2018

When Numerical Analysis Crosses Paths with Catalan and Generalized Motzkin Numbers

Paul W. Eloe

Catherine Kublik

When Numerical Analysis Crosses Paths with Catalan and Generalized Motzkin Numbers

Paul Eloe and Catherine Kublik
Department of Mathematics
University of Dayton
300 College Park
Dayton, OH 45469
USA

peloe1@udayton.edu
ckublik1@udayton.edu

Abstract

We study a linear doubly indexed sequence that contains the Catalan numbers and relates to a class of generalized Motzkin numbers. We obtain a closed form formula, a generating function and a nonlinear recursion relation for this sequence. We show that a finite difference scheme with compact stencil applied to a nonlinear differential operator acting on the Euclidean distance function is exact, and exploit this exactness to produce the nonlinear recursion relation. In particular, the nonlinear recurrence relation is obtained by using standard error analysis techniques from numerical analysis. This work shows a connection between numerical analysis and number theory, and illustrates an interesting occurrence of the Catalan and generalized Motzkin numbers in a context a priori void of combinatorial objects.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the doubly indexed sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0, \ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ defined by the recursion relation and initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_0^n = 1, & \forall \ n \geq 0; \\ \gamma_n^{2n-1} = 0, & \forall \ n \geq 1; \\ \gamma_k^n = \left(1 + \frac{2k}{n+2}\right) \gamma_k^{n-1} + \frac{2k-n-1}{n+2} \gamma_{k-1}^{n-1}, & \forall \ n \geq 2, 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

We observe that the recursion relation is linear in γ_k^n and that $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ is uniquely determined by (1).

In this paper, we show that the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ is related to the well-known Catalan and Motzkin numbers via its closed form formula, and show that $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ also satisfies a nonlinear recursion relation. We obtain the nonlinear recursion relation by using a finite difference scheme which is exact when applied to a specific differential operator acting on the Euclidean distance function.

In the western world, the first appearance of the Catalan numbers dates back to Euler around 1751. At that time Euler was considering the problem of counting triangulations of convex $n+2$-gons. With the help of Segner and Goldbach, Euler showed that for $n \geq 0$, the number of triangulations of a convex $n + 2$-gon is

$$C_n = \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!} = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}.$$

A major element in the proof was the recursion relation (also known as Segner's relation)

$$C_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k C_{n-k}, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

satisfied by C_n, the number of triangulations of an $n + 2$-gon. Segner proved the relation via a combinatorial argument. They also discovered the generating function for the Catalan numbers

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n x^n = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{x}, \quad |x| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

The Catalan number C_n is named after Catalan, a French and Belgian mathematician who studied this sequence and was the first to obtain what are now standard formulas

$$C_n = \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!} = \binom{2n}{n} - \binom{2n}{n-1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Many mathematicians have studied the Catalan numbers and there is now a very large literature on that topic. For example, in addition to the triangulation problem of Euler, there are now more than two hundred known combinatorial problems that are counted by the Catalan numbers. See Stanley [16] for this enumeration. There is also a very large literature on generalizations of the Catalan numbers. Some of the well-known generalizations of the Catalan numbers include the Fuss-Catalan numbers [7, 9, 10, 11, 15] and their generalizations [2, 8]. We finish by a remark. In 1730, Ming Antu, a Chinese scientist and mathematician wrote a book that included a number of trigonometric identities, some which involved the Catalan numbers. This was observed by J. J. Luo [12, 13] in 1988. This is the earliest known appearance of what we now call Catalan numbers.

The Motzkin numbers are connected to the Catalan numbers by

$$C_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} M_k, \qquad n \geq 0,$$

and are defined as

$$M_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} C_k, \tag{3}$$

for $n \geq 0$. The Motzkin numbers first appeared in the seminal article by Motzkin [14] in which Motzkin enumerated the number of partitions of $2n$ points on a circle into n pairs without crossings. Later, Donaghey and Shapiro [6] presented fourteen combinatorial objects enumerated by the Motzkin numbers. We also refer the reader to Aigner [1] for some of the properties of the Motzkin numbers and Bernhart [4] for their relation with the Catalan numbers. In related papers, Dattoli and co-authors [3, 5] have employed hybrid polynomials to study Motzkin numbers and their associated forms. In 2014, Sun [17] introduced a generalized Motzkin number $M_n(a, b)$ depending on two additional integer parameters a and b, defined as

$$M_n(a, b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} C_k b^k a^{n-2k}, \tag{4}$$

for $n \geq 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, Sun showed that $M_n(a, b)$ satisfies the linear recursion relation

$$\begin{cases} M_0(a, b) = 1, \\ M_1(a, b) = a, \\ (n+3)M_{n+1}(a, b) = b(2n+3)M_n(a, b) - (a^2 - 4b)nM_{n-1}(a, b), \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

which enabled him to obtain the generating function

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n(a, b)x^n = \frac{1 - ax - \sqrt{(1 - ax)^2 - 4bx^2}}{2bx^2}. \tag{6}$$

We refer the reader to Wang et al. [18] and Zhao et al. [19] for some of the properties of these generalized Motztkin numbers.

Throughout the literature, the development of the Catalan numbers, the Motzkin numbers and their generalizations were primarily motivated by the process of enumerating combinatorial objects. The motivation in this paper is different, to our knowledge new, and represents the primary contribution of this manuscript. We apply a finite difference scheme with compact stencil to a nonlinear differential operator acting on a function of two variables. When the function of two variables is the Euclidean distance function, the application of the finite difference algorithm is exact and the sequence (1) is generated in a corresponding

error analysis. To our knowledge, the observation of Catalan and Motzkin-like numbers in numerical analysis is new.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give results on rising polynomials and Catalan numbers that are used later on in the paper. In Section 3 we establish the relationship between the two-dimensional Euclidean distance function and the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$. We obtain the closed form formula (exhibiting the Catalan numbers) for the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ in Section 4 and derive its nonlinear recursion relation in Section 5. We finish in Section 6 by presenting the generating function for $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$, and conclude in Section 7.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we discuss two results that are needed to preserve the completeness of the paper. The first result is the binomial theorem for special polynomials, called rising polynomials or Pochhammer symbols. The second result is the recursion relation for the Catalan numbers.

Definition 1 (Rising polynomial). For $t, n \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the rising polynomial $t^{\overline{n}}$ as

$$t^{\overline{n}} = \frac{\Gamma(t+n)}{\Gamma(t)},$$

with the convention that if t is a nonpositive integer then $t^{\overline{n}} = 0$, and if $n = 0$ then $t^{\overline{0}} = 1$. If n is a positive integer then

$$t^{\overline{n}} = t(t+1)\cdots(t+n-1).$$

We note that $t^{\overline{n}}$ is also known as the Pochhammer symbol, denoted $(t)_n$. Also, just like the regular polynomial t^n, the rising polynomial $t^{\overline{n}}$ satisfies the binomial theorem.

Theorem 2 (Binomial theorem for rising polynomials). *Assume n denotes a nonnegative integer and let a, b be real. Then*

$$(a+b)^{\overline{n}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{\overline{k}} b^{\overline{n-k}}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. The proof is done by induction.

1. Consider the base case $n = 0$. Then $(a+b)^{\overline{0}} = 1$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{0} \binom{n}{k} a^{\overline{k}} b^{\overline{n-k}} = \binom{0}{0} a^{\overline{0}} b^{\overline{0}} = 1$.

4

2. Assume n is a nonnegative integer and assume (7) is true. Then

$$(a+b)^{\overline{n+1}} = (a+b+n)(a+b)^{\overline{n}} = (a+b+n)\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a^{\overline{k}}b^{\overline{n-k}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(a+k)a^{\overline{k}}b^{\overline{n-k}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a^{\overline{k}}(b+n-k)b^{\overline{n-k}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a^{\overline{k+1}}b^{\overline{n-k}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a^{\overline{k}}b^{\overline{n+1-k}}$$

$$= a^{\overline{n+1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{k}a^{\overline{k+1}}b^{\overline{n-k}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a^{\overline{k}}b^{\overline{n+1-k}} + b^{\overline{n+1}}$$

$$= a^{\overline{n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k-1}a^{\overline{k}}b^{\overline{n+1-k}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a^{\overline{k}}b^{\overline{n+1-k}} + b^{\overline{n+1}}$$

$$= a^{\overline{n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\binom{n}{k-1}+\binom{n}{k}\right)a^{\overline{k}}b^{\overline{n+1-k}} + b^{\overline{n+1}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{k}a^{\overline{k}}b^{\overline{n+1-k}}.$$

Thus (7) is true for $n+1$ and therefore for all $n \geq 0$.

$\square$

Theorem 3. *For $n \geq 0$, the n-th Catalan number is defined as*

$$C_n = \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!}.$$

Then $(C_n)_{n\geq 0}$ can be defined recursively as

$$\begin{cases} C_0 = 1, \\ C_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k C_{n-k}, & n \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Proof. We verify (8) by using the generating function for the Catalan numbers. Assume $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$ denotes a sequence of real numbers satisfying (3), namely

$$v_0 = 1, \quad v_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k v_{n-k}. \tag{9}$$

Note that $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is uniquely determined by the recursion (9).

5

Let

$$p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n x^n$$

be the generating function for the sequence $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$. Employ (9) to obtain

$$xp^2(x) = x\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n x^n\right)^2 = x\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k v_{n-k}\right)x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_{n+1}x^{n+1} = p(x) - 1.$$

Thus p satisfies the quadratic equation

$$xp^2(x) - p(x) + 1 = 0.$$

In particular,

$$p(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4x}}{2x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!}x^n, \quad |x| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus, since $(v_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is unique, we obtain that

$$v_n = \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!} = C_n,$$

and thus (8) holds. $\qquad\square$

3 Distance function and the sequence $\left(\gamma_k^n\right)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$

In this section we show that the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ naturally arises in the derivatives of the two-dimensional Euclidean distance function to a fixed point. Indeed, let $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We define $d : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ to be the Euclidean distance from any point $\mathbf{x} = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ to the point (x_0, y_0), namely

$$d(\mathbf{x}) = d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}.$$

Without loss of generality, we take $x_0 = y_0 = 0$ and consider the distance function to the origin

$$d(\mathbf{x}) = d(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}. \tag{10}$$

We define the quantities

$$X := x^2, \tag{11}$$

$$Y := y^2, \tag{12}$$

and

$$D := X + Y. \tag{13}$$

6

It follows that the distance d defined in (10) can be written as

$$d = D^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{14}$$

and that

$$D_x = 2x, \ D_y = 2y, \quad \text{and } D_{xx} = D_{yy} = 2. \tag{15}$$

Definition 4. For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define the homogeneous polynomials of degree m in X and Y, P_m^{2m+2} and P_m^{2m+3}, as

$$P_m^{2m+2}(X, Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \gamma_k^{2m} Y^k X^{m-k},$$

and

$$P_m^{2m+3}(X, Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \gamma_k^{2m+1} Y^k X^{m-k},$$

where $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0, \ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ is defined in (1).

Note that the two polynomials P_m^{2m+2} and P_m^{2m+3} can be defined by the single equation

$$P_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{n+2}(X, Y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_k^n Y^k X^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - k}.$$

for $n \geq 0$ and $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0, \ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ as defined in (1).

The following theorem establishes the connection between the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0, \ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ and the higher order derivatives of the distance function d defined in (10).

Theorem 5. *Let d be the distance function defined in (10). Then for $m \geq 0$, the partial derivatives of d with respect to x can be written as*

$$\frac{\partial^{(2m+2)} d}{\partial x^{2m+2}} = \frac{(2m+2)!}{2} Y D^{-\frac{4m+3}{2}} P_m^{2m+2}(X, Y), \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{(2m+3)} d}{\partial x^{2m+3}} = -\frac{(2m+3)!}{2} x Y D^{-\frac{4m+5}{2}} P_m^{2m+3}(X, Y), \tag{17}$$

where P_m^{2m+2} and P_m^{2m+3} are defined in Definition 4 and $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0, \ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ is defined in (1).
Similarly, the partial derivatives of d with respect to y can be written as

$$\frac{\partial^{(2m+2)} d}{\partial y^{2m+2}} = \frac{(2m+2)!}{2} X D^{-\frac{4m+3}{2}} P_m^{2m+2}(Y, X),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^{(2m+3)} d}{\partial y^{2m+3}} = -\frac{(2m+3)!}{2} y X D^{-\frac{4m+5}{2}} P_m^{2m+3}(Y, X).$$

7

Proof. The proof is done by induction.

First, we remark that since d is symmetric in x and y (and hence in X and Y), the derivatives of d with respect to y can be obtained from the derivatives of d with respect to x by simply interchanging the roles of x and y (and hence X and Y). Thus we only prove the formulas for the partial derivatives of d with respect to x.

1. Consider the base case $m = 0$.

$$d_{xx} = \left(D^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{xx} = \frac{1}{2}\left(D^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_x\right)_x = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}D^{-\frac{3}{2}}\underbrace{D_x^2}_{=4X} + D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\underbrace{D_{xx}}_{=2}\right)$$

$$= D^{-\frac{3}{2}}(D - X) = YD^{-\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{(2)!}{2}YD^{-\frac{3}{2}}\gamma_0^0 X^{1-1},$$

where $\gamma_0^0 = 1$.

$$d_{xxx} = \underbrace{Y_x}_{=0} D^{-\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{3}{2}YD^{-\frac{5}{2}}\underbrace{D_x}_{=2x} = -3xYD^{-\frac{5}{2}}$$

$$= -\frac{3!}{2}xYD^{-\frac{4(1)+1}{2}}\gamma_0^1 X^{1-1},$$

with $\gamma_0^1 = 1$.

2. For the induction hypothesis, assume (16) and (17) both hold for $m \geq 0$. Then for $m + 1 \geq 0$ we have

$$\frac{\partial^{(2m+4)}d}{\partial x^{2m+4}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial^{(2m+3)}d}{\partial x^{2m+3}}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{(2m+3)!}{2}Y\left(xD^{-\frac{4m+5}{2}}P_m^{2m+3}\right)_x$$

$$= -\frac{(2m+3)!}{2}Y\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(xD^{-\frac{4m+5}{2}}\right)P_m^{2m+3} + xD^{-\frac{4m+5}{2}}\left(P_m^{2m+3}\right)_x\right).$$

By using Equations (11), (12), (13), and (15) we can calculate the product rule above

8

as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(xD^{-\frac{4m+5}{2}}\right)P_m^{2m+3} + xD^{-\frac{4m+5}{2}}\left(P_m^{2m+3}\right)_x$$

$$= D^{-\frac{4m+7}{2}}\left(D - (4m+5)x^2\right)P_m^{2m+3}$$

$$+ xD^{-\frac{4m+7}{2}}D\sum_{k=0}^{m}\gamma_k^{2m+1}(m-k)Y^kX^{m-k-1}X_x$$

$$= D^{-\frac{4m+7}{2}}\left(X + Y - (4m+5)X\right)P_m^{2m+3}$$

$$+ 2X(X+Y)D^{-\frac{4m+7}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\gamma_k^{2m+1}(m-k)Y^kX^{m-k-1}$$

$$= D^{-\frac{4m+7}{2}}\mathcal{E},$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} = (Y - 4(m+1)X)P_m^{2m+3} + 2X(X+Y)\sum_{k=0}^{m}\gamma_k^{2m+1}(m-k)Y^kX^{m-1-k}.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{k=0}^{m}(1+2(m-k))\gamma_k^{2m+1}Y^{k+1}X^{m-k}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{m}(2(m-k) - 4(m+1))\gamma_k^{2m+1}Y^kX^{m+1-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m+1}(2m+3-2k)\gamma_{k-1}^{2m+1}Y^kX^{m+1-k} - 2\sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+2+m)\gamma_k^{2m+1}Y^kX^{m+1-k}$$

$$= \gamma_m^{2m+1}Y^{m+1} - 2(m+2)\gamma_0^{2m+1}X^{m+1}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left((2m+3-2k)\gamma_{k-1}^{2m+1} - 2(k+2+m)\gamma_k^{2m+1}\right)Y^kX^{m+1-k}.$$

Thus we can write

$$\frac{\partial^{(2m+4)}d}{\partial x^{2m+4}} = -\frac{(2m+3)!}{2}YD^{-\frac{4m+7}{2}}\mathcal{E}$$

$$= \frac{(2m+4)!}{2}YD^{-\frac{4m+7}{2}}\frac{-\mathcal{E}}{2m+4},$$

9

where

$$\frac{-\mathcal{E}}{2m+4} = \underbrace{\gamma_0^{2m+1}}_{=1} X^{m+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(2\frac{k+2+m}{2m+4}\gamma_k^{2m+1} - \frac{2m+3-2k}{2m+4}\gamma_{k-1}^{2m+1} \right) Y^k X^{m+1-k}$$

$$- \frac{\gamma_m^{2m+1}}{2m+4}Y^{m+1}$$

$$= X^{m+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(\left(1 + \frac{2k}{2m+4}\right)\gamma_k^{2m+1} + \frac{2k-2m-3}{2m+4}\gamma_{k-1}^{2m+1} \right) Y^k X^{m+1-k}$$

$$- \frac{\gamma_m^{2m+1}}{2m+4}Y^{m+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \gamma_k^{2m+2}Y^k X^{m+1-k},$$

where for $m \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_0^{2m+2} = 1, \\ \gamma_{m+1}^{2m+2} = -\frac{1}{2m+4}\gamma_m^{2m+1}, \\ \gamma_k^{2m+2} = \left(1 + \frac{2k}{2m+4}\right)\gamma_k^{2m+1} + \frac{2k-2m-3}{2m+4}\gamma_{k-1}^{2m+1}, & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m. \end{cases}$$

By definition, $\gamma_{m+1}^{2m+1} = 0$ for any $m \geq 0$; thus

$$\gamma_k^{2m+2} = \left(1 + \frac{2k}{2m+4}\right)\gamma_k^{2m+1} + \frac{2k-2m-3}{2m+4}\gamma_{k-1}^{2m+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m, \qquad (18)$$

is still true for $k = m+1$ and reduces to

$$\gamma_{m+1}^{2m+2} = -\frac{1}{2m+4}\gamma_m^{2m+1}.$$

Recognizing (18) as the recursion relation for the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ for an even index n, it follows that (16) is true for $m+1$ and thus is true for all $m \geq 0$.

Similarly, we can show Equation (17) for $m+1 \geq 0$, namely

$$\frac{\partial^{(2m+5)}d}{\partial x^{2m+5}} = \frac{(2m+5)!}{2}YxD^{-\frac{4m+9}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \gamma_k^{2m+3}Y^k X^{m+1-k}$$

with for $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_0^{2m+3} = 1, \\ \gamma_k^{2m+3} = \left((1 + \frac{2k}{2m+3})\gamma_k^{2m+2} + \frac{2k-2m-4}{2m+3}\gamma_{k-1}^{2m+2}\right), & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m+1, \end{cases} \qquad (19)$$

Recognizing the recursion relation for the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ for an odd index n, it follows that (17) is true for $m+1$ and thus is true for all $m \geq 0$.

$\square$

We will exploit the relationship between the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ and the derivatives of the distance function to prove that $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ also satisfies a nonlinear recursion relation. This will be done by employing a finite difference scheme on $\nabla\cdot(d\nabla d)$, where d is the Euclidean distance function defined in (10).

4   Closed form formula for $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$

In this section, we obtain a closed form formula for the general term of the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$.

Theorem 6. *Let* $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ *be defined by the recursion relation and initial conditions given in* (1). *Then the general term of the sequence* $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ *is*

$$\gamma_k^n = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \binom{n}{2k} C_k,$$

where $C_k = \dfrac{(2k)!}{k!(k+1)!} = \dfrac{1}{k+1}\dbinom{2k}{k}$ *for* $k\geq 0$, *is the k-th Catalan number.*

Remark 7. Observe that $n\geq 0, 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor$ is equivalent to $k\geq 0, n\geq 2k$. Thus, the binomial coefficient $\dbinom{n}{2k}$ represents the number of ways $2k$ objects (and $2k\leq n$) can be taken from a set of n objects. In the case $2k>n$, we define $\dbinom{n}{2k}$ to be 0.

Remark 8. Note that the term $\dbinom{n}{2k}C_k$ can be recognized as the summand of the Motzkin number M_n defined in (3).

Proof. Define

$$u_k^n := \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \binom{n}{2k} C_k, \quad n\geq 0, 0\leq k\leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor.$$

We will show that the sequence $(u_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ coincides with the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$.

1. Let's first calculate u_0^n for all $n\geq 0$ and u_n^{2n-1} for all $n\geq 1$.

   For $n\geq 0$, we have

   $$u_0^n = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^0 \binom{n}{0} C_0 = 1.$$

11

For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$u_n^{2n-1} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^n \underbrace{\binom{2n-1}{2n}}_{=0} C_n = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{cases} u_0^n = 1, & \forall\, n \geq 0; \\ u_n^{2n-1} = 0, & \forall\, n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

2. Suppose $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Then we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{2k}{n+2}\right) u_k^{n-1} + \frac{2k-n-1}{n+2} u_{k-1}^{n-1}$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \left(\frac{n+2+2k}{n+2}\binom{n-1}{2k} C_k + 4\frac{n+1-2k}{n+2}\binom{n-1}{2k-2} C_{k-1}\right).$$

Using the definition of the Catalan numbers we can write

$$C_{k-1} = \frac{k+1}{2(2k-1)} C_k, \ \ k \geq 1.$$

Similarly, using the definition of the binomial coefficients, we can write

$$\binom{n-1}{2k} = \frac{n-2k}{n}\binom{n}{2k}, \ \ n \geq 2, 1 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

and

$$\binom{n-1}{2k-2} = \frac{2k(2k-1)}{n(n+1-2k)}\binom{n}{2k}, \ \ n \geq 2, 1 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Consequently,

$$\left(1 + \frac{2k}{n+2}\right) u_k^{n-1} + \frac{2k-n-1}{n+2} u_{k-1}^{n-1}$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \left(\frac{n+2+2k}{n+2}\frac{n-2k}{n}\binom{n}{2k} C_k + 4\frac{n+1-2k}{n+2}\frac{2k(2k-1)}{n(n+1-2k)}\binom{n}{2k}\frac{k+1}{2(2k-1)} C_k\right)$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \binom{n}{2k} C_k \underbrace{\frac{(n+2-2k)(n-2k)+4k(k+1)}{n(n+2)}}_{=1}$$
$$= \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \binom{n}{2k} C_k = u_k^n.$$

Remark 9. The calculations above include the case $n = 2m$ and $k = m$. Note that in this case, we have $\binom{n-1}{2k} = \binom{2m-1}{2m} = 0$, but the calculations above still hold in that case since

$$\left(1 + \frac{2k}{n+2}\right) u_k^{n-1} + \frac{2k-n-1}{n+2} u_{k-1}^{n-1} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \binom{n}{2k} C_k \frac{4k(k+1)}{n(n+2)}$$

$$= \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \binom{n}{2k} C_k \frac{4m(m+1)}{2m(2m+2)}$$

$$= \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \binom{n}{2k} C_k.$$

This shows that the sequence $(u_k^n)_{n \geq 0,\ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_0^n = 1, & \forall\, n \geq 0; \\ u_n^{2n-1} = 0, & \forall\, n \geq 1; \\ u_k^n = \left(1 + \frac{2k}{n+2}\right) \gamma_k^{n-1} + \frac{2k-n-1}{n+2} \gamma_{k-1}^{n-1}, & \forall\, n \geq 2, 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \end{cases}$$

which is the recursion and initial conditions for $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0,\ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ defined by (1). Since (1) defines a unique sequence, it follows that the sequence $(u_k^n)_{n \geq 0,\ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ is the same as the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0,\ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$. Thus for all $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,

$$\gamma_k^n = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \binom{n}{2k} C_k.$$

$\square$

5 Nonlinear recursion relation for $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0,\ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$

In this section we obtain a nonlinear recursion relation for the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0,\ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ by using its relationship with the partial derivatives of the two-dimensional distance function. Specifically, we introduce a numerical scheme and apply it to $\nabla \cdot (d \nabla d)$, where d is the distance function defined in (10). We will show that the scheme is exact on $(\nabla \cdot (d \nabla d))(x, y)$ (as long as $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$) which will enable us to obtain the nonlinear recursion relation for $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0,\ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

5.1 Two-dimensional finite difference scheme

In this section we introduce a finite difference scheme for discretizing the differential operator $\nabla \cdot (f \nabla f)$ where f is a C^∞ function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ except possibly at finitely many points in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let

$\alpha < \beta$ and assume $(0,0) \notin [\alpha, \beta]^2$. Assume $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the uniform discretization of $[\alpha, \beta]^2$, where $h = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{N}$ is the grid size in each of the coordinate directions. Then for a grid point (x_i, y_j), $0 \le i, j \le N$, and a C^∞ function f defined on $[\alpha, \beta]^2$, we define the forward difference operator in x as

$$D^x_+ (f_{i,j}) := \frac{f(x_{i+1}, y_j) - f(x_i, y_j)}{h} = \frac{f(x_i + h, y_j) - f(x_i, y_j)}{h},$$

and the forward difference operator in y as

$$D^y_+ (f_{i,j}) := \frac{f(x_i, y_{j+1}) - f(x_i, y_j)}{h} = \frac{f(x_i, y_j + h) - f(x_i, y_j)}{h}.$$

Similarly, we define the backward difference operator in x as

$$D^x_- (f_{i,j}) := \frac{f(x_i, y_j) - f(x_{i-1}, y_j)}{h} = \frac{f(x_i, y_j) - f(x_i - h, y_j)}{h},$$

and the backward difference operator in y as

$$D^x_- (f_{i,j}) := \frac{f(x_i, y_j) - f(x_i, y_{j-1})}{h} = \frac{f(x_i, y_j) - f(x_i, y_j - h)}{h}.$$

We now define

$$f_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} := \frac{1}{2} \left(f_{i-1,j} + f_{i,j} \right),$$

and

$$f_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}} := \frac{1}{2} \left(f_{i,j} + f_{i,j-1} \right),$$

and construct the numerical scheme

$$D^x_+ \left(f_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D^x_- (f_{i,j}) \right) + D^y_+ \left(f_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}} D^y_- (f_{i,j}) \right). \tag{20}$$

This scheme (20) is a discretized version of $\nabla \cdot (f \nabla f)$. We note that this scheme uses a compact stencil in the sense that the stencil used is the smallest stencil on which we can construct a second order convergent discretization scheme for the differential operator $\nabla \cdot (f \nabla f)$. Indeed, for a smooth function f, we can show that this numerical scheme is second order. However, with the choice $f = d$, this discretization coincides with its non-discretized version $\nabla \cdot (d \nabla d)$. Now in the case of the distance function, the scalar $(\nabla \cdot (d \nabla d))(x, y)$ can be calculated to be 2 at every point $(x, y) \ne (0, 0)$.

Theorem 10. *Let d be the distance function defined in (10). Choose $[\alpha, \beta]^2$ such that $(0, 0) \notin [\alpha, \beta]^2$ and discretize $[\alpha, \beta]^2$ uniformly with grid spacing $h = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{N}$ in each coordinate direction. Then*

$$D^x_+ \left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D^x_- (d_{i,j}) \right) + D^y_+ \left(d_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}} D^y_- (d_{i,j}) \right) = 2.$$

Proof. We prove that $D_+^x \left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D_-^x (d_{i,j}) \right) = 1$. Since the distance function d and the numerical scheme are symmetric in x and y, we can obtain $D_+^y \left(d_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}} D_-^y (d_{i,j}) \right) = 1$ by interchanging the roles of x and y.

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_+^x \left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D_-^x (d_{i,j}) \right) &= D_+^x \left(\frac{d_{i,j} + d_{i-1,j}}{2} \frac{d_{i,j} - d_{i-1,j}}{h} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2h} D_+^x \left(d_{i,j}^2 - d_{i-1,j}^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2h^2} \left(d_{i+1,j}^2 - d_{i,j}^2 - d_{i,j}^2 + d_{i-1,j}^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{d_{i+1,j}^2 - 2d_{i,j}^2 + d_{i-1,j}^2}{2h^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now recall that

$$
d_{i,j}^2 = x_i^2 + y_j^2,
$$
$$
d_{i+1,j}^2 = x_{i+1}^2 + y_j^2 = (x_i + h)^2 + y_j^2
$$

and

$$
d_{i-1,j}^2 = x_{i-1}^2 + y_j^2 = (x_i - h)^2 + y_j^2.
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i+1,j}^2 - 2d_{i,j}^2 + d_{i-1,j}^2 &= (x_i + h)^2 + y_j^2 - 2x_i^2 - 2y_j^2 + (x_i - h)^2 + y_j^2 \\
&= x_i^2 - 2hx_i + h^2 - 2x_i^2 + x_i^2 - 2hx_i + h^2 \\
&= 2h^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
D_+^x \left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D_-^x (d_{i,j}) \right) = \frac{d_{i+1,j}^2 - 2d_{i,j}^2 + d_{i-1,j}^2}{2h^2} = 1.
$$

$\square$

Remark 11. Since the proof of Theorem 10 is done coordinate-wise, it follows that the result still holds if we use a different grid spacing in each coordinate direction. In other words, as long as the discretization is uniform in each coordinate direction, it is not necessary to take the same grid spacing.

5.2 Nonlinear recursion relation

In this section, we obtain the nonlinear recursion relation for $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0, \ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

Theorem 12. *Let* $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0, \ 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ *be defined by the recursion relation and initial conditions given in* (1). *Then the sequence satisfies the nonlinear recursion relation*

$$
\begin{cases}
\gamma_0^n = 1, & \forall \, n \geq 0; \\
\gamma_n^{2n-1} = 0, & \forall \, n \geq 1; \\
\gamma_k^n = \gamma_k^{n-1} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=2i}^{n+2(i-k)} \gamma_i^j \gamma_{k-i-1}^{n-2-j}, & \forall \, n \geq 2, 1 \leq k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.
\end{cases}
\tag{21}
$$

For the sake of clarity, we shall first prove several lemmas, which will then be employed to obtain the result of Theorem 12.

Let's first start with a brief discussion of our method. The basic idea is very simple and comes from standard numerical analysis techniques. In numerical analysis, it is important to obtain the convergence rate of a specific numerical scheme, namely we would like to know how fast the finite difference approximation converges to the true solution as the grid size h decreases to 0. In other words, we want to obtain a relation of the type

$$
\text{Finite Difference Scheme} = \text{True Solution} + O(h^p),
\tag{22}
$$

where h is the grid spacing in each coordinate direction (assumed to be the same in each coordinate direction here) and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. p is called the order of convergence of the scheme. The higher p is, the more accurate the scheme is, since it converges faster to the true solution. To obtain such a relation, the standard procedure in numerical analysis is to use Taylor expansion for the finite difference scheme.

We do the same with our finite difference scheme and note that in our case (22) is valid for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Using a Taylor expansion, we write the finite difference scheme as a power series in h, knowing that all the coefficients are zero except for the constant term (since the scheme is exact by Theorem 10). Using the general term for the sequence of coefficients and setting it to zero will give us the nonlinear recursion.

We note that it suffices to consider $D_+^x \left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D_-^x(d_{i,j}) \right)$ to obtain the nonlinear recursion relation. Thus from now on, we only consider the finite difference in x

$$
D_+^x \left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D_-^x(d_{i,j}) \right).
$$

since an analysis of $D_+^y \left(f_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}} D_-^y(f_{i,j}) \right)$ generates the same nonlinear recursion relation.

For the sake of clarity, we introduce some notation.

Definition 13. For d defined in (10), we define the sequences of functions $(p_k(x,y))_{k \geq 0}$ and $(q_k(x,y))_{k \geq 0}$ as

$$
p_k(x,y) := \begin{cases}
d, & \text{if } k = 0; \\
\frac{(-1)^k}{2k!} \frac{\partial^{(k)} d}{\partial x^k}, & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N},
\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
q_k(x,y) := \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \frac{\partial^{(k+1)} d}{\partial x^{k+1}}, \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$

16

Note that we dropped the dependence on x and y in d above. From now on, if the dependence on x and y is clear from context we will not write it.

Definition 14. We define, for an integer $i \geq 0$,

$$S_i := \sum_{\ell=0}^{i} p_\ell q_{i-\ell},$$

where $(p_k(x,y))_{k\geq 0}$ and $(q_k(x,y))_{k\geq 0}$ are defined in Definition 13.

We are now ready to state our first lemma.

Lemma 15. *Let d be the distance function defined in* (10). *Then we have*

$$D_+^x \left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D_-^x(d_{i,j}) \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n h^n, \tag{23}$$

where

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)!} \frac{\partial^{(n-k+1)} S_k}{\partial x^{n-k+1}}, \tag{24}$$

with S_k defined in Definition 14.

Proof. Let (x_i, y_j) be any point in $[\alpha, \beta]^2$ such that $(0,0) \notin [\alpha, \beta]^2$. Since d is C^∞ in a neighborhood of $(x_i, y_j) \neq (0,0)$, we can expand $D_+^x \left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D_-^x(d_{i,j}) \right)$ with Taylor series expansions. For simplicity in the exposition, we drop the argument (x_i, y_j) from the distance function d. It is thus assumed that the distance function d and any of its derivatives are evaluated at the grid node (x_i, y_j).

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_-^x(d_{i,j}) &= \frac{d_{i,j} - d_{i-1,j}}{h} = \frac{d(x_i, y_j) - d(x_i - h, y_j)}{h} \\
&= \frac{1}{h} \left(d(x_i, y_j) - \left(d(x_i, y_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{(k)} d}{\partial x^k} (-1)^k h^k \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} h^{k-1}}{k!} \frac{\partial^{(k)} d}{\partial x^k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \frac{\partial^{(k+1)} d}{\partial x^{k+1}} h^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k h^k,
\end{aligned}
$$

17

by Definition 13. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(d_{i,j} + d_{i-1,j}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(d_{i,j} + d_{i,j} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k h^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^{(k)} d}{\partial x^k}(x_i, y_j)\right) \\
&= d_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k h^k}{k!} \frac{\partial^{(k)} d}{\partial x^k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k h^k,
\end{aligned}
$$

by Definition 13 again. Applying the Cauchy product, we obtain

$$
d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D^x_-(d) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} p_\ell q_{k-\ell}\right) h^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k h^k,
$$

using Definition 14. Now since the Taylor series expansion of the forward difference D^x_+ of a function f at (x_i, j_j) is

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \frac{\partial^{(m+1)} f}{\partial x^{m+1}} h^m,
$$

we can write

$$
D^x_+\left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D^x_-(d)\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{(m+1)} S_k}{\partial x^{m+1}} h^{k+m}.
$$

Changing variables in the above sum, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^x_+\left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D^x_-(d)\right) &= \sum_{m+k=n, n\geq 0} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \frac{\partial^{(m+1)} S_k}{\partial x^{m+1}} h^n \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)!} \frac{\partial^{(n-k+1)} S_k}{\partial x^{n-k+1}}}_{=a_n} h^n \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n h^n.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$

Lemma 16. *We have*

$$
p_{2m} = \begin{cases} D^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } m = 0; \\ \frac{1}{4} Y D^{-\frac{4m-1}{2}} P^{2m}_{m-1}(X, Y), & \text{if } m \geq 1. \end{cases}
$$

$$p_{2m+1} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}D^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, & \text{if } m = 0; \\ \frac{1}{4}xYD^{-\frac{4m+1}{2}}P_{m-1}^{2m+1}(X,Y), & \text{if } m \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$q_{2m} = \begin{cases} D^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, & \text{if } m = 0; \\ -\frac{1}{2}xYD^{-\frac{4m+1}{2}}P_{m-1}^{2m+1}(X,Y), & \text{if } m \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$q_{2m-1} = -\frac{1}{2}YD^{-\frac{4m-1}{2}}P_{m-1}^{2m}(X,Y), \text{ if } m \geq 1.$$

Proof. These relations are consequences of combining Definition 13 and the result of Theorem 5.

$\square$

Lemma 17. *For p, q defined in Definition 13, we have*

$$p_{2k}q_{2m-2k} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}xYD^{-2m}P_{m-1}^{2m+1}, & k = 0, m \geq 1; \\ -\frac{1}{2}xYD^{-2m}\left(\frac{1}{4}YP_{k-1}^{2k}P_{m-k-1}^{2m-2k+1}\right), & k \geq 1, m \geq k+1. \end{cases}$$

$$p_{2k+1}q_{2m-2k-1} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}xYD^{-2m}\left(-\frac{1}{2}P_{m-1}^{2m}\right), & k = 0, m \geq 1; \\ -\frac{1}{2}xYD^{-2m}\left(\frac{1}{4}YP_{k-1}^{2k+1}P_{m-k-1}^{2m-2k}\right), & k \geq 1, m \geq k+1. \end{cases}$$

$$p_{2k}q_{2m+1-2k} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}YD^{-(2m+1)}P_{m}^{2m+2}, & k = 0, m \geq 0; \\ -\frac{1}{2}YD^{-(2m+1)}\left(\frac{1}{4}YP_{k-1}^{2k}P_{m-k}^{2(m-k+1)}\right), & k \geq 1, m \geq k. \end{cases}$$

$$p_{2k+1}q_{2m-2k} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}YD^{-(2m+1)}\left(-\frac{1}{2}XP_{m-1}^{2m+1}\right), & k = 0, m \geq 1; \\ -\frac{1}{2}YD^{-(2m+1)}\left(\frac{1}{4}XYP_{k-1}^{2k+1}P_{m-k-1}^{2(m-k)+1}\right), & k \geq 1, m \geq k+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. These relations are consequences of Lemma 16.

$\square$

Lemma 18. *For $\ell \geq 1, k \geq \ell$, we have*

$$p_\ell q_{k-\ell} = p_{k-\ell+1}q_{\ell-1}. \tag{25}$$

Proof. Suppose $\ell \geq 1, k \geq \ell$. Then

$$p_\ell q_{k-\ell} = \frac{(-1)^\ell}{2\ell!}\frac{\partial^{(\ell)}d}{\partial x^\ell}\frac{(-1)^{(k-\ell)}}{(k-\ell+1)!}\frac{\partial^{(k-\ell+1)}d}{\partial x^{k-\ell+1}}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^k}{2\ell!(k-\ell+1)!}\frac{\partial^{(\ell)}d}{\partial x^\ell}\frac{\partial^{(k-\ell+1)}d}{\partial x^{k-\ell+1}}.$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{k-\ell+1} q_{\ell-1} &= \frac{(-1)^{k-\ell+1}}{2(k-\ell+1)!} \frac{\partial^{(k-\ell+1)} d}{\partial x^{k-\ell+1}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell!} \frac{\partial^\ell d}{\partial x^\ell} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^k}{2\ell!(k-\ell+1)!} \frac{\partial^\ell d}{\partial x^\ell} \frac{\partial^{(k-\ell+1)} d}{\partial x^{k-\ell+1}} \\
&= p_\ell q_{k-\ell}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$

Lemma 19. *For $m \geq 0$,*

$$
S_{2m+2} = \left(-\frac{1}{2} xY D^{-(2m+2)} \right) \mathcal{A}_m,
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_m$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m in X and Y. Similarly for $m \geq 0$,

$$
S_{2m+3} = \left(-\frac{1}{2} Y D^{-(2m+3)} \right) \mathcal{B}_{m+1},
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{m+1}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m+1$ in X and Y. In addition, we have the following:

- *The coefficient of X^m in $\mathcal{A}_m$ is*
$$
\gamma_0^{2m+1} - \gamma_0^{2m}.
$$

- *The coefficient of $Y^n X^{m-n}$ in $\mathcal{A}_m$, for $1 \leq n \leq m$ is*
$$
\gamma_n^{2m+1} - \gamma_n^{2m} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2k}^{2m+1+2(k-n)} \gamma_k^j \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-1-j}.
$$

- *The coefficient of X^{m+1} in $\mathcal{B}_{m+1}$ is*
$$
\gamma_0^{2m+2} - \gamma_0^{2m+1}.
$$

- *The coefficient of $Y^n X^{m+1-n}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{m+1}$, for $1 \leq n \leq m$ is*
$$
\gamma_n^{2m+2} - \gamma_n^{2m+1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2k}^{2m+2+2(k-n)} \gamma_k^j \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-j}.
$$

- *The coefficient of Y^{m+1} in $\mathcal{B}_{m+1}$ is*
$$
\gamma_{m+1}^{2m+2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \gamma_k^{2k} \gamma_{m-k}^{2(m-k)}.
$$

20

Proof. Let $m \geq 0$. We shall show the proof for S_{2m+3}. The argument is similar (and slightly simpler) for S_{2m+2}.

Using Definition 14, the symmetry stated in Lemma 18 and the result of Lemma 17, we can write

$$
S_{2m+3} = p_0 q_{2m+3} + 2p_1 q_{2m+2} + \sum_{\ell=2}^{2m+2} p_\ell q_{2m+3-\ell}
$$

$$
= p_0 q_{2m+3} + 2p_1 q_{2m+2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} p_{2j} q_{2m+3-2j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{2j+1} q_{2m+2-2j}
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{-1}{2} Y D^{-(2m+3)} \right) \mathcal{B}_{m+1},
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{B}_{m+1} = \left(P_{m+1}^{2m+4} - X P_m^{2m+3} + \frac{Y}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} P_{j-1}^{2j} P_{m+1-j}^{2m-2j+4} + \frac{XY}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{j-1}^{2j+1} P_{m-j}^{2m-2j+3} \right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{n=0}^{m+1} \gamma_n^{2m+2} Y^n X^{m+1-n} - X \sum_{n=0}^{m} \gamma_n^{2m+1} Y^n X^{m-n}
$$

$$
+ \frac{Y}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \gamma_k^{2j-2} Y^k X^{j-1-k} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m+1-j} \gamma_i^{2m-2j+2} Y^i X^{m+1-j-i} \right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{XY}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \gamma_k^{2j-1} Y^k X^{j-1-k} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-j} \gamma_i^{2m-2j+1} Y^i X^{m-j-i} \right).
$$

21

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{m+1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{m+1} \gamma_n^{2m+2} Y^n X^{m+1-n} - X \sum_{n=0}^{m} \gamma_n^{2m+1} Y^n X^{m-n} \\
&\quad + \frac{Y}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{j-1+m+1-j} \left(\sum_{k=\max(0,n-m+j-1)}^{\min(n,j-1)} \gamma_k^{2j-2} \gamma_{n-k}^{2m-2j+2} X^{j-1-k} X^{m+1-j-n+k} \right) Y^n \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{XY}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{j-1+m-j} \left(\sum_{k=\max(0,n-m+j)}^{\min(n,j-1)} \gamma_k^{2j-1} \gamma_{n-k}^{2m-2j+1} X^{j-1-k} X^{m-j-n+k} \right) Y^n \right) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{m+1} \gamma_n^{2m+2} Y^n X^{m+1-n} - X \sum_{n=0}^{m} \gamma_n^{2m+1} Y^n X^{m-n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \sum_{n=0}^{m} \left(\sum_{k=\max(0,n-m+j-1)}^{\min(n,j-1)} \gamma_k^{2j-2} \gamma_{n-k}^{2m-(2j-2)} \right) Y^{n+1} X^{m-n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{k=\max(0,n-m+j)}^{\min(n,j-1)} \gamma_k^{2j-1} \gamma_{n-k}^{2m-(2j-1)} \right) Y^{n+1} X^{m-n} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{m+1} \gamma_n^{2m+2} Y^n X^{m-n+1} - \sum_{n=0}^{m} \gamma_n^{2m+1} Y^n X^{m-n+1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \left(\sum_{k=\max(0,n-m+j-2)}^{\min(n-1,j-1)} \gamma_k^{2j-2} \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-(2j-2)} \right) Y^n X^{m-n+1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{k=\max(0,n-m+j-1)}^{\min(n-1,j-1)} \gamma_k^{2j-1} \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-(2j-1)} \right) Y^n X^{m-n+1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the coefficient of X^{m+1} in $\mathcal{B}_{m+1}$ is

$$
\gamma_0^{2m+2} - \gamma_0^{2m+1}.
$$

Also, the coefficient of Y^{m+1} in $\mathcal{B}_{m+1}$ $(n = m+1)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_{m+1}^{2m+2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \sum_{k=\max(0,j-1)=j-1}^{\min(m,j-1)=j-1} \gamma_k^{2j-2} \gamma_{m-k}^{2m-(2j-2)} \\
&= \gamma_{m+1}^{2m+2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \gamma_{j-1}^{2j-2} \gamma_{m-j+1}^{2m-(2j-2)} \\
&= \gamma_{m+1}^{2m+2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \gamma_j^{2j} \gamma_{m-j}^{2(m-j)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $1 \leq n \leq m$, the coefficient of $Y^n X^{m+1-n}$ is

$$\gamma_n^{2m+2} - \gamma_n^{2m+1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \left(\sum_{k=\max(0,n-m+j-2)}^{\min(n-1,j-1)} \gamma_k^{2j-2} \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-(2j-2)} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{k=\max(0,n-m+j-1)}^{\min(n-1,j-1)} \gamma_k^{2j-1} \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-(2j-1)} \right)$$
$$= \gamma_n^{2m+2} - \gamma_n^{2m+1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=0}^{2m} \sum_{k=\max(0,n-m-1+\frac{r}{2})}^{\min(n-1,\frac{r}{2})} \gamma_k^r \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-r}$$
$$= \gamma_n^{2m+2} - \gamma_n^{2m+1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{r=2k}^{2m+2+2(k-n)} \gamma_k^r \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-r}.$$

$\square$

Lemma 20. *Let* $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$ *be defined as in Definition 14. Then*

$$\begin{cases} S_0 = x, \\ S_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \\ S_n = 0, \quad n \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

Proof. We start with S_0 and S_1 and obtain the result by a direct calculation. We then show that $S_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$ by induction.

We calculate S_0 using the definitions of $(p_k(x,y))_{k \geq 0}$ and $(q_k(x,y))_{k \geq 0}$ given in Definition 13 and obtain

$$S_0 = p_0 q_0 = d \frac{\partial d}{\partial x} = D^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{x}{D^{\frac{1}{2}}} = x. \tag{27}$$

For S_1 we use the results of Lemmas 16 and 17 to write

$$S_1 = p_0 q_1 + p_1 q_0 = -\frac{1}{2} Y D^{-1} \underbrace{P_0^2}_{=\gamma_0^0 = 1} - \frac{1}{2} D^{-\frac{1}{2}} x D^{-\frac{1}{2}} x$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} D^{-1} \underbrace{(Y + X)}_{=D} = -\frac{1}{2}. \tag{28}$$

The remainder of the proof focuses on S_n, for $n \geq 2$. Combining the results of Theorem 10 and Lemma 15, we can write

$$D_+^x \left(d_{i-\frac{1}{2},j} D_-^x (d_{i,j}) \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n h^n = 2,$$

23

where $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is given in Equation (24). It follows that

$$
\begin{cases}
a_0 = 2, \\
a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)!} \frac{\partial^{(n-k+1)} S_k}{\partial x^{n-k+1}} = 0, \quad n \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\tag{29}
$$

We now show by induction that Equation (29) implies $S_n = 0$, for all $n \geq 2$.

1. First consider the base cases $n = 2$ and $n = 3$.

   - For $n = 2$ we have

     $$
     a_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{1}{(3-k)!} \frac{\partial^{(3-k)} S_k}{\partial x^{3-k}} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial^3 S_0}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 S_1}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial x}.
     $$

     Since S_0 is a degree 1 polynomial in x and S_1 is constant by (27) and (28), it follows that $\dfrac{\partial^3 S_0}{\partial x^3} = \dfrac{\partial^2 S_1}{\partial x^2} = 0$. Consequently

     $$
     a_2 = \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial x}.
     $$

     Additionally, since $a_2 = 0$ by Equation (29), it follows that

     $$
     \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial x} = 0.
     $$

     Hence S_2 is constant in x. Furthermore, using Lemma 19 we know that

     $$
     S_2 = -\frac{1}{2} x Y D^{-2} \mathcal{A},
     $$

     where $\mathcal{A}$ is constant. Note that $-\frac{1}{2} x Y D^{-2}$ is a known non-constant and non-zero rational function of x where the numerator is a polynomial of degree 1 in x and the denominator a polynomial of degree 4 in x. Since S_2 is independent of x, it follows that necessarily $\mathcal{A} = 0$. Thus

     $$
     S_2 = 0.
     $$

   - For $n = 3$ we have

     $$
     a_3 = \sum_{k=0}^{3} \frac{1}{(4-k)!} \frac{\partial^{(4-k)} S_k}{\partial x^{4-k}} = \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial^4 S_0}{\partial x^4} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial^3 S_1}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 S_2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial S_3}{\partial x} = 0.
     $$

     Since S_1 is a polynomial of degree 1, S_0 is constant and $S_2 = 0$, we have

     $$
     a_3 = \frac{\partial S_3}{\partial x} = 0.
     $$

24

Thus S_3 is constant in x. Furthermore, using Lemma 19 we know that

$$S_3 = -\frac{1}{2}YD^{-3}\mathcal{B},$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is a polynomial of degree 2 in x. Again we observe that $-\frac{1}{2}YD^{-3}$ is a known non-constant and non-zero rational function of x where the numerator is constant in x and the denominator a polynomial of degree 6 in x. Since S_3 is independent of x it follows that necessarily $\mathcal{B} = 0$. Hence

$$S_3 = 0.$$

2. For the induction hypothesis, we let $n \geq 2$ and assume that $S_k = 0$ for all $2 \leq k \leq n$. Then we have

$$a_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{1}{(n-k+2)!} \frac{\partial^{(n-k+2)}S_k}{\partial x^{n-k+2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+2)!}\frac{\partial^{n+2}S_0}{\partial x^{n+2}} + \frac{1}{(n+1)!}\frac{\partial^{n+1}S_1}{\partial x^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{n!}\frac{\partial^n S_2}{\partial x^n} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2 S_n}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial S_{n+1}}{\partial x}.$$

Since $n \geq 2$, it follows that $n+2 \geq 4$ and $n+1 \geq 3$. Consequently, since S_0 is a degree 1 polynomial in x and S_1 is constant, we have

$$\frac{\partial^{n+2}S_0}{\partial x^{n+2}} = \frac{\partial^{n+1}S_1}{\partial x^{n+1}} = 0.$$

Additionally, using the induction hypothesis and Equation (29), we obtain

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{\partial S_{n+1}}{\partial x} = 0.$$

Thus S_{n+1} is constant in x. Using the result of Lemma 19, we see that S_{n+1} can be expressed as a product of a fixed non-constant and non-zero rational function of x and a polynomial in x. (The expression of the rational function and the degree of the polynomial will vary depending on whether n is even or odd). In any case, since S_{n+1} is independent of x it follows that the polynomial in S_{n+1} needs to be zero. Hence

$$S_{n+1} = 0.$$

Since $S_2 = S_3 = 0$ it follows that $S_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$.

$\square$

Proof. Proof of Theorem 12.

Let $(\gamma_k^n)_{n \geq 0, \, 0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ be defined by (1). Combining Lemmas 19 and 20, we obtain for $m \geq 0$

$$S_{2m+2} = S_{2m+3} = 0.$$

Thus

$$S_{2m+2} = \left(-\frac{1}{2} x Y D^{-(2m+2)} \right) \mathcal{A}_m = 0,$$

and $\mathcal{A}_m = 0$ for $m \geq 0$ (proved in the proof of Lemma 20).

Similarly,

$$S_{2m+3} = \left(-\frac{1}{2} Y D^{-(2m+3)} \right) \mathcal{B}_{m+1} = 0,$$

and $\mathcal{B}_{m+1} = 0$ for $m \geq 0$ (proved in the proof of Lemma 20). Since $\mathcal{A}_m$ and $\mathcal{B}_{m+1}$ are polynomials, it follows that all their coefficients are zero. Hence by Lemma 19 we obtain

$$
\begin{cases}
\gamma_0^{2m+1} - \gamma_0^{2m} = 0, & \text{for } m \geq 0; \\
\gamma_0^{2m+2} - \gamma_0^{2m+1} = 0, & \text{for } m \geq 0; \\
\gamma_{m+1}^{2m+2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \gamma_k^{2k} \gamma_{m-k}^{2(m-k)} = 0, & \text{for } m \geq 0; \\
\gamma_n^{2m+1} - \gamma_n^{2m} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2k}^{2m+1+2(k-n)} \gamma_k^j \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-1-j} = 0, & \text{for } m \geq 1, 1 \leq n \leq m; \\
\gamma_n^{2m+2} - \gamma_n^{2m+1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2k}^{2m+2+2(k-n)} \gamma_k^j \gamma_{n-k-1}^{2m-j} = 0, & \text{for } m \geq 1, 1 \leq n \leq m.
\end{cases}
$$

We remark that we could also have obtained the first two equations by using $\gamma_0^n = 1$ for all $n \geq 0$. Observe also that the last three equations correspond to the single equation

$$\gamma_n^p - \gamma_n^{p-1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2k}^{p+2(k-n)} \gamma_k^j \gamma_{n-k-1}^{p-2-j} = 0, \tag{30}$$

where $p \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$. The last equation corresponds to (30) for $p = 2m + 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1$. The equation above it corresponds to (30) for $p = 2m+1$ and $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$, and finally the third equation above corresponds to (30) for $p = 2m + 2$ and $k = m + 1$.

Thus, by rewriting (30) as

$$\gamma_n^p = \gamma_n^{p-1} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=2k}^{p+2(k-n)} \gamma_k^j \gamma_{n-k-1}^{p-2-j},$$

for $p \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$, we obtain the nonlinear recursion relation stated in Theorem 12. $\qquad \square$

Remark 21. The nonlinear recursion can also be verified by using the closed form formula for the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$

$$\gamma_k^n = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k \binom{n}{2k} C_k,$$

for $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor$. In this case, for $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor$, we can write

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\sum_{j=2i}^{n+2(i-k)}\gamma_i^j\gamma_{k-i-1}^{n-2-j} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}C_iC_{k-1-i}\sum_{j=2i}^{n-2k+2i}\binom{j}{2i}\binom{n-2-j}{2k-2-2i}.$$

Changing variables in the inner sum, we can write

$$\sum_{j=2i}^{n-2k+2i}\binom{j}{2i}\binom{n-2-j}{2k-2-2i} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-2k}\binom{j+2i}{2i}\binom{n-2-(j+2i)}{2k-2-2i}.$$

Using Theorem 2 (binomial theorem for rising polynomials) we can rewrite the inner sum as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-2k}\binom{j+2i}{2i}\binom{n-2-(j+2i)}{2k-2-2i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-2k)!}\sum_{j=0}^{n-2k}\frac{(n-2k)!}{j!(n-2k-j)!}\frac{(j+2i)!}{(2i)!}\frac{(n-2-(j+2i))!}{(2k-2i-2)!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-2k)!}\sum_{j=0}^{n-2k}\binom{n-2k}{j}(2i+1)^{\overline{j}}(2k-2i-1)^{\overline{n-k-j}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-2k)!}(2k)^{\overline{n-2k}}$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{(2k)!(n-2k)!}$$

$$= \binom{n-1}{2k-1}.$$

Thus, the inner sum is simply the binomial coefficient $\binom{n-1}{2k-1}$. Consequently, we have

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\sum_{j=2i}^{n+2(i-k)}\gamma_i^j\gamma_{k-i-1}^{n-2-j} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k\binom{n-1}{2k-1}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}C_iC_{k-1-i}.$$

We now employ the recursion relation for the Catalan numbers (2) to obtain

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\sum_{j=2i}^{n+2(i-k)}\gamma_i^j\gamma_{k-i-1}^{n-2-j} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k\binom{n-1}{2k-1}C_k.$$

Finally, since $\binom{n-1}{2k-1} = \binom{n}{2k} - \binom{n-1}{2k}$, we have

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\sum_{j=2i}^{n+2(i-k)}\gamma_i^j\gamma_{k-i-1}^{n-2-j} = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^k C_k\left(\binom{n}{2k} - \binom{n-1}{2k}\right)$$

$$= \gamma_k^n - \gamma_k^{n-1}.$$

6   Generating function

In this section we calculate the generating function for the sequence $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$. Interestingly, the calculations involve the generalized Motzkin numbers (4) and their generating function (6). We note that the generalized Motzkin numbers $M_n(a,b)$ introduced by Sun [17] were only studied for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the generating function (6) was shown to exist for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We first point out that the generalized Motzkin numbers as defined in (4) are well defined for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, as is their generating function (6). Secondly, we can show that the generalized Motzkin numbers (4) and the generating function (6) both imply the recurrence relation (5). Since there is a unique solution to the recurrence relation (5), it follows that the generating function for the generalized Motzkin numbers for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ is the generating function (6) obtained by Sun. Finally, we remark that if x satisfies

$$|x| < \min\left(\frac{1}{2|a|}, \frac{1}{\left|a + 2\sqrt{|b|}\right|}, \frac{1}{\left|a - 2\sqrt{|b|}\right|}\right), \tag{31}$$

then (6) holds and its series is absolutely convergent.

Theorem 22. *Let $(\gamma_k^n)_{n\geq 0,\ 0\leq k\leq\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}$ be defined by the recursion relation and initial conditions given in* (1). *Then its generating function is*

$$F_\gamma(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}\gamma_k^n x^k y^{n-2k} = 2\frac{y - 1 + \sqrt{(1-y)^2 + x}}{x}.$$

Proof. The proof uses the generalized Motzkin numbers (4) and their generating function (6).

$$F_\gamma(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_k^n x^k y^{n-2k}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} C_k \left(\frac{-x}{4} \right)^k y^{n-2k}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \left(y, -\frac{x}{4} \right).$$

This series is the generating function for the Motzkin numbers $M_n \left(y, -\dfrac{x}{4} \right)$ at 1. Thus, using (31), we see that if we select x and y such that

$$\begin{cases} |y| < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \left| y + \sqrt{|x|} \right| < 1, \\ \left| y - \sqrt{|x|} \right| < 1, \end{cases}$$

then the series $\displaystyle\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \left(y, -\frac{x}{4} \right)$ converges absolutely to $\mathcal{M}_{y,-\frac{x}{4}}(1)$. Thus we have

$$F_\gamma(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n \left(y, -\frac{x}{4} \right)$$

$$= \mathcal{M}_{y,-\frac{x}{4}}(1)$$

$$= \frac{1 - y - \sqrt{(1-y)^2 - 4\left(-\frac{x}{4}\right)}}{2\frac{-x}{4}}$$

$$= 2\frac{y - 1 + \sqrt{(1-y)^2 + x}}{x}.$$

$\square$

7   Conclusion

In this paper, we studied a doubly indexed sequence related to the Catalan and Motzkin numbers. We showed that this sequence satisfies both a linear and a nonlinear recursion relation. The nonlinear recursion relation was obtained by applying an exact finite difference scheme with compact stencil to the differential operator $(\nabla \cdot (d\nabla d))\,(x, y)$, where d is the

two-dimensional Euclidean distance function. We also calculated the generating function associated with this doubly indexed sequence. This work is, to our knowledge, the first instance of the usage of a finite difference scheme to obtain identities about a sequence, and another demonstration of the ubiquity of the Catalan numbers.

References

[1] Martin Aigner, Motzkin numbers, *European J. Combin.* **19** (1998), 663–675.

[2] Drew Armstrong, Brendon Rhoades, and Nathan Williams, Rational Catalan combinatorics: the associahedron, *Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.* (2013), 933–944.

[3] M. Artioli, G. Dattoli, S. Licciardi, and S. Pagnutti, Motzkin numbers: an operational point of view, preprint, 2017. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.07262.

[4] F. R. Bernhart, Catalan, Motzkin, and Riordan numbers, *Discrete Math.* **204** (1999), 73–112.

[5] P. Blasiak, G. Dattoli, A. Horzela, K. A. Penson, and K. Zhukovsky, Motzkin numbers, central trinomial coefficients and hybrid polynomials, *J. Integer Sequences* **11** (2008), Article 08.1.1.

[6] R. Donaghey and L. W. Shapiro, Motzkin numbers, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **23** (1977), 291–301.

[7] Nikolao Fuss, Solutio quaestionis, quot modis polygonum n laterum in polygona m laterum, per diagonales resolvi quaeat, *Novi Acta Academiae. Scientiarum imperialis Petropolitanae* **9** (1791), 243–251 (in Latin).

[8] H. Gould, Binomial coefficients, the bracket functions, and compositions with relatively prime summands, *Fibonacci Quart.* **4** (1964), 241–259.

[9] S. Heubach, N. Y. Li, and T. Mansour, Staircase tilings and k-Catalan structures, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 5954–5964.

[10] P. Hilton and J. Pedersen, Catalan numbers, their generalization, and their uses, *Math. Intelligencer* **13** (1991), 64–75.

[11] D. Kim, On the (n, k)-th Catalan numbers, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **23** (2008), 349–356.

[12] P. J. Larcombe, The 18-th century Chinese discovery of the Catalan numbers, *Math. Spectrum* **32** (1999), 5–7.

[13] J. J. Luo, Antu Ming, the first inventor of Catalan numbers of the world, *Neimenggu Daxue Xuebao* **19** (1988), 239–245 (in Chinese).

[14] T. Motzkin, Relations between hypersurface cross ratios, and a combinatorial formula for partitions of a polygon, for permanent preponderance, and for non-associative products, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54** (1948), 352–360.

[15] I. Pak, Reduced decompositions of permutations in terms of star transpositions, generalized Catalan numbers and k-ary trees, *Discrete Math.* **204** (1999), 329–335.

[16] R. Stanley, *Catalan Numbers*, Cambridge University Press, 2015.

[17] Z.-W. Sun, Congruences involving generalized central trinomial coefficients, *Sci. China Math.* **57** (2014), 1375–1400.

[18] Y. Wang and Z. Zhang, Combinatorics of generalized Motzkin numbers, *J. Integer Sequences* **18** (2015) Article 15.2.4.

[19] J. Zhao and F. Qi, Two explicit formulas for the generalized Motzkin numbers, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **19** (2017).

(Concerned with sequences A000108 and A001006.)

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.