Supplement of Saf. Nucl. Waste Disposal, 1, 193–194, 2021 https://doi.org/10.5194/sand-1-193-2021-supplement © Author(s) 2021. CC BY 4.0 License.





#### Supplement of

### Narratives and images of the future for final disposal

**Stefanie Enderle and Peter Hocke** 

Correspondence to: Stefanie Enderle (stefanie.enderle@kit.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.





safeND- Interdisciplinary research symposium on the safety of nuclear disposal practices 2021

### Narratives and images of the future for final diaposal

**Stefanie Enderle & Peter Hocke** 



### Content



- Introduction
- Theoretical background
- Methodological approach
- **Empirical results**
- Conclusion





# 1. INTRODUCTION



# 1.1 "Public Participation in the Search for a Repository: challanges of an intergenerational, self-questioning and learning procedure"



#### Project partner:

- Öko-Institut e.V., Darmstadt
- team ewen GbR, Darmstadt
- Institute for Technology Assessment and Systems Analysis (ITAS)at KIT, Karlsruhe

■ **Duration**: 2018 – 2020

■ Funding: Federal Office for the Safety of Nuclear Waste Management (BASE) —

identifier 4717F00001

■ **Publication**: Brohmann, B., Mbah, M., Schütte, S., Ewen, C., Horelt, M.-A., Hocke, P., Enderle, S.: Öffentlichkeitsbeteiligung bei der Endlagersuche: Herausforderungen eines generationenübergreifenden, selbsthinterfragenden und lernenden Verfahrens. Endbericht. Berlin, Bundesamt für die Sicherheit der kerntechnischen Entsorgung (BASE – Forschungsberichte zur Sicherheit der nuklearen Entsorgung, BASE-004/21) (urn:nbn:de:0221-2021051027029), 2021.



### 1.2 Content of the project



- Concept for public participation, to be implemented in the sense of the Repository Site Selection Act (StandAG 2017) as a participatory, selfquestioning and learning procedure until a safe repository site is found
- ITAS WP: Narratives and future images for final disposal
  - Analysis of narratives
  - Evaluation of narratives and design of future images





### 2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND



#### Narratives are... 21



- interpreted and provided with meanings
- unite and condense different narrative strands
- ... contain motifs, contextual conditions
- .... are temporally structured, related to the past & open to the future
- ... are not argumentative
- ... aim at authenticity
- ... are shared and taken up

(cf. Geiger 2006, Viehöver 2011, Weber 2017)



#### Images of the future - "Sociotechnical futures" 2.2



- Perceptions, wishes and visions for aspects not yet experienced in the present.
- Technical developments and social changes are put in relation to each other.
- can be different and also contrary.
- can be used to mobilize design processes.
- are not only texts but can also be pictures or objects.
- need arguments and explanations.

(cf. Lösch et al. 2019, Grunwald 2019)





### 3. METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH



#### From Interview to narrativ 3.1



- Qualitative guideline-assisted interviews
- Experts from the fields of: science, civil society, politics, administration, companies
- Experts have "special knowledge"
- With their interpretations they structure the field of action for others
- Content-analytical evaluation of the interviews
- Elaboration of the narratives



### 3.2 From narrative to images of the future



- Workshop with students and PhD students
- Objective 1: Reflection and further development of narratives
- Objective 2: Design of future images, based on different development paths



## 4. (selected) EMPIRICAL RESULTS



# **4.1 Narrative:** "Without Fukushima, there would be no StandAG"



- Narrative along a history of conflict
- Catastrophes have shaken confidence in nuclear energy
- The waste problem was handled in a non-transparent and politically inappropriate manner.
- Phase-out is a success of anti-nuclear movements and protests
- Reorientation of waste management policy
- Mistrust of politics and authorities due to these experiences
- Reproach: Politics acts only after disasters or massive political conflicts



### 4.2 Reflection of the narrative



- The narrative causes many questions
- It presupposes knowledge about the past and contexts
- The past is indirectly excluded by setting a beginning with Fukushima
- Conflict history is complex and difficult to understand



### 4.3 Interim summary



- The narrative is ambivalent.
- But it occurs in discourse
- The history of conflict needs to be explained
- The success of civil society must be acknowledged
- Dissent and protest have helped to review and develop decisions



#### Narrative: "The thinking in the Saint Florian 4.4 principle blocks that decisions are oriented to the common interest."



- The loss of the common interest mindset strengthens NIMBY
- Emotional and fear-based arguments are also found in the discourse
- Unequal burden sharing

#### 4.5 Reflection of the narrative

- Strong appeal character can lead to putting one's own concerns into perspective
- However, it also has an unempathetic effect
- Appreciation of the affected region
- Those affected are not left alone



# 4.6 Interim summary (focused on authorities): How to reflect and handle narratives



- The company bears the project as a whole
- Risks must be communicated transparently and comprehensibly
- Emotional arguments must also be taken seriously



### **Images of the future**



- Different development path (pessimistic, moderate and optimistic)
- Action patterns of collective actors
- Government has no concept for adequate solution of the problems caused by nuclear waste
- Science has to be an independent institution (esp. basic research)
- Radwaste policy without problem-oriented foresight and without longterm strategy and governance
- Societal debate is focused on affected groups in the population
- Site selection process is dominated by protest and riots





### 5. CONCLUSION



### Conclusion



- Tension between actors with a history of conflict and "new" actors with little knowledge of the past
- Unequal burden sharing also with regard to intergenerational justice
- Narratives and images of the future can play an important role in communication process
- Sociotechnical futures can illustrate alternative courses of action and decision paths



### Thank you!



### References



- Brohmann, B., Mbah, M., Schütte, S., Ewen, C., Horelt, M.-A., Hocke, P., Enderle, S.: Öffentlichkeitsbeteiligung bei der Endlagersuche: Herausforderungen eines generationenübergreifenden, selbsthinterfragenden und lernenden Verfahrens. Endbericht. Berlin, Bundesamt für die Sicherheit der kerntechnischen Entsorgung (BASE – Forschungsberichte zur Sicherheit der nuklearen Entsorgung, BASE-004/21) (urn:nbn:de:0221-2021051027029), 2021.
- Brown, Philip (2017): Narrative: An ontology, epistemology and methodology for pro-environmental psychology research. In: Energy Research & Social Science 31, S. 215-222. DOI: 10.1016/j.erss.2017.06.006.
- Geider. Daniel (2006): Wissen und Narration. Der Kern des Wissensmanagements. Berlin: Schmidt.
- Grunwald, Armin (2019): Shaping the Present by Creating and Reflecting Futures. In: Andreas Lösch, Armin Grunwald, Martin Meister und Ingo Schulz-Schaeffer (Hg.): Socio-technical Futures. Shaping the Present. Empirical Examples and Analytical Challenges in Social Studies of Science and Technology and Technology Assessment, Wiesbaden: Springer VS, S. 17-36.
- Lösch, Andreas; Grunwald, Armin; Meister, Martin; Schulz-Schaeffer, Ingo (2019): Introduction: Socio-technical Futures Shaping the Present. In: Andreas Lösch, Armin Grunwald, Martin Meister und Ingo Schulz-Schaeffer (Hg.): Socio-technical Futures Shaping the Present. Empirical Exam-ples and Analytical Challenges. Introduction. Wiesbaden: Springer VS
- Viehöver, Willy (2011): Diskurse als Narrationen. In: Reiner Keller, Andreas Hirseland, Werner Schneider und Willy Viehöver (Hg.): Handbuch sozialwissenschaftliche Diskursanalyse. Band 1: Theorien und Methoden. Wiesbaden, Opladen: VS Verl. für Sozialwiss: Leske + Budrich (Handbücher), S. 193-224.
- Weber, Simon (2017): Wie Geschichten wirken Grundzüge narrativer Psychologie. In: Jacques Chlopczyk (Hg.): Beyond Storytelling. Narrative Ansätze und die Arbeit mit Geschichten in Organisationen. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Gabler, S. 11–21.

