



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Length of Stay in the Emergency Department and Occurrence of Delirium in Older Medical Patients

This is the author's manuscript					
Original Citation:					
Availability:					
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1592790 since 2018-10-16T09:23:03Z					
Published version:					
DOI:10.1111/jgs.14103					
Terms of use:					
Open Access					
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.					

(Article begins on next page)

1	LENGTH OF STAY IN THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT AND OCCURRENCE
2	OF DELIRIUM AMONG OLDER MEDICAL PATIENTS
3 4	Bo Mario ¹ MD, PhD; Bonetto Martina ² MD; Bottignole Giuliana ¹ MD; Porrino Paola ¹ MD;
5	Coppo Eleonora ¹ MD; Tibaldi Michela ¹ MD; Ceci Giacomo ¹ MD; Raspo Silvio ² MD; Cappa
6	Giorgetta ² MD; Bellelli Giuseppe ³ MD.
7	
8	¹ SCDU Geriatria e Malattie Metaboliche dell'Osso; Città della Salute e della Scienza-
9	Molinette. C.so Bramante 88, 10126 Torino Italia.
10	² S. C. Geriatria e Cure Intermedie - ASO S. Croce e Carle - Cuneo
11	³ Dipartimento di Scienze della Salute, Università Milano-Bicocca, Milan and S. Gerardo
12	Hospital, Acute Geriatric Unit, Monza, Italia
13	
14	Corresponding author:
15	Paola Porrino
16	¹ SCDU Geriatria e Malattie Metaboliche dell'Osso; Città della Salute e della Scienza-
17	Molinette.
18	postal address: C.so Bramante 88, 10126 Torino, Italy.
19	e-mail: paolapo1985@yahoo.it
20	Telephone number: 011-6336660
21	Fax number: 011- 6961045
22	
23	Alternate corresponding author:
24	Giuliana Bottignole
25	¹ SCDU Geriatria e Malattie Metaboliche dell'Osso; Città della Salute e della Scienza-
26	Molinette.

- 27 postal address: C.so Bramante 88, 10126 Torino, Italy.
- 28 e-mail: giulianabottignole@gmail.com
- 29 Telephone number: 011-6336660
- 30 Fax number: 011- 6961045
- 31

32 ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Intervention on modifiable risk factors for delirium is central to
 prevention and might translate into better prognosis, shorter hospital stays, higher rates of
 home discharge and reduced health costs for older inpatients.

36 **OBJECTIVES:** We aimed to evaluate whether Emergency Department (ED) length of stay

37 before ward admission is associated with incident delirium among older patients.

38 **DESIGN:** Prospective cohort study

39 SETTING: Patients were evaluated for delirium in the ED and during the first three days in
40 medical/geriatric wards.

41 PARTICIPANTS: 330 patients aged ≥75 year. Exclusion criteria: delirium at ED entry,
42 coma, aphasia, stroke, language barrier, psychiatric disorder and alcohol abuse.

43 MEASUREMENTS: On ED admission, patients underwent standardized evaluation of comorbidity (Cumulative Illness Rating Scale, CIRS), cognitive impairment (Short Portable 44 45 Mental Status Questionnaire, SPMSQ), functional independence (Activities of Daily Living, 46 ADL, Instrumental Activities of Daily Living, IADL), pain (Numeric Rating Scale, NRS), 47 and acute clinical conditions (Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II, APACHE 48 II score). During the first three days after ward admission, the presence of delirium (defined 49 as at least one delirium episode within 72 hours) was daily assessed using the 4AT scale. ED 50 length of stay was calculated as the time (hours) between ED registration and when the 51 patient left the ED.

RESULTS: ED length of stay longer than 10 hours (OR 2.23, 95% C.I. 1.13 – 4.41),
moderate-severe cognitive impairment (OR 5.47, 95% C.I. 2.76 – 10.85) and increasing age
(OR 1.07, 95% C.I 1.01 – 1.13) were associated with delirium onset.

55 CONCLUSION: ED length of stay > 10 hours was associated with greater risk of delirium
 56 in hospitalized older patients, after adjusting for age and cognitive impairment.

58 INTRODUCTION

59 Older patients are frequently ill and, due to a greater severity of their illnesses, they require 60 more exams and are hospitalized more often than the non-elderly (1). Moreover, the elderly 61 have a greater level of urgency, longer average length of stay and greater risk of adverse 62 events, including delirium, functional decline, readmission to the ED, and death (1 - 3).

According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition, (DSM-5) 63 64 (4) delirium is a serious neuropsychiatric condition, characterized by acute and fluctuating 65 disturbance in attention, orientation, and alteration in other cognitive domains and in the level 66 of consciousness. Delirium represents a common disorder among older hospitalized patients, 67 prevalence on admission ranging from 10% to 31% and incidence from 6% to 56% (5 - 8); it 68 is related to increased short- and long-term mortality, long length of hospital stay, poor functional status, need for institutional care, and great national health expenditure (7, 9-11). 69 70 Therefore, the Assessing Care of Vulnerable Elders Project has ranked delirium among the 71 top conditions for which the quality of care needs to be improved (9). The development of 72 delirium involves the complex interrelationship between a vulnerable patient and exposure to 73 precipitating factors or noxious insults (6, 12). Current evidence suggests that the most 74 successful strategy to delirium prevention includes a multicomponent approach to modifiable 75 risk factors (6, 13, 14). Since hospitals present several inherent risks for the development of 76 delirium in elderly patients (15), identification of correctable hospitalization-related 77 conditions predisposing to delirium might be extremely useful in daily clinical practice (16, 78 17). To the best of our knowledge the association between ED length of stay and delirium has 79 not been investigated in older medical patients. Therefore, we aimed to evaluate whether ED 80 length of stay is associated with incident delirium among older patients.

82 **METHODS**

This prospective cohort study was conducted within two University teaching hospitals (Città della Salute e della Scienza, Molinette, Torino and Azienda Ospedaliera S. Croce e Carle, Cuneo, Piemonte, Northern Italy), between November and December 2014. The study protocol was in accordance with the recommendations of the World Medical Association for biomedical research involving human subjects, and approved by hospital Ethic Committee. Informed consent was obtained from the patients or, for those with cognitive impairment, from a proxy (closest relative or legal tutor).

All patients aged 75 years or older consecutively admitted to the ED were eligible to the study, if they were not delirious, had not coma, aphasia, stroke, language barrier and history of primary psychiatric disorder or alcohol abuse.

93 <u>Delirium assessment</u>

94 The presence of delirium was screened using the 4AT (18), a brief and easy to use tool (its 95 administration requires no more than 2 min and does not require special training) which has 96 been recently validated in older hospitalized patients (18). This scale comprises four items 97 (18). Item 1 assesses level of alertness. The next two items are brief cognitive screening tests: 98 the Abbreviated Mental Test-4 (AMT-4) and attention testing with Months Backwards. 99 Item 4 assesses acute change or fluctuation in mental status. The 4AT is scored from 0 to 12, where 0 suggests that delirium and/or moderate to severe cognitive impairment is unlikely, 100 101 scores 1 - 3 suggest possible moderate to severe general cognitive impairment (that is, 102 corresponding to moderate to severe impairment on standalone dementia screening tools), 103 and a score of 4 or above suggests possible delirium. A score of 4 or more can be generated 104 by the positive level of alertness or change items, or un-testability on both cognitive items. 105 Combinations of positive features may generate higher scores (for example, a drowsy, 106 untestable patient who has a clear change in mental status would have a score of 12). In the

validation study, a score > 4/12 at the 4AT had a sensitivity of 89.7% and specificity 84.1%
for delirium (83.3% and 91.3% among non-demented subjects, and 94.1% and 64.9% among
demented patients, respectively). The areas under the receiver operating characteristic curves
for delirium diagnosis were 0.93 in the whole population, 0.92 in patients without dementia
and 0.89 in patients with dementia, suggesting good specificity to delirium in a dementia-free
population, and good sensitivity to delirium in a dementia population (18).

113 In this study the 4AT was carried out as soon as possible in all patients after their ED arrival 114 $(3.3\pm1.1$ hours since ED entry) by four geriatric postgraduate students, two for each hospital, 115 trained over a 1-month period in using the 4AT scale to detect delirium within an acute 116 geriatric ward. At the same time, two senior geriatricians, one at each hospital, supervised 117 the administration of 4AT at ED arrival and diagnosed delirium, according to DSM-5 (4). 118 This approach was undertaken because DSM-5 criteria represent the gold standard method 119 for the diagnosis of delirium. The post-graduate students were not allowed to know the 120 diagnosis made by the senior geriatricians and the senior geriatricians were not involved in 121 the care of patients during their stay in ED. For those patients who were critically ill, proxy 122 respondents were used as the primary source of information, using a hierarchy of proxies (8). 123 Each proxy was specifically asked whether he or she could report on the patient's functional 124 and mental abilities as evident before the patient's hospital admission. Patients who had not 125 exclusion criteria, provided informed consent and were admitted to an acute medical or 126 geriatric ward of the two hospitals, constituted the study sample.

127 <u>Multidimensional geriatric assessment</u>

On ED admission, demographic and relevant clinical data were collected. Standardized scales were used to evaluate comorbidity (Cumulative Illness Rating Scale, CIRS, the higher the score, the greater the number and severity of diseases) (19), cognitive impairment (Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire, SPMSQ, the higher the score, the greater the severity of cognitive impairment) (20), functional status (Activities of Daily Living, ADL,
range: 0 – 6, higher scores indicate lower performance; Instrumental Activities of Daily
Living, IADL, range: 0 –14, lower scores identify dependent subjects) (21, 22), pain
(Numeric Rating Scale, NRS) (23) and illness severity (Acute Physiology and Chronic Health
Evaluation II, APACHE II score) (24). ED length of stay was calculated as the time (hours)
between ED registration and the time when the patient left the ED.

138 *Outcome measures*

The main outcome measure was the ascertainment of at least one delirium episode within 72 hours while the patient was admitted to the acute geriatric and medical wards. During this period patients were daily assessed for the presence of delirium using the same procedures described above for delirium assessment during ED stay.

143 *Data analysis*

144 Sample size for the variable time of stay in ED was calculated considering β =0.9 and α =0.05,

145 yielding a minimum sample size of 215 patients.

146 Continuous variables are presented as median (interquartile), while categorical data are 147 presented as number and proportions. The normal distribution of the quantitative variables, 148 after a pre-test for homogeneity of variances, was evaluated using a graphical method and the 149 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If abnormal distribution was present, a nonparametric test was 150 used (Mann-Whitney U test). Categorical variables were analyzed by the chi-square test.

151 Covariates were selected as categorical variables according to a recent review by Ahmed et al 152 (25) on delirium among older hospitalized patients, and included severe cognitive 153 impairment (SPMSQ \geq 6), severe comorbidity (CIRS \geq 5), severe acute clinical conditions 154 (APACHE > 15), functional dependence (ADL \geq 3), polypharmacy (daily drugs taken \geq 11), 155 one or more hospital admissions during last year, presence of urinary catheter, low albumin 156 levels (< 3.4 g/dl), dehydration/renal failure (blood urea/creatinine ratio >18), low sodium 157 levels (< 133 mEq/l), anemia (hematocrit < 30% and/or hemoglobin levels < 10 g/dl), high 158 glucose levels (> 140 mg/dl), and severe pain (NRS \ge 2).

Univariate analysis was performed using Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and χ^2 test for categorical variables. Variables associated with delirium occurring during admission to medical and geriatric wards were then introduced in a logistic regression for multivariate modeling (forward stepwise method) to identify variables independently associated with delirium incidence in the whole sample of patients. The variable ED length of stay was measured in hours and divided into quartiles; the 75° percentile identified those who had a ED length of stay greater than 10 hours.

167 **RESULTS**

168 During the study period 1112 patients aged 75 years or older were admitted to the ED of the 169 two hospitals, and 691 patients were discharged directly from the ED or admitted to surgical 170 or specialty units. Among the 421 eligible patients, 54 patients or proxies did not give consent to participation and exclusion criteria were identified in 37 patients (13 patients had 171 172 delirium at entry, 15 patients had stroke, 5 were in coma, and 4 had psychiatric disorders), leaving an overall sample of 330 patients (mean age 83.2 ± 5.4 years, 51.8% males) for 173 174 analysis. Main demographic and clinical variables are reported in Table 1. Most of patients 175 were community-dwellers living alone or with relatives, and had not hospital admissions 176 during last years; functional dependence and at least moderate cognitive impairment were 177 observed in roughly half and one-third of them, respectively. Median length of ED stay was 5 178 hours (interquartile range 3.0-10.0 hours), and median length of stay in hospital was 10 days, 179 with more than 90% of patients discharged at home.

During the first three days from ward admission, delirium was diagnosed in 52 patients (15.8%): 16 (4.8%) cases occurred in the first day, 20 (6.2%) during the second day and 16 (4.8%) during the third day. Increasing age, moderate-severe cognitive impairment, urinary catheter placement and ED length of stay longer than 10 hours were associated with delirium occurrence at univariate analysis (Table 2). All of these variables, with the exception of urinary catheter placement in ED, were found to be independently associated with delirium occurrence (Table 3).

In the overall sample, several variables (increasing age, functional dependence, comorbidity, severity of pain and illness severity at entry, dehydration/renal failure and high glucose levels at entry) were found to be associated with ED length of stay >10 hours, but only greater severity of acute pathophysiological state (APACHE score >15) and greater burden of comorbidity (CIRS score \geq 5) were independently associated with longer ED permanence. Among patients who had a ED length of stay greater than 10 hours, increasing age, moderatesevere cognitive impairment and placement of urinary catheter were independently associated with higher incidence of delirium, the latter two being associated with a fivefold and fourfold increased risk of delirium occurrence, respectively.

196 **DISCUSSION**

197 In a cohort of older in-patients we observed that ED stay longer than 10 hours was associated 198 with a more than twofold increased risk of developing delirium in the following 72 hours. 199 Increasing age and moderate-severe cognitive impairment were also associated with higher 200 risk of incident delirium. Illness severity and burden of comorbidity were associated with 201 longer ED permanence. Among patients who remained in ED more than 10 hours, in addition 202 to age, moderate-severe cognitive impairment and urinary catheter placement were predictors 203 of developing delirium in acute wards, with a risk that increased by more than fivefold and 204 fourfold, respectively.

Dementia and cognitive impairment (7, 8), as well as urinary catheter placement (26), are 205 206 well-recognized risk factors for in-hospital delirium occurrence. In keeping with the 207 vulnerability model postulated by Inouye and Charpentier (27), our findings suggest that 208 prolonged ED length of stay might be a crucial environmental variable contributing to the 209 risk of developing delirium, particularly among the most prone patients, that is those at older 210 age and cognitively impaired. Several factors associated with longer ED permanence – such 211 as the stress of being in an unfamiliar overcrowded and noisy environment, delayed boarding 212 of admitted patients, bed rest, iatrogenic harm from procedures or medication administration -213 might predispose to delirium onset (2, 3, 7, 15 - 17, 28).

Another plausible although unlikely explanation is that delirium occurred in those patients with more severe predisposing and precipitating factors, as indirectly supported by the finding that APACHE II score and comorbidity were predictors of longer stay at ED in the

overall sample of patients. Therefore, development of delirium may reflect both an exposure
to more serious environmental and biological stressors and/or an increased vulnerability of
elderly patients.

220 Because incident delirium during hospital stay is associated with short- and long-term 221 adverse outcomes (7, 9-11), there seems to be a rationale to evaluate whether interventions 222 addressed to shorten the ED length of stay (or to make it more comfortable) may reduce the 223 incidence of delirium in older vulnerable patients. Finally, present findings reinforce the 224 clinical and educational potential for implementing geriatric evaluation, including the 225 systematic use of tools such as the 4AT, the CAM and bCAM (29, 30) at ED admission to 226 accurately address the complexity of older patients within this clinical setting. Furthermore, 227 since the relevant proportion of patients developing incident delirium post-ED discharge, the 228 study suggests that training and educational approaches are needed for healthcare workers to 229 identify patients at risk and rapidly start initiatives for prevention (9, 16, 17).

230 A strength of the study is that, according to a previous experience (8), the diagnosis of 231 delirium was performed by senior geriatricians who did not participate in the clinical care of enrolled patients, thus reducing the risk of influencing the outcomes of the study. Another 232 233 strength is that delirium was diagnosed using both a validated tool (i.e., the 4-AT) and current 234 gold standard (i.e., DSM-5) criteria which, however, are time consuming and require specific 235 knowledge. We do believe that in such a way we have increased both our sensitivity in 236 delirium detection and our accuracy in diagnosing this condition. Some limitations should 237 also be addressed. Firstly, the sample studied was enrolled in two tertiary hospitals in 238 Piemonte, northern Italy; therefore, these findings should be wisely generalized to different 239 clinical settings. A second limitation is the relatively small sample size which, however, is 240 not different from previous studies on delirium incidence in medical settings (25). Thirdly, 241 we cannot exclude that, due to the fluctuating nature of this syndrome, we may have

misclassified some patients who were diagnosed as not having delirium at ED arrival; however, it is unlikely that a misclassification may have occurred only in patients without delirium at ED. Fourth, it could be argued that a period of observation longer than 72 hours after admission to geriatric/medical wards might have increased the number of cases of incident delirium, but would have also diluted the contributing role of ED length of stay to delirium occurrence.

In conclusion, in this observational cohort study we observed that ED length of stay greater than 10 hours is associated with increased risk of delirium onset in hospitalized older patients after adjusting for age and cognitive impairment. Although ED length of stay was mainly determined by greater comorbidity burden and severity of clinical conditions, these findings suggest that efforts should be made in order to reduce undue permanence of frail older patients in this unfriendly clinical setting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Conflict of Interest Disclosures:

Elements of Financial/Personal Conflicts	All authors		Author 2		Author 3		Author 4	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Employment or Affiliation		Х						
Grants/Funds		Х						
Honoraria		Х						
Speaker Forum		Х						
Consultant		Х						
Stocks		Х						
Royalties		Х						
Expert Testimony		Х						
Board Member		Х						
Patents		Х						
Personal Relationship		Х						

258 259 Author Contributions: All authors contributed to this paper.

Sponsor's Role: None.

263 **REFERENCES**

- 264 1. Aminzadeh F, Dalziel WB. Older adults in the emergency department: a systematic review
- of patterns of use, adverse outcomes and effectiveness of interventions. Ann. Emerg. Med.
 2002;39:238–247.
- 267 2. Salvi F, Morichi V, Grilli A et al. The elderly in the emergency department: a critical
 268 review of problems and solutions. Intern. Emerg. Med. 2007; 2: 292–301.
- 269 3. Hastings SN, Schmader KE, Sloane RJ et al. Adverse health outcomes after discharge from
- 270 the emergency department-incidence and risk factor in a veteran population. J. Gen. Intern.
- 271 Med. 2007; 22: 1527–1533.
- 4. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders,
- 273 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- 5. Inouye SK. Delirium in hospitalized older patients. Clin. Geriatr. Med. 1998; 14:745–764.
- 6. Inouye SK. Delirium in older persons. N. Engl. J. Med. 2006; 354: 1157–1165.
- 276 7. Siddiqi N, House A, Holmes J. Occurrence and outcome of delirium in medical in-patients:
- a systematic literature review. Age Ageing. 2006; 35:350–364.
- 278 8. Bo M, Astengo M, Torta R et al. Geriatric ward hospitalization reduced incidence of
- delirium among older medical inpatients. Am. J. Ger. Psych. 2009; 17: 760-768.
- 280 9. Sloss EM, Kamberg CJ, Wenger NS et al. Selecting target conditions for quality of care
- improvement in vulnerable older patients. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2000; 48:363–369.
- 282 10. McCusker J, Cole M, Abrahamovicz M et al. Delirium predicts 12 month mortality. Arch.
- 283 Intern. Med. 2002; 162:457–463.
- 284 11. Isaia G, Bo M, Moiraghi C et al. Delirium in elderly home-treated patients: a prospective
- study with 6-month follow-up. Age.2009; 31: 109-117.

- 12. Brown TM, Boyle MF. ABC of psychological medicine: delirium. BMJ.2002; 325:644–
 647.
- 13. Young J, Inouye SK. Delirium in older people. BMJ. 2007; 334:842–846.
- 289 14. Inouye SK, Holford TR, Cooney LM Jr et al. A multicomponent intervention to prevent
- delirium in hospitalized older patients. N. Engl. J. Med. 1999; 340:669–676.
- 291 15. Mc Cusker J, Cole M, Abrahamovicz M et al. Environmental risk factors for delirium in
- hospitalized older people. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2001; 49:1327–1334.
- 293 16. Carpenter CR, Platts-Mills TF. Evolving prehospital, emergency department, and
- ²⁹⁴ "inpatient" management models for geriatric emergencies. Clin Geriatr Med 2013;29:31-47
- 295 17. Carpenter CR, Bromley M, Caterino JM, et al. Optimal older adult emergency care:
- 296 introducing multidisciplinary geriatric emergency department guidelines from the American
- 297 College of Emergency Physicians, American Geriatrics Society, Emergency Nurses
- 298 Association, and Society for Academic Emergency Medicine. J Am Geriatr Soc
- 299 2014;62:1360-1363
- 300 18. Bellelli G, Annoni G, MacLullich AMJ et al. Validation of the 4AT, a new instrument for
- rapid delirium screening: a study in 234 hospitalised older people. Age and Ageing. 2014; 43:
 496–502.
- 303 19. Linn BS, Linn MW, Gurel L. Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 1968;
 304 16:622–626.
- 20. Pfeiffer E. A short portable mental status questionnaire for assessment of organic brain
 deficit in elderly patients. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1975; 23:433–441.
- 307 21. Katz S, Downs TD, Cash HR. Progress in development of the index of ADL.
 308 Gerontologist. 1970;10:20-30.
- 309 22. Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental
- 310 activities of daily living. Gerontologist. 1969; 9:179-186.

- 311 23. Gagliese L, Weizblit N, Ellis W et al. The measurement of postoperative pain: A
 312 comparison of intensity scales in younger and older surgical patients. Pain. 2005; 117: 412–
 313 420.
- 314 24. Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP et al. Apache II: a severity of disease classification
 315 system. Crit. Care Med. 1985; 13:818–829.
- 25. Ahmed S, Leurent B, Sampson EL. Risk factors for incident delirium among older people
 in acute hospital medical units: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age and Aging. 2014;
 43: 326-333.
- 319 26. Bellelli G, Bernardini B, Pievani M et al. A score to predict the development of adverse
 320 clinical events after transition from acute hospital wards to post-acute care settings.
 321 Rejuvenation Res. 2012;15:553-563.
- 322 27. Inouye SK, Charpentier PA. Precipitating factors for delirium in hospitalized elderly
 323 patients: predictive model and interrelationship with baseline vulnerability. JAMA. 1996;
 324 275: 852-857.
- 325 28. Asplin BR, Magid DJ, Rhodes KV, Solberg LI, Lurie N, Camargo CA, Jr. A conceptual
- 326 model of emergency department crowding. Ann Emerg Med 2003; 42: 173-180.
- 327 29. Fabbri RM, Moreira MA, Garrido R, Almeida OP. Validity and reliability of the
- 328 Portuguese version of the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) for the detection of
- delirium in the elderly. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2001; 59: 175-179.
- 30. Han JH, Wilson A, Vasilevskis EE, Shintani A, Schnelle JF, Dittus RS, Graves AJ,
 Storrow. AB, Shuster J, Ely EW. Diagnosing delirium in older emergency department
 patients: validity and reliability of the delirium triage screen and the brief confusion
 assessment method. Annals of Emergency Medicine 2013; 62: 457-465.

Table 1. Main demographic and clinical variables in the overall sample of patients(330 patients).#: median (interquartile range); *: Number (%)

Age (years) #	82.8 (79.2 - 87.0)	
Males*	171 (51.8%)	
Home dwelling *	290 (87.9%)	
nursing home residents *	36 (10.9%)	
Living *		
alone	95 (31.5%)	
with relatives	184 (60.9%)	
with carer	23 (7.6%)	
Hospital admissions during last year *		
0	213 (64.9%)	
1-2	102 (31.1%)	
<u>≥3</u>	13 (4.0%)	
Daily number of drugs *		
< 5	106 (32.2%)	
5-10	190 (57.8%)	
\geq 11	33 (10%)	
ADL (score) #	2.0 (0.0 - 5.0)	
Functional Dependence $(ADL \ge 3)^*$	158 (49.1%)	
Bedridden *	17 (5.2%)	
IADL (score) #	7.0 (4.0 - 10.0)	
SPMSQ (score) #	4.0 (1.0 – 7.0)	
Moderate-severe cognitive impairment (SPMSQ \geq 6)*	110 (35.6%)	
CIRS 2 (score) #	3.0 (2.0 – 5.0)	
Severe comorbidity (CIRS \geq 5)*	84 (26.0%)	
APACHE (score) #	11.0 (5.2 – 15.4)	
Severe APACHE score (APACHE>15)*	34 (10.5%)	
Pain (score) #	0.0(0.0-1.0)	
Severe pain (NRS > 2)*	103 (33.3%)	
Hematocrit < 30%*	42 (12.9%)	
Blood Urea/Creatinine ratio > 18*	122 (39.9%)	
Sodium (Na) serum levels< 133 mEq/l*	39 (11.8%)	
Blood glucose (HGT) serum levels > 140 mg/dl*	144 (43.9%)	
Albumin serum levels < 3.4 g/dl*	49 (44.5%)	
Length of stay in ED (hours) #	5.0 (3.0 - 10.0)	
Urinary catheter placement in ED *	40 (12.1%)	
Length of stay in ward (days) #	10.0 (5.6 - 15.1)	

	Overall sample (330 patients)	WITH Delirium 52 patients (15.8%)	WITHOUT Delirium 278 patients (84.2%)	p value
Age (years) #	82.5 (79.2 - 87.0)	85.3 (80.3 - 90.0)	82,3 (78,8-86.4)	0.009
Men* Women *	171 (51.8%) 159 (48.2%)	31 (18.1%) 21 (13.2%)	140 (81.9%) 138 (86.8%)	0.282
Functional dependence ADL \geq 3 * ADL <3 *	158 (49.1%) 164 (50.9%)	24 (15.2%) 26 (15.9%)	134 (84.8%) 138 (84.1%)	0.992
Moderate-severe cognitive impairment SPMSQ \geq 6 * SPMSQ < 6 *	119 (36.3%) 209 (63.7%)	37 (31.1%) 14 (6.7%)	82 (68.9%) 195 (93.3%)	0.000
Severe Comorbidity CIRS ≥ 5 * CIRS < 5 *	91 (27.6%) 239 (72.4%)	21 (23.1%) 31 (13%)	70 (76.9%) 208 (87%)	0.667
Severe APACHE score APACHE >15 * APACHE <=15 *	34 (10.5%) 291 (89.5%)	5 (14.7%) 47 (16.2%)	29 (85.3%) 244 (83.8%)	1.000
Severe Pain* NRS > 2 * NRS <=2 *	103 (33.3%) 206 (66.7%)	21 (20.4%) 27 (13.1%)	82 (79.6%) 179 (86.9%)	0.134
Bedridden Yes * Not *	17 (5.2%) 312 (94.8%)	4 (23.5%) 48 (15.4%)	13 (76.5%) 264 (84.6%)	0.579
Urinary catheter in ED Yes * Not *	40 (12.1%) 290 (87.9%)	12 (30%) 40 (13.8%)	28 (70%) 250 (86.2%)	0.016
Anemia Htc < 30% * Htc ≥ 30% *	42 (12.9%) 283 (87.1%)	8 (19%) 44 (15.5%)	34 (81%) 239 (84.5%)	0.725
Dehydration/Renal failure Blood Urea/Creatinine ratio > 18 * Blood Urea/Creatinine ratio <=18 *	122 (39.9%) 184 (60.1%)	21 (17.2%) 28 (15.2%)	101 (82.8%) 156 (84.8%)	0.759
Low sodium levels Na < 133 * Na \ge 133 *	39 (11.8%) 291 (88.2%)	4 (10.3%) 48 (16.5%)	35 (89.7%) 243 (83.5%)	0.441
High glucose levels HGT > 140 * HGT <=140 *	144 (43.9%) 184 (56.1%)	23 (16%) 29 (15.8%)	121 (84%) 155 (84.2%)	1.000
Low albumin levels Albumin $< 3.4 *$ Albumin $\ge 3.4 *$	49 (44.5%) 61 (55.5%)	7 (14.3%) 9 (14.8%)	42 (85.7%) 52 (85.2%)	1.000
Length of stay in ED (hours) 50° > 5 * <= 5 *	188 (57%) 142 (43%)	29 (15.4%) 23 (16.2%)	159 (84.6%) 119 (83.8%)	0.970
Length of stay in ED (hours) 75° > 10 * <= 10 *	91 (27.6%) 239 (72.4%)	21 (23.1%) 31 (13%)	70 (76.9%) 208 (87%)	0.037

Table 2. Main demographic and clinical variables according to incidence of delirium within 72 hours since ward admission: univariate analysis. #: median (interquartile range); *: Number (%)

	В	Standard error	Exp (B)	95% C.I.
Length of stay in ED > 10 hours 75°	0.80	0.35	2.23	1.13 – 4.41
Moderate-severe cognitive impairment	1.70	0.35	5.47	2.76 - 10.85
Age (years)	0.65	0.03	1.07	1.01 – 1.13

Table 3. Variables independently associated with incident delirium in the overall sample of patients