### **International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences**

ISSN- 0975-1491

Vol 7, Issue 7, 2015

**Original Article** 

# DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF CHROMATOGRAPHIC METHOD FOR THE SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF OLMESARTAN MEDOXOMIL, AMLODIPINE BESYLATE, CILNIDIPINE IN COMBINATION TABLET DOSAGE FORM

# RAVICHANDRAN Sa\*, VALLIAPPAN K<sup>b</sup>, RAMANATHAN M<sup>a</sup>

PSG College of Pharmacy<sup>a</sup>, Peelamedu, Coimbatore. Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Engineering and Technology<sup>b</sup>, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, India Email: bsravichandran@gmail.com

#### Received: 23 Mar 2015 Revised and Accepted: 30 May 2015

### ABSTRACT

**Objective**: To develop and validate a simple, sensitive and isocratic reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) method for the simultaneous determination of olmesartan medoxomil (OLM), amlodipine besylate (AML) and cilnidipine (CIL) in pharmaceutical tablet formulation.

**Methods**: In this work we have taken 2 combinations, combination 1-OLM & AML and combination 2-OLM & CIL. HPLC analysis was carried out by using reverse phase isocratic elution with a C 18 column and a mobile phase of 0.05 M ammonium acetate, acetonitrile and methanol in the ratio of 30:50:20, v/v, pH was adjusted to 7.3. Detection of the analyte was achieved by using UV detector at 240 nm.

**Results**: The retention time of olmesartan medoxomil, amlodipine besylate and cilnidipine were 2.2, 3 and 4.5 minutes respectively. Linearity of the method was found to be in the concentration range of  $10-100\mu$ g/ml for olmesartan medoxomil,  $5-50\mu$ g/ml for amlodipine and  $10-100\mu$ g/ml for cilnidipine. The correlation coefficient value was greater than 0.999 for all the analytes.

**Conclusion**: The method was validated as per ICH guidelines and is applied for the estimation of these components simultaneously in pharmaceutical tablet formulation.

Keywords: HPLC, Acetonitrile, Olmesartan, Amlodipine, Cilnidipine.

### INTRODUCTION

Olmesartan medoxomil (OLM) is a pro drug that hydrolysed to olmesartan during absorption [1-3]. Olmesartan is a competitive and selective Angiotensin II receptor antagonist. The hydrolysis of olmesartan medoxomil occurs readily by the action of esterase which is present abundantly in gastro intestinal tract, liver and plasma. It is used alone or with other antihypertensive agents to treat hypertension [4, 5]. It is chemically designated as 5-methyl-2oxo-1, (3-dioxolen-4-yl) methoxy-4-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)-2proply-1-{4-[2-(tetrazol-5-yl)-phenyl] phenyl} methylimidazol-5carboxylate [6]. Olmesartan is also beneficial in animals and is a strong agent to show activity against atherosclerosis, liver disorders and diabetic nephropathy [7, 8]. Amlodipine besylate (AML) is chemically known as 3-ethyl-5-methyl (±)-2-[(2aminoethoxy) methyl]-4-(2-chlorophenyl)-1, 4-dihydro-6-methyl-3, 5-pyridine dicarboxylate, monobenzene sulphonate is long acting calcium channel blocker [9, 10] used to treat hypertension and angina. Amlodipine blocks the transmembrane influx of calcium ions into vascular smooth muscle and cardiac muscle [11-13]. It is metabolized in the liver and metabolites are mostly excreted in urine together with less than 10% of the dose as unchanged drug [14, 15]. Cilnidipine (CIL) is the novel calcium antagonist accompanied with L-type and N-type calcium channel blocking function [16]. It is chemically, 1, 4-Dihydro-2, 6-dimethyl-4-(3nitrophenyl)-3, 5-pyridine di carboxylic acid 2-methoxy ethyl (2E)-3-phenyl-2-propenyl ester [17]. It reduces the incidence of pedal edema unlike amlodipine. It does not cause reflex tachycardia. It is superior to amlodipine in preventing the progression of proteinuria in patients with hypertension and chronic renal disease [18]. Most hypertensive patients require more than one agent in order to achieve adequate blood pressure control. Fixed dose combination antihypertensive treatments such as OLM/AML or OLM/CIL have advantage over monotherapy including increased efficacy, reduced side effect and lower cost. Several analytical methods have already been developed for the determination of olmesartan, amlodipine and cilnidipine either individually or in combination with other drugs [19-21]. According to the information extracted from literature, till date there is no method reported for the simultaneous

determination of olmesartan medoxomil, amlodipine besylate and cilnidipine in pharmaceutical formulation. Aim of present work is to develop simple, precise and accurate RP HPLC method for simultaneous determination of olmesartan medoxomil, amlodipine besylate and cilnidipine in pharmaceutical formulation. The developed method can be applied successfully to quality control and other analytical purposes.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Chemicals and reagents**

The working standard Olmesartan medoxomil was gifted by Madras pharmaceuticals, Chennai. Amlodipine besylate was gifted by Strides Arco lab Ltd, Bangalore and cilnidipine working standard was gifted by J. B. Chemicals & pharmaceuticals Ltd, Mumbai. Combination products Amlovas-OL and Nexovas-O manufactured by Macleods pharmaceuticals Pvt Ltd, Mumbai with label claim olmesartan medoxomil 20 mg, amlodipine besylate 5 mg and olmesartan medoxomil 20 mg, cilnidipine 10 mg was purchased from local market. Acetonitrile and Methanol (HPLC grade) purchased from Himedia Laboratories Pvt Ltd, Mumbai. Ammonium acetate (Extra pure) was purchased from Finar chemicals Ltd, Ahmadabad. The 0.45  $\mu$ m nylon filters were purchased from the local market. Whatmann filter paper No. 41 purchased from the local market. Purified water for chromatography was obtained from Mille-Q system was used throughout an experiment.

### Apparatus and chromatographic conditions

HPLC apparatus consisting of Waters 515 systems with binary pump, Rheodyne manual injector with a 20  $\mu$ l loop and coupled with UV detector (Waters 2489). Data was integrated by Waters Empower 2 software and used for development and evaluation of this method. A chromatographic separation was achieved on Waters Symmetry C 18 column-3.5  $\mu$ m (4.6 ×75 mm). Mobile phase consist of 0.05M ammonium acetate, acetonitrile and methanol in ratio of (30:50:20) v/v. The mobile phase pumped through the column with flow rate of 0.3 ml/min in isocratic mode. The mobile phase filtered through 0.45  $\mu$ m nylon filter and degassed in ultrasonicator bath for 30 minutes prior to use. Injection volume was 20  $\mu$ l throughout the

study. Based on the response of all the analyte the optimum wavelength 240 nm was selected. HPLC system was operated at room temperature (25 $\pm$ 2 °C)

#### **Preparation of standard solution**

1 mg/ml of standard stock solutions of drug substance were prepared in methanol. Prior to measurement, stock solutions of olmesartan medoxomil, amlodipine besylate and cilnidipine were diluted with mobile phase so as to prepare working standard solution of  $100\mu$ g/ml. various dilutions were made to prepare working solutions. HPLC analysis was carried out with 20 µl aliquots of various concentration of working solutions.

#### Assay procedure for pharmaceutical preparation

Ten tablets of each formulation Amlovas OL (composition 1) and Nexovas 0 (composition 2) were accurately weighed and finely powdered. A quantity of the powdered tablets equivalent to 20 mg OLM and 5 mg AML for combination 1 and 20 mg OLM and 10 mg CIL for combination 2 was accurately weighed and transferred to 50 ml volumetric flask separately. 50 ml of methanol was added each volumetric flask and extraction were performed mechanically for 20 minutes and sonicated for 30 minutes. The dilution was made with methanol to give the solution containing 40  $\mu g/ml$  of OLM and 10  $\mu g/ml$  of AML for combination 1, 40  $\mu g/ml$  of OLM and 20  $\mu g/ml$  of CIL for combination 2. From each of these solutions, 1 ml of extract was transferred to 10 ml volumetric flasks and diluted to the mark with the mobile phase. The working tablet solution containing 4 µg/ml OLM, 1 µg/ml AML for combination 1 and 4 µg/ml OLM, 2  $\mu$ g/ml CIL of combination 2.20  $\mu$ l of sample from each working tablet solution was directly injected in to HPLC column. All measurements were repeated six times for each concentration. Nominal contents of pharmaceutical preparation were calculated using regression equation of calibration graph.

#### **Method validation**

The optimized RP-HPLC method was validated according to the ICH guidelines [22] with respect to specificity, accuracy, precision, linearity, limit of detection, limit of quantification and robustness.

### Specificity

The specificity of the method was performed by analysis of drug standard and samples. The mobile phase resolved both drugs very efficiently. The peak purity of OLM, AML and CIL was determined by comparing the retention time

#### Precision

Precision of an analytical method was verified by repeatability and intermediate precision studies. Repeatability studies were performed by analysis of three different concentrations (30.2, 60.4 and 90.7  $\mu$ g/ml for OLM and CIL, 15.2, 30.1 and 45.2  $\mu$ g/ml for AML) of the drugs for six times on the same day. The intermediate precision of the method was checked by repeating studies on three different days.

#### Accuracy

Accuracy of the method was evaluated by recovery studies. It was employed by the standard addition method. Three levels of solution were made at nominal analytical concentration such as 40%, 50%, and 60% respectively. Each level was made in triplicate.

### Linearity

Linearity of the method was studied by injecting six concentration of the drug prepared in mobile phase in the range of 10-100 $\mu$ g/ml of OLM and CIL(10,20,40,60,80,100 $\mu$ g/ml), 5-50 $\mu$ g/ml for AML (5,10,20,30,40,50  $\mu$ g/ml) respectively in triplicate into the HPLC system keeping the injection volume constant. The peak areas were plotted against the corresponding concentration to obtain calibration graphs.

### Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ)

The limit of detection LOD and quantification LOQ was determined based on the standard deviation of response and slope. Detection limit and quantification limit were calculated by [(3.3 ×  $\sigma$ )/S and (10× $\sigma$ )/S] respectively. Where ' $\sigma$ ' is the standard deviation of the response and 'S' is slope of the calibration curve.

# Robustness

The robustness is the capacity of the method to remain unaffected by small but deliberate change in chromatographic conditions. Robustness of the developed method was tested by studying the influence of small change in flow rate ( $\pm 0.1 \text{ ml/min}$ ) and change in pH of the buffer ( $\pm 0.2 \text{ units}$ ).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Method optimization

In this work, a simple, sensitive and validated HPLC method has been developed for simultaneous estimation of olmesartan OLM, amlodipine AML and cilnidipine CIL using liquid chromatography with ultraviolet visible detection. A number of mobile phase were initially attempted to elute three components simultaneously.

The main focus was to achieve sharp and Gaussian shaped peak with tailing less than 1.5 and resolution greater than 1.5. In order to achieve this goal acetonitrile, methanol, water in different proportion were used but no sharp peaks were observed. Ammonium acetate was then added to increase the polarity of mobile phase. A 0.05M concentrations of ammonium acetate at pH 7.3 with acetonitrile and methanol in the ratio of 30:50:20 v/v was strong enough to elute the three components with resolution greater than 5, theoretical plate greater than 3500 and tailing less than 1.5 for the three components. The best mobile phase composition was then found to be 0.05M ammonium acetate pH 7.3, acetonitrile and methanol in the ratio of 30:50:20 (v/v) Under mentioned chromatographic condition sharp peaks belonging to olmesartan medoxomil, amlodipine besylate and cilnidipine were obtained at retention time of 2.2, 3 and 4.5 minutes respectively (fig. 1 and 2).



Fig. 1: Chromatogram for standard OLM, AML and CIL



Fig. 2: Chromatogram for sample on simultaneous estimation of OLM, AML and CIL

### Method validation

#### Linearity

Linearity was studied by preparing the standard solution at different concentration levels. The linearity range for OLM, AML, and CIL was found to be 10-100  $\mu$ g/ml, 5-50  $\mu$ g/ml and 10-100 $\mu$ g/ml respectively. The regression equation for OLM, AML and CIL were found to be Y=5.24e+004X-7.13e+004, Y=6.98e+004X-2.91e+004 and Y=1.12e+005X-2.47e+005 with correlation coefficient (R2) 0.998, 0.999 and 0.998 respectively for all the compounds, the coefficients of determination prove that the method was linear in specific range.

### Precision

The result of the repeatability and intermediate precision experiment are shown in table 1. The developed method was found to be precise as the RSD values for repeatability and intermediate precision studies were<2 %, respectively as recommended by ICH guidelines (ICH Q2 (R1), 2005).

#### Accuracy

The accuracy of the method was performed by the standard addition technique. Three levels of solution were made which corresponds to 40, 50, and 60 % of the nominal analytical concentration. Each level was made in triplicate. The recovery and relative standard deviation for each of the analytes are given table 2. From the recovery studies

it is evidence that the method is highly accurate and can give excellent results.

### Limit of quantitation and limit of detection

Limit of quantification (LOQ) and Limit of detection (LOD) can be determined based on visual evaluation, signal-to noise approach and standard deviation of the response and slope. The LOD of OLM was 0.26  $\mu$ g/ml, AML is 0.05 $\mu$ g/ml and CIL is 0.22 $\mu$ g/ml was determined. The LOQ of OLM is 0.79 $\mu$ g/ml, AML is 0.14 $\mu$ g/ml and CIL is 0.67 $\mu$ /ml was determined.

### Specificity

The peak purity of OLM, AML and CIL was assessed by comparing the retention time of standard OLM, AML and CIL. Good correlation was obtained between the retention time of the standard and sample of OLM, AML and CIL. Thus, the method was specific for OLM, AML and CIL.

#### Robustness

Robustness of the method was performed by small deliberate changes in the optimized chromatographic condition such as flow rate ( $\pm 0.1 \text{ ml/min}$ ) and PH of ammonium acetate buffer ( $\pm 0.2 \text{ units}$ ). The result shown that have the negligible effect on retention time, recoveries and peak area of three drugs indicating the developed method is robust.

### Table 1: Precision of the proposed HPLC method

Drug	Concentration (µg/ml)	N	Within day precision		Between dayprecision		
-			Mean	RSD %	Mean	RSD%	
0lm	30.2	6	30.59	1.16	29.98	1.34	
	60.4	6	60.54	0.59	61.43	0.52	
	90.7	6	90.70	0.52	90.56	0.51	
Aml	15.2	6	15.68	1.16	15.86	1.34	
	30.1	6	30.51	1.12	30.53	1.15	
	45.2	6	45.58	0.82	44.85	0.60	
CIL	30.2	6	30.32	1.29	29.86	0.89	
	60.4	6	60.68	0.67	61.04	0.83	
	90.7	6	90.63	0.52	90.27	0.51	

#### Table 2: Accuracy of the proposed HPLC method

Drug	Level %	Concentration (µg/ml)	Amount recovered (µg/ml)	% Recovery	%RSD
OLM	40	40	40.15	100.38	1.16
	50	50	49.66	99.32	0.97
	60	60	59.78	99.64	1.06
AML	40	20	19.96	99.83	1.55
	50	25	24.64	98.58	0.79
	60	30	30.36	101.27	1.66
CIL	40	40	40.24	100.6	0.92
	50	50	49.77	99.54	0.99
	60	60	60.3	100.5	1.55

Table 3: Ass	ay of comm	ercial tablets
--------------	------------	----------------

Drug	Label claim (mg)	Drug content(%)±SD	% R. S. D
Combination-I			
OLM	20	99.33±0.97	0.98
AML	5	98.59±0.78	0.79
Combination-II			
OLM	20	99.33±0.97	0.98
CIL	10	99.54±0.99	0.99

#### Analysis of commercial formulation

The amount of OLM, AML and CIL per tablet was calculated by extrapolating the value of an area from the calibration curve. Analysis procedure was repeated six times with the tablet formulation. The result of analysis of the tablet formulation is reported in table 3.

#### DISCUSSION

In previous method Olmesartan Medoxomile, Amlodipine Besylate and Hydrochlorothiazide combination, cilnidipine and olmesartan medoxomil combination was estimated by RP-HPLC in tablet dosage form. Here two combinations such as OLM and AML, OLM andCIL combinations were estimated simultaneously by HPLC. So this is new method in such combination. The retention time was less than 5 minutes. The method was validated as per ICH guidelines (ICH Q2 (R1), 2005). The method was simple, selective, cost effective and reproducible and can be reliably used by almost every drug laboratory.

### CONCLUSION

HPLC method was developed and validated as per ICH guidelines (ICH Q2 (R1), 2005). It is simple, selective, cost effective and reproducible and can be reliably used by almost every drug laboratory. The method enables simultaneous determination of OLM, AML and CIL in pharmaceutical preparation. Due to the fact that these substances are mainly used as combination for hypertension therapy, this new procedure is very important. In the process of developing the method and validation studies were carried out. Finally the method was applied to analysis for two drug formulation including combination I and II, the run time was less than 5 minutes.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank, Madras pharmaceuticals, Strides Arco Lab Ltd, J. B chemicals for providing gift sample of standard Olmesartan medoxomil, Amlodipine besylate and Cilnidipine. The authors are thankful to the host institution for providing the facilities to carry out the research.

# **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Kobayashi N, Fujimori I, Watanabe M, Iked T. Real-time monitoring of metabolic reactions by microdialysis in combination with tandem mass spectrometry: hydrolysis of CS-866 *in vitro* in human and rat plasma, livers, and small intestines. Anal Biochem 2000;287:272-8.
- Koike H, Konse T, Sada T, Ikeda T, Hyogo S, Hinman D, et al. Olmesartan medoxomil, a novel potent angiotensin II blocker. Ann Rep Sankyo Res Lab 2003;55:1-91.
- 3. Mire D, Silfani TN, Pugsley MK. A review of the structural and functional features of olmesartan medoxomil, an angiotensin receptor blocker. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2005;46:585-93.

- 4. Puchler K, Nussberger J, L Laeis P, Witte PU, Brunner HR. Blood pressure and endocrine effects of single doses of CS-866, a novel angiotensin II antagonist, in salt-restricted hypertensive patients. J Hypertens 1997;15:1809-12.
- 5. Brousil JA, Burke J. Olmesartan medoxomil: an angiotensin Ilreceptor blocker. Clin Ther 2003;25(4):1041-55.
- Takemoto M, Egashira K, Tomita H, Usui M, Okamoto H, Kitabatake A, et al. A Chronic angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition and angiotensin II type 1 receptor blockade: effects on cardiovascular remodeling in rats induced by the long-term blockade of nitric oxide synthesis. Hypertension 1997;30:1621-7.
- Igarashi K, Hotta K, Kasuya F, Abe K. Determination of cabergoline and L-dopa in human plasma using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatgr B 2003;792:55-61.
- 8. Kimball BA, Deliberto TJ, Johnson JJ. Determination of cabergoline by electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry: picogram detection via column focusing sample introduction. Anal Chem 2001;73:4972-6.
- 9. Indian Pharmacopoeia. The Indian pharmacopoeia commission, India; 2007;2:714-6.
- British Pharmacopoeia. The department of health. Great Britain 2008;1:137-8.
- Goodman AG, Gilman LS. The Pharmacological basis of therapeutics. 8<sup>th</sup> edition. Paragon Press Oxford; 1990. p. 774.
- 12. Sweetman SC. Martindale the complete drug reference. 34<sup>th</sup> edition. Pharmaceutical Press, London; 2005. p. 862-6.
- Dollery C. Therapeutic drugs. 2<sup>nd</sup>edition. UK: Churchill Livingstone; 1999. p. 151.
- 14. Abernethy DR. The pharmacokinetic profile of amlodipine. Am Heart | 1989:118:1100-3.
- 15. Meredith PA, Elliott HL. Clinical pharmacokinetics of amlodipine. Clin Pharmacokinet 1992;22:22-31.
- Pankaj P Chaudhari, Bhalerao AV. Method validation for spectrophotometric estimation of cilnidipine. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 2012;5:96-8.
- Uneyama H, Uchida H, Konda T, Yoshimoto R. Cilnidipine: preclinical profile and clinical evaluation. Cardiovasc Drug Rev 1999;17:341-57.
- 18. Zaman ZA, Kumari V. Comparison of the effects of amlodipine and cilnidipine on blood pressure, heart rate, proteinuria and lipid profile in hypertensive patients. Int J Basic Clin Pharmacol 2013;2:160-4.
- Rao JR, Rajput MP, Yadav SS, Mull TS, Bharekar VV. Simultaneous quantitation of olmesartan medoxomil, amlodipine besylate and hydrochlorothiazide in pharmaceutical dosage form by using HPLC. Int J Pharm Tech Res 2011;3:1435-40
- Jain PS, Patel MK, Gorle AP, Chaudhari AJ, Surana SJ. Stability-Indicating method for simultaneous estimation of Olmesartan Medoxomile, Amlodipine Besylate and Hydrochlorothiazide by RP-HPLC in tablet dosage form. J Chromatogr Sci 2012;50:680-7.
- 21. Minase AS, Dole MN, Sawant SD. Development and validation of analytical method for simultaneous estimation of cilnidipine and olmesartan medoxomil in bulk and tablet dosage form by RP-HPLC. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 2014;6:508-11.
- 22. Validation of Analytical procedure, Text and methodology. International conference on Harmonization ICH Q2(R1); 2005.