American University in Cairo AUC Knowledge Fountain

Faculty Book Chapters

1-1-2015

Modeling of a symmetric five-bar displacement amplification compliant mechanism using energy methods

Moataz M. Elsisy Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering

Yasser Anis Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering

Mustafa Arafa American University in Cairo, MHARAFA@AUCEGYPT.EDU

Chahinaz Saleh Cairo University, Faculty of Engineering

Follow this and additional works at: https://fount.aucegypt.edu/faculty_book_chapters

Recommended Citation

APA Citation

Elsisy, M. Anis, Y. Arafa, M. & Saleh, C. (2015). *Modeling of a symmetric five-bar displacement amplification compliant mechanism using energy methods*. ASME. , [information not provided]-[information not provided]

https://fount.aucegypt.edu/faculty_book_chapters/448

MLA Citation

Elsisy, Moataz M., et al. *Modeling of a symmetric five-bar displacement amplification compliant mechanism using energy methods*. ASME, 2015.pp. [information not provided]-[information not provided] https://fount.aucegypt.edu/faculty_book_chapters/448

This Book Chapter is brought to you for free and open access by AUC Knowledge Fountain. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Book Chapters by an authorized administrator of AUC Knowledge Fountain. For more information, please contact fountadmin@aucegypt.edu.





Article Modeling of a Symmetric Five-Bar Displacement Amplification Compliant Mechanism for Energy Harvesting

Moataz M. Elsisy ^{1,†}¹, Mustafa H. Arafa ², Chahinaz A. Saleh ¹, and Yasser H. Anis ^{1,*}

- ¹ Mechanical Design and Production Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Giza 12613, Egypt; mme41@pitt.edu (M.M.E.); chahinaz@eng.cu.edu.eg (C.A.S.)
- ² Mechanical Engineering Department, American University in Cairo, New Cairo 11835, Egypt; mharafa@aucegypt.edu
- * Correspondence: yanis@eng.cu.edu.eg
- + Current address: Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA.

Abstract: This paper presents an analytical model to determine a closed form mathematical representation for the output displacement of a displacement amplification compliant mechanism used for energy harvesting. A symmetric five-bar compliant mechanism with right-circular and corner-filleted flexure hinges was mathematically modeled and its displacement was determined using the Castigliano energy theorem. The stresses within the flexure joints, the weakest points in the mechanism body, were calculated. The mathematical model expresses both the displacement amplification and the stresses as functions of the design parameters and the load caused by the harvester. The developed model can be used to optimize the mechanism dimensions for maximum harvested power, while minimizing its structural stresses. The mechanism was also modeled numerically using finite element methods; both the analytical and numerical models were verified experimentally. The mathematical model of the mechanism was integrated with a model representing a piezoelectric energy harvester to calculate the open-circuit voltage. As a proof of concept, experiments were performed using an unimorph piezoelectric cantilever at low-frequency (less than 1 Hz) harmonic excitation inputs. The measured open-circuit voltage was found to be in agreement with that calculated using the proposed model, when integrated with the model representing the piezoelectric beam. The power generated by the piezoelectric harvester, equipped with the proposed displacement amplification mechanism, was more than a hundred times that without amplification.

Keywords: displacement amplification; compliant mechanism; castigliano's theorem; energy harvesting

1. Introduction

Interest in vibration-based energy harvesting has witnessed significant growth in recent years due to the ubiquity of mechanical vibrations as a viable source of energy for many applications. One of the main challenges, however, is that many practical sources of ambient vibration provide low-frequency, low-amplitude motion, which places limitations on the design of harvesters that would best respond to such excitation schemes to capture energy effectively. This has prompted research into maximizing the input motion by increasing the frequency range of vibration energy harvesters [1,2]. Several techniques have been suggested to increase the frequency range; thus, maximize harvested power, including natural frequency tuning [3–5], in addition to bandwidth widening using an array of structures, coupled oscillators, nonlinear springs, or bi-stable structures [6,7]. The excitation force and frequency may require modulation to increase the output power [8]. Mechanical modulation methods include frequency up-conversion [9,10], and excitation amplification mechanisms.

Excitation amplification mechanisms have been used, including lever mechanisms, scissor linkage mechanisms, and rack-pinion mechanisms [11,12]. Due to backlash and



Citation: Elsisy, M.M.; Arafa, M.H.; Saleh, C.A.; Anis, Y.H. Modeling of a Symmetric Five-Bar Displacement Amplification Compliant Mechanism for Energy Harvesting. *Sensors* 2021, 21, 1095. https://doi.org/10.3390/ s21041095

Academic Editor: Fabio Viola Received: 6 January 2021 Accepted: 2 February 2021 Published: 5 February 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). clearance problems associated with these systems, compliant mechanisms were proposed, including flextensional mechanisms [13], in addition to displacement amplification compliant mechanisms [14–17].

In this work, a compliant mechanism is used for amplifying the excitation displacement. Compliant mechanisms are flexible mechanisms that achieve their mobility from the flexibility of their flexure joints. They are advantaged over traditional link-joints mechanisms in their simplicity of fabrication, lighter weights, reduced wear and backlash, and high precision, which makes them favorable for use in dirty and harsh environments [18]. The proposed device can be particularly useful for harvesting energy in applications involving low-frequency, low-amplitude vibration, such as railway infrastructure, bridges, and buildings, to extract energy for operating self-powered sensors. In such environments, the excitation amplitude is usually too small to drive generators directly and hence can be amplified for greater harvested power output. Ideally, the device works when inserted in confined structural spaces in which a prevailing relative motion drives the compliant mechanism against a fixed reference surface.

When employed in the context of vibration-based energy harvesting, the objective of the compliant mechanism is to condition a given input displacement through amplifying its magnitude. This makes it easier to extract greater amounts of power from the ambient energy sources and should be performed while ensuring the longevity and structural integrity of the compliant mechanism and its constituent joints. These objectives often demand higher amplification ratios and greater output stiffness of the compliant mechanism. As these competing attributes are often conflicting, a trade-off must usually be made to come up with a compromise, which can be conducted through proper modeling and simulation tools. Compliant mechanisms have been modeled using pseudo-rigid-body models (PRBM) [14,19–22], or topology optimization methods [23,24]. The mathematical modeling of compliant mechanisms with right-circular and corner-filleted flexure joints have also been performed using Castigliano's energy theorem [25], and the principle of virtual work [26].

In this paper, we present an analytical model for the symmetric five-bar displacement amplification compliant mechanism used in [14,15,27], shown in Figure 1. This mechanism is composed of links, corner-filleted flexure joints, and right-circular flexure joints, and is advantaged for its ability to provide high amplification ratios. Castigliano's energy theorem was used to find closed-form mathematical representations of the output displacement and the normal stresses in the flexure joints. The mechanism was also modeled numerically using finite element methods; both the analytical and numerical models were verified experimentally. Preliminary results of this work were reported in [15]. The mathematical model of the mechanism was integrated with a model representing a piezoelectric energy harvester.



Figure 1. Symmetric five-bar mechanism schematic showing flexure joints dimensions.

3 of 14

2. Displacement Amplification

Subjecting the mechanism to a horizontal input displacement X_{in} results in an output displacement Y_{out} , (see Figure 1). The ratio between Y_{out} and X_{in} defines the mechanism's amplification ratio. Based on the principle of superposition, and assuming linear elastic behavior, Y_{out} is the difference between two displacements, Y_{ex} , and Y_L , as in:

$$Y_{out} = Y_{ex} - Y_L, \tag{1}$$

where Y_{ex} is the output displacement resulting from X_{in} at zero external load F_L , while Y_L results from an external load F_L at zero X_{in} , (see Figure 2). Due to the symmetry in the mechanism topology, only half the mechanism is analyzed to reduce computational efforts and resources; thus, both F_L , and Y_{ex} are halved. The displacements X_{in} , Y_{out} , Y_{ex} , and Y_L are all measured from the mechanism's static equilibrium position. The amplification ratio *AR* is therefore written as: $\gamma_{ax} - \gamma_{t}$

$$AR = \frac{T_{ex}}{X_{in}}.$$
 (2)



(a) Y_{ex} due to X_{in} at zero F_L

Figure 2. Output displacements Y_{ex} and Y_L .

Figure 3 represents a free body diagram of half the mechanism, divided into seven segments. B_M and B_x are the internal reactions due to the symmetric boundary conditions, while A_x , A_y and A_M are the external reactions at the fixation point "A". F_{in} is the force that produces the input displacement X_{in} , which can be represented using the concepts of Castigliano's energy theorem as:

$$X_{in} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \int_{0}^{l_i} \frac{M_i \frac{dM_i}{dF_{in}}}{E I_i} \, ds_i,$$
(3)

where M_i is the internal reaction moment for each mechanism segment *i*. For every segment i, s_i is the distance measured from the beginning of the segment in the counter-clockwise direction, E is the elastic modulus of the mechanism material, l_i is the length of the segment, and I_i is its second moment of area. The energy consumed in shear is neglected.

Using Castigliano's energy theorem, the displacements Y_L and Y_{ex} can similarly be represented as:

$$Y_{ex} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \int_{0}^{l_i} \frac{M_i \frac{dM_i}{dF_L}}{EI_i} ds_i \bigg|_{F_I = 0},$$
(4)

$$X_L = 2\sum_{i=1}^{7} \int_{0}^{l_i} \frac{M_i \frac{dM_i}{dF_L}}{EI_i} ds_i \bigg|_{X_{in}=0}.$$
 (5)

In energy harvesting applications, the vibration source excites the mechanism with the displacement X_{in} . The resulting amplified output displacement Y_{out} actuates the harvester, which exerts in return an external load force F_L on the mechanism's output. This effect

can be represented by a stiffness K_H , connected in series with the mechanism's stiffness K_{Mech} [28]. F_L can therefore be related to Y_{ex} as:

$$F_L = \frac{Y_{ex}}{K_H^{-1} + K_{Mech}^{-1}}.$$
 (6)

Using X_{in} , Y_{ex} , and Y_L , from (3)–(5), the displacement amplification ratio (*AR*) in (2) can be calculated. The external reactions A_x , A_y , A_M at the supporting end "A" and the internal reactions B_x , B_M at "B" are determined from the following equilibrium Equations (7)–(9), and the boundary condition Equations (10) and (11):

$$\sum F_x = 0$$
 $A_x + B_x + F_{in} = 0,$ (7)

$$\sum F_y = 0 \qquad A_y - \frac{F_L}{2} = 0,$$

$$\sum M_A = 0 \qquad A_M + B_M + B_x (l_1 + l_2 + l_3)$$
(8)

$$-\frac{F_L}{2} \left((l_4 + l_5 + l_6) \cos \theta_5 + l_7 \right) -B_x \left(l_4 + l_5 + l_6 \right) \sin \theta_5 +F_{in} (l_1 + l_2) = 0,$$
(9)

where θ_5 is the inclination angle of the fifth segment, shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Free body diagram of the mechanism, showing dimensions, forces, and mechanism segments "*i*".

Using Castigliano's energy theorem and due to the mechanism's symmetry, both the horizontal displacement (u_{B_x}) and the rotation at the 7th segment (θ_7) vanish:

$$u_{B_x} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} \int_0^{l_i} \frac{M_i \frac{dM_i}{dB_x}}{E I_i} \, ds = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$\theta_7 = \sum_{i=1}^7 \int_0^{l_i} \frac{M_i \frac{dM_i}{dB_M}}{E I_i} ds = 0,$$
(11)

$$\begin{split} M_1 &= A_M + A_x \, s_i, \\ M_2 &= A_M + A_x \, (l_1 + s_i), \\ M_3 &= A_M + A_x \, (l_1 + l_2 + s_i) + F_{in} \, s_i, \\ M_4 &= A_M + A_x \, (l_1 + l_2 + l_3 - s_i \, \sin(\theta_5)) \\ &\quad + F_{in} \, (l_3 - s_i \, \sin(\theta_5)) + \frac{F_L}{2} \, s_i \, \cos(\theta_5)), \\ M_5 &= A_M + A_x \, (l_1 + l_2 + l_3 - (l_4 + s_i) \, \sin(\theta_5)) \\ &\quad + F_{in} \, (l_3 - (l_4 + s_i) \, \sin(\theta_5)) \\ &\quad + \frac{F_L}{2} \, (l_4 + s_i) \, \cos(\theta_5)), \\ M_6 &= A_M + A_x \, (l_1 + l_2 + l_3 - (l_4 + l_5 + s_i) \, \sin(\theta_5)) \\ &\quad + F_{in} \, (l_3 - (l_4 + l_5 + s_i) \, \sin(\theta_5)) \\ &\quad + \frac{F_L}{2} \, (l_4 + l_5 + s_i) \, \cos(\theta_5)), \\ M_7 &= A_M + A_x \, (l_1 + l_2 + l_3 - (l_4 + l_5 + l_6) \, \sin(\theta_5)) \\ &\quad + F_{in} \, (l_3 - (l_4 + l_5 + l_6) \, \sin(\theta_5)) \\ &\quad + \frac{F_L}{2} \, (l_4 + l_5 + l_6 + s_i) \, \cos(\theta_5)). \end{split}$$

The second moments of area for segments 2, 3, 5, and 7 are equal (i.e., $I_2 = I_3 = I_5 = I_7$). For Segment 1, the right circular hinge is divided into subsegments rc_1 and rc_2 (see Figure 3). Their second moments of areas I_{rc_1} , and I_{rc_2} are expressed as [15]:

$$I_{rc1} = \frac{w}{12} \left(t_{rc} + 2 \left(r_{rc} - \sqrt{r_{rc}^2 - s_{rc1}^2} \right) \right)^3,$$

$$I_{rc2} = \frac{w}{12} \left(t_{rc} + 2 \left(r_{rc} - \sqrt{r_{rc}^2 - (r_{rc} - s_{rc2})^2} \right) \right)^3,$$

where *w* is the width of the mechanism, and t_{rc} and r_{rc} are the joints thickness and radius of curvature, respectively, as shown in Figure 1. Segments 4 and 6, which are bounded by corner filleted hinges, are further divided into three subsegments, cf_1 , cf_2 and cf_3 , as shown in Figure 3. The second moments of areas I_{cf_1} , I_{cf_2} , and I_{cf_3} are expressed as [15]:

$$I_{cf1} = \frac{w}{12} \left(t_{cf} + 2 \left(r_{cf} - \sqrt{r_{cf}^2 - s_{cf1}^2} \right) \right)^3$$

$$I_{cf2} = \frac{w}{12} t_{cf}^3$$

$$I_{cf3} = \frac{w}{12} \left(t_{cf} + 2 \left(r_{cf} - \sqrt{r_{cf}^2 - (r_{cf} - s_{cf3})^2} \right) \right)^3$$

where t_{cf} , l_{cf} , and r_{cf} represent the joint's thickness, length, and radius of curvature, respectively, as shown in Figure 1.

The stresses in the mechanism are not allowed to exceed its material yield strength and endurance limit. For low-frequency oscillatory excitation, the effect of fatigue is thus ignored. The normal stress σ_n at any Segment *i* within the mechanism is primarily caused by the bending moment M_i and the axial load N_i , and can be expressed as:

$$\sigma_n = \frac{M_i}{S_i} + \frac{N_i}{A_i},\tag{12}$$

where A_i is the cross-sectional area, and S_i is the section modulus, defined as the ratio between the second moment of area I_i and the distance from the neutral axis to the outer surface. The normal forces N_i at the different mechanism segments are expressed in Table 1.

Table 1. Normal force N_i in each segment *i*.

Segments 1, 2, and 3	$N_i=-rac{F_L}{2}$
Segments 4, 5, and 6	$N_i = A_x \cos \theta_i + \frac{F_L}{2} \sin \theta_i$
Segment 7	$N_i = A_x$

The displacement amplification and the stresses were also evaluated numerically by finite element methods, using a finite element (FE) software package. The model was meshed using tetrahedral and triangular elements of average, and minimum element qualities of 0.6822, and 0.1329, respectively.

3. Experimental Validation

The analytical and finite element models were both verified experimentally by constructing the mechanism with the dimensions presented in Table 2. The mechanism was cut out of an 8 mm-thick Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) sheet, using a CO₂ laser cutting machine. The material has a Young's modulus (*E*) of 3.2 GPa, and Poisson's ratio (ν) of 0.327. The input displacements X_{in} were provided using two micrometer actuators, with 0.05 mm accuracy, applied in the opposite directions. X_{in} was varied between 0.1 mm and 0.5 mm (at 0.1 mm increments), while the external load F_L was applied to the top of the mechanism using weights that were varied between 1 N to 5 N (at 1 N increments). A dial indicator, with an accuracy of 0.01 mm, was used to measure the output displacements. Figure 4 shows the setup for the experimental validation. Six strain gauges were fixed at the middle of each flexure hinge (Segments 1, 4, and 6), at the locations shown in Figure 4. These locations were selected for being the mechanism's weakest segments. The strain gauges were connected to strain meters (DP25B-S, Omega, CT, USA), which were calibrated to provide the average strain.

Hinges	t _{rc} 3.75	r _{rc} 5	<i>t_{cf}</i> 3.75	r _{cf} 2	l _{cf} 15	
Links	<i>l</i> ₁ 10	l ₂ 85	l ₃ 15	l_4 85.5	l ₅ 15	
Others		w 8		$ heta_5 \\ 15^o$		

Table 2. Mechanism dimensions (mm).



Figure 4. Experimental validation setup showing the locations of strain gauges on the mechanism.

The output displacements Y_{ex} , at no external load, and Y_L , at no input displacement, are determined from Equations (4) and (5), respectively. Figure 5a,b show the effect of the input displacement X_{in} on Y_{ex} and the effect of the load F_L on Y_L , respectively, as predicted analytically, numerically, and as measured experimentally. The negative sign of Y_L indicates its downwards direction. Both Figure 5a,b show good agreement between the analytical, numerical, and experimental results with a maximum error less than 9%, which validates the proposed analytical model for X_{in} .

The maximum normal stress σ_n at the middle section of the right circular hinge (Segment 1) was evaluated analytically, using Equation (12), numerically, and experimentally with the stresses estimated from the measured strains using Hooke's law. Two cases were investigated: (1) σ_n caused by X_{in} only (at $F_L = 0$), and (2) σ_n caused by F_L only (at $X_{in} = 0$), as shown in Figures 6a and 7a, respectively. Similarly, Figures 6b,c, and 7b,c show the maximum stresses developed at the middle sections of the two upper flexure hinges (Segments 4 and 6). Figures 6 and 7 show good agreement between the analytical and numerical model results and those from the experiments, for different X_{in} and F_L . Errors between analytical, numerical, and experimental are found to be less than 10% at large excitations, and/or large loads, which can be attributed to calculation assumptions, change in configuration angles at large deformations, in addition to experimental measurement errors. Results indicate that the analytical model can be used to calculate the normal stresses in flexure joints.



(**b**) Relation between external load F_L and output displacement Y_L ($X_{in} = 0$)

Figure 5. Effect of the input displacement X_{in} and the external load F_L on the output displacement.



Figure 6. Normal stresses on the compliant mechanism due to X_{in} only ($F_L = 0$).



Figure 7. Cont.



Figure 7. Normal stresses on the compliant mechanism due to F_L only ($X_{in} = 0$).

4. Energy Harvesting Using a Unimorph Piezoelectric Cantilever

A unimorph piezoelectric cantilever was integrated with the symmetric five-bar compliant mechanism to harvest energy from the amplified displacement. Figure 8 shows a schematic drawing of the used unimorph piezoelectric beam. The beam is fixed from one side with its free tip connected to the excitation source. Here, the harvester's excitation source is the amplified displacement Y_{out} . The excitation causes bending deformation in the piezoelectric beam, which generates a voltage across the piezoelectric layers. The poles of the piezoelectric material are oriented in the z_p -axis direction $z_p(3)$; thus, electric voltage is generated between piezoelectric upper and lower layers. The generated bending stresses are in the $x_p(1)$ direction, as shown in Figure 8. Thus, the piezoelectric constant used in the calculations is d_{31} .



Figure 8. Schematic of the unimorph piezoelectric beam.

The constitutive equation for the unimorph piezoelectric bender with a fixed-free boundary condition is expressed as [28]:

$$Y_{out} = a_{11} F_L + a_{12} V. ag{13}$$

where Y_{out} is the tip deflection of the piezoelectric beam, F_L and V are the force and the voltage of the piezoelectric beam, respectively. For equal lengths of piezoelectric layer and the elastic layer, the coefficients a_{11} and a_{12} are expressed by:

$$a_{11} = \frac{4L_b^3}{E_P w_b t_m^3} \frac{(1+\eta\xi^3)}{(\eta^3\xi^4 + \eta^2(4\xi^3 + 6\xi^2 + 4\xi) + \eta)}$$
(14)

$$a_{12} = \frac{d_{31} L_b^2}{t_m^2} \frac{6\eta \,\xi^3}{(\eta^2 \,\xi^4 + 4\eta \,\xi^3 + 6\eta \,\xi^2 + 4\eta \,\xi + 1)} \tag{15}$$

where L_b is the beam length, E_P is the Young's modulus of the piezoelectric material, w_b is the beam width, t_m is the thickness of elastic layer, η is the material modulus of elasticity ratio for the piezoelectric beam ($\eta = (E_m/E_P)$), E_m is the Young's modulus of the nonpiezoelectric material, ξ is the thickness ratio for piezoelectric beam ($\xi = (t_m/t_P)$), t_p is the thickness of the piezoelectric layer, and d_{31} is the piezoelectric constant.

Figure 9a,b shows schematics of the experimental setup. A DC motor (1) (24-Volts, 230-Watts) drives an eccentric circular cam (2) that produces a reciprocating motion in a cam-follower (3). The cam-follower (3) pushes against an excitation mechanism (4), which is a symmetric three-bar compliant mechanism that transmits the reciprocating follower motion to the inputs of the 5-bar compliant mechanism (5a) (X_{in}). The output of the mechanism (5b) moves with an amplified displacement Y_{out} and pushes against the free end of the piezoelectric beam (6). The terminals of the piezoelectric beam are electrically connected to a resistance box (7) where the resistance load is controlled. The resistance box (7) is connected to a digital oscilloscope (8) for voltage measurement and data storage. Figure 10 shows a photograph of the three-bar mechanism connected to the five-bar displacement amplification mechanism.



(b) Section A-A

Figure 9. Schematic of experimental setup for energy harvesting.



Figure 10. A photograph of the exciter mechanism connected to amplification displacement mechanism.

The properties and dimensions of the piezoelectric beam are listed in Table 3. The used unimorph stripe is (#40-2030, APC Int Ltd., Mackeyville, PA, USA). The coefficient a_{11} was calculated using (14) and found to be 6.19 mm/N, which represents the compliance in the beam. The coefficient a_{12} was calculated using (15) as 8.78 mm/V.

Table 3. Piezoelectric beam dimensions and properties.

Material	d_{31} 125×10 ⁻⁹ mm/V	<i>E_P</i> 80 GF	°a	<i>E_m</i> 200 GPa	
Dimensions	t _P 0.24 mm	<i>t_m</i> 0.16 mm	<i>L_b</i> 33 mm	<i>w_b</i> 2 mm	

The DC motor was controlled to run at a low angular speed of 0.75 Hz. The camexcitation mechanism assembly provided the compliant mechanism with an input excitation displacement X_{in} of 0.16 mm. A resistance box was used to change the resistance between 0 and 7 M Ω . The peak voltage value was recorded at every used resistance using a digital oscilloscope (TBS1064, Tektronix, OR, USA).

The relation between the output peak voltage and the resistance is presented in Figure 11a. The figure shows that the output voltage changes with the change in load; however, it saturates at a maximum value of 0.18 V for resistances larger than $3 M\Omega$.

At the same input excitation of $X_{in} = 0.16$ mm, Equations (1), and (4)–(6) were used to calculate the corresponding Y_{ex} , F_L , Y_L , and Y_{out} . The open-circuit voltage was thus calculated analytically using Equations (13)–(15) and was found to be 0.1884 Volts, as shown in Table 4. Inspection of Figure 11a reveals that the experimental voltage converges to the open-circuit value as the resistance increases, as expected from the piezoelectric generator. For resistances larger than 3 M Ω , the error in voltage was found to be 4.7%, which validates the analytical model for the piezoelectric beam, thus it can be used for future design and testing of harvesting systems.

The harvested power can be calculated using the equation:

$$Power = V^2/R,\tag{16}$$

where *V* is voltage, and *R* is the load resistance. Figure 11b shows the effect of the load resistance on the output power. The maximum power harvested for the setup was 25.6 nW at 1 M Ω resistance. Without the displacement amplification mechanism, the maximum harvested power would have been 0.33 nW at an open-circuit voltage of 0.0182 Volts, as calculated analytically using Equations (13)–(15).



Figure 11. Experimental harvested voltage and power.

Table 4. System parameter calculations.

Parameter	X _{in} (mm)	<i>Y_{ex}</i> (mm)	<i>F</i> _L (N)	<i>Y_L</i> (mm)	Y _{out} (mm)	AR	V (V)
Value	0.16	1.787	0.289	0.133	1.654	10.33	0.1884
Equation		(4)	(6)	(5)	(1)	(2)	(13)–(15)

5. Conclusions

In this paper, an analytical method using Castigliano's energy theorem was formulated for evaluating the output displacement and normal stresses for a symmetric five-bar compliant mechanism that is driven by an input displacement. Determination of the output displacement and amplification ratio includes the effects of both the input excitation displacement and the external load. This presents an attempt to study the compliant mechanism as an energy harvester that derives its input from a persistent motion and amplifies it to drive a generator. The analytical model can be used to optimize the mechanism dimensions and design parameters for both maximized harvested power and amplification ratios. The analytical model can also be used to estimate the normal stresses in the flexure joints, which is particularly interesting when designing mechanisms of maximum amplification ratios, but with normal stresses that are lower than the material's strength thresholds. The effect of fatigue can be disregarded for low-frequency oscillatory excitation as long as the material's endurance limit is not exceeded. The analytical and numerical results were validated experimentally. When integrating the mathematical model of the mechanism with another representing the piezoelectric energy harvester, the open-circuit voltage was calculated. This voltage was found to be in agreement with that achieved experimentally using a unimorph piezoelectric cantilever operating at low-frequency harmonic excitation inputs. With the proposed mechanism, the piezoelectric generator's open-circuit voltage equals the amplification ratio (*AR*) times that without the amplification mechanism. Accordingly, the generated power at a load equals the square of the amplification ratio (*AR*²) times that without amplification. The results validate the proposed models and confirm the potential of using displacement amplification compliant mechanisms in vibration-based energy harvesting applications.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.M.E., M.H.A., C.A.S. and Y.H.A.; Data curation, M.M.E.; Formal analysis, M.M.E.; Investigation, M.M.E.; Methodology, M.M.E., M.H.A., C.A.S. and Y.H.A.; Project administration, M.H.A., C.A.S. and Y.H.A.; Resources, M.M.E., M.H.A., C.A.S. and Y.H.A.; Software, M.M.E.; Supervision, M.H.A., C.A.S. and Y.H.A.; Validation, M.M.E., M.H.A., C.A.S. and Y.H.A.; Validation, M.M.E., M.H.A., C.A.S. and Y.H.A.; Validation, M.M.E., M.H.A., C.A.S. and Y.H.A.; Visualization, M.M.E., M.H.A., and Y.H.A.; Writing—original draft, M.M.E., M.H.A. and Y.H.A.; Writing—review & editing, M.M.E., M.H.A., C.A.S. and Y.H.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

ARAmplification ratioPMMAPoly(methyl methacrylate)PRBMPseudo-rigid-body modelsPZTLead Zirconate Titanate (piezoelectric ceramic material)

References

- Zhu, D.; Tudor, M.J.; Beeby, S.P. Strategies for increasing the operating frequency range of vibration energy harvesters: A review. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 2009, 21, 022001. [CrossRef]
- Wei, C.; Jing, X. A comprehensive review on vibration energy harvesting: Modelling and realization. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2017, 74, 1–18. [CrossRef]
- Dong, L.; Closson, A.B.; Jin, C.; Trase, I.; Chen, Z.; Zhang, J.X. Vibration-Energy-Harvesting System: Transduction Mechanisms, Frequency Tuning Techniques, and Biomechanical Applications. *Adv. Mater. Technol.* 2019, *4*, 1900177. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, D.; Roberts, S.; Tudor, M.J.; Beeby, S.P. Design and experimental characterization of a tunable vibration-based electromagnetic micro-generator. Sens. Actuators Phys. 2010, 284–293. [CrossRef]
- Mansour, M.O.; Arafa, M.H.; Megahed, S.M. Resonator with magnetically adjustable natural frequency for vibration energy harvesting. *Sens. Actuators Phys.* 2010, 297–303. [CrossRef]
- Sari, I.; Balkan, T.; Kulah, H. An electromagnetic micro power generator for wideband environmental vibrations. *Sens. Actuators Phys.* 2008, 145–146, 405–413. [CrossRef]
- 7. Aladwani, A.; Arafa, M.; Aldraihem, O.; Baz, A. Cantilevered piezoelectric energy harvester with a dynamic magnifier. *J. Vib. Acoust. Trans. ASME* **2012**, 31004. [CrossRef]
- 8. Zou, H.X.; Zhao, L.C.; Gao, Q.H.; Zuo, L.; Liu, F.R.; Tan, T.; Wei, K.X.; Zhang, W.M. Mechanical modulations for enhancing energy harvesting: Principles, methods and applications. *Appl. Energy* **2019**, 255, 113871. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lin, Z.; Zhang, Y. Dynamics of a mechanical frequency up-converted device for wave energy harvesting. *J. Sound Vib.* **2016**, 367, 170–184. [CrossRef]
- Kulah, H.; Najafi, K. Energy Scavenging From Low-Frequency Vibrations by Using Frequency Up-Conversion for Wireless Sensor Applications. *IEEE Sens. J.* 2008, *8*, 261–268. [CrossRef]
- 11. Shahosseini, I.; Najafi, K. Mechanical amplifier for translational kinetic energy harvesters. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2014, 557. [CrossRef]
- 12. Walsh, K.K.; Cronin, K.J.; Rambo-Roddenberry, M.D.; Grupenhof, K. Dynamic analysis of seismically excited flexible truss tower with scissor-jack dampers. *Struct. Control Health Monit.* **2012**, *19*, 723–745. [CrossRef]
- 13. Abdelnaby, M.A.; Arafa, M. Energy harvesting using a flextensional compliant mechanism. *J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct.* **2016**, 27, 2707–2718. [CrossRef]

- Elsisy, M.; Anis, Y.; Arafa, M.; Saleh, C. Displacement amplification using a compliant mechanism for vibration energy harvesting. In Proceedings of the ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, Houston, TX, USA, 13–19 November 2015. [CrossRef]
- Elsisy, M.M.; Anis, Y.; Arafa, M.; Saleh, C. Modeling of a symmetric five-bar displacement amplification compliant mechanism using energy methods. In Proceedings of the ASME 2015 Conference on Smart Materials, Adaptive Structures and Intelligent Systems, Colorado Springs, CO, USA, 21–23 September 2015; Volume 2. [CrossRef]
- 16. Niu, M.; Yang, B.; Yang, Y.; Meng, G. Modeling and optimization of magnetostrictive actuator amplified by compliant mechanism. *Smart Mater. Struct.* **2017**, 095029. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ma, X.; Wilson, A.; Rahn, C.D.; Trolier-McKinstry, S. Efficient energy harvesting using piezoelectric compliant mechanisms: Theory and experiment. *J. Vib. Acoust.* **2016**, *138*. [CrossRef]
- 18. Howell, L.L.; Magleby, S.P.; Olsen, B.M. Handbook of Compliant Mechanisms; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA. [CrossRef]
- 19. Yu, Y.Q.; Howell, L.L.; Lusk, C.; Yue, Y.; He, M.G. Dynamic modeling of compliant mechanisms based on the pseudo-rigid-body model. *J. Mech. Des. Trans. ASME* 2005, 760–765. [CrossRef]
- Lyon, S.M.; Evans, M.S.; Erickson, P.A.; Howell, L.L. Dynamic response of compliant mechanisms using the pseudo-rigid-body model. In Proceedings of the ASME Design Engineering Technical Conference, Sacramento, CA, USA, 14–17 September 1997; pp. 78–91.
- Evans, M.; Tang, L.; Aw, K.C. Modelling and optimisation of a force amplification energy harvester. J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. 2018, 1941–1952. [CrossRef]
- Venkiteswaran, V.K.; Su, H.J. A Versatile 3R Pseudo-Rigid-Body Model for Initially Curved and Straight Compliant Beams of Uniform Cross section. J. Mech. Des. Trans. ASME 2018, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- Gersborg-Hansen, A.; Bendsøe, M.P.; Sigmund, O. Topology optimization of heat conduction problems using the finite volume method. *Struct. Multidiscip. Optim.* 2006, *31*, 251–259. [CrossRef]
- 24. Bruns, T.E.; Tortorelli, D.A. Topology optimization of non-linear elastic structures and compliant mechanisms. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **2001**, 3443–3459. [CrossRef]
- 25. Lobontiu, N.; Garcia, E. Analytical model of displacement amplification and stiffness optimization for a class of flexure-based compliant mechanisms. *Comput. Struct.* 2003, 2797–2810. [CrossRef]
- 26. Meng, Q.; Li, Y.; Xu, J. A novel analytical model for flexure-based proportion compliant mechanisms. *Precis. Eng.* **2014**, 449–457. [CrossRef]
- Ouyang, P.R.; Zhang, W.J.; Gupta, M.M. Design of a new compliant mechanical amplifier. In Proceedings of the ASME International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference (DETC2005), Long Beach, CA, USA, 24–28 September 2005; pp. 15–24. [CrossRef]
- Park, J.K.; Moon, W.K. Constitutive relations for piezoelectric benders under various boundary conditions. *Sens. Actuators Phys.* 2005, 159–167. [CrossRef]