Water Management for Establishment of Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.)

J. B. Rassini
EMBRAPA, Brazil

E. J. A. Leme
Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Brazil

WATER MANAGEMENT FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF ALFALFA (*Medicago sativa* L.)

J.B. Rassini[1] and E.J.A. Leme[2]

[1]EMBRAPA-CPPSE, São Carlos, SP, Brasil; [2]Universidade Federal de São Carlos-

Centro de Ciências Agrárias, Araras, SP, Brasil.

Abstract

This research was carried out in 1997, at the "Centro de Ciências Agrárias" of the "Universidade Federal de São Carlos", in Araras, SP, Brazil, to evaluate the behavior of three growth stages (S_1 = initial vegetative stage, S_2 = full vegetative stage, and S_3 = reprodutive stage) of the "Crioula" alfalfa cultivar, in the presence of four water levels (L_1 = 100%, L_2 = 80%, L_3 = 45-50% and, L_4 =20-25% of a sprinkler irrigation) in a field trial. Growth stages changed according to water levels, and S_2 stage was the most affected by water supply, while S_1 was the least one and water showed to be a negative factor to plant establishment.

Keywords: alfalfa, *Medicago sativa* L., growth stages, irrigation, behavior, water levels, establishment

Introduction

Alfalfa production area in Southeastern region has recently been increased due to establishment of intensive dairy cattle production systems (Vilela, 1992). In addition, various studies reported that alfalfa is a forage characterized for its high yield (20 t of dry matter/ha/year) and dry matter quality ($\geq$ 20% of crude protein) (Fontes et al., 1993; Botrel et al., 1996; Evangelista et al., 1997; Rassini, 1998).

However, in spite of the potential showed by this forage in Brazil, mainly in the Southeastern and Southern regions, more studies are necessary on water management through irrigation techniques, since requirements of water might change with plant development. It has to be mentioned that this is a very expensive agrary practice in alfalfa production systems (CEPEA/FEALQ, 1994; Honda and Honda, 1999). Nevertheless, irrigation has been considered a standard procedure for production of alfalfa in Brazil. Therefore, alfalfa is regarded and studied as an "irrigated culture" and there are no consistent studies on water management in alfalfa fields.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the relationships among growth stages of plant and levels of water supplemention, in order to achieve maximum forage yield under the most rational water management.

Material and Methods

This research was carried out over four months (July-October) of 1997, at the "Centro de Ciências Agrárias" of the "Universidade Federal de São Carlos", in Araras, SP, Brazil. Plots were established on a Dark Red Latosol (Hapludox) (EMBRAPA, 1999).

The "Crioula" alfalfa cultivar was sown on 16/07/97 at the rate of 20 kg of seeds per ha, innoculated with *Rhizobium melilotti*. Three growth stages (S_1 = initial vegetative stage, S_2 = full vegetative stage, and S_3 = reproductive stage) were studied in the presence of four water levels (L_1 = 100%, L_2 = 80%, L_3 = 45-50%, and L_4 =20-25% of a sprinkler irrigation) (Table 1). Experimental area measured 76.8 m^2, which was divided into four equal parcels of 3.0 x 6.4 m, yielding four blocks. Each of these blocks was split into four parcels of 1.6 x 3.0 m. Each of these 1.6 x 3.0 m - parcel then received the specific irrigation level according to its distance from irrigation line ("line source"). As a result, the experimental design was a randomized block design with four replications and eight treatments, as follows: 111, 110,

100, 101, 001, 011, 010, 000, where **O** represented the period in which growth stage did not receive water, due to protection against rain; and number **1** represented stage in which water level was applied.

Water levels were measured through real (Et_a) and maximum (Et_m) evapotranspiration of alfalfa in each treatment. Soil samples were collected every other day on the depth of 0-20, 20-40 and 40-60 cm from soil surface, resulting the moisture profiles to calculate the amount of water stored to be used in the equation of hydric balance recommended by Reichard et al (1974).

At establishment, only one cut (dry matter/ha) was made, 10 cm above the ground level. Besides alfalfa dry matter yield data, five plants were collected of each treatment in order to evaluate root development.

Results and Discussion

A summary of irrigation water management and alfalfa yield is shown in Table 2. Dry matter yield was significantly ($P < 0.05$) affected by alfalfa developmental stage and water levels, as well as by interactions between these factors. Reduction of water supply led to yield decrease, mainly in S_2 stage (0**1**1 and 0**1**0). The highest yield occurred in 0**1**1 treatment with 100% of water level (3.10 t DM/ha), and the lowest in **1**00 treatment (0.51 t DM/ha). Evidence of the high responsiveness of alfalfa to additional supply of water in S_2 stage is shown by the fact that even under the highest water deficit (D_D = 20-25% of a sprinkler irrigation) alfalfa yield did not differ ($P<.05$) from treatments with 100% of irrigation (D_A). These results agree with 33 FAO bulletin (Doorenbos and Kassan, 1994), as well as with findings reported by Cunha et al. (1994), who worked under similar conditions and verified that alfalfa evapotranspiration changed from 1.7 mm (beginning of growth) to 7.1 mm/day (full vegetative stage).

The results of this study allow to conclude that responsiveness of alfalfa to water supplementation changes according to developmental stage of the plant, and that S_2 stage (full vegetative) was the most affected by water supply, while S_1 (initial vegetative) was the least one, where water was a negative factor to alfalfa establishment.

References

Botrel, M.A.; Alvim, M.J.; Xavier, D.F. (1996). Avaliação de cultivares de alfafa na Zona da Mata de Minas Gerais. In: Reunião Anual da Sociedade Brasileira de Zootecnia, 33, 1996, Fortaleza, CE. Anais... Fortaleza: SBZ, p.191-193.

CEPEA/FEALQ. (1994). Custo do Sistema de Irrigação por Aspersão para Alfafa. Boletim do Leite, n.12, dez.

Cunha, G.R.; Paula, J.R.F.; Bergamaschi, M; Saibro, J.C.; Berlato, M.A. (1994). Evapotranspiração e eficiência no uso de água em alfafa. Revista Brasileira de Agrometereologia, **2**: 23-27.

Doorembos, J. and Kassan, A.H. (1994). Efeito da água no rendimento das culturas. FAO 33, traduzido pela UFPB, Campina Grande, 306p.

EMBRAPA. (1999). Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Solos (Rio de Janeiro, RJ). Sistema Brasileiro de Classificação de Solos. Brasília: Embrapa – SPI, 412p.

Honda, C.S.; Honda, A.M. (1999).Cultura da Alfafa, 2ª Ed., IARA Artes Grafics Ltda, Cambará, PR, 245p.

Rassini, J.B. (1998).Alfafa (*Medicago sativa* L.); Estabelecimento e cultivo no Estado de São Paulo. São Carlos, EMBRAPA-CPPSE, 22p. (EMBRAPA-CPPSE, Circular Técnica, 15).

Reichardt, K.; Libardi, P.L.; Santos, J.M. (1974). An analysis of soil water movement in the field. 2. Water balance in a snap bean crop. Bol. Cient. CENA, Piracicaba, **22**:1-19.

Vilela, (1992).Potencialidade da alfafa na região Sudeste do Brasil. Inf. Agropec., Belo Horizonte, **16**: 50-53.

Table 1 - Water distribution during alfalfa establishment.

TRE	IL	Irrigation (mm)				Precipitation (mm)				Total water (mm)
		Stage			PE	Stage			PE	
		1	2	3		1	2	3		
111	D_A	103,8	122,1	93,7	319,6	0	52,0	90,8	142,8	462,4
	D_B	80,7	103,0	65,1	248,8	0	52,0	90,8	142,8	391,6
	D_C	41,3	57,4	36,4	135,1	0	52,0	90,8	142,8	277,9
	D_D	15,8	28,9	17,3	62,0	0	52,0	90,8	142,8	204,8
110	D_A	85,0	113,4	0	198,4	0	52,0	0	52,0	250,4
	D_B	62,2	106,7	0	168,9	0	52,0	0	52,0	220,9
	D_C	37,0	76,9	0	113,9	0	52,0	0	52,0	165,9
	D_D	19,5	46,4	0	65,9	0	52,0	0	52,0	117,9
100	D_A	79,5	0	0	79,5	0	0	0	0	79,5
	D_B	55,9	0	0	55,9	0	0	0	0	55,9
	D_C	30,5	0	0	30,5	0	0	0	0	30,5
	D_D	12,9	0	0	12,9	0	0	0	0	12,9
101	D_A	73,1	0	84,7	157,8	0	0	90,8	90,8	248,6
	D_B	60,5	0	70,0	130,5	0	0	90,8	90,8	221,3
	D_C	35,2	0	39,6	74,8	0	0	90,8	90,8	165,6
	D_D	19,4	0	13,9	33,3	0	0	90,8	90,8	124,1
001	D_A	0	0	77,2	77,2	0	0	90,8	90,8	168,0
	D_B	0	0	57,0	57,0	0	0	90,8	90,8	147,8
	D_C	0	0	25,8	25,8	0	0	90,8	90,8	116,6
	D_D	0	0	9,1	9,1	0	0	90,8	90,8	99,9
011	D_A	0	152,0	82,7	234,7	0	52,0	90,8	142,8	377,5
	D_B	0	126,0	67,8	193,8	0	52,0	90,8	142,8	336,6
	D_C	0	87,5	38,6	126,1	0	52,0	90,8	142,8	268,9
	D_D	0	35,0	15,6	50,6	0	52,0	90,8	142,8	193,4
010	D_A	0	149,9	0	149,9	0	52,0	0	52,0	201,9
	D_B	0	127,3	0	127,3	0	52,0	0	52,0	179,3
	D_C	0	95,0	0	95,0	0	52,0	0	52,0	147,0
	D_D	0	45,0	0	45,0	0	52,0	0	52,0	97,0
000*						0	52,0	90,8	142,8	142,8
						0	52,0	90,8	142,8	142,8
						0	52,0	90,8	142,8	142,8
						0	52,0	90,8	142,8	142,8

TRE - treatments   IL- irrigation levels   PE – plant establishment

* Without irrigation (control)

Table 2 -   Irrigation water management and alfalfa yield

Deficit	Experiments								Mean
	111	110	100	101	001	011	010	000	
D_A	1,64de	1,51e	1,18ghij	1,27gh	1,46ef	3,10a	1,62de	1,11hijk	1,61a
D_B	1,46ef	1,22ghi	0,95k	1,18ghij	1,59de	2,90b	1,76d	0,98k	1,50a
D_C	1,48ef	1,13ghij	0,72l	1,02jk	1,23ghi	2,35c	1,30fg	1,11hijk	1,29b
D_D	1,23ghi	1,05ijk	0,51m	0,77l	1,03jk	1,52e	1,07ijk	1,18ghij	1,04c
Mean	1,45b	1,23c	0,84d	1,06cd	1,33bc	2,47a	1,44b	1,09cd	1,36

Means, with different letters are different (P< .05) by Tukey test.