

University of Kentucky UKnowledge

Behavioral Science Faculty Publications

Behavioral Science

10-25-2021

Understanding Parents' Views toward the Newly Enacted HPV Vaccine School Entry Policy in Puerto Rico: A Qualitative Study

Vivian Colón-López University of Puerto Rico

Diana T. Medina-Laabes University of Puerto Rico

Roxana Soto Abreu *University of Puerto Rico*

Olga L. Díaz Miranda *University of Puerto Rico*

Ana P. Ortiz University of Puerto Rico

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/behavsci_facpub See next page for additional authors

Part of the Behavior and Behavior Mechanisms Commons, Health and Medical Administration Commons, and the Public Health Commons

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Repository Citation

Colón-López, Vivian; Medina-Laabes, Diana T.; Abreu, Roxana Soto; Díaz Miranda, Olga L.; Ortiz, Ana P.; Fernández, María E.; and Hull, Pamela C., "Understanding Parents' Views toward the Newly Enacted HPV Vaccine School Entry Policy in Puerto Rico: A Qualitative Study" (2021). *Behavioral Science Faculty Publications*. 72.

https://uknowledge.uky.edu/behavsci_facpub/72

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Behavioral Science at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Behavioral Science Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Understanding Parents' Views toward the Newly Enacted HPV Vaccine School Entry Policy in Puerto Rico: A Qualitative Study

Digital Object Identifier (DOI) https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11952-w

Notes/Citation Information

Published in BMC Public Health, v. 21, issue 1, article no. 1938.

© The Author(s) 2021

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Authors

Vivian Colón-López, Diana T. Medina-Laabes, Roxana Soto Abreu, Olga L. Díaz Miranda, Ana P. Ortiz, María E. Fernández, and Pamela C. Hull

RESEARCH Open Access

Understanding parents' views toward the newly enacted HPV vaccine school entry policy in Puerto Rico: a qualitative study



Vivian Colón-López^{1,2*}, Diana T. Medina-Laabes¹, Roxana Soto Abreu¹, Olga L. Díaz Miranda¹, Ana P. Ortiz^{1,3}, María E. Fernández⁴ and Pamela C. Hull⁵

Abstract

Background: The Human papillomavirus vaccine (HPV) is an essential tool for the prevention of HPV-related cancers. In Puerto Rico, the Secretary of Health established a school entry requirement of at least one dose of HPV vaccination in girls and boys aged 11 and 12 years, taking effect in August 2018. Our study aimed to examine parents' and guardians' views of unvaccinated children about the process of implementation of the new HPV vaccination school entry policy in Puerto Rico and identify potential barriers and facilitators related to the implementation of this requirement.

Methods: During April through November 2019, we conducted three focus groups (n = 12) and eight in-depth semi-structured interviews with parents of children aged 11 and 12 who had not yet initiated the HPV vaccine series. The interview topics addressed were: perception of vaccination, HPV vaccine and it is inclusion as new school entry requirement practice, procedure of the sources of information, influencers, and willingness to change. The interviews were recorded and transcribed by our staff members. We identified emergent themes through thematic analysis.

Results: The participants' perspective on the HPV vaccine school requirement was mixed. Lack of information of the HPV vaccines and lack of communication about the school-entry requirement were the themes most mentioned in the interviews. Moreover, previous negative experiences from friends or family members and adverse effects deterred some participants from vaccinating their kids. We discussed barriers in the process of soliciting an exemption.

Conclusion: Most barriers mentioned by study participants are modifiable. Information about the HPV vaccine mandate's implementation and educational materials regarding HPV vaccine safety need to be provided to address parents' concerns related to the vaccine's side effects. Schools (teachers, principal directors, and administrative staff), the government, and parent organizations need to be part of these efforts. This multilevel approach will help to improve disseminating information about HPV vaccination to clarify doubts and misinformation among parents.

Keywords: HPV vaccine, School entry policy, Parental perspective, Hispanic

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: vivian.colon@upr.edu

¹Comprehensive Cancer Center-University of Puerto Rico, Cancer Control and Population Sciences. San Juan. Puerto Rico

²Department of Health Services Administration, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Puerto Rico Medical Science Campus, San Juan, Puerto Rico

Background

The HPV vaccine is a crucial tool for prevention against human papillomavirus (HPV) and its related cancers. In 2018, the World Health Organization (WHO) made a Call for Action to eliminate cervical cancer. This plan includes 90% of the girls being full vaccinated with HPV vaccine by age 15 years in 2030 [1]. A similar strategy has been promoted by *HealthyPeople 2030* in the United States (US), which their goal is to achieve that 80.0% of adolescents aged 13 through 15 years received recommended doses of the HPV vaccine [2]. However, recent data from the 2019 National Immunization Survey showed that adolescents aged 13–17 were 54.2% up-to-date with the immunization series for HPV vaccine in the US [3]. This result implies that the national HPV vaccine uptake remained far from the goal.

As an evidence-based strategy, school-entry requirements have proved to increase vaccination rates among children and youth [4]. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommends the HPV vaccine as a routine vaccine for girls (since 2006) and boys (since 2011) at ages 11–12 years old [5]. Five US states or territories (Virginia, District of Columbia, Rhode Island, Puerto Rico, and Hawaii) implemented the HPV vaccine as a requirement for school entrance on those entering to 6 or 7 grades or started 11–12 years old [6]. Even with these efforts at the policy level, parents' negative beliefs and attitudes on childhood vaccination still remains a challenge [7].

Vercruysse and co-authors (2016) [8] found that most parents from Northeastern states agreed with the school-based HPV vaccination program, but they showed weak support for making the vaccine a school entry requirement. In this qualitative study, parental choice and the unlikely casual-contact transmission of HPV in the school setting were their primary reasons against the mandate. A study in Connecticut in 2016 reported that parents did not expressed opposition to a hypothetical HPV vaccination requirement and perceived that it would be similar to other routine vaccines for school entry while also adding the benefit of cancer prevention [9]. To our knowledge, only one qualitative study [10] evaluated the parents' perception of HPV vaccination mandate in Virginia, a state with HPV vaccine requirement since 2009 [11]. Pitt et al. 2013 [10] found resistance to the HPV vaccine mandatory from some parents resulting in parental autonomy as the main barrier, followed by concern about the safety of this vaccine [10]. Notably, few qualitative studies have evaluated the parents' perceptions regarding mandatory HPV vaccination for school entry in US states and territories.

In Puerto Rico (PR), cervical and oropharyngeal cancer (in men) are the most common HPV-related cancers [12]. Also, a recent study observed an increase in

cervical cancer after evaluated the period 2001-2017 [13]. Under the PR's Law #25 from September 25, 1983 (the Immunization Law), the PR government grants to the Puerto Rico Secretary of Health (SHPR) the authority to decide which vaccine will be required for school entry [14]. In August 2018, the SHPR established at least one dose of the HPV vaccine was required for school entrance for girls and boys aged 11 and 12 years [15] to prevent and reduce the incidence of HPV-related cancers in PR. Annually, the SHPR has amended this requirement for each school year (2018-2019: 11-12 years old; 2019-2020: 11-14 years old, and 2020-2021: 11-16 years old) [16]. The purpose of this study was to examine the parents' and guardians' perception of unvaccinated children about the process of implementation of the new HPV vaccination school entry policy in PR, and identify potential barriers and facilitators related to this requirement.

Methods

Study design and sample

The implementation of school-entry policy for HPV vaccination (HPV-PIVac study) is a prospective study to understand geographic variation in HPV vaccine policies and outcomes across US states and territories, and studying the implementation and impact of the new school-entry HPV vaccine policy in PR. As part of this study, we conducted a a qualitative study between April to November 2019, 8–15 months after the HPV school-entry policy took effect in PR. Eligible criteria were: (1) parents or guardians (i.e., participants) (2) of boys and girls aged 11 and 12 years old who have not initiated the HPV vaccine series at the time of the interview, and (3) lives in San Juan or surrounding municipalities (Carolina, Trujillo Alto, Guaynabo, Caguas or Aguas Buenas).

We recruited 20 participants for the focus groups of a total of 40 screened. Fifty percent of those screened did not participate in the study because of time availability, loss of contact, or they vaccinated their child before the interview. All the recruited participants were women between the ages of 23 to 68 years old and mainly residents of the municipality of San Juan (80%); 18 reported being mothers and two grandmothers. Twelve participants were interviewed in focus groups (two focus groups, each with 2 participants and one focus group with 8), and eight participants were in-depth interviews.

Recruitment

We used a purposive sampling. Several strategies were used to recruit the study participants. First, we posted flyers with information from the study in clinical settings, including Federally Qualified Health Clinics, pediatric clinics, and hospitals. Also, we distributed flyers to parents in several activities in the community

and schools (sports, health fair, organic food fair, and social activities). We briefly discussed face-to-face with them (if requested), information of the study (study objectives), and their expected role in this study. We asked for contact information among those who were interested. Moreover, we conducted door-to-door outreach in public housing complexes. Their community leaders and the social workers collaborated to identify potential participants. All these venues were located in San Juan and surrounding municipalities. Lastly, we posted recruitment materials on Facebook to promote the study and increase engagement. A staff member screened those potential participants interested in our study by phone to determine their eligibility by a staff member. We scheduled the interview according to the participants' time availability and the dynamics that made them feel more comfortable to speak (focus group or in-depth interview). Data were not affected because we used the same guideline for both methods, focus group and indepth interview.

Data collection procedures

A trained staff discussed the informed consent one-toone with the participants, by telephone or in-person. All participants signed the informed consent. The participants who preferred telephone interviews, informed consent was sent by email.

Ouestionnaire

Before the interview, a questionnaire, in Spanish, with closed-ended questions were administrated to each participant to gather parent's demographic information (gender, age, marital status, level of education, income and religious preference); children's information (gender, health insurance, type of school and vaccination record); awareness of the HPV vaccine and its inclusion as school-entry vaccine requirement. The questionnaire was developed and collected in Qualtrics (SAP, Utah/Seattle, US).

Focus group and in-depth interview

We used the same semi-structured interview guideline for the focus groups and in-depth interviews (see supplementary document), with open-ended questions that prompted participants to discuss the following topics: perception of vaccination in general, HPV vaccine, and the new school entry requirement policy; practice and procedure of the sources of information; influencers; and willingness to change. We gave fictitious names to those participants on the focus group and a random number for those who participated in in-depth interviews to protect their identities. Both focus groups and in-depth interviews were conducted in Spanish by the training staff. Focus groups lasted 40–60 min, and the

in-depth interviews lasted around 20-40 min. Of three focus groups, two were held in a private conference room in the University of Puerto Rico Comprehensive Cancer Center (UPRCCC). The other focus group was conducted in a private room in a public housing complex. Two trained staff were in each focus group. One was responsible for guiding the interview, and another person to take notes. The in-depth interviews were faceto-face (in the UPRCCC) or over the phone, using the same dynamic as the focus group regarding the staff. Participants received \$25.00 to compensate for their time. Incentives were provided in-person or sent by certified postal mail. All the data collected through the interviews (focus groups and in-depth) were audiorecorded and transcribed verbatim in the same language as the interview. A separate staff member reviewed the transcriptions for validation. For this publication, quotes were translated into English.

Data analyses

Qualitative data management and analysis were performed using Atlas.ti 8.0 (Scientific Software Development GmbH, Berlin, Germany). We used thematic analysis to identify, analyze, and report themes [17]. First, one of the members (DML) performed the initial coding, and another member (VCL) reviewed the identification of the codes derived from the data and the quotes linked to the codes. Second, both members reviewed the codes several times until they met a consensus to define them and determine the emerging codes [18, 19]. We evaluated each interview separately for the coding process, and then we combined them to classify the codes by theme. Twenty-three codes were identified and organized into five themes (Attitudes and Beliefs, Knowledge, Communication, Barriers, and Recommendations). We used descriptive statistics to summarize the quantitative data from the questionnaire.

Results

According to the questionnaire, most participants reported having less than some college education (70.0%) and an annual income of less than \$15,000 (68.4%). The vast majority of the participants' children were enrolled in public school (80.0%) and had Medicaid government health insurance (70.0%). The majority (80.0%) of the participants heard about the HPV vaccine, mainly through health providers. Despite this, over half of them (55.0%) were unaware that the HPV vaccine was a school-entry requirement. In addition, 13 of 20 participants reported that they vaccinated their children with all the required vaccines for school except HPV vaccine (Table 1).

Table 1 Characteristics of Focus Groups and In-Depth Interviews Participants: HPV-PIVac Study 2019 (n = 20)

Characteristics	Frequency n (range or %)
Age (mean)	41 (range: 23–68)
Education	
Some college or more	6 (30.0)
Less than some college	14 (70.0)
Income ^a	
≥ \$15.000	6 (31.6)
< \$15.000	13 (68.4)
School	
Public	16 (80.0)
Private	4 (20.0)
Child's health insurance	
Medicaid	14 (70.0)
Private	5 (25.0)
Non-insurance	1 (5.0)
How important is your religious beliefs?	
Important or very important	16 (80.0)
Little important or unimportant	4 (20.0)
What required vaccine the children had, except HPV	/ vaccine?
All of them ^a	13 (65.0)
Some of them	7 (35.0)
Who told you about HPV vaccine? ^β	
Health Provider	9 (45.0)
School	7 (35.0)
Family member	4 (20.0)
TV commercial	8 (40.0)
Unknown about the HPV vaccine	4 (20.0)
Did you know that HPV vaccine was included as va for starting school?	accination requirement
No	11 (55.0)
Yes	9 (45.0)
If the HPV vaccine is required for the entry school, a do?	is parent, what will yo
Vaccinate my son/daughter	9 (45.0)
Depending on the doctor recommendation	4 (20.0)
Sign a vaccine exemption	5 (25.0)
Need more information to take a decision	2 (10.0)

 $[\]alpha$: Tdap, meningococcal vaccine, Polio, MMR, VAR, Hib, Hepatitis B β : the participants chose more than one option

Participants' attitudes and beliefs mixed regarding HPV vaccine school entry policy

In general, almost all the participants stated that vaccination is a positive preventive method against diseases, although few had doubts about their efficacy and safety. Participants' perception of including the HPV vaccine as

a school entry requirement was mixed. Half of the participants expressed being in favor. Some participants mentioned that this policy might ensure early protection against the HPV infection or future cancer development. Two participants (grandparents) agreed with the HPV-school entry requirement. These guardians witnessed in the past the mortality caused by now preventable diseases due to limited access to treatment.

Those who hesitated to the HPV vaccine requirement mentioned different reasons: administration at an early age, distrust in the efficacy and safety of the vaccine, and parental autonomy. Participants hesitated by the age (11–12 years old) of the mandate did not wholly reject the intention to vaccinate their children. Instead, they thought of vaccinating their children when they get older.

"I think that if he is not sexually active ... I think that [when] he turns 15 years old, he can be [vaccinated]. [W] hen he [can] understand, and we can talk about that topic. [A] 11 and 12 [year-old] boy [does] not [understand] what the vaccine is for or what it uses for."

The participants commonly expressed previous negative experiences with the HPV vaccine or other vaccine from friends or family members in the interviews. In some participants, these anecdotes caused them uneasiness about the safety and effectiveness of the HPV vaccine since it was a "new" vaccine for them. Other participants disclosed their children's pre-existing medical conditions, indicating their concerns about how the vaccine's components and its potential adverse effects could exacerbate their children's health condition.

HPV vaccine knowledge and unawareness about the HPV school entry policy

Most of the participants were aware of how many doses are required to complete the series and that HPV is a sexually transmitted disease. Few mentioned the association between this vaccine and cancer prevention. Despite their knowledge about the vaccine, some participants indicated that they had never heard about the new HPV vaccine school entry requirement. One participant expressed the constant promotion of this vaccine through the mass media (TV, Facebook, newspaper). Still, she never noticed it in the immunization record (commonly known in PR as the 'green sheet') required for the school entry.

"A lot of promotion about the [HPV] vaccine, but I did not know that it was mandatory to vaccinate them for school, [I mean], that it existed in the green sheet of vaccines".

Lack of communication: the main barrier for school-entry policy

The participants' main barrier regarding the HPV vaccine requirement policy was the communication between the parents, the schools and health provider. Participants expressed that the information provided by the school, mainly during the school enrollment process, was general in content: a paper with a list of all the materials, vaccination requirements, and a request of a medical evaluation if it applies. They also argued that their children's schools lacked educational opportunities or a space for explaining or clarifying doubts about the vaccine requirement. Only two participants remembered receiving a notification from the school about the HPV vaccine being including in immunization requirements. Some participants expressed that the school nurse called them to remind them about the deadline to vaccinate their child without further explanation.

Although most participants indicated that they received information about the HPV vaccine and its school requirement from their healthcare providers, most of them verbalized that the experience was insufficient. Some participants said that the only information they received from pediatricians was that "it is mandatory" or "you need it for school".

"[When] you go to a pediatrician's office, the only thing that they tell you is that you have to give [to your child] the vaccine, and that's it!"

In contrast, participants who decided to request a medical exemption indicated that their providers talked to them about vaccination. In this conversation, the provider explained the pros and cons of the HPV vaccine and whether the child's condition could be compromised after the vaccine administration. One participant felt that her privacy was respected when her health provider talked with her because it was a 'closed-door' conversation and he did not judge her.

Almost all the participants discussed the TV advertisements seen regarding the HPV vaccine. For some participants, these TV advertisements were the only source to get information about the HPV vaccine. Others expressed that the sense of guilt the advertisement promoted made them feel blame for their children's future.

"TV blames you and makes you a bad parent. The ad blames you and makes you a bad parent."

Interestingly, this advertisement made some of them think to eventually vaccinate their children because if they can prevent something wrong happened to their child, they will do it. Moreover, Facebook and the internet were the tools used by these participants to find information about vaccines. However, they stated that they were aware that the information found on social media and websites would not necessarily be accurate.

Barriers regarding HPV vaccine and exemption

The lack of information about the HPV vaccine was another barrier identified. The main topics regarding lack of information were the pros and cons of the HPV vaccination to be required, and concerns about what happened if the vaccination series is interrupted. Other concerns expressed were alleged associations with autism, paralytic effects, an allergic reaction, and deathly consequence. Most of the participants said that seeing, listening, or reading this kind of (mis) information made them mistrust the HPV vaccine.

"I talked with other parents and hearing news too, and all those things, like increasing [my doubts] and make me not want to allow [my child] to get the vaccine."

Access to the vaccine, availability to coordinate an appointment, and the cost of getting the immunization record signed by the health provider were also barriers mentioned. Two participants mentioned the high price of the vaccine as a general issue but not directly impacted. Three participants indicated that they went through the process of obtaining a medical exemption for the HPV vaccine. Complexity and the cost of the process affected their intent to get the exemption. They explained that it was a tedious and bureaucratic procedure to identify a healthcare provider or religious leader who would attest to the exemption and then find and coordinate an appointment with a lawyer to sign the affidavit. Exemptions from vaccination require to be renewed every year in PR. Therefore, parents had to incur the cost and go through these efforts every year.

"If it's necessary, I'll do it again [to avoid] putting things in my daughter that I do not consider necessary."

Recommendations

The participants did not mention facilitators in their interviews. However, they recommended two primary information sources: schools and health care providers. Both should educate about the HPV vaccine and its requirement for school entry. The participants suggested that schools should be more proactive in providing educational sessions for parents and students whenever there are new changes to the requirements for schoolentry. The participants said that health care providers, specifically those who administer vaccines, should be aware of the components of vaccines, their benefits, and

potential adverse effects to explain this information to parents who have questions. Other recommendations from the participants were the sources' message should not focus on using the fear factor, and to use social media and mass media to inform the community.

"[In] the orientation, the pros and cons have to be indicated but not using the fear factor to impose or obligate a person to vaccinate [her/his] child."

Discussion

In August 2018, PR was the 4th state/territory of the US to enact an HPV vaccine mandate for school entry [6]. We collected our data a couple of months after the Puerto Rico Department of Health (DOH) implemented this new policy. According to our results, in this group of parents and guardians of unvaccinated children, their views regarding the HPV vaccine school policy were mixed. Participants mentioned the vaccine's benefits (i.e., prevention for cancer and HPV infection), and others said they disagreed because of the policy's age and the mandatory aspect. The most emergent themes were a lack of communication about the school entry policy and information about the HPV vaccine. Despite the participants not mentioning any facilitator related to implementing the HPV vaccine school requirement durthey all indicated their interviews, recommendations.

Early age, parental autonomy, and concerns about vaccine safety were reasons that some hesitant participants mentioned in disbelief of the vaccine and the implementation. Hispanic parents recruited from other studies expressed this same concern of the age recommended for the HPV vaccine and often linked it with sexual activity [20-22]. ACIP recommended administration of HPV vaccine at 11-12 years old because it showed a robust immune response [5]. The scientific literature did not find evidence among the reviewed of several studies regarding an association between the HPV vaccine and promiscuity [23, 24]. Congruent to our qualitative analysis, 45% of our participants reported interest to vaccinate their child against HPV vaccine if it was a requirement. These results are consistent with a systematic analysis showed that parents' agreement with the HPV school-entry mandate ranged from 27 to 63.5% [25]. Those against the potential future mandate stated the following arguments: limited information about the requirement, age, and lack of parental autonomy and those in favor were regarding prevention [25]. These arguments are similar to our participants' opinions. However, the studies included in this analysis were conducted almost a decade ago. Also, one was in Guyana and the others were in states of the US (Georgia,

Massachusetts, and Mississippi) who had not the HPV-school entry policy [10].

Vaccine hesitancy is defined as either refusal, delay in getting vaccinated or accepting vaccination with concern [26, 27]. In our study, the hesitation to the HPV vaccine among participants did not necessarily translate to hesitation about other adolescent immunizations or refusal of all required vaccines. Thirteen of the 20 participants reported that their children had all the other vaccines required for the school, except the HPV vaccine. More than half of our participants were unaware of the policy; despite the DOH providing information to the public a year prior (June 2017) [14]. The lack of awareness and difficulty accessing the HPV vaccine were the other reasons for some of our participants have not vaccinate their child at the time of the interview.

All our participants mentioned personal stories or negative experiences from someone regarding the adverse effects of the vaccines. MacDonald and co-authors [26] have suggested that factors associated with vaccine hesitancy are individual and group influence based on personal perception, personal experience, and the social media. Previous negative experiences with a vaccine could lead a parent to be hesitant and mistrust the effectiveness and safety of the vaccination in general [28]. For vaccine requirements, these negative vaccination experiences could directly affect the compliance of the mandate. Unfortunately, when these stories get disseminated via social media, people rely more on anecdotal, experience-based information than evidence-based information [7]. Besides, the spread of misinformation through these media could get more impact due to easy access. Understanding the scope and variability of the current sentiment toward the HPV vaccine and its policy could inform the development of an education campaign to combat the harmful misinformation using these same delivery channels [29, 30].

The lack of communication between the parents and guardians with the school and health providers was the main barrier to the HPV vaccine school entry policy. Similar to our studies [21, 30, 31], parents have recommended workshops in a school setting given the accessibility. Having trained school personnel and integrate parents and students, the school could improve the engagement with the HPV vaccine policy [32]. Therefore, assess the school staff's role (e.g., the school nurse, school principal, teachers), attitude, beliefs, and impact in vaccination school policy could strengthen the importance of integrating them in these preventive efforts. Regarding the communication with the health providers, several participants described it as insufficient. According to the participants' discussion, the health provider mentioned that the HPV vaccine was mandatory for school entry without more explanation. The literature suggests that insufficient or inadequate communication between parents and health providers could negatively influence parents' decisions and contribute to hesitant behavior [33, 34]. The influence of the healthcare providers' recommendation has on the parents' decisions on vaccination uptake (in general) is constantly reported [33–37]. This is also consistent with a previous household survey in PR conducted prior to the requirement (2008), in which 89% of parents of daughters in vaccine recommended age groups reported that they would vaccinate them if the doctor recommended it [37]. Strategies continually developed for the healthcare providers could provide effective communication and build trust with parents to recommend vaccination [34, 38].

In our studies, the lack of information was a barrier regarding the HPV vaccine, which could affect the HPV vaccine mandate. Consistent with our findings, two studies that evaluated a group of Latina mothers found a low level of knowledge about cervical cancer, HPV infection, and HPV vaccine [21, 22]. Gualano et al. [25] highlighted that limited knowledge on HPV vaccine could be associated with the opposition of the mandatory HPV vaccination. Despite this limitation, our participants expressed their interest in knowing about the pros and cons of the requirement of HPV vaccine for school entrance, duration of vaccine effectiveness, and potential side effects to make an informed decision about vaccinating against HPV. Studies have shown this same parents' interest for more information before considering vaccinate their child [20–22, 39].

Some of our participants expressed limited access to the HPV vaccine. A possible explanation of this limitation was the long-term recovery of several immunization clinics after the impact of Hurricane Irma and Hurricane María in PR [40]. Barriers regarding immunization exemptions are poorly studied topics. The participants who required the exemption in our study mentioned the difficulties of the process and the cost. The DOH has a strict exemption requirement as it only allows medical and religious exemption for vaccination (no philosophical exemption) [14]. The rate of exemption in PR is still unknown. Further efforts need to explore if exemption requests have increased in PR due to the implementation of the HPV vaccine since August 2018 and how (if any) impacted the uptake of other adolescent vaccines over time.

Strengths and limitations

Mandatory vaccination for school entry is a strategy to increase vaccination uptake, make catch-up, or reduce the gap between vaccine groups, including the HPV vaccine [41]. To our knowledge, this is the first qualitative study in PR that describes parental perspectives about the HPV vaccine school entry requirement and portrays

challenges in the exemption process. Our study is one of two studies [10] that evaluated the parental perspective about the HPV vaccine policy enacted on a US territory. Regardless of this effort, our research has several limitations worth discussing. The size of the sample and convenience sampling did not allow for the generalization of the results. In addition, a population-level profile of those parents who delay or reluctant to refuse the HPV vaccination in PR does not exist. Our sample is similar in education level, income, and health insurance compared to the Puerto Rican general population [42]. Despite several outreach and community engagement efforts performed, we recruited a relatively small sample of participants. The narrow eligibility requirements made this a hard-to-reach population for recruitment, given that PR has a high HPV vaccine initiation rate (75.7%) among teenagers [43]. Participants' time availability and concerns of being exposed to the public spotlight (due to their views on vaccination) were barriers to recruitment. However, we highlighted those in-person recruitment strategies (e.g., visiting public housing complexes and distribute flyers in activities, both with the opportunity to do a brief discussion) were more effective (17/20 participants) than Facebook or flyers from a desk. For some participants, in-depth interview was more comfortable because they could open up more about their view of the school policy and HPV vaccine. We opened our recruitment for female and male parents; however, only females participated. Understand the fathers' perception could help us getting additional insight on vaccines and this new requirement and understand potential divergent family dynamics in the decision of vaccinating (or not) their children against HPV [44].

Conclusion

We conducted this study in a timely opportunity to understand the parental perceptions regarding the implementation of this recent HPV vaccine requirement. Most of the barriers identified could be modifiable addressing with targeted educational programs with parents, school staff and providers, health communication strategies across agencies responsible for the implementation, public acceptability, and political acceptability to support this policy [29, 31, 45]. According to unpublished preliminary results using data of 2010 through 2019 provided by the Puerto Rico Immunization Registry and our research team, an increase of 54% was observed in HPV vaccination initiation rate (not completion) for 11-12 years old children after implementing the requirement [46]. However, it is unknown how the COVID-19 pandemic has impacted the parental perception of the school required vaccines and HPV vaccine uptake. Future research could explore target educational interventions that might help address and boost parental concerns, maintain a high rate of the first dose, and increase completion and adherence to the HPV vaccine schedule of the second dose. Also, it is important to understand the barriers between a parent who refuse in comparison to a parent who delayed the vaccination could be differents [47]. Understanding the progression of parental perceptions throughout the policy implementation, and how current scenarios might impact their decisional process should help us to develop effective interventions that respond to the identified barriers and facilitators in the future.

Abbreviations

HPV: human papillomavirus; WHO: World Health Organization; US: United States of America; ACIP: Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices; PR: Puerto Rico; SHPR: Puerto Rico Secretary of Health.; DOH: Puerto Rico Department of Health

Supplementary information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11952-w.

Additional file 1. GUÍA DEL MODERADOR: ENTREVISTA A PADRES O ENCARGADOS. Description of data: This document is the guideline questions used for the focus group and in-depth interview in our study.

Acknowledgments

This publication was supported by the Comprehensive Cancer Center of the UPR (a public corporation of the Government of Puerto Rico created in virtue of Law 230 of August 26, 2004, as amended). The content is entirely the authors' responsibility and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Comprehensive Cancer Center UPR. We thank Dr. Katelyn Wells, Manuel E Rivera, Vilnery Rivera Figueroa and, Glizette Arroyo Morales for your support and collaboration.

Authors' contributions

VCL was funding acquisition, supervision, conceptualization, methodology, writing-original draft preparation, investigation, and validation. DTML's contribution was methodology, writing-original draft preparation, formal analysis, and investigation. RSA's contribution was methodology, formal analysis, investigation, writing- reviewing, and editing. ODM's contribution was formal analysis, investigation, writing- reviewing, and editing. APO's contribution was writing- reviewing and editing. MF's contributions were writing reviewing and editing. PCH's contribution was funding acquisition, formal analysis, investigation, writing- reviewing, and editing. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by National Cancer Institute (NCI), grant R01CA232743-01A1 ("Implementation of School-Entry Policies for Human Papillomavirus Vaccination") within University of Puerto Rico Comprehensive Cancer Center. The National Cancer Institute had no role in the study design; collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; writing of the manuscript; or decision to publish. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NCI.

Availability of data and materials

The data sets generated and analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to our confidentiality commitment to our participants to keep such data in our offices, but they are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request and after being discussed with the IRB.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

After discussing the informed consent form and agreed with the study, this was signed by all study participants. All these methods followed relevant guidelines, literature review, and regulations approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the University of Puerto Rico, Medical Sciences Campus (protocol A8060218).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

VCL has received compensation from Merck and Co., Inc. for consultancy in June 2020. APO received personal fees from serving as a consultant for Merck (October 2019) outside the submitted work. The other authors have declared that they have no competing interest.

Author details

¹Comprehensive Cancer Center-University of Puerto Rico, Cancer Control and Population Sciences, San Juan, Puerto Rico. ²Department of Health Services Administration, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Puerto Rico Medical Science Campus, San Juan, Puerto Rico. ³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Puerto Rico Medical Science Campus, San Juan, Puerto Rico. ⁴Department of Health Promotion and Behavioral Sciences, University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, Texas, United States. ⁵The University of Kentucky, Department of Behavioral Science, College of Medicine, Markey Cancer Center, Lexington, Kentucky, United States.

Received: 9 March 2021 Accepted: 28 September 2021 Published online: 25 October 2021

References

- . World Health Organisation. In: Global Strategy to Accelerate the Elimination of Cervical Cancer as a Public Health Problem and Its Associated Goals and Targets for the Period 2020–2030, vol. Vol 2; 2020.
- Healthy People 2030. Increase the proportion of adolescents who get recommended doses of the HPV vaccine — IID-08. https://health.gov/hea lthypeople/objectives-and-data/browse-objectives/vaccination/increaseproportion-adolescents-who-get-recommended-doses-hpv-vaccine-iid-08. Published 2021. Accessed 5 Feb 2021.
- Elam-Evans LD, Yankey D, Singleton JA, et al. National, Regional, State, and Selected Local Area Vaccination Coverage Among Adolescents Aged 13–17 Years — United States, 2019. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(33): 1109–16. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6933a1.
- Greyson D, Vriesema-Magnuson C, Bettinger JA. Impact of school vaccination mandates on pediatric vaccination coverage: a systematic review. C Open. 2019;7(3):E524–36. https://doi.org/10.9778/cmajo.20180191.
- Meites E, Kempe A, Markowitz LE. Use of a 2-dose schedule for human papillomavirus vaccination—updated recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices. Am J Transplant. 2017;17(3):834–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajt.14206.
- National Conference of State Legislatures. HPV Vaccine: State Legislation and Regulation. https://www.ncsl.org/research/health/hpv-vaccine-state-legislation-and-statutes.aspx. Accessed 17 May 2021.
- Dubé E, Vivion M, MacDonald NE. Vaccine hesitancy, vaccine refusal and the anti-vaccine movement: influence, impact and implications. Expert Rev Vaccines. 2014;14(1):99–117. https://doi.org/10.1586/14760584.2015.964212.
- Vercruysse J, Chigurupati NL, Fung L, Apte G, Pierre-Joseph N, Perkins RB. Parents' and providers' attitudes toward school-located provision and school-entry requirements for HPV vaccines. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2016; 12(6):1606–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2016.1140289.
- Ogunbajo A, Hansen CE, North AL, Okoloko E, Niccolai LM. "I think they're all basically the same": parents' perceptions of human papilloma virus (HPV) vaccine compared with other adolescent vaccines. Child Care Health Dev. 2016;42(4):582–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/cch.12331.
- Pitts MJ, Adams TK. Implications of the virginia human papillomavirus vaccine mandate for parental vaccine acceptance. Qual Health Res. 2013; 23(5):605–17. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732312470871.

- Horlick G, Shaw FE, Gorji M, Fishbein DB. Delivering New Vaccines to Adolescents: The Role of School-Entry Laws. Pediatrics. 2008; 121(Supplement 1):S79–84. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-11151.
- Torres-Cintrón CR, Alvarado-Ortiz M, Roman-Ruiz Y, Ortiz-Ortiz KJ, Zavala Zegarra DE, Tortolero-Luna G. Cancer in Puerto Rico, 2012–2016. San Juan: Puerto Rico Central Cancer Registry; 2016.
- Ortiz AP, Ortiz-Ortiz KJ, Colón-López V, Tortolero-Luna G, Torres-Cintrón CR, Wu CF, et al. Incidence of cervical Cancer in Puerto Rico, 2001-2017. JAMA Oncol. 2020;7(3):456–8. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.7488.
- Vázquez-Otero C, Daley EM, Vamos CA, Romero-Daza N, Beckstead J, Martinez TD. The intersection of problems, policy, and politics: the adoption of an HPV vaccine school-entry requirement in Puerto Rico. Qual Health Res. 2021;31(5):859–70. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732321991507.
- The Associated Press. Puerto Rico orders students to get vaccinated against HPV. Carribean Business. https://caribbeanbusiness.com/puerto-rico-ordersstudents-to-get-vaccinated-against-hpv/?cn-reloaded=1. Accessed 13 Mar 2020.
- División de Inmunización (Vacunación) _ Departamento de Salud de Puerto Rico.
- Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol. 2006;3(2):77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.
- Stemler S. An overview of content analysis. Pract Assessment, Res Eval. 2001; 7(17):2000–1. https://doi.org/10.1362/146934703771910080.
- Park H, Park B, Choi EJ, et al. Factors influencing vaccination in Korea: findings from focus group interviews. J Prev Med Public Heal. 2018;51(4): 173–80. https://doi.org/10.3961/jpmph.18.063.
- Albright K, Barnard J, O'Leary ST, et al. Noninitiation and noncompletion of HPV vaccine among English- and Spanish-speaking parents of adolescent girls: a qualitative study. Acad Pediatr. 2017;17(7):778–84. https://doi.org/10.1 016/j.acap.2017.03.013.
- Btoush R. Brown DiR, Tsui J, Toler L, Bucalo J. knowledge and attitudes toward human papillomavirus vaccination among Latina mothers of south American and Caribbean descent in the eastern US. Heal Equity. 2019;3(1): 219–30. https://doi.org/10.1089/heq.2018.0058.
- Fernández ME, Le YCL, Fernández-Espada N, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination among Puerto Rican mothers and daughters, 2010: a qualitative study. Prev Chronic Dis. 2014; 11(12):140171. https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd11.140171.
- Bednarczyk RA. Addressing HPV vaccine myths: practical information for healthcare providers. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2019;15(7–8):1628–38. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2019.1565267.
- Kessels SJM, Marshall HS, Watson M, Braunack-Mayer AJ, Reuzel R, Tooher RL. Factors associated with HPV vaccine uptake in teenage girls: a systematic review. Vaccine. 2012;30(24):3546–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.va ccine.2012.03.063.
- Gualano MR, Olivero E, Voglino G, Corezzi M, Rossello P, Vicentini C, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and beliefs towards compulsory vaccination: a systematic review. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2019;15(4):918–31. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2018.1564437.
- MacDonald NE, Eskola J, Liang X, et al. Vaccine hesitancy: definition, scope and determinants. Vaccine. 2015;33(34):4161–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.va ccine.2015.04.036.
- Domek GJ, O'Leary ST, Bull S, et al. Measuring vaccine hesitancy: field testing the WHO SAGE working group on vaccine hesitancy survey tool in Guatemala. Vaccine. 2018;36(35):5273–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2 018.07.046.
- Rozbroj T, Lyons A, Lucke J. Vaccine-hesitant and vaccine-refusing parents' reflections on the way parenthood changed their attitudes to vaccination. J Community Health. 2020;45(1):63–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-019-00723-9.
- Olson O, Berry C, Kumar N. Addressing parental vaccine hesitancy towards childhood vaccines in the United States: a systematic literature review of communication interventions and strategies. Vaccines. 2020;8(4):1–25. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8040590.
- Aragones A, Genoff M, Gonzalez C, Shuk E, Gany F. HPV vaccine and Latino immigrant parents: if they offer it, we will get it. J Immigr Minor Health. 2016;18(5):1060–5. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-015-0225-x.
- 31. Ramanadhan S, Fontanet C, Teixeira M, Mahtani S, Katz I. Exploring attitudes of adolescents and caregivers towards community-based delivery of the HPV vaccine: A qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):1531. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09632-2.

- Kaul S, Do TQN, Hsu E, Schmeler KM, Montealegre JR, Rodriguez AM. School-based human papillomavirus vaccination program for increasing vaccine uptake in an underserved area in Texas. Papillomavirus Res. 2019;8: 100189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pvr.2019.100189.
- Williamson L, Glaab H. Addressing vaccine hesitancy requires an ethically consistent health strategy. BMC Med Ethics. 2018;19(1):84. https://doi.org/1 0.1186/s12910-018-0322-1.
- Kaufman J, Ryan R, Walsh L, Horey D, Leask J, Robinson P, et al. Face-to-face interventions for informing or educating parents about early childhood vaccination. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;5(5):CD010038. https://doi. org/10.1002/14651858.CD010038.pub3.
- Lockhart S, Dempsey AF, Pyrzanowski J, O'Leary ST, Barnard JG. Provider and parent perspectives on enhanced communication tools for human papillomavirus vaccine–hesitant parents. Acad Pediatr. 2018;18(7):776–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2018.05.012.
- Romaguera J, Caballero-Varona D, Tortolero-Luna G, Marrero E, Suárez E, Pérez CM, et al. Factors associated with HPV vaccine awareness in a populationbased sample of hispanic women in Puerto Rico. J Racial Ethn Heal Disparities. 2015;3(2):281–90. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-015-0144-5.
- Reyes JC, Sánchez-díaz CT, Tortolero-luna G, Colón HM, Ortiz AP. Demographic and High-Risk Behaviors associated with HPV and HPV Vaccine Awareness among Persons Aged 15–74 Years in Puerto Rico. P R Health Sci. J. 2015;34(4):195–200.
- Calo WA, Gilkey MB, Shah PD, Moss JL, Brewer NT. Parents' support for school-entry requirements for human papillomavirus vaccination: a National Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2016;25(9):1317–25. https://doi.org/1 0.1158/1055-9965.EPI-15-1159.
- Painter JE, Viana De O. Mesquita S, Jimenez L, Avila AA, Sutter CJ, Sutter R. Vaccine-related attitudes and decision-making among uninsured, Latin American immigrant mothers of adolescent daughters: a qualitative study. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2019;15(1):121–33. https://doi.org/10.1080/2164 5515.2018.1514353.
- Luna-Pinto SC, Rivera A, Cardona I, et al. Restoring Immunization Services Provided by the Vaccines for Children Program in Puerto Rico After Hurricanes Irma and Maria, 2017–2019. J Public Heal Manag Pract. 2020; 27(6):E228–35. https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.000000000001193.
- Hoss A, Meyerson BE, Zimet GD. State statutes and regulations related to human papillomavirus vaccination. Hum Vaccines Immunother. 2019;15(7– 8):1519–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2019.1627817.
- U.S. Census Bureau QuickFacts: Puerto Rico. https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/map/PR/PST045219. Accessed 17 May 2021.
- 43. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). TeenVaxView | 2019 Adolescent HPV Vaccination Coverage Dashboard. https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/imz-managers/coverage/teenvaxview/data-reports/hpv/dashboard/2019.html. Accessed 11 May 2021.
- Newman PA, Logie CH, Lacombe-Duncan A, et al. Parents' uptake of human papillomavirus vaccines for their children: A systematic review and metaanalysis of observational studies. BMJ Open. 2018;8(4):e019206. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019206.
- North AL, Niccolai LM. Human papillomavirus vaccination requirements in US schools: recommendations for moving forward. Am J Public Health. 2016;106(10):1765–70. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2016.303286.
- Colón-López V, Vázquez-Otero C, Rivera-Figueroa V, et al. HPV vaccine school entry requirement in Puerto Rico: historical context, challenges, and opportunities. Prev Chronic Dis. 2021;18:210035. https://doi.org/10.5888/ pcd18.210035.
- Gilkey MB, Calo WA, Marciniak MW, Brewer NT. Parents who refuse or delay HPV vaccine: differences in vaccination behavior, beliefs, and clinical communication preferences. Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2017;13(3):680–6. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2016.1247134.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.