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#### Abstract

A random sample of 3,000 potential hunters was selected from among 2007 Illinois Habitat Stamp and hunting license purchasers. An 8-page questionnaire was successfully mailed to 2,906 of the 3,000 individuals. We received 1,625 returned questionnaires, 1,620 of which were usable, for a $56 \%$ response rate. Comparisons of harvest estimates from the 2006-2007 season to the 2007-2008 season suggest harvest decreased for 10 game animal categories (rabbit, quail, pheasant, dove, gray partridge, fox squirrel, gray squirrel, red fox, gray fox, and opossum), and increased for 3 categories (woodcock, raccoon, and coyote). License sales increased $0.4 \%$ from 282,000 sold for the 2006 season to 283,000 sold in the 2007 season. This represents a recovery in license sales of less than $1 \%$ since the lowest level on record (since 1938) in 2005. Low license sales may be related to increasing difficulty in finding land to hunt. Resident hunters pursuing deer (61\%) and small game species (51\%) reported little difficulty in gaining access to hunting lands. However, all other seasons were associated with more difficulty in accessing land. Efforts should be undertaken to ensure that hunters are aware of the locations of public hunting lands associated with these seasons. Few residents reported leasing land (4\%) or hiring outfitters (1\%) to access land.


## OBJECTIVE

To survey resident hunters (18-24 game hunting categories) annually to determine their activities and harvests in Illinois as well as their characteristics, attitudes and opinions toward specific wildlife issues.

## METHODS

A random sample of 3,000 addresses was selected from Illinois resident hunting license and state habitat stamp purchaser data (1,500 addresses of resident hunting license purchasers and 1,500
addresses of resident habitat stamp purchasers). Methods for survey questionnaire mailings and follow-up reminders followed those of Miller et al. (1999). Recipients were mailed a selfadministered, 8-page questionnaire (Appendix A), a cover letter (Appendix B) and postage-paid return envelope on 3 April 2008. A thank you/reminder postcard was sent to non-respondents on 23 April 2008 (Appendix C). On 12 May 2008 a second questionnaire, cover letter (Appendix D), and return envelope were mailed to non-respondents. The second questionnaire was followed by a postcard thank you/reminder on 27 May 2008. Data were coded, entered, and analyzed using SPSS 14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Estimates of the number of hunters ( Hunt $_{s p}$ ), days hunted ( Days $_{s p}$ ), and harvest ( $\operatorname{Harv}_{s p}$ ) by species were made as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hunt }_{s p}=\frac{L_{t}}{n} \text { Hunt }_{r}, \\
& \text { Days }_{s p}=\frac{L_{t}}{n} \text { Days }_{r}, \\
& \text { Harv }_{s p}=\frac{L_{t}}{n} \text { Harv }_{r},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{t}=$ total number of resident hunting licenses sold in 2007-2008, $n=$ number of respondents to 2006-2007 Illinois Hunter Harvest survey, Hunt ${ }_{r}=$ number of respondents to 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest survey who reported hunting for each species, $\operatorname{Harv}_{r}=$ total harvest of each species reported by respondents to 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest survey, and Days $_{r}=$ total number of days spent hunting each species reported by respondents to 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest survey.

Estimated number of hunters, harvest and days hunted were used to calculate the percent of license holders pursuing each species $\left(L i c_{s p}\right)$, average daily $\left(\overline{\operatorname{Bag}}_{\text {sp,day }}\right)$ and season bags $\left(\overline{\operatorname{Bag}}_{\text {sp,season }}\right)$, and average days hunting by species $\left(\overline{\operatorname{Days}}_{\text {sp }}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Lic }_{s p}=\frac{\text { Hunt }_{s p}}{L_{t}} \times 100, \\
\overline{\operatorname{Bag}}_{\text {sp,day }}=\frac{\text { Harv }_{s p}}{\text { Days }_{s p}}, \\
\overline{\operatorname{Bag}}_{\text {sp,season }}=\frac{\text { Harv }_{s p}}{\text { Hunt }_{s p}}, \\
\overline{\text { Days }}_{\text {sp }}=\frac{\text { Days }_{s p}}{\text { Hunt }_{s p}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Confidence intervals were also calculated for species harvests, hunters, and days hunted as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
95 \% \mathrm{CI}\left[\text { Hunt }_{s p}\right]= \pm 2 L_{t} \sqrt{\frac{p q}{n}}, \\
95 \% \text { CI }\left[\text { Days }_{s p}\right]= \pm \frac{1.96 s}{\sqrt{\text { Hunt }_{r}}}, \\
95 \% \mathrm{CI}\left[\text { Harv }_{s p}\right]= \pm 2 L_{t}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left(\frac{L_{t}-\text { Hunt }_{r}}{L_{t}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $p=$ proportion of $n$ who hunted referent species, $q=(1-p)$, and $s=$ standard deviation of $\overline{B a g}_{\text {sp, season }}$.

A summary of survey results is presented in Appendix A. Season dates, bag limits, and shooting hours for the 2007-2008 hunting seasons are presented in Appendix E. Maps of wildlife management units and administrative regions in Illinois are presented in Appendices F and G.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Survey Response

Of the original 3,000 surveys sent, 94 were undeliverable, leaving a usable sample of 2,906 addresses. A total of 1,625 respondents returned questionnaires, 1,620 of which were valid, for a $56 \%$ response rate. Nearly all respondents were male (95\%). Respondents had a mean age of 47 years ( $n=$ 1,585, range: $10-92$ ) as of October 1, 2007. Respondents resided in all of the 102 counties in the state (Appendix H). Most respondents currently reside in rural areas (49\%) or small towns (26\%).

## License Sales

Resident hunting license sales for the 2007-2008 hunting seasons totaled 283,000 ; this represents a $0.4 \%$ increase from 2006-2007 sales (Table 1). In 2005-2006 license sales dropped to the lowest level on record since 1938, and since have recovered by less than $1 \%$, from 280,000 in 20052006 to 283,000 in 2007-2008. This trend could be a result of hunters losing access to land they currently hunt.

A majority (59\%) of respondents purchased their Illinois hunting license or habitat stamp at a chain retail store such as Wal-mart or Dick's Sporting Goods. In addition, 28\% purchased their license or stamp at an independent retail store such as a local grocery or bait store, and $17 \%$ used the IDNR website to purchase their license or stamp. Few respondents purchased licenses or stamps at IDNR central or regional offices (1.5\%) or over the phone ( $0.1 \%$ ). Almost all respondents ( $95 \%$ ) purchased a license or stamp without problems; this represents a $3 \%$ decline from 2006. Of the $5 \%$ of respondents who did report problems with purchase, most were related to lack of knowledge of hunting regulations by individuals selling licenses, store staff lacking efficiency, machine malfunction in stores, and objections to providing a social security number to obtain a license.

## Harvest

Of the 1,620 respondents to the hunter harvest survey, $1,449(89 \%)$ reported hunting in Illinois during the 2007-2008 season. Harvest estimates represent game species harvested by Illinois resident hunters and do not include harvests by non-residents. Including harvest by non-resident hunters, especially for species such as white-tailed deer and mourning doves, may result in larger, but more accurate, estimates of total harvest. Except for statewide estimates (Table 2), data for big game species (i.e., white-tailed deer and wild turkey) are not included in this report. See Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) publications specific to these species for detailed information (e.g. Lischka et al. 2006).

Statewide estimates of harvest for the 24 game animals included in this survey are presented in Table 2, and confidence intervals are provided for 13 of these species in Table 3. Harvest estimates for these same 13 species are summarized by wildlife management unit (WMU), administrative region, and year (1997 to 2007) in Tables 4-34. Comparisons of harvest estimates suggest statewide harvest during the 2007-2008 season decreased from 2006-2007 levels for 10 game species: rabbit, quail, pheasant, dove, gray partridge, fox squirrel, gray squirrel, red fox, gray fox, and opossum. Increases in harvest occurred for woodcock, raccoon, and coyote (Table 34). Sample size for several species (gray partridge, woodcock, snipe, rail, crow, ground hog, raccoon, red fox, gray fox and opossum) was very small, and limits the confidence placed on estimates of harvest, effort and hunters for these species.

## Squirrel Hunting Participation

Among respondents who reported hunting squirrels (22\%) in the 2007-2008 season, $42 \%$ were unaware the squirrel season in Illinois was extended to 15 February in 2008. Among all survey respondents (whether or not they hunted squirrels in 2007-2008), $61 \%$ were unaware the squirrel season in Illinois was extended. Despite a lengthened squirrel hunting season in Illinois, estimated harvest for gray
squirrels in 2007 was 395,401 , a $40 \%$ decrease from 2006. In addition, fox squirrel harvest was estimated at 348,791 , representing a $25 \%$ decrease from 2006. Squirrel hunting occurred most often in September ( $\mathrm{n}=190$ ) and least often during the two extended months of January ( $n=32$ ) and February ( $n=17$ ). Extended season dates should be emphasized on the IDNR hunting website (http://dnr.state.il.us/admin/), as well as the Digest of Hunting and Trapping Regulations, in order to increase awareness of and participation in the extended months.

## Access to Hunting Land in Illinois

A majority ( $85 \%$ ) of resident hunters did not hunt on any controlled hunting areas or shooting preserves during the 2007-2008 hunting seasons. Of the $15 \%$ of hunters who did hunt on these lands, most hunted for pheasants $(n=172)$, quail $(n=49)$ or doves $(n=46)$. These three species also represented the most commonly hunted on controlled hunting areas or shooting preserves during the 2006-2007 season. Estimated harvest and effort for controlled hunting areas is summarized in Table 35. Resident hunters hunted in controlled hunting areas most commonly in Iroquois, McHenry, and Cass counties (Table 36). In the previous year, respondents utilized controlled hunting areas of Will, McHenry, and Clinton counties most commonly.

Most (55\%) resident hunters in Illinois hunt on land owned by a family member or friend.
Despite the majority of hunters hunting on land owned by someone they know, many report difficulty finding a place to hunt in Illinois (Table 37). This was especially true for upland game (66\%) and waterfowl (67\%) hunters. A majority of deer hunters (61\%) and small game hunters (51\%) reported no difficulty finding hunting land.

Few resident hunters (4\%) leased land to hunt in Illinois. Of those respondents who did report leasing land, deer (30\%), waterfowl (18\%), and turkey (15\%) were the most commonly pursued species on leased land. Another $12 \%$ of respondents were able to hunt any species they liked on land
they leased. Hunters who leased land paid an average of $\$ 682$ per person ( $n=40$, range: $\$ 65-\$ 3,000$ ) and $\$ 2,917$ per party ( $n=35$, range: $\$ 200-\$ 11,000$ ) to lease hunting land.

Nearly all resident hunters (99\%) hunted without the assistance of an outfitter in Illinois. Of the $1 \%(n=11)$ of hunters who did hire an outfitter, most used the outfitter to hunt deer $(28 \%)$, upland game birds (28\%) or waterfowl (20\%). Hunters who hired an outfitter paid an average of $\$ 827(n=10$, range: $\$ 50-\$ 3,700)$ for services provided.

More than half of hunters in all species groups except deer and small game reported some difficulty finding hunting land. Challenges in land access appear not to have reached a point that leasing and outfitting have become common; however, total resident license sales in the past three years have been the lowest on record. In the 2002 report, Hunter Access to Private Lands in Illinois, a majority of hunters (57\%) reported that losing access to the lands they currently hunt would result in decreased hunting effort, and $15 \%$ of hunters indicated they would be forced to give up hunting if they lost access to the lands they currently hunt (Miller et al. 2002). Efforts to ensure that hunters have access to information regarding public hunting lands should be sustained in order to provide alternatives when hunting lands become unavailable. Additionally, continued and increased efforts to provide free access to public and private land in Illinois for hunting may help discourage resident hunters from going out of state to hunt or dropping out of the hunting population.

Future surveys should inquire into specific reasons why hunters are finding land to be less accessible and/or why private land owners are decreasing accessibility of their lands. Hunters would benefit from a link with private landowners provided through regional offices or the IDNR website.
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## TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Number of resident hunting licenses purchased in Illinois, 1938-2007.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Licenses } \\ & \text { (x } 1,000) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Year | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Licenses } \\ & \text { (x } 1,000) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1938 | 294 | 1973 | 478 |
| 1939 | 322 | 1974 | 470 |
| 1940 | 297 | 1975 | 463 |
| 1941 | 337 | 1976 | 458 |
| 1942 | 309 | 1977 | 424 |
| 1943 | 265 | 1978 | 389 |
| 1944 | 308 | 1979 | $344{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1945 | 321 | 1980 | 337 |
| 1946 | 423 | 1981 | 338 |
| 1947 | 412 | 1982 | 328 |
| 1948 | 451 | 1983 | 315 |
| 1949 | 497 | 1984 | 310 |
| 1950 | 481 | 1985 | 307 |
| 1951 | 477 | 1986 | 311 |
| 1952 | 493 | 1987 | 317 |
| 1953 | 508 | 1988 | 323 |
| 1954 | 506 | 1989 | 317 |
| 1955 | 521 | 1990 | 330 |
| 1956 | 553 | 1991 | 336 |
| 1957 | 503 | 1992 | 317 |
| 1958 | 502 | 1993 | 313 |
| 1959 | 492 | 1994 | $326{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1960 | 471 | 1995 | 324 |
| 1961 | 463 | 1996 | 316 |
| 1962 | 473 | 1997 | 305 |
| 1963 | 482 | 1998 | 301 |
| 1964 | 474 | 1999 | 294 |
| 1965 | 452 | 2000 | 290 |
| 1966 | 470 | 2001 | 296 |
| 1967 | 481 | 2002 | 289 |
| 1968 | 399 | 2003 | 293 |
| 1969 | 427 | 2004 | 300 |
| 1970 | 448 | 2005 | 280 |
| 1971 | 464 | 2006 | 282 |
| 1972 | 446 | 2007 | 283 |

Table 2. Summary of statewide hunter participation, harvest, and days hunting for 24 species from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=1,620$ ).

| Species | $n$ | Total Estimated Hunters ( Hunt $_{\text {sp }}$ ) | Percent of License Sales ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( $L i c_{\text {sp }}$ ) | Average Bag |  | Total Estimated Harvest $\left(\right.$ Harv $_{\text {sp }}$ ) | Days Hunting |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\left(\frac{\text { Daily }}{}_{\overline{B a g}}^{s p, d a y}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{\text { Season }}{B a g}_{\text {sp,season }}\right)$ |  | Average <br> $\left(\overline{D a y s}_{s p}\right)$ | Total Estimated (Days ${ }_{\text {sp }}$ ) |
| Rabbit | 281 | 49,054 | 17.35\% | 0.90 | 4.97 | 243,874 | 5.53 | 271,107 |
| Quail | 141 | 24,614 | 8.70\% | 1.34 | 7.67 | 188,710 | 5.74 | 141,227 |
| Pheasant | 206 | 35,961 | 12.72\% | 0.58 | 2.86 | 102,822 | 4.95 | 177,887 |
| Dove | 297 | 51,847 | 18.33\% | 5.38 | 21.62 | 1,120,739 | 4.02 | 208,437 |
| Gray Partridge | 2 | 349 | 0.12\% | 1.50 | 1.50 | 524 | 1.00 | 349 |
| Woodcock | 3 | 524 | 0.19\% | 0.60 | 1.00 | 524 | 1.67 | 873 |
| Snipe | 2 | 349 | 0.12\% | 1.00 | 2.50 | 873 | 2.50 | 873 |
| Rail | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| Crow | 30 | 5,237 | 1.85\% | 3.38 | 8.90 | 46,610 | 2.63 | 13,791 |
| Ground Hog | 9 | 1,571 | 0.56\% | 0.62 | 8.11 | 12,744 | 13.00 | 20,425 |
| Fox Squirrel | 288 | 50,276 | 17.78\% | 1.15 | 6.94 | 348,791 | 6.01 | 302,355 |
| Gray Squirrel | 252 | 43,992 | 15.56\% | 1.40 | 8.99 | 395,401 | 6.44 | 283,327 |
| Turkey-Spring | 299 | 52,196 | 18.46\% | 0.12 | 0.52 | 27,058 | 4.30 | 224,322 |
| Turkey-Fall Shotgun | 61 | 10,649 | 3.77\% | 0.07 | 0.21 | 2,269 | 2.82 | 30,026 |
| Turkey-Fall Archery | 109 | 19,028 | 6.73\% | 0.01 | 0.16 | 2,968 | 17.01 | 323,653 |
| Deer-Regular Firearm | 1,032 | 180,156 | 63.70\% | 0.18 | 0.77 | 139,132 | 4.31 | 776,662 |
| Deer-Muzzleloader | 165 | 28,804 | 10.19\% | 0.18 | 0.42 | 12,045 | 2.38 | 68,606 |
| Deer-Archery | 608 | 106,139 | 37.53\% | 0.03 | 0.62 | 65,289 | 20.25 | 2,149,480 |
| Deer-Late winter antlerless | 318 | 55,513 | 19.63\% | 0.15 | 0.32 | 17,632 | 2.08 | 115,740 |
| Raccoon | 52 | 9,078 | 3.21\% | 1.02 | 13.46 | 122,199 | 13.15 | 119,406 |
| Red Fox | 12 | 2,095 | 0.74\% | 0.08 | 0.58 | 1,222 | 7.42 | 15,537 |
| Gray Fox | 2 | 349 | 0.12\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 15.00 | 5,237 |
| Coyote | 196 | 34,216 | 12.10\% | 0.26 | 3.02 | 103,345 | 11.41 | 390,513 |
| Opossum | 11 | 1,920 | 0.68\% | 0.76 | 4.82 | 9,252 | 6.36 | 12,220 |

[^0]Table 3. $95 \%$ confidence intervals for estimates of number of hunters, season bag and harvest, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=1,620$ ).

| Species <br> Rabbit | $\frac{n}{281}$ | Total Estimated Hunters |  |  | Estimated Average Season Bag |  |  | Total Estimated Harvest |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 49,054 | $\pm$ | 5,321 | 4.97 | $\pm$ | 1.11 | 243,874 | $\pm$ | 61,489 |
| Quail | 141 | 24,614 | $\pm$ | 3,961 | 7.67 | $\pm$ | 3.14 | 188,710 | $\pm$ | 84,274 |
| Pheasant | 206 | 35,961 | $\pm$ | 4,682 | 2.86 | $\pm$ | 0.53 | 102,822 | $\pm$ | 23,451 |
| Dove | 297 | 51,847 | $\pm$ | 5,438 | 21.62 | $\pm$ | 3.61 | 1,120,739 | $\pm$ | 223,903 |
| Gray Partridge | 2 | 349 | $\pm$ | 493 | 1.50 | $\pm$ | 2.94 | 524 | $\pm$ | 984 |
| Woodcock | 3 | 524 | $\pm$ | 604 | 1.00 | $\pm$ | 0.00 | 524 | $\pm$ | 562 |
| Fox Squirrel | 288 | 50,276 | $\pm$ | 5,373 | 6.94 | $\pm$ | 1.13 | 348,791 | $\pm$ | 68,647 |
| Gray Squirrel | 252 | 43,992 | $\pm$ | 5,093 | 8.99 | $\pm$ | 1.96 | 395,401 | $\pm$ | 99,123 |
| Raccoon | 52 | 9,078 | $\pm$ | 2,477 | 13.46 | $\pm$ | 4.48 | 122,199 | $\pm$ | 52,969 |
| Red Fox | 12 | 2,095 | $\pm$ | 1,205 | 0.58 | $\pm$ | 0.51 | 1,222 | $\pm$ | 1,124 |
| Gray Fox | 2 | 349 | $\pm$ | 493 | 0.00 | $\pm$ | 0.00 | 0 | $\pm$ | 0 |
| Coyote | 196 | 34,216 | $\pm$ | 4,583 | 3.02 | $\pm$ | 0.72 | 103,345 | $\pm$ | 28,507 |
| Opossum | 11 | 1,920 | $\pm$ | 1,154 | 4.82 | $\pm$ | 1.81 | 9,252 | $\pm$ | 6,464 |

Table 4. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for rabbits by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=281)$.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 19 | 3,317 | 6.76 | 0.78 | 6.00 | 19,901 | 25,487 |
| Northeast Moraine | 5 | 873 | 1.78 | 0.38 | 3.00 | 2,619 | 6,983 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 11 | 1,920 | 3.91 | 0.55 | 3.64 | 6,983 | 12,744 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 34 | 5,935 | 12.10 | 1.34 | 8.03 | 47,658 | 35,612 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 26 | 4,539 | 9.25 | 0.83 | 4.69 | 21,298 | 25,662 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 5 | 873 | 1.78 | 1.68 | 11.40 | 9,950 | 5,935 |
| Grand Prairie | 86 | 15,013 | 30.60 | 0.60 | 2.63 | 39,453 | 65,813 |
| Southern Plain | 67 | 11,696 | 23.84 | 1.09 | 6.78 | 79,255 | 72,447 |
| Wabash Border | 20 | 3,491 | 7.12 | 0.83 | 3.20 | 11,172 | 13,442 |
| Shawnee Hills | 8 | 1,397 | 2.85 | 0.80 | 4.00 | 5,586 | 6,983 |

Table 5. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for quail by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=141)$.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 6 | 1,047 | 4.26 | 0.48 | 1.67 | 1,746 | 3,666 |
| Northeast Moraine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Border-North | 10 | 1,746 | 7.09 | 1.40 | 14.30 | 24,964 | 17,806 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 16 | 2,793 | 11.35 | 1.78 | 9.44 | 26,360 | 14,838 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 12 | 2,095 | 8.51 | 0.76 | 4.67 | 9,776 | 12,918 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 5 | 873 | 3.55 | 3.21 | 15.40 | 13,442 | 4,190 |
| Grand Prairie | 32 | 5,586 | 22.70 | 0.56 | 2.31 | 12,918 | 23,043 |
| Southern Plain | 40 | 6,983 | 28.37 | 1.59 | 11.75 | 82,048 | 51,673 |
| Wabash Border | 16 | 2,793 | 11.35 | 1.31 | 5.81 | 16,235 | 12,394 |
| Shawnee Hills | 4 | 698 | 2.84 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 1,222 | 698 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 6. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for pheasants by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=206$ ).

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 26 | 4,539 | 12.62 | 0.48 | 2.77 | 12,569 | 26,186 |
| Northeast Moraine | 14 | 2,444 | 6.80 | 1.11 | 3.64 | 8,903 | 8,030 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 6 | 1,047 | 2.91 | 0.58 | 6.50 | 6,808 | 11,696 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 1 | 175 | 0.49 | 2.00 | 10.00 | 1,746 | 873 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 7 | 1,222 | 3.40 | 0.36 | 2.57 | 3,142 | 8,729 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 10 | 1,746 | 4.85 | 1.19 | 6.30 | 10,998 | 9,252 |
| Grand Prairie | 125 | 21,821 | 60.68 | 0.53 | 2.30 | 50,102 | 95,315 |
| Southern Plain | 16 | 2,793 | 7.77 | 0.51 | 3.06 | 8,554 | 16,933 |
| Wabash Border | 1 | 175 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 873 |
| Shawnee Hills | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 7. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for doves by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=297)$.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 13 | 2,269 | 4.38 | 4.59 | 13.08 | 29,677 | 6,459 |
| Northeast Moraine | 15 | 2,619 | 5.05 | 5.12 | 17.73 | 46,436 | 9,078 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 12 | 2,095 | 4.04 | 2.64 | 14.08 | 29,502 | 11,172 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 40 | 6,983 | 13.47 | 4.69 | 18.40 | 128,484 | 27,407 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 25 | 4,364 | 8.42 | 7.63 | 33.88 | 147,861 | 19,377 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 13 | 2,269 | 4.38 | 8.10 | 39.23 | 89,031 | 10,998 |
| Grand Prairie | 109 | 19,028 | 36.70 | 5.09 | 21.32 | 405,701 | 79,778 |
| Southern Plain | 55 | 9,601 | 18.52 | 4.78 | 16.87 | 162,001 | 33,867 |
| Wabash Border | 12 | 2,095 | 4.04 | 8.61 | 36.58 | 76,636 | 8,903 |
| Shawnee Hills | 3 | 524 | 1.01 | 3.88 | 10.33 | 5,412 | 1,397 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 8. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for gray partridge by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=2)$.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Hunters } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Northeast Moraine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Border-North | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Border-South | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Western Prairie/Forest | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Central Sand Prairie | 1 | 175 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 3.00 | 524 | 175 |
| Grand Prairie | 1 | 175 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 175 |
| Southern Plain | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wabash Border | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shawnee Hills | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 9. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for woodcock by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=3)$.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Northeast Moraine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Border-North | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Border-South | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Western Prairie/Forest | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Central Sand Prairie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grand Prairie | 1 | 175 | 33.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 175 | 175 |
| Southern Plain | 1 | 175 | 33.33 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 175 | 524 |
| Wabash Border | 1 | 175 | 33.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 175 | 175 |
| Shawnee Hills | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 10. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for fox squirrel by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=288$ ).

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 11 | 1,920 | 3.82 | 0.76 | 6.00 | 11,522 | 15,188 |
| Northeast Moraine | 3 | 524 | 1.04 | 2.67 | 5.33 | 2,793 | 1,047 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 12 | 2,095 | 4.17 | 1.38 | 8.75 | 18,330 | 13,267 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 39 | 6,808 | 13.54 | 0.84 | 5.38 | 36,660 | 43,468 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 39 | 6,808 | 13.54 | 1.22 | 6.03 | 41,024 | 33,517 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 8 | 1,397 | 2.78 | 2.05 | 5.38 | 7,507 | 3,666 |
| Grand Prairie | 73 | 12,744 | 25.35 | 1.41 | 7.79 | 99,330 | 70,526 |
| Southern Plain | 69 | 12,045 | 23.96 | 1.24 | 9.38 | 112,947 | 90,951 |
| Wabash Border | 17 | 2,968 | 5.90 | 0.75 | 4.41 | 13,093 | 17,457 |
| Shawnee Hills | 17 | 2,968 | 5.90 | 0.42 | 1.88 | 5,586 | 13,267 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 11. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for gray squirrels by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois , from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=252$ ).

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated Number of Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 13 | 2,269 | 5.16 | 0.71 | 4.85 | 10,998 | 15,537 |
| Northeast Moraine | 6 | 1,047 | 2.38 | 1.67 | 3.33 | 3,491 | 2,095 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 8 | 1,397 | 3.17 | 0.94 | 6.00 | 8,379 | 8,903 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 43 | 7,507 | 17.06 | 1.51 | 11.35 | 85,190 | 56,561 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 22 | 3,841 | 8.73 | 1.08 | 4.27 | 16,410 | 15,188 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 5 | 873 | 1.98 | 0.88 | 4.40 | 3,841 | 4,364 |
| Grand Prairie | 43 | 7,507 | 17.06 | 0.99 | 6.23 | 46,785 | 47,483 |
| Southern Plain | 72 | 12,569 | 28.57 | 1.81 | 13.88 | 174,395 | 96,363 |
| Wabash Border | 17 | 2,968 | 6.75 | 1.11 | 6.76 | 20,076 | 18,155 |
| Shawnee Hills | 23 | 4,015 | 9.13 | 1.38 | 6.43 | 25,836 | 18,679 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 12. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for raccoons by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=52)$.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Hunters } \end{gathered}$ | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 4 | 698 | 7.69 | 1.16 | 36.25 | 25,313 | 21,821 |
| Northeast Moraine | 2 | 349 | 3.85 | 0.39 | 7.00 | 2,444 | 6,285 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 5 | 873 | 9.62 | 1.28 | 17.40 | 15,188 | 11,871 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 3 | 524 | 5.77 | 1.39 | 8.33 | 4,364 | 3,142 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 8 | 1,397 | 15.38 | 1.45 | 14.13 | 19,726 | 13,616 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 2 | 349 | 3.85 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 1,047 | 698 |
| Grand Prairie | 14 | 2,444 | 26.92 | 0.96 | 7.86 | 19,203 | 20,076 |
| Southern Plain | 10 | 1,746 | 19.23 | 0.97 | 16.10 | 28,106 | 28,979 |
| Wabash Border | 2 | 349 | 3.85 | 0.22 | 1.00 | 349 | 1,571 |
| Shawnee Hills | 2 | 349 | 3.85 | 0.57 | 18.50 | 6,459 | 11,347 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 13. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for red fox by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=12)$.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 2 | 349 | 16.67 | 0.04 | 0.50 | 175 | 4,713 |
| Northeast Moraine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Border-North | 1 | 175 | 8.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 175 | 175 |
| Mississippi Border-South | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Western Prairie/Forest | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Central Sand Prairie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grand Prairie | 5 | 873 | 41.67 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 349 | 2,269 |
| Southern Plain | 3 | 524 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 5,761 |
| Wabash Border | 1 | 175 | 8.33 | 0.20 | 3.00 | 524 | 2,619 |
| Shawnee Hills | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 14. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for gray fox by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=2$ ).

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Northeast Moraine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Border-North | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Border-South | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Western Prairie/Forest | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Central Sand Prairie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Prairie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southern Plain | 1 | 175 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 2,619 |
| Wabash Border | 1 | 175 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 2,619 |
| Shawnee Hills | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 15. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for coyote by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois , from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=196)$.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Hunters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Hunters } \end{gathered}$ | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 17 | 2,968 | 8.67 | 0.33 | 4.12 | 12,220 | 37,358 |
| Northeast Moraine | 10 | 1,746 | 5.10 | 0.24 | 2.50 | 4,364 | 18,155 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 14 | 2,444 | 7.14 | 0.44 | 6.93 | 16,933 | 38,231 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 15 | 2,619 | 7.65 | 0.18 | 1.60 | 4,190 | 23,916 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 25 | 4,364 | 12.76 | 0.21 | 2.56 | 11,172 | 52,196 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 5 | 873 | 2.55 | 0.33 | 3.20 | 2,793 | 8,554 |
| Grand Prairie | 67 | 11,696 | 34.18 | 0.25 | 1.94 | 22,694 | 91,824 |
| Southern Plain | 29 | 5,063 | 14.80 | 0.21 | 4.24 | 21,472 | 100,727 |
| Wabash Border | 8 | 1,397 | 4.08 | 0.29 | 2.75 | 3,841 | 13,267 |
| Shawnee Hills | 6 | 1,047 | 3.06 | 0.58 | 3.50 | 3,666 | 6,285 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 16. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for opossum by Wildlife Management Unit in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=11$ ).

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 1 | 175 | 9.09 | 0.20 | 2.00 | 349 | 1,746 |
| Northeast Moraine | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mississippi Border-North | 1 | 175 | 9.09 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 1,047 | 175 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 1 | 175 | 9.09 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 175 | 175 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 1 | 175 | 9.09 | 4.00 | 8.00 | 1,397 | 349 |
| Central Sand Prairie | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grand Prairie | 4 | 698 | 36.36 | 0.42 | 4.50 | 3,142 | 7,507 |
| Southern Plain | 1 | 175 | 9.09 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 349 | 175 |
| Wabash Border | 1 | 175 | 9.09 | 1.29 | 9.00 | 1,571 | 1,222 |
| Shawnee Hills | 1 | 175 | 9.09 | 1.40 | 7.00 | 1,222 | 873 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 17. Percent change in harvest from 2006 to 2007 in Wildlife Management Units for selected species, from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Unit | Rabbit | Quail | Pheasant | Fox Squirrel | Gray Squirrel | Dove | Raccoon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Red } \\ & \text { Fox } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Gray <br> Fox | Coyote |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | -32 | 20 | -31 | -26 | -28 | -26 | 65 | -- | --- | 122 |
| Northeast Moraine | -40 | -100 | -36 | 33 | -83 | -9 | 1409 | --- | --- | 93 |
| Mississippi Border-North | -20 | 114 | 251 | 30 | -50 | -66 | 65 | -73 | --- | 128 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 34 | -33 | --- | -29 | -27 | -59 | 59 | --- | --- | -67 |
| Western <br> Prairie Forest | -33 | 73 | 177 | -21 | -72 | 41 | 139 | --- | --- | 97 |
| Central Sand Prairie | -20 | 278 | 33 | -64 | 40 | -37 | --- | --- | --- | -48 |
| Grand Prairie | -49 | -60 | -29 | -30 | -38 | -4 | 11 | -57 | $-100$ | 78 |
| Southern Plain | 45 | 4 | 152 | 1 | -16 | -29 | -41 | -100 | --- | 279 |
| Wabash Border | 5 | 48 | -100 | -57 | -50 | 59 | -100 | --- | --- | 137 |
| Shawnee Hills | 64 | -90 | --- | -73 | -74 | -64 | 3887 | --- | --- | 183 |

Note: For Red and Gray fox, percentage change could not be calculated in certain WMUs due to no harvest in 2006 in those units.

Table 18. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for rabbit by Administrative Region in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=281$ ).

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 68 | 11,871 | 24.20 | 0.73 | 4.46 | 52,895 | 72,097 |
| Region 2 | 16 | 2,793 | 5.69 | 0.59 | 2.69 | 7,507 | 12,744 |
| Region 3 | 40 | 6,983 | 14.23 | 0.51 | 2.30 | 16,060 | 31,423 |
| Region 4 | 82 | 14,315 | 29.18 | 1.04 | 5.32 | 76,113 | 72,970 |
| Region 5 | 75 | 13,093 | 26.69 | 1.12 | 6.97 | 91,300 | 81,873 |

Table 19. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for quail by Administrative Region in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=141$ ).

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 32 | 5,586 | 22.70 | 1.18 | 6.44 | 35,961 | 30,550 |
| Region 2 | 4 | 698 | 2.84 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 698 | 6,110 |
| Region 3 | 10 | 1,746 | 7.09 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 873 | 6,285 |
| Region 4 | 44 | 7,681 | 31.21 | 1.25 | 6.61 | 50,800 | 40,675 |
| Region 5 | 51 | 8,903 | 36.17 | 1.74 | 11.27 | 100,378 | 57,608 |

Table 20. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for pheasant by Administrative Region in Illinois , from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=206$ ).

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated Number of Hunters | Percent of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 71 | 12,394 | 34.47 | 0.51 | 2.82 | 34,914 | 68,082 |
| Region 2 | 33 | 5,761 | 16.02 | 0.91 | 3.58 | 20,599 | 22,694 |
| Region 3 | 64 | 11,172 | 31.07 | 0.44 | 2.03 | 22,694 | 51,847 |
| Region 4 | 31 | 5,412 | 15.05 | 0.96 | 4.35 | 23,567 | 24,614 |
| Region 5 | 7 | 1,222 | 3.40 | 0.10 | 0.86 | 1,047 | 10,649 |

Table 21. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for doves by Administrative Region in Illinois , from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $\mathrm{n}=297$ ).

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of$\qquad$ | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 70 | 12,220 | 23.57 | 5.31 | 26.80 | 327,493 | 61,623 |
| Region 2 | 25 | 4,364 | 8.42 | 3.42 | 12.60 | 54,990 | 16,060 |
| Region 3 | 53 | 9,252 | 17.85 | 5.34 | 20.47 | 189,408 | 35,438 |
| Region 4 | 93 | 16,235 | 31.31 | 6.07 | 21.61 | 350,886 | 57,783 |
| Region 5 | 56 | 9,776 | 18.86 | 5.27 | 20.25 | 197,962 | 37,533 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 22. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for gray partridge by Administrative Region in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=2)$.

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated Number of Hunters | Percent of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 2 | 349 | 100.00 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 524 | 349 |
| Region 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 23. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for woodcock by Administrative Region in Illinois, from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=7$ ).

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of$\qquad$ | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 4 | 1 | 175 | 33.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 175 | 175 |
| Region 5 | 2 | 349 | 66.67 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 349 | 698 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 24. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for fox squirrels by Administrative Region in Illinois , from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=288$ ).

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated Number of Hunters | PercentofHunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 65 | 11,347 | 22.57 | 1.24 | 7.00 | 79,429 | 64,242 |
| Region 2 | 7 | 1,222 | 2.43 | 1.91 | 11.71 | 14,315 | 7,507 |
| Region 3 | 35 | 6,110 | 12.15 | 1.09 | 5.09 | 31,073 | 28,629 |
| Region 4 | 85 | 14,838 | 29.51 | 1.24 | 7.08 | 105,091 | 84,841 |
| Region 5 | 96 | 16,759 | 33.33 | 1.01 | 7.09 | 118,882 | 117,136 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 25. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for gray squirrel by Administrative Region in Illinois, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=252$ ).

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Hunters } \end{gathered}$ | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 38 | 6,634 | 15.08 | 0.99 | 5.63 | 37,358 | 37,707 |
| Region 2 | 12 | 2,095 | 4.76 | 1.44 | 12.25 | 25,662 | 17,806 |
| Region 3 | 22 | 3,841 | 8.73 | 0.40 | 1.82 | 6,983 | 17,457 |
| Region 4 | 76 | 13,267 | 30.16 | 1.36 | 8.70 | 115,391 | 85,016 |
| Region 5 | 104 | 18,155 | 41.27 | 1.68 | 11.57 | 210,008 | 125,341 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 26. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for raccoon by Administrative Region in Illinois , from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=52)$.

| Administrative Region | $n$ | EstimatedNumber ofHunters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Hunters } \end{aligned}$ | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 19 | 3,317 | 36.54 | 1.20 | 18.37 | 60,925 | 50,800 |
| Region 2 | 3 | 524 | 5.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2619 | 6,459 |
| Region 3 | 5 | 873 | 9.62 | 0.58 | 4.20 | 3,666 | 6,285 |
| Region 4 | 14 | 2,444 | 26.92 | 1.47 | 10.50 | 25,662 | 17,457 |
| Region 5 | 11 | 1,920 | 21.15 | 0.76 | 15.27 | 29,328 | 38,405 |

Table 27. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for red fox by Administrative Region in Illinois, from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=12)$.

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of$\qquad$ | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 6 | 1,047 | 50.00 | 0.10 | 0.67 | 698 | 6,808 |
| Region 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 4 | 3 | 524 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 1,746 |
| Region 5 | 3 | 524 | 25.00 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 524 | 6,983 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 28. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for gray fox by Administrative Region in Illinois, from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=2)$.

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 5 | 2 | 349 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 5,237 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 29. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for coyote by Administrative Region in Illinois, from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=196$ ).

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated Number of Hunters | Percent of Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 68 | 11,871 | 34.69 | 0.31 | 3.84 | 45,563 | 147,686 |
| Region 2 | 16 | 2,793 | 8.16 | 0.15 | 0.75 | 2,095 | 13,616 |
| Region 3 | 26 | 4,539 | 13.27 | 0.19 | 1.23 | 5,586 | 29,677 |
| Region 4 | 53 | 9,252 | 27.04 | 0.28 | 2.70 | 24,964 | 89,380 |
| Region 5 | 33 | 5,761 | 16.84 | 0.23 | 4.36 | 25,138 | 110,154 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 30. Summary of 2007 hunting effort and harvest for opossum by Administrative Region in Illinois, from the 20072008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey $(n=11)$.

| Administrative Region | $n$ | Estimated Number of Hunters | Percent of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 3 | 524 | 27.27 | 0.38 | 6.00 | 3,142 | 8,205 |
| Region 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Region 3 | 1 | 175 | 9.09 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 524 | 524 |
| Region 4 | 4 | 698 | 36.36 | 2.00 | 3.50 | 2,444 | 1,222 |
| Region 5 | 3 | 524 | 27.27 | 1.38 | 6.00 | 3,142 | 2,269 |

Table 31. Percent change in harvest from 2006 to 2007 in Administrative Regions for selected species.

| Administrative <br> Regions | Rabbit | Quail | Pheasant | Fox <br> Squirrel | Gray <br> Squirrel | Dove | Raccoon | Red <br> Fox | Gray <br> Fox | Coyote |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Note: Percentage change could not be calculated in certain regions due to no harvest in 2006 in those regions.

Table 32. Distribution of hunting effort and harvest among resident Illinois hunters in 2007, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey.

|  |  | Days Hunting |  | Animals Harvested |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Range | Percent of Hunters | Range | Percent of Hunters |
| RABBIT | $n=281$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 27.4 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 73.0 | 1-5 | 50.2 |
|  |  | 6-10 | 16.0 | 6-10 | 11.7 |
|  |  | 11-14 | 1.7 | 11-14 | 2.2 |
|  |  | 15-19 | 1.8 | 15-19 | 2.5 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 3.6 | 20-24 | 2.1 |
|  |  | 25+ | 3.9 | $25+$ | 3.9 |
| QUAIL | $n=141$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 34.0 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 68.8 | 1-5 | 37.6 |
|  |  | 6-10 | 19.9 | 6-10 | 11.4 |
|  |  | 11-14 | 1.4 | 11-14 | 2.1 |
|  |  | 15-19 | 2.1 | 15-19 | 3.6 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 6.4 | 20-24 | 2.8 |
|  |  | 25+ | 1.4 | $25+$ | 8.5 |
| PHEASANT | $n=206$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 28.6 |
|  |  | $1-5$ | 76.2 | 1-5 | 54.9 |
|  |  | $6-10$ | $15.1$ | 6-10 | 12.1 |
|  |  | 11-14 | 1.4 | 11-14 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 15-19 | 2.0 | 15-19 | 1.9 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 2.9 | 20-24 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 25+ | 2.4 | 25+ | 0.5 |
| DOVE | $n=297$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 8.8 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 83.8 | 1-5 | 17.5 |
|  |  | 6-10 | 12.5 | 6-10 | 17.5 |
|  |  | 11-14 | 1.0 | 11-14 | 9.7 |
|  |  | 15-19 | 0.7 | 15-19 | 11.1 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 0.7 | 20-24 | 7.8 |
|  |  | 25+ | 1.3 | 25+ | 27.6 |
| GRAY PARTRIDGE $n=2$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 50.0 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 100 | 1-5 | 50.0 |
|  |  | 6-10 | --- | 6-10 | --- |
|  |  | 11-14 | --- | 11-14 | --- |
|  |  | 15-19 | --- | 15-19 | --- |
|  |  | 20-24 | --- | 20-24 | --- |
|  |  | 25+ | --- | 25+ | --- |
| WOODCOCK | $n=3$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | $1-5$ | 100.0 | 1-5 | 100.0 |
|  |  | $6-10$ | --- | 6-10 | --- |
|  |  | 11-14 | --- | 11-14 | --- |
|  |  | 15-19 | --- | 15-19 | --- |
|  |  | 20-24 | --- | 20-24 | --- |
|  |  | 25+ | --- | $25+$ | --- |

Table 32 - continued.

|  |  | Days Hunting |  | Animals Harvested |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Range | Percent of Hunters | Range | Percent of Hunters |
| FOX SQUIRREL $n=288$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 16.0 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 66.0 | 1-5 | 52.4 |
|  |  | 6-10 | 20.8 | 6-10 | 13.2 |
|  |  | 11-14 | 3.8 | 11-14 | 3.8 |
|  |  | 15-19 | 3.2 | 15-19 | 4.5 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 3.1 | 20-24 | 3.5 |
|  |  | 25+ | 3.1 | 25+ | 6.6 |
| GRAY SQUIRREL $n=252$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 19.4 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 63.9 | 1-5 | 39.7 |
|  |  | 6-10 | 20.2 | 6-10 | 17.9 |
|  |  | 11-14 | 4.4 | 11-14 | 4.3 |
|  |  | 15-19 | 4.8 | 15-19 | 7.2 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 3.9 | 20-24 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 25+ | 2.8 | 25+ | 7.5 |
| RACCOON $n=52$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 13.5 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 53.8 | 1-5 | 34.6 |
|  |  | 6-10 | 13.5 | 6-10 | 13.4 |
|  |  | 11-14 | --- | 11-14 | 3.9 |
|  |  | 15-19 | 5.8 | 15-19 | 5.8 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 3.8 | 20-24 | 3.8 |
|  |  | 25+ | 23.1 | 25+ | 25.0 |
| RED FOX $\quad n=12$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 58.3 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 50.0 | 1-5 | 41.7 |
|  |  | 6-10 | 16.7 | 6-10 | --- |
|  |  | 11-14 | 8.3 | 11-14 | --- |
|  |  | 15-19 | 25.0 | 15-19 | -- |
|  |  | 20-24 | --- | 20-24 | - |
|  |  | 25+ | --- | 25+ | --- |
| GRAY FOX $\quad n=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 1-5 | --- | 1-5 | --- |
|  |  | 6-10 | --- | 6-10 | -- |
|  |  | 11-14 | --- | 11-14 | --- |
|  |  | 15-19 | 100.0 | 15-19 | --- |
|  |  | 20-24 | --- | 20-24 | --- |
|  |  | 25+ | --- | 25+ | --- |
| COYOTE $\quad n=196$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 37.2 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 49.5 | 1-5 | 45.5 |
|  |  | 6-10 | 28.1 | 6-10 | 8.6 |
|  |  | 11-14 | 4.0 | 11-14 | 3.6 |
|  |  | 15-19 | 5.6 | 15-19 | 3.1 |
|  |  | 20-24 | 2.1 | 20-24 | 1.5 |
|  |  | 25+ | 10.7 | 25+ | 0.5 |

Table 32 - continued.

| OPOSSUM | $n=11$ | Days Hunting |  | Animals Harvested |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Range | Percent of Hunters | Range | Percent of Hunters |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | --- |  | 0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 1-5 | 72.7 | 1-5 | 45.5 |
|  |  | 6-10 | 18.2 | 6-10 | 54.5 |
|  |  | 11-14 | --- | 11-14 | --- |
|  |  | 15-19 | --- | 15-19 | --- |
|  |  | 20-24 | --- | 20-24 | --- |
|  |  | $25+$ | 9.1 | 25+ | --- |

Table 33. Statewide estimates of effort, harvest and days hunting by species for resident Illinois hunters, 1997-2007.

| Species and Seasons | Estimated <br> Total <br> Hunters | Average Daily Bag | Average Season Bag | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Average <br> Days <br> Hunting | Estimated Total Days Hunting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RABBIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 102,842 | 1.02 | 5.35 | 550,602 | 5.22 | 536,802 |
| 1998 | 98,190 | 1.07 | 6.20 | 608,878 | 5.78 | 567,079 |
| 1999 | 86,826 | 0.96 | 4.73 | 411,040 | 4.93 | 427,787 |
| 2000 | 88,461 | 1.07 | 5.94 | 525,891 | 5.56 | 491,482 |
| 2001 | 82,136 | 0.88 | 4.82 | 395,604 | 5.50 | 451,670 |
| 2002 | 72,672 | 0.86 | 4.81 | 349,614 | 5.57 | 404,908 |
| 2003 | 64,758 | 0.85 | 4.99 | 323,167 | 5.87 | 379,830 |
| 2004 | 78,768 | 1.14 | 5.45 | 429,460 | 4.76 | 375,013 |
| 2005 | 60,431 | 1.07 | 5.15 | 311,011 | 4.80 | 290,349 |
| 2006 | 49,350 | 1.13 | 5.43 | 267,782 | 4.81 | 237,525 |
| 2007 | 49,054 | 0.90 | 4.97 | 243,874 | 5.53 | 271,107 |
| QUAIL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 55,958 | 1.36 | 8.37 | 468,272 | 6.14 | 343,595 |
| 1998 | 55,618 | 1.42 | 9.35 | 519,786 | 6.57 | 365,292 |
| 1999 | 47,803 | 1.33 | 8.91 | 425,836 | 6.68 | 319,174 |
| 2000 | 44,298 | 1.16 | 6.55 | 290,310 | 5.63 | 249,398 |
| 2001 | 40,518 | 1.04 | 6.70 | 271,536 | 6.47 | 262,270 |
| 2002 | 34,124 | 1.13 | 6.83 | 233,181 | 6.06 | 206,640 |
| 2003 | 30,044 | 1.15 | 6.80 | 204,236 | 5.91 | 177,617 |
| 2004 | 38,913 | 1.22 | 6.77 | 263,293 | 5.55 | 216,064 |
| 2005 | 29,983 | 1.44 | 8.16 | 244,521 | 5.67 | 170,108 |
| 2006 | 24,918 | 1.48 | 7.95 | 198,207 | 5.38 | 133,972 |
| 2007 | 24,614 | 1.34 | 7.67 | 188,710 | 5.74 | 141,227 |
| PHEASANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 66,734 | 0.57 | 3.23 | 215,326 | 5.70 | 380,459 |
| 1998 | 68,149 | 0.52 | 2.77 | 188,740 | 5.29 | 360,486 |
| 1999 | 54,469 | 0.48 | 2.54 | 138,368 | 5.24 | 285,517 |
| 2000 | 53,375 | 0.62 | 3.22 | 171,639 | 5.17 | 275,950 |
| 2001 | 59,050 | 0.53 | 2.68 | 158,304 | 5.03 | 297,292 |
| 2002 | 50,080 | 0.52 | 2.84 | 142,026 | 5.46 | 273,625 |
| 2003 | 50,592 | 0.66 | 3.60 | 181,976 | 5.42 | 274,287 |
| 2004 | 55,075 | 0.67 | 3.63 | 200,059 | 5.44 | 299,696 |
| 2005 | 44,430 | 0.67 | 3.31 | 146,961 | 4.93 | 218,888 |
| 2006 | 38,509 | 0.72 | 3.08 | 118,763 | 4.26 | 164,067 |
| 2007 | 35,961 | 0.58 | 2.86 | 102,822 | 4.95 | 177,887 |
| DOVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 77,132 | 4.31 | 19.89 | 1,534,030 | 4.62 | 355,977 |
| 1998 | 61,798 | 4.11 | 19.31 | 1,193,380 | 4.69 | 290,020 |
| 1999 | 58,209 | 3.98 | 17.56 | 1,022,235 | 4.41 | 256,575 |
| 2000 | 61,367 | 4.54 | 19.84 | 1,217,324 | 4.37 | 268,093 |
| 2001 | 59,207 | 3.77 | 17.93 | 1,061,802 | 4.76 | 281,587 |
| 2002 | 58,295 | 4.03 | 18.29 | 1,066,379 | 4.54 | 264,620 |
| 2003 | 54,172 | 4.84 | 23.53 | 1,274,765 | 4.86 | 263,390 |
| 2004 | 78,455 | 5.13 | 23.65 | 1,855,135 | 4.61 | 361,989 |
| 2005 | 63,383 | 4.89 | 23.35 | 1,479,709 | 4.78 | 302,777 |
| 2006 | 60,514 | 5.81 | 24.07 | 1,456,542 | 4.14 | 250,631 |
| 2007 | 51,847 | 5.38 | 21.62 | 1,120,739 | 4.02 | 208,437 |

Table 33 - continued.

|  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Species and <br> Seasons | Average <br> Daily <br> Bag | Average <br> Season Bag | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Average <br> Days <br> Hunting | Estimated <br> Total Days <br> Hunting |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRAY PARTRIDGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 189 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 189 | 6.50 | 1,229 |
| 1998 | 944 | 0.13 | 1.18 | 1,116 | 8.82 | 8,326 |
| 1999 | 650 | 0.18 | 0.75 | 488 | 4.25 | 2,764 |
| 2000 | 271 | 1.25 | 2.50 | 677 | 2.00 | 542 |
| 2001 | 471 | 0.14 | 0.67 | 314 | 4.67 | 2,199 |
| 2002 | 158 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 1.00 | 158 |
| 2003 | 623 | 0.44 | 1.00 | 623 | 2.25 | 1,401 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 2005 | 311 | 0.43 | 3.00 | 932 | 7.00 | 2,175 |
| 2006 | 485 | 0.50 | 1.33 | 647 | 2.67 | 1,294 |
| 2007 | 349 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 524 | 1.00 | 349 |


| WOODCOCK |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 1,701 | 0.76 |
| 1998 | 1,974 | 0.68 |
| 1999 | 2,114 | 0.46 |
| 2000 | 1,355 | 0.43 |
| 2001 | 1,570 | 0.53 |
| 2002 | 1,738 | 0.15 |
| 2003 | 1,090 | 0.41 |
| 2004 | 1,569 | 0.44 |
| 2005 | 621 | 0.83 |
| 2006 | 1,133 | 0.25 |
| 2007 | 524 | 0.60 |

FOX SQUIRREL

| 1997 | 85,166 | 1.39 | 7.22 | 615,162 | 5.18 | 441,238 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 82,998 | 1.13 | 9.48 | 786,460 | 8.40 | 697,111 |
| 1999 | 83,411 | 1.14 | 7.28 | 607,618 | 6.39 | 532,986 |
| 2001 | 68,316 | 1.00 | 8.19 | 559,562 | 8.21 | 560,975 |
| 2002 | 63,667 | 1.09 | 7.56 | 481,213 | 6.95 | 442,508 |
| 2003 | 62,267 | 1.16 | 7.59 | 472,608 | 6.55 | 407,539 |
| 2004 | 77,356 | 1.27 | 9.15 | 707,660 | 7.21 | 557,498 |
| 2005 | 57,169 | 1.12 | 6.94 | 396,764 | 6.22 | 355,441 |
| 2006 | 55,336 | 1.05 | 8.36 | 462,430 | 7.96 | 440,425 |
| 2007 | 50,276 | 1.15 | 6.94 | 348,791 | 6.01 | 302,355 |
| GRAY SQUIRREL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 60,779 | 1.31 | 7.46 | 453,432 | 5.70 | 346,620 |
| 1998 | 60,682 | 1.07 | 8.92 | 541,416 | 8.31 | 504,080 |
| 1999 | 56,095 | 0.90 | 6.35 | 356,083 | 7.05 | 395,268 |
| 2001 | 54,182 | 1.11 | 9.17 | 496,900 | 8.26 | 447,273 |
| 2002 | 49,606 | 1.15 | 8.96 | 444,719 | 7.78 | 385,792 |
| 2003 | 51,059 | 1.32 | 8.96 | 457,664 | 6.80 | 346,984 |
| 2004 | 64,490 | 1.62 | 11.98 | 772,306 | 7.40 | 477,003 |
| 2005 | 48,314 | 1.44 | 9.48 | 457,816 | 6.58 | 317,846 |
| 2006 | 48,864 | 1.52 | 13.42 | 655,945 | 8.80 | 430,070 |
| 2007 | 43,992 | 1.40 | 8.99 | 395,401 | 6.44 | 283,327 |
| SQUIRRELS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (FOX \& GRAY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 96,048 | 1.47 | 11.71 | $1,125,070$ | 7.96 | 764,316 |

Table 33 - continued.

| Species and Seasons | Estimated <br> Total <br> Hunters | Average Daily Bag | Average Season Bag | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Average Days Hunting | Estimated Total Days Hunting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RACCOON |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 24,482 | 1.51 | 23.19 | 567,711 | 15.33 | 375,260 |
| 1998 | 16,308 | 1.33 | 17.96 | 292,852 | 13.53 | 220,669 |
| 1999 | 15,447 | 1.26 | 17.88 | 276,249 | 14.17 | 218,853 |
| 2000 | 15,985 | 1.26 | 16.66 | 266,332 | 13.19 | 210,790 |
| 2001 | 16,176 | 1.24 | 20.73 | 335,297 | 16.75 | 270,908 |
| 2002 | 13,428 | 1.24 | 17.81 | 239,185 | 14.36 | 192,896 |
| 2003 | 11,675 | 1.25 | 19.39 | 226,341 | 15.53 | 181,353 |
| 2004 | 12,867 | 1.42 | 20.26 | 260,626 | 14.32 | 184,211 |
| 2005 | 11,807 | 1.40 | 19.32 | 228,054 | 13.83 | 163,273 |
| 2006 | 8,252 | 1.19 | 12.20 | 100,641 | 10.27 | 84,784 |
| 2007 | 9,078 | 1.02 | 13.46 | 122,199 | 13.15 | 119,406 |

## RED FOX

| 1997 | 3,308 | 0.08 | 1.11 | 3,686 | 13.74 | 45,466 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 3,176 | 0.11 | 1.38 | 4,377 | 12.19 | 38,709 |
| 1999 | 3,089 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 3,089 | 8.68 | 26,828 |
| 2000 | 4,200 | 0.18 | 1.61 | 6,773 | 8.84 | 37,119 |
| 2001 | 2,827 | 0.04 | 0.33 | 942 | 7.44 | 21,044 |
| 2002 | 2,528 | 0.08 | 1.50 | 3,792 | 19.00 | 48,027 |
| 2003 | 1,712 | 0.22 | 1.91 | 3,269 | 8.82 | 15,100 |
| 2004 | 3,295 | 0.07 | 0.48 | 1,569 | 6.48 | 21,340 |
| 2005 | 2,175 | 0.07 | 0.50 | 1,087 | 7.14 | 15,535 |
| 2006 | 1,780 | 0.19 | 0.91 | 1,618 | 4.82 | 8,576 |
| 2007 | 2,095 | 0.08 | 0.58 | 1,222 | 7.42 | 15,537 |
| GRAY FOX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 1,418 | 0.18 |  | 3.60 | 5,104 | 19.80 |
| 1998 | 1,631 | 0.03 | 0.37 | 601 | 13.00 | 28,074 |
| 1999 | 813 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 6.20 | 5,200 |
| 2000 | 813 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 10.50 | 8,530 |
| 2001 | 942 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 6.17 | 5,811 |
| 2002 | 632 | 0.09 | 1.75 | 1,106 | 20.25 | 12,797 |
| 2003 | 778 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 467 | 11.40 | 8,873 |
| 2004 | 2,040 | 0.10 | 0.69 | 1,412 | 6.85 | 13,965 |
| 2005 | 1,087 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 10.57 | 11,496 |
| 2006 | 647 | 0.38 | 2.00 | 1,294 | 5.25 | 3,398 |
| 2007 | 349 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 15.00 | 5,237 |

COYOTE

| 1997 | 33,272 | 0.26 | 3.13 | 104,165 | 11.83 | 393,598 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 31,414 | 0.34 | 3.53 | 110,807 | 10.35 | 325,124 |
| 1999 | 31,056 | 0.31 | 2.89 | 89,752 | 9.43 | 292,834 |
| 2000 | 35,899 | 0.29 | 2.92 | 104,718 | 10.10 | 362,651 |
| 2001 | 32,823 | 0.23 | 3.68 | 120,927 | 16.25 | 533,335 |
| 2002 | 26,383 | 0.25 | 2.90 | 76,463 | 11.79 | 311,067 |
| 2003 | 26,775 | 0.28 | 3.48 | 93,245 | 12.39 | 331,729 |
| 2004 | 33,265 | 0.18 | 2.16 | 71,707 | 12.29 | 408,748 |
| 2005 | 31,070 | 0.47 | 4.07 | 126,610 | 8.73 | 271,086 |
| 2006 | 35,273 | 0.24 | 1.71 | 60,190 | 7.21 | 254,191 |
| 2007 | 34,216 | 0.26 | 3.02 | 103,345 | 11.41 | 390,513 |

Table 33 - continued.

| Species and <br> Seasons | Estimated <br> Total <br> Hunters | Average <br> Daily <br> Bag | Average <br> Season Bag | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Average <br> Days <br> Hunting | Estimated <br> Total Days <br> Hunting |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPOSSUM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 5,955 | 0.57 | 5.90 | 35,163 | 10.38 | 61,819 |
| 1998 | 2,918 | 0.39 | 4.41 | 12,875 | 11.18 | 32,615 |
| 1999 | 2,276 | 0.53 | 5.29 | 12,032 | 9.93 | 22,601 |
| 2000 | 3,387 | 0.26 | 3.08 | 10,431 | 11.80 | 39,963 |
| 2001 | 2,984 | 0.66 | 2.95 | 8,795 | 4.47 | 13,349 |
| 2002 | 3,160 | 0.42 | 3.95 | 12,481 | 9.30 | 29,385 |
| 2003 | 778 | 0.50 | 3.40 | 2,646 | 6.80 | 5,293 |
| 2004 | 2,824 | 0.49 | 5.94 | 16,789 | 12.11 | 34,206 |
| 2005 | 2,952 | 0.43 | 6.16 | 18,176 | 14.26 | 42,100 |
| 2006 | 1,618 | 0.48 | 7.10 | 11,488 | 14.80 | 23,947 |
| 2007 | 1,920 | 0.76 | 4.82 | 9,252 | 6.36 | 12,220 |

${ }^{a}$ For the year 2000 fox squirrel harvest was 628,576 (55.9\%) and gray squirrel harvest was 496,494 (44.1\%).

Table 34. Percent change in statewide harvest by species for 1,5 and 10 year time periods, from the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey.

|  |  | \% Change | \% Change | $\%$ Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 2007 Estimated Harvest | 1 Year $^{\text {a }}$ | 5 Years $^{\text {a }}$ | 10 Years ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Rabbit | 243,874 | -9 | -30 | -56 |
| Quail | 188,710 | -5 | -19 | -60 |
| Pheasant | 102,822 | -13 | -28 | -52 |
| Dove | $1,120,739$ | -23 | 5 | -27 |
| Gray Partridge | 524 | -19 | -- | 177 |
| Woodcock | 524 | 8 | -59 | -87 |
| Fox Squirrel | 348,791 | -25 | -28 | -43 |
| Gray Squirrel | 395,401 | -40 | -11 | -13 |
| Raccoon | 122,199 | 21 | -49 | -78 |
| Red Fox | 1,222 | -24 | -68 | -67 |
| Gray Fox | 0 | -100 | -100 | -100 |
| Coyote | 103,345 | 72 | 35 | -1 |
| Opossum | 9,252 | -19 | -26 | -74 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ From 2006, 2002, and 1997, respectively; see also Table 33.

Table 35. Days hunted and harvest on licensed shooting preserves or controlled hunting areas in Illinois between March 1, 2007 and February 29, 2008. Data reported by respondents to the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey.

| Species | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | Days Hunted | $\bar{X}_{\text {days }}$ | Harvest | $\bar{X}_{\text {harvest }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quail | 49 | 173 | 3.53 | 606 | 12.37 |
| Pheasant | 172 | 706 | 4.10 | 1,343 | 7.81 |
| Doves | 46 | 143 | 3.11 | 604 | 13.13 |
| Gray (Hungarian) Partridge | 4 | 4 | 1.00 | 17 | 4.25 |
| Chuckar Partridge | 21 | 69 | 3.29 | 262 | 12.48 |
| Turkey | 14 | 49 | 3.50 | 17 | 1.21 |

Table 36. Counties in which resident hunters hunted in controlled hunting areas or shooting preserves, as reported by respondents to the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=306$ ).

| County | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | County | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Adams | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | Kendall | 8 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Bond | 3 | $1.0 \%$ | Knox | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Boone | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | Lake | 15 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Bureau | 12 | $3.9 \%$ | LaSalle | 7 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Carroll | 3 | $1.0 \%$ | Lee | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Cass | 16 | $5.2 \%$ | Logan | 4 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Christian | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | McHenry | 18 | $5.9 \%$ |
| Clark | 8 | $2.6 \%$ | McLean | 15 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Clinton | 6 | $2.0 \%$ | Macoupin | 5 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Coles | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | Madison | 10 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Cook | 2 | $0.7 \%$ | Mason | 3 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Cumberland | 3 | $1.0 \%$ | Montgomery | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| De Kalb | 5 | $1.6 \%$ | Moultrie | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Douglas | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | Ogle | 3 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Edwards | 3 | $1.0 \%$ | Peoria | 3 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Effingham | 3 | $1.0 \%$ | Perry | 3 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Fayette | 2 | $0.7 \%$ | Pike | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Ford | 2 | $0.7 \%$ | Putnam | 3 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Franklin | 5 | $1.6 \%$ | Randolph | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Fulton | 3 | $1.0 \%$ | Rock Island | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Gallatin | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | St. Clair | 3 | $1.0 \%$ |
| Green | 3 | $1.0 \%$ | Saline | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Grundy | 11 | $3.6 \%$ | Sangamon | 4 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Hamilton | 4 | $1.3 \%$ | Schuyler | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Hancock | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | Shelby | 4 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Henry | 4 | $1.3 \%$ | Stephenson | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Iroquois | 20 | $6.5 \%$ | Tazewell | 10 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Jackson | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | Union | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Jasper | 4 | $1.3 \%$ | Vermilion | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Jefferson | 2 | $0.7 \%$ | Washington | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Jersey | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | Whiteside | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| JoDaviess | 1 | $0.3 \%$ | Will | 12 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Kane | 6 | $2.0 \%$ | Winnebago | 4 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Kankakee | 9 | $2.9 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |

Table 37. Difficulty encountered in finding a place to hunt in the specified hunting season. Data as reported by respondents to the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey.

| Season | $n$ | Very difficult |  | Somewhat difficult |  | Not at all difficult |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Deer hunting | 1295 | 231 | 17.8 | 281 | 21.7 | 783 | 60.5 |
| Small game hunting | 658 | 152 | 23.1 | 172 | 26.1 | 334 | 50.8 |
| Upland bird hunting | 575 | 211 | 36.7 | 170 | 29.6 | 194 | 33.7 |
| Turkey hunting | 551 | 157 | 28.5 | 136 | 24.7 | 258 | 46.8 |
| Dove hunting | 461 | 114 | 24.7 | 131 | 28.4 | 216 | 46.9 |
| Waterfowl hunting | 403 | 151 | 37.5 | 118 | 29.3 | 134 | 33.3 |
| Furbearer hunting | 276 | 85 | 30.8 | 75 | 27.2 | 116 | 42.0 |

# Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey: 2007-2008 Seasons 

## Results Summary



Your comments are welcome! Please write them on a separate sheet of paper to receive proper attention.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!
All of your responses will be kept confidential.
Please return this survey in the postage-paid return envelope provided.


Illinois Department of Natural Resources
Division of Wildlife Resources
and
Illinois Natural History Survey

The Department of Natural Resources is requesting disclosure of information that is necessary to accomplish the statutory purpose as outlined under the Illinois Compiled Statutes, The Wildlife Code, Chapter 520. Disclosure of information is voluntary. This study is funded by the federal Wildlife Restoration Fund through your purchase of hunting arms and ammunition.

Hunting Activity and Harvest in Illinois. Please provide the following information to allow IDNR biologists to monitor game species harvest and conserve wildlife populations in Illinois.

1. Did you hunt any wildlife species in Illinois between March 2007 and February 2008? $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 , 6 2 0}$

## 89.4\% Yes <br> 10.6\% No (Please skip to question 3.)

2. Please report your hunting effort and harvest in Illinois for the following species between March 1, 2007-February 29, 2008. Include only game harvested and days you personally hunted in Illinois. Count only game you retrieved; do not count animals you were not able to retrieve or animals that were trapped. If you hunted for a species, but did not harvest any animals, enter zero. Do not count days hunted or harvest on licensed shooting preserves or controlled hunting areas. Count part of 1 day as 1 day.

| Species | $n$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Days Hunted } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average <br> Number <br> Harvested | County Hunted Most Often |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rabbit | 281 | 5.5 | 5.0 |  |
| Quail | 141 | 5.7 | 7.7 |  |
| Pheasant | 206 | 5.0 | 2.9 |  |
| Dove | 297 | 4.0 | 21.6 |  |
| Gray (Hungarian) Partridge | 2 | 1.0 | 1.5 |  |
| Woodcock | 3 | 1.7 | 1.0 |  |
| Snipe | 2 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Rail | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crow | 30 | 2.6 | 8.9 |  |
| Ground Hog (Woodchuck) | 9 | 13.0 | 8.1 |  |
| Gray Squirrel | 252 | 6.4 | 9.0 |  |
| Fox Squirrel | 288 | 6.0 | 6.9 |  |
| Turkey - Spring | 299 | 4.3 | 0.5 |  |
| Turkey - Fall Shotgun | 61 | 2.8 | 0.2 |  |
| Turkey - Fall Archery | 109 | 17.0 | 0.2 |  |
| Deer - Regular Firearm | 1,032 | 4.3 | 0.8 |  |
| Deer - Muzzleloader-Only | 165 | 2.4 | 0.4 |  |
| Deer - Archery Season | 608 | 20.3 | 0.6 |  |
| Deer - Late Winter Firearm | 318 | 2.1 | 0.3 |  |
| Raccoon | 52 | 13.2 | 13.5 |  |
| Red Fox | 12 | 7.4 | 0.6 |  |
| Gray Fox | 2 | 15.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Coyote | 196 | 11.4 | 3.0 |  |
| Opossum | 11 | 6.4 | 4.8 |  |

3. Did you hunt on a licensed shooting preserve or controlled hunting area between March 2007 and February 2008? $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 , 6 0 0}$
14.8\% Yes
85.2\% No (Please skip to question 5.)
4. Please report your hunting effort and harvest on licensed shooting preserves or controlled hunting areas in Illinois between March 1, 2007 and February 28, 2008 for the following species. Include only game harvested and days you personally hunted in Illinois. Count only game you retrieved; do not count animals you were not able to retrieve. Count part of 1 day as 1 day.

| Species | $n$ | Average Days Hunted | Average Harvested | County Hunted <br> Most Often |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quail | 49 | 3.5 | 12.4 | See Table 36. |
| Pheasant | 172 | 4.1 | 7.8 | See Table 36. |
| Doves | 46 | 3.1 | 13.1 | See Table 36. |
| Gray (Hungarian) Partridge | 4 | 1.0 | 4.3 | See Table 36. |
| Chuckar Partridge | 21 | 3.3 | 12.5 | See Table 36. |
| Turkey | 14 | 3.5 | 1.2 | See Table 36. |

5. Where did you purchase your 2007 hunting license and/or habitat stamp? (Please check one.) $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 , 5 7 6}$
1.5\% DNR regional or central office
58.8\% Chain retail store (e.g. Wal-mart, Dick's Sporting Goods)
20.8\% Independent retail store (e.g. local bait shop, local grocery store)
16.8\% IDNR website
0.1\% Over the phone
2.0\% Other (Please indicate. $\qquad$ )
6. Did you encounter any problems purchasing your 2007 hunting license or habitat stamp? (Please check one.) $\mathbf{n = 1 , 6 0 2}$
4.7\% Yes
95.3\% No (Please skip to question 8.)
7. Please describe any problems you encountered while purchasing your hunting license or habitat stamp in the space below. $\boldsymbol{n}=67$
$\mathbf{1 9 . 4 \%}$ Chain retail stores are not very knowledgeable about required permits and stamps.
13.4\% Store staff very slow, somewhat disorganized, unprofessional.
11.9\% Equipment did not work, machine locked up, had to be rebooted, store had to call help line.
9.0\% Don't believe we should have to give SSN for license to hunt.
$7.5 \%$ Lack of stamps, having to add stamps instead of one license with everything.
38.8\% 26 miscellaneous answers
8. Did you hunt squirrels in Illinois during the 2007-2008 hunting season? (Please check one.) $n=\mathbf{1 , 6 0 5}$
21.9\% Yes
78.1\% No (Please skip to question 11.)
9. Were you aware that the squirrel hunting season in Illinois was extended from an end date of Dec. 31 to an end date of Feb. 15 in 2008? (Please check one.) $\boldsymbol{n}=344$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 57.8 \% \text { Yes } \\
& 42.2 \% \text { No }
\end{aligned}
$$

10. Please report your squirrel harvest and hunting effort in each of the follwing months during the 2007-2008 squirrel hunting season. If you hunted squirrels during a listed month, but did not harvest any, enter zero.

|  | Average Number <br> of <br> days hunted |  | Average Number <br> of squirrels <br> harvested |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| August | $\mathbf{n}$ | 131 | 4.9 |
| September | 190 | 4.5 | 8.2 |
| October | 128 | 4.7 | 7.4 |
| November | 72 | 4.3 | 7.2 |
| December | 42 | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| January | 32 | 3.8 | 4.3 |
| February | 17 | 3.4 | 4.3 |
|  |  |  | 3.7 |
|  |  |  |  |

11. Who owned the land on which you hunted during 2007-2008? (Please check all that apply.) $n=1,944$
16.3\% I hunted on public land.
19.9\% I hunted on private land I own.
$54.7 \%$ I hunted on private land owned by a friend or member of my family.
4.1\% I hunted on private land owned by a person I did not previously know.
3.1\% I hunted on private land I leased.
$\mathbf{0 . 4 \%}$ I hunted on private land I hired an outfitter to access.
1.5\% Other (Please indicate. $\qquad$
12. How difficult was it for you to find a place to hunt in Illinois during each of the following seasons?
(Please check one for each season.)

| $\quad$ Season |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Very } \\ \text { difficult }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Somewhat } \\ \text { difficult }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Not at all } \\ \text { difficult }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { I did not } \\ \text { sunt these }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| species. |  |  |  |  |  |$\}$

13. Did you lease any land on which you hunted in Illinois during 2007-2008? Please do not consider licensed shooting preserves or commercial hunt clubs. (Please check one.) $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 , 5 8 8}$
4.3\% Yes
95.7\% No (Please skip to question 16.)
14. If you did lease land to hunt in Illinois, which species did your lease give you access to hunt?
(Please check all that apply.) $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 3 8}$
```
30.4% Deer
15.2% Turkeys
9.4% Small game (squirrel, rabbit, etc.)
5.8% Upland birds (pheasant, partridge, quail, etc.)
8.0% Doves
18.1% Waterfowl
0.7% Furbearers (fox, raccoon, etc.)
12.3% All species I wanted to hunt
0.0% Other (Please indicate.
```

15. If you did lease land to hunt in Illinois, how much money did you pay in leasing fees, in total? If you leased land individually, as opposed to part of a group of hunters, skip part b.
a. I personally paid $\underline{\text { Ave. } \mathbf{\$ 6 8 2 . 2 5}}$ to lease hunting land in Illinois. $\boldsymbol{n}=40$
b. My hunting party paid Ave. $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 9 1 6 . 8 6}$, in total, to lease hunting land in Illinois. $\boldsymbol{n}=35$
16. Did you contract with an outfitter in Illinois during 2007-2008? Please do not consider licensed shooting preserves or commercial hunt clubs. (Please check one.) $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 , 5 6 9}$
0.7\% Yes
99.3\% No (Please skip to question 19.)
17. If you did hire an outfitter to hunt in Illinois, which species did your outfitting services allow you to hunt? (Please check all that apply.) $n=25$
28.0\% Deer
16.0\% Turkeys
4.0\% Small game (squirrel, rabbit, etc.)
28.0\% Upland birds (pheasant, partridge, quail, etc.)
4.0\% Doves
20.0\% Waterfowl
$\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ Furbearers (fox, raccoon, etc.)
$\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ All species I wanted to hunt
0.0\% Other (Please indicate. $\qquad$ )
18. If you paid outfitting fees to hunt in Illinois in 2007-2008, how much did you pay in outfitting fees, in total? If you shared costs with other hunters, please indicate only the amount you personally paid. $\boldsymbol{n}=10$

I paid $\underline{\text { Ave. } \mathbf{\$ 8 2 6 . 5 0}}$ for outfitting services in Illinois.

Information about you. The following questions provide important information to help us understand more about the people who hunt in Illinois. Please tell us about yourself by completing the following questions. All responses will be kept confidential.
19. As of October 1, 2007, what was your age? $n=1,585$ Ave. 46.9 years
20. Please indicate your county of residence. See Appendix G.
21. What is your approximate annual household income? (Please check one.) $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 , 4 1 9}$

> 9.1\% Less than $\$ 20,000$ per year
> 19.6\% \$20,000 to \$39,999 per year
> 24.9\% \$40,000 to \$59,999 per year
> 19.7\% \$60,000 to \$79,999 per year
> 10.2\% \$80,000 to \$99,999 per year
> 11.6\% \$100,000 to $\$ 149,999$ per year
> 4.9\% Over \$150,000 per year
22. Are you $\mathbf{9 4 . 5 \%}$ male or $\mathbf{5 . 5 \%}$ female? (Please check one.) $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 , 5 8 1}$
23. How would you describe the area where you currently live? (Please check one.) $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1 , 5 7 6}$
19.7\% Rural setting, on a farm
20.6\% Rural setting, not on a farm
8.3\% Rural subdivision
11.2\% Suburban area at the edge of a town or city
25.6\% Within a small town (Population less than 25,000 )
9.1\% Within an urban area (Population between 25,000 and 100,000)
$5.5 \%$ Within a metropolitan area (Population greater than 100,000 )

Appendix B. Cover letter that accompanied the first mailing of the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey.


April 4, 2008
Dear Illinois Hunter,

I am writing to ask for your help in a study of Illinois hunters. This study, jointly conducted by the Illinois Department of Natural Resources and the Illinois Natural History Survey, is an effort to learn about your hunting activities in Illinois and the factors that motivate hunters to take to Illinois forests and fields to hunt many varieties of game. Results of this study will guide wildlife management decisions to optimize hunting opportunity, maintain or improve hunter satisfaction, and allow for effective management of Illinois's game populations.

You are part of a random sample of resident hunters we have selected to provide information about your hunting activities during the 2007-2008 hunting seasons in Illinois. Please take a few minutes to complete the enclosed questionnaire, even if you did not hunt in Illinois during the 2007-2008 season. Your responses are completely confidential and will not be associated in any way with your name or address. While your response to this questionnaire and any of the questions is completely voluntary, you can help us effectively manage wildlife and hunting in Illinois by sharing your experiences and views. We look forward to hearing from you soon. Please return the questionnaire in the postage-paid envelope provided.

If you have any questions or comments about this study, we would be happy to talk with you. Please feel free to call Linda Campbell, Survey Administrator, at (217) 244-5121 or write her at the address listed below. You may access the results of this and other studies of wildlife use in Illinois at http://www.inhs.uiuc.edu/cwpe/hd/. You may also find information about Illinois Department of Natural Resources wildlife management programs and wildlife in Illinois at http://dnr.state.il.us/orc/wildliferesources/.

Thank you very much for helping with this important study.
Sincerely,


Stacy A. Lischka
Human Dimensions Specialist
Illinois Natural History Survey

Appendix C. Reminder postcard for the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey.


Dear Illinois Hunter,
You have been selected to participate in the Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey. A survey questionnaire was recently mailed to you. We have not received your questionnaire at this time. If you have returned the questionnaire, we thank you and please disregard this reminder. If you have not returned the questionnaire, please do so as soon as possible. Your input is very important!

Thank you for your cooperation. Harvest Survey.


May 9, 2008
Dear Illinois Hunter,
A few weeks ago, we sent you a questionnaire asking about your hunting activities in Illinois during 2007-2008. To the best of our knowledge, the questionnaire has not yet been returned. If this letter and your completed questionnaire have crossed in the mail, please accept our sincere thanks for your participation in this study!

Your views are crucially important, even if you did not hunt in Illinois during 2007-2008. The comments of hunters who have already responded show that Illinois hunters desire different types of hunting experiences and take to the field for many different reasons. The results of this study will help Illinois Department of Natural Resources biologists make informed decisions about how to manage wildlife and hunting in Illinois.

If you have not already done so, please take a few minutes to complete the enclosed questionnaire. The enclosed questionnaire is a duplicate copy of the one you received earlier. Your responses are completely confidential and will not be associated in any way with your name or address. While your response to this questionnaire and any of the questions is completely voluntary, you can help us effectively manage game populations in Illinois by sharing your experiences and views. We look forward to hearing from you soon. Please return the questionnaire in the postage-paid envelope provided.

If you have any questions or comments about this study, we would be happy to talk with you. Please feel free to call Linda Campbell, Survey Administrator, at (217) 244-5121 or write her at the address listed below. You may access the results of this and other studies of wildlife use in Illinois at http://www.inhs.uiuc.edu/cwpe/hd/. You may also find information about Illinois Department of Natural Resources wildlife management programs and wildlife in Illinois at http://dnr.state.il.us/orc/wildliferesources/.

Thank you very much for helping with this important study.
Sincerely,


Stacy A. Lischka
Human Dimensions Specialist
Illinois Natural History Survey

Appendix E. Season dates, bag limits and shooting hours for the 2007-2008 hunting seasons in Illinois.

a On the 2nd day of the hunting season, you may possess no more than twice the daily bag limit.
b South - 1st season-April 7-11: 2nd season-April 12-17: 3rd season-April 18-23: 4th season-April 24-30: 5th season-May 1-8
North — 1st season-April 14-18: 2nd season-April 19-24: 3rd season-April 25-30: 4th season-May 1-7: 5th season-May 8-15

c The daily bag and possession limits include mourning doves, Eurasian collared doves, ringed turtle doves and white-winged doves in the aggregate.
d During the Archery Deer Season bow hunting hours for coyote, striped skunk, raccoon, opossum, red fox and gray fox will be $1 / 2$ hour before sunrise to $1 / 2$ hour after sunset. e Hunters with unfilled firearm deer permits may take coyotes during firearm deer season by shotgun with slugs, muzzeloader or handgun from $1 / 2$ hour before sunrise to sunset. INo hunter, regardless of the quantity or type of permits in his/her possession, may havvest more than 2 antlered deer during a year, including the archery, muzzleloader, and firearm seasons. For the purpose of this bag limit, deer seasons are considered to be in the same year if their opening dates are within the same 12 -month period that begins July 1.
g Daily bag limit is 5 in Northeast Canada Goose Zone and 2 in Southern Zone, and that portion of the North and Central Zones outside the Northeast Canada Goose Zone. h Antlered Deer bag limit does not apply to this season.

Appendix F. Map of IDNR Wildlife Management Units in Illinois.
Wildlife management units in Illinois (square miles in parentheses).


Appendix G. Map of IDNR Administrative regions for wildlife management in Illinois.
Administrative regions in Illinois.


Appendix H. County of residence for respondents to the 2007-2008 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=1,620$ ).

| County | $n$ | \% | County | $n$ | \% | County | $n$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams | 25 | 1.5 | Hardin | 4 | 0.2 | Morgan | 12 | 0.7 |
| Alexander | 5 | 0.3 | Henderson | 3 | 0.2 | Moultrie | 8 | 0.5 |
| Bond | 12 | 0.7 | Henry | 23 | 1.4 | Ogle | 16 | 1.0 |
| Boone | 8 | 0.5 | Iroquois | 15 | 0.9 | Peoria | 50 | 3.1 |
| Brown | 8 | 0.5 | Jackson | 21 | 1.3 | Perry | 14 | 0.9 |
| Bureau | 22 | 1.4 | Jasper | 6 | 0.4 | Piatt | 1 | 0.1 |
| Calhoun | 6 | 0.4 | Jefferson | 20 | 1.2 | Pike | 11 | 0.7 |
| Carroll | 7 | 0.4 | Jersey | 18 | 1.1 | Pope | 4 | 0.2 |
| Cass | 6 | 0.4 | JoDaviess | 11 | 0.7 | Pulaski | 4 | 0.2 |
| Champaign | 27 | 1.7 | Johnson | 6 | 0.4 | Putnam | 4 | 0.2 |
| Christian | 16 | 1.0 | Kane | 18 | 1.1 | Randolph | 22 | 1.4 |
| Clark | 7 | 0.4 | Kankakee | 9 | 0.6 | Richland | 13 | 0.8 |
| Clay | 14 | 0.9 | Kendall | 8 | 0.5 | Rock Island | 24 | 1.5 |
| Clinton | 23 | 1.4 | Knox | 15 | 0.9 | St. Clair | 44 | 2.7 |
| Coles | 10 | 0.6 | Lake | 24 | 1.5 | Saline | 15 | 0.9 |
| Cook | 105 | 6.5 | LaSalle | 24 | 1.5 | Sangamon | 42 | 2.6 |
| Crawford | 15 | 0.9 | Lawrence | 17 | 1.0 | Schuyler | 8 | 0.5 |
| Cumberland | 8 | 0.5 | Lee | 8 | 0.5 | Scott | 2 | 0.1 |
| DeKalb | 16 | 1.0 | Livingston | 7 | 0.4 | Shelby | 12 | 0.7 |
| DeWitt | 9 | 0.6 | Logan | 15 | 0.9 | Stark | 4 | 0.2 |
| Douglas | 11 | 0.7 | McDonough | 14 | 0.9 | Stephenson | 12 | 0.7 |
| DuPage | 33 | 2.0 | McHenry | 33 | 2.0 | Tazewell | 29 | 1.8 |
| Edgar | 10 | 0.6 | McLean | 33 | 2.0 | Union | 14 | 0.9 |
| Edwards | 7 | 0.4 | Macon | 12 | 0.7 | Vermilion | 19 | 1.2 |
| Effingham | 34 | 2.1 | Macoupin | 22 | 1.4 | Wabash | 5 | 0.3 |
| Fayette | 16 | 1.0 | Madison | 55 | 3.4 | Warren | 7 | 0.4 |
| Ford | 5 | 0.3 | Marion | 12 | 0.7 | Washington | 16 | 1.0 |
| Franklin | 17 | 1.0 | Marshall | 11 | 0.7 | Wayne | 12 | 0.7 |
| Fulton | 15 | 0.9 | Mason | 11 | 0.7 | White | 15 | 0.9 |
| Gallatin | 6 | 0.4 | Massac | 5 | 0.3 | Whiteside | 16 | 1.0 |
| Greene | 9 | 0.6 | Menard | 7 | 0.4 | Will | 41 | 2.5 |
| Grundy | 7 | 0.4 | Mercer | 9 | 0.6 | Williamson | 23 | 1.4 |
| Hamilton | 6 | 0.4 | Monroe | 15 | 0.9 | Winnebago | 22 | 1.4 |
| Hancock | 12 | 0.7 | Montgomery | 12 | 0.7 | Woodford | 19 | 1.2 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sum across species $>100 \%$ because many respondents reported hunting $>1$ species.

