## 3

PINDAR'S ELEVENTH NEMEAN ODE:<br>A COMMENTARY*)<br>W. J. VERDENIUS

## Performance

The ode was intended to be performed at the inauguration of Aristagoras as prytanis (cf. K.P. IV, l206.44ff.) at Tenedos. As we do not know the programme of such inaugurations (eioltń $\rho(\alpha)$, the time of the performance cannot be determined. There will probably have been a banquet, but the view expressed by Dionysius of Phaselis and Didymus that the song belonged to the genre of paroinia (similarly Bury, 2l7) seems to be a mere deduction from vv. 6-10 (cf. Puech, l4l-2). That it was performed in the Prytaneum appears from the beginning of the poem.

## Date

It is by no means certain that $N . l l$ is a work of Pindar's old age, as is commonly assumed. A connection with fr. 123 cannot be established (see the commentary on ll 'Apkeoi $1 \lambda \alpha \nu$ ), and even if Aristagoras was a brother of Theoxenus, no chronological conclusion can be drawn from fr. l23: cf. Farnell, II, 325, B. A. van Groningen, Pindare au banquet (Leiden 1960), 79, de Vries, 153-4. Similarities between N.ll and other odes do not prove anything: H. A. Pohlsander, "The Dating of Pindaric Odes by Comparison", GRBS 4 (1963), 131-40, has pointed out that "Pindaric odes widely separated by time can show considerable similarities of thought or diction. Thus we must reject the comparison of parallels within Pindar not only as a means of dating $N .3$ and $N . l l$ but as an approach to Pindaric chronology generally" (139; cf. also Fogelmark, 84-5).

Metre
The metre (dactyloepitrite) does not present special difficulties. It may be noted that at 5 etc. choriambi appear between epitrites, a fact which supports the view of those who accept the correspondence of these metres.

## Commentary

1: Пaĩ 'Péas. Cf. Hes. Th. 453-4 and West ad Zoc.
$1:$ ä $\tau \in$. For survivals of epic $\tau \in$ cf. Denn., 523-4; not all his examples of a use "in general statements" in Pindar are equally convincing: here (and e.g. at $0.2,35,0.14,2$ ) the function is more likely to be emphatic with a slightly causal nuance (Denn., ibid.). See also C. J. Ruijgh, Autour de $T E$ épique (Amsterdam 1971), 981ff., who thinks that the relative sentence is digressive and denotes a permanent fact.

1: mputaveĩa. Cf. S. G. Miller, The Prytaneion (Berkeley-Los Ange-les-London 1978). The Prytaneum contained the hearth of the city. For Hestia Prytaneia or Prytanitis cf. K.P. II, lll9.3lff.

1: $\lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \lambda$ oyxas. Properly 'have obtained as your portion', when the parts of the world were distributed among the gods (cf. Hom. IZ. 15, 190, Hes. Th. 393-6, Pind. O. 7, 55-9, A. Pr. 229-31), but the perfect often means 'to have under one's care' and is especially used of tutelary deities (e.g. 0 . 9, 15, H.Hom. 19, 6, Hat. VII 53, 2, Pl. Tim. 23d7).

2: ن́ $\psi$ íotou. A traditional epithet of zeus (LSJ 2) based on the Hom-
 N. 1, 60, 0. 4, 1. See further Bowra, Pindar, 45, Fogelmark, 49ff., H. Schwabl, Zeus, R.E. Suppl. XV (1978), 1275.28ff.

2: duo૭oóvou. Although gods are represented as sitting on the same throne (e.g. Hades and Persephone), the element -Эpovos refers to rulership rather than to a concrete seat: cf. A. Ag. 43, 109, Cho. 975, S. O.R. 237, O.C. 425.

3: $\delta \varepsilon ́ \xi \alpha \iota$. . Meyer, Hymnische Stilelemente in der früngriechischen Dichtung (Würzburg 1933), 64, points out that this forms the connection between the hymnic beginning and the theme of the song, just as $0.5,3$


3: Эó $\lambda \alpha \mu \mathrm{V}$. Not necessarily a shrine within the Prytaneum: at 0.6 , 1 Эá $\lambda \alpha \mu \mathrm{O}$ is the whole house (cf. LSJ I 3 and the similar use of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma^{\gamma}$ pov 0. 6, 2, P. 3, 134). Miller, op. cit. (above on 1 mputave $\check{\alpha} \alpha$ ), 36, writes: "One should expect with some probability a prytaneion to have two
main rooms（the dining room and the room of the hearth）＂．Farnell is more explicit：＂we do not hear of separate chapels within the Town－Hall，though there may have been a barrier round the sacred fire；if so，this spot would be in a special sense her＇thalamos＇＂．I doubt this last conclusion：$\delta \mathcal{\varepsilon}-$ Eal obviously refers not only to the installation，but also to the tenure of office，and this was performed in the whole building（cf．schol．Eis兀ठ ா○utave乞̃ov）．

4：$\varepsilon$ U＇．The anaphora（similarly 6－7 mo $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ ）is characteristic of the hymnic style：cf．Norden，Agnostos Theos，l49ff．，H．S．Versnel，Mnemos． IV 27 （1974），368ff．Pindar，however，mostly uses it for the sake of em－ phasis：cf．Bowra，Pindar，206－7．See also D．Fehling，Die Wiederholungs－ figuren und ihr Gebrauch bei den Griechen vor Gorgias（Berlin 1969），206－7．

4：oud́rtq．Not of Aristagoras（Fränkel，572），but of Hestia．Far－ nell suggests that this is an imaginary picture，because statues of Hestia were comparatively rare．Cf．Wilamowitz，Glaube d．Hell．，I，156：＂ein Bild der Göttin neben den Herd zu stellen，der sie ist，würde widersinnig sein＂．But Pausanias（ $I$ 18，3）mentions a statue of Hestia in the Pryta－ neum at Athens，and if we assume the performance of the song to have taken place in the town－hall，as the invocation of Hestia seems to imply，a re－ ference to an invisible sceptre would have been rather confusing．

5：үモр人ipovtes．The force of the participle（their rule is accom－ panied by their worship）is lost in such translations as＇They honour you and keep Tenedos upright＇（Bowra），＇who guard the glory of Tenedos and often honor you＇（Nisetich）．In a Greek sentence the main idea is often expressed by the participle：cf．K．G．II，98－9，Schw．II，389，and my note on Men．Epitr．219－20，Mnemos．IV 27 （1974），27．This is well rendered by Lattimore：＇who honor you as they keep Tenedos upright＇．

5：óp૭ớv．＇Upright＇，hence＇safe＇，＇prosperous＇（LSJ III l）．Cf．
 Lefkowitz（51）wrongly translates＇on a straight path＇．Péron（ll9 n．l， 283－4）thinks that ópษóv refers either to the direction or to the posi－ tion of a ship，because $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \varepsilon \iota \nu$ is sometimes used of a steersman（ll 6 n．7）．But in connection with a town the verb most probably means＇to
 connection with a predicate＇to maintain＇，＇to preserve＇（cf．LSJ B 3）．
 $\varepsilon$ Úpù Kגéos，K．G．I，276，Bruhn，§9，Schw．II， 181 （who wrongly call this use＇proleptic＇）．

6：mod $\alpha$ ．Equivalent to mod入áभlS（LSJ III la）．

6: d́ra̧ó $\mu \varepsilon$ vol. An unusual word, perhaps chosen because it sounds more subdued (or austere) than $\alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \delta \mu \varepsilon v o l$.

6: $\quad \mathrm{\rho} \omega \dot{\prime} \tau \alpha \nu$. Not 'the first of the gods' (Bury) or 'the first of goddesses' (Bowra), but 'before the other gods' and to be connected with





7: KVioq. Lefkowitz (51) suggests that men who worship a deity with burnt offerings are 'celebrating death and the gods' gift to them of life', but her references to Vernant (Mythe et pensée, 142) and Burkert (Homo necans, 158-9) do not prove anything.

7: $\lambda$ úpa. Musicality is a topos in the praise of cities and rulers: cf. O. 1, 17, O. 11, 18-9, G. van N. Viljoen, Pindaros se tiende en elfde Olympiese odes (Leiden 1955), 24-5.

7: $\sigma \varphi \iota$. Usually taken to be a dative of interest, but more probably a dativus auctoris ( $\beta \rho \varepsilon \tilde{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{L}$ being equivalent to 'is played'): cf. s. Ai. 970 Эعoĩs tévunuev, K.G. I, 423, Bruhn, §47, and my note on Men. Epitr. 534, Mnemos. IV 27 (1974), 37. A possessive dative seems to me less like$l_{y}$ in this connection, and is to be generally suspected (although it is assumed by Schw. II, 189: but see K.G. I, 429-30).

7: Bof $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$. This verb seems to be more appropriate to the sound of a stringed instrument (cf. N. 9, 8 Bpouíav 甲ópulyץa) and the aulos (cf. Cat. 64, 264 stridebat tibia) than to songs. De Vries (152) speaks of a zeugma, but the word could apparently refer to resounding voices (cf. 'to peal'). Slater's translation 'murmur' is correct at $P$. 11, 30, but absurd in the present passage.

8: Eॄviou. Hospitality is a topos in the praise of cities and rulers: cf. O. 1, 16, O. 2, 6 and 93-4, O. 3, 40, N. 5, 8, I. 2, 39, viljoen, op. cit. (above on $7 \lambda u ́ p \alpha), 23 \mathrm{n} .31$.

8: $\Delta$ Lós. Themis is a wife of zeus (fr. 30, 5, Hes. Th. 901) and his paredros (0. 8, 22). In the present passage, just as at E. Med. 208 tdv Zquós ópuíav @é $\mu \downarrow \nu$, their relationship is not specified, but the geni-
 yưn) and should not be connected with tparţ̌als (as is done by Sandys, who refers to Athen. IV l43f., and Bowra).

8: ©éuls. Most editors do not print this word with a capital, because d́OKદ $\tau \tau \alpha$ cannot mean 'is worshipped' but only 'is cultivated', is

translation 'honour' is misleading). In that case the word may be translated by 'order' or 'law'. But at 0. 8, 2l-2 $\Sigma \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \iota \rho \alpha \Delta \iota \partial s ~ \xi \varepsilon v i ́ o u /$ $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \delta \rho O S$ áoueĩtaı $\Theta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \iota S$ she is both a personal deity and an abstraction. This is considered by Earnell to be "one of the signs of a hurried composition", but he has overlooked the fact that a similar ambiguity is







 233). The law of hospitality, just as $\delta \iota \mathcal{H}$, is a principle, but this principle is so fundamental that it is considered to be a divine power, and as soon as a divine power becomes operative in a striking way it is imagined as a divine person. Cf. Wilamowitz, 202: "Was lebt und wirkt, wird als persönlich gefühlt: darum ist es pervers, von Personifikation zu reder'. See also F. Dornseiff, Pindars Stil (Berlin 1921), 50ff., Farnell, II, 467ff., Duchemin, l25ff., W. Pötscher, "Das Person-Bereichdenken in der frühgriechischen Periode", WS 72 (1959), 5-25. For the association of hospitality with righteousness (i.e. giving others their due) cf. 0. 2,6 ő̃ぃ $\delta i ́ n \alpha l o v ~ \xi र ् \varepsilon v \omega ~(' s t r i c t ~ i n ~ h i s ~ c o n s i d e r a t i o n ~ o f ~ s t r a n g e r s '), ~ N . ~ 4, ~$ 12 Síng छยvapuéï.

8: d́عváols. In my commentary on O. 14, 12, Mnemos. IV 32 (1979), 24, I have argued (1) that it is wrong to restore the Doric form $\alpha i \in \in \alpha o s$, (2) that there and in the present passage the word is simply the adjective belonging to $\alpha \in i$, so that we should not look for some association with 'flowing' (Bury 'never running dry', Farnell 'tables of ever-flowing cheer').

9: ह́v $\tau p a \pi \varepsilon ́ \zeta \alpha \iota S . ~ B u r y ~ w r i t e s: ~ " M r ~ F e n n e l l ~ t a k e s ~ ह ́ v ~ h e r e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s e n s e ~$ of with, but I agree with Rumpel that it has the more literal meaning of place. The tables are not only the instrument, they are also the place of the äounous". However, hospitality is not practised on the tables, but at table. For év denoting an occasion cf. O. 2, 43-4 Év áévious / Év udxals $\tau \varepsilon, 0.9,112$ Év Saltí, IZ. 4, 259 Év $\delta \alpha i ́ G^{\circ}, 0 み$. 11, 603 Év Gadíņs,
 $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$ 'meal' cf. LSJ I 2.

9: $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$. In wishes and prayers $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ usually means either the transition from the present to the (unknown) future, or from introductory
arguments to the wish proper（Denn．，15－6）．In the present case these con－ ditions do not apply，but 9－10 forms the continuation of the wish expressed at 3－4．For progressive $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha^{c f}$ ．Denn．，2l－2．
 872 oùv โd́xとl，LSJ A 6.

9－10：$\tau \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\lambda}$ OS．．．$\pi \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha l$ ．The translation＇reach the end＇（de Vries， 151，Nisetich）is misleading，because（1）$\tau \hat{\text { ÉdOS }}$ properly means＇fulfil－ ment＇，hence＇performance of a task＇，＇office＇（LSJ I 3），and（2）$\pi \varepsilon \rho d \omega$ properly means＇to traverse＇and so refers to the whole term of office（LSJ I 3 seem to me wrong in classing the present passage with S．O．R． 1530



10：$\pi$ ह مãoal．For infinitives in prayers cf．P．l，68，K．G．II，22－3． Some editors read $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \alpha^{\sigma} \alpha($（opt．），but the subject is more likely to be the whole Council： 11 ã $v \delta \rho \alpha$ marks the point where the attention is focus－ sed on Aristagoras．

10：$\sigma u ̛ v$ ．Not to be altered into VしV，for（ 1 ）the subject aútoús is easily supplied from the preceding lines，and（2）repetition of words is avoided by Pindar only in the case of proper names and important apel－ latives（Schmid，Gesch．d．gr．Lit．，I， 610 and n．5）：cf．P．9，112－4 rá－
 $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} v$, Schroeder，Prolegomena，43－4．For the resultative use of oúv



10：átم由́tч．＇Without annoy＇（Fennell；similarly Puech＇dans la paix du coeur＇）is too weak：$\tau \iota \tau \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega$ originally means＇to damage＇（LSJ 2，
 the meaning is＇not injured by misfortunes or sharp criticisms＇：cf．I．3，

 in concluding that＂the truly noble＂，just as Aristagoras，＂do not take injuries to heart＂）．It is not correct to say that $\alpha \tau \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \psi$ repeats the idea of $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \delta \delta \xi q$ in a negative form（Mezger，followed by Fennell and Bury）．
 note on Pl．Prot． 309 a 3 in Studia Platonica：Festschrift H．Gundert（Am－ sterdam 1974），41．The word must refer to Aristagoras，as appears from the identity of $12 \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha S_{S}$ and $13 \mu 0 \rho \varphi \tilde{q}$ ．The accusative is not an acc． of respect（＇As for the man．．．＇），for we can hardly supply＇as contrasted
with the goddess' (as is suggested by Mezger, who is followed by Bury and
 (cf. K.G. II, 289-90, Schw. II, 81; rightly explained as a form of 'parathesis' by B. A. van Groningen, Mnemos. III 9, 1941, 275; Lefkowitz, 52 n.
 For the father as $\mu$ épos cf. Wilamowitz, $431 \mathrm{n} .1:$ 'der Vatersname ist kurz für die Abstammung gesetzt, die sozusagen ein Teil des Mannes ist'. For $\mu$ auapijw with double accusative Ar. Vesp. 588 is the only exact parallel (if Reiske's emendation is accepted; Bury wrongly thinks that touti is a cognate object equivalent to $\tau 0$ ũ $\tau 0 \nu \tau \delta \nu$ maxaplouóv). But at 30-1 $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi о \mu \alpha l$ (which is the reverse of $\mu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho i \zeta \omega$ ) has the same construction. Lefkowitz (Zoc. cit.) compares A. Pr. 340 خd $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \sigma^{*}$ ह́ $\pi \alpha \iota v \tilde{\omega}$, but there $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{v} \nu$ is adverbial ('on the one hand'). S. Ai. $1381 \pi \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ E Éx $\omega \sigma^{\prime}$
 parallels either, for $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ and $\alpha$ are more obviously accusatives of respect.

Fennell writes: "I cannot see the point of congratulating the son upon his father, who kept him from winning the Olympian and Pythian games (v. 22)" (similarly de Vries, 152). But praise of the father is a topos in the victory ode: cf. e.g. O. 7, 17, P. 11, 43, N. 4, 13, I. 1, 34; see further Thummer, I, 49ff. Besides the father's hesitation with regard to his son's athletic chances hardly detracts from his general laudability.
亢UXńs (Amsterdam 1968), 3l-2, points out that $\mu \alpha \alpha \alpha \alpha p$ usually implies the idea of divinity or at least of a status resembling that of the gods, and that such a suggestion is ruled out by vv. 13-6. He therefore con-
 less heavily loaded, as appears from $P .5,46$.

11: $\mu \varepsilon ́ v . ~ N o t ~ t o ~ b e ~ c o n n e c t e d ~ w i t h ~ 12 ~ u a i . . . ~ \tau e ~(M e z g e r), ~ b u t ~ w i t h ~$ 13 ठ氐.

11: 'ApHeoì $\lambda \alpha$. Some editors read 'Aynoí $\lambda \alpha \nu$ (B) or (for metrical reasons) 'Aүعoi $\lambda \alpha \nu$, but the fact that Pindar's beloved Theoxenus of Tenedos was a son of Hagesilas (fr. 123, 15) has been used to satisfy sentimental romanticism (a handsome boy son of a handsome father, and 48 ép,́$\tau \omega \nu$ as a personal confession: cf. Fränkel, 575: "Obwohl allgemein formuliert, klingt die Schlusswendung wie ein Ausbruch persönlichen Gefühls") rather than to build up a solid argument. Turyn rightly observes: "cum in scholiis p. 187, 8 et 187,9 bis nomen in utroque codice $B D$ casu accusativo ápuéídav legatur, dubium non est, quin v. 11 'ApHEGi $\lambda \alpha v$ (non
＂Aүモбi $\lambda \alpha \nu$ ）sit legendum＂．
12：Эantơv．Bury（216）writes：＂The island of Tenedos，noted for the beauty of its women（Athen．XIII，609e），was perhaps a land of hand－ some men also＂（similarly Mezger，481）．But cases of individual beauty were thought worth mentioning，and not only by Pindar（e．g．0．8，19， 0 ． 9，65）：cf．the epigram from the first half of the 5 th cent．quoted by
 $\chi \varepsilon i \rho o v a ~ \mu O \rho \varphi \tilde{n} s$ ．The Greek admiration for physical beauty is striking－ ly illustrated by an extreme case mentioned by Herodotus（V 47，2）：a citizen from Croton was worshipped as a hero after his death at Segesta Sıd ह̇んutoũ xód入入os．See further my note on Tyrt．6－7 D．，9，Mnemos． IV 22 （1969），342－3．Physical beauty was considered important for a mag－ istrate：cf．Xen．Symp．8， 40.

12：d́tpeนíav．Usually translated by＇fearlessness＇，but＇calmness＇， ＇composure＇is more correct and better suits his function．

12：OÚץYovov．For the value attached by Pindar to inherited capa－


13：Sé．Lefkowitz（51）wrongly translates＇If then．．．＇：$\delta$ é has ad－ versative（restrictive）force and answers $11 \mu \varepsilon v$.

13：ö $\lambda$ Bov．Pindar often emphasizes the importance of wealth，but adds that it should be used to realize dópetn：cf．Gundert，14，28，86－7， P．R．Colace，＂Considerazioni sul concetto di $\pi \lambda 0$ Ũ̃os in Pindaro＂，Studi in onore di A．Ardizzoni，II（Rome 1978），737－45．

13：$\mu O \rho \varphi \tilde{q}$. Dative of limitation rather than of instrument：cf．K．G． I，317，437－8，440，and my note on Men．Epitr．590，Mnemos．IV 27 （1974）， 39．See also F．Egermann on Thuc．I 22， 2 dupı $\mathcal{\text { a i }}$ ，Hist． 21 （1972）， 594－5．The difference between the two kinds of dative（confused by K．G．）
 $\sigma \varepsilon \tilde{L}$（limit．）．
 23）．Not a gnomic future（cf．K．G．I，171－2），but rather a subjunctive of the aorist：cf．0．6，11，0．7，3，P．4， 266 and 274，K．G．II， 474. The shift to the indicative $\varepsilon \in \varepsilon \in \delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \in V$ cannot be explained by the assump－ tion that the poet lost sight of the relative beginning of his sentence （as at $0.7,6$ and P．4，268），but may imply that v． 14 indicates a more firmly established fact than v．13．B．Breyer＇s emendation $\varepsilon$ érı $\delta \varepsilon$ ígñ （Analecta Pindarica，Vratislava 1880，26－7；similarly B．L．Gildersleeve， AJP 3，1882，440－1）is unnecessary．

13：ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ．Not to be altered into äd $\lambda$ ous or äd $\lambda \lambda$ ：the genitive
 and uaívuo૭al with genitive (K.G. I, 393).

14: Biav. Used in a favourable sense ('strength'). The original meaning is 'natural or vital power': cf. LSJ I 1 and F. Stoessl, Die Sprache 6 (1959), 67-74.

15: Эvard. Pindar points out man's mortality at P. 3, 59, I. 3, 23, I. 5, 16, etc., and it cannot be maintained that the emphasis is stronger here than elsewhere. Lefkowitz (52) argues that the tone of the famous beginning of $N .6$ is more optimistic than that of $N$. ll, 13-6, but the two passages are hardly comparable: (1) N. 6, 6-7 does not refer to death, but to the unpredictability of the outcome of any human undertaking (as has been pointed out by Fränkel, Wege $u$. Formen, 30 n .2 ), and (2) the point of N. 11, 15-6 is closely connected with 17-8, as appears from 17 8é (omitted in Lefkowitz's quotation of the Greek text!). The force of $\delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ has been either neglected (e.g. by Fränkel, 574, who calls 15-6 "einen harten Umschlag" and simply remarks: "Die neue Triade hebt von frischem mit Festesklängen an ") or taken to be (a) adversative, (b) explanatory (motivating), (c) consecutive. (a) Mezger assumes a contrast between $15 \mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \mathcal{d}-$ $\sigma \vartheta \omega$ and 17 入órous, which is inept. Nisetich translates 'yet', which he explains (287) by "But death is no reason not to celebrate when the opportunity arises" (similarly de Vries, 151, 155). This idea seems to me too flat and trivial for Pindar. (b) Thummer (I, 76) translates $\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \alpha$ $\sigma \vartheta \omega$ by 'der mag sich getrost vor Augen halten', but the intention of the Greek cannot be to ease our mind. (c) is considered by Thummer in n. 53, but he again overstates his case (the importance of praise in a poem of celebration) by suggesting that the sadness of death is outshined by the joy of future fame. The train of thought seems to me to be as follows: 'Man's physical being is doomed to perish, and therefore his achievements have to be recorded', (so that he may still obtain some degree of immortality). The idea that immortality is to be secured by fame, and most effectively by a laudatory poem, is a topos in Pindar: cf. 0. 7, ll (where $\zeta \omega \vartheta \alpha ́ \lambda \mu \iota O S$ has a causative sense), 0. 10, 91-6, N. 6, 30, N. 7, 12, N. 8, 40, Duchemin, 283-4. For the consecutive force of $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{c}$ cf. Denn., 170, and my notes on $0.12,10$, Zetesis: Album Amicomm E. de Strycker (Ant-werp-Utrecht 1973), 337, and Men. Epitr. 332, Mnemos. IV 27 (1974), 31. Bowra (Pindar, 319) points out that in most poems the metrical division "corresponds neither with the grammatical structure of sentences nor with the flow of the sense" (though he is wrong in taking $N$. 11 to be an exception).

 not mean＇sterblicher Glieder Umhüllung tragend＇（Werner；similarly Nise－ tich＇that he wears a mortal set of limbs＇）．The word probably refers to his robes of office worn at the installation（Mezger）and need not imply that＂Aristagoras was a＇glass of fashion＇as well as a＇mould of form＇， somewhat of an＇exquisite＇perhaps in personal adornment，or studious at least to compose the folds of his tunic and mantle for displaying most be－ comingly the graces of his limbs＂（Bury，217）．The fact that $\pi \in \rho\llcorner\sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda-$ $\lambda \omega$＂can denote decking out a corpse＂（Lefkowitz，52）is irrelevant in this connection，for there is no evidence for the assumption that the word was especially used in this sense（Lefkowitz refers to Od．24， 293 and S．Ant．903，Ai．821，ll70，but the passages from Sophocles do not， or not exlusively，refer to dressing）．

16：$\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon u \tau \alpha ́ v$ ．Usually explained as quasi－adverbial，but more natu－ rally to be taken as an apposition to $\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ ．Pindar may have had Xenopha－
 For reminiscences of the Presocratics in his poetry cf．Gundert，55－7， Strohm，20－3．The apposition is put at the beginning of the sentence for

 assuming a connection between 9 โह́入os and 16 โモ $\lambda \varepsilon u \tau \alpha \dot{\nu}$ ．
 б人 Xしt $\widetilde{\nu} \alpha$ and is first found in Alcaeus 129，17．See further I．Waern， Гñs ótÉa：The Kenning in Pre－Christian Greek Poetry（Upsala 1951），19－ 22.

17：$̇$ év．Quasi－instrumental，＂indem das Mittel als der Gegenstand aufgefasst wird，in dessen Bereich eine Handlung oder ein Zustand fällt＂
 5，19，P．5，98，I．5，27．See further K．G．I，465－6，LSJ A III．


 dar probably suggests that the name Aristagoras may be understood as＇very well spoken about＇．This seems to me more likely than the etymology＇mäch－ tig im Rath＇（Pape－Benseler）adopted by Barkhuizen， 86 （who may be right， however，in taking 14 áploteú $\omega \nu$ to be another allusion to the name）．

17：$\mu(\nu$ ．This or $V し \nu$（Puech）is a plausible emendation of $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\nu}$ （defended by Bury as emphatic）：$\delta \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon ́ v \tau a ~ s c . \mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta ~(s u g g e s t e d ~ b y ~$

LSJ）cannot serve as the object of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \zeta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ．It is true that the ob－ ject has sometimes to be supplied from the context（cf．K．G．II，561－2）， but this is easier if a related word（in this case the same word，but as a subject）precedes．
 107 the MSS．have the same mistake．

17：xpeผ́v．Contains an ambiguity：fame is necessary to obtain immor－ tality（see above on 15 Эvatá），but it is also due to the victor：cf． 0 ． $1,103,0.3,7,0.8,74$, P．8，33，P．9，104，I．1，43，I．3，8，Gundert， 43.

18：$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \gamma \delta \circ$ Úto $ا \sigma \iota$ ．The original meaning of $\delta$ oũtos，＇thud＇，＇roar＇， ＇clash＇is widened into＇booming sound＇（see above on 7 Bpé $\mu \varepsilon \tau a \iota$ ）．Cf． Dith．2， 12 Épíyסoumol otovaxaí．Pindar likes to characterize the beauty of songs as＇sweet＇：cf．$\mu \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \gamma a \rho U S, \mu \varepsilon \lambda i \chi o \mu \pi O s, \mu \varepsilon \lambda i \varphi \vartheta \circ \gamma \gamma \circ S$ and the frequent use of $\gamma \lambda u \boldsymbol{u}$ ．See also M．Kaimio，Characterization of Sound in Early Greek Literature（Helsinki 1977）， 158.

18：סаıס人入૭́́vta．＇Glorified＇：similarly 0．1，105，0．5， 21 Parth． 2，32．The word does not imply＇skilfully＇（Fränkel， 572 ＇mit kunstvollem Gesang＇）．

18：$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \iota \zeta \hat{\varepsilon} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ．Not to be altered into $\mu \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \varepsilon \nu$ or $\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu$ ह́V（Bury， Lefkowitz，52）：for the synizesis in dolסã̃ cf．I．3， 17 tetpaoplãv， Schroeder，Proleg．，25－6，39．There is a pun in the juxtaposition of
 huizen，l04ff．

19：Éห $া \in \rho \iota$ иtıóvんv．A brachylogy for＇gained from places inhabited by $\pi$ ．＇or＇from games organized by $\pi$ ．＇（cf．N．2， 19 Ég đ́́ध $\lambda \omega \nu \nu i x a s$
 translates＇on the authority of＇．

19：$\delta$ ह．Has explanatory（motivating）force：cf．Denn．， 169.
20：mát $\rho \alpha \nu$ ．＇Clan＇or（more probably）＇native land＇：cf．0．8， 20
 9，73，N．9，12，I．3，12．See further W．Keuffel，Der VaterZandsbegriff in der frühgriechischen Dichtung（würzburg 1942），32ff．
 ópษd́v），for Tenedos could hardly be considered famous in itself like Athens（N．4，19）and Aegina（N．7，85）．Bury and Barkhuizen（89）take the word to allude to 33 חe८od́vסpou，which name forms a good omen for Aristagoras＇function as prytanis，but apart from the question whether rótpav refers to the clan，Pindar now concentrates on Aristagoras＇
athletic, not his magisterial, qualities.
21: Tádą. 'On the occasion of...'. For this use of the dative cf.
 445 (who do not clearly distinguish this use from the dative denoting attendant circumstances).

 original meaning is 'to declare proudly or confidently' (whether or not rightly), so that at S. O.C. 713 aúx $\eta \mu \alpha$ has a favourable sense.

 specialization (Plato, Leg. 644c 9 defines it as $\delta \delta \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ). See further O. Lachnit, Elpis (Tübingen 1965), 3ff.

22: óuvnoótعpal. 'Too hesitant': for this use of the comparative cf. K.G. II, 305, Schw. II, 184-5. Lefkowitz (53) observes that "this apology for non-accomplishment of deeds never attempted is unique", but does not explain why Pindar included it. A possible answer has been suggested by Gundert, lll n. 40: "Fragt man, warum Pindar den Fall, der zumindest für die Eltern peinlich war, überhaupt erwähnt und so weit führt, so ist ein Haptmotiv die Aufgabe des Dichters, verkannte Areta zur Geltung zu bringen". This is a more plausible explanation than that given in Mnemosynon (5-6), where he maintains that 'fast zwei Drittel des Festlieds gelten dem Versäumnis der Eltern" and suggests that "es ist, als ob er [Pindar] den Anlass, dass er keine grösseren Siege hatte, geradezu gesucht hätte, um den Abgrund aufzureissen zwischen der lichten Welt des Adels und der Gottverlassenheit der Menschen". E. L. Bundy, Studia Pindarica (Berkeley-Los Angeles 1962), 48ff., has rightly pointed out that past misfortunes in the family are used as dark foils for present glory (although his view that the victory ode "is dedicated to the single purpose of eulogizing men and communities", 35, is untenable).

22: $\beta i ́ \alpha \nu$. See above on $14 \beta i ́ \alpha v$.
23: $\pi \varepsilon \iota \rho \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$. One might expect the addition of $\mu$ ń, but this may be omitted if the infinitive is felt as an object: of. E. Or. 263 oxnow $\sigma \varepsilon \pi \eta \delta \tilde{a} \nu$, K.G. II, 214-5, Schw. II, 598 (who compares Thuc. VII 33, 3


24: үóp. Explains óuvnpótepal (Bury) or (rather) the unexpressed idea of 'wrongly' (Fennell 'for else'): cf. Denn., 62-3, Bruhn, §114. Another possibility is to take $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho$ as emphatic ( $\left.\gamma \varepsilon+\alpha \nsim \alpha\right):$ as such it often introduces emotional questions (Denn., 82-5) and it also occurs in
exclamations，usually preceded by $\eta^{\gamma}$（Denn．，284）；in the present passage $v a i ~ \mu \alpha ́$ may be considered equivalent to $\begin{array}{r}\gamma \\ \text { ．}\end{array}$

24：＂OpHov．Conceived as a divine power：Cf．Hes．Th．231，Op．219， Hdt．VI 86，2，and above on 8 ＠épls．
 nell thinks that＂these are accusatives of＇extent，range，sphere＇，like七ठ é $\mu$ ठे $\mu$ ह́pos＂，Bury and K．G．I，317，call the accusative＇adverbial＇， Farnell calls it＇absolute＇，Sch．II，86，suggests that it originated from a＇prosthetic＇nominative．These explanations are unsatisfactory．I am inclined to take the phrase as an apposition to the unexpressed internal
 284－5，Bruhn，§ll，Wilamowitz on E．H．F． 59 E゙入Eץxov，Barrett on E．Hipp． 757 övaoıv．

24：$\pi \alpha 0 \beta$ K $\alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda i \alpha$ ．The phrase＇if he had participated in the con－ tests＇has to be supplied from the context：cf．K．G．II，565，Bruhn，§199．

25：عúठévరمథ．Cf．O．3，18，23，32－4．
25：मo $\lambda \dot{\omega} v . ~ S c . ~ ' t i t h e r ': ~ c f . ~ N . ~ 5, ~ 45 ~ a ̆ \lambda ı ห a s ~ \delta ' ~ \varepsilon ́ \lambda \vartheta O ́ v t a s ~ o i ́-~$


26：$\delta n \rho\llcorner\dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega v$ ．The active form is not to be found elsewhere in the classical period．The participle may have concessive force：cf．Schw．II， 389．It is certainly wrong to assume that the vanquished adversaries func－ tion＂nicht mehr nur als Folie，sondern zugleich als Beispiel für die $x \varepsilon$－ VÉ́pooves aŨXal der Sterblichen＂，as is suggested by H．Schmitz，Hypsos und Bios（Bern 1970）， 49.

27：ह̀optáv．Apposition to the internal object of $x \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota s$（see above on $24 \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha \nu \delta \delta \xi(\alpha)$ ）．
 K．G．I，332－3，Schw．II，119，and my note on E．Ba．8，Mnemos．IV 33 （1980）， 2．For Heracles as founder of the Olympian games cf．O．3，llff．For Pin－ dar＇s special regard for him cf．Bowra，Pindar，45ff．

27：โย́धนしov．＇Established＇，＇organized according to fixed rules＇ （cf．O．6， $69 \tau \varepsilon \vartheta \mu \delta S_{S}^{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \hat{\vartheta} \forall \lambda \omega \nu$ ），hence＇solemn＇．The translation＇nach der Satzung des Herakles＇（Mezger），＇founded by Herakles＇（Bowra；similarly Lattimore，Nisetich）is misleading．

 6,21 ．For this use of the middle cf．$\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \not \subset \in \sigma \bigcirc\llcorner$＇to have oneself instructed＇，K．G．I，ll3，ll6，Schw．II， 232 （who in I， 757 wrongly as－ sumes a passive sense in $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \nu \omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \nu \vee O S$ ），and my notes on Men．Epitr．

44 and 205, Mnemos. IV 27 (1974), 20 and 26. The force of $\alpha v \alpha-$ may be explained from the fact that a wreath or a head-band (Il. 22, 469 áva$\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \eta)$ makes the hair enclosed by it appear partly to rise above it.

28: ह́v. Bury rightly observes: "The expression loses its strength if we take $\varepsilon v$ as merely instrumental; the victor's locks are conceived as actually in the wreath of olive leaves". Cf. Il. 5, 386 סñoav upateمथ̃ $\varepsilon v i$ $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \tilde{\varphi}$, and above on 17 ह́v.

28: пор甲upéols. Not 'bound by purple fillets' (Slater, Lefkowitz, 53, following $P$. von der Mühll, Kl. Schriften, 194-6), but 'glittering', 'brilliant': cf. H. Gipper, GZ. 42 (1964), 39ff., O. J. Schrier, Mnemos.
 Duchemin (198ff.) rightly points out that $\varphi$ ( $v \iota \mu O \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$ said of Demeter and Hecate (0. 6, 94, Pae. 2, 77) does not refer to colour but to brilliance (cf. Homeric dopupórȩa). For the Greek tendency to subordinate colour to shine cf. Fogelmark, l7ff., who is wrong, however, in concluding (23) that moppúpeos "denotes colour in Pindar but not in Homer". His interpretation of the present passage as giving "an impression of beautiful colour" (26) is rather arbitrary. It is true that we find at
 of áxtĩol is significant. Olive and laurel are called xpúoeos (0. 8, $1,0.11,13, P .10,40, N .1,17)$, but this refers to their value rather than to their visual appearance: cf. P. 3, 73 úrílav a̋ $\gamma \omega \nu$ xpuofav, LSJ III 1 (Duchemin, 226, thinks that "il s'agit d'un feuillage divin aux reflets lumineux, aux promesses d'immortalité"). Mezger suggests that mopqupéols, too, is intended "um seinen hohen Wert zu bezeichnen" (similarly Bury: "Regal 'purple' might be considered the queen of colours and used as a metaphor for supreme excellence", and Bowra, Pindar, 245-6), but there is no parallel for such a figurative use.

29: d́d ${ }^{\prime}$. Lefkowitz (53) thinks that "the reference to binding... leads into a statement of human limitations" (!). The function of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ obviously is to explain why the possibility indicated in the preceding lines was not realized: it is equivalent to ádido yáp marking the nonfulfilment of a condition (Denn., 104).

29: Heveठ甲poves. Cf. II. 8, 230 شعveauxées.
29: aũxal. See above on $21 \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha u \chi \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$. Wilamowitz (432) writes: "ihm wird in einem Atem gesagt, dass er ihn [the victory] hätte erreichen können, und angedeutet, es wäre wohl eher Selbstüberhebung gewesen". Similarly Lefkowitz, 53: "the contest is in the athlete's mind... the opponents are emotions, 'empty-minded boasts' ... and an 'unadventurous heart'".

But 32 Эu uods äтo $\lambda_{\mu}$ os obviously refers to his parents' hesitations, and the first part of the sentence ( $\tau \delta \nu \mu \dot{\nu} \nu .$. .) serves as a foil to the second part (Gundert, 24 and 117 n .95 ). It is well-known that the Greeks often use antithetical expressions to emphasize only one idea: cf. Fehling, Wiederholungsfiguren, 84-6, 274-9, and my notes on Semon. 7, 9, Mnemos. IV 21 (1968), 135-6, and A. Pr. 106, Miscellanea Kamerbeek (Amsterdam 1976), 453-4.

 $\varphi \iota \lambda i \alpha s \in \dot{\varepsilon}\langle\beta \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon i \eta$. The translation 'cast down from' (Fennell and others) is perhaps too strong, for Bátid may also mean 'to place' (LSJ A I 6).

30: $\chi \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi \vartheta \varepsilon ์ v \tau \alpha$. The parallelism of the two parts of the sentence suggests that the sense is active (like Hdt. I 77, l $\mu \varepsilon \mu \varphi \vartheta \varepsilon i s$, etc.). There seems to lie a difficulty in the fact that Эuuós refers to the parents and ioxúv to the son, but the parents may have regarded their son's abilities as part of their own: cf. P. 8, 44-5 ழự... ह́u matép $\omega \nu$, Gundert, l5ff.

31: ioxưv. For the accusative see above on $11 \alpha \cup \vee \delta \rho \alpha$.
31: oinci $\omega \nu$. 'Within his reach' (Fennell). For the topos of to тариєíuevou ( $N .3,75$ ) cf. Strohm, 72-5.

31: $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu . ~ 32$ óriooow shows that $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ cannot mean 'lässt vorbeistraucheln' (Fränkel, 573). The local force of mapa- ('to the side') has apparently been widened to the idea of 'missing' and 'failing'. For the genitive cf. LSJ $\sigma \varphi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ III 2.

32: äto $\lambda^{\mu}$ OS. Another topos: one has to take risks (0. 6, 9-11 $\alpha$ Kivסuvol $\delta^{\prime}$ ápetai oú... $\left.\tau i \mu \iota \alpha \downarrow, P .4,185-7\right)$, but $\tau$ ó $\lambda \mu \alpha$ should be combined with oúveols (N. 7, 59, I. 3-4, 63-5, fr. 231).

33: $\sigma u \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} V$. 'To conjecture' (cf. LSJ III 3), sc. the presence of ... in their son.

33: $\mu \alpha ̛ ้$. Denn. $(330,337)$ hesitates between an emphatic and a progressive sense, but the meaning is more likely to be adversative (Denn., 334-5, Slater, 311).

33: $\pi \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha$. Not to be connected with áró $\Sigma$ máptas (Fennell, Farnell,
 Groningen, In the Grip of the Past (Leiden 1953), 50, rightly observes: "The quality of the primogenitor determines the quality of the whole lineage and every member of it shines with the reflected light of his ancestral glory". Cf. also 12, 52, 54, and Gundert, 15, Bowra, Pindar, l01-2,

171－2．
34：A Ứห $\lambda \alpha \circlearrowleft \varepsilon \nu$ ．＂Because in Pindar＇s opinion Amyklai had been the place where king Agamemnon was murdered on his return home from Troy．．． Not until Orestes had killed his mother did he set the Aeolians on their way towards Tenedos＂（G．Huxley，Pindar＇s Vision of the Past，Belfast 1975， 34）．I doubt whether Pindar alludes to the capture of Amyclae mentioned at $P$ ．l，65，as is suggested by Lefkowitz， 54.

35：ávár $\omega \nu$ ．＇Leading over sea＇：cf．I2．9， 338 גáov ávńrarev ह́v૭áסe，LSJ I 2．Orestes as a leader of Aeolian colonists is also men－ tioned by Hellanicus（FGrH 4，F．32）．

36：p̀oãv．Puech reads p̀ớv with MSS．and scholia，but Pindar always
 tas，so that we have mentally to supply $\tau \delta \alpha \hat{i} \mu \alpha$（as is rightly observed by Bury，who spoils the construction，however，by translating＇mingled near the stream of Ismenus with the blood of．．．＇；similarly Werner＇an Ismenos＇ Fluten beigemischt＇）．

36 หєирацधvov．Sc．with the blood on the father＇s side．
37 Me入avíniolo．Famous opponent of the Seven against Thebes：cf． K．P．III，1164．16ff．

37：$\delta$ ह．Has explanatory（motivating）force：see above on 19 §ُ́．
38：$\alpha \mu \varphi \in \rho о \nu \tau \iota . ~ M e z g e r ~ t r a n s l a t e s ~ ' s i e ~ b r i n g e n ~ m i t ~ s i c h ', ~ b u t ~ t h i s ~$ is $\varphi$ ह́poual（e．g．P．7，2l）．Fennell translates＇return＇（similarly far－
 with cornfields shows that the meaning is＇raise up＇，＇give forth＇：cf． ávaסíbん山l（LSJ II l），and A．Cho．447－8 ávépepov．．．Yóov．The capa－ cities（ $\alpha \rho \varepsilon \tau \alpha i ́$ ）inherent in the $\gamma \in \mathcal{V}$ ）are like the fertile soil from which shoot up the achievements（ápetaí）of the individual members as
 $N$ ．10，42），which may be kept alive by the water of the victory ode（ $N$ ． 8，40－1）．See further my note on $0.14,15$ ©adia，Mnemos．IV 32 （1979）， 27－8．Although the middle $\alpha, \mu \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho O \nu \tau \alpha L$ is defended by Fennell by compar－ ing $P$ ．7， 21 甲fpعo૭人L，the active seems to be preferable because it is the regular form of $\varphi \hat{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$ when said of the earth or of trees：cf． $41 \varphi \hat{\varepsilon}-$ معاV and LSJ A V．

38：á $\lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon v a l . ~ S c h o l .: ~ \tau о u ̃ ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau a \xi u ่ ~ \gamma \varepsilon ́ v o u s ~ n ́ \mu \alpha u p \omega \mu र ́ v o u . ~$
38：YモVEスĩS．A dative of interest（＇in the case of ．．．＇）：cf．K．G． I，429，Schw．II， 189.

38：$\alpha \cup \delta \rho \tilde{\sim} \nu$ ．Not to be connected with o७fvos（Sandys，Werner，

 $\alpha \quad \alpha \delta p o u(33)-\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu \delta \tilde{\omega} \nu$ is intentional, as is suggested by Barkhuizen 147.

38: o७と́vos. May imply the idea of profusion: cf. 0. 9, $51 \sigma$. üסatos


39: ह́vox́pú. 'Without interruption'. Most editors read $\dot{\varepsilon} v \quad \sigma x \in \rho \tilde{\varphi}$, but Turyn rightly prints $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E} \neq \rho \dot{\omega}:$ the MSS. do not have a iota here nor at N. 1, 69 and I. 6, 21. Cf. Ap. Rh. I 912 Évoxepó, Homeric émıoxepó
 tion 'auf der Erdenfeste' (573) is unintelligible.

39: סと́. Has explanatory (motivating) force: see above on 19 ס́́.
39: $\bar{\nu} v . C f$. Denn., 419-20: "OŨV emphasizes the duality, or plurality, of the ideas negativated". Similarly $\varepsilon$ í $\tau$ " oũv (Den.., 418-9).

39: Hé $\lambda a \iota v a l$. Bury thinks that this word "is chosen with the pur-
 Barkhuizen, 147), but $\mu \varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha$ is a conventional epithet of $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ and $\chi \vartheta \dot{\omega} \nu$ (e.g. IL. 2, 699, Hes. Th. 69, Alcm. 58, 3, Archil. 58 D. $=130 \mathrm{~W} ., 2 \mathrm{Sa}$. 1, 10). I also doubt whether A. Kober, The Use of Colour Terms in the Greek Poets (New York 1932), 32-3, is right in suggesting that $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \iota v a \iota$ apoupal implies the idea of fertility: Fogelmark (30) observes that at
 question, as it is merely a variation on a conventional phrase bequeathed by epic poetry".

39: äpoupal. The same comparison is found at $N .6,8-11$. The differences between the two passages suggested by Lefkowitz (54-5) seem to me fanciful.

40: ठévరీpea. Lefkowitz (54) maintains that a "natural connection is drawn between the crowning of the victor (... ह́pveolv, rather than $\sigma \tau \varepsilon-$ بd́vols, 29) and the flowering of the trees". I fail to see where the connection is drawn except in the reader's imagination. For $\varepsilon$ épveolv cf. N. 6, 18, I. 1, 29 and 66.
 11, 9, P. 1, 62, N. 7, 10, LSJ II 2, and my note on Pl. Meno 95b 1, Mnemos. IV 10 (1957), 297. There may be an implication of 'are unable': cf. Il. $21,366, O d .3,121$, Sol. 3, 27. Lefkowitz (54) thinks that "there is a special emphasis on intention" in the simile; she admits ( $n$. 29) that $\dot{\varepsilon}-$ $\vartheta \varepsilon \lambda \omega$ "can denote customary behaviour", but argues that "English 'tend' does not adequately convey the verb's sense of volition". But the point is that in such cases the verb has lost its sense of volition. Nisetich (62) argues that the poem contains "repeated instances of negative volition"
and that therefore＂the literal connotation，secondary from the point of view of the immediate context，is primary from the point of view of the ode as a whole＂．But the only evident instances of negative volition are 22－3 and 31－2，both referring to the same fact．

40：$\pi \varepsilon \rho \delta \delta O L S$ ．One may think of the fact that the trees are in flow－ er at different times during the year，but Pindar probably wished only to avoid such prosaic expressions as شatd हैてoS．

41：$\pi \lambda$ oú $\tau \varphi$ ．For the limitative force of the dative see above on 13 $\mu O \rho \varphi \tilde{q}$. Wilamowitz（431）wrongly takes $\pi \lambda 0 u ́ \tau \varphi$ to refer to the fruits （＂nicht jedes Jahr entspricht die Ernte der Blütenpracht＂）：Schroeder
 For $\pi \lambda$ OŨ $\tau O S$＇abundance＇cf．LSJ I 2.

41：íoov．Mommsen＇s $\tau^{\text {＇}}$ ¿̈oov is unnecessary，for $ً$ ioov is con－ strued as a predicate．
 bur the verb（which further occurs at Lyd．Mag．3，39）seems to have been


 $\sigma \varepsilon ́ \sigma \eta \pi \varepsilon ~ \nu \varepsilon \omega ̃ \nu ~ ش a i ~ \sigma \pi a ́ p \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda u v \tau a l ~ a n d ~ K . G . ~ I, ~ 65-6 . ~ F o r ~ a ~ p l u r a l ~ v e r b ~$ after a plural neutre in Pindar cf．O．8，12，O．10，85，P．1， 13.

42：हैษvos．A certain emendation：cf．O．I， 66 ávépwV हैษvos，$N$ ． 3， 74 Boठtєoレ हैษทOS．

42：äץモl．Bury thinks that this means＂＇drive＇，like wind＂．One
 but Moira is a more stable power than Tyche：cf．Strohm，5lff．，especially 52：＂Sie stellt den ein für allemal festgelegten Grundriss dar＂．According－ ly，the meaning is＇guides＇，＇governs＇（cf．LSJ A II 2－3，Slater，8）．
 Zeus＇），either as an accusative of respect or as the subject of the sen－
 nect tó with $\tau \varepsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \rho$（Mezger），but it seems to me more natural to take Tठ $\delta \varepsilon$ é as＇on the other hand＇：cf．O．9，95，I．3，11，K．G．I，584．Any－ how it is important not to neglect the adversative force of $\delta \hat{E}$（as is done by most translators）：the course of life of human generations，just as that of fields and trees，has been fixed by Destiny，but man is unable to fore－ see the future．This is a topos（cf．e．g．0．12，7－8，N．6，6－7，I．8， 14－5）and as such does not need a special motivation，such as is suggested by Lefkowitz，56：＂the temporary nature of the occasion itself，election to
a political office，gives special emphasis to the topics of the limits of achievement，ignorance of the future，mortality，and change＂．On the con－ trary，the comparatively short duration of the office（lo $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \mu \eta v o v$ ） implies that these topics are not especially relevant to the occasion．

43：o⿰甲és．＇Reliable＇rather than＇clear＇：cf．0．6，20，0．7，91， O．10，55，Il．5，177，S．O．R．390，Thuc．I 22，4，and W．Luther，Wahrheit und Lüge im ältesten Griechentum（Borna－Leipzig 1935），6lff．See also my notes on Men．Epitr． 25 Mnemos．IV 27 （1974），19，Pl．Phdr．275c 6，Mnemos． IV 28 （1975），79，and A．Pr．641，Miscellanea Kamerbeek， 465.


 mpovoñoal．This parallel shows that Pindar＇s outlook upon the limits of human power did not change very much in the course of his life．Cf． also 0．2，30－3，0．12，8，Strohm，64ff．For Pindar＇s view of the future see also C．G．Starr，Essays on Ancient History（Leiden 1979），177－8． Bury（followed by Péron， 42 and $n$ ．1）thinks that $\tau \hat{\varepsilon} \mu \mu \alpha \rho$＂suggests a guiding star＂．He compares H．Hom．32，13，where the moon is called téu－
七धُนup does not warrant such a conclusion．Pindar is more likely to have had Il．I，525－6 in mind，where Zeus calls his nod $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\circ}$ áЭavátolol $\mu \varepsilon$－ Yレotov／七ध́रนap．

44：$\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha v o p i \alpha \iota S . ~ ' S e l f-c o n f i d e n t ~ a m b i t i o n s ': ~ c f . ~ P . ~ 8, ~ 90-1 ~ E ́ \xi ~$ ह́入ПíSos $\pi$ ह́tatal／úmomtépols ávopéals，N．3， 20 ávopéals úmep－ $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \iota S$ éréßa．The translation＇hochmütig＇（Werner）is misleading，and Strohm＇s paraphrase＂verblendetes Menschentum＂（78）is certainly wrong．

44：ÉuBaivouev．For the nautical metaphor cf．N．3， 20 quoted above，Pl．Phdr．252e 5，and Péron， 39 ff ．

45：$\tau \varepsilon$ ．Mezger wrongly reads épץ＇ätє（Mommsen）．For $\tau \varepsilon$ intro－ ducing a participial clause Denn．（502）quotes two examples，Lys．13， 40

 íav öraoov．He rightly explains the first $\tau \varepsilon$ as equivalent to $\mathfrak{H}$ i taũta，but wrongly thinks that from $ٌ \beta \alpha$ we have to supply $\beta \alpha i v \omega V$（sim－
 additional information closely connected with the first part of the sen－
 $\tau \varepsilon$（cf．Slater，489：＂almost hai $\tau \alpha u ̃ \tau \alpha ")$ ．Schroeder（on $P$ ．1，75－80） wrongly equates these cases with $0.7,81$ and $I .2,38$ ，where the parti－
ciple is used instead of a finite verb（＂Variation des Ausdrucks＂；cf． Bowra，Pindar，207）．In the present passage the additional information has an explanatory（specifying）character：for this use of $\tau \varepsilon c f$. Denn．， 502 （e），and my notes on Men．Epitr．338，Mnemos．IV 27 （1974），31－2，A． Pr．152，MiscelZanea Kamerbeek，455，and E．Ba．54，Mnemos．IV 33 （1980）， 13－4．

45：ס仑́ठєtal．According to Fennell，＂perhaps a metaphor from a slave chained to the oar＂，a view adopted by Bury，but rightly rejected as anachronistic by Péron，41－2 n．5．Strohm（78）wrongly sees a paradox in the phrase $\delta \varepsilon \in \delta \varepsilon \tau \iota$ ह́ $\lambda \pi i \delta \iota ~ Y U \tilde{\iota} \alpha$ ：the verb does not imply paralysis， as is assumed by Strohm，but only inescapable compulsion，and it may re－ fer to a holding as well as to a moving force（as is observed by Fränkel，
 vos．．．$\delta \tilde{n} \sigma \varepsilon v$ ，and the phrase＇to be bound to＇．Lefkowitz（55）thinks that the metaphor has been prepared by $15 \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \varepsilon ่ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \iota \nu, 16$ ह́mしहоOठ－
 Эย่ $\ell$ ，and concludes that＂Pindar has elaborated the traditional conno－ tation of binding，which in Homer regularly describes the effect of death and delusion，into a characterization of mental action＂．Such a hunt for hidden meanings and implicit connections seems to me a serious danger to a sound development of Pindaric scholarship．

45：ávaıסєĩ．Not＇unconscionable＇（Fennell）．＇importunate＇（Bury）， ＇insolent＇（Farnell），＇wanton＇（Bowra），＇shameless＇（Gundert， 144 n .393 ， Lattimore，Nisetich，Lefkowitz，55），＇frech＇（Werner，Fränkel，573），＇ef－ frontée＇（Puech），＇insensée＇（Péron，256），but＇knowing no aíSん́s＇，i．e． ＇shrinking from nothing＇：cf．0．10， 105 ávalסźa Эávatov，IZ．4， 521

 the Areopagus．In such contexts $\alpha i \delta \omega \dot{s}$ has its original meaning of keep－ ing oneself at a respectful distance（cf．my remarks in Mnemos．III 12， 1944，48ff．and Lampas 5，1972，114）and does not have a specifically mor－ al connotation，as is suggested by Mezger（＂schamlos－weil das gebührende Mass überschreitend＂）and is assumed by Lefkowitz（55），who defines it as ＂the ability to respect one＇s own person or another＇s rights＂．She refers to F．J．Nisetich，TAPA 107 （1977），246－7，who more rightly，however，ex－ plains óvaıסńs at 0．10， 105 by：＂What is shameless about death is that it makes no distinctions；it overtakes mortals without exception，when it pleases，with no regard to their wishes＂，and $N .11,45$ by：＂Here it is men who are＇shameless＇，because their hopes will countenance anything＂．

It appears from these definitions that 'shameless' is not a happy translation.
 $\varepsilon \lambda \pi i s$ is depreciated ("abgewertet") here and at $P .8,90$ more than elsewhere $(P .3,23, N .1,33, N .8,45, I .2,43)$, and that a positive appreciation is to be found at $0.13,103, P .3,111, I .8,15, f r .214$. Similarly Péron, 42: "l'homme, laissé par les dieux dans une ignorance complète de ce qui l'attend (v. 43), contribue aussi à son propre aveuglement, en se laissant entraîner par l'espérance, puissance d'illusion et d'égarement, à poursuivre des ambitions démesurées, sans rapport avec sa nature, par essence limitée; $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i ́ s$ a donc une valeur purement 'négative' (v. 46: ávalסeг̃)". I have already pointed out that $\alpha$ criticism: Pindar does not blame man for cherishing far-reaching expectations, but he states the objective fact that $\varepsilon \lambda \pi i ́ s$ does not have a natural limit, because man does not know the future. The result is that in some cases É $\lambda \pi i ́ s$ is too weak ( 22 ó $u \cup n \rho o ́ t \varepsilon \rho \alpha \downarrow$ ), in other cases too strong (cf. Bury, 218: "undue diffidence and undue confidence"). The question whether there occurred a change in Pindar's appreciation of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i{ }^{\prime}$ is wrongly put: Pindar did not evaluate $\varepsilon$ étís as such (as is rightly observed by J. J. A. Schrijen, ELpis, Groningen 1965, 60), but he observed its failures (for its connection with $\tau$ úx $\operatorname{cf}$. Nisetich, op. cit. [above on 45 ával $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}], 247 f f$.$) and preached moderation (47). It can only be$ said that man's ignorance of the future, already signalized in his earliest work (see above on 44 téหนap) is more strongly emphasized in P. 8 (93-5) and N. 11 (43-4, 46). The difference, however, is not very great: cf. B. L. Gildersleeve, Selections from the Brief Mention (Baltimore-London-Oxford 1930), 59: "For my part, I have not been able to recognize the symptoms of aging in Pindar, which Leopold Schmidt has dwelt upon in such detail. P. VIII is bitter, or, if you choose, austere, but the melancholy of the latest piece is matched by the melancholy of the earliest". Gundert (Mnemosynon, 5) and Lefkowitz $(52,56)$ make much of the fact that aï $\gamma \lambda \alpha$ סıóбסOTOS ( $P .8,96$ ) does not appear in $N .11$, and that there is no trace of a similarity between men and gods (N. 6, 3), but faith in divine help is implicitly expressed at 5 and 8.

I doubt whether Pindar's view of human weakness should be called 'tragic', as is done by Strohm (79): "Der Mensch Kann ja wesensgemäss gar nicht anders als gegen das ebenso wesensgemässe Gesetz seiner Bedingtheit verstossen" (similarly Fränkel, 575). But 47 Xoń implies that man can try to observe this law by aiming at moderation (as is implicitly admitted
by Strohm，ibid．）．Foreknowledge is difficult，but possible to some ex－ tent：see below on 46 áróหモしvtal．Consequently，Péron（130 and 257）is wrong in thinking that $N$ ．ll is concluded by＂un véritable aveu d＇impuis－ sance＂．That the term＇pessimism＇is equally inappropriate has been point－ ed out by de Vries，156－7 and Mnemos．IV 10 （1957），8－15，who rightly ob－ serves that $I .7,37$ is counterbalanced by 38 ，and $P .95$ by 96－7．Even the phrase＇resigned pessimism＇（Bury，2l8）is misleading，for it may in－ duce us to underestimate Pindar＇s faith in man＇s power to crown his life with lasting values．

46：रuĩa．Nisetich，op．cit．（above on 45 d́val $\delta \in \tilde{\imath}$ ）， 247 ，suggests that this implies the idea of mortality，but elsewhere in Pindar the word more often refers to athletics than to death．In the present passage it seems to continue the image of $44 \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \beta \alpha i v o \mu E v$.

46：$\pi p \circ \mu a ⿱ \varepsilon$ ías．Not＇precaution＇（Werner＇Vorsicht＇，Fränkel， 574 ＇sorglicher Voraussicht＇），but＇foreknowledge＇．Cf．0．7，44，where $\alpha$ i－
 of the mpouain＇s．

46：סध́．Has explanatory（motivating）force（see above on 19 ठ́́）： Édiís does not know limits，because it does not know the future．Schri－ jen，op．cit．（above on 46 E $\lambda \pi i \delta l$ ），56，wrongly explains the connection as adversative：＂hope is shameless，but one should try to avoid shameless－ ness by bearing in mind that the human power of foresight is very weak＂．

46：áró火عاvtal．Not＇sind verborgen＇（Mezger）or＇are beyond our reach＇（Puech：＇se dérobent à nous＇；Péron，257：＇être à l＇écart＇），but ＇are far away＇，＇are difficult to reach＇．A small degree of foreknowledge is not denied to man：cf．I．1， 40 o movńoals бદ vóq uai mpouáधe lav甲ع́pel．

46：مooí．Not＇the tides of events lie beyond our foresight＇（LSJ I）， but to be connected with $\pi \rho \circ \mu a \vartheta \varepsilon i \alpha a s$ ．The image of streams or waves is often used of destiny（cf．Péron，25lff．），but its connection with fore－ knowledge is not immediately clear．Fennell＇s observation that＂no doubt the mariners of Tenedos were familiar with and often grateful to the strong Hellespontine current＂seems to me irrelevant．Péron（256－7），who rightly rejects the translation＇sources＇（Werner，Fränkel，574），argues that the phrase＂ne constitue en effet que le dernier élément d＇un tableau dominé par la présence de la mer＂；he compares 0 ．12，5－6，but there human expec－ tations are compared with ships，not with waves．If we translate the word by＇rivers＇（Bury，Romagnoli，Wolde），we may compare I．2，4l－2，where the Phasis and the Nile are mentioned as symbols for remote parts of the world
(cf. Péron, 85-7).

 2. Warning against $\mathcal{K e ́}^{\prime} \rho \delta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is a topos in Pindar: cf. P. 1, 92, P. 2, 78, P. 4, 139-40, and Péron, 210-1.

47: ठé. Strongly adversative (neglected in almost all translations): human aspirations and expectations tend to overstep all limits, but all the same they ( $\mathcal{\varepsilon} \rho \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$ is a specification of $\mu \varepsilon \nu O \iota \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \lambda \pi i \delta \iota$ ), have to keep within bounds, for else they lead nowhere ( 48 d $\pi p o \sigma i x \tau \omega \nu$ ).

 often uses haloós: Gundert, 63, 66ff., Strohm, 67-8, M. Riemschneider, Ztschr.f.Ästh. U.alZg. Kunstw. 36 (1942), 105-9. These parallels show that the end of the poem is a topos, and it is wrong to assume that this must have a special application, as is done by Farnell (234), who supposes "that the kinsmen of Aristagoras being aware of his weaknesses had suggested to Pindar to convey this to him", and by Mezger, who thinks that the warning is addressed to "den Teil der Bürgerschaft, der überstürzenden Neuerungen zugethan ist", and that the praise of Aristagoras serves the purpose "die Warnung an die Unruhestifter recht eindringlich zu machen".

47: Эnpevépev. To be contrasted with P. 3, $23 \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \omega ́ \nu \iota \alpha$ Эnpeú $\omega \nu$ \&updutols $\varepsilon \lambda \pi i \sigma \iota v . ~ O n ~ P i n d a r ' s ~ u s e ~ o f ~ m e t a p h o r s ~ o f ~ h u n t i n g ~ c f . ~ C . ~ J . ~$ Classen, Untersuchungen zu Platons Jagdbildern (Berlin 1960), 6-7.

48: \& $\pi p o \sigma i \hbar \tau \omega \nu$. Not 'ad quem accedere non licet, inexpugnabilis' (Rumpel with schol.; cf. äridatos), nor 'unattainable' (Bury, LSJ, who wrongly take $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \omega \nu$ to be 'objects of desire', Slater), nor depending
 For the verbal adjective used with active force cf. Kühner-Blass, II, 289, Bruhn, §l01, Wackernagel, Vort. ü. Synt. I, 136, 288, Pearson on S. fr. 534, 4, Barrett on E. Hipp. 678.

48: ह́مஸ́ $\tau \omega \nu$. Used in its general sense of 'desires', but especially 'desires of gain' (cf. 47 หधคס́éNV). The genitive has been explained in various ways, none of which seems to me convincing: (1) gen. of object: 'aber die Gier nach unerfullbaren wünschen brennt allzuheiss' (Wilamowitz,
 occur before Hermesianax; (b) 'allzu' apparently means that pavía always prevents the attainment of $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \circ \nu$, a conclusion which makes Xoń a sarcastic paradox and which attributes to Pindar an unparalleled negativism.

The suggestion put forward by Gundert (Mnemosynon, 5), that the poet was "in innerem Kampf", does not solve this difficulty. (2) gen. of subject: 'schlimm ist das wahnsinnige Begehren unerreichbarer wünsche' (Mezger), 'Unerreichbares Sehnen ist der schneidenste Wahn' (Dornseiff), 'vom unerreichbaren Begehr aber ist heftiger der Wahnsinn' (Gundert, Mnemosynon, 4), 'Unerschöpflich Begehren heisst mir der bitterste Wahn', 'Doch unerfüllbares Begehren tobt in umso heftigerem Wahn' (Fränkel, 574, explained, 575, by "Wir sollen uns bescheiden, und doch brennt kein Sehnen so heiss wie der Wahnwunsch nach dem Unerreichbaren"), 'Too sharp is the madness of unattainable desires' (Nisetich; similarly Lefkowitz, 56), 'qui se laisse aller à des ambitions irréalisables s'expose à une démence éperdue' (Puech), 'Immers, de razernij van het verlangen naar het onbereikbare schrijnt fel' (de Vries, 156). But (a) some of these translations suggest that the $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \tau \rho 0 \nu$ is never attained (cf. Gundert's explanation, Mnemosynon, 5: "Die kurze Mahnung zum Mass geht unter in dem Schluss"): see above on lb; (b) others (such as 'schlimm', 'der bitterste', 'too sharp', 'éperdue') may imply a condemnation of $\mu \alpha v i \alpha l$ and a causal connection with the preceding sentence. De Vries explicitly defends this view: he explains ógúтعpal as 'extra sharp', which he takes to be equivalent to 'smarting', but although ógús may be said of pains (e.g. N. l, 53 ógとíals ávíalol, II. 11, 268 ógॄ亢̃al óठúval), I do not know instances of ógús in itself meaning 'painful'. (3) gen. of origin: 'Sharp are the fits of madness wrought by unattainable longings' (Bury), 'From longings unachievable cometh madness passing fell' (Fennell), 'Too bitter are the pangs of madness after loves that are past attainment' (Lattimore), 'Loves beyond reach sting too sharply to madness' (Bowra), 'Unerfüllbare Gier ruft heftigeren Wahn nur hervor' (Werner). But (a) these translations, like most of the ones classed under 2 , neglect $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, so that they leave us in the dark about the question whether the connection is adversative or explanatory. If it is adversative, see on lb , if explanatory (motivating), it may be doubted whether the prospect of increasing insanity would be a sufficient incentive to aim at moderation; (b) for Lattimore's 'too bitter' see above on 2 b .

The above difficulties may be avoided by taking ógútepal in the sense of 'too violent' (see above on 22 óभunpótعpal), and $\varepsilon \rho \omega \dot{\rho} \tau \omega \nu$ as a partitive genitive: 'For mad passions whose violence exceeds the measure (advocated in the preceding sentence) belong to the domain of unrealizable


ing the idea of 'belonging to' cf. e.g. Pl. Euthyd. 277 c $5 \tau \tilde{v} \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha v o ́ v-$
 K.G. I, 372, Schw. II, 122-3. For $\delta$ é having explanatory (motivating) force see above on 19 סé.
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NOTES
*) Editions of the text, commentaries and translations will be referred to by author's name only.
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