



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XIX International Grassland Congress

***In Vitro* Gas Production Technique to Predict DMD of Ensiled Forage Ruminant Based Diets**

M. Wawrzekiewicz

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

J. L. Danelón

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

G. Jaurena

Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Follow this and additional works at: <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Soil Science Commons](#)

This document is available at <https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/19/9/24>

This collection is currently under construction.

The XIX International Grassland Congress took place in São Pedro, São Paulo, Brazil from February 11 through February 21, 2001.

Proceedings published by Fundacao de Estudos Agrarios Luiz de Queiroz

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

***IN VITRO* GAS PRODUCTION TECHNIQUE TO PREDICT DMD OF ENSILED FORAGE RUMINANT BASED DIETS**

M. Wawrzekiewicz, J.L. Danelón and G. Jaurena

Laboratorio de Nutrición Animal, FA-UBA, Avda. San Martín 4453, (1417) Buenos Aires,
Argentina

Abstract

The *in vitro* gas production technique was used as a tool to develop an improved prediction model of dry matter digestibility of ensiled forage based diets. Eleven diets were tested through conventional experiments of *in vivo* dry matter digestibility (DMD). The same diets were evaluated by the *in vitro* gas production technique using a gas pressure transducer . The parameters of the model $y = A - B Q^t Z^{\sqrt{t}}$ were calculated with data from the accumulated gas curves, i.e. y =cumulative gas production (ml), $Q=e^{-b}$, $Z=e^{-c}$, $B=e^{bT+c\sqrt{T}}$, being A the value for gas pool size (ml), plus *lag time*, *minimum lag time*, time for 50% gas production and time for 95% gas production. A stepwise linear regression procedure was used to obtain a model with the best fit. The higher adjusted R^2 (0.85) was obtained for a model with: 1. accumulated gas, 2. lag time, 3. Q , 4. B , 5. time for 50% gas production and 6. minimum lag time were included in the model.

Keywords: Gas production; Silage; *In vivo* Digestibility; *In vitro* technique

Introduction

Results obtained from *in vivo* trials for feed quality evaluation are usually required as a reference to develop and to validate less expensive and time consuming procedures. Apart

from the *in vitro* DMD technique of Tilley and Terry (1963) and the detergent system of Goering and Van Soest (1970), few other methods had been generally accepted as a standard procedures for quality evaluation of forages.

After the results of Menke et al (1979), the evaluation of gas production *in vitro* was considered one of the most promising procedures, due to its potential relationship with the kinetics of feeds degradation. Summative equations, which includes data from proximal chemical analyses in addition to cumulative gas produced, has been proposed as a means of estimating the energy density of cereal feeds (Menke and Steingass 1988). However, equations that are able to accurately predict fresh forages and silages *in vivo* DMD from *in vitro* gas produced have still to be developed. The objective of this study was to develop statistical models to estimate the *in vivo* DM digestibility of ruminants-silage-based diets using data from *in vitro* gas production.

Material and Methods

Experimental diets were: 1) CS (corn silage), 2) CS30 (corn silage + 30% concentrate), 3) CS60 (corn silage + 60% concentrate), 4) PS (alfalfa pasture based silage), 5) PSW30 (alfalfa pasture based silage + 30% wheat grain), 6) PSSr30 (alfalfa pasture based silage + 30% sorghum grain), 7) PSCP30 (alfalfa pasture based silage + 30% wet citrus pulp), 8) AS (alfalfa silage), 9) ASW30 (alfalfa silage + 30% wheat grain), 10) ASSr30 (alfalfa silage + 30% sorghum grain), 11) ASCP30 (alfalfa silage + 30% dry citrus pulp). Following procedures outlined by Schneider and Flatt (1975) and using a (3x3) Latin Square design, *in vivo* DMD values of corn silage diet and (4x4) for alfalfa pasture based silage and alfalfa silage diets were obtained. The kinetics of *in vitro* gas production of the diets were measured as suggested by Brooks and Theodorou (1997), using a gas pressure transducer (Theodorou et al 1994). Parameters describing kinetics of gas produced corresponds to those of the model of

France et al (1993). Linear regressions between *in vivo* DMD (Y) and accumulated gas production and estimated parameters from France et al (1993) model (X_i) were calculated with PROC GLM (SAS System for Windows v6.12, 1989-1996). Stepwise regression was used to select the model giving the best fit. In order to improve the accuracy of the regression analysis all outlier was deleted. The MLP program (Ross, 1987) was used to fit functions to the profiles of gas accumulation using the model of France et al (1993): $y = A - B Q^t Z^{-t}$, where $Q=e^b$, $Z=e^{-c}$, and $B=e^{bT+c\sqrt{T}}$. Here, y denotes the cumulative gas production (ml), t is the incubation time (h), A is the asymptotic value for gas pool size (ml), T is the lag-time, and $b(h^{-1})$ and $c(h^{-0.5})$ are rate constants. Variables from the model of France et al (1993) are: X_1 (144 h cumulative gas produced), X_2 (Q), X_3 (Z), X_4 (B), X_5 (A), X_6 (lag time), X_7 (minimum lag time), X_8 (time for 50% gas production) and X_9 (time for 95% gas production).

Results and Discussion

A summary of the linear regression for each individual variable is shown in Table 1. The statistical results from the model including all variables in Table 1 are: SE= 2.797; $R^2=0.89$ and R^2 adjusted for d.f. = 0.83, $P<0.001$). The analysis of colinearity showed that X_2 was highly correlated to X_9 , X_5 to X_1 , and X_2 to X_3 . Therefore, from each pair the variable with the lowest rank of entrance was deleted. After X_3 and X_5 were deleted, the statistics of the resulting model were SE = 2.626, $R^2=0.88$, and R^2 adjusted for d.f. = 0.85 (a value 2.4% higher than the 0.83 from the previous model) (Table 2). The variables selected for the best model were: 144 h cumulative gas produced, B, Q, time for 50% gas production, lag and minimum lag time. The entrance of variables in the multiple regression model given by the stepwise procedure is shown in Table 2. Until present time, no reasonable values of the correlation coefficients between total gas production and *in vivo* DMD of silage based diets are available. Moreover, in order to write down models like these ones, usually no more than

the final volume of accumulated gas at end point and/or eventually information from classic chemical feed analysis (CP, NDF, ADF, Ash, etc) have been used. The parameters proposed by France et al (1993) to describe the kinetic of in vitro incubations has only been used to study ruminal microbial attachment process. Results of this research suggest that France's parameters could be very useful to predict in vivo DMD of silage based diets. However, more than one variable should be entered into the model to obtain an acceptable level of prediction. For silage based diets 6 (out of 9) variables were used. Additional research is needed on the factors who modify the *lag time* and/or accumulated gas at different times.

References

Brooks, A. and Theodorou M.K. (1996). Manual for gas production technique. Institute of Grassland and Environmental Research, Aberystwyth, UK.

Goering H.K. and Van Soest P.J. (1970). Forage Fiber Analysis (Apparatus, Reagents, Procedures and some Applications). Agr. Handbook N°379. Agric. Res. Serv., USDA, Washington, DC, 20pp.

France J., Dhanoa M.S., Theodorou M.K., Lister S.J., Davies D.R. and Isac D. (1993). A model to interpret gas accumulation profiles associated with in vitro degradation of ruminant feeds. J. Theor. Biol. **163**: 99-111.

Menke K.H., Raab L., Salewski A., Steingass H., Fritz D. and Schneider W. (1979). The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedingstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. J. Agr. Sci. (Cambridge), **93**: 217-222.

Menke K.H. and Steingass H. (1988). Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis in vitro gas production using rumen fluid. Anim. Res. Dev. **28**: 7-55.

Schneider, B.H. and Flatt W. P. (1975). The evaluation of feeds through digestibility

experiments. Ed. by The University of Georgia Press.

Tilley, J.M.A. and Terry R.A. (1963). A two stage technique for the *in vitro* digestion of forage crops. J. Br. Grassl. Soc. **18**: 104-111.

Table 1 - Results of the linear regression for each individual variable.

Variable include in the model	R ²	R ² adjusted	C(p) Mallows	MSE	SSE
Accumulated gas at 144h	0.55444	0.53588	44.300	21.615	518.8
<i>A</i>	0.55305	0.53442	44.507	21.682	520.4
<i>B</i>	0.55025	0.53151	44.923	21.818	523.6
<i>Q</i>	0.20670	0.17365	96.045	38.484	923.6
time for 95% gas production	0.19726	0.16381	97.450	38.942	934.6
time for 50% gas production	0.06061	0.02147	117.80	45.571	1093.7
<i>Z</i>	0.01558	-0.02544	124.50	47.756	1146.1
<i>lag time</i>	0.01331	-0.02780	124.80	47.866	1148.8
<i>min. lag time</i>	0.00127	-0.04034	126.60	48.450	1162.8

Table 2 - Summary of Stepwise procedure for dependent variable y .

Variable entered in the model	R^2 partial	R^2 model	C (p)	Prob > F
Accumulated gas	55.44	55.44	53.2069	0.0001
<i>lag time</i>	18.39	73.83	24.1685	0.0001
<i>Q</i>	8.00	81.84	12.6584	0.0001
<i>B</i>	2.86	84.70	9.8268	0.0001
time for 50% gas production	2.37	87.07	7.8240	0.0001
<i>min. lag time</i>	1.67	88.74	7.000	0.0001