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#### Abstract

A total of 2,725 potential Illinois waterfowl hunters returned usable questionnaires for the 2005-2006 Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey (59\% response rate). Of those, 2,244 reported purchasing a 2005-2006 Illinois Migratory Waterfowl Stamp, of which 1,890 (84\%) hunted waterfowl in 2005-2006. An estimated 48,772 Illinois waterfowl hunters spent 868,299 days hunting during the 2005-2006 season, and they harvested 526,221 waterfowl. There were 6,686 September teal hunters; they spent 17,708 days hunting and harvested 10,953 teal. Harvest during the regular duck season included 240,897 mallards, 37,942 wood ducks, and 133,509 other ducks. Hunters harvested 9,896 Canada geese during the 2005 September season. During the regular goose season, 30,614 hunters spent 271,708 days hunting and harvested 74,293 Canada geese and 9,353 other geese. We examined hunter attitudes toward waterfowl hunting, with a particular emphasis on duck season dates and zone boundaries.


## OBJECTIVE

To survey waterfowl (duck, goose, and coot) hunters annually to determine their harvest, activities, characteristics, attitudes, and opinions.

## METHODS

A sample of 5,000 potential waterfowl hunters was selected from the population of residents and non-residents who registered with the National Migratory Bird Harvest Information Program (HIP) in Illinois the previous year (2004-2005). Persons on the list were notified on August 31, 2005 that they would receive a questionnaire at the close of the hunting season. The notice included a form for keeping records of hunting activity and waterfowl harvested (Appendix A). After incorrect and duplicate addresses were removed, the sample was reduced to 4,787 . On February 8, 2006, these potential hunters were mailed a self-administered, 8-page
questionnaire, cover letter, and postage-paid return envelope (Appendix A). The questionnaire addressed days of hunting, harvest, and attitudes toward issues related to waterfowl season dates and related issues. Nonrespondents were mailed a thank you/reminder postcard on February 24 (Appendix A), a second copy of the questionnaire on March 13, and another thank you/reminder postcard on April 5. The questionnaire was not deliverable to 147 recipients, thus the sample population was reduced to 4,640 individuals. Estimates of number of hunters, days of hunting, and waterfowl harvested were based on confirmed sales of 55,734 Illinois Migratory Waterfowl stamps (2005-2006 series) as of September 13, 2006, and were computed following the procedures outlined below. We entered and analyzed data using SPSS 14.0.

The total number of active waterfowl hunters was estimated using the number of Illinois Migratory Waterfowl Stamps sold and adjusting for multiple-stamp buyers, non-hunting stamp buyers, and stamp-exempt hunters. The number of teal, duck, and goose hunters ( $H_{u n t}$ sp ), days of hunting ( Days $_{s p}$ ), birds crippled but not retrieved ( Crip $_{s p}$ ) and harvest ( $\operatorname{Harv}_{s p}$ ) were calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hunt }_{s p}=\text { Hunt }_{r} \frac{L_{t} A_{1} A_{2}}{n}, \\
& \text { Days }_{s p}=\text { Days }_{r} \frac{L_{t} A_{1} A_{2}}{n}, \\
& \text { Crip }_{s p}=\text { Crip }_{r} \frac{L_{t} A_{1} A_{2}}{n}, \\
& \text { Harv }_{s p}=\operatorname{Harv}_{r} \frac{L_{t} A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where Hunt $_{r}=$ number of respondents to 2005-2006 Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey who reported hunting for each species (adjustment for non-hunters is inherent), $L_{t}=$ total number of Illinois migratory Waterfowl Stamps sold in 2005-2006, $A_{1}$ = fixed reduction factor for multiplestamp buyers (0.957; Anderson 1986), $A_{2}=$ fixed expansion factor for stamp-exempt hunters
(1.086; Anderson et al. 1998), $n=$ number of respondents to 2005-2006 Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey who purchased a stamp, Days ${ }_{r}=$ total number of days spent hunting reported by respondents, Crip $_{r}=$ total number of birds crippled but not retrieved reported by respondents, $\operatorname{Harv}_{r}=$ total harvest of each species reported by respondents, $A_{3}=$ fixed reduction factor for reporting bias ( 0.501 for teal, ducks, and coots; Anderson et al. 1986; 0.478 for geese; Anderson et al. 1996).

Confidence intervals for the estimated numbers of ducks, coots, and geese harvested were calculated by:

$$
95 \% \text { CI }\left[\operatorname{Har}_{s p}\right]= \pm 2 L_{t}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left(\frac{L_{t}-n}{L_{t}}\right)
$$

where $s=$ standard deviation of total species harvest reported by respondents.

## SEASON LENGTHS AND BAG LIMITS

The early (September) teal season extended for 9 days in 2005, the same as in 2004, and the daily bag limit remained at 4 birds. As in 2004, the 2005 early (September) Canada goose season was 15 days long with a 2 bird limit, except in the Northeast Zone where the limit was 5 birds per day. The duck season lasted 60 days with a 6 bird limit. The statewide Canada goose (regular season) quota was increased to 80,600 for the 2005-2006 season, compared to a quota of 74,200 during the 2004-2005 season. See Appendix B for details of season dates, legal shooting hours, and bag limits.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 2,725 usable questionnaires were returned for a response rate of $59 \%$. Of these returns, 2,244 were from respondents who purchased an Illinois Migratory Waterfowl Stamp for the 2005-2006 season. These stamp purchasers included 1,890 (84\%) individuals who hunted
waterfowl in Illinois in 2005-2006. They are, on average, 46.5 years of age (range: 10-87, $n=1,858$ ), and they had hunted waterfowl for an average of 25.7 years (range: 1-75 years, $n=1,865)$. The hunters represented 97 of the 102 counties in Illinois and 18 other states (Appendix C). The apparent 9\% decrease in sales of the stamp in 2005-2006 (Table 1) may have been a facade created as a consequence of converting the Department of Natural Resource's licensing/stamp/permit system to electronic point of sales beginning early in 2006. The apparent decrease in stamp sales in 2005-2006 had the net effect of depressing all of our estimates of waterfowl hunting activity and harvest for the 2005-2006 season

## Waterfowl Harvest and Hunter Activity

The number of waterfowl hunters decreased from 54,803 during the 2004-2005 season to 48,772 during the 2005-2006 season (Table 1). Hunters reported spending 868,299 days pursuing waterfowl in 2005-2006, a decrease of $20 \%$ from the 1,083,910 days estimated for 2004-2005. However, waterfowl harvest increased 6\%: from 494,775 during 2004-2005 to 526,221 during 2005-2006. More than one-third (37\%) of the hunters hunted ducks only, whereas $12 \%$ hunted geese only, and $51 \%$ hunted both ducks and geese (Table 2).

September Teal Season - The number of early (September) teal hunters decreased 17\%, from 8,097 in 2004 to 6,686 during 2005 (Table 3). Days of hunting decreased 26\%, from 23,928 during 2004 to 17,708 during 2005. However, teal harvest during the 2005 September season was 10,953 , a $29 \%$ increase from the 8,463 teal harvested during the 2004 season. The Central Zone accounted for the majority of September teal hunters $(3,614)$, days of hunting $(9,732)$, and harvest $(6,285)$ (Table 4). Statewide, September season teal hunters averaged 2.65 days of hunting in 2005, down from an average 2.96 days in 2004. September season teal hunters harvested an average of 0.62 teal per hunter per day and 1.64 teal per hunter per season (Table 5).

Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days - The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has allowed Illinois and the other states to have 2 Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days since 2000. From 1996 through 1999, only 1 day was designated specifically for youths. The number of adults and youths who participated in the program declined in 2005, decreasing $19 \%$ and $21 \%$, respectively, from 2004 (Table 6). Youth waterfowl harvest (ducks, geese, and coots combined) also declined from 8,086 in 2004 to 7,192 in 2005. Mean age of youths taken on the youth hunt was 12.7 years, with a range of 7-17 years (not all youths taken actually hunted; the legal upper age limit for the youth hunt is 15 years).

Regular Duck Season - The number of duck hunters decreased by 5,861 (12\%), from 49,046 during 2004-2005 to 43,185 during 2005-2006 (Table 7). Duck hunters spent 539,672 days hunting during 2005-2006, a decrease of $17 \%$ from the 652,960 days reported during 20042005. Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos) harvest increased by 32,915 birds (16\%), from 207,982 during 2004-2005 to 240,897 during 2005-2006. Harvest of wood ducks (Aix sponsa) decreased to 37,942 in 2005-2006, from an estimated harvest of 44,725 wood ducks in 2004-2005. This was the lowest wood duck harvest since 1992 and the $5^{\text {th }}$ lowest since the waterfowl hunter survey was initiated in 1981. Harvest of other ducks increased by $14 \%$, from 116,951 in 20042005 to 133,509 during the 2005-2006 season. Total duck harvest during 2005-2006 was 412,348 , which is $12 \%$ higher than the 369,658 ducks harvested during the 2004-2005 season. The unusual return of many thousands of ducks following an extensive thaw in late December and January resulted in excellent duck hunting in the South Zone and may have been largely responsible for the statewide increase in harvest in 2005-2006.

The 2005-2006 duck harvest is presented by waterfowl zones and selected areas in Table 8. Statewide, the duck harvest per hunter per day increased from 0.57 in 2004-2005 to 0.76 in 2005-2006, and duck harvest per hunter per season similarly increased from 7.54 in 2004-2005 to 9.55 in 2005-2006 (Table 9). The daily harvest rate was the $2^{\text {nd }}$ highest since the waterfowl
hunter survey began in 1981. Coot (Fulica americana) harvest increased from 1,607 birds in 2004-2005 to 2,186 in 2005-2006 (Table 7).

Early September Goose Season - An estimated 9,448 hunters participated in the early September Canada goose season in Illinois during 2005 (Table 10). The majority (53\%) of September goose hunters $(5,034)$ were active in the Central Zone. Harvest during the 2005 September Canada goose season was 9,896, which is $27 \%$ less than the 13,587 harvested in 2004. The Central Zone harvest of 4,047 Canada geese accounted for $41 \%$ of total harvest statewide in 2005. Statewide, days hunted during the September season decreased 23\%, from 37,941 in 2004 to 29,143 in 2005.

Regular Goose Season - In 2005-2006, an estimated 30,614 goose hunters spent 271,708 days hunting during the regular goose season (Table 11). Canada goose harvest decreased from 81,859 in 2004-2005 to 74,293 in 2005-2006. The Central Quota Zone and the Central NonQuota Zone accounted for $51 \%$ of the Canada goose harvest (Table 12). Illinois’ Canada goose harvest is summarized by zone and year (2003-2004 though 2005-2006) in Table 13. The statewide quota was 80,600 Canada geese in 2005-2006.

Sixty percent of days of goose hunting in the Southern Illinois Quota Zone (SIQZ) in 2005-2006 were on non-commercial areas and $40 \%$ on commercial areas (Table 14). Similarly, $65 \%$ of the goose harvest was on non-commercial areas and $35 \%$ on commercial areas. Jackson and Williamson counties accounted for $79 \%$ of the days hunted and $85 \%$ of the harvest in the SIQZ in 2005-2006.

Goose hunters also harvested 2,184 white-fronted geese and 7,169 snow/blue/Ross’ geese during the regular goose season in 2005-2006 (Table 15). The harvest of all geese during the regular goose season in 2005-2006 totaled 83,646 birds, compared to a total goose harvest of 90,090 in 2004-2005 (Table 11).

Crippling Losses - Crippling losses (birds knocked down but not retrieved) were estimated at 68,121 ducks and 7,666 geese in Illinois during the 2005-2006 regular seasons (Table 16). These estimates, which are considered to be indices and not actual numbers, equate to 16.5 ducks and 9.2 geese lost per 100 harvested.

## Hunter Attitudes and Opinions

Important Aspects of Duck Hunting - Illinois duck hunters have a strong preference for hunting at the peak of migration and for hunting mallards, compared to other aspects of duck hunting (Table 17). Ending duck season on average date of freezeup and hunting early migrating ducks were relatively unimportant to the hunters. This pattern was consistent across all three waterfowl zones (Tables 18-20).

Duck Season Dates and Structure - Hunters were asked to indicate their preference among all possible choices for duck season dates that began on a Saturday, with the exception of the latest season, which ended on the last allowable date. Preferred dates for a 60-day season selected by North Zone hunters were weighted toward dates later than the top choice, October 14 (Table 21). For Central Zone hunters, the preferred dates for a 60-day season were normally distributed around the top choice, October 28 (Table 22). The preferred 60-day season opening date selected by South Zone hunters was the latest possible season opening date, November 30 (Table 23).

The second choice for 60-day season dates for North Zone duck hunters was 1 week later (October 21) than their first choice (Table 24). For Central Zone hunters, the second choice for 60-day season opening date was the same (October 28) as their first choice (Table 25). For South Zone hunters, the second choice was 5 days earlier (November 25) than their first choice (Table 26). Preferred opening dates for 30- and 45-day season frameworks are presented in Tables 21-26.

Median values for opening dates, as selected by hunters in the respective zones, were much the same as the preferred dates indicated by simple majorities (Table 27). Exceptions included the Central Zone for a 45-day season and the South Zone for a 60-day season. Similarly, mean values indicated opening dates that were within 5 days of the median values and simple majorities for all comparisons except the South Zone for a 60-day season, where a majority of the hunters preferred an exceptionally late opening date (November 30).

Split Duck Seasons and Zone Boundaries - Hunters were asked to express their opinions of adopting split duck seasons and making changes in the current duck zones. A plurality of hunters in all 3 zones (49\% in North, 59\% in Central, and 41\% in South) preferred to have no split season and retaining the 3-zone system (Tables 28 and 29). However, it is noteworthy that 48\% (also a plurality) of the hunters in the South Zone indicated support for a split season of one configuration or another. In other words, South Zone hunters were the most supportive of a split season, which is similar to the findings reported in the 2004-2005 Waterfowl Hunter Survey (Hubert et al. 2005).

Of the hunters who expressed an opinion about the location of the current (2005-2006) duck zone boundaries, pluralities felt the present locations were "about right" (Tables 30 and 31). Hunters in the South Zone were the least satisfied with the current zone configuration. However, hunters who desired change were divided as to whether the Central/South boundary should be moved farther north or farther south. In contrast, hunters who wanted to move the North/Central boundary preferred moving the boundary southward by a margin of approximately 3 to 1 . Duck hunters who hunted in counties along the zone boundaries expressed sentiments similar to the total respondent population, with pluralities on both sides of the zone lines feeling the present locations were about right (Tables 30 and 31).

Canada Goose Season Lengths and Bag Limits - When asked to convey attitudes toward different combinations of Canada goose season lengths and bag limits, majorities of hunters in
all 3 zones preferred a shorter season with 2 geese per day for the entire season (Table 32).

Thus, hunters in the North and Central Zones preferred a 75-day season with 2 geese per day.
South Zone hunters preferred a 58-day season with 2 geese per day bag limit.
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Table 1. Summary of Illinois Migratory Waterfowl Stamps purchased, hunter activity, and waterfowl harvest in Illinois from 1981 through 2005 hunting seasons, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Season <br> (Year) | Stamps Purchased | Hunters | Days Hunted | Waterfowl Harvested ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 61,929 | 63,652 | 874,730 | 413,264 |
| 1982 | 57,691 | 58,766 | 795,807 | 392,897 |
| 1983 | 56,162 | 58,240 | 815,523 | 475,601 |
| 1984 | 55,250 | 56,533 | 748,390 | 420,357 |
| 1985 | 55,670 | 56,899 | 699,113 | 392,253 |
| 1986 | 59,734 | 61,876 | 887,446 | 467,164 |
| 1987 | 58,803 (5,550) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 60,371 | 814,918 | 354,194 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $53,498(4,350){ }^{\text {b }}$ | 53,450 | 644,056 | 264,316 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 55,693 (3,570) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 55,709 | 749,033 | 322,359 |
| $1990{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 55,009 (2,390) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 55,152 | 708,391 | 270,796 |
| $1991{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $58,421(2,130){ }^{\text {b }}$ | 59,038 | 855,279 | 406,854 |
| 1992 | 51,261 (1,395) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 51,274 | 714,550 | 292,535 |
| 1993 | 50,976 (995) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 51,340 | 682,498 | 326,446 |
| 1994 | 57,543 (955) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 53,226 | 816,185 | 332,803 |
| 1995 | 60,564 (665) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 55,454 | 884,328 | 498,854 |
| 1996 | 62,417 (545) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 56,956 | 836,793 | 376,248 |
| 1997 | 59,961 (480) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 54,715 | 881,030 | 401,236 |
| 1998 | 54,550 (450) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 50,288 | 795,561 | 471,072 |
| 1999 | 63,782 (350) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 58,003 | 1,472,301 | 783,195 |
| 2000 | 62,701 (330) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 56,954 | 1,115,076 | 708,092 |
| 2001 | 63,745 (300) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 59,029 | 1,337,297 | 695,790 |
| 2002 | 61,345 (1,520) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 53,428 | 1,054,047 | 504,616 |
| 2003 | 61,991 (260) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 57,985 | 1,251,974 | 650,906 |
| 2004 | 60,264 | 54,803 | 1,083,910 | 494,775 |
| 2005 | 55,734 | 48,772 | 868,299 | 526,221 |

[^0]Table 2. The percentage of waterfowl hunters who hunted exclusively ducks, exclusively geese, or both ducks and geese in Illinois from 1981 through 2005 seasons, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season } \\ & \text { (Year) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Percentage Who Hunted: |  |  | Percentage Who Were: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ducks Only | Geese <br> Only | Both Ducks and Geese | Duck <br> Hunters | Goose <br> Hunters |
| 1981 | 63 | 14 | 23 | 86 | 37 |
| 1982 | 59 | 11 | 30 | 89 | 41 |
| 1983 | 55 | 13 | 32 | 87 | 45 |
| 1984 | 60 | 12 | 28 | 88 | 40 |
| 1985 | 61 | 10 | 29 | 90 | 39 |
| 1986 | 51 | 13 | 36 | 87 | 49 |
| 1987 | 47 | 14 | 39 | 86 | 53 |
| 1988 | 36 | 19 | 45 | 81 | 64 |
| 1989 | 29 | 21 | 50 | 79 | 71 |
| 1990 | 27 | 30 | 43 | 70 | 73 |
| 1991 | 26 | 27 | 47 | 73 | 74 |
| 1992 | 31 | 24 | 45 | 76 | 69 |
| 1993 | 30 | 20 | 50 | 80 | 69 |
| 1994 | 30 | 17 | 53 | 83 | 70 |
| 1995 | 33 | 23 | 44 | 77 | 67 |
| 1996 | 36 | 23 | 41 | 77 | 64 |
| 1997 | 39 | 22 | 39 | 78 | 61 |
| 1998 | 48 | 17 | 35 | 83 | 52 |
| 1999 | 27 | 11 | 62 | 89 | 73 |
| 2000 | 34 | 23 | 43 | 77 | 66 |
| 2001 | 33 | 10 | 57 | 90 | 67 |
| 2002 | 34 | 10 | 56 | 90 | 66 |
| 2003 | 32 | 13 | 55 | 87 | 68 |
| 2004 | 32 | 9 | 59 | 91 | 68 |
| 2005 | 37 | 12 | 51 | 88 | 63 |

Table 3. Summary of teal harvest and hunter activity during the September teal season in Illinois from 1981 through 2005, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Year | Hunters | Days Hunted | Number of Teal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 14,802 | 38,586 | 22,946 |
| 1982 | 14,863 | 41,856 | 28,785 |
| 1983 | 13,295 | 39,475 | 29,355 |
| 1984 | 14,158 | 39,481 | 32,730 |
| 1985 | 13,852 | 36,521 | 29,260 |
| 1986 | 15,449 | 40,241 | 30,375 |
| 1987 | 12,297 | 32,582 | 23,193 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ------ | ------ | -- |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ------ | ------ | ------ |
| $1990{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ------ | -- | -- |
| $1991{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ------ | ------ | ------ |
| 1992 | 7,696 | 18,265 | 12,069 |
| 1993 | 6,474 | 16,722 | 8,562 |
| 1994 | 8,062 | 20,341 | 12,436 |
| 1995 | 9,123 | 24,865 | 19,731 |
| 1996 | 8,964 | 22,825 | 11,565 |
| 1997 | 11,819 | 32,179 | 22,005 |
| 1998 | 10,307 | 33,049 | 21,270 |
| 1999 | 20,036 | 74,170 | 55,199 |
| 2000 | 14,733 | 52,229 | 38,597 |
| 2001 | 17,222 | 61,199 | 36,013 |
| 2002 | 10,171 | 29,381 | 12,542 |
| 2003 | 10,522 | 34,505 | 20,453 |
| 2004 | 8,097 | 23,928 | 8,463 |
| 2005 | 6,686 | 17,708 | $10,953^{\text {b }}$ |

[^1]Table 4. Teal harvest and hunter activity by zones during the September teal season in Illinois in 2005, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey ( $n=259$ ). Note: Data by waterfowl hunting zone may not sum to statewide total due to rounding of results.

| Category | Statewide | North Zone | Central Zone | South Zone | Unknown |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hunters | 6,686 | 1,213 | 3,614 | 1,471 | 388 |
| Days Hunted | 17,708 | 3,304 | 9,732 | 3,924 | 748 |
| Teal Harvest | 10,953 | 1,345 | 6,285 | 3,052 | 271 |

Table 5. Rates of teal harvest and hunter activity during the September teal season in Illinois from 1981 through 2005, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Year | Season Length/ Bag Limit | Days Hunted Per Hunter | Teal Harvest Per Hunter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Per Day | Per Season |
| 1981 | 9/4 | 2.61 | 0.59 | 1.55 |
| 1982 | 9/4 | 2.82 | 0.69 | 1.94 |
| 1983 | 9/4 | 2.97 | 0.74 | 2.21 |
| 1984 | 9/4 | 2.79 | 0.83 | 2.31 |
| 1985 | 9/4 | 2.64 | 0.80 | 2.11 |
| 1986 | 9/4 | 2.60 | 0.75 | 1.97 |
| 1987 | 9/4 | 2.65 | 0.71 | 1.89 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| $1990{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| $1991{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| 1992 | 9/4 | 2.37 | 0.66 | 1.57 |
| 1993 | 9/4 | 2.58 | 0.51 | 1.32 |
| 1994 | 9/4 | 2.52 | 0.61 | 1.54 |
| 1995 | 9/4 | 2.73 | 0.79 | 2.16 |
| 1996 | 9/4 | 2.55 | 0.51 | 1.29 |
| 1997 | 9/4 | 2.72 | 0.68 | 1.86 |
| 1998 | 16/4 | 3.21 | 0.64 | 2.06 |
| 1999 | 16/4 | 3.70 | 0.74 | 2.75 |
| 2000 | 16/4 | 3.55 | 0.74 | 2.62 |
| 2001 | 16/4 | 3.55 | 0.59 | 2.09 |
| 2002 | 9/4 | 2.89 | 0.43 | 1.23 |
| 2003 | 16/4 | 3.28 | 0.59 | 1.94 |
| 2004 | 9/4 | 2.96 | 0.35 | 1.05 |
| 2005 | 9/4 | 2.65 | 0.62 | 1.64 |

[^2]Table 6. Waterfowl harvest and hunter activity during the Youth Waterfowl Hunting Day(s) in Illinois from 1996 through 2005, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

|  | $1996{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1997{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1998{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1999{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2000{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2001{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2002{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2003{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2004{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $2005^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adults who took a youth hunting | 2,749 | 3,163 | 3,343 | 5,505 | 6,815 | 9,140 | 8,498 | 7,415 | 5,603 | 4,540 |
| Youth hunters participating | 4,353 | 4,322 | 5,142 | 8,113 | 10,107 | 15,148 | 13,325 | 11,419 | 7,891 | 6,489 |
| Youth days hunted | 4,353 | 4,322 | 5,142 | 8,113 | 14,079 | 22,525 | 19,548 | 17,985 | 12,997 | 10,268 |
| Mean youths per hunting party | 1.58 | 1.37 | 1.54 | 1.47 | 1.48 | 1.67 | 1.57 | 1.54 | 1.41 | 1.58 |
| Waterfowl Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Ducks | 3,171 | 3,451 | 4,159 | 5,835 | 8,388 | 11,727 | 9,085 | 9,184 | 7,477 | 5,644 |
| Ducks per youth per day | 0.73 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.55 |
| Total Coots | 230 | 387 | 208 | 629 | 38 | 480 | 271 | 178 | 48 | 583 |
| Coots per youth per day | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.08 | <0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | <0.01 | 0.06 |
| Total Geese | ----- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ----- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 289 | 571 | 882 | 971 | 887 | 1,116 | 561 | 965 |
| Geese per youth per day |  |  | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.09 |

[^3]Table 7. Summary of duck and coot harvest and hunter activity during the regular duck season in Illinois from 1981 through 2005, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season } \\ & \text { (Year) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Hunters | Days <br> Hunted | Number of Ducks |  |  |  | Coots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mallards | Wood Ducks | Other Ducks | Total |  |
| 1981 | 54,744 | 703,534 | 170,972 | 72,065 | 94,947 | 337,984 | 4,950 |
| 1982 | 52,220 | 646,394 | 163,439 | 61,706 | 101,989 | 327,134 | 5,905 |
| 1983 | 50,440 | 651,409 | 220,317 | 72,237 | 110,862 | 403,416 | 10,472 |
| 1984 | 49,715 | 606,325 | 182,132 | 52,955 | 120,016 | 355,103 | 7,702 |
| 1985 | 51,362 | 556,800 | 168,549 | 51,216 | 97,155 | 316,920 | 5,773 |
| 1986 | 53,588 | 638,090 | 201,676 | 65,414 | 112,490 | 379,580 | 7,372 |
| 1987 | 51,704 | 558,172 | 155,783 | 58,488 | 74,748 | 289,019 | 2,694 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 43,233 | 381,985 | 119,149 | 23,743 | 42,836 | 185,728 | 1,936 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 43,841 | 407,478 | 133,128 | 28,065 | 63,073 | 224,266 | 2,049 |
| $1990{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 38,759 | 350,119 | 112,370 | 33,253 | 51,562 | 197,185 | 2,287 |
| $1991{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 42,911 | 393,247 | 177,221 | 49,556 | 80,793 | 307,570 | 1,101 |
| 1992 | 39,272 | 362,275 | 124,112 | 34,280 | 58,035 | 216,427 | 3,275 |
| 1993 | 40,941 | 366,656 | 134,334 | 39,906 | 43,360 | 217,600 | 1,445 |
| 1994 | 44,447 | 475,264 | 137,263 | 44,683 | 64,998 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 246,944 | 3,880 |
| 1995 | 42,499 | 482,620 | 230,505 | 47,155 | 99,632 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 377,292 | 3,386 |
| 1996 | 44,219 | 460,517 | 163,311 | 38,783 | $82,431{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 284,525 | 3,286 |
| 1997 | 42,587 | 514,934 | 145,533 | 44,678 | 100,950 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 291,161 | 3,935 |
| 1998 | 41,755 | 517,372 | 200,030 | 57,393 | 129,439 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 386,862 | 2,920 |
| 1999 | 51,850 | 860,368 | 311,325 | 69,930 | $181,650^{\text {b }}$ | 562,905 | 3,654 |
| 2000 | 43,810 | 621,542 | 271,903 | 58,604 | $166,834^{\text {b }}$ | 497,341 | 2,206 |
| 2001 | 53,194 | 797,884 | 305,180 | 61,515 | $167,883{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 534,578 | 2,904 |
| 2002 | 47,964 | 642,542 | 197,392 | 46,238 | 106,213 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 349,843 | 1,743 |
| 2003 | 50,658 | 738,914 | 285,011 | 48,023 | 153,165 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 486,199 | 1,693 |
| 2004 | 49,046 | 652,960 | 207,982 | 44,725 | $116,951{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 369,658 | 1,607 |
| 2005 | 43,185 | 539,672 | 240,897 | 37,942 | $133,509^{\text {b }}$ | $412,348^{\text {c }}$ | 2,186 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |

[^4]Table 8. Duck harvest and hunter activity by waterfowl zones and areas during the regular duck season in Illinois in 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Zone/Area | $\underline{n}$ | Hunters | Days Hunted | Ducks Harvested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Zone | 261 | 6,737 | 72,948 | 39,158 |
| Central Zone | 985 | 25,426 | 317,371 | 235,337 |
| South Zone |  |  |  |  |
| SIQZ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 133 | 3,433 | 50,774 | 45,766 |
| Rend Lake ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 78 | 2,013 | 34,176 | 36,649 |
| Carlyle Lake ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 72 | 1,859 | 17,940 | 20,704 |
| Elsewhere | 143 | 3,691 | 46,386 | 34,697 |
| South Zone Total | 426 | 10,996 | 149,276 | 137,816 |
| Unknown | 1 | 26 | 77 | 37 |
| Statewide | 1,673 | 43,185 | 539,672 | 412,348 |

[^5]Table 9. Rates of duck harvest and hunter activity during the regular duck season in Illinois from 1981 through 2005, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Year | Season Length/ Bag Limit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Days Hunted Per Hunter | Duck Harvest Per Hunter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Per Day | Per Season |
| 1981 | 50/10(4,2) | 12.85 | 0.48 | 6.17 |
| 1982 | 50/10(4,2) | 12.38 | 0.51 | 6.26 |
| 1983 | 50/10(4,2) | 12.91 | 0.62 | 8.00 |
| 1984 | 50/10(4,2) | 12.20 | 0.59 | 7.14 |
| 1985 | 40/5(3,1) | 10.84 | 0.57 | 6.17 |
| 1986 | 40/5(3,1) | 11.91 | 0.59 | 7.08 |
| 1987 | 40/5(3,1) | 10.80 | 0.52 | 5.59 |
| 1988 | 30/3(2,1) | 8.84 | 0.49 | 4.30 |
| 1989 | 30/3(2,1) | 9.29 | 0.55 | 5.12 |
| 1990 | 30/3(2,1) | 9.03 | 0.54 | 4.90 |
| 1991 | 30/3(2,1) | 9.16 | 0.72 | 6.57 |
| 1992 | 30/3(2,1) | 9.22 | 0.57 | 5.22 |
| 1993 | 30/3(2,1) | 8.96 | 0.58 | 5.21 |
| 1994 | 40/3(2,1) | 10.96 | 0.51 | 5.47 |
| 1995 | 50/5(4,1) | 11.36 | 0.74 | 8.40 |
| 1996 | 50/5(4,1) | 10.41 | 0.58 | 6.03 |
| 1997 | 60/6(4,2) | 12.09 | 0.57 | 6.84 |
| 1998 | 60/6(4,2) | 12.39 | 0.75 | 9.27 |
| 1999 | 60/6(4,2) | 16.59 | 0.65 | 10.86 |
| 2000 | 60/6(4,2) | 14.19 | 0.80 | 11.36 |
| 2001 | 60/6(4,2) | 15.00 | 0.67 | 10.05 |
| 2002 | 60/6(4,1) | 13.40 | 0.54 | 7.29 |
| 2003 | 60/6(4,1) | 14.59 | 0.66 | 9.60 |
| 2004 | 60/6(4,2) | 13.31 | 0.57 | 7.54 |
| 2005 | 60/6(4,2) | 12.50 | 0.76 | 9.55 |

[^6]Table 10. Canada goose harvest and hunter activity during the early September Canada goose season in Illinois from 1997 through 2005, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

|  |  | Waterfowl Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Statewide | Northeast ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | North ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Central ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | South | SIQZ | Unknown |
| Hunters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 11,765 | 3,438 | 2,139 | 5,768 | ----- |  | 420 |
| 1998 | 11,981 | 3,158 | 1,679 | 5,915 | 677 |  | 552 |
| 1999 | 16,945 | 3,758 | 2,247 | 9,869 | 693 |  | 378 |
| 2000 | 13,289 | 3,274 | 2,136 | 6,908 | 971 |  | 0 |
| 2001 | 20,359 | 4,510 | 2,808 | 10,807 | 2,085 |  | 149 |
| 2002 | 12,459 | 3,079 | 1,438 | 6,665 | $952{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 183 | 142 |
| 2003 | 14,973 | 3,578 | 1,954 | 7,761 | 1,128 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 220 | 332 |
| 2004 | $11,170{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,721 | 1,529 | 6,220 | $803{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 181 | 0 |
| 2005 | 9,448 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,452 | 1,497 | 5,034 | $878{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 207 | 0 |

Days Hunted

| 1997 | 34,988 | 11,039 | 6,952 | 15,890 | ----- | 1,107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 37,322 | 10,552 | 5,339 | 18,247 | 1,880 | 1,304 |
| 1999 | 64,881 | 11,779 | 10,016 | 39,768 | 2,625 | 693 |
| 2000 | 47,831 | 9,378 | 8,018 | 27,078 | 3,357 | 0 |
| 2001 | 73,587 | 15,360 | 10,999 | 40,208 | 6,318 |  |
| 2002 | 39,485 | 10,028 | 4,275 | 21,049 | $3,261^{c}$ | 831 |
| 2003 | 51,083 | 12,028 | 6,771 | 26,532 | $4,376^{c}$ | 1,046 |
| 2004 | 37,941 | 8,241 | 6,038 | 19,670 | $2,307^{c}$ | 285 |
| 2005 | 29,143 | 6,892 | 5,292 | 14,352 | $2,065^{c}$ | 542 |

Canada Geese

| 1997 | 11,443 | 5,405 | 2,216 | 3,774 | ---- | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 7,852 | 3,125 | 1,059 | 3,046 | 384 | 238 |
| 1999 | 20,223 | 6,624 | 2,500 | 10,491 | 491 | 117 |
| 2000 | 15,897 | 4,143 | 2,048 | 8,774 | 932 | 0 |
| 2001 | 26,021 | 8,151 | 2,828 | 13,170 | 1,580 | 290 |
| 2002 | 21,534 | 7,165 | 1,806 | 11,130 | $1,335^{c}$ | 98 |
| 2003 | 15,267 | 4,259 | 1,648 | 7,103 | $1,782^{c}$ | 439 |
| 2004 | 13,587 | 4,422 | 1,897 | 5,915 | $711^{c}$ | 56 |
| 2005 | 9,896 | 3,702 | 1,160 | 4,047 | $888^{c}$ | 99 |

[^7]Table 11. Summary of goose harvest and hunter activity during the regular goose season in Illinois from 1981 through 2005, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Season } \\ & \text { (Year) } \end{aligned}$ | Hunters | Days Afield | Number of Geese |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Canada Geese | Other Geese | Total |
| 1981 | 23,610 | 132,610 | 44,302 (6,312) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,082 (1,719) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 47,384 (8,031) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1982 | 24,058 | 107,557 | 29,574 (4,968) | 1,499 (710) | 31,073 (5,678) |
| 1983 | 26,199 | 124,639 | 31,395 (4,325) | 962 (577) | 32,357 (4,902) |
| 1984 | 22,426 | 102,583 | 23,147 (2,859) | 1,675 (593) | 24,822 (3,452) |
| 1985 | 22,160 | 105,792 | 37,976 (5,248) | 2,324 (753) | 40,300 (6,001) |
| 1986 | 30,327 | 200,291 | 45,535 (11,348) | 2,625 (832) | 48,160 (12,180) |
| 1987 | 32,246 | 224,164 | 36,103 $(3,563)$ | 1,525 (499) | 37,628 (4,062) |
| $1988{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 34,456 | 251,176 | 72,550 (3,871) | 1,832 (350) | 74,382 (4,221) |
| $1989{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 39,459 | 329,369 | 91,379 (2,988) | 1,715 (182) | 93,094 (3,170) |
| $1990^{\text {b }}$ | 40,459 | 346,036 | 67,127 (1,515) | 1,319 (97) | 68,446 (1,612) |
| $1991{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 43,692 | 450,807 | 92,239 (1,245) | 2,434 (70) | 94,673 (1,315) |
| 1992 | 35,253 | 334,010 | 59,352 (2,679) | 1,412 (170) | 60,764 (2,849) |
| 1993 | 35,489 | 299,120 | 93,361 (1,260) | 1,314 (82) | 94,675 (1,342) |
| 1994 | 37,090 | 320,580 | 67,790 (1,895) | 1,753 (77) | 69,543 (1,972) |
| 1995 | 37,060 | 367,341 | 92,478 (4,034) | 3,183 (245) | 95,661 (4,279) |
| 1996 | 36,582 | 339,253 | 65,864 (2,527) | 4,939 (114) | 70,803 (2,641) |
| 1997 | 33,498 | 295,107 | 61,282 (4,772) | 7,572 (438) | 68,854 (5,210) |
| 1998 | 26,343 | 202,676 | 43,222 (2,463) | 4,290 (305) | 47,512 (2,968) |
| 1999 | 42,246 | 464,769 | 119,611 (1,846) | 14,568 (152) | 134,179 (1,998) |
| 2000 | 37,593 | 383,367 | 128,387 (1,406) | 16,356 (0) | 144,743 (1,406) |
| 2001 | 39,570 | 382,102 | 64,907 (1,761) | 18,189 (263) | 83,096 (2,024) |
| 2002 | 35,352 | 323,091 | 89,297 (3,259) | 19,414 (1,433) | 108,711 (4,692) |
| 2003 | 39,275 | 409,487 | 83,207 (1,526) | 10,458 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (342) | 93,665 ${ }^{\text {c }}(1,868)$ |
| 2004 | 37,189 | 345,279 | 81,859 $(3,418)$ | 8,231 (349) | 90,090 (3,767) |
| 2005 | 30,614 | 271,708 | 74,293 (1,653) | 9,353 (62) | $83,646^{\text {d }}(1,715)$ |

[^8]Table 12. Goose harvest and hunter activity by zones and selected areas in Illinois in 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Zone/Area | $n$ | Hunters | Days Hunted | Canada <br> Geese | WhiteFronted Geese | Snow/Blue GeeseRegular Season | Total Geese |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Quota | 150 | 3,882 | 32,700 | 13,665 | 25 | 79 | 13,769 |
| North Non-Quota | 203 | 5,240 | 34,060 | 8,983 | 0 | 37 | 9,020 |
| Total | 353 | 9,122 | 66,760 | 22,648 | 25 | 116 | 22,789 |
| Central Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Quota | 370 | 9,540 | 69,881 | 20,884 | 247 | 476 | 21,607 |
| Central Non-Quota | 452 | 11,681 | 73,193 | 16,886 | 179 | 3,862 | 20,927 |
| Total | 822 | 21,221 | 143,074 | 37,770 | 426 | 4,338 | 42,534 |
| South Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIQZ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 154 | 3,975 | 28,085 | 7,083 | 444 | 395 | 7,922 |
| Rend Lake Non-Quota ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 49 | 1,265 | 8,725 | 765 | 271 | 1,259 | 2,295 |
| Carlyle Lake Non-Quota ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 34 | 878 | 4,182 | 864 | 395 | 296 | 1,555 |
| Elsewhere Non-Quota | 134 | 3,446 | 20,366 | 5,040 | 623 | 765 | 6,428 |
| Total | 371 | 9,564 | 61,358 | 13,752 | 1,733 | 2,715 | 18,200 |
| Unknown | 3 | 77 | 516 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Statewide | 1,186 | 30,614 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 271,708 | 74,293 | 2,184 | 7,169 | 83,646 |

[^9]Table 13. Canada goose harvest by zones and selected areas during the regular goose season in Illinois from 2003-2004 through 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey. See Table 12 for sample sizes for 2005-2006.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone/Area | $2003-2004$ | $2004-2005$ | $2005-2006$ | Mean |  |
| North Quota |  | 16,491 | 13,188 | 13,665 | 14,448 |
| North Non-Quota |  | 7,321 | 11,160 | 8,983 | 9,155 |
|  | Total | 23,812 | 24,348 | 22,648 | 23,603 |
| Central Quota |  | 18,046 | 25,648 | 20,884 | 21,526 |
| Central Non-Quota |  | 19,509 | 17,187 | 16,886 | 17,861 |
|  | Total | 37,555 | 42,835 | 37,770 | 39,387 |
| SIQZ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12,497 | 6,403 | 7,083 | 8,661 |  |
| Rend Lake Non-Quota ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,709 | 2,148 | 765 | 1,541 |  |
| Carlyle Lake Non-Quota ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 696 | 572 | 864 | 711 |  |
| Elsewhere Non-Quota | 6,535 | 5,524 | 5,040 | 5,699 |  |
|  | Total | 21,437 | 14,647 | 13,752 | 16,612 |
| Unknown | 403 | 29 | 123 | 184 |  |
| Statewide |  | 83,207 | 81,859 | 74,293 | 79,786 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Alexander, Jackson, Union, and Williamson counties.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Franklin and Jefferson counties.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Bond, Clinton, and Fayette counties.

Table 14. Type of land hunted for geese in the Southern Illinois Quota Zone in 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| County | Hunters | Days Hunted | Geese Harvested |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alexander |  | $(\mathrm{n}=12)$ |  |  |
|  | Non-commercial area | $33 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
|  | Commercial area | $83 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Union |  | $(\mathrm{n}=34)$ |  |  |
|  | Non-commercial area | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
|  | Commercial area | $74 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Jackson \& |  | $(\mathrm{n}=101)$ |  |  |
| Williamson |  | $68 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
|  | Non-commercial area | $40 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
|  | Commercial area | $(\mathrm{n}=144)$ |  |  |
|  |  | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Total |  | $52 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $35 \%$ |

Table 15. Harvest of geese other than Canada geese in Illinois from 1996-1997 through 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Season <br> (Year) | White-Fronted <br> Geese | Snow/Blue <br> Geese Regular <br> Season ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Others | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | $---{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,063 | 876 | 4,939 |
| 1997 | --- | 5,941 | 1,631 | 7,572 |
| 1998 | --- | 3,734 | 556 | 4,290 |
| 1999 | 2,173 | 12,220 | 175 | 14,568 |
| 2000 | 1,131 | 14,797 | 428 | 16,356 |
| 2001 | 2,579 | 15,319 | 291 | 18,189 |
| 2002 | 1,413 | 16,097 | 1,904 | 19,414 |
| 2003 | 2,831 | 7,627 | $---{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $10,458^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 2004 | 2,330 | 5,901 | --- | 8,231 |
| 2005 | 2,184 | 7,169 | --- | 9,353 |

[^10]Table 16. Summary of the number of ducks and geese that were crippled (knocked down but not retrieved) during the regular season in Illinois from 1981-1982 through 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Year | Ducks |  | Geese |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Per 100 Bagged | Total | Per 100 Bagged |
| 1981 | 104,216 | 30.8 | 12,573 | 26.5 |
| 1982 | 82,287 | 25.2 | 5,868 | 18.9 |
| 1983 | 96,907 | 24.0 | 7,627 | 23.6 |
| 1984 | 84,665 | 23.8 | 5,711 | 23.0 |
| 1985 | 100,191 | 31.6 | 15,918 | 39.5 |
| 1986 | 145,283 | 38.3 | 20,699 | 41.5 |
| 1987 | 98,155 | 34.0 | 18,375 | 48.8 |
| $1988{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 65,856 | 35.5 | 22,730 | 30.6 |
| $1989{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 66,150 | 29.5 | 21,696 | 23.3 |
| $1990{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 59,007 | 29.9 | 23,895 | 34.9 |
| $1991{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 74,932 | 24.4 | 27,164 | 28.7 |
| 1992 | 68,027 | 31.4 | 18,631 | 32.1 |
| 1993 | 62,250 | 28.6 | 21,067 | 22.3 |
| 1994 | 65,266 | 26.4 | 16,234 | 23.3 |
| 1995 | 86,834 | 23.0 | 18,391 | 19.2 |
| 1996 | 64,324 | 22.6 | 16,641 | 23.5 |
| 1997 | 67,979 | 23.3 | 12,490 | 18.1 |
| 1998 | 74,679 | 19.3 | 5,514 | 11.6 |
| 1999 | 95,961 | 17.0 | 12,934 | 9.6 |
| 2000 | 70,423 | 14.2 | 10,071 | 7.0 |
| 2001 | 88,019 | 16.5 | 7,148 | 8.6 |
| 2002 | 59,005 | 16.9 | 6,382 | 5.9 |
| 2003 | 77,361 | 15.9 | 12,661 | 10.8 |
| 2004 | 63,765 | 17.2 | 9,433 | 10.5 |
| 2005 | 68,121 | 16.5 | 7,666 | 9.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The estimates of ducks and geese crippled for these years have been reduced to $92.48 \%-96.48 \%$ of the original estimates. The estimates for the number of geese crippled per 100 bagged have been similarly reduced. See Anderson and Williamson (1994) for explanation.

Table 17. Relative importance of some basic aspects of duck hunting to duck hunters statewide in Illinois in 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey ( $n=1,610$ ).

| Statement | Not Important | Slightly Important | Moderately Important | Very Important | Extremely Important | Mean <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hunting early migrating ducks. | 18\% | 18\% | 32\% | 19\% | 13\% | 2.9 |
| Hunting during peak duck migration. | 1 | 2 | 6 | 29 | 62 | 4.5 |
| Hunting mallards. | 2 | 3 | 14 | 32 | 49 | 4.3 |
| Hunting after freeze-up. | 19 | 16 | 26 | 21 | 18 | 3.0 |
| Hunting multiple duck species. | 5 | 7 | 21 | 33 | 34 | 3.8 |
| Hunting late migrating ducks. | 6 | 8 | 23 | 30 | 33 | 3.8 |
| Ending duck season on average date of freeze-up. | 38 | 14 | 24 | 13 | 11 | 2.5 |

Table 18. Relative importance of some basic aspects of duck hunting to duck hunters in the North Zone of Illinois in 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey ( $n=268$ ).

| Statement | Not <br> Important | Slightly <br> Important | Moderately <br> Important | Very <br> Important | Extremely <br> Important | Mean <br> Rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hunting early migrating ducks. | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 3.0 |
| Hunting during peak duck <br> migration. | 2 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 61 | 4.4 |
| Hunting mallards. | 2 | 19 | 36 | 40 | 4.1 |  |

Table 19. Relative importance of some basic aspects of duck hunting to duck hunters in the Central Zone of Illinois in 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey ( $n=891$ ).

| Statement | Not Important | Slightly Important | Moderately Important | Very Important | Extremely Important | Mean Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hunting early migrating ducks. | 18\% | 19\% | 30\% | 20\% | 13\% | 2.9 |
| Hunting during peak duck migration. | <1 | 1 | 5 | 30 | 64 | 4.6 |
| Hunting mallards. | 1 | 3 | 13 | 31 | 52 | 4.3 |
| Hunting after freeze-up. | 20 | 16 | 25 | 21 | 18 | 3.1 |
| Hunting multiple duck species. | 4 | 7 | 22 | 32 | 35 | 3.9 |
| Hunting late migrating ducks. | 6 | 7 | 25 | 29 | 32 | 3.7 |
| Ending duck season on average date of freeze-up. | 38 | 14 | 23 | 13 | 12 | 2.5 |

Table 20. Relative importance of some basic aspects of duck hunting to duck hunters in the South Zone of Illinois in 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey ( $n=444$ ).

| Statement | Not Important | Slightly Important | Moderately Important | Very Important | Extremely Important | Mean <br> Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hunting early migrating ducks. | 22\% | 16\% | 32\% | 17\% | 13\% | 2.8 |
| Hunting during peak duck migration. | 1 | 1 | 8 | 31 | 59 | 4.4 |
| Hunting mallards. | 2 | 4 | 15 | 32 | 47 | 4.2 |
| Hunting after freeze-up. | 18 | 16 | 26 | 23 | 17 | 3.0 |
| Hunting multiple duck species. | 6 | 7 | 22 | 37 | 28 | 3.7 |
| Hunting late migrating ducks. | 6 | 7 | 22 | 33 | 32 | 3.8 |
| Ending duck season on average date of freeze-up. | 41 | 14 | 24 | 12 | 9 | 2.3 |


| 30-Day Season |  | 45-Day Season |  | 60-Day Season |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $n=247$ ) |  | ( $n=253$ ) |  | ( $n=263$ ) |  |
| Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent |
| Sept.30-Oct. 29 | 4 | Sept.23-Nov. 6 | 3 | Sept.23-Nov. 21 | 4 |
| Oct.7-Nov. 5 | 7 | Sept.30-Nov. 13 | 5 | Sept.30-Nov. 28 | 7 |
| Oct.14-Nov. 12 | 11 | Oct.7-Nov. 20 | 10 | Oct.7-Dec. 5 | 16 |
| Oct.21-Nov. 19 | 15 | Oct.14-Nov. 27 | 19 | Oct.14-Dec. 12 | 26 |
| Oct.28-Nov. 26 | 19 | Oct.21-Dec. 4 | 20 | Oct.21-Dec. 19 | 16 |
| Nov.4-Dec. 3 | 10 | Oct.28-Dec. 11 | 13 | Oct.28-Dec. 26 | 10 |
| Nov.11-Dec. 10 | 10 | Nov.4-Dec. 18 | 7 | Nov.4-Jan. 2 | 8 |
| Nov.18-Dec. 17 | 6 | Nov.11-Dec. 25 | 8 | Nov.11-Jan. 9 | 4 |
| Nov.25-Dec. 24 | 6 | Nov.18-Jan. 1 | 3 | Nov.18-Jan. 16 | 1 |
| Dec.2-Dec. 31 | 2 | Nov.25-Jan. 8 | 3 | Nov.25-Jan. 23 | 1 |
| Dec.9-Jan. 7 | 3 | Dec.2-Jan. 15 | 2 | Nov.30-Jan. 28 | 3 |
| Dec.16-Jan. 14 | 1 | Dec.9-Jan. 22 | 1 |  |  |
| Dec.23-Jan. 21 | $<1$ | Dec.15-Jan. 28 | 1 |  |  |
| No Preference | 6 | No Preference | 5 | No Preference | 4 |


| 30-Day Season |  | 45-Day Season |  | 60-Day Season |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $n=813$ ) |  | ( $n=833$ ) |  | ( $n=879$ ) |  |
| Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent |
| Sept.30-Oct. 29 | 1 | Sept.23-Nov. 6 | 1 | Sept.23-Nov. 21 | 1 |
| Oct.7-Nov. 5 | 1 | Sept.30-Nov. 13 | 1 | Sept.30-Nov. 28 | 1 |
| Oct.14-Nov. 12 | 2 | Oct.7-Nov. 20 | 1 | Oct.7-Dec. 5 | 4 |
| Oct.21-Nov. 19 | 6 | Oct.14-Nov. 27 | 5 | Oct.14-Dec. 12 | 8 |
| Oct.28-Nov. 26 | 16 | Oct.21-Dec. 4 | 13 | Oct.21-Dec. 19 | 20 |
| Nov.4-Dec. 3 | 22 | Oct.28-Dec. 11 | 23 | Oct.28-Dec. 26 | 32 |
| Nov.11-Dec. 10 | 14 | Nov.4-Dec. 18 | 22 | Nov.4-Jan. 2 | 15 |
| Nov.18-Dec. 17 | 12 | Nov.11-Dec. 25 | 13 | Nov.11-Jan. 9 | 7 |
| Nov.25-Dec. 24 | 11 | Nov.18-Jan. 1 | 8 | Nov.18-Jan. 16 | 3 |
| Dec.2-Dec. 31 | 5 | Nov.25-Jan. 8 | 5 | Nov.25-Jan. 23 | 3 |
| Dec.9-Jan. 7 | 3 | Dec.2-Jan. 15 | 1 | Nov.30-Jan. 28 | 2 |
| Dec.16-Jan. 14 | 1 | Dec.9-Jan. 22 | 1 |  |  |
| Dec.23-Jan. 21 | 1 | Dec.15-Jan. 28 | 1 |  |  |
| No Preference | 5 | No Preference | 5 | No Preference | 4 |


| $\frac{\text { 30-Day Season }}{(n=400)}$ |  | $\frac{\text { 45-Day Season }}{(n=409)}$ |  | $\frac{60-\text { Day Season }}{(n=439)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent |
| Sept.30-Oct. 29 | 1 | Sept.23-Nov. 6 | <1 | Sept.23-Nov. 21 | $<1$ |
| Oct.7-Nov. 5 | 1 | Sept.30-Nov. 13 | 1 | Sept.30-Nov. 28 | $<1$ |
| Oct.14-Nov. 12 | 1 | Oct.7-Nov. 20 | 0 | Oct.7-Dec. 5 | 1 |
| Oct.21-Nov. 19 | 1 | Oct.14-Nov. 27 | 1 | Oct.14-Dec. 12 | 1 |
| Oct.28-Nov. 26 | 3 | Oct.21-Dec. 4 | 2 | Oct.21-Dec. 19 | 3 |
| Nov.4-Dec. 3 | 6 | Oct.28-Dec. 11 | 5 | Oct.28-Dec. 26 | 7 |
| Nov.11-Dec. 10 | 10 | Nov.4-Dec. 18 | 8 | Nov.4-Jan. 2 | 11 |
| Nov.18-Dec. 17 | 10 | Nov.11-Dec. 25 | 13 | Nov.11-Jan. 9 | 16 |
| Nov.25-Dec. 24 | 19 | Nov.18-Jan. 1 | 14 | Nov.18-Jan. 16 | 17 |
| Dec.2-Dec. 31 | 9 | Nov.25-Jan. 8 | 20 | Nov.25-Jan. 23 | 20 |
| Dec.9-Jan. 7 | 9 | Dec.2-Jan. 15 | 8 | Nov.30-Jan. 28 | 21 |
| Dec.16-Jan. 14 | 9 | Dec.9-Jan. 22 | 8 |  |  |
| Dec.23-Jan. 21 | 16 | Dec.15-Jan. 28 | 16 |  |  |
| No Preference | 5 | No Preference | 4 | No Preference | 3 |


| 30-Day Season |  | 45-Day Season |  | 60-Day Season |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $n=186$ ) |  | ( $n=188$ ) |  | ( $n=196$ ) |  |
| Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent |
| Sept.30-Oct. 29 | 2 | Sept.23-Nov. 6 | 1 | Sept.23-Nov. 21 | 2 |
| Oct.7-Nov. 5 | 3 | Sept.30-Nov. 13 | 3 | Sept.30-Nov. 28 | 6 |
| Oct.14-Nov. 12 | 8 | Oct.7-Nov. 20 | 8 | Oct.7-Dec. 5 | 13 |
| Oct.21-Nov. 19 | 16 | Oct.14-Nov. 27 | 15 | Oct.14-Dec. 12 | 18 |
| Oct.28-Nov. 26 | 19 | Oct.21-Dec. 4 | 20 | Oct.21-Dec. 19 | 23 |
| Nov.4-Dec. 3 | 19 | Oct.28-Dec. 11 | 18 | Oct.28-Dec. 26 | 17 |
| Nov.11-Dec. 10 | 11 | Nov.4-Dec. 18 | 15 | Nov.4-Jan. 2 | 10 |
| Nov.18-Dec. 17 | 7 | Nov.11-Dec. 25 | 8 | Nov.11-Jan. 9 | 6 |
| Nov.25-Dec. 24 | 7 | Nov.18-Jan. 1 | 5 | Nov.18-Jan. 16 | 1 |
| Dec.2-Dec. 31 | 3 | Nov.25-Jan. 8 | 2 | Nov.25-Jan. 23 | 3 |
| Dec.9-Jan. 7 | 2 | Dec.2-Jan. 15 | 2 | Nov.30-Jan. 28 | 1 |
| Dec.16-Jan. 14 | 2 | Dec.9-Jan. 22 | 1 |  |  |
| Dec.23-Jan. 21 | 1 | Dec.15-Jan. 28 | 2 |  |  |
| No Preference | 0 | No Preference | 0 | No Preference | 0 |


| 30-Day Season |  | 45-Day Season |  | 60-Day Season |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $n=584$ ) |  | ( $n=596$ ) |  | ( $n=635$ ) |  |
| Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent |
| Sept.30-Oct. 29 | $<1$ | Sept.23-Nov. 6 | 0 | Sept.23-Nov. 21 | $<1$ |
| Oct.7-Nov. 5 | 1 | Sept.30-Nov. 13 | 1 | Sept.30-Nov. 28 | 1 |
| Oct.14-Nov. 12 | 1 | Oct.7-Nov. 20 | 1 | Oct.7-Dec. 5 | 3 |
| Oct.21-Nov. 19 | 5 | Oct.14-Nov. 27 | 4 | Oct.14-Dec. 12 | 7 |
| Oct.28-Nov. 26 | 14 | Oct.21-Dec. 4 | 10 | Oct.21-Dec. 19 | 20 |
| Nov.4-Dec. 3 | 20 | Oct.28-Dec. 11 | 19 | Oct.28-Dec. 26 | 23 |
| Nov.11-Dec. 10 | 18 | Nov.4-Dec. 18 | 24 | Nov.4-Jan. 2 | 21 |
| Nov.18-Dec. 17 | 12 | Nov.11-Dec. 25 | 16 | Nov.11-Jan. 9 | 11 |
| Nov.25-Dec. 24 | 12 | Nov.18-Jan. 1 | 11 | Nov.18-Jan. 16 | 5 |
| Dec.2-Dec. 31 | 8 | Nov.25-Jan. 8 | 6 | Nov.25-Jan. 23 | 5 |
| Dec.9-Jan. 7 | 5 | Dec.2-Jan. 15 | 3 | Nov.30-Jan. 28 | 3 |
| Dec.16-Jan. 14 | 3 | Dec.9-Jan. 22 | 3 |  |  |
| Dec.23-Jan. 21 | 1 | Dec.15-Jan. 28 | 2 |  |  |
| No Preference | 0 | No Preference | 1 | No Preference | 1 |


| 30-Day Season |  | 45-Day Season |  | 60-Day Season |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $n=309$ ) |  | ( $n=312$ ) |  | ( $n=329$ ) |  |
| Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent | Dates | Percent |
| Sept.30-Oct. 29 | $<1$ | Sept.23-Nov. 6 | 0 | Sept.23-Nov. 21 | 0 |
| Oct.7-Nov. 5 | $<1$ | Sept.30-Nov. 13 | $<1$ | Sept.30-Nov. 28 | $<1$ |
| Oct.14-Nov. 12 | <1 | Oct.7-Nov. 20 | 0 | Oct.7-Dec. 5 | <1 |
| Oct.21-Nov. 19 | 1 | Oct.14-Nov. 27 | 0 | Oct.14-Dec. 12 | 1 |
| Oct.28-Nov. 26 | 3 | Oct.21-Dec. 4 | 1 | Oct.21-Dec. 19 | 3 |
| Nov.4-Dec. 3 | 5 | Oct.28-Dec. 11 | 3 | Oct.28-Dec. 26 | 5 |
| Nov.11-Dec. 10 | 6 | Nov.4-Dec. 18 | 7 | Nov.4-Jan. 2 | 8 |
| Nov.18-Dec. 17 | 12 | Nov.11-Dec. 25 | 11 | Nov.11-Jan. 9 | 12 |
| Nov.25-Dec. 24 | 16 | Nov.18-Jan. 1 | 15 | Nov.18-Jan. 16 | 20 |
| Dec.2-Dec. 31 | 15 | Nov.25-Jan. 8 | 17 | Nov.25-Jan. 23 | 34 |
| Dec.9-Jan. 7 | 12 | Dec.2-Jan. 15 | 15 | Nov.30-Jan. 28 | 15 |
| Dec.16-Jan. 14 | 23 | Dec.9-Jan. 22 | 22 |  |  |
| Dec.23-Jan. 21 | 6 | Dec.15-Jan. 28 | 7 |  |  |
| No Preference | 1 | No Preference | 2 | No Preference | 2 |

Table 27. Measurements of central tendency for preferred start dates for duck season (2006-2007) in Illinois, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

|  | 30-Day Season |  | 45-Day Season |  | 60-Day Season |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| North Zone | 10/30 | 10/28 | 10/23 | 10/21 | 10/18 | 10/14 |
| Central Zone | 11/09 | 11/04 | 11/02 | 11/04 | 10/27 | 10/28 |
| South Zone | 11/28 | 11/25 | 11/22 | 11/25 | 11/15 | 11/18 |

Table 28. Attitudes of North Zone and Central Zone duck hunters toward having a split duck season in Illinois, 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| North/Central Zone, duck season date options: | Hunters in <br> $\frac{\text { North Zone }}{(n=256)}$ | Hunters in <br> $\frac{\text { Central Zone }}{(n=852)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Split season with season open Oct.21-Oct.29 and Nov.4-Dec.24 | $18 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Split season with season open Oct.21-Dec.10 and Dec.23-Dec.31 | 16 | 11 |
| Split season but with different dates than those listed above | 6 | 5 |
| No split season - retain current 3-zone system | 49 | 59 |
| No opinion | 11 | 11 |

Table 29. Attitudes of South Zone duck hunters toward having a split duck season in Illinois, 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| South Zone, duck season date options: | Hunters in <br> $\frac{\text { South Zone }}{(n=432)}$ <br> Split season with season open Nov.11-Dec.10 and Dec.23-Jan.21. |
| :--- | :---: |
| $22 \%$  <br> Split season with season open Nov.23-Jan.5 and Jan.13-Jan.28 19 <br> Split season but with different dates than those listed above 7 <br> No split season - retain current 3-zone system 41 <br> No opinion 11 |  |

Table 30. Attitudes of duck hunters toward the boundary that separates the North and Central Zones in Illinois in 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Hunters | $n$ | Present Location <br> is About Right | Should be <br> Moved North | Should be <br> Moved South | No <br> Opinion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In North Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Entire zone | 261 | $56 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Boundary counties | 81 | 56 | 4 | 22 | 18 |
| In Central Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entire zone | 882 | 50 | 6 | 21 | 23 |
| Boundary counties | 145 | 63 | 7 | 14 | 16 |

Table 31. Attitudes of duck hunters toward the boundary that separates the Central and South Zones in Illinois in 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| Hunters | $n$ | Present Location <br> is About Right | Should be <br> Moved North | Should be <br> Moved South | No <br> Opinion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In Central Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Entire zone | 859 | $52 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Boundary counties | 68 | 41 | 16 | 18 | 25 |
| In South Zone |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entire zone | 437 | 38 | 11 | 23 | 28 |
| Boundary counties | 105 | 45 | 19 | 20 | 16 |

Table 32. Attitudes of goose hunters toward combinations of season length and bag limit for Canada geese in Illinois, 2005-2006, as estimated by the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| North/Central Zone | Hunters in | Hunters in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season Length and Bag Limit | North Zone | Central Zone |
|  | ( $n=281$ ) | ( $n=590$ ) |
| 75 days with 2 geese | 74\% | 56\% |
| 90 days with 1 goose for first 50 days and 2 geese for last 40 days | 19 | 37 |
| No opinion | 7 | 7 |
| South Zone <br> Season Length and Bag Limit | Hunters in $\frac{\text { South Zone }}{(n=267)}$ |  |
| 58 days with 2 geese | 52\% |  |
| 70 days with 1 goose for first 40 days and 2 geese for last 30 days | 37 |  |
| No opinion | 11 |  |

Appendix A. 2005-2006 Illinois Waterfowl Survey instrument and mailings.
Figure 1. Waterfowl hunting record card.

## 2005-06 Waterfowl Hunting Record - Ducks

(Record your personal harvest only)

| Date of <br> Hunt | County | Area <br> Hunted* | Sept. <br> Teal | Teal | Mallards | Wood <br> Ducks | Canvas <br> -backs | Other <br> Ducks | Coots | Ducks downed <br> not retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Area Hunted - Use these codes: $\mathbf{P N}=$ Public Area (no charge), $\mathbf{P F}=$ Public area (daily fee), $\mathbf{C}=$ Commercial (daily, weekly, seasonal or other fee charged), $\mathbf{N}=$ Noncommercial (private club or private land that does not require payment to landowner)

2005-06 Waterfowl Hunting Record - Geese
(Record your personal harvest only)

| Date of <br> Hunt | County | Area <br> Hunted* | Sept. <br> Canada <br> Geese | Canada <br> Geese | Snow/ <br> Blue Geese | White-fronted <br> (Specklebelly) <br> Geese | Other Geese <br> (Brant, etc.) | Geese <br> downed <br> not retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Area Hunted - Use these codes: $\mathbf{P N}=$ Public Area (no charge), $\mathbf{P F}=$ Public area (daily fee), $\mathbf{C = C o m m e r c i a l ~ ( d a i l y , ~ w e e k l y , ~ s e a s o n a l ~ o r ~}$ other fee charged), $\mathbf{N}=$ Noncommercial (private club or private land that does not require payment to landowner)

Figure 2. Cover letter \#1 sent with first mailing of the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

## Dear Waterfowl Hunter:

You are one of a select group of waterfowl hunters asked to provide information about your hunting activities during the 2005-2006 season. Please complete and return the enclosed questionnaire about your waterfowl harvest and hunting activities in Illinois during the 2005-2006 waterfowl hunting season. Your reply is very important, even if you did not hunt waterfowl or were not successful.

The information you and other selected hunters furnish our biologists is vital for proper waterfowl management and allows us to safeguard waterfowl populations while maximizing hunting opportunities.

This survey is limited to those hunters selected. Please take a few minutes to complete the enclosed questionnaire. Your comments are welcome but please write them on a separate sheet of paper to receive proper attention. A postage-paid envelope is provided for returning the questionnaire to us.

If you are interested in the summary results of this survey, or other hunter and trapper surveys, please visit http://www.inhs.uiuc.edu/cwe/hd/. For more information about hunting and trapping in Illinois, visit http://dnr.state.il.us/orc/Wildlife/index.htm.

Thank you for your time and assistance.
Sincerely,

William L. Anderson<br>Wildlife Harvest and Human Dimensions Research Program

Figure 3. Cover letter \#2 sent with the second mailing of the Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.


Dear Waterfowl Hunter:

As one of a select group of Illinois waterfowl hunters during the 2005-2006 season, you have been asked to provide information about your waterfowl hunting activities. We recently mailed you a survey questionnaire regarding your hunting experiences in Illinois during the 2005-2006 waterfowl season. We have not received your completed questionnaire at this time. Perhaps you recently mailed the questionnaire and it has not yet arrived in our office. If so, we thank you.

If you have not returned your completed questionnaire to us, please do so as soon as possible. We have enclosed another copy of the questionnaire. The information you and other selected hunters furnish our biologists is vital for proper waterfowl management and allows us to safeguard waterfowl populations while maximizing hunting opportunities.

This survey is limited to those hunters selected. Please take a few minutes and complete the enclosed questionnaire even if you were not successful. A postage paid envelope is provided for you to return the questionnaire to us.

Thank you for your time and assistance.
Sincerely,

William L. Anderson
Wildlife Harvest and Human Dimensions Research Program

Figure 4. 2005-2006 Illinois Waterfowl reminder postcard sent after survey mailings.


## Dear Illinois Waterfowl Hunter,

You have been selected to participate in the Illinois Snow Goose Hunter Survey. A survey questionnaire was recently mailed to you. We have not received your questionnaire at this time. If you have returned the questionnaire, we thank you and please disregard this reminder. If you have not returned the questionnaire, please do so as soon as possible. Your input is very important!

Thank you for your cooperation.

# Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey 

## 2005 - 2006 Season



ALL RESPONSES ARE CONFIDENTIAL

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!

Postage-paid return envelope provided


Illinois Department of Natural Resources<br>Division of Wildlife Resources and the<br>Illinois Natural History Survey

The Department of Natural Resources is requesting disclosure of information that is necessary to accomplish the statutory purpose as outlined under the Illinois Compiled Statutes, The Wildlife Code, Chapter 520. Disclosure of information is voluntary.

Illinois Waterfowl Zones
Figure 1. Illinois waterfowl hunting zones and goose quota zones.


Section 1. Waterfowl Hunting in Illinois. Please complete this questionnaire for the past hunting season (September 2005 - January 2006). Include only the waterfowl harvested and days hunted IN ILLINOIS. List only your own hunting activities. Count part of a day as a whole day.

1. Did you hunt waterfowl in Illinois during the 2005-2006 season?
$\qquad$ Yes (Please report harvest in following tables) $\qquad$ No (please go to Question 3)

## DUCK HUNTING

- Record harvest under the species listed
- Note: DO NOT include September teal season here
- DO NOT include number of days if ducks were killed while goose hunting

| County | Number of Days Hunted | Teal (exclude September Season) | Mallards | Wood Ducks | Canvasbacks | Other <br> Ducks | Coots | Ducks downed but not retrieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## GOOSE HUNTING

- Record harvest under the species listed
- Note: DO NOT include September goose season or Conservation Order snow goose season (snow goose season that occurs after regular Canada goose season ends)
- DO NOT include number of days if geese were killed while duck hunting

|  | Number of <br> Days <br> Hunted | Canada Geese <br> ${ }^{* *}$ exclude September <br> Season** | White-fronted <br> (Specklebelly) Geese | Snow/Blue/ <br> Ross' Geese <br> **Regular Season <br> Only | Geese <br> downed but <br> not retrieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

2. If you harvested Canada geese during the regular goose season, please record the number harvested in each of the following zones. (Note: Do not include Canada geese taken in September.)

| Northern IL <br> Non-Quota <br> Counties | Northern IL <br> Quota Zone | Central IL <br> Non-Quota <br> Counties | Central IL <br> Quota Zone | Southern IL <br> Non-Quota <br> Counties | Southern IL <br> Quota Zone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. Did you purchase an Illinois Migratory Waterfowl Stamp for the 2005-2006 season for your personal use? (Please check "Yes" or "No" and list the number you purchased for each of the listed uses)
$\qquad$ Yes, number ( $\qquad$ ) for hunting; number ( $\qquad$ ) for a stamp collection only.
$\qquad$ No
4. Did you hunt geese in the Southern Illinois Quota Zone during the 2005-2006 season?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No (Please go to Question 5)

4a. If you hunted geese in the Southern Illinois Quota Zone during the 2005-2006 season, what type of areas did you hunt?

| Area | Days Hunted | Geese Harvested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-commercial Area (No charge, public or private) |  |  |
| Commercial (Fee charged, public or private) |  |  |

5. Did you take a youth hunting during the 2005 Youth Waterfowl Hunting Days?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No (Please go to Section 2)

5a. If you answered "Yes," please list the age of each youth hunter, and list the number of waterfowl they killed each day. Place a check $(\sqrt{ })$ in the appropriate column if the youth "didn't hunt" on a particular day. (NOTE: Include only youths who actually hunted, and record 0's if the youth hunted but did not harvest any ducks, geese, or coots.)

| Age |  | Harvest - Youth Hunt Day 1 |  |  |  | Harvest - Youth Hunt Day 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hunt | ducks | Geese | coots | hunt | ducks | geese | Coots |
| Youth 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Youth 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Section 2. September Waterfowl Seasons. Please answer the following questions regarding September waterfowl hunting in Illinois.

1. Did you hunt teal during the 2005 September teal season?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No (Please go to Question 2)

1a. If "Yes," please state how many days you hunted and the number of teal you harvested during the 2005 September teal season.
$\qquad$ days hunted $\qquad$ teal harvested
2. Did you hunt Canada geese during the September season (September 1-15, 2005)?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No (Please go to Section 3)

2a. If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, please give the counties, number of days hunted in each, and harvest for the September 2005 Canada goose season.

| County | Days Hunted | Geese Harvested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Section 3. Duck Hunting in Illinois. Please answer the following questions about your hunting activities during the 2005-2006 Illinois duck season and your opinions of future duck seasons in Illinois.

1. In which zone did you hunt ducks most often during the regular duck season in 2005-2006? (Please check only one)
$\qquad$ 1) North Zone $\qquad$ 2) Central Zone $\qquad$ 3) South Zone
2. How IMPORTANT to you are each of the following statements related to duck hunting? (Please circle ONE number that matches your response for each item.)

| Program or Activity | Not <br> Important | Slightly <br> Important | Moderately <br> Important | Very <br> Important | Extremely <br> Important |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hunting early migrating ducks. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Hunting during peak duck migration. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Hunting mallards. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Hunting after freeze-up. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Hunting multiple duck species. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Hunting late migrating ducks. <br> Ending duck season on average <br> date of freeze up. | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |

3. Each year the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service allows either a 30-day, 45-day, or 60 -day duck hunting season with restrictions on when seasons may begin and end. Presented below are possible season dates for each season length. Considering the zone where you do most of your duck hunting, please give us your preferred season dates for all three season frameworks. Note: The 2006 firearm deer season is scheduled to occur November 17-19 and November 30-December 3. (Please indicate your top 2 choices in each column by writing in a " 1 " for your first choice and a " 2 " for your second choice.)

4. What is your opinion of the location of the current boundaries that separate the three basic duck hunting Zones in Illinois? (Please circle ONE number in each row)
${ }^{* *}$ NOTE: Please consider what impact changes in zone boundaries may have on season dates for the zone in which you hunt most often. If you feel either of the zone boundaries should be moved, please draw your suggested zone boundary changes on the map that's on the back of the enclosed letter and return with questionnaire.*

|  | Present location is <br> about right | Should be moved <br> further north | Should be moved <br> further south | No opinion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boundary between North and <br> Central Zones | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Boundary between Central and <br> South Zones | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

4a. Please draw your suggested zone boundary changes on the map that's on the back of the enclosed letter and return with questionnaire.
5. By Federal rule, Illinois may split the duck season into 2 time segments only if 2 (or no) zones are used. One option is to combine the current North and Central Zones into one zone (North/Central Zone) and keep the current South Zone. Splitting the duck season permits early season hunting and late season hunting, allows an extra weekend of hunting, and creates another opening day. However, a migration of ducks could be missed during the middle (closed) period, and a change to a 2zone system would have to be retained for 5 years by Federal rule. With these thoughts in mind, please indicate how you feel about the following suggestions for split duck seasons in Illinois. (Note: Goose zones could remain in the current 3-zone configuration.)

5a. For the North/Central Zone, I prefer the following dates for duck season (circle only one).
(1) Split season with season open October 21-October 29 and November 4-December 24.
(2) Split season with season open October 21-December 10 and December 23-December 31.
(3) Split season but with different dates than those listed above.
(4) No split season-retain current 3-zone system.
(5) No opinion.

5b. For the South Zone, I prefer the following dates for duck season (circle only one).
(1) Split season with season open November 11-December 10 and December 23-January 21.
(2) Split season with season open November 23-January 5 and January 13-January 28.
(3) Split season but with different dates than those listed above.
(4) No split season-retain current 3-zone system.
(5) No opinion.

Section 4. Goose Hunting in Illinois. Please answer the following questions about your hunting activities during the 2005-2006 Illinois goose season and your opinions of future goose seasons in Illinois.

1. In which zone did you hunt geese most often during the regular goose season in 2005-2006? (Please check only one.)
__1) North Zone
2) Central Zone
3) South Zone
2. Which of the following combinations of season length and daily bag limit do you prefer for Canada goose hunting in the North and Central Zones? (Note: Both combinations are examples and both are projected to harvest about the same number of geese.)

75-day season with a 2-goose bag limit.
90-day season with a 1-goose bag limit during the first 50 days and a 2-goose bag limit during the last 40 days.
$\qquad$ No opinion.
3. Which of the following combinations of season length and daily bag limit do you prefer for Canada goose hunting in the South Zone? (Note: Both combinations are examples and both are projected to harvest about the same number of geese.)
___ 58-day season with a 2-goose bag limit.
70-day season with a 1-goose bag limit during the first 40 days and a 2-goose bag limit during the last 30 days.
No opinion.

Section 5. Waterfowl Hunter Information. The following questions will help us understand more about the people involved in waterfowl hunting in Illinois. Please tell us something about yourself by answering the following questions. All responses are kept confidential.

1. How many years have you hunted waterfowl? $\qquad$ years
2. What is your county of residence? $\qquad$ County
3. How old were you when the 2005 waterfowl season began (September 1)? $\qquad$ years

This study is funded by federal dollars from Wildlife Restoration Funds
through your purchase of hunting arms and ammunition.

## RETURN ENVELOPE IS PROVIDED - POSTAGE-PAID THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND ASSISTANCE!

Your input will help us understand more about waterfowl hunters and hunting in Illinois.
The Illinois Department of Natural Resources receives federal assistance and therefore must comply with federal anti-discrimination laws. In compliance with the Illinois Human Rights Act, the Illinois Constitution, Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act as amended, and the U.S. Constitution, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, national origin, age, or disability. If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility, please contact the Equal Employment Opportunity Officer, Department of Natural Resources, 524 S. Second St., Springfield, IL 62701-1787, (217) 782-7616 or the Officer of Human Resources, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 20240.

Appendix B．2005－2006 Illinois waterfowl hunting regulations．
（a）Early Goose Season Closure．The DNR will close the Canada goose，snow／blue and Ross＇
$\begin{aligned} & \text { goose，brant and white－fronted goose season early in a quota zone and the entire zone with－} \\ & \text { in which a quota zone lies by giving } 48 \text { hours notice when quotas are reached in a quota zone．}\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { in which a quota zone lies by giving } 48 \text { hours notice when quotas are reached in a quota zone．} \\ & \text { Call toll－free，1－877－249－3679 for information on season closures．Entire zones will be closed }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Illinois Quota Zone will close the South Zone．} \\ & \text {（b）During Jan．} 29,30 \text { and } 31 \text { hunting hours end at sunset．}\end{aligned}$
 －Daily bag limit is five in Northeast Canada Goose Zone and two in the South Zone and that portion of the North
\＆Central Zones outside the Northeast Canada Goose Zone．

| YOUTH WATERFOWL HUNTING DAYS＊ |  |  | DAILY BAG LIMIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPECIES | DATES | HOURS |  |
| Ducks |  |  | Bag Limits) $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { (Seee section on } \\ \text { Bag Limits) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Mergansers | North Zone Oct．8－9 |  | 5 <br> （See section on Bag Limits） |
| Coots | Central Zone | before | 15 |
| Canada Geese | －23 | to sunset | 2 |
| Snow Geese | South Zone |  | 20 |
| White－fronted Geese | Nov．12－13 |  | CLOSED－North 8 Central．South－2 |
| Brants |  |  | 1 |

＊Youth Waterfowl Hunting Day Regulations
1．Youth hunters must be 15 years of age or younger and must have a hunting license and HIP registration number uniess hunting on property where they reside． 2．Only geese，ducks，mergansers and coots may be taken in adit least 18 years of age must accompany the youth hunter into the field．This adult cannot hunt geese， Bag Limit－DUCKS－Bag limits on ducks are as follows：The basic daily bag limit shall be 6 ducks of any species including all teal species，（except mergansers）；however，no more than 4 shall be mallards（no
more than 2 hen mallards）and not more than 2 scaup， 2 wood ducks， 2 redheads，and not more than 1 more than 2 hen mallards）and not more than 2 scaup， 2 wood ducks， 2 Yocheads，antowl Hunting Days and during 30－day seasons included above．Daily bag limit is 1 canvasback within total daily bag The possession limit for ducks and mergansers is twice the daily bag limit．

Appendix C. County/state of residence of hunters who responded to the 2005-2006 Illinois Waterfowl Hunter Survey.

| n | County | n | County | n | County | n | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | Adams | 23 | Grundy | 19 | Mason | 8 | Warren |
| 2 | Alexander | 2 | Hamilton | 8 | Massac | 14 | Washington |
| 8 | Bond | 9 | Hancock | 2 | Menard | 1 | Wayne |
| 6 | Boone | 0 | Hardin | 7 | Mercer | 5 | White |
| 1 | Brown | 2 | Henderson | 12 | Monroe | 32 | Whiteside |
| 31 | Bureau | 11 | Henry | 11 | Montgomery | 89 | Will |
| 7 | Calhoun | 10 | Iroquois | 18 | Morgan | 91 | Williamson |
| 8 | Carroll | 31 | Jackson | 1 | Moultrie | 29 | Winnebago |
| 30 | Cass | 5 | Jasper | 5 | Ogle | 15 | Woodford |
| 15 | Champaign | 23 | Jefferson | 67 | Peoria | 1,728 | Total Residents |
| 8 | Christian | 25 | Jersey | 12 | Perry |  |  |
| 4 | Clark | 5 | JoDaviess | 5 | Piatt |  |  |
| 2 | Clay | 8 | Johnson | 7 | Pike | 2 | Arkansas |
| 15 | Clinton | 32 | Kane | 0 | Pope | 1 | Colorado |
| 8 | Coles | 26 | Kankakee | 4 | Pulaski | 2 | Florida |
| 108 | Cook | 9 | Kendall | 1 | Putnam | 1 | Georgia |
| 2 | Crawford | 12 | Knox | 10 | Randolph | 23 | Indiana |
| 1 | Cumberland | 40 | Lake | 0 | Richland | 35 | Iowa |
| 9 | DeKalb | 34 | LaSalle | 26 | Rock Island | 11 | Kentucky |
| 1 | DeWitt | 1 | Lawrence | 48 | St. Clair | 1 | Michigan |
| 5 | Douglas | 4 | Lee | 4 | Saline | 3 | Minnesota |
| 26 | DuPage | 13 | Livingston | 42 | Sangamon | 63 | Missouri |
| 11 | Edgar | 5 | Logan | 6 | Schuyler | 1 | Nebraska |
| 0 | Edwards | 6 | McDonough | 1 | Scott | 1 | Nevada |
| 16 | Effingham | 37 | McHenry | 1 | Shelby | 1 | New York |
| 3 | Fayette | 28 | McLean | 2 | Stark | 2 | North Carolina |
| 2 | Ford | 18 | Macon | 5 | Stephenson | 6 | Tennessee |
| 25 | Franklin | 20 | Macoupin | 108 | Tazewell | 2 | Texas |
| 37 | Fulton | 81 | Madison | 17 | Union | 1 | Virginia |
| 0 | Gallatin | 10 | Marion | 6 | Vermilion | 6 | Wisconsin |
| 9 | Greene | 20 | Marshall | 4 | Wabash | 162 | Total Non-residents |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Teal, ducks, coots, and geese combined, and including September teal and Canada goose seasons and youth hunt. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service suspended the September teal season in 1988 through 1991.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Stamps purchased for commercial art purposes. These stamps were not included in the numbers to the left.
    ${ }^{c}$ Estimates of waterfowl hunters and days afield for these years reduced to $92.48 \%-96.48 \%$ of the original estimates. Estimates of waterfowl (teal, ducks, coots, and geese combined) harvested reduced to $94.54 \%-97.74 \%$ of original estimates. See Anderson and Williamson (1994) for explanation.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The September teal season was suspended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service during these years.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The $95 \%$ confidence interval is $\pm 4,039$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The September teal season was suspended by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service during these years.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ One day.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Two days.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Could not hunt geese during the Youth Waterfowl Hunting Day in 1996 and 1997.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimates of duck hunters, days afield, ducks and coots harvested for these years have been reduced to
    $92.48 \%-96.48 \%$ of the original estimates. See Anderson and Williamson (1994) for explanation.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Includes 3,760 canvasback in 1994, 5,393 canvasback in 1995, 4,348 canvasback in 1996, 5,800 in 1997, 3,948 in 1998, 4,977 in 1999, 4,231 in 2000, 1,968 in 2001, 851 in 2002, 1,789 in 2003, 2,100 in 2004 and 3,918 in 2005. The canvasback season was closed in 2000, and was limited to 30 days in 2001, 2003, and 2004.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The $95 \%$ confidence interval is $+55,476$.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The $95 \%$ confidence interval is $\pm 2,620$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Alexander, Jackson, Union, and Williamson counties.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Franklin and Jefferson counties.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Bond, Clinton, and Fayette counties.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Point System was used in 1981-1987. A maximum of 10 ducks (4 mallards, 2 hens) was allowed in 1981-1984, and a maximum of 5 ducks ( 3 mallards, 1 hen) was allowed in 1985-1987. The Conventional (Straight) System was used in 1988-2005.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cook, Du Page, Grundy, Kane, Kankakee, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will counties (bag limit = 5).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Excluding those counties in the Northeast Zone (bag limit = 2).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Excluding SIQZ.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Less than the sum of hunters in individual zones because some hunters hunted in more than one area.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of geese harvested coincidentally to duck hunting.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The estimates of goose hunters and days hunted for these years have been reduced to $92.48 \%-96.48 \%$ of the original estimates. The estimates for geese harvested have not been reduced. See Anderson and Williamson (1994) for explanation.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Reduced by 23,151 from estimate given in last year’s report so it does not include Conservation Order snow goose harvest.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The $95 \%$ confidence interval is $\pm 21,876$.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Alexander, Jackson, Union, and Williamson counties.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Franklin and Jefferson counties.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Bond, Clinton, and Fayette counties.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The total is less than the sum of the above values because some hunters were active in $>1$ zone.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Regular goose seasons.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Not listed in questionnaire.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Reduced by 23,151 from estimate given in previous year's report so it does not include Conservation Order snow goose harvest.

