# Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif

e-ISSN: 2550-1313 | p-ISSN: 2087-9849 http://jurnal.fkip.unila.ac.id/index.php/jpp/

# Tourism Geography Learning Process in 21<sup>st</sup> Century Competencies Efforts for Geography Education Students

Zulkarnain, Pargito, Annisa Salsabilla\*

Department of Geography Education, Universitas Lampung, Indonesia.

\*Corresponding email: zulkarnain.1960@fkip.unila.ac.id

*Received: 19 April 2021* Accepted: 20 November 2021 Published: 29 November 2021 Abstract: Tourism Geography Learning Process in 21<sup>st</sup> Century Competencies Effort for Geography Education Students. Objectives: This research aims to find the content of 21<sup>st</sup> century competencies in the learning process of tourism geography subject. Methods: It is a qualitative research and data collection techniques are observation, interview, and documentation. The subjects are 14 students who were divided into students from villages and cities.. Findings: The results showed that the learning process from the curriculum aspect and the tourism geography learning process has formed the character of collaboration, creativity, and critical thinking, but the content of communication competence has not been maximally formed. In addition, students from rural areas tend to have better cooperative and social care characteristics than urban students. Conclusion: The tourism geography learning process has formed the character of students in accordance with 21<sup>st</sup> century competencies, namely collaboration, creativity, and critical thinking, but personality factors and habits from the area of origin also influence the development of student character during college

**Keywords:** 21<sup>st</sup> century education, student character, character education.

Abstrak: Proses Pembelajaran Geografi Pariwisata dalam Upaya Mengembangkan Kompetensi Abad 21 pada Mahasiswa Pendidikan. Tujuan: Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menemukan muatan kompetensi abad 21 pada proses pembelajaran mata kuliah geografi pariwisata. Metode: Kualitatif dengan teknik pengambilan data observasi, wawancara, dan dokumentasi. Subjek dalam penelitian ini adalah 14 mahasiswa yang dibagi atas mahasiswa berasal dari desa dan berasal dari kota. Temuan: Hasil penelitian menunjukan proses pembelajaran dari aspek kurikulum dan proses pembelajaran geografi pariwisata telah membentuk karakter kerjasama, berpikir kritis, dan kreatifitas namun muatan kompetensi komunikasi belum maksimal. Selain itu, mahasiswa yang berasal dari pedesaan cenderung memiliki karakter kerja sama dan peduli sosial yang lebih baik dari pada mahasiswa perkotaan. Kesimpulan: Proses pembelajaran geografi pariwisata telah membentuk karakter mahasiswa yang sesuai dengan kompetensi abad 21 yaitu collaboration, creativity dan critical thingking, namun faktor kepribadian dan kebiasaan dari daerah asal juga ikut mempengaruhi pengembangan karakter mahasiswa pada saat kuliah

Kata kunci: pendidikan abad 21, karakter siswa, pendidikan karakter.

## To cite this article:

Zulkarnain, Pargito, & Salsabilla, N. (2021). Tourism Geography Learning Process in 21<sup>st</sup> Century Competencies Efforts for Geography Education Students. *Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif*, *11*(3), 563-572. doi: 10.23960/jpp.v11.i3.202107.

#### INTRODUCTION

Education according to the OECD 2030 learning framework, plays an important role in developing knowledge, skills, attitudes and values that are able to make people contribute to and benefit from sustainable education. Character building is needed at every level of education including universities (Davidson, Khmelkov, & Lickona, 2010). In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, educational institutions are required to have the skills to think creatively, critically, communicate, and collaborate (4C) (Bedir, 2019).

In line with this, the geography education study program instills character education in students. However, character education is considered to be less studied by students. It can be seen from the moral degradation of students during higher education. The comparison between the character of 21<sup>st</sup> century students and the previous century is different and shows a decline (Jahroh & Sutarna, 2016). Implementing character education in students has a special strategy (Dharmawan, 2014) because students are critical individuals. Furthermore, each human being is unique and has different characters.

The 21<sup>st</sup> century learning is learning that integrated with literacy skills, knowledge skills, skills and attitudes, and mastery of technology (Kivunja, 2014). These skills are known as The 21<sup>st</sup> century competencies, including collaboration (Echao & Romero, 2017), communication (Silber Varod, Eshet Alkalai, & Geri, 2019), creativity (Egan, Maguire, Christophers, & Rooney, 2017), and critical thinking (Foo & Quek, 2019). To be able to carry the 21<sup>st</sup> century learning, educational institutions must be able to instill student character in accordance with the competencies needed in 21<sup>st</sup> century learning.

One of the strategies that are expected to be able to improve student character is to apply 4C abilities in the educational curriculum so that it can bring changes to the character of students. The curriculum, learning process, and learning evaluation are part of 4C which are expected to be able to shape student character. The distribution of the curriculum is in the form of learning skills, literacy skills, and life skills. The learning process takes the form of the role of the lecturer, the depth of the material, the use of learning media and learning methods, and evaluation in the form of assessing student understanding in the application of character values. Assessment is conducted both in class and outside of class when lecture activities take place.

#### METHODS

The research location is Geography Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Lampung (UNILA). The study lasted 6 months. The research subjects were geography education students of batch 2016 specifically in the tourism geography course. The choice of tourism geography class is because it is in accordance with the objectives of learning tourism geography with the formation of student character, consisting of having insight into knowledge, developing reasoning power, and student creativity in analyzing and applying approaches, theories and concepts of tourism geography and being able to organize and develop space more effectively by looking at optimal community participation.

#### **Research approaches and methods**

This research is a qualitative research (Harper, 2011 & Campbell, 2014). The selection of a qualitative approach is based on the problems studied regarding the character building of geography education students from the implementation of 4C which requires a number of field data that are actual and contextual in nature, so that an overview of the problems which occur in depth (in the form of words, images, behavior) is obtained and is not administered in the form of numbers or statistical figures, but still in qualitative form. The method used was descriptive analytical. Analytical descriptive method tries to describe and interpret objects according to conditions in the field (Sukardi, 2003).

#### Data collection technique

Observations were conducted as the first step to observe students' activities whether they have shown the character and educational patterns applied by tourism geography lecturers. The second stage is interviews to obtain factual information and data regarding the formation of student character. Interviews were conducted through an oral question and answer process directly to students of tourism geography totaling 14 student respondents who were divided into two groups of areas of students' origin and were specially selected for research. The first group were students from rural areas and the second group were students from cities. It is because there are culturally differences between rural students (Gemeinschaft ) and urban students (Gesselschaft).

The third step is a documentation study, conducted by collecting documents and important notes and analyzing documents. Primary data is

in the form of words or actions which can be obtained from natural situations that occur in the campus environment. Secondary data are photographs. The final step is literature study to obtain additional information to support insights related to character building of students.

#### **Data Processing and Analysis Techniques**

The results of interviews, observations, documentation studies, and literature studies were obtained by examining all available data from various sources. If the answer to the interviewee after the analysis is not satisfactory, the researcher will continue the question again until a certain stage of obtaining data that is considered credible, Miles and Huberman in (Sugiyono, 2010). Data analysis activities include data reduction, data display, and verification.

### RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

The research was conducted employing an open questionnaire by grouping the resource persons into two groups of students, which are a group of students from rural areas and a group of students from urban areas. The division of the two groups is to see how the character of students is formed from two different origin groups. The results of the questionnaire can be seen in the data exposure and data analysis as follows:

|    |              |                            | Village                    |                        |                            | City                       |                        |
|----|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
|    |              |                            |                            | Curi                   | riculum                    |                            |                        |
| No | Respondent   | Learning<br>Skills<br>(K1) | Literacy<br>Skills<br>(K2) | Life<br>Skills<br>(K3) | Learning<br>Skills<br>(K1) | Literacy<br>Skills<br>(K2) | Life<br>Skills<br>(K3) |
| 1  | Respondent 1 | Yes                        | Yes                        | Yes                    | Yes                        | Yes                        | Yes                    |
| 2  | Respondent 2 | Not all                    | Yes                        | Yes                    | Yes                        | Yes                        | Yes                    |
| 3  | Respondent 3 | Done                       | Done                       | Done                   | Yes                        | Yes                        | Yes                    |
| 4  | Respondent 4 | Done                       | Done                       | Done                   | Yes                        | Done                       | Definitely             |
| 5  | Respondent 5 | Done                       | Done                       | Done                   | Done                       | Done                       | Done                   |
| 6  | Respondent 6 | Done                       | Done                       | Done                   | Yes                        | Yes                        | Yes                    |
| 7  | Respondent 7 | Done                       | Done                       | Done                   | Yes                        | Yes                        | Yes                    |

Table 1. Implementation of Curriculum in Tourism Geography Learning

According to all respondents, the geography learning curriculum implemented in the tourism geography course includes three basic abilities, which are learning skills, literacy skills and life skills. Only one student from the village said that the lecturer had not fully implemented the learning skills. When learning takes place, students are required to have the ability to think critically and creatively, collaborate and innovate, and be able to communicate as part of their ability to learn (C4) (Bedir, 2019 & Astuti, Aziz, Sumarti, & Anggani, 2019). Students are expected to be able to take advantage of information technology as a learning resource and be able to apply the principles of flexibility, initiative, social skills, productivity and leadership when learning takes place both inside and outside the classroom (Putra, 2017).

| Code - Interview<br>questions   | Respondent's<br>Origin | Answer   | Respondent's<br>Code |
|---|------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Code K1 - Has the   | Village                | Not all  | RD2                  |
| applied learning<br>process stimulated<br>students or students to<br>collaborate and<br>innovate? | City                   | Already, in the learning process of tourism<br>geography, students get many group<br>assignments to be able to collaborate well.<br>Because tourism geography is a course about<br>tourist places seen from a geographic point of<br>view, so it is not only from the location but<br>seen from the economic, social and cultural<br>environment. To be able to solve this, of course<br>every student is required to collaborate and<br>innovate well | RK5                  |

Table 2. The results of the study skills interview

| Code - Interview                                  | Respondent's    | Answer  | Respondent's |
|---|-----------------|---|--------------|
| questions   | Origin          |   | Code         |
| Code K2 - Is the<br>learning process IT<br>based? | Village<br>City | Already, that is the use of the internet to<br>find information and analyze the potential<br>of tourism areas or for making maps<br>Already based on IT, which uses a laptop or<br>LCD to convey material and an internet<br>connection | RD4<br>RK4   |

| <b>T</b> 1 1 4 | 701 | 1.      | C1'C    | 1 .11 | • , •     |
|----------------|-----|---------|---------|-------|-----------|
| Table 4.       | The | esult o | of life | SK111 | interview |
|                |     |         |         |       |           |

| Code - Interview<br>questions  | Respondent's<br>Origin | Answer  | Respondent's<br>Code |
|--|------------------------|---|----------------------|
| K3 Code - Does the<br>learning process that  | Village                | Already. Students are given the authority and freedom to create a product or idea.  | RD5                  |
| has been implemented<br>refer to the principles of<br>flexibility, initiative,<br>skills, social,<br>productivity and<br>leadership? | City                   | Already. These principles are a complete<br>package in the learning process of tourism<br>geography where to see the object and<br>subject, it is necessary to go directly to the<br>field (environment). | RK5                  |

According to most respondents, the ability of lecturers to master the material and media used is very good and the learning media used supports the learning process. Two students from the city argued that the use of media as a means of supporting learning was not very good. Learning sources in the form of reference books / monographs are not yet available, but 1 resource person can well mention that there is actually a reference book.

Question: "Are there any reference books/monographs used to support the learning process?"

Answer: "Yes, that is the book entitled Geografi Pariwisata dan Ekonomi Kreatif by Prof. Dr. I. Gusti Bagus Arjuna, M.S. " (Respondent code: RK1)

Learning resources do not only come from books. There are many sources that can be used as learning resources. Learning sources include messages, people, materials, tools, techniques, and backgrounds (Abdullah, 2012). The method used in learning tourism geography is dominated in the form of lectures, discussions, exploration of potential and problem solving. Lecturers also build a comfortable classroom atmosphere. Lecturers are considered to have mastered the material presented in learning activities. It can be seen from the insights possessed by the lecturers regarding tourism, both tourism in Lampung Province and outside Lampung Province.

"Yes, very master. The teaching lecturers have a good insight into tourism, both tourism in Lampung Province and outside Lampung Province." (Respondent code: RD1)

The formation of character values occurred in two groups of respondents. Character according to Suyanto (2009) is a way of thinking and behaving that characterizes each individual to live and work together, both within the sphere of family, society, nation, and state. Meanwhile, according to Davidson et al., (2010) character is something that encourages someone to act, behave, say, and respond to something. Based on the results of the interview, it was seen that students understood the existing character values. Students have a religious attitude, are honest, tolerant, disciplined, responsible, hard work,

|    | Desnandant's         |                  | Learning Process                                       |               |  |  |  |
|----|----------------------|------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| No | Respondent's<br>Code | Lecturer<br>(P1) | Material<br>(P2)                                       | Media<br>(P3) | Method<br>(P4)   |  |  |
| 1  | RD1                  | Yes              | Yes  | Yes           | Good enough and appropriate                                  |  |  |
| 2  | RD2                  | Yes              | Yes  | Yes           | Conventional   |  |  |
| 3  | RD3                  | Yes              | Yes  | Yes           | Discussion of<br>exploring potential<br>and solving problems |  |  |
| 4  | RD4                  | Yes              | Yes, but there is<br>no journal only<br>reference book | Yes           | Lectures and discussions                                     |  |  |
| 5  | RD5                  | Yes              | Yes  | Yes           | Varies   |  |  |
| 6  | RD6                  | Yes              | Yes, but there is<br>no journal only<br>reference book | Yes           | Discovery and<br>Problem Based<br>Learning                   |  |  |
| 7  | RD7                  | Yes              | Yes, but there is<br>no journal only<br>reference book | Yes           | Varies   |  |  |

Table 5. The learning process according to village students

|    | Dognondont?g         |                     | L                | earning Process |                             |
|----|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| No | Respondent's<br>Code | Lecturer<br>(P1)    | Material<br>(P2) | Media<br>(P3)   | Method<br>(P4)              |
| 1  | RK1                  | Yes                 | There is         | Yes             | Presentation and discussion |
| 2  | RK2                  | Yes                 | Yes              | Yes             | Good enough                 |
| 3  | RK3                  | Yes                 | Not yet          | Not yet         | Lecture                     |
| 4  | RK4                  | Mastering<br>enough | Not yet          | Yes             | Lecture and discussion      |
| 5  | RK5                  | Yes                 | Yes              | Yes             | Varies                      |
| 6  | RK6                  | Yes                 | Yes              | Yes             | Lecture and discussion      |
| 7  | RK7                  | Yes                 | Yes              | Yes             | Varies                      |

| <b>Table 6.</b> The learning process according to city students |  |
|---|--|
| Table 0. The learning process according to enty students        |  |

creative, independent, curious, democratic, love the country, care for the environment, and care about socially (Dharmawan, 2014). The honest attitude that students have can be seen from their courage to convey the fact that sometimes they still plagiarize in learning activities.

 Table 7. Existing character values according to village students

|    |                           | Charact   | er values ( <i>Inde</i>                                      | oor Study dan Outde  | oor Study)  |  |
|----|---------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| No | Responden<br>t's Identity | Religious,<br>Honest,<br>Tolerance<br>(NK1)   | Discipline,<br>Responsibi<br>lity (NK2)                      | Hard Work,<br>Creative,<br>Independent,<br>Curiosity<br>(NK3)  | Democratic<br>(NK4)   | Love the<br>Motherland,<br>Care for the<br>Environment,<br>Care for<br>Social (NK5)  |
| 1  | RD1                       | Praying, being<br>honest,<br>respecting<br>diversity, and<br>respect each<br>other  | Arriving on<br>time<br>Attendance<br><80%<br>Task on<br>time | Doing the job<br>earnestly and<br>trying on your<br>own<br>A little annoyed<br>when tasks are<br>many<br>Looking for<br>information<br>from various<br>sources | Do not like to<br>work in groups<br>because some<br>groups are<br>unfair in the<br>distribution of<br>tasks | Keeping the<br>environment<br>clean,<br>sustainable<br>and conducive<br>in the<br>classroom<br>Helping a<br>friend who is<br>having a hard<br>time |
| 2  | RD2                       | Praying, be<br>honest,<br><b>speaking up</b><br>when given<br>the<br>opportunity,<br>respecting<br>diversity, and<br>respecting<br>each other | Same as<br>above   | Doing the task in<br>earnest and<br>structured<br>Digging<br>information<br>from various<br>sources (ask<br>friends)   | Reprimanding<br>friends who do<br>not cooperate<br>in the group   | The classroom<br>environment<br>greatly affects<br>the mood<br>when<br>studying, it<br>must be<br>conducive<br>Helping<br>friends if you           |

|   |     |   |                  |  |   | feel<br>difficulties |
|---|-----|---|------------------|--|---|----------------------|
| 3 | RD3 | Same as above   | Same as<br>above | Same as above  | Cooperating<br>and discussing<br>with groups<br>directly or<br>indirectly | Same as above        |
| 4 | RD4 | Same as above   | Same as<br>above | Feel challenged<br>when there is a<br>task that<br>requires<br>creativity<br>Same as above | Excited when<br>doing group<br>assignments                                | Same as above        |
| 5 | RD5 | Same as above   | Same as<br>above | Same as above  | Same as above   | Same as above        |
| 6 | RD6 | Same as above<br>Not<br>conducting<br>racism or<br>discriminatio<br>n | Same as<br>above | Doing tasks<br>quietly,<br>especially at<br>night.   | Cooperative   | Same as above        |
| 7 | RD7 | Same as above   | Same as<br>above | Same as above  | Responsible<br>for conducting<br>the division of<br>tasks                 | Same as above        |

Table 8. Existing character values according to city students

|    |                           | Charact   | er Value ( <i>Inde</i>                                       | oor Study dan Outdo  | oor Study)               |   |
|----|---------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------|---|
| No | Responden<br>t's Identity | Religious,<br>Honest,<br>Tolerance<br>(NK1)   | Discipline,<br>Responsibi<br>lity (NK2)                      | Hard Work,<br>Creative,<br>Independent,<br>Curiosity<br>(NK3)  | Democratic<br>(NK4)      | Love the<br>Motherland,<br>Care for the<br>Environment,<br>Care for<br>Social (NK5)   |
| 1  | RK1                       | Praying, being<br>honest,<br>respecting<br>diversity, and<br>respecting<br>each other | Arriving on<br>time<br>Attendance<br><80%<br>Task on<br>time | Doing<br>assignments<br>thoughtfully and<br>in a structured<br>manner<br>Digging up<br>information<br>from various<br>sources (ask<br>friends) | Dividing tasks<br>fairly | Keeping the<br>environment<br>clean,<br>sustainable<br>and conducive<br>both in class<br>and at tourist<br>attractions<br>Helping a<br>friend who is<br>having a hard<br>time |
| 2  | RK2                       | Same as above   | Same as<br>above   | Same as above<br>Making power<br>points display<br>well  | Dividing tasks<br>fairly | Maintaining a<br>classroom<br>environment<br>Helping  |

| 3 | RK3 | Same as above<br>Sometimes<br>doing                             | Same as<br>above | Same as above<br>Does not like<br>too many tasks                                      | Cooperating<br>well      | friends<br>according to<br>ability<br>Same as above |
|---|-----|---|------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| 4 | RK4 | plagiarism<br>Same as above<br>Sometimes<br>doing<br>plagiarism | Same as above    | Same as above<br>Same as above  | Cooperating well         | Same as above                                       |
| 5 | RK5 | Same as above   | Same as<br>above | Same as above<br>A little less<br>happy when<br>having more<br>than one<br>assignment | Cooperating<br>well      | Same as above                                       |
| 6 | RK6 | Same as above   | Same as<br>above | Same as above   | Cooperating well         | Same as above                                       |
| 7 | RK7 | Same as above   | Same as above    | Same as above<br>Slightly<br>complaining<br>when task is<br>more than 1               | Dividing tasks<br>fairly | Same as above                                       |

Evaluation is the process of determining a value for a thing or object based on certain references to determine certain goals sometimes known as an assessment (Martin, Ritzhaupt, Kumar, & Budhrani, 2019). This assessment can be neutral, positive or negative or a combination of the two. When something is evaluated, it will usually be followed by making a decision on the object being evaluated. The application of learning evaluation is divided into cognitive, affective, and psychomotor evaluations (Suhendi, Ramdhani, & Irwansyah, 2018). The evaluation applied in this study is focused on cognitive evaluation and affective evaluation only. Most of the respondents stated that cognitive evaluation and affective evaluation have been applied in assessing tourism geography learning. However, the composition of affective assessments is not as much as cognitive assessments.

The cognitive aspect is to see the knowledge ability of students, while the affective side is to assess the attitudes formed in students during the Tourism Geography class. When conducting cognitive evaluations, the lecturer makes questions that are easy for students to understand so that they do not experience difficulties. Meanwhile, in conducting affective assessments, according to most respondents, lecturers have not provided an affective assessment form that can be filled in by students to assist lecturers in assessing student attitudes between friends. However, at the beginning of the lecture, the lecturer had explained that in the class, the lecturer would conduct an attitude assessment for students as an evaluation material.

Geography has three approaches which are 1) spatial approach, 2) environmental approach, and 3) regional complex approach (Bintarto, 1979). In this study, the geographic approach used is the spatial approach because the respondents were seven students taken from rural areas and seven students were taken from urban areas. Based on the results of the questionnaire distributed to respondents, students who come

| No  | <b>Respondent's</b> |         |              | Evaluation |                |
|-----|---------------------|---------|--------------|------------|----------------|
| INO | Number              | Co      | gnitive (E1) |            | Affective (E2) |
|     |                     | Village | City         | Village    | City           |
| 1   | 1                   | Yes     | Yes          | No         | Yes            |
| 2   | 2                   | Yes     | Yes          | No         | No             |
| 3   | 3                   | Yes     | Yes          | Yes        | Yes            |
| 4   | 4                   | Yes     | Not really   | No         | Yes            |
| 5   | 5                   | Yes     | Yes          | Yes        | No             |
| 6   | 6                   | Yes     | Yes          | Yes        | No             |
| 7   | 7                   | Yes     | Yes          | Yes        | Yes            |

Table 9. Application of evaluation

from rural areas tend to have better cooperative and social care characteristics than urban students. This condition is due to the fact that the village community has a Gemeinschaft philosophy (Opielka, 2019) which means that they live full of a sense of togetherness, kinship and mutual cooperation (Purwendah, 2020). These kinds of habits are practiced by students who come from rural areas in the lecture. Meanwhile, the city community tends to have a Geselschaft attitude (Opielka, 2019) which means that they tend to have an individualistic attitude and do not really care about their environment. Characters such as democratic, religious, cooperation, love for the motherland, and a sense of responsibility among students tend to have the same character.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The tourism geography learning process has formed student characters including democratic, religious, cooperation, love for the motherland, and a sense of responsibility. Which are in accordance with 21<sup>st</sup> century competencies, namely collaboration, creativity, critical thinking, and communication, but personality factors and habits from the area of origion also influence the character development of students during college.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdullah, R. (2012). Pembelajaran berbasis pemanfaatan sumber belajar. *Jurnal Ilmiah Didaktika*, *XII*(2), 216–231.
- Astuti, A. P., Aziz, A., Sumarti, S. S., & Anggani, D. (2019). Preparing 21st Century Teachers/ : Implementation of 4C Character's Pre-Service Teacher through Teaching Practice. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (pp. 1–8). https:// doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1233/1/ 012109
- Bedir, H. (2019). Pre-service ELT teachers' beliefs and perceptions on 21st century learning and innovation skills (4Cs). *Dil Ve Dilbilimi Çalýþmalarý Dergisi*, 15(1), 231–246.
- Bintarto, R. (1979). Pengantar Geografi. Yogyakarta: Fakultas Geografi UGM.
- Campbell, S. (2014). What is qualitative research? *Clinical Laboratory Science*, 27(1), 3.
- Davidson, M., Khmelkov, V., & Lickona, T. (2010). The power of character: Needed for, and developed from, teaching and learning. In *International research* handbook on values education and

*student wellbeing* (pp. 427–454). Springer.

- Dharmawan, N. S. (2014). Implementasi Pendidikan Karakter Bangsa Pada Mahasiswa Di Perguruan Tinggi. Makalah Disampaikan Pada Pembinaan Pendidikan Karakter Bagi Mahasiswa PTS Di Lingkungan Kopertis Wilayah VIII.
- Echao, O. F. S., & Romero, M. (2017). Creative and collaborative problem solving development through serious games cocreation. In *European Conference on Games Based Learning* (pp. 793–797). Academic Conferences International Limited.
- Egan, A., Maguire, R., Christophers, L., & Rooney, B. (2017). Developing creativity in higher education for 21st century learners: A protocol for a scoping review. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 82, 21–27.
- Foo, S. Y., & Quek, C. L. (2019). Developing Students' Critical Thinking through Asynchronous Online Discussions: A Literature Review. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 7(2), 37–58.
- Harper, D. (2011). Choosing a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Methods in Mental Health and Psychotherapy*, 83–98.
- Jahroh, W. S., & Sutarna, N. (2016). Pendidikan Karakter Sebagai Upaya Mengatasi Degradasi Moral. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Inovasi Pendidikan*, 395–402.
- Kivunja, C. (2014). Do You Want Your Students to Be Job-Ready with 21st Century Skills? Change Pedagogies: A Pedagogical Paradigm Shift from Vygotskyian Social Constructivism to Critical Thinking, Problem Solving and Siemens' Digital Connectivism. International Journal of

*Higher Education*, *3*(3), 81–91.

- Martin, F., Ritzhaupt, A., Kumar, S., & Budhrani, K. (2019). Award-winning faculty online teaching practices: Course design, assessment and evaluation, and facilitation. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 42, 34–43.
- Opielka, M. (2019). Gesellschaftliche in Gemeinschaft bei Talcott Parsons und Hegel. In *Handbuch Kommunitarismus* (pp. 101–119). Springer.
- Purwendah, E. K. (2020). Persepsi Budaya Hukum dalam Merespon Pencemaran Minyak di Laut Cilacap akibat Kapal Tanker dalam Perspektif Keadilan Ekososial. Jurnal Pendidikan Kewarganegaraan Undiksha, 8(1), 93– 105.
- Putra, C. A. (2017). Utilization of Gadget Technology as a Learning Media. *BITNET: JURNAL PENDIDIKAN TEKNOLOGI INFORMASI*, 2(2).
- Silber Varod, V., Eshet Alkalai, Y., & Geri, N. (2019). Tracing research trends of 21st century learning skills. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *50*(6), 3099– 3118.
- Sugiyono, S. (2010). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suhendi, H. Y., Ramdhani, M. A., & Irwansyah, F. S. (2018). Verification Concept of Assessment for Physics Education Student Learning Outcome. *International Journal* of Engineering & Technology (UEA), 7(3.21), 321–325.
- Sukardi, H. M. (2003). Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan: Kompetensi dan Praktiknya. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Suyanto, S. (2009). Urgensi Pendidikan Karakter. Jakarta: Direktorat Jendral Pendidikan Dasar Kementrian Pendidikan Nasional.