



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

MORPHEUS: AN AUTOMATED TOOL FOR UNBIASED AND REPRODUCIBLE CELL MORPHOMETRY

This is a pre print version of the following article:				
Original Citation:				
Availability:				
This version is available	http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1738050	since	2021-04-10T00:10:16Z	
Terms of use:				
Open Access				
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a				

Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 MORPHEUS: AN AUTOMATED TOOL FOR UNBIASED AND REPRODUCIBLE

2	CELL MORPHOMETRY		
3			
4	Federico Alessandro Ruffinatti ^{1*} , Tullio Genova ^{2,3*} , Federico Mussano ² & Luca Munaron ^{3§}		
5 6 7 8	 ¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara, Italy ² CIR Dental School, Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy ³ Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy 		
9 10	*These authors equally contributed; [§] Corresponding author		
11 12	Keywords: cell morphometry; reproducibility; orientation analysis; Fiji; ImageJ.		
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	^s Correspondence to: Luca Munaron, Ph.D. Dept. Life Sciences & Systems Biology University of Torino Via Accademia Albertina 13 10123 Torino ITALY luca.munaron@unito.it		
21			

22 ABSTRACT

Here we present MORPHEUS, a new Fiji/ImageJ2 plugin for the automated evaluation of cell 23 morphometry from images acquired by fluorescence microscopy. MORPHEUS works with sampling 24 distributions to learn—in an unsupervised manner and by a nonparametric approach—how to 25 26 recognize the cells suitable for subsequent analysis. Afterwards, the algorithm performs the 27 evaluation of the most relevant cell-shape descriptors over the full set of detected cells. Optionally, 28 also the extraction of nucleus features and a double-scale analysis of orientation can be performed. The whole algorithm is implemented as a one-click procedure, thus minimizing the user's 29 30 intervention. By reducing biases and errors of human origin, MORPHEUS is intended to be a useful 31 tool to enhance reproducibility in bioimage analysis.

32

33 INTRODUCTION

Cell morphometry can be defined as the quantitative description of cells' shape, which may 34 not be separated from the inference of important information about their functional state. This 35 shape-function paradigm aims to correlate cell morphology to biological processes such as 36 proliferation, differentiation, migration, growth dynamics, adhesion to particular substrates or 37 38 transition from physiology to pathology (Lepekhin et al., 2001; Ruffinatti et al., 2013; Yang et al., 39 2015; Lyons et al., 2016). Although many shape descriptors have been proposed over the years, no 40 general rules about their usage currently exist since their suitability depends on the particular 41 experimental aim (Chen et al., 2012; Lobo et al., 2015). More generally, cell morphometry lacks 42 standardized protocols for the comparison of studies from independent laboratories. Specifically, when cells are manually selected, a heavy operator-dependent bias is introduced, impairing 43 44 procedure reproducibility (Chen et al., 2016; Van Valen et al., 2016). In addition, since many samples are needed for reaching a good statistical power, human errors unavoidably arise because of the 45 repetitiveness of such a time-consuming task. 46

47 In order to solve most of the aforementioned problems, we developed a new plug-in for Fiji (ImageJ2) (<u>https://imagej.net/ImageJ2/; https://fiji.sc/</u>) called MORPHEUS (**M**ultipametric 48 Morphometric Analysis of EUcaryotic cellS). It combines within a single structured pipeline the 49 evaluation of the most relevant cell-shape descriptors, the extraction of nucleus features and an 50 advanced analysis of orientation. The procedure we propose is highly automated and adaptive since 51 52 the full algorithm is indeed implemented in a one-click and user-friendly fashion. MORPHEUS is freely available for download at https://sourceforge.net/projects/morpheus-for-fiji/, together with 53 54 the same sets of test images used in Supplementary Materials for experimental validation (see below). 55 56

- 57 **METHODS**
- 58 Sample Preparation

59 The following recommendations are made in order to generate MORPHEUS-compliant 60 images.

- Cells stained for some cytoplasmic element (e.g. phalloidin for cytoskeleton) need to
 be acquired by fluorescence microscopy. Cells stained for nuclei (e.g. through 4',6 <u>dia</u>midino-2-<u>p</u>henyl<u>i</u>ndole aka DAPI) are optional but not sufficient alone.
- 64
 2. Images should be of good quality. If the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) is too low (i.e.
 65
 65
 66
 66
 Supplementary Materials for an operational definition of SNR).
- Both 8 and 16-bit gray scale images can be used, but MORPHEUS segmentation
 procedure always assumes light objects (fluorescence signal) on dark background.
 Different color lookup tables (LUTs) are not suitable for MORPHEUS.
- Moderate and homogeneous plating densities are required. In particular, they ought
 to be low enough to provide a majority of isolated cells compared to cell clusters. It

- is warmly suggested to ensure even distribution of adherent cells by repetitivepipetting.
- 5. Since all cell clusters are automatically excluded by the pipeline, images are not to
 contain many pronounced cell elongations leading to cell-cell contacts (i.e. neuron
 networks analysis or quantitative assays of dendritic branching and arborization).
 Other platforms are specifically tailored for this aim (Ventimiglia et al., 1995; Wu et
 al., 2004).
- 6. The number of cells per field should be large enough to produce low-skewness
 sampling distributions for the statistics of interest (see below), otherwise MORPHEUS
 will undergo an inaccurate learning step. Hence, the use of high magnification
 objectives, leading to less than 10-15 cells per field, is discouraged.

83 The above limits are not expected to prevent the use of MORPHEUS in a great variety of 84 morphometric analysis contexts.

85 Algorithm Flow

86 Preliminary Steps

MORPHEUS can be easily integrated into Fiji *Plugins* menu by copying *Morpheus* .ijm file into 87 the appropriate directory. When launched, a dialog window allows the user to set few basic 88 89 parameters (Figure 1a), among which an anti-spot noise threshold ε and a tolerance value T (the larger T, the more inclusive the cell selection will be). After pressing OK button, no further 90 91 intervention is required by the user. As a preliminary task, MORPHEUS scans the input folder 92 searching for the images to be analyzed and then it performs a segmentation in five steps: contrast enhancement, background subtraction, smoothing, thresholding and binarization (Figure 1b). 93 94 Please refer to Supplementary Materials for more details about the segmentation algorithm and the 95 other options of the starting dialog window.

96 Unsupervised Learning Step

As Fiji *Analyze Particles* function selects the objects to be measured primarily on the basis of their area (A) and their circularity (C), a suitable range for these two parameters can be defined to discriminate the objects of interest (i.e. the isolated cells) from the other entities detected by the segmentation (i.e. small debris and big cell-clusters). MORPHEUS fulfils this task by analyzing the distributions of the two random variables A and C over the entire population and learning from the dataset the interval within which most of the isolated cells are likely to fall.

Even under controlled plating conditions, the overall distribution of *A* is not easily predictable from a theoretical point of view. This is due both to the intrinsic biological variability among cells and, as already mentioned, to the presence of different subpopulations of *objects* within the same cell culture: spot noise, cellular and extracellular-matrix debris (corresponding to the high frequencies near the origin of the histogram of areas), isolated cells (the central body of the distribution) and cell clusters of two or more cells (the heavy right tail of the distribution; Figure 109 1d).

First, spot noise is removed by imposing a threshold ε at the beginning of the algorithm (a 110 111 justification for the default value ε =200 pixel² is provided in Supplementary Materials), then *Analyze* 112 *Particles* function evaluates A and C for all the segmented objects that are larger than ε (Figure 1c). To cope with presence of sparse cell clusters, central tendency for A should be measured by an 113 114 estimator robust to outliers—the median being the simplest one—that can be thought to be more 115 representative of the single cell then the cell clusters (Figure 1d). Clearly, this is true only under the initial hypothesis of low-density plating (point 4 of Sample Preparation section), meaning that the 116 117 majority of cells are indeed isolated cells. After the computation of the object median area $M_{A,i}$ for each sample image (i = 1, 2, ..., m), the sampling distribution of the sample medians can be drawn 118 (Figure 1e). This distribution, albeit not normal, is generally far less skewed than that of the starting 119

population, provided the sample size is large enough (i.e. at least 10–25 objects per image, depending on the dispersion of the overall population, as detailed in *Statistical Validation* section of the Supplementary Materials). By explicitly drawing such a sampling distribution from the experimental data, both the mean and the dispersion of the random variable M_A can be evaluated in a direct way, thus providing a reliable estimate of the population median area together with its accuracy or standard error (SE). This procedure leads to the definition of a 'characteristic' or 'typical' single cell area (\overline{M}_A) and its uncertainty (SE $_{\overline{M}}$). Starting from these assumptions,

127
$$A_{high} = 2 \cdot (\overline{M}_A + T \cdot SE_{\overline{M}})$$

is a conservative estimate for the predicted typical size of a two-cell cluster. In other words, if an object has $A > A_{high}$, it can be legitimately suspected to be a complex of two (or more) cells. According to a log-symmetric criterion, the lower bound can be defined as

131
$$A_{low} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\overline{M}_A - T \cdot SE_{\overline{M}})$$

and all the objects below this limit will be discarded as cell debris (or residual spot noise). Depending on the tolerance value T selected from the main window of MORPHEUS, the width of $[A_{low}, A_{high}]$ range can be changed, allowing for a more permissive ($T \rightarrow 6$) or more strict ($T \rightarrow 1$) approach to cell selection.

As for the area, also the circularity generally exhibits a complex distribution, possibly made 136 137 of several subpopulations, since cell clusters can originate very low C values, while debris and spot noise typically have C values very close to 1. Even in this case, MORPHEUS' approach is based on 138 sampling distributions, albeit with some important difference compared to area determination. The 139 140 multiplicative factor 2, used to predict the expected size of a two-cell cluster, is clearly meaningless 141 when dealing with circularity and there are no other obvious multiplicative factors able to predict 142 the value of C for those objects differing from an isolated cell. For this reason, a more conservative 143 approach is adopted, the only aim being that of trimming the outliers. By evaluating circularity extreme values for each of the *m* sample images, MORPHEUS can draw the two sampling distributions of sample minimum (\min_{c}) and sample maximum (\max_{c}). Again, these two distributions can be conveniently described by their mean ($\overline{\min}_{c}$, $\overline{\max}_{c}$) and related SEs. Finally, by tuning the *T* parameter, it can be set the range of circularity values to which single cells are actually supposed to belong, namely:

149
$$C_{low} = \overline{\min}_{C} + (\tau - T) \cdot SE_{\overline{\min}},$$

150
$$C_{high} = \overline{\max}_C - (\tau - T) \cdot SE_{\overline{\max}}$$

151 Where the constant $\tau = 6$ corresponds to the highest confidence level the user can choose for 152 parameter estimation in the current implementation of MORPHEUS (see details in Section 1.1 of the 153 Supplementary Materials).

Once esteemed, $[A_{low}, A_{high}]$ and $[C_{low}, C_{high}]$ intervals are fed as constraints to Analyze 154 Particles for a second run of the function over the whole dataset (Figure 1f). In other words, they 155 156 are used to define a sort of bivariate confidence interval for the area and the circularity of the true isolated cell, but with no reference to any underlying distribution parameter. MORPHEUS represents 157 indeed a completely nonparametric approach to cell morphometry, since no normality assumption 158 is made for any of the distributions considered. Importantly, the anti-spot threshold value ε and the 159 tolerance discrete level T are the only two parameters the user has to choose, thus maximally 160 reducing the arbitrariness of the procedure and all the ensuing possible biases. In particular, the 161 162 effects produced by different choices of the tolerance level have been examined and reported in Table SM3 of Supplementary Materials (*Experimental Validation – Tolerance Effect* section). 163

164 Morphometry and Orientation Analysis

Using the (A, C)-bivariate confidence interval as a filter, MORPHEUS can recognize isolated
 cells in each sample image (Figure 1g). Here the algorithm forks (Figure 1h): the first branch—always

167 performed—is dedicated to cell morphometry, while the second one-optional—is devoted to orientation analysis. For the morphometric task, the idea is to use a wide descriptor spectrum to 168 capture as many features as possible, preferring to reduce the dimensionality of the problem during 169 170 the follow-up analysis rather than to choose a particular descriptor 'a priori' (see Figure 1i-j and 171 *Experimental Validation – Morphometry* section in Supplementary Materials). In particular, 12 172 different shape descriptors are evaluated for each detected cell, encompassing all those indexes 173 natively provided by Fiji/ImageJ through the Set Measurements function (i.e. area, perimeter, best 174 fitting ellipse (BFE) major axis, BFE minor axis, BFE aspect ratio, BFE angle, circularity, roundness, 175 solidity, Feret's diameter, Feret's angle, and minimum caliper diameter, as detailed in Section 1.3 of the Supplementary Materials). 176

For the second task, MORPHEUS leans on OrientationJ, a well-established Fiji/ImageJ plugin for orientation and isotropy characterization (Rezakhaniha et al., 2012; Püspöki et al., 2016). MORPHEUS checks for OrientationJ (version \geq 2.0.2) and, if present, *OrientationJ Distribution* function is called for an automated directional analysis of the whole dataset over two distinct scales: cytoskeleton and whole-cell (Figure 1k). The analysis is performed locally by means of a sliding Gaussian window with an arbitrary radius σ that is meant to be as close as possible to the structure of interest, namely $\sigma = 1$ pixel for fine structure (cytoskeleton) analysis and

184
$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{M_A}{\pi}},$$

for the whole-cell level, taking advantage of the 'typical cell area' as estimated in the initial learning step. For both the levels of analysis, MORPHEUS returns different outputs of both qualitative and quantitative nature. In particular, two colormaps are saved carrying the directional information according to a Hue-Saturation-Brightness (HSB) color model, where hue encodes for the local dominant orientation, saturation encodes for the coherency and brightness is based on the gray levels of the input image (Figure 1I). In addition, a set of coherency-weighted orientation histograms
are collected and then assembled into a single heatmap (Figure 1m).

192 MORPHEUS Log

With the aim of being as compliant as possible with the reproducibility standards in bioinformatics (see e.g. Sandve et al., 2013), MORPHEUS prints an onscreen log at runtime and saves a copy of it in the output directory. Both the onscreen log and log file contain all the information needed to reproduce that particular analysis at a later time (see Supplementary Materials for details).

198

199 **RESULTS**

To have a thorough experimental validation of MORPHEUS, the interested reader can refer to the *Experimental Validation* section of the Supplementary Materials, in which a detailed analysis of a prototypical MORPHEUS output is presented applying the algorithm to four different original datasets of fluorescent cell samples.

204

205 **DISCUSSION**

Replication of experimental results at all scales of biological sciences is a pillar of scientific 206 method. However, the crisis of reproducibility or replication crisis has been largely debated on both 207 208 generalist and more specialized journals in the last years (Aleksandra et al., 2018; An, 2018; Baker, 2016; Begley & Ellis, 2012; Coiera et al., 2018; Fanelli, 2018; França & Monserrat, 2018; Samsa & 209 210 Samsa, 2019; Sandve et al., 2013). Different and variable factors contribute for this deep limitation 211 in modern wet biology as well as bioinformatics fields: among them, low statistical power, poor 212 analytic approaches and a lack of standardization in experimental protocols are unfortunately quite 213 usual in preclinical and clinical research papers.

According to such an increasing requirement for quality assessment and robustness, 214 MORPHEUS is a promising proposal for the standardization of cell morphometric analysis. 215 Importantly, the automation descending from the adaptive nature of the algorithm eliminates the 216 217 user-bias factor and translates into a reproducibility-oriented approach to cell morphometry. This 218 is intended to avoid all those human errors typically arising from a repetitive and time-consuming 219 task, as well as the systematic bias and arbitrariness introduced by the experimenter whenever a 220 threshold needs to be chosen or several objects are to be recognized by sight. A general outcome of the algorithm presented in this paper lies in the intriguing inversion of the usual time spent by 221 222 the operator to obtain the final results. Indeed, in the canonical 'manual' approach most of the time 223 is dedicated to the morphometric analysis of any single frame, leading to a significant limitation in the number of processed images. Otherwise, since MORPHEUS dramatically speeds up the analysis 224 225 (virtually instant output), the operator will hopefully devote most of the experimental effort in image acquisition, thus increasing the quality and the statistical power of the work. 226

227

228 **REFERENCES**

- Aleksandra, T., George, L., Velocity, H. H., & Jonas, R. (2018). Statistical mistakes and how to avoid them –
 lessons learned from the reproducibility crisis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, 1–3.
- An, G. (2018). The Crisis of Reproducibility, the Denominator Problem and the Scientific Role of Multi-scale
 Modeling. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, *80*(12), 3071–3080.
- 233 Baker, M. (2016). Is there a reproducibility crisis? *Nature*, *533*(7604), 452–454.
- Begley, C. G., & Ellis, L. (2012). preclinical cancer research. *Nature*, *483*, 531–533.
- Chen,S. et al. (2012) Recent advances in morphological cell image analysis. Comput. Math. Methods. Med.,
 2012, 101536.
- Chen, C. L., Mahjoubfar, A., Tai, L. C., Blaby, I. K., Huang, A., Niazi, K. R., & Jalali, B. (2016). Deep Learning in
 Label-free Cell Classification. *Scientific Reports*, 6(March), 1–16.
- Coiera, E., Ammenwerth, E., Georgiou, A., & Magrabi, F. (2018). Does health informatics have a replication
 crisis? *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 25(April), 963–968.
- Fanelli, D. (2018). Opinion: Is science really facing a reproducibility crisis, and do we need it to? *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(11), 2628–2631.
- 243 França, T. F., & Monserrat, J. M. (2018). Reproducibility crisis in science or unrealistic expectations? EMBO

- 244 *Reports, 19*(6), e46008.
- Lepekhin, E. A., Walmod, P. S., Berezin, A., Berezin, V., & Bock, E. (2001). Evaluation of Cell Morphology. In R.
 H. Gavin (Ed.), *Cytoskeleton Methods and Protocols* (pp. 85–100). Totowa, NJ: Humana Press.
- Lobo, J., See, E. Y.-S., Biggs, M., & Pandit, A. (2016). An insight into morphometric descriptors of cell shape
 that pertain to regenerative medicine. *Journal of Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine*, *10*(7),
 539–553.
- Lyons, S. M., Alizadeh, E., Mannheimer, J., Schuamberg, K., Castle, J., Schroder, B., ... Prasad, A. (2016).
 Changes in cell shape are correlated with metastatic potential in murine and human osteosarcomas.
 Biology Open, 5(3), 289–299.
- Püspöki, Z., Storath, M., Sage, D., & Unser, M. (2016). Transforms and Operators for Directional Bioimage
 Analysis: A Survey. In Springer (Ed.), *Focus on Bio-image informatics* (pp. 69–93).
- Rezakhaniha, R., Agianniotis, A., Schrauwen, J. T. C., Griffa, A., Sage, D., Bouten, C. V. C., ... Stergiopulos, N.
 (2012). Experimental investigation of collagen waviness and orientation in the arterial adventitia using
 confocal laser scanning microscopy. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology*, *11*(3–4), 461–473.
- Ruffinatti FA, Gilardino A, Lovisolo D, Ferraro M. Spatial wavelet analysis of calcium oscillations in developing
 neurons. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):e75986.
- Samsa, G., & Samsa, L. (2019). A Guide to Reproducibility in Preclinical Research. *Academic Medicine*, 94(1),
 47–52.
- Sandve, G. K., Nekrutenko, A., Taylor, J., & Hovig, E. (2013). Ten Simple Rules for Reproducible Computational,
 9(10), 1–4.
- Van Valen, D. A., Kudo, T., Lane, K. M., Macklin, D. N., Quach, N. T., DeFelice, M. M., ... Covert, M. W. (2016).
 Deep Learning Automates the Quantitative Analysis of Individual Cells in Live-Cell Imaging Experiments.
 PLoS Computational Biology, *12*(11), 1–24.
- Ventimiglia, R., Jones, B. E., & Møller, A. (1995). A quantitative method for morphometric analysis in neuronal
 cell culture: unbiased estimation of neuron area and number of branch points. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 57(1), 63–66.
- Wu, C.-C., Reilly, J. F., Young, W. G., Morrison, J. H., & Bloom, F. E. (2004). High-throughput Morphometric
 Analysis of Individual Neurons. *Cerebral Cortex*, *14*(5), 543–554.
- Yang W, Tian R, Xue T. Nuclear shape descriptors by automated morphometry may distinguish aggressive
 variants of squamous cell carcinoma from relatively benign skin proliferative lesions: a pilot study.
 Tumor Biol. 2015;36(8):6125–31.
- 275
- 276 Funding information: The work was supported by a grant from the University of Turin
- 277 Conflict of interest: the authors declare no conflicts of interest
- 278 **Author contribution**: FAR designed Morpheus protocol and wrote the manuscript. TG performed
- the experiments. FM wrote the manuscript. LM designed the protocol and wrote the manuscript.
- 280 Data Availability Statement: MORPHEUS is freely available for download at
- 281 <u>https://sourceforge.net/projects/morpheus-for-fiji/</u>, together with the same sets of test images
- used in Supplementary Materials for validation.
- 283
- 284