zr-MOF modified cotton fiber for pipette tip solid-phase extraction of four phenoxy herbicides in complex samples, Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 201 (2020) 110764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110764.

[110] X. Pang, H. Liu, H. Yu, M. Zhang, L. Bai, H. Yan, Monolithic Column Prepared with UiO-66-2COOH MOF as Monomer for Enrichment and Purification of Ursolic Acid in Plants by Online Solid-Phase Extraction, Chromatographia. 83 (2020) 1121–1131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-020-03931-x.

[111] X. Pang, H. Liu, H. Yu, M. Zhang, L. Bai, H. Yan, A metal organic framework polymer

monolithic column as a novel adsorbent for on-line solid phase extraction and determination of ursolic acid in Chinese herbal medicine, J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 1125 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.121715.

[112] M. Zhang, H. Liu, Y. Han, L. Bai, H. Yan, On-line enrichment and determination of aristolochic acid in medicinal plants using a MOF-based composite monolith as adsorbent, J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 1159 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2020.122343.

[113] I. P. Fernàndez, M. Rentero, J.H. Ayala, J. Pasàn, V. Pino, Green solid-phase microextraction fiber coating based on the metal- organic framework CIM-80 (Al): Analytical performance evaluation in direct immersion and headspace using gas chromatography and mass spectrometry for the analysis of water, urine, brewed coffee, Anal. Chim. Acta (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2020.08.009.

[114] Y. Zhu, S. Murali, W. Cai, X. Li, J.W. Suk, J.R. Potts, R.S. Ruoff, Graphene and graphene oxide: Synthesis, properties, and applications, Adv. Mater. 22 (2010) 3906–3924. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201001068.

[115] H. Zhang, X. Wu, Y. Yuan, D. Han, F. Qiao, H. Yan, An ionic liquid functionalized graphene adsorbent with multiple adsorption mechanisms for pipette-tip solid-phase extraction of auxins in soybean sprouts, Food Chem. 265 (2018) 290–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.05.090.

[116] A. Markus, A.O. Gbadamosi, A.S. Yusuff, A. Agi, J. Oseh, Magnetite-sporopollenin/graphene oxide as new preconcentration adsorbent for removal of polar organophosphorus pesticides in vegetables, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25 (2018) 35130–35142. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3402-3.

[117] L.A. Akamine, D.A. Vargas Medina, F.M. Lanças, Magnetic solid-phase extraction of gingerols in ginger containing products, Talanta. 222 (2021) 121683.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2020.121683.

[118] D. Jiang, D. Wei, L. Wang, S. Ma, Y. Du, M. Wang, Multiwalled carbon nanotube for one-step cleanup of 21 mycotoxins in corn and wheat prior to ultraperformance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry analysis, Toxins (Basel). 10 (2018) 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins10100409.

[119] S. Song, Z. Zhang, N. Zou, R. Chen, L. Han, C. Pan, Y. Sapozhnikova, Determination of Six Paraben Residues in Fresh-cut Vegetables Using QuEChERS with Multi-walled Carbon Nanotubes and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry, Food Anal. Methods. 10 (2017) 3972–3979. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-017-0970-7.

[120] K. Jiang, P. Huang, L. Luan, K. Fan, W. Guo, Z. Zhao, Y. Wu, Z. Han, Iron (II, III) oxide/multi-walled carbon nanotube composite as solid-phase extraction sorbent followed by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry for simultaneous determination of zearalenone and type A trichothecenes in Salv, J. Chromatogr. A. 1482 (2017) 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2016.12.058.

[121] H. Liu, T. Lin, Q. Li, A magnetic multi-walled carbon nanotube preparative method for analyzing asymmetric carbon, phosphorus and sulfur atoms of chiral pesticide residues in Chinese herbals by chiral liquid chromatography-quadrupole/linear ion trap mass spectrometry determinat, J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 1148 (2020) 122152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2020.122152.

[122] X. Ling, L. Zou, Z. Chen, Polymeric monolith column composited with multiwalled carbon nanotubes-β-cyclodextrin for the selective extraction of psoralen and isopsoralen, J. Sep. Sci. 40 (2017) 3718–3724. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700562.

[123] T. Chen, H. Xu, In vivo investigation of pesticide residues in garlic using solid phase microextraction-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, Anal. Chim. Acta. 1090 (2019) 72–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2019.09.011.

1419

Artwork

Figure 1. Overview of the main extraction phases employed as sorbent in liquid and solid phase extraction in plant field (A) and scheme of the structural characteristics of the main extraction phases employed in plant field (B).

Figure 2. Representative examples of extraction procedures using innovative sorbents in plant field: A) in-vivo SPME using a MWCNTs-based SPME fiber, adapted from Ref. [123], with permission from Elsevier; B) switchable temperature-responsive ionic liquid-based method for the simultaneous extraction and *in-situ* separation of lipophilic and hydrophilic compounds, adapted from Ref. [30], with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry; C) m-SPE using a MOF-NPs hybrid material, adapted from Ref. [105], with permission from Wiley D) potentiometric analysis using MIP-based electrochemical sensor, adapted from Ref. [85], with permission from Institute of Food Technologists; and E) MSPD microextraction using microcrystalline cellulose as sorbent, adapted from Ref. [62], with permission from Elsevier.

Tables

Table 1. Main features of innovative extraction phases in plant field.

Feature	ILs	DESs	Natural sorbents	MIPs	MNPs	Reticular materials	Carbon materials
Pre-treatment of the sample	+/-	-	+/- (MSPD[a])	+	+	+/- (MSPD[a])	+
Extraction time (min)	10-30	30-120	1-5	+/-[b]	+/-[b]	+/-[b]	+/-[b]
Solvent consumption	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Hybrid phases	+ [25]	+ [45]	+ [75]	+ [87]	+ [117]	+ [107]	+ [116]
Example of automatation	-	-	-	+ [83]	-	-	+ [122]
Microextraction	+ [32, 18]	-[c]	+ [67]	+ [86]	+ [96]	+ [104]	+ [123]
Re-use	+ [18]	+ [42]	+ [69]	+ [83]	+ [97]	+ [102]	+ [117]
Commercially available	+	+	+/-	+	+	+	+

+/- depend on the application/technique
[a] matrix solid-phase dispersion
[b] from few seconds to more than 30 minutes
[c] possible, but no applications found in plant field

Table 2. Representative applications of innovative extraction phases in plant field.

Sample	Analytes	Phase	Additional materials	Extraction method[a]	Analytical platform	Ref.
IL-based extraction						
Camellia sinensis (L.) Kuntze	polyphenols	multi-tentacled $PVAIm^+Pro^-$ @SiO_2[b]	silica	dSPE	HPLC-MS/MS	[24]
Elaeagnus rhamnoides (L.) A.Nelson	essential oil, non-volatile compounds	$[C_4mIm]^+[Br]^-$[c]	/	UA/MA distillation extraction	HPLC-UV/GC-MS	[26]
Coptis spp.	berberine hydrochloride	MIL two phase system $[N_{1\ 1\ 5\ 2}OH]^+[Br]^-$ and $[TEMPO\text{-}OSO_3]^-[Na]^+$[d]	/	magnetic ABS	HPLC-UV	[18]
Chrysanthemum spp.	lipophilic and hydrophilic endogenous compounds	Temperature-responsive $[HMIM]^+[BF_4]^-$[e]	/	*in-situ* solid-liquid extraction	UHPLC-UV-QTOF-MS	[30]
DES-based extraction						
Trachycarpus fortunei (Hook.) H.Wendl.	polyphenols	ChCl/Ph[f] sorbent ChCl DES eluent	/	SPE	HPLC-MS	[42]
Mentha×piperita L.	volatile monoterpenes, phenols	ChCl/D(+)-glucose	/	solid-liquid UAE	UHPLC-QTOF-MS/GC-MS	[55]
Rosmarinus officinalis L.	phenols	ChCl/1,2-Propanediol	/	solid-liquid UAE	HPLC-UV	[57]
Taxus wallichiana var. *chinensis* (Pilg.) Florin	taxanes	menthol/1-propanol	/	solid-liquid UAE	HPLC-UV	[47]
Natural sorbent-based extraction						
Eriobotrya japonica (Thunb.) Lindl.	triterpenoid acid	MCC[g]	/	MSPD	UHPLC-QTOF-MS	[62]
Fruits	triazole fungicides	carbon (from pomelo peel) NPs	Fe_3O_4	m-SPE	GC-MS	[74]
Azolla imbricata (Roxb. ex Griff.) Nakai	caffeoylquinic acid derivatives	chitosan	/	MSPD	HPLC-UV	[64]
MIP-based extraction						

Phyllanthus urinaria L.	corilagin	Corilagin-MIP	$[BMIM]^+[BF_4]^-$[h]	SPE	HPLC-UV	[87]
Tussilago farfara L.	pyrrolizidine alkaloids	PA[i]-MIP	/	SPME	UHPLC	[86]
Edible plant	gallic acid	GA[l]-MIP	/	/	IES[e] potentiometric analysis	[85]
MNP-based extraction						
Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge	salvianolic acids	magnetite@silica NPs	curcubit pseudorotaxane complex	m-dSPE	HPLC-MS	[97]
Reticular material-based extraction						
Panax ginseng C.A.Mey	saponins	MOF-808	/	μ-MSPD	UHPLC-QTOF-MS	[103]
Camellia sinensis (L.) Kuntze	organochlorine pesticides	MIL-100	Fe_3O_4	m-SPE	UHPLC-UV/GC-MS	[107]
Carbon material-based extraction						
Vegetables	parabens	MWCNTs	/	QuEChERS (SPE)	HPLC-MS/MS	[119]
Allium sativum L.	pesticides	MWCNTs	PANI-PPy@PDMS[m]	DI/*in-vivo*/*in-vitro* SPME	GC-MS	[123]

[a] for the acronyms of the techniques, see abbreviation list
[b] silica particles with polyvinyl alcohol chains modified by N-methyl imidazole proline salt
[c] 1-butyl-3-methyl imidazole bromine salt
[d] alkyl-(2-hydroxyethyl)-dimethylammonium bromides, sodium 4-sulfonatooxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl piperidine-1-yloxyl
[e] 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate
[f] phenol
[g] microcrystalline cellulose
[h] 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate
[i] pyrrolizidine alkaloid
[l] gallic acid
[m] polyaniline-polypyrrole@polydimethylsiloxane

A)


Liquid phase extraction
Ionic liquids
Deep eutectic solvents
Solid phase extraction
Natural sorbents
MIPs
Magnetic NPs
Reticular and carbon-based materials
Hybrid phases
Association of different phases

B)


ILs
R
+
-
R
Biopolymers
R
link
R
n
monomer
MIPs
R
R
interaction site
R
Magnetic NPs
DESs
HBA
HBD
R
R
Reticular materials
Carbon-based materials


A)
in vivo SPME
rinsed and wiped
GC injector port
To MS
GC column
GC-MS analysis


B)
chrysanthemum powder
Hydrophilic compounds
Lipophilic compounds
Aqueous phase
Ionic liquid phase
Adjust temperature <UCST (65 ℃)
Heterogeneous two-phase system
Add chrysanthemum powder and extraction
Low extraction efficiency
Adjust temperature ≥ UCST (65 ℃)
Switched from heterogeneous two-phase system to homogeneous single-phase system
Add chrysanthemum powder and extraction
High extraction efficiency
In situ purification by adjusting the temperature to 25 ℃
Switched from homogeneous single-phase system to heterogeneous two-phase system


C)
Fe3+
Fe2+
ammonia/ pH =8
Fe3O4
TEOS
SiO2 shell
MIL-53(Al)
Transfer
Isolation
Elution


D)
Gallic acid (Template)
Methacrylic acid (Monomer)
Polymerization
Extraction
MIP
Detector (μpH/mV Meter)
Ag/AgCl
SCE
PVC


E)
Sorbent
Sample
Blending
Transferring
Eluting
Ethanol
Dryness
Redissolution
Centrifuging
Transferring
Analysis