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# 2000-2001 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey 

## Objective

To survey resident hunters (18-24 game animal categories) annually to determine their activities and harvests in Illinois.


#### Abstract

A random sample of 3,000 hunters was selected from the 2000 Illinois Habitat Stamp stubs and license sales. The sample was evenly divided between each sample frame (1,500 from each frame). Of the sample of 3,000 hunters, 2,912 questionnaires were deliverable. We received 2,142 (74\%) returned questionnaires. License sales declined 1.4\% from $1999(294,000)$ to the 2000 season $(290,000)$. From 1999-2000 to 2000-2001, harvests increased for 7 game animal categories (rabbit, pheasant, dove, gray partridge, squirrel, red fox, and coyote), decreased for 4 game animal categories (quail, woodcock, raccoon, and opossum), and did not change for 1 category (gray fox). Activities of upland hunters were profiled, as were attitudes toward hunting and general information about hunters.


## Methods

Hunters were selected for this survey by a systematic random sampling of purchasers of Illinois resident hunting licenses and state habitat stamps. Of the 3,000 hunters sampled, 1,500 each were selected from license sales and habitat stamp sales. From this sample of $3,000,88$ were deleted due to lack of forwarding address, incorrect address, or death. Participants received a self-administered return mail questionnaire accompanied with cover letter and return envelope, mailed March 2, 2001. This mailing was followed 17 days later with a thank you/reminder
postcard to nonrespondents. On March 27, a second questionnaire, cover letter, and return envelope were mailed to nonrespondents. The second mailing was followed 17 days later with a postcard thank you/reminder. A total of 2,142 (74\%) hunters responded. Methods for survey questionnaire mailings and follow-up reminders followed those of Miller et al. (1999). Data were entered into am SPSS 10.0 file and analyzed using SPSS 10.0. Estimates for species harvests, hunters, and days afield were made using the formulas discussed by Anderson et al. (1993).

## Results

## License Sales

Resident license sales for the 2000-2001 hunting season totaled 290,000 (290,175 before rounding) and represented a $1.4 \%$ decline from the 1999-2000 sales. Hunting license sales have been in a steady decline since 1994 (Table 1). The 2000-2001 license sales represented the second lowest recorded since 1938.

Of the respondents who reported purchasing a resident hunting license, $26 \%$ obtained a regular license without habitat stamp, $9 \%$ obtained a regular license with habitat stamp printed on license, $31 \%$ chose a sportsmen's combination hunting and sport fishing license without habitat stamp, $23 \%$ chose a sportsmen's combination license with habitat stamp printed on license, and $11 \%$ were eligible for a senior citizen's hunting license (Appendix G, Section 1).

## Harvest

Harvest estimates represent game species harvested by Illinois resident hunters and do not include harvests by nonresidents. Including harvests by nonresidents for species such as
mourning doves may result in larger total harvests. Harvest of big game species (i.e., whitetailed deer and eastern wild turkey) are not included in this report. The reader is directed to Illinois Department of Natural Resources (DNR) publications specific to these species.

Results suggest increases in harvests for 7 game animal categories from 1999-2000 to 2000-2001: rabbit, pheasant, dove, gray partridge, squirrel, red fox, and coyote. Conversely, decreases in harvests were noted for 4 categories: quail, woodcock, raccoon, and opossum. The harvest of gray fox did not change from 1999-2000 to 2000-2001.

## Hunting Activities

General. Of the 2,142 residents surveyed, $1,967(92 \%)$ reported they hunted in Illinois during the 2000-2001 season. Almost one-fourth (23\%) of the respondents said they hunted waterfowl in Illinois in 2000-2001 (Appendix G, Section 1).

One respondent in 5 ( $20 \%$ ) used the DNR's internet website for information during the past year. Most of them (71\%) accessed the internet at home. Of those who did not use the DNR internet website, most (51\%) did not have internet access.

Upland Hunting. Pluralities (35-41\%) of rabbit, pheasant, and quail hunters were afield 1-2 days each month of the 2000-2001 season (Appendix G, Section 2). Only 13-21\% of them were afield $>5$ days per month. Sizeable percentages (27-35\%) of the upland hunters did not go afield during January.

One-third to one-half (31-51\%) of rabbit, pheasant, and quail hunters never took their daily bag limit during the 2000-2001 season. At the other extreme, $9 \%$ of rabbit hunters, $13 \%$ of
pheasant hunters, and $3 \%$ of quail hunters often achieved their daily limits.
When asked it they would favor a low bag limit on quail to provide more opportunities for hunters to harvest birds, majorities of both quail hunters (52\%) and all respondents (63\%) said "yes".

Squirrel Hunting. - Separate Report.

## Attitudes Toward Hunting

Attitudes of respondents toward hunting are profiled in Appendix G, Section 4.
Examination of the data indicate it is important to hunters to spend time outdoors interacting with nature, engaging in hunting activities, and learning more about wildlife. The hunters ranked harvesting a trophy among the least important of their reasons for hunting.

## General Information

Respondents to this survey were $97 \%$ male, averaged 46 years of age, and had hunted in Illinois for an average of 29 years. They represented 101 of the 102 counties in the state.
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Table 1. Number of resident hunting licenses purchased in Illinois, 1938-2000.

| Year | Licenses $(\times 1,000)$ | Year | Licenses $(x \quad 1,000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1938 | 294 | 1970 | 448 |
| 1939 | 322 | 1971 | 464 |
| 1940 | 297 | 1972 | 446 |
| 1941 | 337 | 1973 | 478 |
| 1942 | 309 | 1974 | 470 |
| 1943 | 265 | 1975 | 463 |
| 1944 | 308 | 1976 | 458 |
| 1945 | 321 | 1977 | 424 |
| 1946 | 423 | 1978 | 389 |
| 1947 | 412 | 1979 | $344{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1948 | 451 | 1980 | 337 |
| 1949 | 497 | 1981 | 338 |
| 1950 | 481 | 1982 | 328 |
| 1951 | 477 | 1983 | 315 |
| 1952 | 493 | 1984 | 310 |
| 1953 | 508 | 1985 | 307 |
| 1954 | 506 | 1986 | 311 |
| 1955 | 521 | 1987 | 317 |
| 1956 | 553 | 1988 | 323 |
| 1957 | 503 | 1989 | 317 |
| 1958 | 502 | 1990 | 330 |
| 1959 | 492 | 1991 | 336 |
| 1960 | 471 | 1992 | 317 |
| 1961 | 463 | 1993 | 313 |
| 1962 | 473 | 1994 | $326{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1963 | 482 | 1995 | 324 |
| 1964 | 474 | 1996 | 316 |
| 1965 | 452 | 1997 | 305 |
| 1966 | 470 | 1998 | 301 |
| 1967 | 481 | 1999 | 294 |
| 1968 | 399 | 2000 | 290 |
| 1969 | 427 |  |  |

[^0]Table 2. Summary of Statewide Data from the 2000 Hunter Harvest Survey ( $n=2,142$ ).

| Species | Total Estimated Hunters | Percent of License Sales | Average Bag |  | Total Estimated Harvest | Days Afield |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Daily | Season |  | Average | Total |
| Rabbit | 88,461 | 30.49\% | 1.07 | 5.94 | 525,891 | 5.56 | 491,482 |
| Quail | 44,298 | 15.27\% | 1.16 | 6.55 | 290,310 | 5.63 | 249,398 |
| Pheasant | 53,375 | 18.39\% | 0.62 | 3.22 | 171,639 | 5.17 | 275,950 |
| Dove | 61,367 | 21.15\% | 4.54 | 19.84 | 1,217,324 | 4.37 | 268,093 |
| Gray Partridge | 271 | 0.09\% | 1.25 | 2.50 | 677 | 2.00 | 542 |
| Woodcock | 1,355 | 0.47\% | 0.43 | 1.70 | 2,303 | 4.00 | 5,419 |
| Squirrel ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 96,048 | 33.10\% | 1.47 | 11.71 | 1,125,070 | 7.96 | 764,316 |
| Raccoon | 15,985 | 5.51\% | 1.26 | 16.66 | 266,332 | 13.19 | 210,790 |
| Red Fox | 4,200 | 1.45\% | 0.18 | 1.61 | 6,773 | 8.84 | 37,119 |
| Gray Fox | 813 | 0.28\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 10.50 | 8,535 |
| Coyote | 35,899 | 12.37\% | 0.29 | 2.92 | 104,718 | 10.10 | 362,651 |
| Opossum | 3,387 | 1.17\% | 0.26 | 3.08 | 10,431 | 11.80 | 39,963 |
| Snipe | 406 | 0.14\% | 0.79 | 3.67 | 1,490 | 4.67 | 1,897 |
| Rail | 271 | 0.09\% | 1.57 | 5.50 | 1,490 | 3.50 | 948 |
| Crow | 11,244 | 3.87\% | 1.39 | 6.20 | 69,767 | 4.45 | 49,988 |
| Ground Hog | 5,148 | 1.77\% | 0.60 | 3.50 | 18,017 | 5.79 | 29,803 |
| Turkey-Spring | 60,555 | 20.87\% | 0.10 | 0.44 | 26,552 | 4.61 | 279,202 |
| Turkey-Fall Shotgun | 15,037 | 5.18\% | 0.12 | 0.41 | 6,232 | 3.41 | 51,207 |
| Turkey-Fall Archery | 17,069 | 5.88\% | 0.01 | 0.09 | 1,490 | 15.89 | 271,209 |
| Deer-Shotgun | 171,639 | 59.15\% | 0.16 | 0.72 | 124,361 | 4.47 | 767,703 |
| Deer-Muzzleloader | 14,766 | 5.09\% | 0.13 | 0.37 | 5,419 | 2.90 | 42,808 |
| Deer-Archery | 102,821 | 35.43\% | 0.03 | 0.58 | 60,013 | 22.01 | 2,262,739 |
| Deer-Handgun | 15,443 | 5.32\% | 0.09 | 0.21 | 3,251 | 2.23 | 34,409 |

${ }^{a}$ Fox squirrel harvest was 628,576 ( $55.9 \%$ ) and gray squirrel harvest was 496,494 (44.1\%).

Table 3. Estimated Number ( $\pm 95 \%$ confidence interval) of Resident Licensed Hunters and Harvest in Illinois, 2000 ( $n=2,142$ ).

| Species | Total Estimated <br> Hunters |  | Estimated Average <br> Season Bag |  | Total Estimated <br> Harvest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rabbit | 88,461 | $\pm$ | 5,773 | 5.94 | $\pm$ | 0.79 | 525,891 | $\pm$ | 78,696 |
| Quail | 44,298 | $\pm$ | 4,510 | 6.55 | $\pm$ | 1.43 | 290,310 | $\pm$ | 70,768 |
| Pheasant | 53,375 | $\pm$ | 4,858 | 3.22 | $\pm$ | 0.53 | 171,639 | $\pm$ | 32,684 |
| Dove | 61,367 | $\pm$ | 5,121 | 19.84 | $\pm$ | 2.25 | $1,217,324$ | $\pm$ | 173,526 |
| Gray Partridge | 271 | $\pm$ | 383 | 2.50 | $\pm$ | 4.91 | 677 | $\pm$ | 1,379 |
| Woodcock | 1,355 | $\pm$ | 855 | 1.70 | $\pm$ | 0.97 | 2,303 | $\pm$ | 1,881 |
| Squirrel | 96,048 | $\pm$ | 5,901 | 11.71 | $\pm$ | 1.45 | $1,125,070$ | $\pm$ | 157,987 |
| Raccoon | 15,985 | $\pm$ | 2,861 | 16.66 | $\pm$ | 4.37 | 266,332 | $\pm$ | 85,485 |
| Red Fox | 4,200 | $\pm$ | 1,498 | 1.61 | $\pm$ | 1.04 | 6,773 | $\pm$ | 5,015 |
| Gray Fox | 813 | $\pm$ | 663 | 0.00 | $\pm$ | 0.00 | 0 | $\pm$ | 0 |
| Coyote | 35,899 | $\pm$ | 4,129 | 2.92 | $\pm$ | 0.83 | 104,718 | $\pm$ | 32,573 |
| Opossum | 3,387 | $\pm$ | 1,347 | 3.08 | $\pm$ | 1.38 | 10,431 | $\pm$ | 6,269 |

Table 4. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Rabbit (653)

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag <br> Daily | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | 6,638 | 7.50 | 1.36 | 6.80 | 45,111 | 33,190 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast Moraine | 1,490 | 1.68 | 0.30 | 1.45 | 2,168 | 7,180 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi Border-North | 3,658 | 4.13 | 1.15 | 7.74 | 28,313 | 24,655 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 15,714 | 17.76 | 1.53 | 7.50 | 117,858 | 77,082 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 8,941 | 10.11 | 0.95 | 4.50 | 40,234 | 42,402 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 3,251 | 3.68 | 1.13 | 4.67 | 15,173 | 13,411 |
| Grand Prairie |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Quail (327)

| Wildlife | Estimated <br> Number <br> of | Percent |  |  | Estimated | Estimated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management | Hunters | Hunters | Daily | Season Bag | Total | Days |
| Units | 1,490 | 3.36 | 1.02 | 4.45 | 6,638 | 6,503 |
| Northwest Hills | 406 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 3.33 | 1,355 | 1,761 |
| Northeast Moraine | 2,845 | 6.42 | 1.18 | 5.05 | 14,360 | 12,192 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 8,128 | 18.35 | 1.17 | 4.78 | 38,880 | 33,325 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 4,741 | 10.70 | 0.89 | 4.97 | 23,572 | 26,416 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 2,303 | 5.20 | 1.11 | 6.18 | 14,224 | 12,870 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 10,702 | 24.16 | 0.52 | 3.15 | 33,732 | 64,890 |
| Grand Prairie | 9,618 | 21.71 | 1.50 | 9.25 | 89,003 | 59,335 |
| Southern Plain | 2,980 | 6.73 | 2.22 | 16.77 | 49,988 | 22,488 |
| Wabash Border | 813 | 1.83 | 2.33 | 22.50 | 18,288 | 7,857 |
| Shawnee Hills | 271 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 271 | 1,761 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Pheasant (394)

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag <br> Daily <br> Season | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | 5,690 | 10.66 | 0.54 | 3.00 | 17,069 | 31,429 |
| Northeast Moraine | 3,793 | 7.11 | 0.89 | 3.61 | 13,682 | 15,443 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 1,355 | 2.54 | 0.88 | 2.90 | 3,929 | 4,470 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 406 | 0.76 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 135 | 542 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 3,387 | 6.35 | 0.26 | 1.00 | 3,387 | 12,870 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 3,793 | 7.11 | 0.95 | 4.18 | 15,850 | 16,663 |
| Grand Prairie | 32,919 | 61.68 | 0.61 | 3.44 | 113,252 | 186,676 |
| Southern Plain | 1,355 | 2.54 | 0.62 | 2.40 | 3,251 | 5,283 |
| Wabash Border | 135 | 0.25 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 542 | 271 |
| Shawnee Hills | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0 |

Table 7. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Dove (453)

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | Estimated Number of Hunters |  | Aver Daily | ge Bag Season | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | 1,897 | 3.09 | 2.01 | 12.79 | 24,249 | 12,057 |
| Northeast Moraine | 2,438 | 3.97 | 2.44 | 7.44 | 18,153 | 7,451 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 3,793 | 6.18 | 3.54 | 15.29 | 57,981 | 16,392 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 10,160 | 16.56 | 4.56 | 20.81 | 211,467 | 46,330 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 5,554 | 9.05 | 4.66 | 19.44 | 107,969 | 23,165 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 4,470 | 7.28 | 5.38 | 18.58 | 83,042 | 15,443 |
| Grand Prairie | 17,882 | 29.14 | 4.52 | 21.12 | 377,688 | 83,584 |
| Southern Plain | 10,838 | 17.66 | 5.47 | 23.18 | 251,160 | 45,924 |
| Wabash Border | 2,438 | 3.97 | 5.04 | 13.72 | 33,461 | 6,638 |
| Shawnee Hills | 1,490 | 2.43 | 5.14 | 32.27 | 48,091 | 9,347 |
| Unknown | 406 | 0.66 | 2.31 | 10.00 | 4,064 | 1,761 |

Table 8. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Gray Partridge (2)

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Estimated <br> Average Bag <br> Daily | Estimated <br> Season <br> Harvest | Days <br> Afield |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | 135 | 50.00 | 1.67 | 5.00 | 677 | 406 |
| Northeast Moraine | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Prairie | 135 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 135 |
| Southern Plain | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Wabash Border | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Shawnee Hills | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Woodcock (10)

| Wildlife Management Units | Estimated Number of Hunters | Percent of <br> Hunters | Average Bag <br> Daily Season |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Northeast Moraine | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 135 | 10.00 | 1.67 | 5.00 | 677 | 406 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Prairie | 948 | 70.00 | 0.31 | 1.43 | 1,355 | 4,335 |
| Southern Plain | 271 | 20.00 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 271 | 677 |
| Wabash Border | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Shawnee Hills | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |

Table 10. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Squirrel (709)

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent of Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated Total Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Estimated Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | 7,993 | 8.32 | 1.39 | 6.17 | 49,311 | 35,493 |
| Northeast Moraine | 1,219 | 1.27 | 0.58 | 3.22 | 3,929 | 6,773 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 5,961 | 6.21 | 1.76 | 15.34 | 91,442 | 51,885 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 16,392 | 17.07 | 1.83 | 15.31 | 251,024 | 136,824 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 13,411 | 13.96 | 1.08 | 7.58 | 101,602 | 94,015 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 4,200 | 4.37 | 1.35 | 7.52 | 31,564 | 23,436 |
| Grand Prairie | 20,591 | 21.44 | 1.15 | 8.95 | 184,238 | 160,802 |
| Southern Plain | 17,069 | 17.77 | 1.63 | 16.98 | 289,904 | 177,329 |
| Wabash Border | 4,877 | 5.08 | 1.77 | 13.89 | 67,735 | 38,338 |
| Shawnee Hills | 3,116 | 3.24 | 1.71 | 14.96 | 46,601 | 27,229 |
| Unknown | 1,219 | 1.27 | 0.63 | 6.33 | 7,722 | 12,192 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fox squirrel harvest was 628,576 ( $55.9 \%$ ) and gray squirrel harvest was 496,494 (44.1\%).

Table 11. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Raccoon (118)

| Wildlife | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag <br> Daily | Total <br> Season | Harvest | Days <br> Afield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 2,845 | 17.80 | 2.28 | 21.48 | 61,097 | 26,823 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast Moraine | 271 | 1.69 | 1.13 | 13.50 | 3,658 | 3,251 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 948 | 5.93 | 1.91 | 19.14 | 18,153 | 9,483 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 1,761 | 11.02 | 1.51 | 20.85 | 36,712 | 24,384 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 2,845 | 17.80 | 1.26 | 14.90 | 42,402 | 33,732 |
| Central Sand Prairie |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 542 | 3.39 | 0.48 | 6.75 | 3,658 | 7,586 |
| Grand Prairie |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southern Plain |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Red Fox (31)

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | Estimated <br> Number of Hunters | Percent <br> of Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total Harvest | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northwest Hills | 1,084 | 25.81 | 0.27 | 1.63 | 1,761 | 6,638 |
| Northeast Moraine | 135 | 3.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 677 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 271 | 6.45 | 0.21 | 1.50 | 406 | 1,897 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 135 | 3.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 135 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 271 | 6.45 | 0.43 | 1.50 | 406 | 948 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Prairie | 1,626 | 38.71 | 0.19 | 2.33 | 3,793 | 20,185 |
| Southern Plain | 406 | 9.68 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 135 | 6,232 |
| Wabash Border | 135 | 3.23 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 135 | 271 |
| Shawnee Hills | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 135 | 3.23 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 135 | 135 |

Table 13. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Gray Fox (6)

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Estimated <br> Average Bag <br> Daily | Estimated <br> Season | Harvest | Afield <br> Aays |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Northwest Hills | 135 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 677 |
| Northeast Moraine | 135 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 677 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Prairie | 271 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 2,980 |
| Southern Plain | 271 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 4,200 |
| Wabash Border | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Shawnee Hills | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |

Table 14. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Coyote (265)

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag <br> Daily | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | 5,012 | 13.96 | 0.48 | 5.78 | 28,990 | 60,419 |
| Northeast Moraine | 948 | 2.64 | 0.13 | 1.14 | 1,084 | 8,264 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 2,980 | 8.30 | 0.32 | 3.91 | 11,650 | 35,899 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 3,522 | 9.81 | 0.19 | 1.08 | 3,793 | 19,508 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 4,470 | 12.45 | 0.17 | 1.64 | 7,315 | 42,673 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 948 | 2.64 | 0.15 | 0.71 | 677 | 4,606 |
| Grand Prairie | 12,192 | 33.96 | 0.30 | 2.33 | 28,448 | 96,318 |
| Southern Plain | 3,793 | 10.57 | 0.22 | 5.00 | 18,966 | 85,075 |
| Wabash Border | 1,219 | 3.40 | 0.69 | 2.00 | 2,438 | 3,522 |
| Shawnee Hills | 135 | 0.38 | 0.80 | 8.00 | 1,084 | 1,355 |
| Unknown | 677 | 1.89 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 271 | 5,012 |

Table 15. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Wildlife Management Units in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Opossum (25)

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Units | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag <br> Daily <br> Season | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | 677 | 20.00 | 0.43 | 3.40 | 2,303 | 5,419 |
| Northeast Moraine | 135 | 4.00 | 0.01 | 1.00 | 135 | 12,192 |
| Mississippi Border-North | 271 | 8.00 | 0.40 | 4.00 | 1,084 | 2,709 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 406 | 12.00 | 0.30 | 2.00 | 813 | 2,709 |
| Western Prairie/Forest | 542 | 16.00 | 0.83 | 2.50 | 1,355 | 1,626 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 135 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 271 | 135 |
| Grand Prairie | 677 | 20.00 | 0.19 | 3.60 | 2,438 | 12,734 |
| Southern Plain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wabash Border | 06 | 12.00 | 0.85 | 3.67 | 1,490 | 1,761 |
| Shawnee Hills | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0 |

Table 16. Percent change in harvests from 1999 to 2000 in Wildlife Management Units for selected species.

| Wildlife <br> Management <br> Unit | Rabbit | Quail | Pheasant | Squirrels ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dove | Raccoon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Red } \\ & \text { Fox } \end{aligned}$ | Gray Fox | Coyote |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northwest Hills | 20 | -23 | -28 | -15 | 102 | -5 | 261 | --- | 135 |
| Northeast <br> Moraine | 48 | 178 | 50 | -56 | 27 | --- | --- | --- | -5 |
| Mississippi <br> Border-North | 54 | -29 | -7 | 68 | 4 | -45 | --- | --- | -13 |
| Mississippi Border-South | 54 | -40 | -96 | 21 | -1 | 15 | -100 | --- | -29 |
| Western Prairie Forest | -9 | -22 | -31 | -34 | 128 | -23 | --- | --- | 0 |
| Central Sand Prairie | 46 | 35 | 214 | 96 | -15 | 2 | --- | --- | 315 |
| Grand <br> Prairie | 16 | 26 | 53 | 24 | 17 | 15 | 56 | --- | -13 |
| Southern Plain | 26 | -49 | -54 | 26 | 43 | 40 | --- | --- | 171 |
| Wabash Border | 34 | 16 | --- | 93 | -25 | 110 | --- | --- | -56 |
| Shawnee Hills | 134 | -59 | --- | 58 | 88 | -100 | --- | --- | --- |

Table 17. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Rabbit (653)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Region | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Daily | Season | Total <br> Harvest | Days <br> Afield |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Region 1 | 22,217 | 25.11 | 0.99 | 5.35 | 118,942 | 119,619 |
| Region 2 | 3,929 | 4.44 | 0.35 | 1.59 | 6,232 | 17,882 |
| Region 3 | 11,921 | 13.48 | 0.76 | 4.05 | 48,227 | 63,670 |
| Region 4 | 32,648 | 36.91 | 1.20 | 6.47 | 211,196 | 176,245 |
| Region 5 | 17,611 | 19.91 | 1.25 | 8.02 | 141,294 | 112,710 |
| Unknown | 135 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0 |

Table 18. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Quail (327)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> of | Percent <br> of <br> Runters | Average Bag <br> Hunters | Daily | Season | Total <br> Harvest |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Region 1 | 9,212 | 20.80 | 0.60 | 3.74 | 34,409 | 56,897 |
| Region 2 | 1,355 | 3.06 | 1.10 | 6.40 | 8,670 | 7,857 |
| Region 3 | 4,606 | 10.40 | 0.48 | 2.35 | 10,838 | 22,759 |
| Region 4 | 18,559 | 41.90 | 1.15 | 5.82 | 108,104 | 93,609 |
| Region 5 | 10,296 | 23.24 | 1.92 | 12.43 | 128,018 | 66,515 |
| Unknown | 271 | 0.61 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 271 | 1,761 |

Table 19. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Pheasant (394)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Runters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Daily | Season | Total <br> Harvest | Days <br> Afield |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region 1 | 21,404 | 40.10 | 0.55 | 3.01 | 64,348 | 117,181 |
| Region 2 | 8,805 | 16.50 | 1.00 | 5.38 | 47,414 | 47,414 |
| Region 3 | 14,495 | 27.16 | 0.54 | 2.75 | 39,828 | 73,966 |
| Region 4 | 7,993 | 14.97 | 0.56 | 2.42 | 19,372 | 34,545 |
| Region 5 | 135 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1.00 | 135 | 542 |
| Unknown | 542 | 1.02 | 0.24 | 1.00 | 542 | 2,303 |

Table 20. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Dove (453)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Daily | Season | Total <br> Harvest | Days <br> Afield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Region 1 | 12,870 | 20.97 | 3.31 | 13.61 | 175,161 | 52,968 |
| Region 2 | 5,283 | 8.61 | 3.25 | 10.85 | 57,303 | 17,611 |
| Region 3 | 8,264 | 13.47 | 4.78 | 25.69 | 212,280 | 44,434 |
| Region 4 | 23,707 | 38.63 | 4.95 | 21.06 | 499,203 | 100,924 |
| Region 5 | 10,838 | 17.66 | 5.34 | 24.85 | 269,312 | 50,394 |
| Unknown | 406 | 0.66 | 2.31 | 10.00 | 4,064 | 1,761 |

Table 21. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Gray Partridge (2)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Daily | Season | Total <br> Harvest | Days <br> Afield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Region 1 | 271 | 100.00 | 1.25 | 2.50 | 677 | 542 |
| Region 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Region 3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Region 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Region 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |

Table 22. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Woodcock (10)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Daily | Season | Total <br> Harvest | Days <br> Afield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Region 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Region 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0 |
| Region 3 | 813 | 60.00 | 0.23 | 1.17 | 948 | 0 |
| Region 4 | 406 | 30.00 | 1.43 | 3.33 | 1,355 | 4,200 |
| Region 5 | 135 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0 |

Table 23. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Squirrel (709)

| Administrative Region | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag |  | Estimated <br> Total <br> Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Estimated <br> Days <br> Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Daily | Season |  |  |
| Region 1 | 26,823 | 27.93 | 1.08 | 7.27 | 194,940 | 181,122 |
| Region 2 | 2,168 | 2.26 | 0.93 | 4.63 | 10,025 | 10,838 |
| Region 3 | 10,702 | 11.14 | 1.23 | 10.13 | 108,375 | 88,055 |
| Region 4 | 33,732 | 35.12 | 1.79 | 14.82 | 499,881 | 279,879 |
| Region 5 | 21,404 | 22.28 | 1.58 | 14.21 | 304,128 | 192,231 |
| Unknown | 1,219 | 1.27 | 0.63 | 6.33 | 7,722 | 12,192 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fox squirrel harvest was 628,576 (55.9\%) and gray squirrel harvest was 496,494 (44.1\%).

Table 24. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Raccoon 118)
$\left.\begin{array}{llrrrrr}\hline \text { Administrative } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Estimated } \\ \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Hunters }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Hunters }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average Bag }\end{array} & \text { Estimated } & \text { Estimated } \\ \hline \text { Region 1 } & 8,264 & 51.69 & 1.43 & 16.21 & 133,979 & 93,880 \\ \hline \text { Region 2 } & 406 & 2.54 & 1.08 & 9.00 & 3,658 & 3,387 \\ \hline \text { Region 3 } & 1,355 & 8.47 & 0.57 & 9.50 & 12,870 & 22,759 \\ \hline \text { Region 4 } & 4,200 & 26.27 & 1.20 & 16.45 & 69,089 & 57,439 \\ \hline \text { Region 5 } & 1,761 & 11.02 & 1.40 & 26.54 & 46,737 & 33,325 \\ \hline \text { Unknown } & 0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & & 0 \\ \text { Afield }\end{array}\right]$

Table 25. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Red Fox (31)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> Region <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag | Estimated | Estimated |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Region 1 | 2,438 | 58.06 | 0.1452 | 1.50 | 3,658 | 25,197 |
| Region 2 | 271 | 6.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0 |
| Region 3 | 271 | 6.45 | 0.80 | 8.00 | 2,168 | 2,709 |
| Region 4 | 813 | 19.35 | 0.09 | 0.83 | 677 | 7,722 |
| Region 5 | 271 | 6.45 | 0.33 | 0.50 | 135 | 406 |
| Unknown | 135 | 3.23 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 135 | 135 |

Table 26. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Gray Fox (6)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag | Estimated | Estimated |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region 1 | 271 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 2,303 |
| Region 2 | 135 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 677 |
| Region 3 | 135 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 1,355 |
| Region 4 | 135 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 4,064 |
| Region 5 | 135 | 16.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 135 |
| Unknown |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 27. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Coyote (265)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Region | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Average Bag | Estimated | Season | Total <br> Harvest |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Region 1 | 16,256 | 45.28 | 0.36 | 3.74 | 60,826 | Days <br> Afield |
| Region 2 | 2,032 | 5.66 | 0.17 | 1.27 | 2,574 | 170,555 |
| Region 3 | 4,335 | 12.08 | 0.25 | 1.34 | 5,825 | 14,766 |
| Region 4 | 8,399 | 23.40 | 0.18 | 1.90 | 15,985 | 93,707 |
| Region 5 | 4,200 | 11.70 | 0.34 | 4.58 | 19,237 | 57,303 |
| Unknown | 677 | 1.89 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 271 | 5,012 |

Table 28. Summary of 2000 Hunting Effort and Success in Administrative Regions in Illinois for the Species Listed Below.

Opossum (25)

| Administrative | Estimated <br> Number <br> of <br> Hunters | Percent <br> of <br> Hunters | Daily | Season | Total <br> Harvest | Days <br> Afield |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region 1 | 1,626 | 48.00 | 0.29 | 3.50 | 5,690 | 19,778 |
| Region 2 | 135 | 4.00 | 0.01 | 1.00 | 135 | 12,192 |
| Region 3 | 271 | 8.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 135 | 271 |
| Region 4 | 813 | 24.00 | 0.46 | 3.00 | 2,438 | 5,283 |
| Region 5 | 406 | 12.00 | 0.85 | 3.67 | 1,490 | 1,761 |
| Unknown | 135 | 4.00 | 0.80 | 4.00 | 542 | 677 |

Table 29. Percent change in harvest from 1999-2000 in Administrative Regions for selected species.

| Administrative <br> Regions | Rabbit | Quail | Pheasant | Squirrels $^{\text {a }}$ | Dove | Raccoon | Fox | Gray <br> Fox | Coyote |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region 1 | 24 | 31 | 16 | 4 | 24 | 31 | 25 | -- | 21 |
| Region 2 | 4 | 167 | 72 | -45 | 12 | 221 | -- | -- | 76 |
| Region 3 | 6 | -10 | 55 | 41 | 56 | -58 | 100 | -- | -6 |
| Region 4 | 32 | -31 | -10 | 11 | 2 | -32 | 315 | -- | -6 |
| Region 5 | 44 | -44 | -83 | 45 | 40 | 15 | 100 | -- | 88 |

Table 30. Distribution of hunting effort and success among resident Illinois hunters in 2000.

| $\frac{\text { Days Afield }}{\text { Percent of }}$Hunters | Animals Harvested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Range | Percent of |
| Range | Hunters |

Rab.bit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {--- ..... --- ... } 0 \text {........... } 25.0 \\
& \text { 1-5 ....70.8...... 1-5.............43. } 5 \\
& \text { 6-10.....18.5...... 6-10.............17.4 } \\
& \text { 11-14..... 2.6 .....11-14............ } 4.0 \\
& \text { 15-19..... 2.6 ....15-19............ } 3.5 \\
& \text { 20-24..... 3.2 .....20-24............ } 2.3 \\
& \text { 25+..... } 2.3 \ldots 25+\ldots . . . . . . .4
\end{aligned}
$$

Quail


Pheasant

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {--- .... --- ... } 0 \text {........... } 36.8 \\
& \text { 1-5.....69.0...... 1-5............. 44.7 } \\
& \text { 6-10.....21.6...... 6-10.............11.6 } \\
& \text { 11-14..... 3.3 .....11-14............ } 2.6 \\
& \text { 15-19..... 3.3 .....15-19............ } 1.8 \\
& \text { 20-24..... 2.3 .....20-24............. } 1.7 \\
& \text { 25+..... } 0.5 \text {.... 25+ ............ } 0.8
\end{aligned}
$$

Dove

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {--- .... --- ... } 0 \text {............11. } 7 \\
& \text { 1-5.....77.9...... 1-5............. } 17.6 \\
& \text { 6-10.....16.4...... 6-10............. 18.6 } \\
& \text { 11-14..... 1.3 .....11-14............ } 6.0 \\
& \text { 15-19..... 2.4 .....15-19............. } 10.1 \\
& \text { 20-24..... 1.3 .....20-24............. } 8.4 \\
& \text { 25+..... } 0.7 \text {..... } 25+\ldots . . . . . . . .27 .6
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 30. Continued - page 2.

| $\frac{\text { Days Afield }}{\text { Percent of }}$ |  | Animals Harvested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Range $\quad$ Hunters | $\underline{\text { Range }}$ | Percent of <br> Hunters |

Gray
Partridge


Woodcock

| - | --- | 0 | . 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | 70.0 | 1-5 | . 0 |
| 6-10 | 30.0 | 6-10 |  |
| 11-14 | --- | 11-14 | -- |
| 15-19 | --- | 15-19 | --- |
| 20-24 | --- | 20-24 |  |
| 25+ | --- | $25+$ |  |

Squirrels

| --- | 60 | 1 | 13.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | 60.1 | 1-5 | 34.9 |
| 6-10 | 21.6 | 6-10 | 20.9 |
| 11-14 | 3.6 | 11-14 | 8.2 |
| 15-19 | 4.7 | 15-19 | 6.5 |
| 20-24 | 4.4 | 20-24 | 3.2 |
| 25+ | 5.6 | 25+ | 13.0 |

## Raccoon

| --- | --- | 0 | 5.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | 48.3. | 1-5 | . 40.7 |
| 6-10 | 21.2 . | 6-10 | .13.5 |
| 11-14 | 5.1 | 11-14. | 1.7 |
| 15-19 | 5.9 | 15-19 | 10.2 |
| 20-24 | 4.2 | 20-24 | 7.6 |
| $25+$ | 15.3. | 25+ | 21.2 |

Table 30. Continued - page 3.

| $\frac{\text { Days Afield }}{\text { Percent of }}$Hunters | Animals Harvested |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Range | Percent of |  |  |
| Hunters |  |  |  |

Red Fox

| --- | - | 0 | . 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | 60.0 | 1-5 | 4.5 |
| 6-10 | 23.3 | 6-10 |  |
| 11-14 | 3.4 | 11-14 | --- |
| 15-19 | 3.3 | 15-19 | 3.2 |
| 20-24 |  | 20-24 |  |
| 25+ | 10.0. | $25+$ |  |

Gray Fox


Coyote

| --- | --- | 0 | . 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 | 59.8 | 1-5 | 8.3 |
| 6-10 | 17.5 | 6-10 | 8.3 |
| 11-14 | 3.4 | 11-14 | 1.5 |
| 15-19 | 3.0 | 15-19 | 0.8 |
| 20-24 | 4.9 | 20-24 |  |
| 25+ | 11.4 | 25+ | 2.6 |

Opossum

31. Statewide data from resident Illinois hunters, 1990-2000.

| Species | Estimated | Average | Average | Estimated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and | Total | Daily | Season | Total |
| Seasons | Hunters | Bag | Bag | Days |

RABBIT
966,626

| 1990 | 162,560 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1991 | 161,293 |
| 1992 | 129,272 |
| 1993 | 130,374 |
| 1994 | 141,659 |
| 1995 | 128,673 |
| 1996 | 109,758 |
| 1997 | 102,842 |
| 1998 | 98,190 |
| 1999 | 86,826 |
| 2000 | 88,461 |

84,989
84,281
71,964
67,791
75,407
72,244
56,542
55,958
55,618
47,803
44,298
1.69
1.64
1.54
1.68
1.61
1.43
1.22
1.36
1.42
1.33
1.16
10.90
10.99
10.49
11.26
11.19
9.78
7.54
8.37
9.35
8.91
6.55
$1,293,154$
$1,180,618$
802,045
875,688
884,850
838,322
521,424
550,602
608,878
411,040
525,891
5.95
6.25
5.90
5.96
5.92
5.94
5.08
5.22
5.78
4.93
5.56

199
1992
1993
1994
1996
1998
200

QUAIL

1990

1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
PHEASANT
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000

| 97,761 | 0.61 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 94,845 | 0.64 |
| 78,985 | 0.59 |
| 83,123 | 0.60 |
| 89,727 | 0.54 |
| 85,811 | 0.62 |
| 77,069 | 0.57 |
| 66,734 | 0.57 |
| 68,149 | 0.52 |
| 54,469 | 0.48 |
| 53,375 | 0.62 |

3.81
4.13
3.32
3.66
3.27
3.91
3.08
3.23
2.77
2.54
3.22
372,920
391,419
262,229
304,239
293,560
335,208
237,382
215,326
188,740
138,368
171,639
6.22
6.50
5.67
6.09
6.05
6.28
5.42
5.70
5.29
5.24
5.17

608,261
616,661
447,580
505,972
542,439
542,439
539,149
417,367
380,459
360,486
285,517
275,950

Table 31. Continued - page 2. Statewide data from resident Illinois hunters, 1990-2000.

| Species and Seasons | Estimated Total Hunters | Average Daily Baq | Average <br> Season <br> Bag | Estimated Total Harvest | Average Days Afield | Estimated Total Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 79,449 | 3.70 | 19.69 | 1,564,275 | 5.32 | 422,975 |
| 1991 | 84,054 | 3.83 | 18.08 | 1,519,787 | 4.71 | 396,303 |
| 1992 | 73,631 | 3.86 | 20.48 | 1,507,817 | 5.30 | 390,272 |
| 1993 | 71,455 | 4.28 | 20.99 | 1,499,884 | 4.91 | 350,719 |
| 1994 | 68,700 | 3.95 | 18.82 | 1,293,242 | 4.77 | 327,729 |
| 1995 | 73,626 | 3.95 | 19.34 | 1,424,136 | 4.90 | 360,441 |
| 1996 | 67,756 | 3.57 | 18.43 | 1,248,586 | 5.17 | 350,277 |
| 1997 | 77,132 | 4.31 | 19.89 | 1,534,030 | 4.62 | 355,977 |
| 1998 | 61,798 | 4.11 | 19.31 | 1,193,380 | 4.69 | 290,020 |
| 1999 | 58,209 | 3.98 | 17.56 | 1,022,235 | 4.41 | 256,575 |
| 2000 | 61,367 | 4.54 | 19.84 | 1,217,324 | 4.37 | 268,093 |
| GRAY PARTRIDGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 2,348 | 0.09 | 0.60 | 1,409 | 6.88 | 16,153 |
| 1991 | 2,612 | 0.08 | 0.65 | 1,704 | 8.57 | 22,377 |
| 1992 | 1,492 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 702 | 5.65 | 8,425 |
| 1993 | 1,157 | 0.09 | 1.25 | 1,446 | 13.67 | 15,815 |
| 1994 | 816 | 0.06 | 0.44 | 1,363 | 7.78 | 6,344 |
| 1995 | 1,469 | 0.61 | 3.24 | 4,753 | 5.29 | 7,777 |
| 1996 | 665 | 1.37 | 3.71 | 2,471 | 2.71 | 1,806 |
| 1997 | 189 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 189 | 6.50 | 1,229 |
| 1998 | 944 | 0.13 | 1.18 | 1,116 | 8.82 | 8,326 |
| 1999 | 650 | 0.18 | 0.75 | 488 | 4.25 | 2,764 |
| 2000 | 271 | 1.25 | 2.50 | 677 | 2.00 | 542 |
| WOODCOCK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 6,104 | 0.33 | 1.85 | 11,269 | 5.68 | 34,653 |
| 1991 | 7,724 | 0.39 | 1.75 | 13,517 | 4.54 | 35,098 |
| 1992 | 5,266 | 0.47 | 1.98 | 10,444 | 4.20 | 22,116 |
| 1993 | 4,532 | 0.47 | 1.77 | 8,004 | 3.77 | 17,068 |
| 1994 | 2,810 | 0.38 | 2.68 | 7,523 | 7.00 | 19,667 |
| 1995 | 3,111 | 0.31 | 1.64 | 5,099 | 5.31 | 16,505 |
| 1996 | 2,661 | 0.30 | 1.96 | 5,227 | 6.50 | 17,295 |
| 1997 | 1,701 | 0.76 | 2.33 | 3,970 | 3.06 | 5,199 |
| 1998 | 1,974 | 0.68 | 2.09 | 4,120 | 3.09 | 6,094 |
| 1999 | 2,114 | 0.46 | 2.38 | 5,040 | 5.15 | 10,894 |
| 2000 | 1,355 | 0.43 | 1.70 | 2,303 | 4.00 | 5,419 |

Table 31. Continued - page 3. Statewide data from resident Illinois hunters, 1990-2000.

| Species | Estimated | Average | Average | Estimated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and | Total | Daily | Season | Total |
| Seasons | Hunters | Bag | Bag | Hays |

FOX SQUIRREL

| 1990 | 116,731 | 1. 55 | 9.23 | 1,076,971 | 5.97 | 696,726 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 127,558 | 1.42 | 8.29 | 1,057,717 | 5.84 | 745,355 |
| 1992 | 113,211 | 1.60 | 8.65 | 979,585 | 5.41 | 612,394 |
| 1993 | 104,627 | 1.39 | 8.04 | 841,551 | 5.77 | 603,560 |
| 1994 | 108,760 | 1.38 | 7.56 | 821,769 | 5.48 | 595,821 |
| 1995 | 97,909 | 1.36 | 7.84 | 767,201 | 5.75 | 562,655 |
| 1996 | 91,703 | 1. 32 | 8.05 | 738,280 | 6.08 | 557,820 |
| 1997 | 85,166 | 1.39 | 7.22 | 615,162 | 5.18 | 441,238 |
| 1998 | 82,998 | 1.13 | 9.48 | 786,460 | 8.40 | 697,111 |
| 1999 | 83,411 | 1.14 | 7.28 | 607,618 | 6.39 | 532,986 |

GRAY SQUIRREL

| 1990 |  | 72,969 | 1.46 | 8.79 | 641,130 | 6.01 | 438,564 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 |  | 84,622 | 1.47 | 7.55 | 638,697 | 5.12 | 433,560 |
| 1992 |  | 75,738 | 1.49 | 8.08 | 611, 604 | 5.42 | 410,545 |
| 1993 |  | 71,552 | 1.38 | 7.75 | 554,670 | 5.63 | 403,177 |
| 1994 |  | 75,950 | 1.57 | 8.89 | 675,488 | 5.65 | 429,329 |
| 1995 |  | 67,923 | 1.38 | 7.72 | 524,372 | 5.60 | 380,662 |
| 1996 |  | 65,380 | 1.46 | 8.93 | 583,858 | 6.13 | 400,642 |
| 1997 |  | 60,779 | 1.31 | 7.46 | 453,432 | 5.70 | 346,620 |
| 1998 |  | 60,682 | 1.07 | 8.92 | 541,416 | 8.31 | 504,080 |
| 1999 |  | 56,095 | 0.90 | 6.35 | 356,083 | 7.05 | 395,268 |
| Squirrels ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 2000 | (Fox \& Gray) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2000$ |  | 96,048 | 1.47 | 11.71 | 1,125,070 | 7.96 | 764,316 |
| RACCOON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 |  | 20,379 | 0.98 | 9.37 | 191,015 | 9.54 | 194,490 |
| 1991 |  | 21,695 | 1.20 | 17.95 | 389,488 | 14.97 | 324,744 |
| 1992 |  | 19,483 | 1.25 | 19.95 | 388,692 | 15.95 | 310,848 |
| 1993 |  | 18,129 | 1.36 | 15.84 | 287,171 | 11.68 | 211,762 |
| 1994 |  | 22,749 | 1.14 | 14.12 | 321,113 | 12.42 | 282,503 |
| 1995 |  | 19,789 | 1.32 | 17.18 | 340,047 | 13.00 | 257,260 |
| 1996 |  | 19,861 | 1.66 | 20.05 | 398,267 | 12.05 | 239,283 |
| 1997 |  | 24,482 | 1.51 | 23.19 | 567,711 | 15.33 | 375,260 |
| 1998 |  | 16,308 | 1.33 | 17.96 | 292,852 | 13.53 | 220,669 |
| 1999 |  | 15,447 | 1.26 | 17.88 | 276,249 | 14.17 | 218,853 |
| 2000 |  | 15,985 | 1.26 | 16.66 | 266,332 | 13.19 | 210, |

Table 31. Continued - page 4. Statewide data from resident Illinois hunters, 1990-2000.

| Species and Seasons | Estimated Total Hunters | Average Daily | Average <br> Season <br> Bag | Estimated Total Harvest | Average Days Afield | Estimated Total Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RED FOX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 8,734 | 0.27 | 2.47 | 21,600 | 9.04 | 78,979 |
| 1991 | 6,815 | 0.14 | 1.27 | 8,633 | 8.83 | 60,201 |
| 1992 | 7,109 | 0.14 | 1.70 | 12,111 | 12.57 | 89,340 |
| 1993 | 4,436 | 0.13 | 1.24 | 5,497 | 9.50 | 42,140 |
| 1994 | 6,979 | 0.14 | 1.30 | 9,063 | 9.10 | 63,534 |
| 1995 | 4,062 | 0.18 | 1.40 | 5,703 | 7.77 | 31,542 |
| 1996 | 3,611 | 0.10 | 0.66 | 2,376 | 6.79 | 24,517 |
| 1997 | 3,308 | 0.08 | 1.11 | 3,686 | 13.74 | 45,466 |
| 1998 | 3,176 | 0.11 | 1.38 | 4,377 | 12.19 | 38,709 |
| 1999 | 3,089 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 3,089 | 8.68 | 26,828 |
| 2000 | 4,200 | 0.18 | 1.61 | 6,773 | 8.84 | 37,119 |
| GRAY FOX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 2,348 | 0.25 | 2.52 | 5,916 | 10.08 | 23,666 |
| 1991 | 3,408 | 0.08 | 0.77 | 2,612 | 9.97 | 33,962 |
| 1992 | 2,721 | 0.05 | 0.52 | 1,404 | 11.23 | 30,541 |
| 1993 | 1,446 | 0.13 | 1.13 | 1,639 | 8.87 | 12,825 |
| 1994 | 2,991 | 0.08 | 0.73 | 2,175 | 8.91 | 26,646 |
| 1995 | 1,469 | 0.06 | 0.41 | 605 | 6.35 | 9,333 |
| 1996 | 1,520 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 5.94 | 9,028 |
| 1997 | 1,418 | 0.18 | 3.60 | 5,104 | 19.80 | 28,074 |
| 1998 | 1,631 | 0.03 | 0.37 | 601 | 13.00 | 21,200 |
| 1999 | 813 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 6.20 | 5,040 |
| 2000 | 813 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 10.50 | 8,535 |
| COYOTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 34,277 | 0.32 | 2.78 | 95,226 | 8.58 | 294,129 |
| 1991 | 35,439 | 0.28 | 2.69 | 95,299 | 9.52 | 337,352 |
| 1992 | 33,349 | 0.23 | 2.84 | 94,606 | 12.48 | 416,161 |
| 1993 | 33,751 | 0.23 | 3.03 | 102,120 | 13.17 | 444,449 |
| 1994 | 38,066 | 0.23 | 2.43 | 92,536 | 10.80 | 411,021 |
| 1995 | 32,233 | 0.24 | 2.66 | 85,898 | 11.08 | 357,244 |
| 1996 | 33,640 | 0.22 | 2.45 | 82,485 | 11.24 | 378,215 |
| 1997 | 33,272 | 0.26 | 3.13 | 104,165 | 11.83 | 393,598 |
| 1998 | 31,414 | 0.34 | 3.53 | 110,807 | 10.35 | 325,124 |
| 1999 | 31,056 | 0.31 | 2.89 | 89,752 | 9.43 | 292,834 |
| 2000 | 35,899 | 0.29 | 2.92 | 104,718 | 10.10 | 362,651 |

Table 31. Continued - page 5. Statewide data from resident Illinois hunters, 1990-2000.

| ```Species and Seasons``` | Estimated Total Hunters | Average Daily Bag | Average Season Bag | Estimated Total Harvest | Average <br> Days <br> Afield | Estimated Total Days Afield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPOSSUM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 6,010 | 0.65 | 3.27 | 19,627 | 5.05 | 30,333 |
| 1991 | 5,679 | 0.47 | 4.28 | 24,308 | 9.08 | 51,568 |
| 1992 | 5,617 | 0.52 | 3.42 | 19,220 | 6.56 | 36,860 |
| 1993 | 4,822 | 0.63 | 3.38 | 16,297 | 5.38 | 25,940 |
| 1994 | 4,985 | 0.33 | 3.05 | 15,226 | 9.38 | 46,767 |
| 1995 | 5,099 | 0.47 | 3.53 | 17,975 | 7.58 | 38,628 |
| 1996 | 4,466 | 0.31 | 3.79 | 16,915 | 12.15 | 54,262 |
| 1997 | 5,955 | 0.57 | 5.90 | 35,163 | 10.38 | 61,819 |
| 1998 | 2,918 | 0.39 | 4.41 | 12,875 | 11.18 | 32,615 |
| 1999 | 2,276 | 0.53 | 5.29 | 12,032 | 9.93 | 22,601 |
| 2000 | 3,387 | 0.26 | 3.08 | 10,431 | 11.80 | 39,963 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fox squ | 628,576 | and gra | el harv | 496,494 (4 |  |  |

Table 32. Percent change in statewide harvest.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 2000 | \% Change | \% Change | \% <br> Change <br> 10 |
| Species |  |  |  | 5 Yearsa |

aFrom 1999, 1995, and 1990, respectively; see also Table 31.

## Appendix A

Illinois hunting season dates and bag limits, 2000-2001 .

| Species | Zone | Duration | Bag Limit Daily | Bag Limit Possession |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upland Wildlife |  |  |  |  |
| Rabbit | North | Nov. 4-Jan. 8 | 4 | $10^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | South | Nov. 4-Jan. 22 | 4 | $10^{\text {a }}$ |
| Quail | North | Nov. 4-Jan. 8 | 8 | $20^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | South | Nov. 4-Jan. 15 | 8 | $20^{\text {a }}$ |
| Pheasant | North | Nov. 4-Jan. 8 | 2 | $6^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | South | Nov. 4-Jan. 15 | 2 | $6^{\text {a }}$ |
| Gray Partridge | North | Nov. 4-Jan. 8 | 2 | $6^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | South | Nov. 4-Jan. 15 | 2 | $6^{\text {a }}$ |
| Migratory Wildife |  |  |  |  |
| Dove | Statewide | Sept. 1-Oct. 17 \& |  |  |
|  |  | Nov 4-16 | 15 | 30 |
| Woodcock | Statewide | Oct. 21-Dec 4 | 3 | 6 |
| Forest Wildlife |  |  |  |  |
| Squirrel (Fox \& Gray) | Statewide | Aug. 1-Dec. $31^{\text {b }}$ | 5 | $10^{\text {a }}$ |
| Furbearers |  |  |  |  |
| Raccoon | North | Nov. 5-Feb $10{ }^{\text {b }}$ | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | South | Nov. 10-Feb $15^{\text {b }}$ | No Limit | No Limit |
| Opossum | North | Nov. 5-Feb 10 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | South | Nov. 10-Feb $15^{\text {b }}$ | No Limit | No Limit |
| Fox | Statewide | Nov. 10-Jan 31 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | No Limit | No Limit |
| Coyote | Statewide | Year-Round ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | No Limit | No Limit |

${ }^{a}$ On the 2nd day of the hunting season, you may possess no more than twice the daily bag limit. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Closed Nov. 17-19; Nov. 30 -Dec. 1-3 in counties open for firearm deer hunting.

# Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey 

## 2000-2001 Season



ALL RESPONSES ARE CONFIDENTIAL
THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!
Postage-paid return envelope provided


Illinois Department of Natural Resources
Division of Wildlife Resources
Illinois Natural History Survey

The Department of Natural Resources is requesting disclosure of information that is necessary to accomplish the statutory purpose as outlined under the Illinois Compiled Statutes, The Wildlife Code, Chapter 520. Disclosure of information is voluntary.

Please take 15 minutes of your time to complete this questionnaire. Your responses will tell us more about Illinois hunters and how you feel about important issues facing hunters in Illinois.

Section 1. Hunter harvest and days afield. Please complete the following questionnaire for the past hunting season (February 2000 - January 2001). Include only the game harvested and days hunted IN ILLINOIS. List only your retrieved kill, not those hit and not found. DO NOT list any upland game harvested or days hunted on licensed shooting preserves or controlled (put-and-take) hunting areas. Count part of a day as a whole day.

1. Did you hunt in Illinois during the 2000-2001 season? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No (please go to question 3)

Please provide the days hunted, harvest and counties hunted for the following species.

| Game Species | Number of Days Hunted | Number Harvested |  | County Hunted Most Often |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rabbit |  |  |  |  |
| Quail |  |  |  |  |
| Pheasant |  |  |  |  |
| Dove |  |  |  |  |
| Gray (Hungarian) |  |  |  |  |
| Partridge |  |  |  |  |
| Woodcock |  |  |  |  |
| Snipe |  |  |  |  |
| Rail |  |  |  |  |
| Crow |  |  |  |  |
| Ground Hog |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fox | Gray |  |
| Squirrel |  |  |  |  |
| Turkey - Spring |  |  |  |  |
| Turkey - Fall Shotgun |  |  |  |  |
| Turkey - Fall Archery |  |  |  |  |
| Deer - Shotgun |  |  |  |  |
| Deer - Muzzleloader |  |  |  |  |
| Deer - Archery |  |  |  |  |
| Deer - Handgun |  |  |  |  |
| Furbearers (Hunted on | rapped) |  |  |  |
| Raccoon |  |  |  |  |
| Red Fox |  |  |  |  |
| Gray Fox |  |  |  |  |
| Coyote |  |  |  |  |
| Opossum |  |  |  |  |

2. Did you hunt waterfowl in Illinois during the 2000-2001 season?
$\qquad$ Yes
$\qquad$ No
3. Which of the following resident Illinois hunting license types did you purchase for your personal use for the 2000-2001 season? Please indicate the number of each you purchased.
$\qquad$ Regular hunting license without Habitat Stamp
$\qquad$ Separate Habitat Stamp to be affixed to license
$\qquad$ Regular hunting license with Habitat Stamp printed on license
___ Sportsman's combination license without Habitat Stamp
___ Sportsman's combination license with Habitat Stamp printed on license
___ Senior citizen's hunting license
4. Did you use the Illinois Department of Natural Resources Internet website for any information in the past year?

Yes (Please go to question 4a)
No (Please go to question 5)

4a. How did you access the Internet?
$\qquad$ Access at home
$\qquad$ Access at work
$\qquad$ Access through friends or relatives
$\qquad$ Access through a local public library
$\qquad$ I do not have access to the Internet, but used information from it given to me by someone else

4b. How did you use the DNR Internet website? Please check all that apply.
___ Buying a license
___ Printing a permit application
___ Information on seasons and bag limits
___ Deer harvest reports
_Hunting information about a state site
$\qquad$ DNR press releases
$\qquad$ Other (please identify): $\qquad$
5. If you have not used the Illinois DNR Internet website, please give reasons why.
$\qquad$ I do not have Internet access I do not use the Internet for purchases
I did not know about the Illinois DNR website
Other (please identify):

Section 2. Upland Hunting. Please answer the following questions about upland hunting in Illinois.

1. Please indicate your hunting efforts for the following game species by circling the proper response.

| Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1 to 2 days) | (3 to 5 days) | (more than 5 days) |

Rabbits

| November | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| December | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| January | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Pheasants

| November | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| December | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| January | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Quail

| November | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| December | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| January | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

2. During the past $\mathbf{5}$ years how often have you gotten daily bag limits for the following?

|  | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Rabbits | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Pheasants | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

3. Would you favor a low limit on quail to provide more opportunities for hunters to harvest birds?
$\qquad$ Yes
$\qquad$ No

Section 3. Squirrel Hunting. Please answer the following questions about squirrel hunting in Illinois.

1. Did you hunt gray or fox squirrels during the 2000-2001 season?
$\qquad$ Yes (go to question 1a) $\qquad$ No

1a. If you hunted squirrels, how did you hunt them most often? (please choose one)
$\qquad$ as the main species of the hunt $\qquad$ incidental to other species
2. How has your squirrel hunting effort changed in the past 5 years?
$\qquad$ increased
$\qquad$ stayed the same
$\qquad$ decreased
3. In your opinion, how have squirrel populations changed in the past 5 years (gray or fox squirrels)?
$\qquad$ Lower
$\qquad$ Same
$\qquad$ Higher
$\qquad$ Not sure
4. In what part of the small game season did most of your squirrel hunting take place? (Choose one)
$\qquad$ August
$\qquad$ between September 1 and October 31
$\qquad$ after October 31
5. Which of the following best describes those who usually accompany you squirrel hunting? (Choose one)
$\qquad$ Friends $\qquad$ Family
$\qquad$ Co-workers
$\qquad$ Neighbors
$\qquad$ I usually hunt alone $\qquad$ Other (please identify): $\qquad$
6. Do any of the hunters who accompany you squirrel hunting include youths?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
7. If there was no effect on the population would you favor a season in May for squirrels?
$\qquad$ Yes (please go to question 8)
$\qquad$ No (please go to question 9)
8. Please give the reason you would favor a May season for squirrels. (Check all that apply).
$\qquad$ extended hunting opportunities
$\qquad$ give me something to hunt during spring
$\qquad$ other (please identify): $\qquad$
9. Please give the reason you would NOT favor a May season for squirrels. (Check all that apply).
$\qquad$ present season is long enough
$\qquad$ its never been done before
$\qquad$ it's not part of our hunting tradition in Illinois
$\qquad$ other (please identify): $\qquad$
10. If a season for squirrels was offered in May, would you take part in it?
$\qquad$ Yes
$\qquad$ No
$\qquad$ Not sure
11. How do you rate the quality of squirrel hunting in Illinois? (Please circle the number)

| Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent | Don't Know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

12. How important are the following as reasons why you hunt squirrels?

| Not | Slightly | Moderately | Very | Extremely <br> Important |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Important | Important | Important | Important |  |


| To harvest squirrels to eat | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To extend my hunting opportunities <br> earlier in the season | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To spend time outdoors in summer <br> and early fall | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To scout for deer hunting | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To practice my shooting skills | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To spend time with other hunters | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To pass along skills to young hunters | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Section 4. Attitudes Toward Hunting Please rate the following reasons why you hunt on a scale of "Not Important" to "Extremely Important". Circle the number that matches your response.

| Not | Slightly | Moderately | Very | Extremely |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Important | Important | Important | Important | Important |


| Hunting is a central part of my life | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To learn about wildlife | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To control wildlife populations | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To harvest a trophy | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Hunting is a fun activity | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To share my experience with friends | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To feel close to nature and the land | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To get away from day-to-day life | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To remain a part of the heritage <br> of hunting | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | To share my skills and knowledge |
| :--- |
| with others |


| For the independence | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To share my experience with family | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To observe wildlife | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To maintain a rural American <br> tradition | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| For the challenge 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| To provide meat for myself <br> and family | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |


| To provide a service to landowners | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hunting is my favorite activity | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To develop my skills | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To maintain a family tradition | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To interact with other hunters | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| To spend time outdoors | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Section 5: The following questions are important to help us understand more about the people involved in hunting in Illinois. Please tell us something about yourself by checking the responses that apply.

1. How many years have you hunted in Illinois? $\qquad$ Years
2. What is your county of residence? $\qquad$ County

3 . What is your gender? $\qquad$ Male $\qquad$ Female
4. Please give your age. $\qquad$ years

## COMMENTS

## RETURN ENVELOPE IS PROVIDED - POSTAGE-PAID

 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND ASSISTANCE!Your input will help us understand more about hunters and hunting in Illinois.
The Illinois Department of Natural Resources receives federal assistance and therefore must comply with federal antidiscrimination laws. In compliance with the Illinois Human Rights Act, the Illinois Constitution, Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act as amended, and the U.S. Constitution, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, national origin, age, or disability. If you believe you have been discriminated against in any program, activity, or facility, please contact the Equal Employment Opportunity Officer, Department of Natural Resources, 524 S. Second St., Springfield, IL 62701-1787, (217) 782-7616 or the officer of Human Resources, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 20240.

## Appendix C

Dear Illinois Hunter,
You are one of a select group of Illinois hunters asked to provide information about your hunting activities during the 2000-2001 hunting season. Please complete the enclosed questionnaire and return it to us as soon as possible. The information you and other selected hunters furnish our biologists is vital for proper wildlife management and allows us to safeguard wildlife populations while maximizing hunting opportunities.

This survey is limited to those hunters selected. Please take 15 minutes to complete the enclosed questionnaire even if you were not successful. A postage-paid envelope is provided for returning the questionnaire to us.

Thank you for your time and assistance.
Sincerely,

Jeffrey M. Ver Steeg
Chief, Wildlife Resources

## Appendix D

Dear Illinois Hunter,
As one of a select group of Illinois hunters during the 2000-2001 season, you have been asked to provide information about your hunting activities. We recently mailed you a survey questionnaire regarding your hunting experiences in Illinois during the 1999-2000 season. We have not received your completed questionnaire. Perhaps you recently mailed the questionnaire and it has not yet arrived in our office. If so, we thank you.

If you have not returned your completed questionnaire to us, please do so as soon as possible. We have enclosed another copy of the questionnaire. The information you and other selected hunters furnish our biologists is vital for proper wildlife management and allows us to safeguard wildlife populations while maximizing hunting opportunities.

This survey is limited to those hunters selected. Please complete the enclosed questionnaire even if you were not successful. A postage-paid envelope is provided for you to return the questionnaire to us.

In order for your opinions and information to be recorded, we must receive your completed questionnaire by April 28, 2000.

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey M. Ver Steeg
Chief, Wildlife Resources

## Appendix E

Wildlife management units in Illinois (square miles in parentheses).


## Appendix F

## Administrative regions in Illinois.



## Appendix G

## Itemized Responses to the 2000-2001 Hunter Harvest Questionnaire

## Section 1.

2. Did you hunt waterfowl in Illinois during the 2000-01 season?

| Hunt Waterfowl <br> in Illinois | Number of <br> Respondents | Percent <br> Response |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 334 | $23 \%$ |
| No | 1131 | $77 \%$ |

3. Which of the following resident Illinois hunting license types did you purchase for your personal use for the 2000-2001 season ( $\mathrm{n}=2142$ )?

| Hunting License Types | Number of Hunters Buying: |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 license | 2 licenses | 3 licenses |
| Regular hunting license without Habitat Stamp | 514 | 4 | 1 |
| Separate Habitat Stamp to be affixed to license | 987 | 6 | 2 |
| Regular hunting license with Habitat Stamp printed on license | 189 | 0 | 0 |
| Sportsman's combination license without Habitat Stamp | 622 | 2 | 0 |
| Sportsman's combination license with Habitat Stamp printed on license | 464 | 0 | 0 |
| Senior citizen's hunting license | 225 | 0 | 0 |

4. Did you use the Illinois Department of Natural Resources Internet website for any information in the past year?

|  | Number of <br> Respondents | Percent <br> Response |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 429 | $20 \%$ |
| No | 1686 | $80 \%$ |

4a. How did you access the Internet?

|  | Number of <br> Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Access at home | 349 |
| Access at work | 111 |
| Access through friends or relatives | 24 |
| Access through a local public library | 4 |
| I do not have access to the internet, but used information <br> from it given to me by someone else | 5 |

4b. How did you use the DNR Internet website?

|  | Number of <br> Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Buying a license | 28 |
| Printing a permit application | 124 |
| Information on seasons and bag limits | 297 |
| Deer harvest reports | 169 |
| Hunting information about a state site | 161 |
| DNR press releases | 116 |
| Other $^{\text {a }}$ | 63 |

[^1]5. If you have not used the Illinois DNR Internet website, please give reasons why.

| Reason | Number of <br> Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| I do not have Internet access | 911 |
| I do not use the Internet for purchases | 276 |
| I did not know about the Illinois DNR website | 539 |
| Other $^{\text {a }}$ | 49 |

[^2]
## Section 2. Upland Hunting

1. Please indicate your hunting efforts for the following game species. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Species | Month | n | Never | Rarely <br> (1 to 2 days) | Sometimes <br> (3 to 5 days) | Often <br> (more than 5 days) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rabbits | November | $(538)$ | $19 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
|  | December | $(558)$ | $12 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
|  | January | $(449)$ | $27 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Pheasants | November | $(360)$ | $12 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
|  | December | $(351)$ | $13 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
|  | January | $(280)$ | $35 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
|  | November | $(272)$ | $17 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
|  | December | $(278)$ | $14 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

${ }^{a}$ Responses only from hunters who hunted each game species.
2. During the past 5 years how often have you gotten daily bag limits for the following? ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Species | n | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rabbits | $(640)$ | $39 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Pheasants | $(402)$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Quail | $(317)$ | $51 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Responses only from hunters who hunted each game species.
3. Would you favor a low limit on quail to provide more opportunities for hunters to harvest birds?

| Number of | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Respondents | Response |

Response from all hunters surveyed:

| Yes | 1154 | $63 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | 685 | $37 \%$ |

Response from quail hunters only:

| Yes | 165 | $52 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 150 | $48 \%$ |

## Section 4. Attitudes Toward Hunting

Please rate the following reason why you hunt.

| Reasons | Not Important | Slightly Important | Moderately Important | Very Important | Extremely Important |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hunting is a central part of my life | 5\% | 11\% | 25\% | 28\% | 31\% |
| To learn about wildlife | 5\% | 8\% | 25\% | 38\% | 24\% |
| To control wildlife populations | 12\% | 13\% | 28\% | 27\% | 20\% |
| To harvest a trophy | 37\% | 17\% | 23\% | 12\% | 11\% |
| Hunting is a fun activity | 2\% | 2\% | 12\% | 32\% | 52\% |
| To share my experience with friends | 8\% | 12\% | 26\% | 30\% | 24\% |
| To feel close to nature and the land | 3\% | 5\% | 18\% | 33\% | 41\% |
| To get away from day-to-day life | 4\% | 5\% | 16\% | 31\% | 44\% |
| To remain a part of the heritage of hunting | 5\% | 7\% | 17\% | 30\% | 41\% |
| To share my skills and knowledge with others | 9\% | 12\% | 27\% | 26\% | 25\% |
| For the independence | 8\% | 10\% | 25\% | 27\% | 30\% |
| To share my experience with family | 8\% | 10\% | 22\% | 28\% | 33\% |
| To observe wildlife | 2\% | 3\% | 17\% | 35\% | 43\% |
| To maintain a rural American tradition | 6\% | 10\% | 21\% | 28\% | 35\% |
| For the challenge | 6\% | 7\% | 21\% | 30\% | 35\% |
| To provide meat for myself and family | 18\% | 19\% | 28\% | 17\% | 18\% |
| To provide a service to landowners | 25\% | 21\% | 28\% | 16\% | 11\% |
| Hunting is my favorite activity | 5\% | 9\% | 22\% | 26\% | 38\% |
| To develop my skills | 8\% | 12\% | 28\% | 29\% | 23\% |
| To maintain a family tradition | 14\% | 14\% | 25\% | 23\% | 24\% |
| To interact with other hunters | 12\% | 16\% | 27\% | 27\% | 18\% |
| To spend time outdoors | 2\% | 2\% | 11\% | 29\% | 56\% |

## Section 5. General Information

1. How many years have you hunted in Illinois? Mean $=29$ Years
2. County of residence for respondents of the 2000-2001 Hunter Harvest Survey

| County | Number of <br> Residents | County | Number of <br> Residents | County | Number of <br> Residents |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Adams | 53 | Hardin | 0 | Morgan | 20 |
| Alexander | 9 | Henderson | 9 | Moultrie | 15 |
| Bond | 9 | Henry | 52 | Ogle | 12 |
| Boone | 6 | Iroquois | 13 | Peoria | 40 |
| Brown | 11 | Jackson | 31 | Perry | 19 |
| Bureau | 14 | Jasper | 13 | Piatt | 7 |
| Calhoun | 10 | Jefferson | 17 | Pike | 10 |
| Carroll | 15 | Jersey | 33 | Pope | 5 |
| Cass | 25 | JoDaviess | 42 | Pulaski | 8 |
| Champaign | 21 | Johnson | 3 | Putnam | 5 |
| Christian | 26 | Kane | 22 | Randolph | 29 |
| Clark | 6 | Kankakee | 12 | Richland | 17 |
| Clay | 3 | Kendall | 9 | Rock Island | 35 |
| Clinton | 27 | Knox | 44 | St. Clair | 101 |
| Coles | 27 | Lake | 31 | Saline | 7 |
| Cook | 82 | LaSalle | 51 | Sangamon | 25 |
| Crawford | 23 | Lawrence | 23 | Schuyler | 29 |
| Cumberland | 10 | Lee | 5 | Scott | 9 |
| DeKalb | 32 | Livingston | 21 | Shelby | 21 |
| DeWitt | 8 | Logan | 17 | Stark | 8 |
| Douglas | 7 | McDonough | 7 | Stephenson | 14 |
| DuPage | 28 | McHenry | 19 | Tazewell | 45 |
| Edgar | 10 | McLean | 39 | Union | 6 |
| Edwards | 12 | Macon | 35 | Vermilion | 27 |
| Effingham | 12 | Macoupin | 40 | Wabash | 15 |
| Fayette | 7 | Madison | 122 | Warren | 3 |
| Ford | 2 | Marion | 27 | Washington | 18 |
| Franklin | 35 | Marshall | 6 | Wayne | 8 |
| Fulton | 33 | Mason | 13 | White | 4 |
| Gallatin | 1 | Massac | 2 | Whiteside | 23 |
| Greene | 16 | Menard | 8 | Will | 47 |
| Grundy | 3 | Mercer | 12 | Williamson | 36 |
| Hamilton | 6 | Monroe | 10 | Winnebago | 30 |
| Hancock | 26 | Montgomery | 17 | Woodford | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. What is your gender?

| Gender | Percent Response |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | $97 \%$ |
| Female | $3 \%$ |

4. Please give your age. Mean $=46$ Years

## Appendix H

Write in responses to the selected items from the 2000-2001 Illinois Hunter Harvest Survey.

## Section 1.

Question 4b other: How did you use the DNR Internet website?

|  | Number of <br> Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Check on status of permits | 22 |
| General information | 10 |
| Check on waterfowl harvest numbers | 10 |
| Hunter education course information | 6 |
| Turkey harvest reports | 5 |
| To see what the website contained | 4 |
| Purchase Habitat Stamp | 2 |
| To get information on wild hogs | 1 |
| Register for dove hunting | 1 |
| Employment | 1 |
| Find public hunting areas | 1 |

Question 5 other: If you have not used the Illinois DNR Internet website, please give reasons why.

| Reason | Number of |
| :--- | :---: |
| Respondents |  |

Do not need too 20

Not interested 18
Do not know how to use a computer 5
Too hard to use 3
Never took the time 2
Forgot about it 1

Section 2. - No write in responses.

Section 3. - Separate Report.

Section 4. - No write in responses.

## Section 3.

| Question 8 other: Please give the reason you would favor a May |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reason | Number of <br> Respondents |
| Reduce numbers/control population | 44 |
| Nice weather, less insects | 24 |
| Reason to be outdoors, enjoy nature | 10 |
| Encourage others to hunt squirrels | 8 |
| Meat | 7 |
| Does not conflict with bow hunting | 3 |
| Hunt while doing other spring activities | 2 |
| such as mushroom hunting | 1 |
| Train dogs |  |

Question 9 other: Please give the reason you would not favor a May season for squirrels.

| Reason | Number of <br> Respondents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Young squirrels too small, unable to fend for themselves | 65 |
| Weather to warm | 63 |
| Do not want to hurt population during mating season, <br> disrupt reproduction <br> Disturb other species | 43 |
| Conflict with other activities such as spring turkey hunting, | 41 |
| fishing and mushroom hunting | 12 |
| To early in the year | 6 |
| Poor quality hunt | 6 |
| Bad idea | 4 |
| Cut down on the number of squirrels in the fall season | 3 |
| No free time during that season | 3 |
| Do not hunt squirrels | 2 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes Sportsmen's (combination hunting/fishing) licenses beginning in 1979, which was the
    $1^{\text {st }}$ year for this license.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Includes senior citizen ( $\geq 65$ years) hunting licenses beginning in 1994, which was the $1^{\text {st }}$ year for this license.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Appendix H

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ See Appendix H

